Strengthening the biogeosciences in environmental research networks

Daniel D. Richter[1], Sharon A. Billings[2], Peter M. Groffman[3], Eugene F. Kelly[4], Kathleen A. Lohse[5], William H. McDowell[6], Timothy S. White[7], Suzanne Anderson[8], Dennis D. Baldocchi[9], Steve Banwart[10], Susan Brantley[11], Jean J. Braun[12], Zachary S. Brecheisen[1], Charles W. Cook[1], Hilairy E. Hartnett[13], Sarah E. Hobbie[14], Jerome Gaillardet[15], Esteban Jobbagy[16], Hermann F. Jungkunst[17], Clare E. Kazanski[18], Jagdish Krishnaswamy[19], Daniel Markewitz[20], Katherine O'Neill[21], Clifford S. Riebe[22], Paul Schroeder[23], Christina Siebe[24], Whendee L. Silver[25], Aaron Thompson[26], Anne Verhoef[27], Ganlin Zhang[28]

[1] Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708 USA
[2] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Kansas Biological Survey, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS USA
[3] City University of New York, Advanced Science Research Center and Brooklyn College, Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, New York, NY USA
[4] Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, CO USA
[5] Department of Biological Sciences, Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID USA
[6] Department of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH USA
[7] Earth and Environmental Systems Institute, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA USA
[8] Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research and Dept. of Geography, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO USA
[9] Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California at Berkeley, CA USA
[10] School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK
[11] Earth and Environmental Systems Institute, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA USA
[12] Geosciences Environment Toulouse, Universite de Toulouse, Toulouse, FR and University of Yaounide, LIM DYCOFAC, IRD, Yaounde, Cameroon
[13] School of Earth and Space Exploration and School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ USA
[14] Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN USA
[15] Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France
[16] Grupo de Estudios Ambientales - IMASL, CONICET, and Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Argentina
[17] Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Koblenz-Landau, Landau, Germany
[18] Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA
[19] Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment (ATREE), Bangalore, India
[20] Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA USA
[21] Environmental Studies, Roanoke College, Salem VA USA
[22] Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY USA
[23] Department of Geology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA USA
[24] Instituto de Geologia, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico City, MX
[25] Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA USA
[26] Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA USA
[27] Department of Geography and Environmental Science, The University of Reading, Reading, UK

50   [28] State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China

Correspondence to: Daniel D. Richter (drichter@duke.edu)

Strengthening the biogeosciences in environmental research networks

55

Long-term environmental research networks are one approach to advancing local, regional, and global environmental science and education. A remarkable number and wide variety of environmental research networks operate around the world today. These are diverse in funding, infrastructure, motivating questions, scientific strengths, and the sciences that birthed

60 and maintained the networks. Some networks have individual sites that were selected because they had produced invaluable long-term data, while other networks have new sites selected to span ecological gradients. However, all long-term environmental networks share two challenges. Networks must keep pace with scientific advances and interact with both the scientific community and society at large. If networks fall short of successfully addressing these

65 challenges, they risk becoming irrelevant. The objective of this paper is to assert that the biogeosciences offer environmental research networks a number of opportunities to expand scientific impact and public engagement. We explore some of these opportunities with four networks: the International Long Term Ecological Research programs (ILTERs), the Critical Zone Observatories (CZOs), the Earth and Ecological Observatory networks (EONs), and the

70 FLUXNET program of eddy flux sites. While these networks were founded and grown by interdisciplinary scientists, the preponderance of expertise and funding have gravitated activities of ILTERs and EONs toward ecology and biology, CZOs toward the Earth sciences and geology, and FLUXNET toward ecophysiology and micrometeorology. Our point is not to homogenize networks, nor to diminish disciplinary science. Rather, we argue that by more fully

75 incorporating the integration of biology and geology in long-term environmental research networks, scientists can better leverage network assets, keep pace with the ever-changing science of the environment, and engage with larger scientific and public audiences.

80

1. Introduction

In this paper, we bring the biogeosciences and environmental research networks together by exploring their origins and by asking a simple question: might on-going

85 environmental research networks benefit from a perspective that more explicitly includes the biogeosciences? The specific objectives of this paper are to consider the historical development of the biogeosciences and of environmental research networks, and to use that history to highlight opportunities for the world's environmental research networks to use the biogeosciences to benefit network science itself and to broaden their impacts on the wider

90 sciences and society.

Growing numbers of biologists and geologists are working together on the biogeoscience of societally important issues (Hedin et al., 2002; Hinckley et al., 2016; Field et al., 2016; O'Neill and Richter, 2016; Wymore et al., 2017; Brantley et al., 2017a). Top-tier, multidisciplinary journals now publish biogeoscience papers, and professional ecological and

95 geological societies have new biogeoscience journals and subdivisions. The highly cited and venerable journal *Biogeochemistry* has been in publication since 1984. New biogeoscience awards and lectureships are funded. Cambridge University Press recently published a major volume entitled, *A Biogeoscience Approach to Ecosystems* (Johnson and Martin, 2016). We write this paper to assert that there is scientific potential to bringing a biogeoscience-explicit

100 perspective to the world's environmental research networks, and that biogeoscience initiatives at individual sites or across networks can increase the value of environmental research networks for science, education, and society at large.

2. Biogeoscience past and present

105 To consider the origins of biogeoscience and thereby develop a perspective for its further application to environmental research networks, we must mention the incomparable biogeoscientist, Alexander von Humboldt, widely recognized as the founder of biogeography. But we begin with some detail with Darwin, whose evolutionary biology is deeply seated in biogeoscience. For this Darwin owes much to Lyell, whose *Principles of Geology* opened for the

110 young Darwin the geologic history of the Earth, as an ancient, life-filled, and highly dynamic planet. Lyell's three-volume *Principles* were among Darwin's most important books in the *Beagle's* 400-book library (Herbert, 2005). After the *Beagle's* five-year voyage around the world, Darwin's *Voyages* and *The Structure and Distribution of Coral Reefs* both vigorously embraced geology *and* biology. Chancellor (2008) described *Coral Reefs* as "not just a book about reefs, it

115 is a book which sweeps across the ecology and geology of the whole world." In 1859, Darwin

was awarded the Wollaston Medal by the Geological Society of London, the geological society's highest award. Darwin spoke spiritedly about the concert of geology and biology; "My books came half out of Lyell's brains," he wrote to a colleague (CD Letter to Leonard Horner, 8-29-1844). Darwin's genius sprang from his understanding that the overlap and interaction of biology and geology drove biological evolution.

By the early 20th century, however, biology and geology had subdivided into distinct disciplines. The growing recognition of biological and geological complexities facilitated the division, but so too did scientific reductionism and the departmentalization of university faculties. Many academics welcomed the narrowing of scope and the close-knit academic communities brought about by departments (Stichweh, 1992). Despite exceptions, even today the mainstreams of biology and geology remain formally separated by university departments, professional societies, funding streams, and journals. With the exception of paleontology, geomicrobiology, and evolutionary theory, their vast literatures rarely reference the other.

Despite the formal division, the two sciences have been bridged by a number of remarkable biogeoscientists. Darwin champion, Thomas H. Huxley, lectured for many decades not only on the veracity of biological evolution but also on the close relations and interactions of biology and geology. In a public lecture Huxley (1897) called "one of the greatest chapters in the history of the world," he told a story he said was written in a simple piece of chalk. Huxley began by remarking that if a chalk rock is viewed under a microscope, it is seen to be a collection of the most beautiful tiny shells of a fossil organism named *Globigerina*. "A cubic inch may contain a hundred thousand of their bodies". After noting that *Globigerina* was but one of the fossils, and how ancient these fossils were, about a 100 million years old, he then celebrated the then recent discovery of living *Globigerina*, a discovery made during the laying of the telegraph cable on the ocean bottom between Ireland and Newfoundland. As the cable rested on the bottom of the Atlantic, the ocean's depth had to be measured and the seafloor sampled over several 1000s of miles. Most of the seafloor, Huxley exclaimed, was discovered to be beds of recently deceased *Globigerina* and similar creatures that had died and accumulated on the bottom of the ocean and making an ideal surface for the cable. Huxley's story is about life in a rock and it spans the microscopic to the vast ocean, and the present with the many millions of years. He emphatically claimed that such stories are fundamental to the education of well educated scholars and the general public.

Any history of biogeoscience must include the Ukrainian-Russian Vladimir Vernadsky (1998, originally for 1926), a mineralogist by training, whose most important book, *The Biosphere*, introduced the new science of biogeochemistry. Vernadsky saw the Earth as a

150   dynamic planet driven by tightly linked biogeochemical reactions of photosynthesis, decomposition, chemical cycling, and mineral weathering. Figure 1, a recent satellite image of Earth's photosynthetic activity, presents a vision that is Vernadsky's, of Earth as a metabolic system. Not widely known is that one of Vernadsky's most influential teachers was the renowned Vasily Dokuchaev, an inspirational teacher widely recognized to be founder of the

155   biogeoscience known as pedology (Jenny, 1961).

        Vernadsky's *Biosphere* though quickly translated into French, went untranslated into English for many decades. Vernadsky's ideas however circulated within the English-speaking world in part due to their active promotion by the ecologist G.E Hutchinson. Hutchinson urged his students and fellow scientists to "confront all of the processes that maintain or change

160   ecological systems, whether these processes were biological, physical or geological" (Slobodkin, 1993). Hutchinson immediately made use of Tansley's (1935) coinage of the ecosystem concept, i.e., the indivisible physical system of biota and environment. He was also instrumental in helping the young Ray Lindeman (1942) with his mathematical models of the biogeochemical cycles of a lake ecosystem. Hutchinson famously intervened to advocate for the

165   publication of Lindeman's (1942) paper, in which Lindeman wrote that the "constant organic–inorganic cycle of nutritive substance is so completely integrated that to consider … a lake primarily as a biotic community appears to force a "biological" emphasis upon a more basic functional organization." Hutchinson and his students brought an expansive sense of space and time to ecosystem science, coring many tens of meters into lake sediments to reconstruct the

170   multi-millennial evolution of lakes and of their surrounding catchments (Hutchinson and Wollack, 1940).

