1	Coral reef carbonate budgets and ecological drivers in the
2	central Red Sea - a naturally high temperature and high
3	total alkalinity environment
4	
5	
6	
7 8 9	Anna Roik <sup>1,*</sup> , Till Röthig <sup>1,+</sup> , Claudia Pogoreutz <sup>1</sup> , Vincent Saderne <sup>1</sup> , Christian R. Voolstra <sup>1</sup>
10 11 12	<sup>1</sup> Red Sea Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, 23955 Thuwal, Saudi Arabia
12 13 14	*Current address: Marine Microbiology, GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, 24105 Kiel, Germany
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	+Current address: Aquatic Research Facility, Environmental Sustainability Research Centre, University of Derby, Kedleston Road, Derby, DE22 1GB, UK
22	Correspondence to: Christian R. Voolstra (christian.voolstra@kaust.edu.sa), Anna Roik (aroik@geomar.de)

23 Abstract. The structural framework provided by corals is crucial for reef ecosystem function and services, but high 24 seawater temperatures can be detrimental to the calcification capacity of reef-building organisms. The Red Sea is very 25 warm, but total alkalinity (TA) is naturally high and beneficial for reef accretion. To date, we know little about how 26 such beneficial and detrimental abiotic factors affect each other and the balance between calcification and erosion on 27 Red Sea coral reefs, that is overall reef growth, in this unique ocean basin. To provide estimates of present-day reef 28 growth dynamics in the central Red Sea, we measured two metrics of reef growth, i.e., in situ net-accretion/-erosion 29 rates (G<sub>net</sub>) determined by deployment of limestone blocks and ecosystem scale carbonate budgets (G<sub>budget</sub>) along a 30 cross-shelf gradient (25 km, encompassing near-, mid-, and offshore). Along this gradient, we assessed multiple 31 abiotic (i.e., temperature, salinity, diurnal pH fluctuation, inorganic nutrients, and TA) and biotic (i.e., calcifier and 32 epilithic bioeroder communities) variables. Both reef growth metrics revealed similar patterns from nearshore to 33 offshore: net-erosive, neutral, and net-accretion states. The average cross-shelf  $G_{budget}$  was 0.66 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, with the highest budget of 2.44 kg CaCO3 m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> measured in the offshore reef. These data are comparable to the 34 35 contemporary G<sub>budgets</sub> from the western Atlantic and Indian Ocean, but lie well below "optimal reef production" (5 -36 10 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and below maxima recently recorded in remote high coral cover reef sites. Yet, the erosive forces 37 observed in the Red Sea nearshore reef contributed less as observed elsewhere. A higher TA accompanied reef growth 38 across the shelf gradient, whereas stronger diurnal pH fluctuations were associated with negative budgets. Noteworthy 39 for this oligotrophic region was the positive effect of phosphate, which is a central micronutrient for reef building 40 corals. While parrotfish contributed substantially to bioerosion, our dataset also highlights coralline algae as important 41 local reef-builders. Altogether, our study establishes a baseline for reef growth in the central Red Sea that should be 42 useful in assessing trajectories of reef growth capacity under current and future ocean scenarios.

# 44 **1 Introduction**

45 Coral reef growth is mostly limited to warm, aragonite-saturated, and oligotrophic tropical oceans and is pivotal for reef ecosystem functioning (Buddemeier, 1997; Kleypas et al., 1999). The coral reef framework not only maintains a 46 47 remarkable biodiversity, but also provides highly valuable ecosystem services that include food supply and coastal 48 protection, among others (Moberg and Folke, 1999; Reaka-Kudla, 1997). Biogenic calcification, erosion, and 49 dissolution contribute to the formation of the reef framework constructed of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>, mainly 50 aragonite). The balance of carbonate loss and accretion is influenced by biotic and abiotic factors. On a reef scale, the 51 main antagonists are calcifying benthic communities on the one hand, such as scleractinian corals and coralline algal 52 crusts, and grazing and endolithic bioeroders on the other hand, such as parrotfish, sea urchins, microbioeroding 53 chlorophytes, boring sponges, and other macroborers (Glynn, 1997; Hutchings, 1986; Perry et al., 2008; Tribollet and 54 Golubic, 2011). The export or loss of carbonate as sediments is considered an essential part, in particular in the wider 55 geomorphic perspective of reef carbonate production states (Cyronak et al., 2013; Perry et al., 2008, 2017). 56 Temperature and carbonate chemistry parameters (e.g., pH, total alkalinity: TA, and aragonite saturation state:  $\Omega_a$ , pCO<sub>2</sub>) have been identified as important players in regulating these carbonate accretion and erosion processes 57 58 (Albright et al., 2018; Schönberg et al., 2017). Furthermore, different light regimes across depths, water flow, and 59 wave exposure can alter the rates of reef-formation processes (Dullo et al., 1995; Glynn and Manzello, 2015; Kleypas 60 et al., 2001).

61

62 Reef growth is maintained when reef calcification produces more  $CaCO_3$  than is being removed, and depends largely on the ability of benthic calcifiers to precipitate calcium carbonate from seawater (e.g., Langdon et al., 2000; Tambutté 63 64 et al., 2011). TA and  $\Omega_a$ , positively correlate with calcification rates (Marubini et al., 2008; Schneider and Erez, 2006), 65 and while calcification rates of corals and coralline algae increase with higher temperature, they have upper thermal limits (Jokiel and Coles, 1990; Marshall and Clode, 2004; Vásquez-Elizondo and Enríquez, 2016). Today's Oceans 66 are warming and high temperatures begin to exceed the thermal optima of calcifying organisms and thereby slowing 67 68 down or interrupting calcification (e.g., Carricart-Ganivet et al., 2012; Death et al., 2009). At the same time, ocean 69 acidification decreases the Oceans' pH and  $\Omega_a$  (Orr et al., 2005). Arguably, calcification under these conditions 70 becomes energetically costlier (Cai et al., 2016; Cohen and Holcomb, 2009; Strahl et al., 2015; Waldbusser et al., 71 2016). In addition, ocean acidification stimulates destructive processes, for instance the proliferation of bioeroding 72 endolithic organisms (e.g., Enochs, 2015; Fang et al., 2013; Tribollet et al., 2009). Apart from that, locally impaired 73 reef growth due to an increased intensity or frequency of extreme climate events (Eakin, 2001; Schuhmacher et al., 74 2005), human impacts including pollution and eutrophication (Chazottes et al., 2002; Edinger et al., 2000), and other 75 ecological events such as population outbreaks of grazing sea urchins or crown-of-thorn starfish that feed on coral can 76 induce reef framework degradation (Bak, 1994; Pisapia et al., 2016; Uthicke et al., 2015). 77

A number of studies have employed experimental limestone blocks cut from coral skeletons to study reef growth processes (Chazottes et al., 1995; Kiene and Hutchings, 1994; Silbiger et al., 2014; Tribollet and Golubic, 2005).

80 Deployment of such blocks in a reef captures the endolithic and epilithic accretion and erosion agents and forces,

81 simultaneously allowing for the measurement of net-accretion and net-erosion rates. In particular, these studies have 82 provided insight into the colonization progression and activity of endolithic micro- and macroorganisms. To 83 comparatively assess the persistence of reef framework at the ecosystem scale, a census-based reef carbonate budget 84 (ReefBudget) approach that integrates reef site-specific ecological data into the calculation of the erosion-accretion 85 balance was introduced recently (Kennedy et al., 2013; Perry et al., 2012, 2015). Using the ReefBudget approach, a 86 recent study determined that 37 % of all current reefs that were investigated are in a net-erosive state (Perry et al., 87 2013). For the Caribbean, it revealed a 50 % decrease of reef growth compared to historical mid- to late-Holocene 88 reef growth (Perry et al., 2013). Indeed, the use of carbonate budgets provided valuable insight into the reef growth 89 trajectories in the Sevchelles, where surveys conducted since the 1990s provide important ecological baseline data 90 that were employed in reef growth calculations (Januchowski-Hartley et al., 2017). Most recently, carbonate budget data were used to explore the relation of vertical reef growth potential and trends in sea level rise suggesting that reef 91 92 submergence poses a threat as long as climate-driven and human-made perturbations persist (Perry et al., 2018). Other 93 studies highlight the susceptibility of marginal coral reefs to ocean warming and acidification (Couce et al., 2012). 94 Such marginal reefs are found in the Eastern Pacific or in the Middle East in the Persian/Arabian Gulf, where reefs 95 exist at their environmental limits, e.g., at low pH or high temperatures, respectively (Bates et al., 2010; Manzello, 96 2010; Riegl, 2003; Sheppard and Loughland, 2002).

97

98 Although the Red Sea features high sea surface temperatures that exceed thermal thresholds of tropical corals 99 elsewhere (Kleypas et al., 1999; Osman et al., 2018), it supports a remarkable coral reef framework along its entire 100 coastline (Riegl et al., 2012). Yet, coral skeleton core samples indicate that calcification rates have been declining 101 over the past decades, which has been widely attributed to ocean warming (Cantin et al., 2010). In this regard Red 102 Sea coral reefs are on a similar trajectory as other coral reefs under global ocean warming (Bak et al., 2009; Cooper 103 et al., 2008). In the central and southern Red Sea, present-day data show reduced calcification rates of corals and 104 calcifying crusts when temperatures peak during summer (Roik et al., 2015; Sawall et al., 2015). While increasing 105 temperatures are seemingly stressful and energetically demanding for reef calcifiers, high TA values, as found in the 106 Red Sea (~ 2400 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, Metzl et al. 1989), are indicative of a putatively beneficial environment for calcification 107 (Albright et al., 2016; Langdon et al., 2000; Tambutté et al., 2011). At present, little is known about the reef-scale 108 carbonate budgets of Red Sea coral reefs (Jones et al., 2015). Apart from one early assessment of reef growth capacity 109 for a high-latitude reef in the Gulf of Aqaba (GoA, northern Red Sea) that considered both calcification and 110 bioerosion/dissolution rates (Dullo et al., 1996), studies only report calcification rates (e.g., Cantin et al., 2010; Heiss, 111 1995; Roik et al., 2015; Sawall and Al-Sofyani, 2015) or focus on bioerosion generally caused by one group of 112 bioeroders (Alwany et al., 2009; Kleemann, 2001; Mokady et al., 1996). Therefore, we set out to determine reef growth 113 in central Red Sea coral reefs and evaluate the biotic and abiotic drivers. We show and compare two reef growth metrics: Gnet and Gbudget. We present net-accretion/-erosion rates (Gnet) measured in situ using limestone blocks 114 115 deployed in the reefs, which simultaneously capture the rates of epilithic accretion and epilithic and endolithic 116 bioerosion. We also apply a census-based approach adapted from the ReefBudget protocol (Perry et al., 2012) to estimate reef growth on an ecosystem scale, as the net carbonate production state or carbonate budget ( $G_{budget}$ ). Our 117

- 118 study provides a broad and first insight into reef growth dynamics and a comparative baseline to further assess the
- 119 effects of environmental change on reef growth in the central Red Sea.

# 121 2 Material and Methods

#### 122 **2.1 Study sites and environmental monitoring**

123 Study sites are located in the Saudi Arabian central Red Sea along an environmental cross-shelf gradient, described in 124 detail in Roik et al. (2015) and Roik et al. (2016). Data for this study were collected at three sites: an offshore forereef at ~25 km distance from the coastline (22° 20.456 N, 38° 51.127 E, "Shi'b Nazar"), midshore forereef at ~10 km 125 distance (22° 15.100 N, 38° 57.386 E, "Al Fahal"), and a nearshore forereef (22° 13.974 N, 39° 01.760 E, "Inner 126 127 Fsar") at ~3 km distance to shore. All sampling stations were located between 7.5 and 9 m depth. In the following, reef sites are referred to as "offshore", "midshore", and "nearshore", respectively. Abiotic variables were measured 128 during "winter" and "summer" 2014. CTD data was collected continuously during "winter" (9th February - 7th April 129 2014) and "summer" (19th June - 23th October 2014). At each station, seawater samples were collected on SCUBA for 130 131 5 - 6 consecutive weeks during each of the seasons to determine inorganic nutrients, i.e., nitrate and nitrite (NO<sub>3</sub>- $\text{\&NO}_2^{-}$ ), ammonia (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>), and total alkalinity (TA) (Table S1). 132

133

### 134 2.2 Net-accretion/-erosion rates of limestone blocks

Net-accretion/-erosion rates (G<sub>net</sub>) were assessed using a "limestone block assay". Blocks cut from "coral stone" 135 136 limestone were purchased from a local building material supplier in Jeddah, KSA. Each block was fixed with one 137 stainless steel bolt to aluminum racks permanently deployed at the monitoring station of each reef site (a total of 36 blocks, n = 4, Fig. S1). The blocks were oriented in parallel to the reef slope with one side facing up while the other 138 139 side was facing down towards the reef. Block dimensions were  $100 \times 100 \times 21$  mm with an average density of  $\rho = 2.3$ 140 kg  $L^{-1}$ . Blocks were dry-weighed before and after deployment on the reefs (Mettler Toledo XS2002S, readability = 10 mg). Before weighing, the blocks were autoclaved and dried in a climate chamber (BINDER, Tuttlingen, Germany) 141 142 at 40 °C for a week. Four replicate blocks were deployed at the reef sites for three different exposure periods each 143 (Fig. 1 a) to measure natural processes of calcification and erosion. Exposure periods were 6 months (September 2012 144 - March 2013), 12 months (June 2013 - June 2014), and 30 months each (January 2013-June 2015). We measured a 145 total of 12 blocks and all blocks were measured only once. Upon recovery, the blocks were treated with 10 % bleach for 24 - 36 h and rinsed with deionized water to remove organic material and any residual salts. G<sub>net</sub> were expressed 146 as normalized differences of pre-deployment and post-deployment weights [kg CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ ] (Table 1). 147

148

# 149 **2.3 Biotic parameters**

- 150 To assess coral reef benthic calcifier and epilithic bioeroder communities (as input data for the reef carbonate budgets),
- 151 we conducted *in situ* surveys on SCUBA along the cross-shelf gradient at each of our study sites.
- 152

### 153 **2.3.1 Benthic community composition**

Community composition and coverage of coral reef calcifying groups were assessed in six replicate transects per site using the belt-transect rugosity method (Perry et al., 2012) as detailed in Roik et al. (2015). From these surveys we extracted data on benthic calcifiers (% cover total hard coral, % hard coral morphs (branching, encrusting, massive, and platy/foliose), % major reef-building coral families (Acroporidae, Pocilloporidae, and Poritidae), % cover calcareous crusts, % recently dead coral, and % rock surface area for carbonate budget calculations (Table S2). In addition, benthic rugosity was assessed in the same transects following the *Chain and Tape Method* (n = 6, Perry et al., 2012).

