

Replies to the reviews

Anonymous Referee #1

5 This manuscript by Fischer et al. presents N₂O gas observations including isotopes in ice cores from the Last
Glacial Maximum to preindustrial. They build upon previous work using a Monte Carlo two-box model to
interpret the data and estimate changes to terrestrial and marine emissions. The authors conclude that both
terrestrial and marine emissions must have increased over the deglaciation, with the terrestrial contribution likely
to be about a factor of 2 larger than marine, and discuss uncertainties. A more robust result from the analysis is
10 the temporal dynamics that indicate two sharp increases in terrestrial emissions at the beginning of the B/A and
end of the YD, while marine emissions are driven by longer millennial fluctuations that appear to be linked to
North Atlantic climate/AMOC.


Overall I find this to be an excellent study that gives new quantitative insights to N₂O emissions over the last
15 28,000 years. The simple box model is a simple but suitable framework to estimate N₂O emissions. However, I
have a couple of issues regarding the model estimates that should be addressed before I would recommend
publication. Major Comment: Uncertainty of total terrestrial vs. marine emission increases over the deglaciation

20 We thank the reviewer for his/her overall positive assessment of our work and will try to meet the points of
criticisms as outlined below. Note that in addition to the textual changes outlined below we will provide
improved versions of Figures 5 and 7.

In the abstract, the uncertainty levels on the deglacial increase of N₂O emissions are small at 0.3 Tg N yr⁻¹,
which gives the impression that there is a high degree of certainty on the relative contribution of terrestrial and
25 marine emissions, which is one of the most important results of this study. After reading the discussion in the
text, this seems much more uncertain. For example on page 20, lines 1-2: “: : the deglacial increase in terrestrial
and marine emissions depends on the assumed initial ratio of terrestrial to marine N₂O emissions: : :”. This
implies that the contribution of terrestrial vs. marine emissions over the deglaciation is determined from a modern
model estimate that could have been much different in LGM conditions and thus introduces large uncertainties.
30 My guess is that the temporal dynamics of the model fit to the observations prevent a large deviation from this
imposed initial assumption, which could be more clearly described.

We thank the reviewer for this comment as it illustrates that we did not explain sufficiently in the text the difference in the uncertainty of the absolute level of the marine and terrestrial emission fluxes on the one side and the flux anomalies relative to the LGM level on the other. The prior uncertainty is much larger (colored error bar in Figure 7) than the latter (dashed lines in Figure 7). The reason for this is that the absolute level of marine and terrestrial emissions is strongly dependent on the individual choice of the isotopic source signatures in each Monte Carlo run. This choice, thus, also largely determines the marine fraction. However, in the course of the transition and the Holocene, the isotopic source signature remains the same in each Monte Carlo run and, thus, individual runs are offset from each other in the marine and terrestrial emission fluxes (in opposite directions), but this offset remains essentially the same over the entire last 21 kyr (BTW, this also implies that the marine fraction of each individual run does not change strongly over the last 21 kyr). To illustrate that explain this in more detail in the manuscript and add three individual example runs in Figure 7 that clearly show the offsets, while the anomalies relative to the LGM are very similar. In particular we add on page 15:

The N_2O and $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ records allow us to disentangle changes in global terrestrial and global marine N_2O emissions to the atmosphere since the LGM. To this end we used the two-box model deconvolution of the N_2O and $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ records described in section 2.2 to determine marine and terrestrial N_2O emissions and their uncertainty (Fig. 7) over the last 21 kyr. The uncertainty (colored shading in Figure 7) of the absolute emissions (left y-axis) is relatively large, reflecting the large range of possible isotopic source signatures for marine and terrestrial emissions accepted in the box model runs, which spreads essentially over the entire allowed range of terrestrial and marine source signatures. Together with the constraint on the marine emission fraction, this determines the absolute level of terrestrial and marine emissions in each of our accepted Monte Carlo runs (see Figure 7 for three examples of individual runs (grey lines)). Note that these individual runs are systematically offset from each other dependent on the choice of the isotopic source signature, but that the anomalies relative to the LGM level of all runs are very similar. Thus using our Monte Carlo box model deconvolution with randomly chosen but temporal constant isotopic source signatures, we can quantify emission anomalies relative to the LGM level much more precisely than the absolute emission level using or deconvolution.



(new Figure 7)

5 In any case, the selection of the uncertainty levels (0.3 Tg N yr⁻¹) should be specifically discussed. I guess it comes from the uncertainty in anomalies which is only described in one sentence in the caption of Figure 7, and thus I do not fully understand. It yields an uncertainty level of 0 at the LGM, i.e. assumes that the imposed initial condition based on a modern model is also correct in the LGM as I understand it. I would have thought the uncertainty should be at its largest levels during the LGM and deglaciation since there is additional uncertainty

10 regarding how end-member isotopic values may have changed. In Figure 6, the low-biased and high-biased marine fraction sensitivity simulations suggest much higher uncertainties in emissions that seems more realistic since this is a key assumption/uncertainty driving the model estimate.

The reviewer is correct that the uncertainties provided in the abstract refer to the uncertainties in the anomalies relative to the LGM level. As mentioned above this uncertainty is much smaller than the one of the absolute emissions levels. We will stress this more clearly in the revised manuscript.

- 5 Please note that the results of the sensitivity studies using scenarios of systematic changes in the isotopic source signature over the transition (scenario 1 and 2) lie within the 1 sigma uncertainty of our standard runs, where for the latter the isotopic source signatures are kept constant. Also the high biased marine fraction scenario lies within the 1 sigma uncertainty of our standard runs and the low biased scenario still lies within the 2-sigma uncertainty. In particular the low-biased scenario should be regarded as an extreme scenario (see also below).
- 10 Accordingly, the provided 1 sigma uncertainty of the anomalies in land and marine emission fluxes relative to the LGM represent a realistic error estimate of the temporal changes.

As correctly pointed out by the reviewer, the low biased scenario implies very small absolute LGM-Holocene changes in marine emissions (and larger changes for terrestrial emissions). However, at the same time this scenario requires a substantial decline of marine N₂O emission over the course of the Holocene, which is hard to explain for a period of relatively constant climate. While a low marine fraction as required in this low biased run is entirely possible for the LGM, this run implies also a very low marine fraction during preindustrial times, which is at the bottom end of the potential marine fraction estimates by Battaglia and Joos (2018b) for that time. A lower glacial marine fraction and higher fraction during the late Holocene requires to change the isotopic source signature over time similar to what is shown in the sensitivity scenario 1 (depleted terrestrial emissions in the course of the termination).

15

20

We expand the discussion on this topic in the revised manuscript. In particular we will add on page 19 of the revised manuscript:

- 25
- "Second, the influence of the prior assumption on the initial fraction of marine emissions relative to total N₂O emissions is investigated. In the standard Monte Carlo setup, the marine contribution at the start of the deconvolution is uniformly varied between 30 % and 58 % of total emissions (equivalent to a range of 3.3 to 6.6 TgN yr⁻¹ in preindustrial marine N₂O emissions), following the most recent observation-constrained estimate (Battaglia and Joos, 2018b) with a best-guess estimate of 43 % very close to the mean preindustrial value in our reconstruction (Figure 7). In two sensitivity tests, we investigate the influence of the prescribed initial range and vary the initial fraction of marine emissions between 25 % and*
- 30

35 % (low-biased scenario, green line in Fig. 6C to E) and between 53 and 63 % (high-biased scenario, blue line in Fig. 6C to E) only. Assuming such strong deviations from the best estimate for the marine fraction, the Holocene emission anomalies relative to the LGM level are shifted by about $+1\sigma$ of the standard runs towards higher marine emissions in the high-biased scenario and by -2σ of the standards runs towards lower marine emissions in the low-biased scenario. In fact, the latter

5 sensitivity run does show only a very small change in marine emissions between the LGM and the late Holocene. However, while a low marine fraction during the LGM as required in this run is possible, the preindustrial marine fraction in this run is lower than the best guess estimate by Battaglia and Joos (2018b), thus this run is likely underestimating the Holocene increase in marine emissions. To reconcile the required increase in marine fraction from the LGM to the late Holocene and our isotopic constraints asks for a significant shift in the isotopic source signatures, similar to what is observed in source

10 signature scenario 1. We conclude, that the results of our sensitivity studies overall support the robustness of our results and that the standard deviation of the emission anomalies relative to the LGM level in the standard runs provides a representative uncertainty estimate for possible emission changes. While we stress that the absolute magnitude of land and ocean N_2O emissions is sensitive to selected isotopic source signature and the assumed split between marine and terrestrial N_2O emissions, the relative changes in the temporal evolution of marine and terrestrial emissions are much less affected by

15 this choice."

Minor comments:

20 Page 1, line 17: "show" should be something like "estimate" or "suggest" since that number is a model prediction, not an observation

"Our reconstruction indicates"

25 Page 8, lines 30-32: "varied between -34 and +2 % for the global terrestrial emissions and between +4 and +10 ‰ for global marine emissions"

What are the actual best-fit isotopic end-member values used in the model simulations? Given these wide ranges, I am curious how many plausible scenarios exist that can still explain the observations. Since the presented

30 emission change scenarios are mostly consistent, I assume the range of values in the "accepted runs calculated by the Monte Carlo atmospheric two-box model" is quite narrow. Is that correct? In general, I wonder how useful the isotopic constraint is given these large ranges of end-member values.

The isotopic signatures in the accepted Monte Carlo runs show a rather wide distribution. For the land emissions this distribution covers the interval from -5 to -34 permille and has a relatively wide Gaussian shape, where more accepted runs are found in the land signature range between -10 and -23 permille. For the marine emissions the distribution of accepted runs is quasi uniform and covers the entire allowed isotopic range from +4 to +10 permille. Thus, the range of accepted values is actually quite wide. This is also the reason why the uncertainty of our estimates of the absolute emission fluxes are relative large (while the uncertainties of the anomalies relative to the LGM are not). This will be discussed in the revised manuscript (see first comment above).

10 Note that a higher frequency of accepted runs for a certain (land) isotopic signature implies only that it is easier for the Monte Carlo model to find a solution within the error limits, but does imply that these specific isotopic signatures are more likely than others.

Page 19, lines 17-18: "However, the temporal evolution of relative changes in land
15 marine N₂O emissions remains similar".

sentence changed to: "However, the temporal evolution of anomalies in both land and marine N₂O emissions relative to the LGM values remains similar."

20 This is where I get a bit confused. Figure 6b shows large differences in the relative marine emissions for the different marine fraction scenarios. I would say that a scenario that remains near peak deglacial levels across the Holocene (high-biased fm) has a different temporal evolution to one that drops back to LGM values during the Holocene (low-biased fm), even if the smaller wiggles correspond.

25 The high-biased and low-biased sensitivity runs should be regarded as extreme scenarios as the preindustrial marine fraction implied in these scenarios does not agree with the best estimate by Battaglia and Joos (see discussion above). Note again, that the marine fraction in each individual run is largely determined by the source signatures and does not change largely over the last 21 kyr. Thus, the late Holocene values of the marine emissions in the low-biased scenario are too low compared to our current knowledge and the land emissions
30 therefore too high as long as source signatures are not allowed to change over the transition. Thus, the green line

in Figure 6 illustrates the systematic effects of the marine fraction constraint but cannot be regarded as realistic scenarios for the Holocene. We will mention that in the revised manuscript as outlined in comment 2 above.