        By the time that Hutchinson was writing "The Biosphere" for *Scientific American* (1970), an essay all but formally dedicated to Vernadsky, the International Biological Program (IBP) was systematically gathering enormous amounts of ecosystem data from tropical forests to the

175   tundra. The IBP represents one of the world's first comprehensive environmental and ecosystem research networks, complete with standardized protocols and data management. Remarkably, IBP research was funded by many nations irrespective of politics, and by the early 1980s, the IBP had assembled a vast collection of new biogeochemistry data from hundreds of sites (e.g., Reichle, 1981). The IBP program greatly accelerated our understanding of

180   ecosystems at local to global scale and helped spread the concept of the ecosystem, what some have called the "biogeocenoesis", worldwide.

Two additional historical developments, those of the watershed ecosystem and the critical zone ecosystem, pertain directly to the relations between biogeoscience and environmental research networks.

185   First, hydrologists have quantified how streamflow responds to precipitation, how land management alters watershed response, and how evapotranspiration varies as a function of water supply and evaporative demand. Watershed experiments have long been conducted internationally in developed and developing nations (e.g., Hursh et al., 1942; Krishnaswamy, 2017), and watershed monitoring and models have grown ever more sophisticated (Figure 2).

190 Bormann (1996) described how these watershed studies led directly to the measurement of chemical element inputs and outputs in precipitation and stream water, respectively, and to the birth of the watershed ecosystem concept (Bormann and Likens, 1967). Watersheds not only control hydrologic responses but also rates of weathering, erosion, and biogeochemical cycling of chemical elements. The science of watershed ecosystems is nothing if not a biogeoscience.

195   Related to the concept of the watershed ecosystem is the concept of the critical zone ecosystem. In 2001, a group of Earth scientists and ecologists proposed the Earth's critical zone as a concept that integrates the structure and interconnected dynamics of the atmosphere, the vegetation, soils, and underlying regolith down to the deepest groundwater and weathering fronts (National Research Council, 2001). Critical zone science was proposed as a new Earth

200 system science, an explicitly interdisciplinary and integrative science that includes all Earth systems sciences. Given the usefulness of Bormann and Likens' concept of watershed ecosystem, we propose greater use of "critical zone ecosystem" to draw greater attention to all boundaries of Earth's life support system, but specifically to those that are subsurface and generally considered "geological". The critical zone ecosystem operates and evolves across

205 time scales from the instantaneous to the multi-million years, and like Tansley's ecosystem and the watershed ecosystem, the critical zone ecosystem is spatially scalable (Evans, 1956) from vegetation-clad soil and regolith profiles, to small watersheds and large river basins, to the continental and the global terrestrial surface (Richter and Billings, 2015). To date, the critical zone ecosystem has received attention primarily via its components, through researchers

210 focused on specific parts of the larger system.

3. Origins of environmental research networks

     The origins of environmental research networks can be traced to place-based research studies of the 19th century that were motivated by famines and rising concerns that farming

215 might not be able to provide sufficient food for growing human populations (Richter and

Markewitz, 2001). Long-term agricultural experiments were initiated, motivated by the prospects that agricultural science might increase and sustain crop yields (Rossiter, 1975). Examples include the Park Grass experiment in England, begun in 1856 to quantify how hayfields respond to soil amendments (an experiment that Tilman et al. (1994) called the world's "most long-term

220   ecological study"), and the Lethbridge and Breton Plots in Alberta, Canada (established 1910 and 1930, respectively) to test the conversion of native prairie grasslands to cultivation-based agriculture and rotations (McGill et al., 1986). Long-term agricultural field studies spread to the developing world, for example, to China, India, and Pakistan (Tirol-Padre and Ladha, 2006), where today many dozens of long-term field experiments are used by scientists to test

225   relationships between soil, management, and yields in intensively managed rice, *Oryza* spp. (Bhandari et al., 2002; Tirol-Padre and Ladha, 2006).

    Based on a recent international inventory (http://iscn.fluxdata.org/partner-networks/long-term-soil-experiments/), there are many 100s of long-term agricultural research sites world-wide that are monitoring the sustainability of agricultural production over decadal time scales (Richter

230   and Yaalon, 2012). These experiments study effects of tillage practices, rotations, and long-term amendments of fertilizers and organic materials such as manures and sludges on soils, microbial communities, biochemical and physical fluxes (such as those affecting soil water- and heat regimes), and crop productivity. With important exceptions, most long-term agricultural studies, however, are not part of larger networks and operate as place-based studies. These

235   important studies also remain incompletely inventoried (Richter et al., 2007).

    Many of the place-based agricultural studies have made major contributions to the environmental sciences in addition to their intended contributions to agronomy. Perhaps the finest example is Rothamsted's Broadbalk wheat experiment, a field experiment known for its agronomic data based on 175 years of continuous cultivation. The Broadbalk wheat experiment

240   may be as valuable for its contributions to the wider environmental sciences as for its contributions to agronomy. Broadbalk publications have been fundamental to quantifying and modeling up to 150 years of changes in: soil fertility, soil carbon sequestration, soil acidification, nitrogen cycling, nitrate and phosphate leaching into groundwaters, adverse effects of industrial air pollution, microbial community composition, and persistence of potentially toxic compounds

245   (Leigh and Johnston, 1994). Jenkinson (1991) suggested that Broadbalk's success owed much to the ability of the Broadbalk's managers to periodically modify the themes of research to keep the long-term experiment relevant to societal needs, lessons clearly important to contemporary environmental research networks.

4. Contementary environmental research networks

250 **4.   Contemporary environmental research networks**

As geologists debate Earth's transitions over all geological time periods, the time period from the Holocene to Anthropocene Epoch (Waters et al., 2016) is particularly important, as a variety of environmental research networks are quantifying biogeophysical changes in the planet from local to global scales. A foray online can find environmental networks engaged in

255 montoring changes in: lakes (Sier and Monteith, 2016); soil organic carbon (Smith et al., 2002); wind erosion (Webb et al. 2016); agricultural ecosystems (Robertson et al., 2008), to name a few. There seems to be growing interest in new environmental networks as demonstrated by the recent launch of a mycorrhizal research network in South America (Bueno et al., 2017), and proposals for an ambitious but yet to be funded Long Term Ecological Observatory network in

260 India (Thaker et al., 2015).

Of the variety of environmental research networks, we focus our attention on four: the International Long Term Ecological Research programs (ILTERs), the Critical Zone Exploration Network and Critical Zone Observatory programs (CZEN and CZOs), the Earth or Ecological Observatory Networks (EONs), and FLUXNET (the global network of flux towers that measure

265 land-atmosphere exchanges of energy, water, and carbon). While building networks is never easy, each of these is experiencing remarkable success with regards to infrastructure deployment, scientific output, and in training next-generation scientists. We briefly give an overview of each of these networks and then make suggestions about the scientific and engagement opportunities that the biogeosciences may bring to each.

270

4.1 ILTERs

By the late 20th century, the accelerating pace of environmental change has made it incumbent on scientists to get the most out of long-term place-based environmental research sites. In 1984, a paper in *BioScience* by James Callahan was one of several at the time to lay

275 out the case for *networking* long-term ecological research sites (LTERs). Rallying support for LTER science, what was special about Callahan's paper was that, despite being an NSF program officer, he so sharply criticized NSF's traditional, short-term ecological research programs. Remarkably, Callahan (1984) argued that NSF's short-term ecological research had been counterproductive to the science of ecology, a science that deals with phenomena

280 occurring over decades or centuries and large spatial scales as well. Today, the USA's robust LTER program, funded from throughout NSF but mainly by NSF's Directorate for Biological Sciences, includes 28 long-term research sites, primarily in North America but also at strategically placed international sites. The LTER research is well known to be question driven,

experimentally designed environmental research and monitoring. The data collected are meant
285   to answer specific questions and test hypotheses about ecosystem productivity, organic matter
recycling, elemental cycling, biological populations, and disturbance. The U.S. LTER sites also
function as well-funded focal points for intensive, interdisciplinary, place-based research.

LTER science became international with the formation of the International Long-Term
Ecological Research (ILTER) program in 1993. Ecologists in many nations saw the opportunity
290   and need for international collaboration among long-term ecological research sites to better
quantify ecological change across spatial scales. Today in 40 nations, the independent ILTER
Association includes about 800 place-based LTERs, and an impressive array of facilities,
scientific expertise, and enormous data legacies with time series that span over a century and
increasingly standardized metadata (Mirtl et al. 2018). The LTERs are located from the Arctic to
295   Antarctica and study forests, prairies, tundra, deserts, cities, agricultural fields, and a variety of
estuarine, near-shore coastal, and coastal ocean sites. All share the common goal to better
understand and predict the structure, function, services, and human-altered changes in the
Earth's diverse ecosystems. Forty nations are involved today, and there appears to be good
potential for future growth, as illustrated by the high quality of long-term research ongoing in
300   nations such as Argentina (Contreras et al., 2012), India (Thaker et al., 2015), and Gabon
(Braun et al., 2017). There is also growing interest in LTER research throughout the developing
world (e.g., Kim et al., 2018).

4.2 CZEN and CZOs

305   In 2001, Earth and ecological scientists in the USA's National Research Council (Ashley,
1998; National Research Council, 2001) defined the concept of Earth's critical zone to be the
life-supporting, superficial planetary system extending from the near-surface atmospheric layers
that exchange energy, water, particles, and gases with the vegetation and ground layers, down
through the soil to the deepest of bedrock's weathering fronts, extending in space and time the
310   venerable ecosystem concept (Richter and Billings, 2015). The critical zone concept is entirely
congruent not only with the ecosystem but with Vernadsky's (1998) biosphere (Figure 3).
Critical zone science forces researchers to collaborate on studies of the processes that maintain
Earth's life-supporting systems, whether they be expert in the climate, weather, glaciers, snow
and ice, surface or ground water, vegetation, soil, regolith, or the underlying bedrock or
315   sediments (Brantley et al., 2007). Given that these systems are being altered intensively and
extensively by human activities, critical zone science welcomes scientists and scholars who
focus on human forcings.

In 2005, the Critical Zone Exploration Network (http://www.czen.org/) was launched by the Earth science community to stimulate a worldwide community of researchers and educators

320   who study the structure and processes of the critical zone (Brantley et al., 2006). The CZEN has helped build an active international community of scientists, many of whom are attracted to the annual American Geophysical Union (AGU) meetings. A handful of nations or collections of nations have funded CZO networks, including the USA (Brantley et al., 2017b), France (Gaillardet et al., submitted), Germany (Zacharias et al., 2011), the European Union (Banwart et

325   al., 2017), and China (Tahir et al., 2016).