#### 162 **2.3.2** Epilithic bioeroder/grazer populations along the cross-shelf gradient

163 For each reef site, we surveyed abundances and size classes of the two main groups of coral reef framework epilithic 164 bioerorders, parrotfishes (Scaridae) (Bellwood, 1995; Bruggemann et al., 1996) and sea urchins (Echinoidea) (Bak, 165 1994). Surveys were conducted on SCUBA using stationary plots (adapted from Bannerot and Bohnsack, 1986, Text 166 S1) and line transects (n = 6 per site), respectively. Briefly, abundances of parrotfishes and sea urchins were assessed for different size classes. Abundances for all prevalent parrotfish species were assessed in six size classes, based on 167 estimated fork length (FL; FL size classes: 1 = 5 - 14 cm, 2 = 15 - 24 cm, 3 = 25 - 34 cm, 4 = 35 - 44 cm, 5 = 45 - 70, 168 169 and 6 > 70 cm). We focused on the most abundant bioeroding parrotfish species in the Red Sea (Table S4), which 170 encompassed two herbivorous functional groups: excavators and scrapers (Green and Bellwood 2009). Most abundant 171 across study sites were the excavators Chlorurus gibbus, Scarus ghobban, and Cetoscarus bicolor, and the scrapers 172 Scarus frenatus, Chlorurus sordidus, Scarus niger and Scarus ferrugenius, following Alwany et al., 2009. 173 Additionally, we counted *Hipposcarus harid*, which occurred frequently at the study sites, along with members of the 174 genus Scarus that could not be identified to species level and were therefore pooled in the category 'Other Scarus'. 175 Both H. harid and Scarus spp. were broadly categorized as scrapers (Green and Bellwood, 2009). The sea urchin census targeted five size classes of the four most common bioerosive genera Diadema, Echinometra, Echinostrephus, 176 177 and Eucidaris, based on urchin diameter (size classes 1 = 0 - 20 mm, 2 = 21 - 40 mm, 3 = 41 - 60 mm, 4 = 61 - 80178 mm, 5 = 81 - 100 mm, Table S7). For details on the field surveys and data treatment for biomass conversion, refer to 179 the supplementary materials (Text S1 and references therein).

180

#### 181 **2.4 Reef carbonate budgets**

182 Ecosystem scale reef carbonate budgets,  $G_{budget}$  [kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>], were determined following the census-based

183 ReefBudget approach by Perry et al. (2012) (Table 1). G<sub>budget</sub> incorporates local census data, site-specific net-

184 accretion/-erosion data (G<sub>net</sub> over 30 months) and calcification data (buoyant weight measurements) collected for this

- and a previous study (Roik et al., 2015). Importantly, the approach incorporates epilithic bioerosion, which is based
- 186 on abundance rather than bite or erosion rates; therefore, parrotfish and sea urchin census data collected in this study
- 187 were employed in the *ReefBudget* calculations using bite and erosion rates from the literature (Alwany et al., 2009;

<sup>161</sup> 

- 188 Perry et al., 2012). In summary, site-specific benthic calcification rates (G<sub>benthos</sub>, kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), net-accretion/-
- erosion rates of reef "rock" surface area ( $G_{netbenthos}$ , kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), and epilithic erosion rates by sea urchins ( $E_{echino}$ ,
- 190 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and parrotfishes ( $E_{parrot}$ , kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), were determined for the G<sub>budget</sub> calculations (Fig. 1 (b)
- 191 and Fig. 3 (a)). A detailed account of Red Sea specific calculations and modifications of the *ReefBudget* approach
- employed in this study are outlined in the supplementary materials (Text S1, Equation box S1-3, and Tables S2-S8).
- 193

# 194 **2.5 Abiotic parameters**

# 195 2.5.1 Continuous data: temperature, salinity, and diurnal pH variation

Factory-calibrated conductivity-temperature-depth loggers (CTDs, SBE 16plusV2 SEACAT, RS-232, Sea-Bird Electronics, Bellevue, WA, USA) were deployed at the monitoring stations on tripods at ~0.5 m above the reef to collect time series data of temperature, salinity, and  $pH_{NBS}$  at hourly intervals. The pH probes (SBE 18/27, Sea-bird

- 199 Electronics) were factory calibrated before the winter deployment (9th February 7th April 2014). Calibrations were
- 200 verified using NBS scale standard buffers (pH 7 and 10, Fixanal, Fluka Analytics, Sigma Aldrich, Germany) before
- the winter and the summer deployment (19th June 23th October 2014).
- 202

# 203 **2.5.2.** Seawater samples: Inorganic nutrients and total alkalinity

204 Seawater samples were collected on SCUBA at each of the stations using 4 L collection containers (Table S1). 205 Simultaneously, 60 mL seawater samples were taken through a 0.45 µm syringe filter for TA measurements. Seawater 206 samples for inorganic nutrient analyses and TA measurements were transported on ice in the dark and were processed 207 on the same day. Samples were filtered over GF/F filters (0.7 µm, Whatman, UK) and filtrates were frozen at -20 °C until analysis. The inorganic nutrient content ( $NO_3^-\&NO_2^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $PO_4^{3-}$ ) was determined using standard 208 colorimetric tests and a Quick-Chem 8000 AutoAnalyzer (Zellweger Analysis, Inc.). TA samples were analyzed 209 210 within 2 - 4 h after collection using an automated acidimetric titration system (Titrando 888, Metrohm AG, 211 Switzerland). Gran-type titrations were performed with a 0.01 M HCl (prepared from 0.1 HCl Standard, Fluka Analytics) at an average accuracy of  $\pm 9 \,\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> (standard deviation of triplicate measurements). 212

#### 213 2.6 Statistical analyses

# 214 **2.6.1** Net-accretion/-erosion rates and carbonate budgets

215 G<sub>net</sub> data (Table 2) were tested for effects of the factors "reef" (fixed factor: nearshore, midshore, and offshore) and

216 "deployment time" (random factor: 6, 12, and 30 months). A univariate 2-factorial PERMANOVA was performed on

- 217 log<sub>n</sub>(x) transformed data (i.e., log<sub>n</sub>(x+1-min(x<sub>1-n</sub>)) as data contained negative and near-zero values). A Euclidian
- distance matrix and 9999 permutations of residuals under a reduced model and type III partial sum of squares were
- 219 employed. Pair-wise tests followed where applicable (PRIMER-E V6, Table S9).
- 220 G<sub>budget</sub> data (Table 3) were tested for statistical differences between the reef sites (fixed factor: nearshore, midshore,
- and offshore) using a 1-factorial ANOVA. In parallel,  $G_{\text{benthos}}$  was tested using a 1-factorial ANOVA with  $\log_{10}$

- transformed data, while non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests were employed for non-transformed G<sub>netbenthos</sub>, E<sub>echino</sub>,
- 223 and E<sub>parrot</sub> data. Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests or Dunn's multiple comparisons followed where applicable (Table S10).
- 224 Assumptions about parametric distribution of data were evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Statistical
- tests were performed as implemented in R (R Core Team, 2013).
- 226

#### 227 2.6.2 Abiotic parameters

228 All abiotic data were summarized as means and standard deviations per reef and season and over each season (Table 229 4) and boxplots were generated (Fig. 4). Diurnal pH variation was extracted from the continuous data as the  $pH_{NBS}$ 230 standard deviation per day. Outliers were detected and removed from the TA data. All outliers (data points beyond the upper boxplot 1.5 IQR) clustered to one sampling day (23 June 2014), which we considered an artifact of the 231 232 chemical analysis and the outliers from this day were removed. All continuous abiotic variables and inorganic nutrients (PO43- after square-root transformation) fulfilled parametric assumptions and were evaluated using univariate 2-233 234 factorial ANOVAs testing the factors "reef" (nearshore, midshore, and offshore) and "season" (winter and summer). 235 TA data was square-root transformed, which improved symmetry of data (Anderson et al., 2008), and tested under the same 2-factorial design, as outlined above, using a PERMANOVA (Euclidian resemblance matrix and 9999 236 237 permutations of residuals under a reduced model and type II partial sums of squares). Within each significant factor, 238 Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests or PERMANOVA integrated pair-wise tests followed (Table S11 and S12). Assumptions 239 were evaluated by histograms and the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Statistical tests and outlier detection were 240 performed in R or PRIMER-E V6.

241

#### 242 **2.6.3 Abiotic-biotic correlations**

243 To evaluate the relationship of abiotic and biotic predictors of G<sub>net</sub> and G<sub>budget</sub>, Spearman rank correlation coefficients 244 were obtained for the predictor variables (at a confidence level of 95%) using cor.test in R (R Core Team, 2013; 245 Wickham and Chang, 2015). P-values were adjusted using p.adjust in R employing the Benjamini-Hochberg method. 246 Correlations were performed using  $G_{net}$  data obtained in the 30-months measurements from the reef sites (nearshore, 247 midshore, and offshore) (Table 5 and Table S13). Predictor variables were the site-specific means of CTD measured variables (temperature, salinity, and diurnal pH variation), means of inorganic nutrients (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>&NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> 248 249 ), and TA (Table 4). Biotic predictors were variables that likely impacted the limestone blocks, i.e. parrotfish 250 abundances, sea urchin abundances, calcareous crusts cover, and algal and sponge cover. Since we did not observe 251 any coral recruits of substantial size on the blocks, we did not include % coral cover and related variables in the 252 correlations.

G<sub>budget</sub> correlations included all the above-mentioned abiotic variables and 13 biotic transect variables (i.e., parrot fish
 abundances, sea urchin abundances, % branching coral, % encrusting coral, % massive coral, % platy/foliose coral,
 % of Acroporidae, % Pocilloporidae, % Poritidae, % total hard coral cover, calcareous crusts cover, algal and sponge

- cover, and rugosity). Prior to analysis, some of the predictors (i.e., % platy/foliose corals and % Poritidae) were
- $log_{10}(x+1)$  transformed to improve the symmetry in their distributions (Table 5 and Table S14).

#### 259 3 Results

#### 260 **3.1 Net-accretion/-erosion rates of limestone blocks**

261 Net-accretion/-erosion rates  $G_{net}$  were measured in assays over periods of 6, 12, and 30 months in the reef sites along 262 the cross-shelf gradient. These measurements represent the result of calcification and bioerosion processes impacting 263 the deployed limestone blocks. Visible traces of boring endolithic fauna were only found on the surface of blocks 264 recovered after 12 and 30 months as presented in Fig. 2 (c)-(f). A brief visual inspection of the block surfaces after 265 retrieval showed colonization by coralline algae, bryozoans, boring sponges, small size boring worms and clams, as 266 well as parrotfish bite-marks. No coral recruits were noticed by the unaided eye. Further analyses of the established presence of calcifying and bioeroding communities were not within the scope of this study. Gnet based on the 30-267 months deployment of blocks ranged between -0.96 and 0.37 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). G<sub>net</sub> for 12 and 30-months 268 blocks were negative on the nearshore reef (between -0.96 and -0.6 kg  $CaCO_3 m^{-2} y^{-1}$ , i.e., net erosion is apparent), 269 slightly positive on the midshore reef (0.01 - 0.06 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, i.e., almost neutral carbonate production state), 270 and positive on the offshore reef (up to 0.37 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, i.e., net accretion of reef framework). Deployment 271 272 times had a significant effect on the variability of  $G_{net}$  (Pseudo-F = 5.9,  $p_{PERMANOVA} < 0.01$ , Table S9). As expected, 273 accretion/erosion was overall higher when measured over the longer deployment period (Fig. 2 (g)) in comparison to 274 the shorter deployment times, reflecting the continuous and exponential nature of bioerosion due to the colonization 275 progress of fouling organisms over time. The significant interaction of reef site and deployment time (Pseudo-F = 7.3, 276  $p_{\text{PERMANOVA}} < 0.001$ ) shows that only blocks deployed over 12 and 30 months revealed significant site variability, 277 specifically the differences between nearshore vs. offshore and midshore vs. offshore sites became evident ( $p_{pair-wise} <$ 278 0.05, Table S9). The within-group variability was highest for the nearshore reef, where standard deviations were up 279 to 7-times higher compared to the midshore and the offshore reefs.