Discussion: Previous work by Schilt et al., 2014 suggested an equal contribution from terrestrial and marine increases over the deglaciation, whereas this study suggest a larger contribution from terrestrial emissions relative to marine. What part of the data and/or model analysis differed in this study that led to this change?

The major difference is the point in time against which anomalies are calculated. In the paper by Schilt the data only covered the time period from 16 kyr to 10 kyr BP. In fact, for this time period our deconvolution is largely the same as in Schilt, with minor modifications by a few additional data points and by adjusting our best guess marine fraction interval to latest results (Battaglia and Joos, 2018a). However, Schilt et al. could only provide anomalies relative to 16 kyr and not relative to the LGM, thus, did not provide a true deglacial estimate. In fact, the marine emissions show a clear minimum at 16 kyr BP, i.e., in Heinrich Stadial 1, explaining most of the difference in the marine emission anomaly estimate between Schilt et al 2014 and our value. We will mention in the revised manuscript on page 15 that:

This drop into HS1 also explains the apparently higher marine emission change estimate in Schilt et al. (2014), who, due to the limited data availability at that time, provided an emission anomaly relative to the value at 16 kyr instead of 21 kyr BP.

20 Anonymous Referee #2

Fischer et al. in this manuscript present a compilation of N₂O and its isotopic (both N and O isotopes) data for the last 12,000 years (28,000 years with less resolution data) from ice-core records from both Greenland and Antarctica by combining new high-resolution analysis with previously available measurements. They then use N₂O concentration and N isotope data to provide a quantitative reconstruction of terrestrial and marine N₂O emission history.

They find that N₂O emissions from land and ocean increased during the last deglaciation, closely linked with climate warming and ocean circulation. Also, land emissions responded abruptly to Northern Hemisphere climate warming at the onsets of BOA and the Holocene, in particular to monsoon and ITCZ shifts.

The compilation and interpretation are comprehensive and provides fundamental data sets for understanding carbon-nitrogen cycle processes, especially in Earth system models. The manuscript is well organized, and the writing is clear, despite complex data sets and technical issues involved and required discussions.

5 I do not have major concerns about the manuscript. I think that the manuscript can be accepted for publication after considering the following specific comments, mostly minor.

Page 1 Line 21: Add “Almost”, or “Up to” or “More than” before “90% of these large step increases”. Do not start a sentence with a number.

10

done

L21: change from “within maximum two centuries” to “within two centuries at maximum”?

15 done

L25: change to “in reconstructed marine N₂O emission of 0.4 TgN yr⁻¹” L26: change “suggesting” to “suggests”

done

20

L27: change “however” to “but”?

done

25 L28: change “which” to “that”

“The latter is currently”

Page 2 L5-6: the discussion on land and marine processes is a bit confusing. Or change “where nitrification” to “but nitrification”?

30

done

The reference of Battaglia and Joos 2017 should be 2018a?

5 done

L7: change “in line with” to “similar to”, as the new estimate technically is outside the range in IPCC as cited, 9.5 vs. 9.0. In any case, “in line with” is unclear in wording.

10 The statement in the text is correct. The 10.5 TgN/yr refer to the total emission, while the 6.6 Tg/yr in the IPCC refer to terrestrial emissions, i.e. about 60%. We changed the wording to "very similar to"

Page 3 L18: change “Two hundred two” to “A total of 202 ice samples” (also delete “core”?)

15 done

L22: change “13 samples” to “A subset of 13 samples”, as not to start a sentence with a number.

done

20

Page 7 L3: add “,” before “which does not: :”

done

25 L26: change “Also” to “Also,”

done

L26: delete one “in this interval”. Also, the meaning “this interval” is unclear so maybe just repeat “late

30 Holocene” as this is the first sentence in a new paragraph.

done

Page 10 L27: delete “,” after “Note”

5 done

Page 11 L13: add “;” before “however,”

done

10

Page 12 L4: the equation: I don't think you should add units in the equation. They are awkward and confusing. If for absolute clarity, you could use a, b and c for three coefficients in the equation and then define their values and units, such as “a = 4.3266 per mil” (also, indicate the unit used for MAT and MAP^A and $\delta^{15}N$ for completeness).

15

we prefer to leave the units in the equation to avoid confusion. We added the units for MAT and MAP. in the text.

Page 13 L27: change “between 26-18 ka BP” to “at 26-18 ka BP”, or “between 26 and 19 ka BP” (the former is concise and crisp, so preferable in situation like this).

20

done

Page 14 L1: change “deglacial” to “deglaciation”

25

done

Page 23 L30: change “, however,” to “; however,”


30 done

Page 36 L4: delete “,” after “Rasmussen et al, 2006)”

done

5 Page 37 L7: delete “,” after panel E”

done



N₂O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial - part I: quantitative reconstruction of terrestrial and marine emissions using N₂O stable isotopes in ice cores

5

Hubertus Fischer¹, Jochen Schmitt¹, Michael Bock¹, Barbara Seth¹, Fortunat Joos¹, Renato Spahni¹, Sebastian Lienert¹, Gianna Battaglia¹, Benjamin D. Stocker^{1,2}, Adrian Schilt^{1,3}, Edward J. Brook³

¹Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, CH-3012, Switzerland.

²CREAF, E08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Spain

³College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA.

Correspondence to: Hubertus Fischer (hubertus.fischer@climate.unibe.ch)

15 **Abstract.** Using high precision and centennial resolution ice core information on atmospheric nitrous oxide concentrations and its stable nitrogen and oxygen isotopic composition, we quantitatively reconstruct changes in the terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions over the last 21,000 years. **Our reconstruction indicates** that N₂O emissions from land and ocean increased **over the deglaciation** largely in parallel by $1.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1}$ and $0.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1}$, **respectively, relative to the Last Glacial Maximum level**. However, during the abrupt Northern Hemisphere warmings at the onset of the Bolling/Allerød and the end of the Younger Dryas, terrestrial emissions respond more rapidly to the northward shift in the Intertropical Convergence Zone connected to the resumption of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. **About 90 %** of these large step increases were realized within **two centuries at maximum**. In contrast, marine emissions start to slowly increase already many centuries before the rapid warmings, possibly connected to a re-equilibration of subsurface oxygen in response to previous changes. Marine emissions decreased, concomitantly with changes in atmospheric CO₂ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}(\text{CO}_2)$, at the onset of the termination and remained minimal during the early phase of Heinrich Stadial 1. During the early Holocene a slow decline in marine N₂O emission of 0.4 TgN yr^{-1} is reconstructed, **which** suggests an improvement of subsurface water ventilation in line with slowly increasing Atlantic overturning circulation. In the second half of the Holocene total emissions remain on a relatively constant level, **but** with significant millennial variability. **The latter** is still difficult to attribute to marine or terrestrial sources. Our N₂O emission records provide important quantitative benchmarks for ocean and terrestrial nitrogen cycle models to study the influence of climate on nitrogen turnover on time scales from several decades to glacial/interglacial changes.

20

25

30

Gelöscht: We

Gelöscht: show

Gelöscht:

Gelöscht: over the deglaciation, respectively

Gelöscht: maximum

Gelöscht: ing

Gelöscht: however

Gelöscht: which

1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is an important greenhouse gas that contributes to ongoing and past global warming (Schilt et al., 2010b; Stocker et al., 2013) and is involved in the destruction of stratospheric ozone (Myhre et al., 2013). Its past atmospheric variations are recorded in polar ice cores although some sections of some records can be affected by in situ formation of N₂O. N₂O is produced through nitrification and denitrification on land and in the ocean, **but** nitrification appears to be the dominant pathway in marine environments (Battaglia and Joos, 2018b). Total natural net N₂O sources are estimated to amount to 10.5±1 TgN yr⁻¹, where about 60 % are estimated to be of terrestrial origin (Battaglia and Joos, 2018b), **very similar to** IPCC AR5 terrestrial emission estimates of 6.6 (3.3 to 9.0) TgN yr⁻¹. Atmospheric N₂O is photochemically destroyed in the stratosphere (Ciais et al., 2013) with an estimated preindustrial lifetime of 123 yrs (Prather et al., 2015).

Reconstructions of past variations in terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions from ice core data (Schilt et al., 2014) provide information on the response of the global coupled carbon-nitrogen cycle to past climate variations. Atmospheric N₂O increased from 270 ppb (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006) to around 330 ppb (<https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/>) over the industrial period due to human activities such as fertilizer applications (Bouwman et al., 2013; Ciais et al., 2013). Atmospheric N₂O varied between natural upper and lower bounds of around 300 and 180 ppb, respectively, over glacial-interglacial cycles (Schilt et al., 2010a; Sowers et al., 2003; Spahni et al., 2005). Moreover, atmospheric N₂O concentrations showed a clear positive imprint of rapid climate **warmings** in the North Atlantic region that occurred during the last ice age (so called Dansgaard Oeschger (DO) events) and during the last glacial/interglacial transition (the Bølling/Allerød (B/A) warming and the rapid warming at the end of the Younger Dryas (YD) into the Preboreal (PB)). These rapid warming events have been shown to be associated with a resumption of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Henry et al., 2016; McManus et al., 2004; Pedro et al., 2018) and a connected northward shift of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) leading to significant changes in monsoon precipitation (Wang et al., 2008). Available N₂O data over the Holocene also show significant albeit smaller longer-term N₂O variability. Until recently it had not been possible to attribute the observed concentration changes to terrestrial or marine sources and ecosystem and physical processes leading to changes in N₂O production remained not well understood.

Using the **different** nitrogen isotopic signature of terrestrial and marine N₂O sources, high-resolution data on the isotopic composition of N₂O from Greenland and Antarctic ice cores allow us to reconstruct past variations in terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions and, thus, on C-N coupling on time scales from several decades to many centuries. A recent ice core study on the stable isotope composition of N₂O over the time interval from 16 to 10 thousand years before present (ka BP, where present is defined as 1950) demonstrated the power of such an isotopic approach (Schilt et al., 2014). The isotopic data showed that both land and marine sources increased during the interval from 16 to 10 ka BP and showed a clear imprint of

Gelöscht: where

Gelöscht: (Battaglia and Joos, 2017)

Gelöscht: in line with

Gelöscht: changes

Gelöscht: stinct

Gelöscht: n

AMOC variations and related climate changes on the terrestrial and marine carbon and nitrogen cycles (Schilt et al., 2014). Here we attempt a more detailed look at the temporal evolution and phasing of marine and terrestrial N₂O emissions over this time interval. ~~No previous study was able yet to reconstruct the complete temporal evolution in terrestrial versus marine emissions of N₂O since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; 21 ka BP, including the onset of the termination and the early deglacial “Mystery Interval”)~~ and to reconstruct the more subtle changes in the course of the Holocene.

