

## ***Interactive comment on “Ecosystem responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> using airborne remote sensing at Mammoth Mountain, California” by Kerry Cawse-Nicholson et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 2 June 2018

### Overview and significance

In this analysis Cawse-Nicholson et al. describe ecological attributes measured through several remote sensing platforms in relation to ground-measured and modeled elevated CO<sub>2</sub> originating from volcanic degassing. The primary objective and novelty of this study is to estimate the impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plant growth and whole ecosystems by utilization naturally occurring gradients of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> from volcanic degassing. Previous experiments and studies in estimating the impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plants and ecosystems approach scaling limitations; whether through limited species diversity, space or time of exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, and/or cost of artificially

C1

elevating CO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore conclusions of experimental CO<sub>2</sub> enhancements are limited to relatively few species and over short periods of time without leveraging natural gradients of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Methodologies to use natural CO<sub>2</sub> gradients in determining plant and ecosystem responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> described herein, in conjunction with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> experiments, will fill important gaps in understand how individual plants to whole ecosystems will respond to continually increasing levels of CO<sub>2</sub>. The hope for the methodology described herein is for it to be applied where gradients of CO<sub>2</sub> exists in order to understand the impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> across multiple biomes.

General comments:

The authors outline their objectives as

1. Evaluate the viability of using a passively degassing volcano system to study the properties of ecosystems; 2. assess the detectability of ecological responses to elevated soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions via airborne data alone; 3. Present key lessons enabling future studies to extend our framework to other biomes.

Objective 1 is approached using soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements at a spatial resolution of 1 meter. This was made possible through the records of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements at Mammoth Mountain. The authors acknowledge that measurements from soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes will be much different and more stable than atmospheric fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> (page 5 line 10 and page 15 line 35). This approach makes estimating actual atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> measurements intractable under known methodologies but is strong enough to infer that atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> was greater than background where soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux was greater.

Mammoth Mountain included a tree-kill zone for which the authors selected the trees around this zone. The presence of a tree-kill zone naturally leads to hypotheses that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> will have a negative effects on vegetation at some point up the CO<sub>2</sub> gradient. Previous studies pointing this out are cited in the manuscript and detected by NDVI (Rouse et al. 2010 and Chelath et al. 2011) and through tree ring anal-

C2

ysis (Biondi and Fessenden 1999). The authors addition to these cited analyses to include vegetation indices from AVIRIS and biomass measurements derived from Li-dar as proposed in Objective 2. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux was shown to be a significant predictor for these indices and remotely sensed attributes. While the vegetation indices are all slightly different they are largely related to one another vs. the other measurements of biomass, plant foliar traits, and canopy evapotranspiration. Some explanation as to why looking at several different vegetation indices and comparing each individually to enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> may be beneficial for understanding how plant physiology is impacted and what methodologies may be selected in investigating other biomes (Objective 3).

The hypothesis and observations that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> has negative effects on vegetation is contrary to many greenhouse and FACE experiments of artificially enhancing CO<sub>2</sub>, but is likely related to the intensity of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> at the volcanic site. The authors also speculate that elevated soil CO<sub>2</sub> may lead to oxygen deprivation of roots and soil acidification (page 15 line 34 and cited in Farrar et al., 1995; Qi et al., 1994; McGee and Gerlach, 1998). This has major confounding effects on being able to use volcanic degassing to detect the impact of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis and carbon sequestration if suitable soil chemistry for plant growth becomes a limiting factor. Rouse et al. (2010) did observe that in multispectral analysis of vegetation revealed that plant vigor degraded under high CO<sub>2</sub> but slightly increased under low CO<sub>2</sub>. Along the same lines that Cawse-Nicholson et al. have speculated, slight increase in plant vigor may exist in zones where soil O<sub>2</sub> is still above a certain threshold and/or soils are adequately buffered. I suggest that in order for the methodology put forth by Cawse-Nicholson et al. to effectively capture the impact of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on ecosystem traits that measurements be made of soil O<sub>2</sub>, soil pH, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> be made in future studies. As is, the study of Cawse-Nicholson et al. provides a valuable step forward in being able to scale-up the impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on plants to whole ecosystems and across differing biomes.

Specific comments: - Table 2. As the primary subject of this paper is elevated CO<sub>2</sub> a

C3

complete ranking of the explanatory variables against CO<sub>2</sub> would be informative even for dependent variables in which eCO<sub>2</sub> was not the most influential variable.

Technical corrections: Page 11 line 15 slope and aspect seem mixed up as slopes of 350 are not feasible.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-73>, 2018.

C4