       To date, CZO research designs are wide ranging and united by their shared critical zone concept and that critical zone science must be interdisciplinarity and integrative. Beyond this, there is no special protocol for how a CZO is to be designed. This has resulted in a wide latitude in the organization and operation of CZOs. In the USA, nine heavily instrumented CZOs test

330   place-based hypotheses (Brantley et al., 2017b); but because the nine CZOs span climate, geologic, and land use gradients (e.g., Chorover et al. 2011), the research teams have each developed integrated approaches to the study of the dynamic structure and processes of critical zones within and across observatories. In contrast, the new French CZO program called OZCAR is a network of networks whose organizational structure differs greatly from that of the

335   USA's CZOs. The OZCAR program, formally launched in 2015, works on critical zone science within and across networks of river basins, peatlands, glaciers, reservoirs, aquifers, and agricultural systems, with all together many hundreds of sites (Gaillardet et al., submitted). And in China, supported in part by the joint China-UK critical zone science program, long-term ecological stations are being transformed into CZOs, by adding more geological observations

340   and opening some of the world's first urban CZOs (Zhu et al., 2017b).

       Giardano and Houser (2015) listed 64 CZO projects world-wide; however, additional CZOs can be added to this list that are extremely important, including Mexico City's wastewater irrigation CZO (Siebe et al. 2016), a monsoonal CZO in the Western Ghat Mountains of India (30 June 2018, http://www.czen.org/content/international-czo-working-group), and the new

345   Ogooué River Basin CZO (Figure 4) in Gabon Central Africa (Braun et al., 2017). What unites all CZOs and CZO networks is the critical zone concept and questions about how to monitor, measure, and model the dynamics of critical zone structures and processes as affected by climate and land use changes.

350   **4.3 EONs**

The emergence of Earth and Ecological Observatory Networks (EONs) marks a new approach to environmental research networks. Rather than the hypothesis-driven approach of long-term agricultural experiments, ILTERs, and CZOs, the EONs use surveillance-based, distributed approaches to environmental monitoring and research. The EONs use surveillance-

355   based, distributed approaches to environmental monitoring and research. The USA's National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON), Australia's Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network (TERN), and the Global Earth Observatory System of Systems (GEOSS), are three examples of EONs that use spatially distributed monitoring sites across regional and continental environmental gradients. The instrumentation has tightly control protocols and large streams of

360   often real-time data are collected, stored, and shared with the wider scientists and managers. The Group of Earth Observations (GEO), a partnership of over 100 governments and nearly 100 organizations, has promoted Biodiversity Observatory Networks as a societally relevant theme in the formation of these EONs.

        With EONs, the emphasis is on collecting biologically and ecologically relevant data

365   across wide spatial areas (Walters and Scholes, 2016), data that are made available to the wider research community for analysis. The intent is to identify ecological patterns over time that may not be visible using smaller data streams created from individual research sites. Given the novelty and the growing implementation of EON approaches, Lindenmayer et al. (2018) argue that there is an "urgent need to find an optimal balance between, and the amount of funding

370   dedicated to surveillance versus question-driven research and monitoring." They urge an integration of hypothesis- and place-based networks such as the ILTERs and the environmental monitoring networks such as the EONs to take advantage of positive features of both.

4.4 FLUXNET

375   The study of energy, water, and carbon fluxes within and between ecosystems was developed not only by ecologists such as Hutchinson and Lindeman, but also by physical scientists interested in the fluid dynamics and mass and energy exchange at the Earth's surface. Despite an absence of instrumentation, Reynolds (1895) established the fundamental theoretical framework for the eddy covariance approach to flux measurements, and throughout

380   the 20th c., an international group of physical scientists contributed to the theory and instrumentation of flux measurements (Moncrieff et al., 1997; Baldocchi, 2003). In the late 20th c. these scientists contributed to a growing understanding of two major environmental problems: the effects of large-scale air pollution problems that had spread across Europe and North America, and the interactions of fossil-fuel driven increases in atmospheric CO_2 and the

385 ecosystem-atmosphere exchanges of carbon, water, and heat. However, not until the near collapse of what was then called flux-gradient techniques (Raupach, 1979) and major advancements made in anemometer, gas sensor, and computer technologies could eddy fluxes of energy, water, and carbon be measured. Year-round measurements of ecosystem-atmosphere exchange were first made in the early 1990s (Wofsy et al. 1993), and by 2000, over

390 100 flux sites were measuring energy and mass exchanges of ecosystems throughout the world. While ecosystem metabolism was clearly understood by Hutchinson and Lindeman and the Odums (1956, 1968), it took 100 years for physical scientists to assemble the theory and tools to directly measure fluxes of energy, water, and carbon necessary to estimate whole ecosystem photosynthesis and respiration.

395   In 2001, the FLUXNET project was established to promote the networking of science and data management of eddy covariance flux towers (Baldocchi et al. 2001), a network that has grown to over 900 historic and ongoing sites, and a network science that has substantially increased our understanding of the dynamics of ecosystems and their interactions with the atmosphere (Baldocchi, 2014). Today towers operate on six continents and their latitudinal

400 distribution ranges from about 40°S to 75°N. Ecosystems include conifer and broadleaf forests, crops, grasslands, wetlands, and tundra. FLUXNET compiles, archives, and shares flux data via a long-running website (http://fluxnet.fluxdata.org/), and has accumulated enormous data sets pertinent to ecosystem primary production, respiration, evapotranspiration, and sensible and latent heat fluxes (Chu et al. 2016). While most flux towers have accumulated continuous

405 data for up to five years, a number of towers have accumulated >20 years of data. The network has promoted instrument calibration, post-processing, and reliable gap-filling techniques, and strives to ensure that data among sites are intercomparable. It also supports synthesis, discussion, and communication of ideas and data via its website and workshops. Analyses of these data sets have facilitated the adaptation of machine-learning (Tramontana et al., 2015)

410 and site-level as well as regional gridded products have helped parameterize and verify biosphere and land surface models (Van den Hoof et al., 2013), and the analysis of satellite remote sensing and global atmospheric measurements (Bonan et al., 2011). The flux tower approach is not without its challenges and we discuss its tendency to focus on aboveground vegetation and the atmosphere, but evenstill it contributes mightily to the tools and theory of

415 environmental scientists.

5. Biogeoscience and environmental networks

This paper asserts the potential for biogeoscience to benefit ongoing environmental research networks such as those described above. By this we mean that networks can better keep pace with scientific advances and engage with broader communities by employing an explicitly biogeosciences approach. Environmental research networks are major societal investments, and it is incumbent that science and society get the most from such public outlays of financial and intellectual capital. The gravity and fast pace of environmental and technological change mandates that we bring the best of our disciplinary specialties to defining and resolving environmental problems together, as scientists and scholars. We detail a number of concrete examples to illustrate how an integrative, biogeoscience approach can enhance network value.

While these networks were founded and grown by remarkably interdisciplinary scientists, the preponderance of expertise and funding streams have tended to gravitate to different networks by discipline: ILTERs and EONs toward ecology and biology, CZOs toward the geosciences, and FLUXNET toward ecophysiology and micrometeorology. While our paper's interest and objective is not to homogenize environmental research networks, we do assert that biogeoscience presents special opportunities for integrating diverse disciplines in ways that will benefit the research networks in advancing science and disseminating their science narratives among scientific communities and the public. We use several examples to illustrate this point.

5.1 Biogeoscience and ILTERs

Biogeoscience can potentially enrich ILTERs by bringing advanced geoscience to the otherwise strong ecological focus of the ILTERs. With notable exceptions, few ILTER sites characterize well the dynamic structures and biogeoscience processes of subsoils, regoliths, groundwater, and weathering rock and sedimentary substrata. These are the lower components of the rooting zone, the water storage and drainage volumes of ecosystems, and environments that exchange reactive gases produced and consumed by biota and minerals. These are often heterogenous environments undergoing weathering, the biogeochemical reactions that produce solutes and new minerals (Schroeder, 2018). Here, we consider research opportunities related to 1) drilling projects such as is exemplified at the Hubbard Brook ILTER, and 2) the research of the critical zone ecosystem in the Mezquital Valley of Mexico and 3) the Luquillo ILTER/CZO in Puerto Rico. Our intent is that these examples may give environmental network scientists ideas for future studies that draw biological and geological disciplines together.

5.1.1 Deep drilling in ILTERs

Coring and drilling campaigns in ILTERs, down through soil, regolith, and underlying bedrock, can open new opportunities to learn how ecosystems function as watersheds and landscapes across space and time. New geophysical techniques are available to quantify and visualize these understudied subsurface environments (eg., St. Clair et al., 2015; Riebe et al.,

455 2016). The Hubbard Brook LTER in North America has had a drilling program with the US Geological Survey that has gathered physical, chemical, and isotopic data of groundwater from wells up to 100 m in depth (LaBaugh et al., 2013). Especially ILTERs with watersheds, streams, and lakes, novel geophysics and geohydrologic approaches can not only characterize subsurface architecture (St. Clair et al., 2015) but can also trace water storage and movement

460 through landscapes (Fan, 2015; Evaristo et al. 2015). Drilling projects can thus help quantify linkages of the atmosphere, terrestrial ecosystem, and aquatic systems, and reveal how ecosystems have functioned and evolved over Earth's history. For ILTERs with interests in dating landforms, drilling campaigns in conjunction with cosmogenic isotopic analyses can provide chronologies of landscape evolution and provide new understandings of erosion and the

465 biogeochemical cycling of nutrients and trace elements (Bierman and Nichols, 2004).

5.1.2 How deep is an ecosystem?

That the underlying geological components of watersheds are relevant and integral to the concept of ecosystem was the basis for a few early ILTER studies (Figure 5), but perhaps

470 nowhere more so than in the extremely valuable, underfunded, and decades-long study in Mexico City and the nearby Mezquital Valley. Here the direct connections of land use management and the deep subsurface can serve as motivation for ILTERs and all Earth scientists to deepen their perspective of the ecosystem. The Mezquital Valley has received nearly all of Mexico City's untreated waste water for a century. The valley has a semi-arid

475 climate and is currently irrigated with about 2 m per year of untreated wastewater over nearly 100,000 ha (Siebe et al., 2016). As a consequence, agricultural crops and their human communities have thrived in the valley where today nearly 500,000 people live, entirely dependent on the waste water and its nutrients supplied by the ever-growing Mexico City. For nearly 25 years a team led by Christina Siebe of the University of Mexico has quantified the

480 transformation of the Mezquital Valley as a critical zone ecosystem, making measurements down to 35 m depth, including effects on water, nutrients, trace metals, pathogens and human health (Guédron et al., 2014, Siebe et al., 2016). This CZO also documents the fate of pharmaceuticals and changes in resistance genes during long-term wastewater irrigation (Dalkmann et al., 2012; Jechalke et al., 2015).