Gelöscht: Moreover, n

Accordingly, the aim of this study is to improve our understanding of the changes in the main N₂O sources over these time intervals. In this study we reconstruct the emissions of N₂O from land and from the ocean over the entire glacial termination and the Holocene, using new ice core N₂O isotope data. We contrast these emission changes to the accompanying changes in climate parameters and ocean circulation as archived in ice cores and marine sediments. In the accompanying paper (Joos et al., 2019), ~~these new emission records of the past 21 kyr are used to explore and test alternative mechanisms of the functioning of the C-N cycle on land and to quantify the leading environmental parameters controlling land-based N₂O emissions over this time interval, while the response of the marine nitrogen cycle to rapid changes in AMOC has been recently studied by several coupled biogeochemistry ocean models (Battaglia et al., 2019; Goldstein et al., 2003; Schmittner and Galbraith, 2008).~~

Gelöscht: (Joos et al., this issue)

2 Methods

2.1 Composite records of N₂O, δ¹⁵N(N₂O) and δ¹⁸O(N₂O) from bipolar ice cores

2.1.1 New N₂O concentration and dual isotope measurements

~~A total of 202 ice core samples were analyzed at the University of Bern for N₂O and its nitrogen and oxygen isotopic composition and compiled with previously published N₂O isotope data measured at Oregon State University over the time interval 16 to 10 ka BP (Schilt et al., 2014). We measured 103 samples on ice from the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP), 42 from the the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA), Dronning Maud Land core (EDML), and 57 from the Talos Dome Ice Core (TALDICE). A subset of 13 samples of these 202 samples are considered to be affected by in situ production of N₂O (7 for NGRIP, 5 for EDML, and 1 for TALDICE) and were excluded from our data set (see details on outlier detection below).~~

Gelöscht: Two hundred two ice

Gelöscht: ,

The new data cover the period from about 27 to 0.3 ka BP and allow us to reconstruct for the first time the N₂O isotopic composition for the LGM, the early deglacial period and for the entire Holocene (last 11 kyr). Two independent analytical systems were used at the University of Bern for both δ¹⁵N(N₂O) and δ¹⁸O(N₂O) as described in detail previously (Bock et al., 2014; Schmitt et al., 2014). δ¹⁵N(N₂O) data are given with respect to atmospheric N₂, δ¹⁸O(N₂O) data are reported with respect to VSMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water), both in the commonly used delta notation. Our reference is a

recent air sample, NAT332, measured at Utrecht University (Sapart et al., 2011) and cross-referenced to Air Controlé, an in-house standard in Bern (Schmitt et al., 2014). Finally, all new Bern data presented here are shifted by small, constant offsets (-0.80 ‰ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ and +0.36 ‰ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$) to account for laboratory differences among results measured at the University of Bern and at Oregon State University as described previously (Schilt et al., 2014).

5
The analytical precision for the two systems used at the University of Bern and for different sample batches is given in Table 1. Error bars of all isotopic data in this publication represent one standard deviation ($\pm 1\sigma$) of ice sample replicates or are based on the reproducibility of air reference measurements used to calibrate ice samples. Measurement precisions of individual samples for N_2O , $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ are always better than ± 5 ppb, ± 0.4 ‰ and ± 0.8 ‰, respectively
10 (Table 1).

2.1.2 The composite ice core records – data and age scale

Using measurements performed on various Greenland and Antarctic ice cores, composite records for N_2O concentration and dual isotope signatures were derived over the last up to 28 kyr (Fig. 1). To this end our new N_2O concentration data were combined with published N_2O concentration data from NorthGRIP (Schilt et al., 2010b) after exclusion of data points likely
15 affected by in situ N_2O formation (see below), EPICA Dome C (EDC) (Flückiger et al., 2002; Spahni et al., 2005), and Taylor Glacier ice (Schilt et al., 2014). The EDC data included are for ages younger than 14.5 ka BP and the published Taylor Glacier data (Schilt et al., 2014) cover the period from 15.9 to 9.9 ka BP. We do not include published N_2O concentration data from previous Talos Dome measurements (Schilt et al., 2010b) in the composite due to unresolved analytical offsets between these published and our new N_2O concentration data. The new N_2O double isotope data are
20 combined with published N_2O double isotope data from Taylor Glacier (Schilt et al., 2014) measured at Oregon State University covering the period 15.9 to 9.9 ka BP; the analytical procedures at Oregon and Bern are tightly cross-calibrated (Schilt et al., 2014; Schmitt et al., 2014).

In order to compare N_2O data from different ice records, they must be put on a common age scale. We start from the existing
25 AICC2012 age scale (Veres et al., 2013) and compare the concentrations of the fast varying and globally well mixed greenhouse gas methane (CH_4) between ice cores (Fig. 2). In the process of establishing the AICC2012 age scale, Greenland and Antarctic ice cores were synchronized by aligning rapid climate changes in Greenland $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ with rapid CH_4 variations in Antarctic ice cores (Veres et al., 2013). Unfortunately, due to the ice age-gas age difference between Greenland $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and CH_4 records, this leaves some uncertainty when comparing Greenland and Antarctic gas records. Accordingly, new high-
30 resolution CH_4 data reveal that AICC2012 gas ages are offset by several 100 years at the start of the B/A and before and after the YD (Fig. 2). This is also true for other time periods during the last glacial period (Baumgartner et al., 2014), where rapid CH_4 increases occurred. To correct for this age offset, we match mid points of fast CH_4 variations (B/A and YD) seen in all

our ice cores to the fast climatic changes indicated by $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{ice}}$ at NGRIP on the absolutely counted GICC05 age scale (Rasmussen et al., 2006). This assumes, that the CH_4 response is synchronous to rapid climate changes within a few decades as previously shown for the NGRIP ice core (Baumgartner et al., 2014).

5 A well-known problem with N_2O data is that they can be subject to in situ N_2O formation for **some** ice core sections. In situ production leads to elevated N_2O concentrations and abnormal isotopic signatures compared to other, coeval, ice core records. For Greenland ice, in situ artefacts typically occur as erratic N_2O outliers during the glacial, especially when the ice chemistry changes during major climatic transitions (see Fig. 1; measurements with identified in situ production are marked by red circles). For several Antarctic ice cores (Flückiger et al., 2004; Flückiger et al., 1999; Schilt et al., 2010b), the dust-rich LGM sections are almost entirely affected by in situ production (see EDC and EDML records in Fig. 1). Due to **their** lower impurity content, the TALDICE ice core as well as the outcropping ice from Taylor Glacier (Schilt et al., 2014) appear to be an exception and potential artefacts have been found only in very few instances (Schilt et al., 2010b).

Gelöscht: elected

Gelöscht: .

Gelöscht: its

Gelöscht: s

The most straightforward criterion to identify samples affected by in situ production targets anomalies in the N_2O concentration. The assumption is that N_2O cannot be consumed or lost in the ice, but only produced. An outlier detection method was used for the highest resolution N_2O record available to date (both in sample frequency and in terms of the width of the bubble enclosure characteristics) from the Greenland NGRIP ice core (Schilt et al., 2013) covering the time interval from 110-10 ka BP. Individual samples in this record were removed if they exceeded a threshold of 8 ppb above a spline approximation through all samples (Flückiger et al., 2004). This outlier algorithm is not well suited to detect in situ formation in times when N_2O concentrations increase strongly due to rapid emission increases. Thus, the outlier detection may fail during the onset and end of DO events. In fact, as illustrated in Fig. 2, the published NGRIP N_2O record appears to be systematically higher than its Antarctic counterparts from the Talos Dome ice core and from Taylor Glacier after the onset of the B/A and after the end of the YD, although the long atmospheric lifetime of N_2O requires an interhemispheric gradient below the range of the measurement uncertainty. The higher NGRIP concentrations in these intervals can also not be attributed to a more narrow bubble enclosure characteristics, thus better resolution of the record compared to the Antarctic data, as the concurrent increase in atmospheric CH_4 concentrations is recorded equally fast in the NGRIP and Taylor Glacier data (Fig. 2). Accordingly, we cannot exclude an in situ contribution to the rapid N_2O increases in the NGRIP ice core and excluded the respective samples (red symbols in Fig. 2) from our data set.

Gelöscht: for example

Gelöscht: very small

Gelöscht: in

Gelöscht: compiled from all cores

30 To obtain a final data set compiled from all cores after the pre-screening described above and to rigorously remove samples that may still be affected by in situ processes, individual samples were finally excluded in our study from the data set compiled from all ice cores if they were at least 20 ppb higher than the average of our Monte Carlo smoothing spline (see details on our Monte Carlo Average (MCA) below). For a group of EDML measurements (22 - 18 ka BP) this MCA method could not be applied, because precise N_2O concentration measurements were not available for these samples. These samples

Gelöscht: isotope

were, therefore, excluded as in situ samples as well, as all surrounding EDML samples from this interval showed elevated N₂O concentrations (Fig. 1). The final data set after age scale synchronization and outlier removal is shown in Fig. 3.

As none of the isotope and concentration records is continuous and as isotope measurements are only available at a lower resolution, we derived a common lower frequency record using a spline fitting routine (Enting, 1987), which served as input into the box model inversion used to calculate emissions. As the time interval younger than 16 ka BP was better resolved than the glacial part of the record, we used a smaller cutoff period (higher frequency content) of the spline for ages < 16 ka BP and a larger cutoff period for older ages. Thus, the time interval before 16 ka BP is more strongly low-pass filtered than that after 16 ka BP. To test the sensitivity of the choice of cutoff period on our emission reconstruction, we also used splines with different but constant cutoff periods throughout the record (not shown). A higher frequency content (equivalent to a cutoff period of 900 years or lower) prior to 16 ka BP leads to erroneous variations in the spline approximation that are not supported by the measured $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ data and consequently to erroneous variability in terrestrial and marine emissions. The same holds true for cutoff periods lower than 500 years in the time interval after 16 ky BP. Vice versa, very long cutoff periods (longer than 900 years) in the record younger than 16 ka BP lead to a substantial underestimation of the N₂O and $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ variability connected to the oscillations accompanying the B/A warming and the YD cold swing. Accordingly, for our best reconstruction scenario we used a cutoff period of 2000 years prior to 16 ka BP and 700 years thereafter. This change in frequency content at 16 ka BP has to be taken into account when interpreting the data, however, the majority of the centennial to millennial N₂O emission changes discussed below is found in the time interval after 16 ka BP, where a consistent cutoff period of 700 years was used.

To derive the uncertainty of the spline approximation representative for the time scale resolved by the low-pass filter, the spline fitting was repeated 1,000 times using a Monte Carlo approach, where the measurements were varied randomly within their analytical uncertainties. This Monte Carlo spline and its uncertainty was then used to detect outliers affected by in situ formation as described above. The procedure was iterated until no more outliers were found and the final Monte Carlo spline was calculated using the final data set (Fig. 3).