280

#### 281 **3.2 Biotic parameters**

#### 282 **3.2.1 Benthic community composition**

283 A detailed account of benthic community structure of the study sites is provided in Roik et al. (2015). In brief, a low 284 percentage of live substrate (20 %) and calcifier community cover (hard corals = 11 % and calcifying crusts = 1 %) were characteristic for the nearshore site, while rock (23 %) and rubble (4 %) were more abundant compared to the 285 286 other sites. The midshore and offshore reefs provided live benthos cover of around 70 % and a large proportion of 287 calcifiers (48 and 59%). The proportion of coral and calcifying crusts, which were dominated by coralline algae, were 38 % and 10 % in the midshore reef compared to 35 % and 23 % in the offshore reef, respectively. Major reef-building 288 289 coral families were Acroporidae, Pocilloporidae, and Poritidae forming 32 - 56 % of the total hard coral cover. A soft coral community (of around 25 %) occupied large areas in the midshore reef. This community was minor in the 290 291 nearshore and offshore reefs with 4 % and 8.5 %, respectively. Specific benthic accretion rates G<sub>benthos</sub> [kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-</sup> 292 <sup>2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>], which were used as input data for the G<sub>budget</sub> calculation, were determined using these benthic data in addition 293 to site and calcifier specific calcification rates (Tables S2 and S3).

294

#### 295 **3.2.2** Epilithic bioeroder/grazer populations along the cross-shelf gradient

296 A total of 718 parrotfishes and 110 sea urchins were observed and included in subsequent ReefBudget analyses. Parrotfish mean abundances and biomass estimates ranged between  $0.08 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.17 \pm 0.60$  individuals m<sup>-2</sup>, and 297 298  $24.69 \pm 6.04$  and  $82.18 \pm 46.67$  g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively (Table S4). The largest parrotfish (category 5 parrotfish, i.e., > 45 - 70 cm fork length) were observed at the midshore site. With the exception of the midshore reef, category 1 (5 - 14 299 cm) parrotfish were commonly observed at all sites. Large parrotfish (category 6 with > 70 cm fork length) were not 300 observed during the surveys. For sea urchins, mean abundances of  $0.002 \pm 0.004 - 0.014 \pm 0.006$  individuals m<sup>-2</sup> per 301 site were observed and mean biomasses  $0.05 \pm 0.04 - 1.43 \pm 0.98$  g m<sup>-2</sup> estimated per site, respectively (Table S7). 302 The midshore site exhibited the largest range of sea urchin size classes (from categories 1 or 2 to the largest size class 303 304 5), while at the other two exposed sites, only the two smallest size classes of sea urchins were recorded.

305

# 306 **3.3 Reef carbonate budgets**

The carbonate budget,  $G_{budget}$ , averaged over all sites was  $0.66 \pm 2.01$  kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> encompassing values ranging 307 from a negative nearshore budget (-1.48  $\pm$  1.75 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) to a positive offshore budget (2.44  $\pm$  1.03 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> 308  $m^2 y^1$ ) (Figure 3 and Table 3). G<sub>budget</sub> significantly differed between reef sites ( $F = 16.7, p_{ANOVA} < 0.001, Table S10$ ), 309 where nearshore vs. offshore site and midshore vs. offshore site showed significant differences ( $p_{\text{Tukev HSD}} < 0.01$ ). 310 311 Further, biotic variables that contribute to the final G<sub>budget</sub> were diverse: G<sub>benthos</sub> significantly varied between midshore vs. nearshore site and offshore vs. nearshore site ( $p_{Tukey HSD} < 0.01$ ), G<sub>netbenthos</sub> varied between all site combinations 312 313  $(p_{\text{Tukey HSD}} < 0.001)$ , E<sub>cchino</sub> significantly differed between midshore and nearshore, and E<sub>parrot</sub> variability was similar at 314 all sites. The within-group variation for the nearshore reef was 5-times higher compared to the midshore reef and the 315 offshore reef. Overall, the proportional loss of accreted carbonate to bioerosion was 15 % in the offshore reef, 42 % 316 in the midshore reef, and the loss even exceeded the accretion by four-fold in the nearshore reef, i.e., ~ 440 %

- 317 proportional loss when considering accreted carbonate to bioerosion.
- 318

#### 319 **3.4 Abiotic parameters**

# 320 3.4.1 Temperature, salinity, and diurnal pH variation

We used abiotic monitoring data to characterize environmental conditions at each reef site throughout the year (Table 1, Table S11 and S12). Temperature and salinity comprised ~4400 data points per reef site in the nearshore and offshore reef, and ~2700 in the midshore reef; diurnal pH standard deviations comprised 185 data points for the midshore and offshore site, and 87 for the nearshore site. The seasonal mean temperature varied between  $26.1 \pm 0.5$ 

- 325 °C in winter and  $30.9 \pm 0.7$  °C in summer across all reefs. The cross-shelf difference was largest in summer (~0.6 °C),
- and significant during both seasons (F = 1042.6,  $p_{ANOVA} < 0.001$ ). From all sites, the nearshore site experienced the

- 327 lowest mean temperature (26.1 °C) in winter and the highest (31.3 °C) in summer. In comparison, the midshore and
- 328 offshore reefs were slightly cooler with means around 30.6 °C during summer. Overall salinity was high ranging
- between 39.18 39.44 over the year. In summer nearshore salinity was significantly increased by 0.36 compared to
- winter and by 0.18 compared to the other reefs (F = 945.3,  $p_{ANOVA} < 0.001$ ). Salinity in the midshore and offshore reef
- 331 was not significantly different between the two sites. Mean diurnal standard deviations of pH ranged between 0.04 –
- 332 0.07 of pH units in the midshore and offshore reefs. The nearshore reef experienced the largest diurnal variations as
- indicated by mean diurnal standard deviations of 0.29 pH units during winter and 0.6 pH units during summer. The
- diurnal pH fluctuation differed significantly between all reef sites ( $F = 1241 p_{ANOVA} < 0.001$ ).

335

# 336 **3.4.2 Seawater samples: Inorganic nutrients and total alkalinity**

337 Concentrations of all measured inorganic nutrients were below 1 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>&NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> was on average between  $0.63 \pm 0.26$  and  $0.28 \pm 0.22 \mu mol \text{ kg}^{-1}$ , NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> between  $0.51 \pm 0.17$  and  $0.35 \pm 0.19 \mu mol \text{ kg}^{-1}$ , and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> as low 338 as  $0.02 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.09 \pm 0.02$  µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> (the highest and lowest site-season averages are reported here). By trend, 339 340 mean  $NO_3^ \& NO_2^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  levels were higher in winter compared to summer with a difference of 0.29 and 0.16 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 4, Table S11 and S12). In contrast,  $PO_4^{3-}$  was significantly higher in winter than in summer 341 with means differing on average by 0.04  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> (F = 16, p<sub>ANOVA</sub> < 0.001, Table S11). Mean differences across the 342 shelf were 0.1 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>&NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> during winter, 0.1 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> during summer, and 0.02 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in 343 344  $PO_4^{3-}$  throughout both seasons. TA ranged between  $2391 \pm 15$  and  $2494 \pm 16 \mu mol kg^{-1}$ . TA was significantly different between seasons and reef sites (Pseudo- $F_{\text{season}} = 297.6$ , Pseudo- $F_{\text{reefsite}} = 22.5$ ,  $p_{\text{PERMANOVA}} < 0.001$ , Table S11 and 345 S12). During both seasons, TA was decreasing from the offshore to the nearshore reef. During winter, TA was slightly 346 higher with  $2487 \pm 20 \,\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  compared to  $2417 \pm 27 \,\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  during summer. The increase from nearshore to 347 offshore was on average between 20 and 50 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4). 348

#### 349 **3.5 Abiotic-biotic correlations**

- 350 To explore the relationship between environmental variables and reef growth, we performed correlation analyses. For
- G<sub>net</sub>, strong, positive, and significant correlates were calcareous crust cover,  $NO_3^-$ & $NO_2^-$ ,  $PO_4^{3-}$ , and TA. Negative
- correlates were salinity, diurnal pH variation, and parrotfish abundance (strong correlates:  $\rho > |0.75|$ , p < 0.001). For
- $G_{budget}$ , abiotic correlates were NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>&NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>, and TA, the same correlates as for G<sub>net</sub>. Looking at significant biotic
- 354 correlates of G<sub>budget</sub>, we only found positive relationships, including calcareous crusts, hard corals, and rugosity.
- 355 Conversely, parrotfish and sea urchin abundances had a negative effect on G<sub>budget</sub>, but the correlation was weak and
- not significant ( $\rho \sim -0.5$ ). The non-calcifying benthos, which represents the coverage by algae, soft corals, and sponges,
- 357 was not correlated with the dynamics of  $G_{budget}$  and was correlated only weakly and not significantly with  $G_{net}$  ( $\rho \sim$
- 358 0.5) (Table 5, Tables S13 and S14).

# 360 4 Discussion

361 Central Red Sea reefs are characterized by unique environmental conditions of high temperature, salinity, TA, and oligotrophy (Fahmy, 2003; Kleypas et al., 1999; Steiner et al., 2014). On a global scale they support remarkable reef 362 growth, supporting well established fringing reefs along most of the coastline. To date, processes affecting reef growth 363 364 in various regions of the Red Sea have mostly been investigated individually. For instance, some studies focused on bioerosion by one specific group of bioeroders only (Alwany et al., 2009; Kleemann, 2001; Mokady et al., 1996), 365 366 while other studies assessed calcification of reef-building corals (e.g., Cantin et al., 2010; Heiss, 1995; Roik et al., 2015; Sawall et al., 2015). To provide a more comprehensive picture, the present study integrated assessment of the 367 antagonistic processes of calcification and bioerosion. We achieved this in a two-step approach assessing two central 368 369 metrics of reef growth along a cross-shelf gradient. First, we assessed net-accretion/-erosion rates ( $G_{net}$ ) from three 370 reef sites along the cross-shelf gradient in situ using a limestone block assay. Second, we constructed ecosystem-scale 371 estimates of reef carbonate budgets for Red Sea reef sites (G<sub>budget</sub>) adapting the census-based *ReefBudget* approach by 372 Perry et al. (2012). In the following, we highlight the complex dynamics and interactions of reef growth processes and 373 discuss the importance of carbonate budgets as a powerful tool to explore the trajectories of reef growth in a global 374 and historical context.

375

#### 376 4.1 Net-accretion/-erosion rates (G<sub>net</sub>) in the central Red Sea

# 377 4.1.1 Cross-shelf dynamics in a global context

378 The limestone block assay revealed three reef production states in the central Red Sea: 1) net erosion (nearshore), 2) 379 near-neutrality (midshore), and 3) net accretion (offshore). This is in contrast to the pattern observed on the Great 380 barrier reef (GBR), where total bioerosion rates were higher in offshore reefs than inshore reefs as assessed from 381 limestone blocks (Tribollet et al., 2002; Tribollet and Golubic, 2005). Generally, most block assay studies conducted 382 in various reef habitats and regions found net-erosive rates. For instance, studies from reefs in the Thai Andaman Sea 383 and Indonesian Java Sea note that the accretion by calcifying crusts, such as coralline algae, were negligible compared 384 to the high degree of bioerosion measured in the limestone blocks (Edinger et al., 2000; Schmidt and Richter, 2013). 385 In contrast, our limestone block assays captured a substantial net accretion rate, in particular for the offshore reef site in the central Red Sea (0.37 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> net accretion), indicating that accretion was substantial, while erosion 386 387 was negligible. The midshore reef was characterized by a near-neutral or minor net accretion (0.06 kg  $CaCO_3 m^{-2} y^{-1}$ ) 388 on the order of net accretion rates recorded in French Polynesia in reef sites of uninhabited, oceanic atolls (0.08 and 389  $0.62 \text{ kg CaCO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ; Pari et al., 1998). Notably, our study recorded a net-erosive state only in the Red Sea nearshore 390 site (-0.96 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>1</sup>, 30 months deployment). This is a moderate rate compared to the larger net erosion observed in the GBR, French Polynesia, and Thailand (-4 or -8 kg CaCO3 m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) (Osorno et al., 2005; Pari et al., 391 392 1998; Schmidt and Richter, 2013; Tribollet and Golubic, 2005).