5.1.3 Co-locating ILTERs and CZOs

The biogeoscience approach to the ecosystem is demonstrated well at the co-located Luquillo ILTER and CZO in Puerto Rico. At Luquillo, ILTER and CZO scientists conduct joint studies of biotic-lithologic controls of surface water chemistry (McDowell et al., 2013); of

490 biogeochemical redox reactions that involve organic matter, redox active metals, and biologically mediated gases (Hall and Silver, 2015, Hall et al., 2016); and of biogeochemical processes that cross wide spatial and temporal scales, i.e., what we are accustomed to calling ecological and geological timescales (e.g. Shanley et al., 2011; Brocard et al., 2015; Dialynas et al., 2018). The research demonstrates how lithologic knickpoints that cross streams and resist

495 downcutting control up-catchment soil formation, plant productivity, and landscape evolution (Wolf et al, 2016). A major contribution to both the biogeosciences as well as to ecosystem and Earth sciences is a revision of the recent geologic history of the island of Puerto Rico (Brocard et al., 2015, 2016), which has major implications for understanding the current distribution of biota on the island as well as understanding the processes that have shaped the landscape

500 over the last 5 million years. The work supports the veracity of the argument made by early LTER scientists such as Callahan (1984) and Swanson and Franklin (1988) that there is profound overlap rather than set boundaries across the Earth's life-supporting space-time continua (Figure 6).

505 ### 5.2 Biogeoscience and CZOs

The biogeosciences can potentially enrich CZOs by bringing novel and advanced biological and ecological research to the otherwise predominant Earth science focus of the CZOs (eg., Chen et al., 2016; Brantley et al., 2017a). The critical zone is called "critical" because all of Earth's diverse life forms depend entirely on the structure and function of the

510 critical zone. Thus, while ongoing CZOs characterize plant and microbial composition and quantify biologically mediated processes, relatively few CZOs characterize: 1) microbial and microbial genetic responses to environmental cues that drive biogeochemistry, 2) the rate and depth to which vegetation may alter the biogeochemistry of the critical zone, 3) depth distributions of biological signals within the critical zone, and 4) dynamics of animal-critical zone

515 interactions.

5.2.1 Molecular Biology

The ongoing revolution in molecular biology holds particular promise for better understanding of deep subsurface Earth environments (e.g. 0.5-30 m), which are among the more poorly studied microbiomes on Earth. This revolution affects all environmental research networks, CZOs, ILTERs, and EONs, and FLUXNET, as scientists cope with the burgeoning understanding of molecular biology. Gene surveys of microbial communities in previously underexplored environments, in aquifers, soils, and what Hug et al. (2016) call the "deep subsurface", continue to indicate the existence of enormous numbers of branches in the tree of life, and are prompting fundamental changes in how we understand life's diversity. The new analyses illuminate not only the identity of organisms but their metabolic capacities, with the diversification of bacteria particularly notable (Brown et al., 2015). Nearly all of these on-going findings are not yet represented in biogeochemical models that simulate microbially mediated processes from local to global scales. More systematic measurements of microbial genetic responses to changing land use and climatic conditions can most certainly enhance understanding of critical zone biogeochemistry.

The UK-China Critical Zone program is quantifying flows and transformations of genetic information as an integral, dynamic component of Earth Critical Zone. The resistome of microbial antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs), which has emerged and spread globally in the past 50 years, provides a genetic marker of the Anthropocene. The study of ARGs has the potential to link quantitative genomic information to broader Critical Zone biogeochemical cycles and transformations of mass and energy (Zhu et al., 2017a). In China and other locations, there is major uncertainty about the significance of ARGs in agricultural soils and waters receiving N and P recycled directly from animal and urban human waste water (Zhu et al., 2018).

5.2.2 Rapid Vegetation-Critical Zone Transformations

The rate at which vegetation can drive processes in the critical zone ecosystem is not well quantified, but may well be underestimated. In the Pampas of South America, deep soil and groundwater sampling in tree plantations within the grassland matrix demonstrates how vegetation can rapidly transform soil and groundwater chemistry through altered water and nutrient cycling. In these subhumid flat landscapes dominated by herbaceous vegetation, rapidly growing Eucalyptus forests switched the water balance from positive to negative, and led to rapid salinization of soil and groundwater within the root zone (Jobbágy and Jackson, 2007). Remarkably, the Eucalyptus stands salinize soils below the top meter but substantially acidify the soil's surface horizons due to excess cation uptake and sequestration in woody biomass (Jobbágy and Jackson 2003). These decades-long studies and others (Markewitz et al. 1998)

indicate the intensity and rate at which plants can leave unique and persistent chemical imprints on the critical zone ecosystem.

<p>555</p>

5.2.3 Depth of Biotic Signals

While relatively few CZOs have investigated the depth of biotic signals down through the regolith deep into the critical zone, Billings et al. (2018) recently documented that land use history has strong influences on depth of rooting and biogenic agents of soil development, and that these influences were only partly restored by many decades of forest regeneration. While

560 root density decreased sharply from 0 to 2 m depth across all soil profiles, below 70 cm root densities averaged 2.1-fold greater under old-growth forests than those in ~70-year old forests regenerating after decades of agricultural cultivation (Billings et al., 2018). Differences in rooting were associated with differences in biogeochemical environments in several ways, including microbial community composition that varied with land use throughout 0 to 5-m soil profiles.

565 Relative abundance of root-associated bacteria was also greater in old-growth forest soils than in regenerating forests. Old-growth forest soils also exhibited a greater fraction of soil organic C as extractable down to 5 m, hinting that more root and microbial exudates were present deep in the subsoil compared to regenerating forests. While both forests had higher CO_2 and lower O_2 at 3 and 5 m compared with cultivated fields, soil CO_2 was higher and O_2 lower under old growth

570 hardwoods than under 70-year old regenerating forests (Brecheisen, 2018). The data suggest that forest conversion to frequently disturbed ecosystems limits deep rooting and biotic generation of downward propagating weathering agents. Remarkably, some effects of surficial land uses were magnified by soil depth due to positive relations of depth and residence time.

575 ### 5.2.4 Animals and Critical Zones

Animals also can impart important imprints on the belowground ecosystem. Despite early recognition by Darwin (1882) and Gilbert (1909) that animals shape soils and the surface of the Earth, there is today relatively little study of the fundamental interactions of biology and soil geomorphology (Paton et al., 1996). Recent work by Winchell et al. (2016) on pocket

580 gophers (*Thomomys talpoides*) in the Boulder Creek CZO in the USA demonstrates the great potential for this field of biogeoscience. Subalpine meadows are habitats for gophers that are small but fierce diggers whose burrows are complex and up to 100 m in length. The gopher's subterranean habitat provides protection from predators and from winter's cold and provide access to plant roots for food. The digging and excavating resurfaces the meadows in 50 to 100

585 years, erosion is accelerated depending on slope, and stone lines are created at about 15 cm

depth. Remarkably, at no time do the gophers enter the nearby forests, so this is fundamentally an animal-vegetation-critical zone interaction. Given that the extent of forest and meadow vegetation at these elevations is affected by wildfires and climate, this bioturbation of the soil geomorphology ebbs and flows through time. In spite of some remarkably well-documented studies (e.g. Platt et al. 2016), bioturbation of the upper regolith is a tremendously understudied field of inquiry that could be much more comprehensively studied in all of the environmental research networks.

5.3 Biogeoscience and EONS

Nearly all EONs are being created by ecologists and biologists to quantify changes in organisms and the environment over decadal timescales and at regional to global spatial scales. Motivating these networks is a focus on changes in land use and climate, and biotic responses such as species stress and extinctions. The EON data sets that are sometimes compared with those from networks of weather stations, can be enormous and composed of multiple time series. Supplementary collections of data are important but the uniqueness of EONs is their common and controlled protocols applied to sites selected to range across environmental gradients.

5.3.1 EONS and the subsurface system

The biogeosciences can enrich EONs by bringing advanced geoscience to the strong ecological focus of these networks. Here, we specifically consider two notable EONs, NEON in the USA and TERN in Australia, both of which have instrumented sites and flux towers arrayed across continental scales collecting data that are conventionally considered to be ecologically and biologically relevant. We advocate for additional sensors in at least some of these sites to produce datasets that broaden perspectives of environmental change to include the biogeosciences. We suggest that the scientific impacts of the work to design, construct, and gather initial data at NEON, TERN, and other EONs can be multiplied with a more biogeoscience perspective of environmental change. The marginal benefits of even selective placement of sensors deep in the subsurface ecosystem could be large.

Considering the USA's NEON, for which observations are currently made no deeper than 2 m, a design modification strategy might expand this EON's scope via installations of sensors and samplers across the biogeochemical weathering profiles down below the water table and into and through the weathering bedrock. Hydrologic measurements can be enhanced, geomorphological investigations conducted, and landform evolution modeled using

620   many novel geoscience tools, including cosmogenic isotopes. We suggest this be accomplished
at NEON's and TERN's core sites via proposals from multidisciplinary scientists to guide sensor
installation at >2 m, deep borehole sampling, and in applying the latest geophysical,
geochemical, and geobiological approaches.

Engaging the biogeoscience community in EONs will have reciprocal benefits for the
625   ecological research community. Such engagement will strengthen support for and commitment
to EONs among researchers today and in the next generation by providing more early career
scientists with opportunities for involvement in these powerful research platforms. EONs, in turn,
might well achieve higher quality science as a result of additional intellectual input from
scientists, increased flexibility in their operations, and continued strength in their networked
630   instrumentation platform.

5.4 Biogeoscience and FLUXNET

Eddy flux towers have been versatile in addressing a wide variety of scientific questions
related to climate, ecological gradients, air pollution, rising CO_2, and changes in land use and
635   management. Groups of flux towers have estimated how fluxes of energy, water, carbon, and
trace gases are affected by plant functional types, length of growing season, drought stress, and
disturbances from fire and insect infestations. Flux towers have quantified effects of agricultural
management practices, such as fertilization, irrigation, and cultivation; ecological restoration;
deforestation, afforestation, and reforestation; and grazing. Flux tower networks have also
640   provided information on how albedo, temperature, and evaporation vary with climate and
ecological dynamics (Baldocchi, 2014). The detailed and continuous records of land-
atmosphere exchanges of carbon dioxide have contributed fundamentally to ecosystem and
atmospheric sciences, and in particular to understanding hydrologic cycling and ecosystem
metabolism. For good reason, EONs often have flux towers that are core to their
645   instrumentation, as do many ILTERs and CZOs.