To assess the accuracy of ice core N₂O concentrations for recent times, we compare the ice core data with overlapping firn air and direct atmospheric air measurements (Fig. 4). Reconstructions from the high-accumulation Law Dome drill site offer the best connection with the current atmospheric measurements (Etheridge et al., 1988; Francey et al., 1999). The Law Dome ice core record covers the time interval from 2 ka BP to 1979 CE and air samples obtained from the firn column and the Cape Grim air archive cover 1942 CE to 2004 CE (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006) (Fig. 4). Our new measurements using NGRIP, EDML and TALDICE ice core samples and published records from EDC and EDML overlap within the two standard deviation envelope of a Monte Carlo average spline through the Law Dome and Cape Grim data with a cutoff period of 250 yr (Fig. 4). Our new concentration data also generally agree with ice core data based on the Greenland NEEM

Gelöscht: (Enting, 1987)

Gelöscht: as described below

Gelöscht: 3

Gelöscht: a new

Gelöscht: a s

Gelöscht: et b

ice core (Prokopiou et al., 2018), which however shows negative outliers compared to our record. These are especially pronounced in NEEM ice core samples prior to 2000 BP with N₂O concentrations as low as 240 ppb. Note that the data by Prokopiou et al. (2018) are based on a dry extraction technique, which does not ensure 100 % extraction efficiency. Moreover, the extraction efficiency for N₂O in air bubbles, clathrates or N₂O dissolved in the ice lattice may be different and could potentially be related to the low N₂O concentrations in this interval. Our new compilation based on Greenland (NGRIP) and Antarctic (EDC, EDML, Talos Dome) ice cores consistently shows concentrations of 265-270 ppb in this time interval, similar to what is found during preindustrial times. In view of the good agreement of several Greenland and Antarctic ice cores and the better data resolution in our record, we decided not to use the NEEM samples by Prokopiou et al. (2018).

In case of the N₂O isotopic records very few other reconstructions are available. NGRIP results (Bernard et al., 2006) connect our youngest data points with the firm air and Cape Grim archive measurements (Fig. 4) capturing the recent anthropogenic perturbation (Park et al., 2012). The recent study by Prokopiou et al. (2018) also provides $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ data prior to the preindustrial period from the NEEM ice core (Fig. 4). Isotopic analyses in that publication also differentiate the nitrogen isotopic signature of molecules with the ¹⁵N located at the central $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{\alpha}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ or terminal $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{\beta}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ position, which we cannot distinguish by our method.

The $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ data from the NEEM ice core (Prokopiou et al., 2018) are in general agreement with our data set measured at the University of Bern based on Greenland (NGRIP) and Antarctic (EDML, Talos Dome) ice cores. However, our data show rather constant nitrogen isotopic signatures around +9 ‰ to +10 ‰ over the time interval 3.3-0.2 ka BP, i.e., significantly less scatter than the data by Prokopiou et al. (2018). This overall supports the conclusions drawn from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ record for the late Holocene (Prokopiou et al., 2018), but our more precise data constrain the late Holocene N₂O budget and its variability much more closely. Accordingly, we refrain from using the $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ data by Prokopiou et al. (2018) in our model deconvolution.

Also, our $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ data show rather constant values in the late Holocene between +45 ‰ and +46 ‰. The values of Prokopiou et al. (2018) are higher in this time interval by +1 ‰ to up to +6 ‰ relative to our data. Such large offsets cannot be explained by differences in the isotopic standards used in the different labs. The much larger variability in the data by Prokopiou et al. (2018) connected to generally higher $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ compared to our data is not easy to explain. Any fractionation occurring during an (incomplete) gas extraction is expected to have an effect on $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ as well, which is not observed. Incomplete cryo-sampling of N₂O during gas collection may explain lower N₂O concentrations and higher $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ but again a similar effect on $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ would be expected. In view of the better data quality of our record we refrain from using the data by Prokopiou et al. (2018) in our $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ compilation. As we do not use $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ in our box

Gelöscht: 3

Gelöscht: 3

Gelöscht: this interval

Gelöscht: in this interval

model deconvolution to constrain terrestrial and marine emissions, the offset between the two data sets has no impact on the conclusions drawn in our study.

2.2 Reconstructing marine and terrestrial N₂O emissions by deconvolving the N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) ice core records

2.2.1 Monte Carlo two-box model

5 We deconvolved the evolution of tropospheric N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) with a two-box atmosphere model following Schilt et al. (2014). This yields both the global terrestrial and the global marine N₂O source evolution. This novel source reconstruction for the past 21 kyr permits us to disentangle various hypotheses put forward to explain the observed N₂O changes. In addition, the reconstructed emission time series serve as benchmark for mechanistic and coupled climate/biogeochemical models simulating land and ocean N₂O emissions.

10 The minimal two-box atmosphere model features a tropospheric and stratospheric box as well as a land and ocean source and includes N₂O, δ¹⁵N(N₂O), and δ¹⁸O(N₂O) as tracers. Air exchange between the troposphere and stratosphere is assumed time-invariant and N₂O is destroyed in the stratosphere assuming an e-folding lifetime and considering isotopic fractionation. N₂O sources are inversely calculated by prescribing tropospheric N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) from the splines of the composite ice
15 records as input data. The two budget equations for tropospheric N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) are solved at each time step for the two unknown global source fluxes for a given set of model parameters, initial conditions and tropospheric input data.

δ¹⁸O(N₂O) serves as an independent check of consistency. To this end we used the terrestrial and marine emission records to calculate the tropospheric δ¹⁸O(N₂O) signature in a forward model mode and compared it with the measured δ¹⁸O(N₂O)
20 record. The envelope of ± 2 standard deviations around the mean of this Monte Carlo forward output is relatively large (Fig. 3) due to the large range of possible source signatures of terrestrial and marine sources. Nevertheless, Fig. 3 shows that our source deconvolution is in agreement with the δ¹⁸O(N₂O) measurements.

A Monte Carlo approach is used in the deconvolution to estimate uncertainties in N₂O land and ocean emissions (see details
25 in Schilt et al. (2014)). Initial conditions and model parameters (Table 2) and tropospheric input data are varied randomly within their uncertainties. Model parameters are kept time invariant during a single Monte Carlo run, except in sensitivity simulations (see below). Model parameters include atmospheric lifetime, exchange rate of air between troposphere and stratosphere, stratospheric fractionation coefficients, and characteristic isotopic compositions of the terrestrial and marine sources. Most notably, δ¹⁵N(N₂O) is varied between -34 and +2 ‰ for the global terrestrial emissions (Schilt et al., 2014)
30 and between +4 ‰ and +10 ‰ for global marine emissions (Frame et al., 2014). In order to constrain the model by the current knowledge of the ratio of natural marine and land emissions (Battaglia and Joos, 2018b), the allowed fraction of marine emissions on total emissions (f_m) at the start of each run at 28 ka BP must lie between 30 % and 58 % close to the

Gelöscht: uncertainties in the

confidence interval for f_m given by Battaglia and Joos (2018b). In principle the model could freely evolve from this starting point, but the results show (see Fig. 7 below) that f_m is not varying largely over time and that the late Holocene value in our runs is very close to the best estimate of 43 % by Battaglia and Joos (2018b). For each Monte Carlo iteration the model is forced with an individual Monte Carlo realization of the splined ice core data with cutoff periods of 2000 yr (28-16 ka BP) and 700 yr (16-0 ka BP), where the measured values were varied within the distribution of the measurement uncertainty. Average sources and their 2σ uncertainty bands are then obtained from 500 solutions.

2.2.2 Model performance tests and limitations

In order to test whether the model is able to reliably reconstruct terrestrial and marine emissions during rapid changes in the N_2O budget using N_2O concentration and $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ records from ice cores, we used artificial data mimicking the fast emission changes seen at the onset of the BA. These tests become especially important as the true data resolution is limited and because we use a spline approximation as input to the model. Moreover, ice core values represent a low pass filtered version of the atmospheric record due to the slow bubble enclosure process at the firn/ice transition.

Due to the slightly different atmospheric lifetimes of $^{14}N_2O$ and $^{15}N_2O$, significant variations in the isotopic signature of atmospheric N_2O are expected over a time interval of a few centuries following a rapid increase in N_2O emissions, even if the isotopic signature of the emissions remained constant. Accordingly, our transient deconvolution technique must be also able to correct for this so called "disequilibrium effect" in the low-pass filtered ice core record to derive unbiased terrestrial and marine emissions values.

We performed three test runs assuming (i) a ramp-up of land emissions only over 50 years with a constant nitrogen isotopic signature of -18 ‰, (ii) a ramp-up of marine emissions only over 50 years with a constant nitrogen isotopic signature of +7 ‰, and (iii) a proportional ramp-up of both land and marine emissions over 50 years using the constant isotope signatures given above (Fig. 5). The increase in total emissions was kept the same in all three runs. The emissions were used to drive a forward version of our model to calculate atmospheric N_2O concentrations as well as its isotopic signatures. We refer to this data set further on as "artificial atmospheric data". The emission changes led to an increase of atmospheric N_2O from about 220 to 260 ppb, similar to what is observed at the B/A onset (Fig. 5). The $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ signature of the atmosphere changed from a value of 10 ‰ before the emission change to a value of 8 ‰ in case (i), 12 ‰ in case (ii) and remained the same after fading out of the disequilibrium effect in case (iii) (Fig. 5). These atmospheric records were then low-pass filtered using a log-normal gas age distribution with a mean age of 132 yr (Köhler et al., 2011) to mimic the bubble enclosure process. According to latest experimental results this represents an upper limit of the width of the age distribution in the bubbles over the deglacial for all the cores used in our compilation (Fourteau et al., 2017). Accordingly, this filter provides a conservative estimate of the maximum effect of the bubble enclosure on our deconvolution. We refer to this low-pass filtered version further on as "artificial ice core data". The low-pass filtered atmospheric data were then used as input in our Monte Carlo

Gelöscht:

Gelöscht: already

Gelöscht: 12

Gelöscht: 8

box model deconvolution, where the input data from the artificial ice core data is splined with a cutoff period of 700 years. We refer to this record further on as "splined artificial ice core data". The reconstructed emissions were compared to the input data and contrasted to the reconstructed emission from the true ice core record at the onset of the BA. For the latter comparison we synchronized the well-defined onset of the CH₄ increase in the ice core record with the start of the N₂O emission increase in our test runs assuming that CH₄ and N₂O started to increase synchronously in response to the rapid warming events.

The results of these performance tests are summarized in Fig. 5 with the increase in N₂O emissions starting at model year 5000. As illustrated in Fig. 5, the model deconvolution is able to unambiguously separate terrestrial and marine emissions despite the low-pass filtering by the bubble enclosure process and the spline approximation and despite the disequilibrium effect. The model is able to reconstruct the total amplitude in emission fluxes well, however, the temporal resolution of fast emission changes is hampered by the low-pass filtering of the data. We also performed tests with a much larger expected value of the gas age distribution of 330 yr (a typical deglacial value derived from firnification models for low accumulation sites such as EDC (Köhler et al., 2011)) and our method was also able to unambiguously reconstruct the emission changes in this case (not shown).