- 393
- 394

#### 395 **4.1.2 Limestone block deployment duration and biotic drivers**

- Our data show that  $G_{net}$  values were overall higher with longer deployment times, reflecting the succession and early establishment of calcifying crust and bioeroding communities on the limestone blocks. Due to our sampling design (weight-based block assay), accretion and erosion processes however are simultaneously captured and cannot be disentangled. Overall, the block assay data are indicative of a calcifier-beneficial offshore environment and a nearshore
- 400 reef habitat that is supporting endolithic bioeroders.
- 401 Following other work, carbonate loss in the 12-month blocks from the nearshore site was supposedly due to a young microbioeroder community, which is typically most active during this early phase. For instance, during the early stages 402 403 of colonization by endolithic microorganisms, the chlorophyte Ostreobium sp. predominantly contributes to 404 microbioerosion, while the erosion rate steadily increases with deployment time (Grange et al., 2015; Tribollet and Golubic, 2011). Microbioerosion rates have been reported to be -0.93 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> after 12 months of block 405 exposure, which represents the average rate at the early colonization stage when the steadily increasing 406 407 microbioerosion rate has leveled off (Grange et al., 2015). This rate is slightly higher compared to our measurements of net erosion in the nearshore site after the same deployment time (i.e. -0.61 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), and the difference 408 409 may reflect measurements encompassing both, bioerosion and accretion.
- 410 Studies have shown that site differences in total bioerosion are typically becoming visible after 1 year of deployment
- and are significantly enhanced after 3 years (Tribollet and Golubic, 2005). In line with this, the deployment time of
- 412 12 months in our study was sufficient to reveal differences between the nearshore and offshore reef sites. Further,
- 413 calcifying crusts, specifically coralline algae, observed on all blocks from the offshore reef contributed to the
- 414 respective net accretion. This is corroborated by the positive correlation of their abundances with G<sub>net</sub> across all reef
- sites. Given that we could not identify coral recruits on any limestone block, we assume that contribution of corals to
- the measured accretion was minor. However, we acknowledge that we might have missed some that could be detected
- 417 by more sophisticated methods (e.g. such as microscopic examination).
- 418 Significant differences in accretion/erosion between all three sites of the cross-shelf gradient became apparent after 419 30 months deployment, and macroborer traces were observed in blocks for the first time (Fig. 2). Over the course of 420 2 - 3 years, macrobioeroders such as polychaetes, sipunculids, bivalves, and boring sponges can establish communities 421 in limestone blocks (Hutchings, 1986). Between the first two years, macrobioeroder contribution to the total bioerosion 422 can quadruple (0.02 - 0.09 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), before levelling off around 3 - 4 years post-deployment (Chazottes et
- 423 al., 1995).
- In our study, the increase of  $G_{net}$  between the 12- and 30-month deployment (~0.30 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> on average in
- 425 the nearshore and offshore site) indicates that calcifying and eroding communities were still in a state of succession.
- 426 As such, we cannot unequivocally rule out that the blocks deployed for 30 months still represented an immature
- 427 community, and hence, underestimated maximal calcification and erosion rates.
- 428 Correlation analyses indicate a significant contribution of parrotfish to the net erosion rates in the nearshore reef. This
- 429 observation is in line with previous work demonstrating a significant contribution of parrotfish activity to bioerosion
- 430 (Alwany et al., 2009; Bellwood, 1995; Bellwood et al., 2003). By comparison, sea urchin size and abundance do not
- 431 appear to be significant for bioerosion on the central Red Sea reefs. On other reefs, sea urchin bioerosion can be

432 substantial, equaling or even exceeding reef carbonate production (Bak, 1994). The low contribution of sea urchins to

- 433 bioerosion on central Red Sea reefs may be a result of potentially low abundances of highly erosive sea urchins
- 434 (McClanahan and Shafir, 1990). This is in line with the observed parrotfish bite-marks and a lack of sea urchins on
- and in the direct vicinity of the recovered blocks. Taken together, our data confirms that endolithic micro- and
- 436 macrobioerosion, as well as parrotfish feeding, likely provides a substantial contribution to calcium carbonate loss.
- 437

# 438 **4.2 Carbonate budgets** (G<sub>budget</sub>) in the central Red Sea

# 439 **4.2.1 Cross-shelf dynamics, regional and global context**

440 On an ecosystem scale, the G<sub>budget</sub> data suggest that the offshore reef site in the central Red Sea loses about 15 % 441 accreted carbonates to bioerosion per year. On the mid- and nearshore reef this loss increases to to 42 % and to over 442 100 %, respectively. By comparison, on the scale of a single coral colony, the boring clam Lithophaga lessepsiana 443 alone can erode up to 40 % of the carbonate deposited by the coral Stylophora pistillata (Lazar and Loya, 1991). In 444 our study sites, the spatial dynamics of the two metrics G<sub>net</sub> and the census-based G<sub>budget</sub>, were consistent and suggest 445 net erosion in nearshore reef sites and net accretion in offshore reef sites in the central Red Sea.-Reef growth at the central Red Sea cross-shelf gradient averaged  $0.66 \pm 2.01$  kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, which was driven by the substantial 446 447 budget of the offshore reef, reflecting the location and habitat dependence for reef growth potential. That the offshore 448 reef budget is essential to maintain the entire shelf budget has also has been observed on a reef platform in the 449 Maldives. In the respective study, reef accretion was minor and highly heterogeneous at most sites and only few reef 450 sites at the platform margin promoted substantial net accretion and thereby greatly contributed to the positive average

- 451 budget of the entire platform (Perry et al., 2017).
- 452 The here presented central Red Sea G<sub>budget</sub> data are within the range of contemporary reef carbonate budgets from the 453 Atlantic  $(2.55 \pm 3.83 \text{ kg CaCO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ y}^1)$  and Indian Ocean  $(1.41 \pm 3.02 \text{ kg CaCO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ y}^1)$  (Perry et al., 2018). Notably, these data are below the suggested "optimal reef budget" of 5 - 10 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> observed in "healthy", high coral 454 455 cover fore-reefs (see data in Perry et al., 2018 and comparisons therein; Vecsei, 2001, 2004). The decline in coral cover is likely central to the reduced carbonate budgets in contemporary reefs. For instance, the reefs investigated in 456 457 the present study do not exceed a coral cover of 40 % (as observed in the offshore study site). In comparison, the 458 dataset compiled by Vecsei 2001 encompasses hard coral cover of up to 80% for the Indo-Pacific and up to 95 % for 459 Pacific Islands. Further, the reduced contemporary carbonate budgets coincide with the observed decrease in calcification rates of Red Sea corals at large (Cantin et al., 2010; Steiner et al., 2018). As such, the effect of climate 460 461 change and the corresponding increase in seawater temperature may have severe consequences via overall decrease 462 in coral reef cover as well as via reduced calcification of the resident corals. Hence, although the present G<sub>budget</sub> data 463 still suggest effective barrier reef formation in the central Red Sea (substantial accretion on the offshore reef), 464 carbonate accretion rates and therefore reef formation in the central Red Sea may be hampered in the long run by the 465 ongoing warming.
- 466

#### 467 **4.2.2 Biotic drivers**

#### 468 *Regional differences*

Cross-shelf patterns of G<sub>budget</sub> drivers from the central Red Sea are distinct from other reef systems. The central Red 469 470 Sea system is characterized by a nearshore site with a negative  $G_{budget}$ , impacted by high parrotfish abundances and 471 erosion rates, low coral cover, and putatively considerable endolithic bioerosion rates (see discussion of  $G_{net}$  data). 472 Conversely, the offshore reef is characterized by high calcification rates, driven by high coral and coralline algae 473 abundances. In the GBR an opposing trend with high net accretion in the nearshore reefs (Browne et al., 2013) coincided with high coral cover, low bioerosion rates, and lowest rates of parrotfish bioerosion (Hoey and Bellwood, 474 2007; Tribollet et al., 2002). On Caribbean reefs, parrotfish erosion rates were higher on leeward reefs (which may be 475 476 similar to protected nearshore habitats), but in contrast to the central Red Sea, these sites were typically characterized 477 by overall high coral cover driving a positive G<sub>budget</sub> (Perry et al., 2012, 2014). This inter-regional comparison strongly suggests that reef accretion/erosion dynamics encountered in any given reef system cannot be readily extrapolated to 478 479 other reef systems. Hence, in situ assessments of individual reef systems are required to unravel local dynamics and 480 responses to environmental change, and are therefore imperative for the development of effective management 481 measures.

482

#### 483 The role of coral and coralline crusts

484 Benthic calcifiers, in particular reef-building corals, are major contributors to carbonate production and are considered the most influential drivers of G<sub>budgets</sub> globally (Franco et al., 2016). Corals in particular can contribute as much as 90 485 486 % to the gross carbonate production across different reef zones, which also includes low coral cover lagoonal and 487 rubble habitats (Perry et al., 2017). Hence, loss of coral cover rapidly gives way to increased bioerosion and thereby critically contributes to reef framework degradation (Perry and Morgan, 2017). Indeed, on Caribbean reefs, Gbudget 488 489 data were reported to shift into erosional states once live hard coral cover was below 10 % (Perry et al., 2013). A live 490 coral cover threshold remains to be determined for the central Red Sea and will require evaluation of a larger dataset. 491 Yet, we find that the nearshore reef featuring a negative  $G_{budget}$  is characterized by a coral cover of 11 %, while the 492 midshore and offshore reefs, characterized by near-neutral vs. positive carbonate budgets, both feature similar average 493 coral covers (at 35 and 40 %, respectively). In this respect, our data show that a 2-fold higher abundance of coralline 494 algae and other encrusting calcifiers in the offshore reef (compared to the midshore reef) significantly added to a 495 higher G<sub>budget</sub>. The positive contribution of coralline algae for central Red Sea reef accretion is corroborated by their strong and significant correlation to G<sub>budget</sub>. Coralline algae in particular are considered an important contributor to 496 497 reef growth, as they stabilize the reef framework through "cementation" (Perry et al., 2008) and by habitat priming 498 for successful coral recruitment (Heyward and Negri, 1999).

499

# 500 *Epilithic grazers*

501 Epilithic grazers such as parrotfish and sea urchin are considered important drivers of bioerosion on many reefs (Hoey

and Bellwood, 2007; Mokady et al., 1996; Pari et al., 1998; Reaka-Kudla et al., 1996). Sea urchins were identified as

significant bioeroders in some reefs of Réunion Island, French Polynesia, and in the GoA, northern Red Sea (Chazottes

et al., 1995, 2002; Mokady et al., 1996). For the northern Red Sea, sea urchins were abundant, and their removal of reef carbonates was estimated to range around 13 - 22 % of total reef slope calcification (Mokady et al., 1996). In contrast, sea urchins were rare in our study sites contributing to only 2 - 3 % of the total bioerosion resulting in low contributions to  $G_{budget}$ . Only on the net-erosive nearshore reef were sea urchins more abundant causing 12 % of total bioerosion.

509 Compared to sea urchins, parrotfish played a more important role for G<sub>budgets</sub> throughout the entire reef system, 510 contributing 70 - 96 % of the total bioerosion. In the correlation analyses, both grazers, i.e., sea urchins and parrotfish, 511 negatively correlated with  $G_{budget}$ , however these correlations were not very strong ( $\rho \sim -0.5$ ) and non-significant. The 512 weak correlation may be influenced by a considerable variability in the reef census dataset, specifically regarding 513 parrotfish abundances. Observer bias (parrotfish keep minimum distance from surveyors during dives and may 514 therefore not enter survey plots; pers. obs.), natural (e.g., species distribution, habitat preferences, reef rugosity, and 515 mobility or large roving excavating species, such as Bolbometopon muricatum), and/or anthropogenically-driven 516 factors (e.g., differential fishing pressure) may also contribute to the observed data heterogeneity (McClanahan, 1994; 517 McClanahan et al., 1994). Indeed, the Saudi Arabian central Red Sea has been subject to decade-long fishing pressure, 518 which has significantly altered reef fish community structures and reduced overall fish biomass compared to less 519 impacted Red Sea regions (Kattan et al., 2017). Unregulated fishing could at least in part explain the differences of 520 fish abundance dynamics between the present study and reefs on the GBR and the Caribbean. The heterogeneity of 521 grazer populations further propagates into  $G_{budeets}$  estimates, resulting in a considerable within-site variability that 522 reduces power of statistical tests and correlations.

# 523 **4.3 Abiotic factors and reef growth dynamics**

524 Reef habitats in the central Red Sea are characterized by abiotic factors that differ from the majority of tropical reef 525 environments (Couce et al., 2012; Kleypas et al., 1999). Our sites were exposed to high summer temperatures (30 -526 33 °C) and a high salinity throughout the year (39 - 40). Inorganic nutrients were mostly far below 1 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, whereas TA was comparably high,  $2400 - 2500 \mu mol kg^{-1}$ , values typical for much of the Red Sea basin (Acker et al., 527 528 2008; Steiner et al., 2014). As such, the Red Sea is considered a natural model system or "laboratory", which can 529 advance our understanding of ecosystem functioning under extreme or marginal conditions of which some are 530 projected under ocean change scenarios (Camp et al., 2018). The study of such natural systems is a challenge and the 531 documentation of governing factors both abiotic and biotic will contribute to a better understanding of the dynamics 532 and interactions, which can significantly improve ecosystem scale predictions (Boyd and Hutchins, 2012; Boyd and Brown, 2015; Camp et al., 2018). In the present study, reef framework decline (i.e., net erosion) was associated with 533 534 the reef habitat of slightly increased salinity and stronger diel pH fluctuations, which are characteristic for shallow 535 water, limited flow systems and semi-enclosed reefs (Camp et al., 2017; Shamberger et al., 2017), such as the here 536 investigated nearshore study site (Roik et al., 2016). On the other hand, positive reef growth was associated with reef 537 habitats characterized by higher TA levels, but also with slightly increased inorganic nutrient species, namely NO<sup>3-</sup> 538 &NO<sup>2-</sup> and  $PO_4^{3-}$ .