Here, we consider how flux tower science may advance our biogeoscientific
understanding of the ecosystem exchanges of water and trace gases, and ecosystem
metabolism.

5.4.1 FLUXNET and the water cycle

650   Generally, those flux tower sites that measure soil moisture do so at relatively superficial
depths. A few tower sites have measured soil moisture throughout the full depth from which
water is taken up for evapotranspiration, and partition the origin of transpiration water among

specific soil layers in the root zone. While few studies have attempted to fully close the above

655   and belowground water budget, several have and these reveal some important results. Two are reviewed here.

Firstly, soil moisture contents and groundwater dynamics between 7 and 12 m were monitored over four years in an oak savanna with trees dominated by blue oak, *Quercus douglasii* (Miller et al. 2010). The climate was Mediterranean and semi-arid and the site was in

660   the Sierra Nevada foothills of California. Soil water storage is small and the rocky A and B horizons only 35 to 60-cm deep over fractured metavolcanic rock. A variety of water storage and flux measurements were collected over four years, including deep groundwater levels, soil moisture contents, sap flows to derive transpiration, and evapotranspiration from eddy covariance measurements, all aimed at partitioning the amount of water transpired from deep

665   groundwater. Remarkably, groundwater from deeper than 8 to 11 m accounted for about 80% of total evapotranspiration during three months in the dry season. The study concluded that blue oak is not only deeply rooted but probably an obligate phreatophyte, and that groundwater buffers rapid changes in the hydroclimate provided groundwater is not massively depleted by prolonged drought or by human drawdown. Secondly, in the modeling study,

670   Thompson et al. (2011) used the variation in the water cycle at 14 flux towers in contrasting ecosystems to compare a "null model" of the hydrologic cycle that coupled the Penman-Monteith equation for evapotranspiration with changes in soil moisture, and explored deviations between the null model and observations of water fluxes from the eddy covariance measurements. While the null model reproduced evapotranspiration reasonably well in arid,

675   shallow rooted ecosystems, it overestimated effects of water-storage limitation and could not reproduce seasonal variations in evapotranspiration in more humid and more deeply rooted ecosystems. Accounting for root access to deep soil moisture including from groundwater greatly improved prediction of evapotranspiration in the more humid ecosystems across multiple time scales. Both research studies (Miller et al., 2010; Thompson et al., 2011) not only

680   demonstrate the value of eddy flux approaches for ecosystem analysis, but they also remind us that ecosystems extend deep belowground and that hydrologic characterization of the deep subsurface may often be needed to close hydrologic budgets. Remotely sensing soil water has yet to demonstrate an ability to quantify water throughout the root zone.

685   **5.4.2 FLUXNET and trace gases**

Continued development of sensor technologies has enabled scientists to measure net ecosystem fluxes of many trace gases that were below detection limit in the past. A good

example is methane, a gas whose sensors have been able to estimate fluxes for over a decade, and a gas long recognized to be an important part of the carbon cycle in peatlands (Gorham,

690 1991) and one particularly influential to the greenhouse gas forcing of the climate. One of the first studies was that of Rinne et al. (2007) in a boreal wetland fen, who made the significant observation that about 20% of the annual CO_2 assimilated by plants was emitted as CH_4. Petrescu et al. (2015) used data from a network of wetland flux towers to estimate CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes concluded that net radiative forcings from the two gases were much higher in wetlands

695 converted to human uses.

Sensor technologies continue to advance rapidly. Tunable spectrometers *simultaneously* measure fluxes of many hundreds of volatile organic compounds (Park et al. 2013), tracers of vegetation and microbial reactions such as carbonyl sulfide and nitrous oxide, respectively, as well as fluxes of stable isotopes (Griffis, 2013). The prospects for future applications of eddy

700 covariance techniques are most certainly bright.

5.4.3 FLUXNET and the metabolism of terrestrial ecosystems

One of the most significant outcomes of eddy flux measurements has been measurements of carbon fluxes in studies of how ecosystem metabolism is affected by

705 disturbance and recovery. In a variety of ecosystems, measurements of carbon fluxes between the ecosystem and the atmosphere have documented how clearcutting, thinning, grazing, forest regrowth, woody encroachment, and wildfire and prescribed burning alter ecosystem exchange of carbon (e.g., Law et al., 2003; Amiro et al., 2010). In general, a CO_2 pulse from respiration is observed in the years after disturbance, but usually ecosystem photosynthesis matches and

710 exceeds respiration within a decade, depending on the particulars of the ecosystem and disturbance. Maximum carbon uptake often occurs throughout the remainder of the first century of regrowth, but it is highly significant and even surprising that nearly all old-growth forests with flux measurements are carbon sinks (e.g., Knohl, et al., 2003). Although future studies will need to more precisely estimate carbon fluxes over the life of forests under different management and

715 disturbance regimes (Harmon et al., 1990), the likelihood that old-growth forests are typically carbon sinks is a major contribution to discussions over Odum's (1969) concept that post-disturbance succession leads to old growth forests with photosynthesis in balance with respiration (Christensen, 2014). Flux tower approaches to ecosystem-atmosphere exchange have much to contribute to ecosystem and critical zone science, particularly when accompanied

720 by characterization of the subsurface that defines the lower boundary conditions for ecosystem fluxes of energy, water, carbon, and other gas-phase elements and compounds.

6.   Conclusions

Despite the radical interdisciplinarity of many of the founders of the biological and
725   geological sciences, for over a century these two disciplines have developed with relatively little
interaction. More often in parallel than together, biologists and geologists have studied the
Earth's diverse landscapes and ecosystems; the circulation of water, energy, gases, and
chemical elements; and the temporal and spatial dynamics of the planet's living and non-living
systems.

730   In the best of all worlds, research agencies will expand open-ended requests for
proposals to encourage creativity and excellence from teams of ecologists and Earth scientists,
specifically within environmental research networks. Both site- and network-based research
proposals might be requested to advance the science and engagement with environmental
research networks. Proposals for research should be open to post-doctoral fellows and early
735   career scientists, with provision for small projects and travel grants to develop wider
participation across the biology, ecology, and geoscience communities.

Given that the ILTERs and EONs have grown supported largely by the biological
sciences, CZOs by the geosciences, and FLUXNET by the micrometeorology and
ecophysiology communities, we argue that more explicit biogeoscience activities within these
740   research networks can create opportunities to meet G.E. Hutchinson's challenge (Slobodkin,
1993): to "confront all of the processes that maintain or change ecological systems, whether
these processes were (sic) biological, physical or geological."

Acknowledgments

This manuscript is dedicated the late Dr. Henry Gholz, who gave and received much joy in his championing of ecosystem science (30 June 2018; https://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/news/remembering-henry-gholz). The manuscript was inspired by LTER-CZO meetings at the 2015 LTER All-Scientists Meeting in Estes Park, Colorado from August 30 to September 2. An invitation by the LTER Network had been extended to Dr. Tim White of the CZO Program National Office and two CZO Principal Investigators (McDowell and Richter). Many co-authors work at environmental research network sites and participated in discussions of the Work Group on CZO-LTER Collaboration (30 June 2018; http://asm2015.lternet.edu/working-groups/critical-zone-observatorieslong-term-ecological-research-network-collaboration). The lead author thanks Will Cook for manuscript review, Duke University, and the National Science Foundation (NSF) for funding through the Biological Sciences Directorate and through the Geosciences Directorate's Division of Earth Sciences Critical Zone Observatory program (EAR-1331846).

Author contributions

Richter prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors. Most coauthors work at environmental network research sites and many participated in the 2015 LTER All-Scientists Meeting in Estes Park, Colorado (proposed by Tim White), in 2017 discussions of a Work Group on CZO-LTER Collaboration, and in 2016 and 2017 International CZO discussions at AGU Annual Meetings.

Author conflicts

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References cited

775 Amiro, B.D., Barr, G. Black, T.A., Bracho, R. Brown, M. Chen, J., Clark, K.L., Davis, K.J. Desai, A.R., Dore, S., Engel, V., and Fuentes, J.D., Goldstein, A.H., Goulden, M.L., Kolb, T.E., Lavigne, M.B., Law, B.E., Margolis, H.A., Martin, T., McCaughey, J.H., Misson, L., Montes-Helu, M., Noormets, A., Randerson, J.T., Starr, G., and Xiao, J.: Ecosystem carbon dioxide fluxes after disturbance in forests of North America, J. Geophysical Research 115,
780 doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001390, 2010.

Ashley, G.M.: Where are we headed? 'Soft' rock research into the new millennium. Abstract at Geol. Soc. Am., 1998.

Baldocchi, D.D., Falge, E., Gu, L., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C., Davis, K., Evans, R. and Fuentes, J.: FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and
785 spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 82, 2415-2434, 2001.

Baldocchi, D.D.: Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: past, present and future, Global Change Biology 9, 479-492, 2003.
790 Baldocchi, D.D.: Measuring fluxes of trace gases and energy between ecosystems and the atmosphere–the state and future of the eddy covariance method, Global Change Biology, 20, 3600-3609, 2014.

Banwart, S.A. Bernasconi, S.M., Blum, W.E.H., de Souza, D.M., Chabaux, F., Duffy, C., Kercheva, M., Krám, P., Lair, G.J., Lundin, L., Menon, M., Nikolaidis, N.P., Novak, M.,
795 Panagos, P., Ragnarsdottir, K.v., Robinson, D.A., Rousseva, S., de Ruiter, P., van Gaans, P., Weng, L., White, T., and Zhang B.: Soil functions in Earth's critical zone: key results and conclusions, Advances in Agronomy, 142, 1-27, 2017.

Bierman, R.P. and Nichols, K.K.: Rock to sediment-slope to sea with [10]Be-rates of landscape change. Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 32, 215-55, 2004.
800 Billings, S.A., Hirmas, D., Sullivan, P.L., Lehmeier, C., Bagchi, S. Min, K.J., Hauser, E., Stair, R., Flournoy, R., and Richter, D.deB. Forest regeneration over many decades only partially restores biogenic soil weathering agents limited by deep root removal. Elementa 6, doi.org/10.1525/elementa.287, 2018.