As can be seen in Fig. 5B and C, the N₂O concentrations in the artificial ice core data and its isotopic composition start to change a few decades after the onset of the true emission increase in the input data. This can be readily explained by the log-normal age distribution assumed to mimic the low-pass filter of the bubble enclosure process, which has a very long tail of air bubbles containing very old air, thus leading to a small delay in the onset of the reconstructed emission changes. This effect is more pronounced assuming a mean age of the gas age distribution of 330 yr (not shown) instead of 132 yr. In real ice core data this offset is compensated by a shift in the gas age scale relative to the ice age scale.

The long tail of old air in the gas age distribution together with the spline approximation is also the reason for the time needed in the emission reconstruction based on the splined artificial ice core data to reach its maximum, which takes several hundred years longer than the 50 yr ramp-up in the originally assumed emission increase. Note that a log-normal age distribution is overestimating the width of the true age distribution in the ice and especially the long tail of old air is not realistic. The effect of this low-pass filtering on the synthetic data is, therefore, stronger than the effect of the true bubble enclosure in the ice and the effects illustrated in Fig. 5 can be regarded as an upper limit of the true delay and the response time. Note also that the reconstructed emission increases in Fig. 5D appear to start about two centuries earlier than the major increase in the input fluxes and the artificial ice core data. This lead is an artefact created by the use of the splined artificial ice core data as input to our model.

Gelöscht: increase

Gelöscht: (not shown).

Gelöscht: ,

Gelöscht: However, th

In view of the reasonable model performance described above, the comparison of the artificial data runs with the true ice core reconstructions for the B/A warming in Fig. 5 suggests that for example the rapid N₂O increase at the onset of the B/A is mainly of terrestrial origin while the major marine emission increase is delayed to this onset by a few centuries (see results and discussion). While our transient box model deconvolution accounts for the long atmospheric lifetime of N₂O, it - of course - cannot correct for the low-pass filtering of the bubble enclosure process and the spline approximation. This is the reason why the emission reconstruction takes about 350 years from the start of the emission increase in the input data (and about 550 years from the start of the first increase in the reconstructed emissions) to accomplish 90 % of the emission increase. This is very likely also the reason why the duration of the terrestrial emission increase in the ice core based reconstruction (grey line in Fig. 5) has a similar time scale, while the rapid warming in Greenland and the North Atlantic occurred only in a few decades (Erhardt et al., 2019; Steffensen et al., 2008).

Gelöscht: (see results and discussion)

Gelöscht: an

Gelöscht: (Erhardt et al., 2018; Steffensen et al., 2008).

In summary, our model can reliably separate terrestrial and marine emissions. The onset of rapid increases in total N₂O emission can be clearly identified using the high resolution N₂O concentration record itself, however, the resolution of individual terrestrial and marine emission reconstructions is still limited by the available resolution of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ record. The precise temporal evolution of emission changes could be improved in the future if the true gas age distribution in the ice were known (Fourteau et al., 2017) and when even higher data resolution and precision become available that allow us to use input data with a higher frequency content than our spline with a cutoff period of 700 years.

Gelöscht: ,

2.2.3 Sensitivity runs

In two sensitivity tests, we investigated the influence of the prescribed initial range of the marine contributions to total N₂O emissions and varied the initial fraction of marine emissions only between 25 % and 35 % and between 53 and 63 % as alternatives to our standard run, where this contribution was varied over a larger range from 30 % to 58 % (Fig. 6). Sensitivity simulations were also used to probe the influence on time-varying changes in the isotopic signature of terrestrial (Amundson et al., 2003; McLauchlan et al., 2013) and marine N₂O emissions (Galbraith and Kienast, 2013) and in the atmospheric lifetime (Kracher et al., 2016).

$\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ (as well as $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$) of terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions are kept time-invariant in the standard deconvolution setup, however, these isotopic source signatures may have changed over time. We constructed scenarios for temporal changes in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures of global terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions to test the sensitivity of inferred terrestrial and ocean N₂O emissions to these changes in source signatures (Fig. 6). The scenarios are based on scarce, and partly conflicting, observational evidence. They are used here solely as indicative measures of the potential magnitude of plausible temporal changes in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signature of emitted N₂O.

(Amundson et al., 2003) provide empirical relationships between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the integrated soil N pool (0-50 cm depth), $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{soil}}$, and local annual mean temperature, MAT (in $^{\circ}\text{C}$), and annual mean precipitation, MAP (in mm yr^{-1}):

Gelöscht: :

Formatiert: Hochgestellt

$$\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{soil}} = 4.3266 \text{‰} + 0.2048 \text{‰}^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1} \cdot \text{MAT} - 0.0012 \text{‰mm}^{-1} \cdot \text{MAP}$$

5

This relationship is derived from modern spatial gradients in climate and soil nitrogen isotopic signatures. Here, we transferred this relationship to estimate the potential magnitude of a temporal change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of N_2O emitted from soils. The relationship was evaluated for each grid cell using transient climate data from the TraCE-21kyr model run covering the last 21,000 years (Liu et al., 2009; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2014). Global average signatures are calculated as the flux weighted mean of simulated N_2O emissions in LPX-Bern (version 1.4) at decadal resolution and for the past 21,000 years (see Joos et al., 2019, for details of the setup of LPX-Bern). Similarly, soil experiments of N_2O production reveal that the difference of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ between soil water and produced N_2O is linearly related to the water filled pore space (WFPS) and we applied the relationship given by (Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2014) using WFPS and N_2O emissions as simulated by LPX-Bern (version 1.4). This yields an N_2O emission-weighted, global mean change in isotopic source signature from 18 to 0 ka BP of +0.4 ‰ for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and of +0.8 ‰ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (dark green line in Fig. 6A and B). The reliability of these estimates remains questionable as it is, for example, not clear whether the current geographical relationship between bulk soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ with climate holds also for temporal changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of emitted N_2O from soils.

Gelöscht: ,

Gelöscht: , t

Gelöscht: his issue

Formatiert: Tiefgestellt

15

$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data of lacustrine sediments (McLauchlan et al., 2013) suggest an opposite signal than estimated from the empirical climate- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ relationship. The stacked data show a 2 ‰ decrease in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from 15 to 7 ka BP and an 0.6 ‰ increase thereafter (light green line in Fig. 6B). The stack of lacustrine sediments is from sites predominantly outside the main N_2O emission regions (see Fig. 1 in McLauchlan et al. (2013)), and may not be representative for global changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in soils. In addition, it is plausible that with a change in soil N availability, pathways of N loss and fractionation processes relevant for N_2O emissions may change as well. In brief, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ trend from lacustrine sediments may not necessarily correspond to the trend in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of N_2O emitted from the land biosphere.

20

As a measure of potential changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of marine N_2O emissions, we apply reconstructed changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of marine sediment material (blue line in Fig. 6B) which amount to about +0.4 ‰ from 18 to 0 ka BP period (Galbraith and Kienast, 2013). Further, the trend in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ from a global benthic isotope stack corrected for temperature changes (Elderfield et al., 2012; Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) is applied to estimate potential temporal changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ (blue line in Fig. 6A).

Gelöscht: s

30

This yields two scenarios for isotopic source change. The temporal changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of global terrestrial emissions are either prescribed according to the empirical relationship (scenario 1; purple line in 6C to E) or from changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from stacked records of lacustrine sediments (scenario 2; red line in 6C to E). In both scenarios marine $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ source signatures are varied according to the evidence from marine sediment records. The resulting land and ocean N_2O emissions are shown in Fig. 6C and 6D.

Finally, we tested the sensitivity of our box model approach to temporal changes in the atmospheric lifetime (yellow lines in Fig. 6C-E), which is controlled by the troposphere/stratosphere exchange. The Brewer-Dobson circulation and atmospheric lifetime of N_2O may change over time. Kracher et al. (2016) find a linear relationship between the relative change in atmospheric lifetime of N_2O and the change in global mean surface air temperature (SAT) with a slope of $-5.87\text{ \%}/\text{K}$ in idealized global warming simulations. Taken at face value, their results imply a longer lifetime during the LGM and a shorter lifetime in the early Holocene compared to preindustrial conditions. We applied the slope of Kracher et al. in a simulation with an idealized deglacial temperature evolution. SAT was assumed to increase linearly by 3.5°C between 17 ka BP and 11 ka BP and to decrease linearly by 0.7°C over the Holocene (Marcott et al., 2013; Shakun et al., 2012); the N_2O lifetime was varied accordingly. Obviously, the box model does not represent the Brewer-Dobson Circulation, but summarizes troposphere-to-stratosphere exchange in a single air mass exchange parameter only. Therefore, we varied the stratospheric decomposition rate of N_2O in the model to enforce changes in lifetime. The scenario yields an atmospheric lifetime that is about 16 % (20 yr) higher for LGM than for preindustrial conditions. Accordingly, the inferred marine and terrestrial emissions over the past 21 kyr are about 16 % higher than in the standard deconvolution setup (Fig. 6B and C). Within the framework of our two-box model, the partitioning between marine and terrestrial emissions is not sensitive to this temporal change in lifetime.

3 Results

3.1 Ice core data of N_2O , $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$

Reconstructed tropospheric N_2O shows an overall increase from around 210 ppb at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 21 ka BP) to 270 ppb before the onset of industrialization (Fig. 3). During the late glacial, N_2O concentrations alternated around a relatively constant level of around 210 ppb after declining from an elevated level of up to 240 ppb during DO event 3 at around 28.5 ka BP (see Fig. 1). Even during this late glacial interval between 26 and 18 ka BP, significant variations in N_2O concentrations can be resolved with pronounced concentration minima of around 200 ppb at 25 ka BP (potentially related to Heinrich event 2), at 24.5 ka BP and less pronounced at around 23.5 ka BP.

Gelöscht: -

During the deglaciation, the N₂O record features a pronounced millennial scale decrease by about 15 ppb during 17.4 to 16.6 ka in the early phase of the Heinrich Stadial 1 Northern Hemisphere (NH) cold phase (HS1; 17.4 to 14.6 ka BP (Rasmussen et al., 2014)) followed by a slow recovery in the second phase of HS1. At the end of HS1 (the onset of the B/A NH warm period; 14.6 to 12.8 ka BP) N₂O shows a very rapid increase by almost 40 ppb, followed by a slower increase to peak values in the late B/A. N₂O decreased again by about 20 ppb during 13 to 12 ka BP in the early part of the YD NH cold period (YD; 12.8 to 11.7 ka BP), followed by a recovery during the late YD to reach peak values around 11 ka BP after a rapid increase at the end of the YD.

Gelöscht: i

During the early Holocene N₂O shows another decrease from about 270 to 260 ppb in the interval from 11 to 10 ka BP followed by rather constant mixing ratios until about 5 ka BP. After 5 ka BP N₂O increases to about 270 ppb and is generally more variable than in the early Holocene. In this period, N₂O varies on multi-centennial to millennial time scales between 272 and 262 ppb with maxima around 4.5 ka BP, 2.6 ka BP and minima at 3.8 ka BP and 1.4 ka BP. For the most recent millennia (Fig. 4) there exists excellent overlap of N₂O data from many different ice core sites and analytical techniques and the ice core record is seamlessly linked to instrumental N₂O measurements of tropospheric background air by the Law Dome data (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006). This not only provides confidence in the ice core reconstructions, but also provides a robust view on the millennial scale N₂O oscillation during the Late Holocene. From the local N₂O maximum with ca. 270 ppb at around 2.6 ka BP, N₂O dropped by around 8 ppb to reach a minimum at 1.4 ka BP followed by a recovery within around 200 to 400 years (Fig. 4).