### 540 The nearshore site

541 The nearshore reef is located on the shelf, surrounded by shallow waters of extended residency time and has a lower

- 542 water exchange rate compared to the other two reef sites (Roik et al., 2016). Evaporation and limited flow, particularly
- 543 during summer, may increase salinity, which was overall higher at this reef site. However, the difference to the other
- sites was minuscule and unlikely to have affected calcifying (Röthig et al., 2016) and bioeroding biota. The variability
- 545 of diurnal pH on the other hand presumably has stronger impacts on the performance of calcifiers and bioeroders.
- 546 Previously, pH variability across a reef flat and slope were demonstrated to correlate with net accretion dynamics by
- showing higher net accretion prevailing in sites of less variable pH conditions (Price et al., 2012; Silbiger et al., 2014),
- 548 which reflects the pattern observe here.
- 549 The fluctuation in pH may (in part) represent a biotic feedback signature in reef habitats, which entails changes in sea 550 water chemistry caused by dominant biotic processes, i.e., calcification, carbonate dissolution, and respiration/photosynthesis (Bates et al., 2010; Silverman et al., 2007a; Zundelevich et al., 2007). Commonly, such pH 551 552 fluctuations are influenced by changes in carbonate system variables, e.g. DIC and TA (Shaw et al., 2012; Silbiger et 553 al., 2014), which can modify the antagonistic processes of calcification and bioerosion/dissolution (e.g., Andersson, 554 2015; Langdon et al., 2000; Tribollet et al., 2009). In particular, in our nearshore study site, where benthic macro 555 community abundance was low, biological activity in the sandy bottom (e.g., permeable carbonate sands) might be a 556 crucial factor contributing to the biotic feedback (Andersson, 2015; Cyronak et al., 2013; Eyre et al., 2018).
- 557

### 558 Total alkalinity and nutrients

559 The increase in TA is often associated with increased carbonate ion concentration and  $\Omega_a$ , which facilitate the 560 precipitation of carbonates supporting the performance of reef-builders (Albright et al., 2016, 2018; Langdon et al., 561 2000; Schneider and Erez, 2006; Silbiger et al., 2014). We identified a positive correlation of TA with reef growth in 562 our dataset. The difference in TA across our study sites was small, but in the range of natural cross-shelf differences reported from other reefs (e.g. reefs in Bermuda, 20 - 40 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, Bates et al., 2010), and as high as 50 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, 563 564 the TA enrichment that enhanced community net calcification in a reef-enclosed lagoon (Albright et al., 2016). On 565 the other hand, high calcification rates can deplete TA, whereas dissolution of carbonates can enrich TA measurably, 566 specifically in (semi) enclosed systems (Bates et al., 2010), which we do not observe along the cross shelf gradient. It 567 remains to be further investigated how TA dynamics across the shelf relate to reef growth processes.

568 Although increased nutrients are commonly linked to reef degradation initiated through phase shifts, increased 569 bioerosion rates, and/or the decline of calcifiers (Fabricius, 2011; Grand and Fabricius, 2010; Holmes, 2000), our 570 dataset suggests that a highly oligotrophic system such as the central Red Sea reefs may benefit from slight increases 571 of certain nutrient species. Specifically, natural minor increases of N and P might have a positive effect on ecosystem 572 productivity and functioning including carbonate budgets. A moderate natural source of nutrients, e.g., from sea bird 573 populations, can indeed have a positive effect on ecosystem functioning, in contrast to anthropogenic run-off (Graham 574 et al., 2018). Interestingly, our study also identified  $PO_4^{3-}$  concentration as an abiotic correlate of reef growth. In the 575 Red Sea, high N:P ratios indicate that P is a limiting micronutrient, e.g. for phytoplankton (Fahmy, 2003). PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> is not 576 only essential for pelagic primary producers, but also for reef calcifiers and their photosymbionts, such as the stony

- 577 corals and their micro-algal Symbiodiniaceae endosymbionts (Ferrier-Pagès et al., 2016; LaJeunesse et al., 2018).
- 578 Experimental studies have demonstrated that  $PO_4^{3-}$  provision can maintain the coral-algae symbiosis in reef-building
- 579 corals under heat stress (Ezzat et al., 2016). Conversely, P limitation can increase the stress susceptibility of this
- 580 symbiosis (Pogoreutz et al., 2017; Rädecker et al., 2015; Wiedenmann et al., 2013). In light of our results, it will be
- 581 of interest to link spatio-temporal variation of inorganic nutrient ratios with patterns of reef resilience in the central
- 582 Red Sea to understand their effects on long-term trends of reef growth.
- 583

#### 584 **4.4 Reef growth trajectories in the Red Sea**

585 Carbonate budgets provide an insight into ecosystem functioning and can be used as a powerful tool to track reef 586 trajectories through time. This includes the exploration of past and current reef trends, which may be critical for 587 prediction of future reef development (Januchowski-Hartley et al., 2017). Indeed, the absence of comparative baseline 588 data limits a historical perspective on the central Red Sea G<sub>budget</sub> presented here. Previously reported Red Sea data include pelagic and reefal carbonate accretion rates from 1998, estimated using basin-scale historical measurements 589 590 of TA (Steiner et al., 2014). Another dataset employed the census-based budget approach for a highly seasonal highlatitude fringing reef in the GoA from 1994 - 1996 (Dullo et al., 1996), which is methodologically similar to the 591 592 ReefBudget approach. Both reef growth estimates provide similar rates: The TA-based reef accretion estimate from 1998 was 0.9 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and the GoA fringing reef budget from 1994 - 1996 ranged between 0.7 and 0.9 kg 593 CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, the gross calcification rate of the offshore benthic communities (G<sub>benthos</sub>) compares well 594 with the maxima measured in the GoA reefs in 1994 (i.e., 2.7 kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) (Heiss, 1995). The G<sub>budgets</sub> assessed 595 596 in the present study are in accordance with these data, indicating stable reef growth rates in the Red Sea basin in the 597 recent 20 years, despite the ongoing warming trend and observed impairment in coral calcification in a coral species (Cantin et al., 2010; Raitsos et al., 2011). Yet, data are limited and comparisons between the central Red Sea and the 598 599 GoA should be interpreted with great caution. Due to the strong latitudinal gradient of temperature and salinity along 600 with differences in seasonality between the central Red Sea and the GoA, reef growth dynamics from the two regions 601 may fundamentally differ. Hence, far larger (and ideally cross-latitude) datasets will be needed to determine more 602 accurately whether a declining calcification capacity of Red Sea corals has already become a basin-scale phenomenon 603 and whether there are species-specific differences. In this study we have demonstrated that offshore reefs in the central 604 Red Sea still maintain a positive carbonate budget, yet can be considered 'underperforming' below "optimal reefal 605 production" (Vecsei, 2004). In the context of reef growth trajectories, the data presented in this study should serve as 606 a valuable contemporary baseline for comparative future studies in the central Red Sea. Importantly, these data were 607 collected before the Third Global Bleaching Event, which impacted the region during summers 2015 and 2016 608 (Monroe et al., 2018). The present effort therefore will be of great value when assessing potential (long-term) changes 609 of Red Sea G<sub>budgets</sub> following this substantial disturbance.

# 610 5 Conclusions

611 The Red Sea is a geographic region where coral reefs exist in a naturally high temperature and high salinity environment. Baseline data for reef growth from this region are scarce and particularly valuable as they provide insight 612 613 into reef functioning under environmental conditions that deviate from the global average for coral reefs. As such, 614 they can provide a potential outlook to future ocean scenarios. Overall, we found net erosion in a near shore reef site, 615 about neutral growth in a midshore reef site, and net accretion in an offshore reef site. A comparison of central Red 616 Sea reef growth dynamics to other major reef systems revealed important differences and argue for *in situ* studies in 617 underexplored major reef regions. For instance, our study highlights the importance of coralline algae as a reefbuilding agent and shows that the erosive forces in the Red Sea are not as pronounced (yet) as observed elsewhere. 618 619 Reef growth on Red Sea offshore reefs is comparable to the majority of reef growth estimates from other geographic regions, which today perform well below what has been considered a 'healthy reef' carbonate budget. A first 620 621 comparison with data from recent years suggests that reef growth rates in the central Red Sea have not decreased 622 substantially over the last two decades, despite potential negative effects of the ongoing warming trend. The absence of comparative long-term data from the region hampers long-term predictions. We therefore advocate additional 623 624 research to better inform past and future trajectories of reef growth dynamics under consideration of the challenging 625 and unique environmental settings of the Red Sea.

626

#### 627 Acknowledgements

628 We thank the Coastal and Marine Resources Lab (CMOR) at King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

629 (KAUST) for logistics and operations at sea (E. Al-Jahdali, A. Al-Jahdali, G. Al-Jahdali, R. Al-Jahdali, H. Al-Jahdali,

630 F. Mallon, P. Müller, and D. Pallett), as well as for the assistance with the deployment of oceanographic instruments

631 (L. Smith, M.D. Pantalita, and S. Mahmoud). We would like to acknowledge field assistance by C. Roder and C.

632 Walcher in setting up the monitoring sites. We thank M. Khalil for providing a map of the study sites. We thank the

633 comments and suggestion from two anonymous reviewers and S. Comeau (Laboratoire d'Océanographie de

- 634 Villefranche) for his critical comments and helpful suggestions to improve the manuscript. Research reported in this
- 635 publication was supported by funding to CRV from KAUST.
- 636

# 637 Data availability.

All data are provided in the manuscript and supplement. In addition, physicochemical datasets, reef census, and limestone block assay raw data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository doi:10.5061/dryad.19kd421.

640 The Supplementary material related to this article is available online (*will be included by Copernicus*)

# 641 Author contribution

- 642 Resources: CRV
- 643 Project administration: CRV
- 644 Conceptualization: AR
- 645 Investigation: AR TR CP

- 646 Methodology: AR TR CP
- 647 Formal analysis: AR CP VS
- 648 Validation: AR CP TR VS CRV
- 649 Visualization: AR
- 650 Funding acquisition: CRV
- 651 Writing original draft: AR
- 652 Writing review & editing: CRV TR CP VS AR
- 653 Data curation: AR
- 654
- 655 **Competing interests**
- The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 657

## 658 **References**

Acker, J., Leptoukh, G., Shen, S., Zhu, T. and Kempler, S.: Remotely-sensed chlorophyll a observations of the
northern Red Sea indicate seasonal variability and influence of coastal reefs, J. Mar. Syst., 69(3), 191–204,
doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.12.006, 2008.

Albright, R., Caldeira, L., Hosfelt, J., Kwiatkowski, L., Maclaren, J. K., Mason, B. M., Nebuchina, Y., Ninokawa, A.,
Pongratz, J., Ricke, K. L., Rivlin, T., Schneider, K., Sesboüé, M., Shamberger, K., Silverman, J., Wolfe, K., Zhu, K.
and Caldeira, K.: Reversal of ocean acidification enhances net coral reef calcification, Nature, advance online
publication, doi:10.1038/nature17155, 2016.

Albright, R., Takeshita, Y., Koweek, D. A., Ninokawa, A., Wolfe, K., Rivlin, T., Nebuchina, Y., Young, J. and
Caldeira, K.: Carbon dioxide addition to coral reef waters suppresses net community calcification, Nature, 555(7697),
516–519, doi:10.1038/nature25968, 2018.

- Alwany, M. A., Thaler, E. and Stachowitsch, M.: Parrotfish bioerosion on Egyptian Red Sea reefs, J. Exp. Mar. Biol.
  Ecol., 371(2), 170–176, doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2009.01.019, 2009.
- Anderson, M. J., Gorley, R. N. and Clarke, K. R.: PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to software and statistical methods, 2008.
- Andersson, A. J.: A Fundamental Paradigm for Coral Reef Carbonate Sediment Dissolution, Coral Reef Res., 2, 52,
  doi:10.3389/fmars.2015.00052, 2015.
- Bak, R. P. M.: Sea urchin bioerosion on coral reefs: place in the carbonate budget and relevant variables, Coral Reefs,
  13(2), 99–103, doi:10.1007/BF00300768, 1994.
- Bak, R. P. M., Nieuwland, G. and Meesters, E. H.: Coral Growth Rates Revisited after 31 Years: What is Causing
  Lower Extension Rates in *Acropora Palmata*?, Bull. Mar. Sci., 84(3), 287–294, 2009.

Bannerot, S. P. and Bohnsack, J. A.: A stationary visual census technique for quantitatively assessing community
 structure of coral reef fishes, NOAA. [online] Available from: http://core.kmi.open.ac.uk/download/pdf/11018492.pdf
 (Accessed 1 September 2014), 1986.

- Bates, N. R., Amat, A. and Andersson, A. J.: Feedbacks and responses of coral calcification on the Bermuda reef
  system to seasonal changes in biological processes and ocean acidification, Biogeosciences, 7(8), 2509–2530,
  doi:10.5194/bg-7-2509-2010, 2010.
- Bellwood, D. R.: Direct estimate of bioerosion by two parrotfish species, *Chlorurus gibbus* and *C. sordidus*, on the
  Great Barrier Reef, Australia, Mar. Biol., 121(3), 419–429, doi:10.1007/BF00349451, 1995.
- Bellwood, D. R., Hoey, A. S. and Choat, J. H.: Limited functional redundancy in high diversity systems: resilience
  and ecosystem function on coral reefs, Ecol. Lett., 6(4), 281–285, 2003.
- Boyd, P. and Hutchins, D.: Understanding the responses of ocean biota to a complex matrix of cumulative anthropogenic change, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 470, 125–135, doi:10.3354/meps10121, 2012.
- Boyd, P. W. and Brown, C. J.: Modes of interactions between environmental drivers and marine biota, Front. Mar.
  Sci., 2, doi:10.3389/fmars.2015.00009, 2015.
- Browne, N. K., Smithers, S. G. and Perry, C. T.: Carbonate and terrigenous sediment budgets for two inshore turbid reefs on the central Great Barrier Reef, Mar. Geol., 346, 101–123, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2013.08.011, 2013.