Bonan, G.B., Lawrence, P.J., Oleson, K.W., Levis, S., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Lawrence,
805 D.M., and Swenson, S.C.: Improving canopy processes in the Community Land Model version 4 (CLM4) using global flux fields empirically inferred from FLUXNET data, J. Geophys. Res., 116, G02014, doi:10.1029/2010JG001593, 2011.

Bormann, F.H.: Ecology: a personal history, Annual Review of Energy and the Environment 21, 1-29, 1996.
810 Bormann, F.H. and Likens, G.E.: Nutrient cycling, Science, 155, 424-429, 1967.

Brantley, S.L., Eissenstat, D.M., Marshall, J.A., Godsey, S.E., Balogh-Brunstad, Z., Karwan, D.L., Papuga, S.A., Roering, J., Dawson, T.E., Evaristo, J., and Chadwick, O.: Reviews and syntheses: on the roles trees play in building and plumbing the critical zone, Biogeosciences, 14, 5115, 2017a.
815 Brantley, S.L., Goldhaber, M.B. and Ragnarsdottir, K.V.: Crossing disciplines and scales to understand the critical zone, Elements, 3, 307-314, 2007.

Brantley, S. L., McDowell, W. H., Dietrich, W. E., White, T. S., Kumar, P., Anderson, S., Chorover, J., Lohse, K. A., Bales, R. C., Richter, D., Grant, G., and Gaillardet, J.: Designing

820 a network of critical zone observatories to explore the living skin of the terrestrial Earth,
Earth Surf. Dyn., https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2017-36, 2017b.

Brantley, S.L., White, T.S., White, A.F., Sparks, D., Richter, D., Pregitzer, K., Derry, L.,
Chorover, J., Chadwick, O., April, R., Anderson, S. and Amundson, R.: Frontiers in
exploration of the critical zone: Report of a workshop sponsored by the U.S. National
Science Foundation, Newark, DE, USA, 2006.

825 Braun, J.-J., Paiz, M.-C., McGrath, M.J., Rabenkogo, N., Mbonda, A.P., White, L., Gaillardet, J.,
Bouchez, J., Moquet, J.S., Regard, V., Carretier, S., Bricquet, J.P., Mahé, G. and D.deB.
Richter, CZO perspective in Central Africa: The Lopé watershed, Lopé National Park,
Ogooué River basin, Gabon. International Long Term Ecological Research Network and
LTER-France (Zones Ateliers Network & Critical Zone Observatories) Joint Conference,
830 Nantes, France, 2017.

Brecheisen, Z.: Macro to micro-legacies of land use at the Calhoun Critical Zone Observatory,
PhD. dissertation, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA, 2018.

Brocard, G.Y., Willenbring, J.K., Scatena, F.N., Johnson, A.H.: Effects of a tectonically-
triggered wave of incision on riverine exports and soil mineralogy in the Luquillo Mountains
835 of Puerto Rico, Appl. Geochemi., 63, 586-598, 2015.

Brocard, G.Y., Willenbring, J.K., Miller, T.E., and Scatena, F.N.: Relict landscape resistance to
dissection by upstream migrating knickpoints, Journal of Geophys. Res.: Earth Surf., 121,
1182-1203, 2016.

Brown, C.T., Hug, L.A., Thomas, B.C., Sharon, I., Castelle, C.J., Singh, A., Wilkins, M.J.,
840 Wrighton, K.C., Williams, K.H. and Banfield, J.F., Unusual biology across a group
comprising more than 15% of domain Bacteria, Nature, 523, 208-211, 2015.

Bueno CG, Marín C, Silva-Flores P, Aguilera P, and Godoy R.: Think globally, research locally:
emerging opportunities for mycorrhizal research in South America, New Phytologist, 215,
1306-1309, 2017.

845 Callahan, T.: Long-term ecological research, BioScience, 34, 363-367, 2008.

Chancellor, G.: Darwin Online. (http://darwin
online.org.uk/EditorialIntroductions/Chancellor_CoralReefs.html, accessed 2016 Dec., 2008.

Chen, W., Koide, R.T., Adams, T.S., DeForest, J.L., Cheng, L., and Eissenstat, D.M.: Root
morphology and mycorrhizal symbioses together shape nutrient foraging strategies of
850 temperate trees, PNAS, 113, 8741-8746, 2016.

Christensen, N.L.: An historical perspective on forest succession and its relevance to ecosystem
restoration and conservation practice in North America, Forest Ecology and
Management, 330, 312-322, 2014.

Chorover, J., Troch, P.A., Rasmussen, C., Brooks, P.D., Pelletier, J.D., Breshears, D.D.,
855 Huxman, T.E., Kurc, S.A., Lohse, K.A., McIntosh, J.C. and Meixner, T.: How water, carbon,
and energy drive critical zone evolution: The Jemez–Santa Catalina Critical Zone
Observatory, Vadose Zone J., 10, 884-899, 2011.

Contreras, S., Santoni, C.S., Jobbagy, E.G.: Abrupt watercourse formation in a semiarid
sedimentary
860 landscape of central Argentina: the roles of forest clearing, rainfall variability and seismic
activity, Ecohydrology, DOI: 10.1002/eco.1302, 2012.

Dalkmann, P., Broszat, M., Siebe, C., Willaschek, E., Sakinc, T., Hübner, J., Amelung, W., and
Siemens, J.: Accumulation of pharmaceuticals, enterococcus, and resistance genes in soils

irrigated with wastewater for zero to 100 years in central Mexico. PLoS ONE 7, e45397, 2012.

Darwin, C.: The formation of vegetable mold, through the action of worms. D. Appleton, New York, 1882.

Dialynas, Y.G., Bastola, S., Bras, R.L., Marin-Spiotta, E., Silver, W.L., Arnone, E., and Noto, L.V.: Impact of hydrologically driven hillslope erosion and landslide occurrence on soil organic carbon dynamics in tropical watersheds. In press, Water Res. Res., in press, 2018.

Evans, F.C.: Ecosystem as the basic unit in ecology, Science, 123, 1127-1128, 1956.

Evaristo, J., Jasechko, S., and McDonnell, J.J.: Global separation of plant transpiration from groundwater and streamflow, Nature, 525, 91-94, 2015.

Fan, Y.: Groundwater in Earth's critical zone: Relevance to large-scale patterns and processes, Water Res. Res., 51, 3052-3069, 2015.

Field, J.P., Breshears, D.D., Law, D.J., Villegas, J.C., López-Hoffman, L., Brooks, P.D., Chorover, J., and Pelletier, J.D.: Understanding ecosystem services from a geosciences perspective, Eos, 97, doi:10.1029/2016EO043591, 2016.

Gaillardet J., Braud I., Hankard F., Anquetin S., Bour O., Dorfliger N., de Dreuzy J.R. Galle S., Galy C., Gogo S., Gourcy L., Lagoun F., Longuevergne L., Le Borgne T., Naaim-Bouvet F., Nord G, Simonneaux V., Six D., Tallec T., and Valentin C.: OZCAR: the French network of Critical Zone Observatories, Vadose Zone Journal, submitted.

Giardino, J.R. and Houser, C.: 2015. Principles and Dynamics of the Critical Zone. Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, 2015.

Gilbert, G.K.: The convexity of hilltops, Journal of Geology 17, 344-350, 1909.

Gorham E.: Northern peatlands: Role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. Ecol Appl. 1, 182–195, 1991.

Griffis, T.J.: Tracing the flow of carbon dioxide and water vapor between the biosphere and atmosphere: A review of optical isotope techniques and their application, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 174, 85-109, 2013.

Groffman, P.A., Cadenasso, M.L., Cavender-Bares, J., Childers, D.L., Grimm, N.B., Grove, J.M., Hobbie, S.E., Hutyra, L.R., Jenerette, G.D., McPhearson, T. and Pataki, D.E.: Moving towards a new urban systems science, Ecosystems, doi: 10.1007/s10021-016-0053-4, 2017.

Guédron, S., Duwig, C., Prado, B.L., Point, d., Flores, M.G., Siebe, C., 2014. (Methyl)mercury, arsenic, and lead contamination of the World´s largest wastewater irrigation system: The Mezquital Valley (Hidalgo State-Mexico). Water, Air, Soil Poll. 225, 2045.

Hall, S.H. and W.L. Silver.: Synergisms among reactive minerals and reducing conditions explain spatial patterns of soil carbon in humid tropical forest soils. Biogeochemistry, 125, 149-165, 2015.

Hall, S., Silver, W., Timokhin, V.I., and Hammel, K.E.: Iron addition to soil specifically stabilized lignin, Soil Biol. Biochem., 98, 95–98, 2016.

Harmon, M.E., Ferrell, W.K., and Franklin, J.F.: Effects on carbon storage of conversion of old-growth forests to young forests, Science, 247, 699-702, 1990.

Hedin, L., Chadwick, O., Schimel, J., and Torn, M.: Linking Ecological Biology and Geoscience: Challenges for Terrestrial Environmental Science, NSF, Washington DC., 2002.

Herbert, S.: Charles Darwin, Geologist. Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, NY, 2005.

Hinckley, E.L.S., Anderson, S.P., Baron, J.S., Blanken, P.D., Bonan, G.B., Bowman, W.D., Elmendorf, S.C., Fierer, N., Fox, A.M., Goodman, K.J. and Jones, K.D.: Optimizing available

910   network resources to address questions in environmental biogeochemistry, BioScience, 66, 317-326, 2016.

Hug, L.A., Baker, B.J., Anantharaman, K., Brown, C.T., Probst, A.J., Castelle, C.J., Butterfield, C.N., Hernsdorf, A.W., Amano, Y., Ise, K., Suzuki, Y., Dudek, N., Relman, D.A., Finstad, K.M., Amundson, R., Thomas, B.C., and Banfield, J.F.: A new view of the tree of life, Nature
915   Microbiology 1, doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.48

Hursh, C.R., Hoover, M.D., and Fletcher, P.W.: Study in the balanced water-economy of experimental drainage-areas. Eos 23, 509-517, 1942.

Hutchinson, G.E.: The biosphere, Scientific American, 223, 44-53, 1970.

Hutchinson, G.E. and Wollack, A.: Chemical analyses of a core from Lindley Pond, North
920   Branford, Part 2. Studies on Connecticut lake sediments, Am. J. Sci., 238, 493-517, 1940.