Gelöscht: Especially f

$\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ varied within only about 2 ‰ over the past 28 kyr (Fig. 1). This suggests that the relative contribution of isotopically light and isotopically heavy emission sources did not change substantially. There is an overall net change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ from 22 ka BP to the preindustrial by about -1 ‰ and some small but distinct variations in the course of the last glacial termination. Prior to 22 ka BP, $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ data exist only from the Greenland NGRIP ice core (Fig. 1), which appears to be isotopically quite low in $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ for dusty glacial ice compared to the Antarctic Talos Dome (TALDICE) ice core, where data exist after 23 ka BP. As the NGRIP data showed to be more prone to in situ N₂O production compared to the TALDICE core, we refrain from quantitatively interpreting the N₂O isotope data prior to 21 ka BP using the box model deconvolution. During the time period from 21 ka BP to the preindustrial (Fig. 3) it is interesting to note that the minima in N₂O around 17.5 ka in HS1 seems reflected by a corresponding minimum in $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$, whereas the two other distinct minima in $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ at 14.6 ka BP and 11.6 ka BP coincide with the rapid N₂O rise at the onset of the B/A and the Preboreal NH warm phases. In the two latter cases atmospheric $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ is highly affected by the disequilibrium effect and a model deconvolution is required to reliably derive the dynamics in nitrous oxide source changes. The overall decrease in $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ of about 1 ‰ from the LGM to the preindustrial is not affected by this effect and points to an increasing share of terrestrial emissions on total emissions over the deglaciation.

$\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ also varied modestly (typical range of 3 ‰) during the past 28 kyr around a mean value of about 45 ‰ (Fig. 1). Again, we concentrate on the interpretation of the last 21 kyr (Fig. 3) in terms of isotope changes as the $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ signature of the NGRIP data prior to 23 ka BP appears to be offset (by about +1 ‰) relative to the TALDICE data. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ record over the last 21 kyr suggests a minimum around 15.5 ka BP and highest values during the B/A, while $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ varied around 45 to 46 ‰ in the Holocene and comparable to LGM values. Despite a lower signal to noise ratio compared to $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ appears to become progressively isotopically lighter (more negative) in the first half of the Holocene and seems to increase again thereafter. Note that there is no overall correlation between the $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ records. The low correlation may be linked to overall small variability in the two records and to differences in the processes affecting $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ with $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ also being affected by changes in the water cycle and ice sheet mass, while $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ being dependent on the efficiency of nitrogen turnover in soils or in low oxygen water masses of the ocean.

3.2 Reconstructed changes in terrestrial and marine N_2O emissions: deconvolving the ice core N_2O and $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ records

3.2.1 Inferred emission changes

15 The N_2O and $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ records allow us to disentangle changes in global terrestrial and global marine N_2O emissions to the atmosphere since the LGM. To this end we used the two-box model deconvolution of the N_2O and $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ records described in section 2.2 to determine marine and terrestrial N_2O emissions and their uncertainty (Fig. 7) over the last 21 kyr. The uncertainty (colored shading in Figure 7) of the absolute emissions (left y-axes) is relatively large, reflecting the large range of possible isotopic source signatures for marine and terrestrial emissions accepted in the box model runs, which spreads essentially over the entire allowed range of terrestrial and marine source signatures. Together with the constraint on the marine emission fraction, this determines the absolute level of terrestrial and marine emissions in each of our accepted Monte Carlo runs (see Figure 7 for three examples of individual runs (grey lines)). Note that these individual runs are systematically offset from each other dependent on the choice of the isotopic source signature, but that the anomalies relative to the LGM level of all runs are very similar. Thus using our Monte Carlo box model deconvolution with randomly chosen but temporal constant isotopic source signatures, we can quantify emission anomalies relative to the LGM level much more precisely than the absolute emission level using or deconvolution.

Gelöscht: over the last 21 kyr

Reconstructed terrestrial and marine N_2O emissions increased between the LGM (21 ka BP) and full interglacial conditions (7 ka BP) by $1.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1}$ and $0.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1}$ (mean ± 1 standard deviation) and between LGM and PI conditions (1500 CE) by 1.7 ± 0.3 and $0.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1}$, respectively. Marine sources first dropped by $\sim 0.5 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1}$ during the onset of HS1, when AMOC strongly decreased (McManus et al., 2004). This drop into HS1 also explains the apparently higher marine emission change estimate in Schilt et al. (2014), who, due to the limited data availability at that time, provided an

Gelöscht: R

emission anomaly relative to the value at 16 kyr instead of 21 kyr BP. Marine emissions remained minimal over the following millennium, but started to increase around 16 ka BP and recovered to LGM levels around 15 ka BP in the course of HS1, while AMOC remained constantly low (McManus et al., 2004). This pattern of recovery during cold stadials has been observed before (Schilt et al., 2013) and is tentatively attributed to a slow recovery of N₂O production in subsurface waters as also suggested by marine nitrogen cycle modeling (Battaglia et al., 2019; Schmittner and Galbraith, 2008). Our quantitative emission reconstruction shows for the first time the complete evolution of marine (and terrestrial) N₂O emissions over the entire HS1 interval. The isotope results demonstrate that the millennial decrease at the beginning of HS1 and the later increase in atmospheric N₂O during HS1 are indeed caused by marine emissions and may be linked to the substantial ocean reorganization that is thought to have determined the early deglacial rise in atmospheric CO₂ (Jaccard et al., 2016; Schmitt et al., 2012; Tschumi et al., 2011). In contrast, terrestrial N₂O emissions remained approximately invariant until the start of the B/A warm period in the northern hemisphere. Then, land emissions rapidly increased at the start of the B/A, declined again during the YD, and peaked again after a rapid rise into the Preboreal, consistent with earlier results for the 16 to 10 ka BP interval (Schilt et al., 2014). Also marine emission generally start to increase during the B/A warming, however, not as rapidly as terrestrial emissions and the main marine increase is delayed by a few hundred years relative to the onset of the rapid atmospheric N₂O concentration increase. A similar pattern is found for the rapid warming at the end of the YD. Note also that while terrestrial emissions remained relatively low over the entire course of the YD, marine emissions show a slow recovery that starts about 1000 years before the rapid N₂O increase at the end of the YD into the Preboreal.

Compared to the drastic emission changes over the deglaciation, the trends in terrestrial N₂O emissions are comparably small during the Holocene. Our deconvolution suggests only a very small increase in terrestrial emissions over the first half of the Holocene. Consistently, the decline in atmospheric N₂O concentrations in the early Holocene is attributed to a reduction in marine emissions. The 10 ppb higher N₂O concentrations in the later Holocene are mainly attributed to an increase in terrestrial emissions which occurred predominantly in the time interval from about 6.5 to 5 ka BP. Marine emissions show only slightly increased values after 6 ka BP interrupted by a weak decline in marine emissions in the time interval 2.5-0.5 ka BP (Fig. 7). However, the uncertainty in our reconstructed emission anomalies based on N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) during the Holocene is as large as the reconstructed emission changes (Fig. 7, solid and grey dashed lines), leaving some doubt on the significance of this reconstructed ocean emission anomaly. Looking at the δ¹⁸O(N₂O) record in Fig. 3, which was not used in the deconvolution, δ¹⁸O(N₂O) values are about 1 ‰ higher after 2.5 ka BP than before which - taken at face value - would contradict reduced N₂O emissions by marine sources. A similar caveat applies to the millennial variability in marine and terrestrial emission over the Holocene. The well-resolved and precise reconstruction of atmospheric N₂O concentrations (Fig. 3) shows significant maxima around 4.5 and 2.5 ka BP and less pronounced maxima around 9.0, 7.3 and at 5.8 ka BP as well as minima at 8.3, 6.5, 3.6 and 1.4 ka BP, documenting significant millennial changes in total N₂O emissions. However, the nitrogen isotope-based reconstruction of terrestrial and marine emissions does not yet allow for an unambiguous attribution of these changes to one source category. It may be tempting to attribute these millennial N₂O emission changes

Gelöscht: now

over the Holocene to changes in the AMOC strength or variations in the position of the ITCZ and the monsoonal rain belt (for example for the 8.2 ka cold event in Greenland (Spahni et al., 2003)) or apparent large-scale climate changes in the course of the Holocene (Wanner et al., 2011)). However, no consistent connection of N₂O emission maxima or minima with global climate changes can be recognized and no quantitative attribution to terrestrial or marine sources is possible at this point. To this end, higher resolution isotope records and further improvements in precision of the δ¹⁵N(N₂O) analyses will be necessary to better constrain small changes in the N₂O budget over the Holocene.

Our new ice core isotope data permit novel insights in the response time scales of terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions during periods of rapid climate change. Using our box model deconvolution approach, we can in principle resolve rapid emission changes which are otherwise hidden in the atmospheric N₂O concentration record by the relatively long atmospheric lifetime (see methods). However, the ice core record presents a low-pass filtered version of the atmospheric changes due to the bubble enclosure process, which generally leads also to a documented response in the ice core record which is delayed and smoothed relative to the atmosphere. Moreover, as input data for the deconvolution we use the Monte Carlo spline estimate of the N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) records, which adds additional low pass filtering to the ice core record. As outlined in section 2.2.2, the latter leads to an erroneously early response in the emission reconstruction for rapid N₂O changes. In line, the change point marking the increase in reconstructed terrestrial and marine emissions at the onset of the B/A warming appears to occur earlier than the rapid CH₄ increase marking the rapid warming in the gas archive, but this lead is essentially an artefact imposed by the spline filtered data used for the deconvolution and is not supported by the unsmoothed N₂O concentration record. In order to pinpoint the onset of rapid changes in total N₂O emissions more exactly, the higher resolution concentration record from the NGRIP ice core (Schilt et al., 2013), where the bubble enclosure process leads to an effective resolution in the order of a few decades for the Holocene and less than a century for the Last Glacial Maximum (Schilt et al., 2013), may be used. Taking the NGRIP data after outlier removal (Schilt et al., 2013) at face value (see discussion on potential in situ production above), this record suggests that N₂O concentrations, thus total N₂O emissions, started to change synchronously within the data resolution with the CH₄ concentration measured on the same samples (Fig. 2). In particular, the NGRIP N₂O record excludes any significant N₂O emission increase prior to the onset of the CH₄ rise, where the latter is also synchronous within a few decades with the rapid warming in Greenland temperatures as evidenced in the thermal diffusion signal in δ¹⁵N₂ in the NGRIP ice core (Baumgartner et al., 2014).