- Bruggemann, J., van Kessel, A., van Rooij, J. and Breeman, A.: Bioerosion and sediment ingestion by the Caribbean
- 696 partotfish *Scarus vetula* and *Sparisoma viride*: implications of fish size, feeding mode and habitat use, Mar. Ecol.
- 697 Prog. Ser., 134, 59–71, doi:10.3354/meps134059, 1996.
- Buddemeier, R. W.: Symbiosis: Making light work of adaptation, Nature, 388(6639), 229–230, doi:10.1038/40755,
  1997.
- Cai, W.-J., Ma, Y., Hopkinson, B. M., Grottoli, A. G., Warner, M. E., Ding, Q., Hu, X., Yuan, X., Schoepf, V., Xu,
  H., Han, C., Melman, T. F., Hoadley, K. D., Pettay, D. T., Matsui, Y., Baumann, J. H., Levas, S., Ying, Y. and Wang,
  Y.: Microelectrode characterization of coral daytime interior pH and carbonate chemistry, Nat. Commun., 7, 11144,
  doi:10.1038/ncomms11144, 2016.
- Camp, E. F., Nitschke, M. R., Rodolfo-Metalpa, R., Houlbreque, F., Gardner, S. G., Smith, D. J., Zampighi, M. and
  Suggett, D. J.: Reef-building corals thrive within hot-acidified and deoxygenated waters, Sci. Rep., 7(1), 2434,
  doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02383-y, 2017.
- Camp, E. F., Schoepf, V., Mumby, P. J., Hardtke, L. A., Rodolfo-Metalpa, R., Smith, D. J. and Suggett, D. J.: The
  Future of Coral Reefs Subject to Rapid Climate Change: Lessons from Natural Extreme Environments, Front. Mar.
  Sci., 5, doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00004, 2018.
- Cantin, N. E., Cohen, A. L., Karnauskas, K. B., Tarrant, A. M. and McCorkle, D. C.: Ocean Warming Slows Coral
  Growth in the Central Red Sea, Science, 329(5989), 322–325, doi:10.1126/science.1190182, 2010.
- Carricart-Ganivet, J. P., Cabanillas-Terán, N., Cruz-Ortega, I. and Blanchon, P.: Sensitivity of Calcification to
   Thermal Stress Varies among Genera of Massive Reef-Building Corals, PLoS ONE, 7(3), e32859,
   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032859, 2012.
- Chazottes, V., Campion-Alsumard, T. L. and Peyrot-Clausade, M.: Bioerosion rates on coral reefs: interactions
   between macroborers, microborers and grazers (Moorea, French Polynesia), Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.,
   113(2–4), 189–198, doi:10.1016/0031-0182(95)00043-L, 1995.
- Chazottes, V., Le Campion-Alsumard, T., Peyrot-Clausade, M. and Cuet, P.: The effects of eutrophication-related
   alterations to coral reef communities on agents and rates of bioerosion (Reunion Island, Indian Ocean), Coral Reefs,
   21(4), 375–390, 2002.
- Cohen, A. L. and Holcomb, M.: Why corals care about ocean acidification: uncovering the mechanism, Oceanography,
   (22), 118–127, 2009.
- Cooper, T. F., Death, G., Fabricius, K. E. and Lough, J. M.: Declining coral calcification in massive *Porites* in two
   nearshore regions of the northern Great Barrier Reef, Glob. Change Biol., 14(3), 529–538, doi:10.1111/j.1365 2486.2007.01520.x, 2008.
- Couce, E., Ridgwell, A. and Hendy, E. J.: Environmental controls on the global distribution of shallow-water coral reefs, J. Biogeogr., 39(8), 1508–1523, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2012.02706.x, 2012.
- Cyronak, T., Santos, I. R., McMahon, A. and Eyre, B. D.: Carbon cycling hysteresis in permeable carbonate sands
  over a diel cycle: Implications for ocean acidification, Limnol. Oceanogr., 58(1), 131–143,
  doi:10.4319/lo.2013.58.1.0131, 2013.
- Death, G., Lough, J. M. and Fabricius, K. E.: Declining Coral Calcification on the Great Barrier Reef, Science,
   323(5910), 116–119, doi:10.1126/science.1165283, 2009.
- Dullo, P. D. W.-C., Gektidis, D. M., Golubic, P. D. S., Heiss, D. G. A., Kampmann, D. B. H., Kiene, D. W., Kroll, D.
  Ö. D. K., Kuhrau, D. B. M. L., Radtke, D. G., Reijmer, D. J. G., Reinicke, D. G. B., Schlichter, P. D. D., Schuhmacher,

- P. D. H. and Vogel, K.: Factors controlling holocene reef growth: An interdisciplinary approach, Facies, 32(1), 145–
  188, doi:10.1007/BF02536867, 1995.
- Dullo, W.-C., Reijmer, J., Schuhmacher, H., Eisenhauer, A., Hassan, M. and Heiss, G.: Holocene reef growth and 737 738 recent production carbonate in the Red Sea. [online] Available from: 739 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230751439\_Holocene\_reef\_growth\_and\_recent\_carbonate\_production\_in 740 the Red Sea, 1996.
- Eakin, C. M.: A tale of two Enso Events: carbonate budgets and the influence of two warming disturbances and intervening variability, Uva Island, Panama, Bull. Mar. Sci., 69(1), 171–186, 2001.
- Edinger, E. N., Limmon, G. V., Jompa, J., Widjatmoko, W., Heikoop, J. M. and Risk, M. J.: Normal coral growth rates on dying reefs: Are coral growth rates good indicators of reef health?, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 40(5), 404–425, 2000.
- Enochs, I. C.: Ocean acidification enhances the bioerosion of a common coral reef sponge: implications for the persistence of the Florida Reef Tract, Bull. Mar. Sci., 91, 271–290, doi:10.5343/bms.2014.1045, 2015.
- Eyre, B. D., Cyronak, T., Drupp, P., Carlo, E. H. D., Sachs, J. P. and Andersson, A. J.: Coral reefs will transition to
  net dissolving before end of century, Science, 359(6378), 908–911, doi:10.1126/science.aa01118, 2018.
- Ezzat, L., Maguer, J.-F., Grover, R. and Ferrier-Pagès, C.: Limited phosphorus availability is the Achilles heel of
   tropical reef corals in a warming ocean, Sci. Rep., 6, 31768, doi:10.1038/srep31768, 2016.
- 751 Fabricius, K. E.: Factors Determining the Resilience of Coral Reefs to Eutrophication: A Review and Conceptual
- Model, in Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition, edited by Z. Dubinsky and N. Stambler, pp. 493–505, Springer
  Netherlands, Dordrecht. [online] Available from: http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/978-94-007-01144 28 (Accessed 8 May 2012), 2011.
- Fahmy, M.: Water quality in the Red Sea coastal waters (Egypt): Analysis of spatial and temporal variability, Chem.
  Ecol., 19(1), 67–77, doi:10.1080/0275754031000087074, 2003.
- Fang, J. K. H., Mello-Athayde, M. A., Schönberg, C. H. L., Kline, D. I., Hoegh-Guldberg, O. and Dove, S.: Sponge
  biomass and bioerosion rates increase under ocean warming and acidification, Glob. Change Biol., 19(12), 3581–
  3591, doi:10.1111/gcb.12334, 2013.
- Ferrier-Pagès, C., Godinot, C., D'Angelo, C., Wiedenmann, J. and Grover, R.: Phosphorus metabolism of reef organisms with algal symbionts, Ecol. Monogr., 86(3), 262–277, doi:10.1002/ecm.1217, 2016.
- Franco, C., Hepburn, L. A., Smith, D. J., Nimrod, S. and Tucker, A.: A Bayesian Belief Network to assess rate of changes in coral reef ecosystems, Environ. Model. Softw., 80, 132–142, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.02.029, 2016.
- Glynn, P. W.: Bioerosion and coral-reef growth: a dynamic balance, in Life and Death of Coral Reefs, edited by C.
  Birkeland, pp. 68–94, Chapman and Hall, Ney York, USA., 1997.
- Glynn, P. W. and Manzello, D. P.: Bioerosion and Coral Reef Growth: A Dynamic Balance, in Coral Reefs in the
   Anthropocene, edited by C. Birkeland, pp. 67–97, Springer Netherlands., 2015.
- 768 Graham, N. A. J., Wilson, S. K., Carr, P., Hoey, A. S., Jennings, S. and MacNeil, M. A.: Seabirds enhance coral reef
- productivity and functioning in the absence of invasive rats, Nature, 559(7713), 250–253, doi:10.1038/s41586-018 0202-3, 2018.
- 771 Grand, H. M. L. and Fabricius, K. E.: Relationship of internal macrobioeroder densities in living massive *Porites* to
- turbidity and chlorophyll on the Australian Great Barrier Reef, Coral Reefs, 30(1), 97–107, doi:10.1007/s00338-010 0670-x, 2010.

Grange, J. S., Rybarczyk, H. and Tribollet, A.: The three steps of the carbonate biogenic dissolution process by 774 775 microborers in coral reefs (New Caledonia), Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., doi:10.1007/s11356-014-4069-z, 2015.

776 Green, A. L. and Bellwood, D. R.: Monitoring functional groups of herbivorous reef fishes as indicators of coral reef 777 resilience: a practical guide for coral reef managers in the Asia Pacific region, International Union for Conservation 778 Switzerland. Available of Nature, IUCN. Gland, [online] from: 779 ftp://ftp.library.noaa.gov/noaa documents.lib/CoRIS/IUCN herbivorous reef-fishes 2009.pdf (Accessed 30 780 September 2017), 2009.

- 781 Heiss, G. A.: Carbonate production by scleractinian corals at Aqaba, Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea, Facies, 33(1), 19–34, 782 doi:10.1007/BF02537443, 1995.
- 783 Heyward, A. J. and Negri, A. P.: Natural inducers for coral larval metamorphosis, Coral Reefs, 18(3), 273–279, 1999.
- 784 Hoey, A. S. and Bellwood, D. R.: Cross-shelf variation in the role of parrotfishes on the Great Barrier Reef, Coral 785 Reefs, 27(1), 37-47, doi:10.1007/s00338-007-0287-x, 2007.
- 786 Holmes, K. E.: Effects of eutrophication on bioeroding sponge communities with the description of new West Indian 787 sponges, *Cliona* spp. (Porifera: Hadromerida: Clionidae), Invertebr. Biol., 119(2), 125–138, doi:10.1111/i.1744-788 7410.2000.tb00001.x, 2000.
- 789 Hutchings, P. A.: Biological destruction of coral reefs, Coral Reefs, 4(4), 239–252, doi:10.1007/BF00298083, 1986.
- 790 Januchowski-Hartley, F. A., Graham, N. A. J., Wilson, S. K., Jennings, S. and Perry, C. T.: Drivers and predictions 791 of coral reef carbonate budget trajectories, Proc R Soc B, 284(1847), 20162533, doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2533, 2017.
- 792 Jokiel, P. L. and Coles, S. L.: Response of Hawaiian and other Indo-Pacific reef corals to elevated temperature, Coral 793 Reefs, 8(4), 155-162, doi:10.1007/BF00265006, 1990.
- 794 Jones, N. S., Ridgwell, A. and Hendy, E. J.: Evaluation of coral reef carbonate production models at a global scale, 795 Biogeosciences, 12(5), 1339-1356, doi:10.5194/bg-12-1339-2015, 2015.
- 796 Kattan, A., Coker, D. J. and Berumen, M. L.: Reef fish communities in the central Red Sea show evidence of 797 asymmetrical fishing pressure, Mar. Biodivers., 1-12, doi:10.1007/s12526-017-0665-8, 2017.
- 798 Kennedy, E. V., Perry, C. T., Halloran, P. R., Iglesias-Prieto, R., Schönberg, C. H. L., Wisshak, M., Form, A. U., 799 Carricart-Ganivet, J. P., Fine, M., Eakin, C. M. and Mumby, P. J.: Avoiding Coral Reef Functional Collapse Requires
- 800 Local and Global Action, Curr. Biol., 23(10), 912–918, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.020, 2013.
- 801 Kiene, W. E. and Hutchings, P. A.: Bioerosion experiments at Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef, Coral Reefs, 13(2), 802 91-98, 1994.
- 803 Kleemann, K.: The Pectinid Bivalve Pedum spondyloideum (Gmelin 1791): Amount of Surface and Volume Occupied in Host Corals From the Red Sea, Mar. Ecol., 22(1-2), 111-133, doi:10.1046/j.1439-0485.2001.01749.x, 2001. 804
- Kleypas, J., Buddemeier, R. and Gattuso, J.-P.: The future of coral reefs in an age of global change, Int. J. Earth Sci., 805 806 90(2), 426-437, doi:10.1007/s005310000125, 2001.
- 807 Kleypas, J. A., McManus, J. W. and Menez, L. A. B.: Environmental Limits to Coral Reef Development: Where Do We Draw the Line?, Am. Zool., 39, 146–159, 1999. 808
- LaJeunesse, T. C., Parkinson, J. E., Gabrielson, P. W., Jeong, H. J., Reimer, J. D., Voolstra, C. R. and Santos, S. R.: 809
- 810 Systematic Revision of Symbiodiniaceae Highlights the Antiquity and Diversity of Coral Endosymbionts, Curr. Biol., 28(16), 2570-2580.e6, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.008, 2018.
- 811