Huxley, T.H.: Discourses: biological and geological. D. Appleton, New York, 1897.

Jenny, H.: E.W. Hilgard and the birth of modern soil science. Collana Della Rivista "Agrochimica", Pisa, Italy, 1961.

Jechalke, S., Broszat, M., Lang, F., Siebe C., Smalla K., and E. Grohmann, E.: Increased
925   abundance of resistance genes and mobile genetic elements in Mexican soil irrigated with wastewater for 100 years, Frontiers in Microbiology, 6, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb2015.00163, 2015.

Jobbágy, E.G., and Jackson, R.B.: Patterns and mechanisms of soil acidification in the conversion of grasslands to forests, Biogeochemistry 64, 205-229, 2003.
930 Jobbágy, E.G. and Jackson, R.B.: Groundwater and soil chemical changes under phreatophytic tree plantations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 112(G2), 2007.

Johnson, E.A. and Martin, Y.E.: A Biogeoscience Approach to Ecosystems. Cambridge Univ. Press, UK, 2016.

Kim, E.S., Trisurat, Y., Muraoka, H. et al. The International Long-Term Ecological Research –
935   East Asia-Pacific Regional Network (ILTER-EAP): history, development, and perspectives. Ecological Research, 33, doi.org/10.1007/s11284-017-1523-7, 2018.

Knohl, A., Schulze, E.D., Kolle, O. and Buchmann, N.: Large carbon uptake by an unmanaged 250-year-old deciduous forest in Central Germany, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 118, 151-167, 2003.
940 Krishnaswamy, J.: Forest management and water in India, p. 87-104, In Forest Management and the Impact on Water Resources, UNESCO, Paris, France, 2017.

LaBaugh, J.W., Harte, P.T., Shapiro, A.M., Hsieh, P.A., Johnson, C.D., Goode, D.J., Wood, W.W., Buso, D.C., Likens, G.E. and Winter, T.C.: Physical, Chemical, and Isotopic Data from Groundwater in the Watershed of Mirror Lake, and the Vicinity of Hubbard Brook, Near
945   West Thorton, New Hampshire, 1983 to 1997. US Geol. Surv., Open-File Report, 1087, 2013.

Law, B.E., Falge, E., Gu, L.V., Baldocchi, D.D., Bakwin, P., Berbigier, P., Davis, K., Dolman, A.J., Falk, M., Fuentes, J.D. and Goldstein, A.: Environmental controls over carbon dioxide and water vapor exchange of terrestrial vegetation, Agricultural and Forest
950   Meteorology, 113, 97-120, 2002.

Leigh, R.A. and Johnston, A.E. (Eds.): Long-Term Experiments in Agricultural and Ecological Sciences, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 1994.

Lindeman, R.L.: The trophic-dynamic aspect of ecology, Ecology, 23, 399-417, 1942.

Lindenmayer, D.B. and Likens, G.E.: 2009. Adaptive monitoring: a new paradigm for long-term
955   research and monitoring, Trends Ecol. Evol., 24, 482-6, 2009.

Lindenmayer, D.B., Likens, G.E. and Franklin, J.F.: Earth observatory networks (EONs): Finding the right balance, Trends Ecol. Evol., 33, 1-3, 2018.

Magnuson, J.J.: Long-term ecological research and the invisible present, BioScience, 40, 495-501, 1990.

960 Markewitz, D., Richter, D.D., Allen, H.L., and Urrego, J.B.: Three decades of observed soil acidification in the Calhoun Experimental Forest: Has acid rain made a difference?, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 62, 1428-1439, 1998.

McDowell, W.H., Brereton, R.L., Scatena, F.N., Shanley, J.B., Brokaw, N.V., and Lugo, A.E.: Interactions between lithology and biology drive the long-term response of stream chemistry

965 to major hurricanes in a tropical landscape, Biogeochemistry, 116, 175-186, 2013.

McGill, W.B., Cannon, K.R., Robertson, J.A., Cook, F.D.: Dynamics of soil microbial biomass and water-soluble C in Breton after 50 years of cropping to two rotations, Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 66, 1-19, 1986.

McIntosh, R.P.: The Background of Ecology, Cambridge Univ. Press, UK, 1985.

970 Miller, G.R., Chen, X.Y., Rubin, Y., Ma, S.Y. and Baldocchi, D.D.: Groundwater uptake by woody vegetation in a semiarid oak savanna, Water Resources Research, 46, W10503, doi:10.1029/2009WR008902, 2010.

Moncrieff, J.B., Massheder, J.M., de Bruin, H., Elbers, J., Friborg, T., Heusinkveld, B., Kabat, P., Scott, S., Soegaard, H., and. Verhoef, A.: A system to measure fluxes of momentum,

975 sensible heat, water vapour and carbon dioxide, Journal of Hydrology, 188-189, 589-611, 1997.

Mirtl, M., Borer, E., Djukic, I., Forsius, M., Haubold, H., Hugo, W. Jourdan, J., Lindenmayer, D., McDowell, W.H., Muraoka, H., Orenstein, D., Pauw, J.C., Peterseil, J., Shibata, H., Wohner, C., Yu, X., and Haase, P.: Genesis, goals and achievements of Long-Term Ecological

980 Research at the global scale: A critical review of ILTER and future directions, Sci. Total Environ., 613, 1376-1384, 2018.

National Research Council, Committee on Basic Research Opportunities in the Earth Sciences: Basic Research Opportunities in Earth Science, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 2001.

985 Odum, E.P.: Energy flow in ecosystems: a historical review. American Zoologist, 8, 11–18, 1968.

Odum, E.P.: The strategy of ecosystem development, Science, 164, 262–270, 1969.

Odum, H.T.: Primary production in flowing waters, Limnology and Oceanography, 1, 102–117, 1956.

990 O'Neill, K. and Richter, D.deB.: Learning from the "deep changes in the land": The Critical Zone perspective in environmental science education, The Earth Scientist, 32, 25-27, 2016.

Park, J.H., Goldstein, A.H., Timkovsky, J., Fares, S., Weber, R., Karlik, J., and Holzinger, R.: Active atmosphere-ecosystem exchange of the vast majority of detected volatile organic compounds, Science, 341, 643-647, 2013.

995 Paton, T.R., Humphreys, G.S., and Mitchell, P.B.: Soils - A New Global View. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, USA, 1995.

Petrescu, A.M.R., Lohila, A., Tuovinen, J.-P., Baldocchi, D.D., Desai, A.R., Roulet, N.T., Vesala, T. et al.: The uncertain climate footprint of wetlands under human pressure, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112, 4594-4599, 2015.

1000 Platt B, Kolb, D, Kunhardt, C, Milo, SP, New, LG. 2016. Burrowing through the literature: the impact of soil-disturbing vertebrates on physical and chemical properties of soil. Soil Science 181:175-191.

Raupach, M.R.: Anomalies in flux-gradient relationships over forest, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 16, 467–486, doi:10.1007/ BF03163564, 1979.

1005 Reichle, D.E. (Ed.): Dynamic Properties of Forest Ecosystems, Cambridge University Press, UK, 1981.

Rempe, D.M. and Dietrich, W.E.: A bottom-up control on fresh-bedrock topography under landscapes, PNAS, 111, 6576–6581, 2014.

Reynolds, O.: On the dynamical theory of incompressible viscous fluids and the determination of
1010 criterion, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, A174: 935-982, 1895.

Richter, D.deB. and Billings, S.A.: "One physical system": Tansley's ecosystem as Earth's critical zone, New Phytologist, 206, 900–912, 2015.

Richter, D.deB., Hofmockel, M., Callaham, M.A., Powlson, D.S. and Smith, P.: Long-term soil experiments: Keys to managing Earth's rapidly changing ecosystems, Soil Science Society
1015 of America Journal, 71, 266–279. doi:10.2136/sssaj2006.018, 2007.

Richter, D.D., and Markewitz, D.: Understanding soil change, Cambridge University Press, UK, 2001.

Richter, D.deB. and Yaalon, D.: "The changing model of soil" revisited. Soil Science Society of America Journal 76, 766–778, 2012.

1020 Riebe, C.S., Hahm, W.J., and Brantley, S.L.: Controls on deep critical zone architecture: A historical review and four testable hypotheses, Earth Surf. Proc. Landf., doi:10.1002/esp.4052, 2016.

Rinne, J., Riutte, T., Pihlatie, M., Aurela, M., Haapanala, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Tuittila, E.-S., and Vesala, T.: Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy
1025 covariance technique, Tellus B, 59, 449-457, 2007.

Robertson, G.P., Allen, V.G., Boody, G., Boose, E.R., Creamer, N.G., Drinkwater, L.E., Gosz, J.R., Lynch, L., Havlin, J.L., Jackson, L.E., Pickett, S.T.A., Pitelka, L., Randall, A., Reed, A.S., Seastedt, T.R., Waide, R.B., and Wall, D.H.: Long-term agricultural research: A research, education, and extension imperative, BioScience, 58:640–645, 2008.

1030 Rossiter, M.W.: The Emergence of Agricultural Science – Justus Liebig and the Americans. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, USA, 1975.

Schroeder, P.A.: Clays in the Critical Zone, Cambridge University Press, UK, 2018.

Shanley, J.B., McDowell, W.H., and Stallard, R.F.: Long-term patterns and short-term dynamics of stream solutes and suspendedsediment in a rapidly weathering tropical watershed,
1035 Water Res. Res., 47, art. W07515, doi:10.1029/2010WR009788, 2011.

Siebe, C., Chapela-Lara, M., Cayetano-Salazar, M., Prado, B. and Siemens, J.: Effects of 100 years of Soil-Aquifer-Treatment of Mexico City's wastewater in the Mezquital valley. In: Hettiarachchi, H. and R. Ardakanian, eds. Safe Use of Wastewater in Agriculture: Good Practice Examples. Dresden: UNU-FLORES, 2016.

1040 Sier, A. and Monteith, D.: The UK Environmental Change Network after twenty years of integrated ecosystem assessment: Key findings and future perspectives, Ecological Indicators, 68, 1-12, 2016.

Slobodkin, L.B.: An appreciation: George Evelyn Hutchinson, Journal of Animal Ecology, 62, 390-394, 1993.

1045 Smith, P., Körschens, M., Franko, U., Romanenkov, V., Coleman, K., Rodionova, V., and Schramm, G.: EuroSOMNET - A European database of long-term experiments on soil organic matter: The WWW metadatabase, Journal of Agricultural Science, 138, doi10.1017/S0021859601001800, 2002.