The low pass-filtering also leads to an underestimation of the true atmospheric dynamics in N₂O concentration changes. Using our Monte Carlo N₂O concentration record compiled from all ice cores (Fig. 2), we see that 90 % of the concentration increase at the end of HS-1 is achieved over a time scale of about 500 years. Using artificial emission data in forward box model runs and low-pass filtering the calculated atmospheric concentrations with a log normal age distribution with a mean age of 132 yr (as applicable for the atmospheric record compiled from all our ice cores) this time scale can be achieved by a linear increase in terrestrial emission within about 200 yr. In contrast, an emission increase over 300 yr already requires an

Gelöscht: Interestingly,

Gelöscht: o

Gelöscht: also

increase in the (bubble low-pass filtered) ice core record over 600 yr. As our ice core N₂O compilation is also low-pass filtered by the applied spline approximation, the true increase in the ice core concentration record should be even faster than 500 yrs, implying that the terrestrial emission increase happened within less than 200 yr. However, based on the resolution of the available records to date we cannot constrain the time scale of the N₂O emission increase to better than 200 yr. In particular, we refrain from using the NGRIP concentration data, which show a more rapid increase in N₂O (Fig. 2) at the onset of the B/A and the end of the YD, to constrain this time scale, as these data appear to be affected by in situ formation of N₂O in the ice in the respective time intervals (see discussion above).

A similar picture in terms of the timing of the onset and time scale of N₂O increase as at the onset of the B/A emerges for terrestrial emissions accompanying the warming at the end of the YD. Again, the major N₂O concentration rise in our data compilation starts synchronously within uncertainty with CH₄ and the warming in Greenland, while peak N₂O concentrations are delayed by a few centuries relative to the CH₄ maximum and the temperature change documented in Greenland climate records (Steffensen et al., 2008). Accordingly, this time evolution of terrestrial N₂O emission changes appears to be a common feature for rapid climate changes during the last termination and potentially also for all DO events. Due to the preceding long-term increase in marine N₂O emissions over the YD event, a clear acceleration of the increase in marine N₂O emissions (as found for the B/A warming) is difficult to discern during the rapid warming at the end of the YD. A major increase in marine emissions, however, is reconstructed at around 11.4 ka BP, which is delayed relative to the onset of the YD-Preboreal warming and lasts for about 200 years.

In summary, the terrestrial N₂O emissions increase at the beginning of the B/A period and the end of the YD were realized within 200 years. A more rapid, decadal-scale increase in terrestrial N₂O emissions is possible, but cannot be confirmed by the available ice core information to date. In any case, the terrestrial emissions increased much more rapidly than marine emissions during rapid climate warming, indicating a longer response time of the ocean compared to the land biosphere as also suggested in a marine N₂O modeling study (Goldstein et al., 2003). Modelling studies also suggest that the main response of marine N₂O production and emissions is delayed by a few centuries relative to AMOC changes in idealized freshwater hosing experiments and even a longer delay is simulated until marine emission changes have reached their full amplitude (Battaglia et al., 2019; Schmittner and Galbraith, 2008).

3.2.2 Testing the robustness of the deconvolution results

$\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ was not used as additional constraint in the deconvolution but was used as independent check in forward modeling of the atmospheric concentrations and isotopic signature from the reconstructed emissions (Fig. 3). The mean results of this forward modeling suggest little change in tropospheric $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ in agreement with the ice core $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$. Yet, the uncertainty range in projected $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ is with about $\pm 1.5 \text{ ‰}$ about two times larger than the analytical

Gelöscht: (terrestrial)

Gelöscht: Sensitivity analyses

uncertainty of an individual $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ ice core measurement (Fig. 3). This is due to the relatively wide range of possible input data (mainly the isotopic source signatures) used in our Monte Carlo box model approach. The large model uncertainty in addition to the complex nature of the global cycle of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, prevents any firm conclusions from $\delta^{18}\text{O}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$ data.

Gelöscht: large uncertainties in the

5

We further test the robustness of the Monte Carlo deconvolution approach to infer changes in global N_2O emissions using sensitivity analyses (see Fig. 6). The results suggest a moderate sensitivity of inferred land and ocean N_2O emissions to plausible changes in the global mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of N_2O emissions from land and from the ocean but still well within the overall uncertainty ranges obtained from the Monte Carlo procedure. In other words, the sensitivity of inferred N_2O emissions to plausible changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the global land and ocean emissions is smaller than the reconstructed glacial/interglacial and rapid changes. In particular, a large deglacial decrease in the land isotopic signature by 2 ‰ as suggested by lacustrine data (McLauchlan et al., 2013), requires a reduction in land emission change relative to the LGM value by only about 0.4 TgN yr⁻¹ compared to our standard scenario (and an equivalent increase in marine emissions), i.e., very close to the 1 σ uncertainty of our standard runs. Moreover, while the total glacial/interglacial increase may change (in opposite directions for marine and terrestrial emissions) the relative millennial variability seen in our records is not affected by these source scenarios.

Gelöscht: significantly s

Gelöscht: the glacial/interglacial

15

Second, the influence of the prior assumption on the initial fraction of marine emissions relative to total N_2O emissions is investigated. In the standard Monte Carlo setup, the marine contribution at the start of the deconvolution is uniformly varied between 30 % and 58 % of total emissions (equivalent to a range of 3.3 to 6.6 TgN yr⁻¹ in preindustrial marine N_2O emissions), following the most recent observation-constrained estimate (Battaglia and Joos, 2018b) with a best-guess estimate of 43 % very close to the mean preindustrial value in our reconstruction (Figure 7). In two sensitivity tests, we investigate the influence of the prescribed initial range and vary the initial fraction of marine emissions between 25 % and 35 % (low-biased scenario, green line in Fig. 6C to E) and between 53 and 63 % (high-biased scenario, blue line in Fig. 6C to E) only. Assuming such strong deviations from the best estimate for the marine fraction, the Holocene emission anomalies relative to the LGM level are shifted by about +1 σ of the standard runs towards higher marine emissions in the high-biased scenario and by -2 σ of the standards runs towards lower marine emissions in the low-biased scenario. In fact, the latter sensitivity run does show only a very small change in marine emissions between the LGM and the late Holocene. However, while a low marine fraction during the LGM as required in this run is possible, the preindustrial marine fraction in this run is lower than the best guess estimate by Battaglia and Joos (2018b), thus this run is likely underestimating the Holocene increase in marine emissions. To reconcile the required increase in marine fraction from the LGM to the late Holocene and our isotopic constraints asks for a significant shift in the isotopic source signatures, similar to what is observed in source signature scenario 1. We conclude, that the results of our sensitivity studies overall support the robustness of our results and that the standard deviation of the emission anomalies relative to the LGM level in the standard runs provides a representative

20

25

30

uncertainty estimate for possible emission changes. While we stress that the absolute magnitude of land and ocean N₂O emissions is sensitive to selected isotopic source signature and the assumed split between marine and terrestrial N₂O emissions, the relative changes in the temporal evolution of marine and terrestrial emissions are much less affected by this choice.

Finally, we varied the atmospheric lifetime over the deglacial period in an idealized scenario assuming an overall decrease in lifetime from 143 to 123 yr from the glacial to the Holocene. This change in lifetime causes a parallel increase in both land and ocean emissions by about 16 %. Late Holocene emission anomalies relative to 21 ka BP increase by about 0.6 Tg yr⁻¹ for both terrestrial and marine emissions. Again, the assumed scenario for past lifetime changes alters the absolute amplitude of emission anomalies relative to the LGM, but has little effect on the temporal evolution of the emissions.

In conclusion, the main features of our standard reconstruction such as the decrease and recovery of global marine N₂O emissions during the HS1 and YD intervals and the rapid rise in global terrestrial emissions at the onset of the B/A and the end of the YD are robust. The reconstructed emission anomalies relative to the LGM level clearly exceed the uncertainty ranges revealed by our Monte Carlo analysis where both parameters and ice core data were varied within their uncertainties. The rather constant terrestrial N₂O emissions in the Holocene and the slow decline in marine emissions after the Preboreal appears to be robust as well as the finding of constant terrestrial emissions during the LGM and HS1 and the overall deglacial increase in both global marine and global terrestrial N₂O emissions to the atmosphere.

4. Discussion

4.1 Reconstructed N₂O emission changes

We followed the approach by Schilt et al. (2014) and deconvolved the ice core records of N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) to quantify changes in terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions. These emission records serve as benchmarks for ocean and land models simulating N₂O emissions to the atmosphere. The total emission changes are only a function of atmospheric lifetime changes, however, the split of total emission in marine versus terrestrial emissions depends on isotopic source signatures and the assumed initial ratio of land versus ocean emissions. However within reasonable bounds of the marine emission fraction the changes in land and ocean emission relative to the LGM level represent a robust feature of our box model deconvolution.

The temporal resolution of reconstructed N₂O atmospheric concentration and marine and terrestrial emission changes is limited by the smoothing properties of the ice archive. Specifically, the transfer of atmospheric air to the firn layer and the enclosure of firn air into the ice leads to an age distribution of the air conserved in the ice and finally measured. This enclosure process acts like an asymmetric low-pass filter with a strong bias to old ages of the air enclosed, removing fast variations in N₂O and N₂O isotopes. In addition, for our deconvolution the ice core measurements are smoothed with a spline

Gelöscht: relative

Gelöscht: change

Gelöscht: long-term variations c

Gelöscht: relative constancy

Gelöscht: of

Gelöscht: amplitude of the reconstructed deglacial change in

Gelöscht: sensitively

Gelöscht: , h

Gelöscht: relative

that acts like a (symmetric) low-pass filter to produce a continuous record from the large, but limited number of samples, and to account for the small, but noticeable scatter in the ice core data due to measurement and archive uncertainties. Taken together, these limitations restrict the temporal resolution of changes that can be unveiled by our deconvolution. Nevertheless, we can firmly state that the terrestrial N₂O emission changes at the onset of the B/A and the end of the Younger Dryas started synchronously with the increase in CH₄ and occurred within at maximum two centuries, but potentially even faster.

4.2 Marine N₂O emission changes

Marine N₂O emissions are controlled by the amount of organic matter re-mineralized and oxygen (O₂) concentrations (Battaglia and Joos, 2018b; Suntharalingam and Sarmiento, 2000). N₂O production by nitrification increases with substrate availability and with decreasing O₂ concentration. The overall increase in marine N₂O emission over the termination is as such consistent with paleoceanographic evidence showing an oxygen depletion across the deglaciation in the upper ocean (Jaccard and Galbraith, 2012; Moffitt et al., 2015), whereas changes in organic matter export as inferred from ocean sediments varied regionally (Kohfeld et al., 2005) and overall organic matter preservation was much higher during the LGM than the Holocene in low and mid-latitudes (Cartapanis et al., 2016). The largest volumetric expansion of oxygen-depleted water masses is reconstructed for the onset of the B/A, when oxygen concentrations decreased throughout the Indo-Pacific above 2,500 m (Jaccard et al., 2014) and oxygen minimum zones expanded world-wide (Moffitt et al., 2015). High resolution records from the North Pacific clearly show that hypoxic events occurred in response to the fast warming into the B/A and at the end of the YD and were connected to local productivity feedbacks (Praetorius et al., 2015). In summary, upper ocean oxygen depletion appears as the most likely explanation for the marine N₂O emission increase from 16 to 13.5 ka BP, perhaps partly counteracted by a potential decrease in organic matter export and remineralization.