- 812 Langdon, C., Takahashi, T., Sweeney, C., Chipman, D., Goddard, J., Marubini, F., Aceves, H., Barnett, H. and
- 813 Atkinson, M. J.: Effect of calcium carbonate saturation state on the calcification rate of an experimental coral reef,
- 814 Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 14(2), 639–654, doi:10.1029/1999GB001195, 2000.
- Lazar, B. and Loya, Y.: Bioerosion of coral reefs A chemical approach, Limnol. Oceanogr., 36, 377–383, 1991.
- Manzello, D. P.: Ocean acidification hotspots: Spatiotemporal dynamics of the seawater CO2 system of eastern Pacific
   coral reefs, Limnol. Oceanogr., 55(1), 239–248, doi:10.4319/lo.2010.55.1.0239, 2010.
- Marshall, A. T. and Clode, P.: Calcification rate and the effect of temperature in a zooxanthellate and an azooxanthellate scleractinian reef coral, Coral Reefs, 23(2), 218–224, doi:10.1007/s00338-004-0369-y, 2004.
- Marubini, F., Ferrier-Pagès, C., Furla, P. and Allemand, D.: Coral calcification responds to seawater acidification: a
  working hypothesis towards a physiological mechanism, Coral Reefs, 27(3), 491–499, doi:10.1007/s00338-008-03756, 2008.
- McClanahan, T. R.: Kenyan coral reef lagoon fish: effects of fishing, substrate complexity, and sea urchins, Coral Reefs, 13(4), 231–241, doi:10.1007/BF00303637, 1994.
- McClanahan, T. R. and Shafir, S. H.: Causes and consequences of sea urchin abundance and diversity in Kenyan coral
   reef lagoons, Oecologia, 83(3), 362–370, doi:10.1007/BF00317561, 1990.
- McClanahan, T. R., Nugues, M. and Mwachireya, S.: Fish and sea urchin herbivory and competition in Kenyan coral
  reef lagoons: the role of reef management, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 184(2), 237–254, doi:10.1016/00220981(94)90007-8, 1994.
- Metzl, N., Moore, B., Papaud, A. and Poisson, A.: Transport and carbon exchanges in Red Sea Inverse Methodology,
  Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 3(1), 1–26, doi:10.1029/GB003i001p00001, 1989.
- Moberg, F. and Folke, C.: Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems, Ecol. Econ., 29, 215–233, 1999.
- Mokady, O., Lazar, B. and Loya, Y.: Echinoid bioerosion as a major structuring force of Red Sea coral reefs, Biol.
  Bull., 190(3), 367–372, 1996.
- Monroe, A. A., Ziegler, M., Roik, A., Röthig, T., Hardenstine, R. S., Emms, M. A., Jensen, T., Voolstra, C. R. and Berumen, M. L.: *In situ* observations of coral bleaching in the central Saudi Arabian Red Sea during the 2015/2016
- global coral bleaching event, PLoS ONE, 13(4), e0195814, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195814, 2018.
- Orr, J. C., Fabry, V. J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S. C., Feely, R. A., Gnanadesikan, A., Gruber, N., Ishida, A.,
  Joos, F., Key, R. M., Lindsay, K., Maier-Reimer, E., Matear, R., Monfray, P., Mouchet, A., Najjar, R. G., Plattner,
  G.-K., Rodgers, K. B., Sabine, C. L., Sarmiento, J. L., Schlitzer, R., Slater, R. D., Totterdell, I. J., Weirig, M.-F.,
- 841 Yamanaka, Y. and Yool, A.: Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on 842 solvifiing organisms Nature 427(7050) 681 686 doi:10.1028/nature04005.2005
- calcifying organisms, Nature, 437(7059), 681–686, doi:10.1038/nature04095, 2005.
- 843 Osman, E. O., Smith, D. J., Ziegler, M., Kürten, B., Conrad, C., El-Haddad, K. M., Voolstra, C. R. and Suggett, D. J.:
- 844 Thermal refugia against coral bleaching throughout the northern Red Sea, Glob. Change Biol., 24(2), e474–e484, 845 doi:10.1111/gcb.13895, 2018.
  - 846 Osorno, A., Peyrot-Clausade, M. and Hutchings, P. A.: Patterns and rates of erosion in dead *Porites* across the Great 847 Barrier Reef (Australia) after 2 years and 4 years of exposure, Coral Reefs, 24(2), 292–303, doi:10.1007/s00338-005-
  - 848 0478-2, 2005.
  - 849 Pari, N., Peyrot-Clausade, M., Le Champion-Alsumard, T., Hutchings, P., Chazottes, V., Gobulic, S., Le Champion,
  - J. and Fontaine, M. F.: Bioerosion of experimental substrates on high islands and on atoll lagoons (French Polynesia)
  - after two years of exposure, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 166, 119–130, 1998.

- 852 Perry, C., Edinger, E., Kench, P., Murphy, G., Smithers, S., Steneck, R. and Mumby, P.: Estimating rates of
- biologically driven coral reef framework production and erosion: a new census-based carbonate budget methodology
   and applications to the reefs of Bonaire, Coral Reefs, 31(3), 853–868, doi:10.1007/s00338-012-0901-4, 2012.
- Perry, C. T. and Morgan, K. M.: Bleaching drives collapse in reef carbonate budgets and reef growth potential on southern Maldives reefs, Sci. Rep., 7, 40581, doi:10.1038/srep40581, 2017.
- Perry, C. T., Spencer, T. and Kench, P. S.: Carbonate budgets and reef production states: a geomorphic perspective on the ecological phase-shift concept, Coral Reefs, 27(4), 853–866, doi:10.1007/s00338-008-0418-z, 2008.
- Perry, C. T., Murphy, G. N., Kench, P. S., Smithers, S. G., Edinger, E. N., Steneck, R. S. and Mumby, P. J.: Caribbeanwide decline in carbonate production threatens coral reef growth, Nat. Commun., 4, 1402, doi:10.1038/ncomms2409,
  2013.
- Perry, C. T., Murphy, G. N., Kench, P. S., Edinger, E. N., Smithers, S. G., Steneck, R. S. and Mumby, P. J.: Changing dynamics of Caribbean reef carbonate budgets: emergence of reef bioeroders as critical controls on present and future
- reef growth potential, Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci., 281(1796), 20142018–20142018, doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.2018, 2014.
- Perry, C. T., Murphy, G. N., Graham, N. A. J., Wilson, S. K., Januchowski-Hartley, F. A. and East, H. K.: Remote
  coral reefs can sustain high growth potential and may match future sea-level trends, Sci. Rep., 5, 18289,
  doi:10.1038/srep18289, 2015.
- Perry, C. T., Morgan, K. M. and Yarlett, R. T.: Reef Habitat Type and Spatial Extent as Interacting Controls on
  Platform-Scale Carbonate Budgets, Front. Mar. Sci., 4, doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00185, 2017.
- 870 Perry, C. T., Alvarez-Filip, L., Graham, N. A. J., Mumby, P. J., Wilson, S. K., Kench, P. S., Manzello, D. P., Morgan,
- K. M., Slangen, A. B. A., Thomson, D. P., Januchowski-Hartley, F., Smithers, S. G., Steneck, R. S., Carlton, R.,
- 872 Edinger, E. N., Enochs, I. C., Estrada-Saldívar, N., Haywood, M. D. E., Kolodziej, G., Murphy, G. N., Pérez-
- 873 Cervantes, E., Suchley, A., Valentino, L., Boenish, R., Wilson, M. and Macdonald, C.: Loss of coral reef growth
- capacity to track future increases in sea level, Nature, 1, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0194-z, 2018.
- Pisapia, C., Burn, D., Yoosuf, R., Najeeb, A., Anderson, K. D. and Pratchett, M. S.: Coral recovery in the central
  Maldives archipelago since the last major mass-bleaching, in 1998, Sci. Rep., 6, 34720, doi:10.1038/srep34720, 2016.
- Pogoreutz, C., Rädecker, N., Cárdenas, A., Gärdes, A., Voolstra, C. R. and Wild, C.: Sugar enrichment provides
  evidence for a role of nitrogen fixation in coral bleaching, Glob. Change Biol., 8, 23:3838–3848,
  doi:10.1111/gcb.13695, 2017.
- Price, N. N., Martz, T. R., Brainard, R. E. and Smith, J. E.: Diel Variability in Seawater pH Relates to Calcification
  and Benthic Community Structure on Coral Reefs, PLoS ONE, 7(8), e43843, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043843,
  2012.
- R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
  Vienna, Austria. [online] Available from: http://www.R-project.org/, 2013.
- Rädecker, N., Pogoreutz, C., Voolstra, C. R., Wiedenmann, J. and Wild, C.: Nitrogen cycling in corals: the key to
   understanding holobiont functioning?, Trends Microbiol., doi:10.1016/j.tim.2015.03.008, 2015.
- Raitsos, D. E., Hoteit, I., Prihartato, P. K., Chronis, T., Triantafyllou, G. and Abualnaja, Y.: Abrupt warming of the
  Red Sea, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(14), L14601, doi:10.1029/2011GL047984, 2011.
- Reaka-Kudla, M., Feingold, J. and Glynn, W.: Experimental studies of rapid bioerosion of coral reefs in the Galapagos
  Islands, Coral Reefs, 15(2), 101–107, 1996.

- 891 Reaka-Kudla, M. L.: The Global Biodiversity of Coral Reefs: A Comparison with Rainforests, in Biodiversity II:
- 892 Understanding and Protecting Our Biological Resources, edited by M. L. Reaka-Kudla, D. E. Wilson, and E. O.
- Wilson, pp. 83–106, The Joseph Henry Press, USA., 1997.
- Riegl, B.: Climate change and coral reefs: different effects in two high-latitude areas (Arabian Gulf, South Africa),
  Coral Reefs, 22(4), 433–446, doi:10.1007/s00338-003-0335-0, 2003.
- Riegl, B. M., Bruckner, A. W., Rowlands, G. P., Purkis, S. J. and Renaud, P.: Red Sea Coral Reef Trajectories over 2
  Decades Suggest Increasing Community Homogenization and Decline in Coral Size, PLoS ONE, 7(5), e38396,
  doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038396, 2012.
- Roik, A., Roder, C., Röthig, T. and Voolstra, C. R.: Spatial and seasonal reef calcification in corals and calcareous
   crusts in the central Red Sea, Coral Reefs, 1–13, doi:10.1007/s00338-015-1383-y, 2015.
- Roik, A., Röthig, T., Roder, C., Ziegler, M., Kremb, S. G. and Voolstra, C. R.: Year-Long Monitoring of PhysicoChemical and Biological Variables Provide a Comparative Baseline of Coral Reef Functioning in the Central Red Sea,
  PLOS ONE, 11(11), e0163939, doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0163939, 2016.
- Röthig, T., Ochsenkühn, M. A., Roik, A., van der Merwe, R. and Voolstra, C. R.: Long-term salinity tolerance is
  accompanied by major restructuring of the coral bacterial microbiome, Mol. Ecol., 25(6), 1308–1323,
  doi:10.1111/mec.13567, 2016.
- Sawall, Y. and Al-Sofyani, A.: Biology of Red Sea Corals: Metabolism, Reproduction, Acclimatization, and
  Adaptation, in The Red Sea, edited by N. M. A. Rasul and I. C. F. Stewart, pp. 487–509, Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
  [online] Available from: http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-662-45201-1\_28 (Accessed 7 April 2015),
  2015.
- Sawall, Y., Al-Sofyani, A., Hohn, S., Banguera-Hinestroza, E., Voolstra, C. R. and Wahl, M.: Extensive phenotypic
  plasticity of a Red Sea coral over a strong latitudinal temperature gradient suggests limited acclimatization potential
  to warming, Sci. Rep., 5, 8940, doi:10.1038/srep08940, 2015.
- Schmidt, G. M. and Richter, C.: Coral Growth and Bioerosion of *Porites lutea* in Response to Large Amplitude
   Internal Waves, PLoS ONE, 8(12), e73236, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073236, 2013.
- Schneider, K. and Erez, J.: The effect of carbonate chemistry on calcification and photosynthesis in the hermatypic
   coral *Acropora eurystoma*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51(3), 1284–1293, 2006.
- Schönberg, C. H. L., Fang, J. K. H., Carreiro-Silva, M., Tribollet, A. and Wisshak, M.: Bioerosion: the other ocean
  acidification problem, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 74(4), 895–925, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw254, 2017.
- Schuhmacher, H., Loch, K., Loch, W. and See, W. R.: The aftermath of coral bleaching on a Maldivian reef—a quantitative study, Facies, 51(1–4), 80–92, doi:10.1007/s10347-005-0020-6, 2005.
- Shamberger, K. E. F., Lentz, S. J. and Cohen, A. L.: Low and variable ecosystem calcification in a coral reef lagoon
   under natural acidification, Limnol. Oceanogr., doi:10.1002/lno.10662, 2017.
- Shaw, E. C., McNeil, B. I. and Tilbrook, B.: Impacts of ocean acidification in naturally variable coral reef flat
  ecosystems, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 117(C03038), doi:10.1029/2011JC007655, 2012.
- Sheppard, C. and Loughland, R.: Coral mortality and recovery in response to increasing temperature in the southern
  Arabian Gulf, Aquat. Ecosyst. Health Manag., 5(4), 395–402, doi:10.1080/14634980290002020, 2002.
- Silbiger, N. J., Guadayol, O., Thomas, F. I. M. and Donahue, M. J.: Reefs shift from net accretion to net erosion along a natural environmental gradient, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 515, 33–44, doi:10.3354/meps10999, 2014.