St. Clair, J., Moon, S., Holbrook, W.S., Perron, J.T., Riebe, C.S., Martel, S.J., Carr, B., Harman,
1050 C., Singha, K., and Richter, D.deB.: Geophysical imaging reveals topographic stress control of bedrock weathering, Science, 350, 534–538, 2015.

Stichweh, R.: The sociology of scientific disciplines: On the genesis and stability of the disciplinary structure of modern science, Science in Context, 5, 3–15, 1992.

Swanson, F.J. and Franklin, J.F.: The long-term ecological research program, Eos, 69, 34-6,
1055 1988.

Tahir, M., Lv, Y., Gao, L., Hallett, P.D., and Peng, X.: Soil water dynamics and availability for citrus and peanut along a hillslope at the Sunjia Red Soil Critical Zone Observatory (CZO), Soil Tillage Research, 163, 110–118, 2016.

Tansley, A.G.: The use and abuse of vegetational concepts and terms, Ecology, 16, 284–307,
1060 1935.

Thaker, A. Bagchi, S., Shanker, K., J. Krishnaswamy, K. Kunte, N. Namboothri, S. Quader, N. Rai, J. Ratnam, V.V. Robin , M. Sankaran, A. Sridhar, K.A. Subramanian and A.T. Vanak: Indian Long Term Ecological Observatories. Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change, India, 2015.

1065 Thompson, S.E., Harman, C.J., Troch, P.A., Brooks, P.D., and Sivapalan, M.: Spatial scale dependence of ecohydrologically mediated water balance partitioning: A synthesis framework for catchment ecohydrology, Water Resources Research, 47, doi:10.1029/2010WR009998, 2011.

Tilman, D., Dodd, M.E., Silvertown, J., Poulton, P.R., Johnston, A.E., and Crawley, M.J.: The
1070 Park Grass Experiment: Insights from the most long-term ecological study, p. 287-303, In Leigh, R.A. and Johnston, A.E. (Eds.), Long-Term Experiments in Agriculture and Ecological Sciences, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 1994.

Tirol-Padre, A. and Ladha, J.K.: Integrating rice and wheat productivity trends using the SAS mixed-procedure and meta-analysis, Field Crops Research, 95, 75–88, 2006.

1075 Tramontana, G., Ichii, K., Camps-Valls, G., Tomelleri, E., and Papale, D.: Uncertainty analysis of gross primary production upscaling using random forests, remote sensing and eddy covariance data, Remote Sens. Environ., 168, 360–373, 2015.

Van den Hoof, C., Vidale P.L., Verhoef, A., and Vincke, C.: Improved evaporative flux partitioning and carbon flux in the land surface model JULES: Impact on the simulation of
1080 land surface processes in temperate Europe, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 181, 108–124, 2013.

Vernadsky, V.I.: The Biosphere. Copernicus, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

Walters, M. and Scholes, R.J.: The GEO handbook on biodiversity observatory networks, Springer Open, Switzerland, 2016.

1085 Waters, C.N., Zalasiewicz, J., Summerhayes, C., Barnosky, A.D., Poirier, C., Gałuszka, A., Cearreta, A., Edgeworth, M., Ellis, E.C., Ellis, M., Jeandel, C., Leinfelder, R., McNeill, J.R., Richter, D.deB., Steffen, W., Syvitski, J., Vidas, D., Wagreich, M., Williams, M., Zhisheng, A.

Grinevald, J., Odada, E., Oreskes, N., Wolfe, A.P.: The Anthropocene is functionally and stratigraphically distinct from the Holocene, Science, 351: 137, aad2622-1-10, 2016.

1090 Webb, N., Herrick, J., Van Zee, J., Courtright, E., Hugenholtz, C., Zobeck, T.M., Okin, G.S., Barchyn, T.E., Billings, B.J., Boyd, R., Clingan, S., Cooper, B., Duniway, M.C., Derner, J.D., Fox, F.A., Havstad, K.M., Heilman, P., LaPlante, V., Ludwig, N.A., Metz, L.J., Nearing, M.A., Norfleet, L., Pierson, F.B., Sanderson, M.A., Sharratt, B.S., Steiner, J.L., Tatarko, J., Tedela, N.H., Toledo, D., Unnasch, R.S., Van Pelt, S., and Wagner, L.: The National
1095 Wind Erosion Research Network: Building a standardized long-term data resource for aeolian research, modeling and land management", Aeolian Research, 22, 23-36, 2016.

Winchell, E.W., Anderson, R.S., Lombardi, E.M., and Doak, D.F.: Gophers as geomorphic agents in the Colorado Front Range subalpine zone, Geomorph., 264, 41-51, 2016.

Wofsy, S.C., Goulden, M.L., Munger, J.W., Fan, S.M., Bakwin, P.S., Daube, B.C., Bassow, S.L.
1100 and Bazzaz, F.A.: Net exchange of CO_2 in a mid-latitude forest, Science, 260, 1314-1317, 1993.

Wolf, J., Brocard, G., Willenbring, J., Porder, S., and Uriarte, M.: Abrupt change in forest height along a tropical elevation gradient detected using airborne LiDAR, Remote Sensing, 8, 864, doi:10.3390/rs8100864, 2016.

1105 Wu, J.: Hierarchy and scaling: extrapolating information along a scaling ladder, Can. J. Remote Sens., 25, 367-380, 1999.

Wymore, A.S., West, N.R., Maher, K., Sullivan, P.L., Harpold, A., Karwan, D., Marshall, J.A., Perdrial, J., Rempe, D.M., and Ma, L.: Growing new generations of critical zone scientists, Earth Surf. Proc. Landf., 42, 2498-2502, 2017.

1110 Zacharias, S., Bogena, H., Samaniego, L., Mauder, M., Fuss, R., Pütz, T., Frenzel, M., Schwank, M., Baessler, C., Buerbach-Bahl, K., Bens, O., Borg, E., Brauer, A., Dietrich, P., Hajnsek, I., Helle, G., Kiese, R., Kunstmann, H., Klotz, S., Munch, J.C., Papen, H., Priesack, E., Schmid, H.P., Steinbrecher, R., Rosenbaum, U., Teutsch, G., and Vereecken, H.: A network of terrestrial environmental observatories in Germany, Vadose Zone Journal, 10,
1115 955-973, 2011.

Zhao, B.Q., Li, X.Y., Liu, H., Wang, B.R., Zhu, P., Huang, S.M., Bao, D.J., and Li, Y.T.: Results from long-term fertilizer experiments in China: The risk of groundwater pollution by nitrate, Wageninen Journal of Life Sciences, 58, 177-183, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.njas.2011.09.004, 2011.

1120 Zhu, Y-G, Michael Gillings, Pascal Simonet P., Stekel D., Banwart SA., and Penuelas J.: Microbial mass movements, Science, 357, 1099-1100, 2017a.

Zhu, Y.-G., Reid, B.J., Meharg, A.A., Banwart, S.A., and Fu, B.-J.: Optimizing Peri-Urban Ecosystems (PURE) to re-couple urban-rural symbiosis. Science of the Total Environment, 586, 1085-1090, 2017b.

1125 Zhu Y.-G., Gillings M., Simonet P., Stekel D., Banwart S. and Penualas, J.: Human dissemination of genes and microorganisms in Earth's Critical Zone, Global Change Biology, 24, 1488-1499, 2018.

1130

Figure captions

Figure 1. The great biogeochemist Vladimir Vernadsky gave special attention to solar radiation driving global photosynthesis and subsequently the global biological, geological, and human responses that follow from this remarkable transfer of "cosmic energy". The false-color composite image displays ocean chlorophyll *a* concentrations from dark blue at ~0.05 mg/m^3 to green at ~1 mg/m^3 to red at >30 mg/m^3, and land normalized difference vegetation index from a minimum as brown to a maximum as dark green to blue. (The image of global photoautotroph abundance is integrated over 20 years from 1997 to 2016, and is provided by the SeaWiFS Project, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center).

Figure 2. Throughout the 20th century, precipitation and streamflow were measured in watersheds, for example a) at Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory in western North Carolina where in Watershed 17, catchment hydrologic response to deforestation was quantified (Hursh et al. 1942). Watershed-ecosystem studies continue to quantify hydrologic responses to land uses but with greatly advanced instrumentation as is shown in b) the Stringer Creek Watershed in Montana (available at: http://water.engr.psu.edu/gooseff/web_research/hydroscapes.html , 2018), in which within watershed processes such as water storage, interflow, groundwater recharge, gas exchanges, nutrient flows, evapotranspiration, and other processes are estimated with advanced sensor technologies, often in real time, supported by LiDAR-generated digital elevation models, and eddy covariance fluxes of energy, water, and carbon.

Figure 3. Core conceptual models of a) ecologists' ecosystem (Lindenmayer and Likens 2009, after Bormann and Likens 1967), b) Earth scientists' critical zone (courtesy of Southern Sierras Critical Zone Observatory), and c) an EON design (courtesy of USA's National Ecological Observatory Network), complete with eddy covariance flux tower. The congruence of the ecosystem and critical zone concepts that guide these networks motivates biogeoscientists to consider how to get the most from these long-term research investments.

Figure 4. Hydrologic stations are currently being installed and upgraded in the vast and understudied central African landscapes. The international French critical zone program, OZCAR, is expanding biogeoscience infrastructure in the Congo River basin as well as in smaller river basins such as Gabon's Ogooué River. The image is of Gabonese savannas and forests in Lopé National Park (Photograph, J.J. Braun).

Figure 5. An example of geohydrological, geochemical, and geohistorical control over lake water chemistry, biology, and ecology. The diagram (slightly redrawn here) was used by Magnuson (1990) as justification for expanding space and time scales for ecological research. The figure illustrates the relatively slowly operating hydrogeologic processes of groundwater flow through contrasting glacial tills. Dilute water from Crystal Lake (~10 μmol/L HCO$_3^-$) arrives at Big Muskellunge Lake with greatly elevated alkalinity (~350 μmol/L HCO$_3^-$) due to flow paths of groundwater that extend tens of meters deep through carbonate-rich substrata. The example illustrates the overlapping of biological and geological sciences in space and time.

Figure 6. A space-time diagram of the sort used to justify LTER's emphasis on extending ecosystem research into longer times cales and larger spatial scales (modified from Wu 1999). Spatial-temporal scales of interest in ecology and Earth sciences overlap from small to large and from instantaneous to many millions of years.