- Silverman, J., Lazar, B. and Erez, J.: Community metabolism of a coral reef exposed to naturally varying dissolved
   inorganic nutrient loads, Biogeochemistry, 84(1), 67–82, doi:10.1007/s10533-007-9075-5, 2007.
- Steiner, Z., Erez, J., Shemesh, A., Yam, R., Katz, A. and Lazar, B.: Basin-scale estimates of pelagic and coral reef
  calcification in the Red Sea and Western Indian Ocean, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 1414323111,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1414323111, 2014.
- Steiner, Z., Turchyn, A. V., Harpaz, E. and Silverman, J.: Water chemistry reveals a significant decline in coral calcification rates in the southern Red Sea, Nat. Commun., 9(1), 3615, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06030-6, 2018.
- Strahl, J., Stolz, I., Uthicke, S., Vogel, N., Noonan, S. H. C. and Fabricius, K. E.: Physiological and ecological
  performance differs in four coral taxa at a volcanic carbon dioxide seep, Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A. Mol. Integr.
  Physiol., 184, 179–186, doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.02.018, 2015.
- Tambutté, S., Holcomb, M., Ferrier-Pagès, C., Reynaud, S., Tambutté, É., Zoccola, D. and Allemand, D.: Coral
  biomineralization: From the gene to the environment, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 408(1–2), 58–78,
  doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2011.07.026, 2011.
- Tribollet, A. and Golubic, S.: Cross-shelf differences in the pattern and pace of bioerosion of experimental carbonate
  substrates exposed for 3 years on the northern Great Barrier Reef, Australia, Coral Reefs, 24(3), 422–434,
  doi:10.1007/s00338-005-0003-7, 2005.
- Tribollet, A. and Golubic, S.: Reef Bioerosion: Agents and Processes, in Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition,
  edited by Z. Dubinsky and N. Stambler, pp. 435–449, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. [online] Available from:
  http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/978-94-007-0114-4\_25 (Accessed 7 August 2012), 2011.
- Tribollet, A., Decherf, G., Hutchings, P. and Peyrot-Clausade, M.: Large-scale spatial variability in bioerosion of
  experimental coral substrates on the Great Barrier Reef (Australia): importance of microborers, Coral Reefs, 21(4),
  424–432, doi:10.1007/s00338-002-0267-0, 2002.
- Tribollet, A., Godinot, C., Atkinson, M. and Langdon, C.: Effects of elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> on dissolution of coral carbonates
  by microbial euendoliths, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 23(3), doi:10.1029/2008GB003286, 2009.
- Uthicke, S., Doyle, J., Duggan, S., Yasuda, N. and McKinnon, A. D.: Outbreak of coral-eating Crown-of-Thorns
   creates continuous cloud of larvae over 320 km of the Great Barrier Reef, Sci. Rep., 5, doi:10.1038/srep16885, 2015.
- Vásquez-Elizondo, R. M. and Enríquez, S.: Coralline algal physiology is more adversely affected by elevated temperature than reduced pH, Sci. Rep., 6, 19030, doi:10.1038/srep19030, 2016.
- Vecsei, A.: Fore-reef carbonate production: development of a regional census-based method and first estimates,
  Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 175(1–4), 185–200, doi:10.1016/S0031-0182(01)00371-6, 2001.
- Vecsei, A.: A new estimate of global reefal carbonate production including the fore-reefs, Glob. Planet. Change, 43(1–2), 1–18, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2003.12.002, 2004.
- Waldbusser, G. G., Hales, B. and Haley, B. A.: Calcium carbonate saturation state: on myths and this or that stories,
  ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons., 73(3), 563–568, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv174, 2016.
- Wickham, H. and Chang, W.: ggplot2: An Implementation of the Grammar of Graphics. [online] Available from:
   http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html (Accessed 25 June 2015), 2015.
- Wiedenmann, J., D'Angelo, C., Smith, E. G., Hunt, A. N., Legiret, F.-E., Postle, A. D. and Achterberg, E. P.: Nutrient
  enrichment can increase the susceptibility of reef corals to bleaching, Nat. Clim. Change, 3(2), 160–164,
  doi:10.1038/nclimate1661, 2013.

- 970 Zundelevich, A., Lazar, B. and Ilan, M.: Chemical versus mechanical bioerosion of coral reefs by boring sponges - lessons from *Pione cf. vastifica*, J. Exp. Biol., 210(1), 91–96, doi:10.1242/jeb.02627, 2007.

972 Figures

973

**Figure 1. Design of studies and reef sites in the central Red Sea.** Maps (a) and (b) indicate geographic location and the study sites along a cross-shelf gradient. Schemes in (c) – (e) summarize the study designs for the assessment of the two reef growth metrics,  $G_{net}$  and  $G_{budget}$ , and the characterization of the abiotic environments in the central Red Sea. Maps have been adapted from Roik et al. (2015).

978

979 Figure 2. Net-accretion/-erosion rates (Gnet) in the central Red Sea. Gnet were measured in situ using limestone 980 blocks (100 x 100 mm) that were deployed along the cross-shelf gradient, three sets of blocks were deployed for 6, 981 12, or 30 months, respectively. Photos (a), (b), and (c), show freshly collected limestone blocks that were recovered 982 after 30 months deployment. The photos (d), (e), and (f) show the same blocks after bleaching and drying. Boring 983 holes of endolithic sponges are clearly visible in blocks from the nearshore and midshore reef sites. Blocks from the 984 midshore and offshore reefs are covered with crusts of biogenic carbonate mostly accreted by coralline algae 985 assemblages (scales in the photos show cm). Gnet data obtained from the limestone block assay are plotted in (g). All 986 data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

987

988 Figure 3. Census-based carbonate budgets in the central Red Sea. A schematic overview of the census-based 989 carbonate budget approach that was adapted from the *ReefBudget* methodology byPerry et al., (2012) is displayed in 990 (a). Details on input data and equations, employed in the calculations, are available as Supplementary Materials (Text 991 S1 and respective Supplemental Tables). In (b) reef carbonate budgets are plotted in dark grey (G<sub>budget</sub>) and related 992 biotic variables in white. The biotic variables, i.e., site-specific calcification rates of benthic communities (G<sub>benthos</sub>), 993 net-accretion/-erosion rates of reef "rock" surface area (Gnetbenthos), and the epilithic erosion rates of echinoids and 994 parrotfishes (Eechino, Eparrot) contribute to the total reef carbonate budget (Gbudget) at each reef site. All data are presented 995 as mean ± standard deviation. Images from www.ian.umces.edu; photos by A.Roik.

996

997

**Figure 4. Abiotic conditions in the reef sites.** Temperature, salinity, and diurnal  $pH_{NBS}$  variation (= diurnal standard deviations) were measured continuously over the respective seasons by CTDs (conductivity-temperature-depth loggers including an auxiliary pH probe). Furthermore, inorganic nutrients and total alkalinity (TA) were measured in discrete samples across reef sites and seasons. Boxplots illustrate the differences of seawater parameters between the reefs within each season (box: 1st and 3rd quartiles, whiskers: 1.5-fold inter-quartile range, points: raw data scatter).

# 1004 Tables

# **Table 1. Glossary of reef growth metrics.**

Metric	Description	Input data for calculation of the metric
Gnet	Site-specific net-accretion/-erosion rates (internal and epilithic) measured <i>in situ</i> using limestone blocks	-
$*G_{budget}$	Ecosystem-scale census-based carbonate budget of a reef site	$G_{benthos}, G_{netbenthos}, G_{netbenthos}, E_{echino}, E_{parrot}$
Gbenthos	Census-based calcification rate of benthic calcifier community (corals and coralline algae) per reef site	Site-specific benthic calcification rates (collated from this study and from Roik et al. 2015)
Gnetbenthos	Census-based net-accretion/-erosion rates of reef "rock" surface area per reef site	Site-specific net-accretion/-erosion rates measured in this study using limestone blocks (G <sub>net</sub> )
Eechino	Census-based echinoid (sea urchin) erosion rates per reef site	Genus and size specific erosion rates for sea urching from literature
Eparrot	Census-based parrotfish erosion rate per reef site	Genus and size specific erosion rates for parrotfishe from literature

\*The method of G<sub>budget</sub> calculation is described in the supplements (please refer to Text S1).

1010 1011 1012 Table 2. Net-accretion/-erosion rates  $G_{net}$  [kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>] in coral reefs along a cross-shelf gradient in the central Red Sea.  $G_{net}$  was calculated using weight gain/loss of limestone blocks that were deployed in the reefs. For each deployment duration, 6, 12, and 30 months, a set of 4 replicate blocks was used. Each block was measured once. Provided are means per reef site and

standard deviations (in brackets).

Gnet	]	Deployment time [months	]
Reef site	6	12	30
Offshore	0.14(0.11)	0.08(0.09)	0.37(0.08)
Midshore	0.11(0.16)	0.01(0.07)	0.06(0.12)
Nearshore	0.11(0.07)	-0.61(0.49)	-0.96(0.75)

1015 1016 1017 Table 3. Reef carbonate budgets and contributing biotic variables [kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>] along a cross-shelf gradient in the central Red Sea. Calcification rates of benthic calcifiers (G<sub>benthos</sub>), net-accretion/-erosion rates of the reef "rock" surface area (Gnetbenthos), and the erosion rates of echinoids and parrotfishes (Eechino, Eparrot) contribute to the total carbonate budget (Gbudget) at a 1018 reef site. Shown are means per site are shown and standard deviations (in brackets).

Reef	G <sub>budget</sub>	Gbenthos	Gnetbenthos	Eechino	Eparrot
Offshore	2.44(1.03)	2.81(0.65)	0.09(0.02)	-0.02(0)	-0.44(0.7)
Midshore	1.02(0.35)	1.76(0.24)	0.01(0)	-0.02(0.04)	-0.73(0.31)
Nearshore	-1.48(1.75)	0.43(0.15)	-0.31(0.13)	-0.23(0.19)	-1.36(1.89)

1020Table 4. Abiotic parameters relevant for reef growth at the study sites along a cross-shelf gradient in the central Red Sea.1021Temperature (Temp), salinity (Sal), and diurnal pH variation (diurnal SDs of  $pH_{NBS}$  measurements) were continuously measured1022using *in situ* probes (CTDs). Weekly collected seawater samples were used for the determination of inorganic nutrient1023concentrations, i.e. nitrate and nitrite (NO3<sup>-</sup>&NO2<sup>-</sup>), ammonia (NH4<sup>+</sup>), phosphate (PO4<sup>3-</sup>), and total alkalinity (TA). Provided are1024means and standard deviations (in brackets).

Diurnal Site / NO<sub>3</sub>-Temp Sal pН  $NH4^+$ PO4<sup>3-</sup> ТА Season &NO<sub>2</sub>variation [°C] [µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>] [µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>] [µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>] [µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>] Avg. 26.07(0.54) 39.18(0.18) 0.11(0.12) 0.32(0.19) 0.38(0.29) 0.08(0.02) 2487(20) winter Avg. 30.85(0.69) 39.44(0.18) 0.05(0.05) 0.61(0.25) 0.54(0.34) 0.04(0.05)2417(27) summer Offshore / 25.97(0.36) 0.04(0.02) 0.38(0.41) 0.09(0.02) 2492(21) 39.18(0.16) 0.4(0.23) winter Offshore / 30.68(0.63)39.38(0.17) 0.04(0.04)0.59(0.24)0.51(0.17) 0.04(0.03)2439(15) summer Midshore 26.1(0.49) 39.17(0.2) 0.07(0.04) 0.28(0.22) 0.35(0.19) 0.07(0.02) 2494(16) / winter 0.05(0.05) 0.7(0.53) 0.06(0.08)Midshore 30.56(0.61) 39.39(0.14) 0.63(0.26) 2422(26) / summer Nearshore 26.13(0.69) 39.2(0.17) 0.23(0.14) 0.29(0.12) 0.4(0.29) 0.07(0.01) 2476(19) / winter Nearshore 31.32(0.59) 39.56(0.15) 0.09(0.06) 0.6(0.28) 0.42(0.16) 0.02(0.01) 2391(15) / summer

1025

1027Table 5. Coefficients from Spearman rank order correlations for abiotic and biotic predictor variables vs.  $G_{net}$  and  $G_{budget}$ .1028The means of abiotic and biotic variables per reef site were correlated with  $G_{net}$  (= net-accretion/-erosion rates of limestone blocks)1029and  $G_{budget}$  (= census-based carbonate budgets). Strong and significant correlations ( $\rho$  values > |0.75|) are marked in **bold**. *P*-values1030were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. CCA = crustose coralline algae; CC = calcifying crusts

	Gnet		Gbudget	
Abiotic variables	ρ	<i>p</i> (adj.)	ρ	<i>p</i> (adj.)
Temperature	-0.47	<i>n.s.</i>	-0.52	n.s
Salinity	-0.82	< 0.01	-0.82	0.001
Diurnal pH variation	-0.95	< 0.001	-0.89	< 0.001
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> &NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.95	< 0.001	0.89	< 0.001
NH4 <sup>+</sup>	0.47	<i>n.s.</i>	0.52	<i>n.s.</i>
PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	0.82	< 0.01	0.82	0.001
TA	0.95	< 0.001	0.89	< 0.001
Biotic variables	ρ	<i>p</i> (adj.)	ρ	<i>p</i> (adj.)
% cover CCA/CC	0.95	< 0.001	0.78	< 0.01
% cover Algae/Soft coral/Sponge	0.47	<i>n.s.</i>	0.26	n.s.
Parrot fish abundance	-0.95	< 0.001	-0.49	n.s.
Echinoid abundance	0.47	n.s.	-0.54	n.s.
% cover branching hard corals			-0.25	n.s.
% cover encrusting hard corals			0.26	<i>n.s.</i>
% cover massive hard corals			0.34	n.s.
% cover foliose hard corals			0.50	<i>n.s.</i>
% cover Acroporidae			0.27	<i>n.s.</i>
% cover Pocilloporidae			0.51	<i>n.s.</i>
% cover Poritidae			0.45	n.s.
% cover hard coral			0.63	<i>n.s.</i>
Rugosity			0.75	< 0.01