Marine N₂O emissions show a very sharp drop around 17.4 ka BP at the onset of the Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1; 17.4 to 14.6 ka BP) and reduced emissions for the next ~1500 years. This decreased N₂O emission occurred together with the onset of the deglacial CO₂ rise (Marcott et al., 2014; Monnin et al., 2001) and a concomitant equally sharp decrease in δ¹³C(CO₂) (Schmitt et al., 2012), an increase in the deposition of biogenic opal in Southern Ocean sediments indicative of Southern Ocean upwelling (Anderson et al., 2009), a drop in atmospheric Δ¹⁴C (Reimer et al., 2013; Southon et al., 2012), and an increase in Southern Ocean oxygenation (Jaccard et al., 2016), as well as the occurrence of ice-rafted debris in Iberian Margin sediments (Bard et al., 2000). Apart from the ice-rafted debris, these changes have been explained by an intensification of Southern Ocean upwelling bringing carbon and silica rich, δ¹³C and Δ¹⁴C depleted waters from the deep ocean to the surface (Schmitt et al., 2012), and are consistent with the multi-tracer relationships found in dynamic ocean-biogeochemistry model simulations (Tschumi et al., 2011). Accordingly, the drop in marine N₂O emissions at the onset and the low emissions during parts of the HS1 may be linked to an increase in Southern Ocean ventilation and ocean oxygen concentration during the first part of HS1.

Gelöscht: T

Gelöscht: accordingly

5 Interestingly, both reconstructed marine N₂O emissions and atmospheric CO₂ show a trend change within HS1. Marine N₂O emissions remained minimal within the interval from about 17.4 ka BP to 16 ka BP, while atmospheric CO₂ increased by about 30 ppm during this period. Around 16 ka BP, marine N₂O emissions started to rise and the growth trend in atmospheric CO₂ declined. At the same time a minimum in δ¹³C(CO₂) was reached and followed by a small increase (Schmitt et al., 2012). The change in N₂O emissions and the trend reversal in δ¹³C(CO₂) may point to a change in the mechanisms governing the rise in atmospheric CO₂ which, in turn, led to a slower CO₂ growth rate. This is consistent with the suggestion that Southern Ocean ventilation changes were mainly responsible for the early CO₂ rise (Tschumi et al., 2011).

Gelöscht: is

Gelöscht: a

10 Paleo N₂O modelling studies are sparse, but three studies present partly conflicting results for YD-type climatic events. All studies report results from dynamic ocean models forced with freshwater hosing in the North Atlantic to provoke a collapse of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation and cooling in the North Atlantic realm. In a zonally averaged ocean model, Goldstein et al. (2003) simulated reduced marine emissions in response to reduced Atlantic overturning and a
15 respective increase during AMOC resumption. This effect can explain about half of the reconstructed atmospheric N₂O decrease/increase during the AMOC collapse/resumption. These authors proposed that terrestrial N₂O emission changes must be responsible for the remaining atmospheric N₂O variation and, in particular, caused the rapid increase in atmospheric N₂O at the end of the YD. A recent nitrogen cycle ocean model study by Battaglia et al. (2019) also shows a reduction/increase of marine N₂O emissions of only 0.8 TgN yr⁻¹, during AMOC collapse/resumption, which is not sufficient
20 to explain the full amplitude of atmospheric N₂O changes observed in the ice core record. In contrast, in the ocean model by Schmittner and Galbraith (2008) the variation in marine productivity is able to explain the full N₂O concentration change observed in ice cores in response to AMOC variations. Our novel quantitative emission reconstructions support the findings by Goldstein et al. (2003) and Battaglia et al. (2018). The reconstructions indeed show a slower recovery of marine N₂O emissions and a much faster increase in terrestrial emissions towards the end of the YD, a N₂O emission scenario already
25 suggested by Schilt et al. (2010b).

Gelöscht: s

30 While the centennial to millennial scale oscillations in terrestrial and marine emissions over the Holocene cannot be interpreted with certainty due to the still limited sampling resolution and precision of the Holocene δ¹⁵N(N₂O) data, the longer term decrease in the marine emissions over the first half of the Holocene appears significant and synchronous to a reconstructed slow increase in AMOC and subsurface water ventilation in the Atlantic Ocean (McManus et al., 2004; Waelbroeck et al., 2018) and a change in Southern Ocean bottom water properties (Jaccard et al., 2016). Thus, the processes invoked during the AMOC resumption at the end of HS1 and the end of the YD leading to a century-scale increase in marine N₂O emissions, cannot explain the early Holocene decrease of ocean sources. Moffitt et al. (2015) (their Table 5) show that oxic conditions expanded between 10 and 4 ka BP in the Pacific eastern upwelling systems. The extent of oxygen minimum

zones in the Arabian Sea decreased from the early to mid-Holocene as ventilation of these water masses increased (Kessarkar et al., 2018). This has been related to an intensified winter monsoon and winter mixing arising from cooling in the North Atlantic region (Reichart et al., 1998). We speculate that the gradual AMOC intensification (McManus et al., 2004), accompanying the demise of the northern hemisphere ice sheets at a time when interglacial temperatures and sea ice extent were already established, lead to an improvement in oxygenation in the low-latitude thermocline of the Atlantic and, in concert with orbital forcing and monsoonal wind changes, in the Pacific and Indian upwelling systems that may have led to the reconstructed decrease in marine N₂O emissions over the first half of the Holocene.

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigtes Königreich)

Gelöscht: for the Arabian Sea

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigtes Königreich)

Gelöscht: and

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigtes Königreich)

Generally, the difference in the time scales associated with the reconstructed marine and terrestrial emission changes during the YD and over the entire termination is consistent with the notion that terrestrial N₂O emissions can react quickly to climate change. In contrast, marine emission changes materialize on longer centennial to up to millennial time scales associated with surface-to-deep tracer transport and with physical and biogeochemical adjustment processes, such as changes in ocean circulation, export productivity, oxygen concentrations and the marine N₂O inventory as also indicated by multi-millennial global warming projections of marine oxygen and N₂O (Battaglia and Joos, 2018a).

15 4.3 Terrestrial N₂O emission changes

Terrestrial emissions show a 40 % increase from the Last Glacial Maximum to the late Holocene period. Most of the deglacial increase was realized in two fast and large steps. These occurred at the onset of the B/A and at the end of the YD, when reconstructed terrestrial N₂O emissions changed by about 1 TgN yr⁻¹. These large changes were realized within a period of two centuries, and possibly faster, considering the low pass filtering effects of the ice archive and in the input data used for the deconvolution. The B/A onset and the end of the YD are linked to rapid, decadal-scale and widespread northern hemisphere warming, to shifts in the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and precipitation patterns, and to fast changes in atmospheric CH₄ (Baumgartner et al., 2014; Rhodes et al., 2015). The latter are indicative of terrestrial methane emissions (Bock et al., 2017; Spahni et al., 2011) mainly in the tropics.

Gelöscht: (Bock et al., 2017b; Spahni et al., 2011)

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

25 Reconstructed terrestrial N₂O emissions changed relatively little during the Holocene. This is in contrast to the large reconstructed changes in land biosphere carbon stocks and in atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ (Elsig et al., 2009; Flückiger et al., 2002). Ice core data of CO₂ and δ¹³C(CO₂) imply an increase in terrestrial C storage of 290±36 PgC during the early Holocene until about 6.5 ka BP followed by a smaller release thereafter (Elsig et al., 2009). At the same time our terrestrial N₂O emission reconstruction suggests only a minor increase from 11 until 6.5 ka BP, a modest increase in terrestrial emissions of up to 0.2 TgN yr⁻¹ from 6.5 to 5 ka BP and a rather constant overall level thereafter. The significant increase in carbon storage in the early Holocene suggests that N supply was more than sufficient to support both generally high N₂O emissions and an increase in carbon inventory in boreal peatlands (Yu et al., 2010) and possibly other regions (Stocker et al., 2017).

Feldfunktion geändert

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

Gelöscht: regions (Stocker et al., 2017).(Stocker et al., 2017a)

The apparent decoupling of significant net terrestrial carbon storage from N₂O emissions in the early Holocene and an increase in N₂O emissions from 6.5 to 5 ka BP, when CO₂ concentrations stayed relatively constant, implies that a linear relationship between terrestrial net carbon storage and N₂O production is not an adequate picture for relative changes in nitrogen turnover in soils. While it is not possible to pinpoint the responsible regional terrestrial N₂O sources from the globally integrated information stored in atmospheric N₂O and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) in ice cores, it is worthwhile to note that the time interval from 11 to 6.5 ka BP was characterized by a significant decrease in sea level rise rates (Lambeck et al., 2014), the disappearance of the remnants of glacial northern hemisphere ice sheets and therefore most likely increased soil formation in high northern latitudes. Moreover, the Holocene was characterized by a strong decline in NH summer insolation starting around 7 ka BP and continuing over the entire Holocene with significant impacts on the position of the ITCZ and monsoonal precipitation (Marcott et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2005), however, globally integrated terrestrial N₂O emissions stayed relatively constant after 5 ka BP. Thus, global nitrogen turnover in soils and the connected N₂O production cannot be attributed to a controlling single factor (such as precipitation changes) or a specific region of the globe, but most likely average over competing changes in different regions throughout the globe (see also Joos et al. (2019) for a detailed terrestrial model study of the controlling processes and the contributing source areas).

Gelöscht: ,

Gelöscht: this issue

5 Summary and Conclusions

We reconstructed the evolution of the stable isotopes δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O of N₂O for the last 28,000 years. Our N₂O concentration record is seamlessly linked with the Law Dome ice and firn N₂O data and to instrumental N₂O measurements of tropospheric background air (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006). The age scales of the records are aligned with the absolutely counted GICC05 age scale (Rasmussen et al., 2006) using high resolution methane measurements from Antarctica and Greenland. This leads to particularly small age scale uncertainties around periods of rapid climate and methane changes, namely, the onset of the B/A (14.6 to 12.8 ka BP) northern hemisphere warm period and the beginning and the end of the YD (12.8 to 11.7 ka BP) northern hemisphere cold period.

For the last 21,000 years, where (isotopic) N₂O ice core data is available in sufficient resolution and from several ice cores from both polar regions, the N₂O concentration and δ¹⁵N(N₂O) records allow for a quantitative reconstruction of terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions. These provide important benchmarks for fully coupled, N₂O and isotope-enabled Earth System Models (ESM). Particularly interesting features to investigate are the N₂O depression during Heinrich Stadial I (HS1; 17.4 to 14.6 ka BP), the rapid increase in N₂O to preindustrial levels at the onset of the B/A and the YD fluctuation, as well as the Holocene evolution of terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions.

Gelöscht: ed

Our records show that both terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions took part in the rapid N₂O increases during rapid deglacial warmings connected to the resumption of the AMOC as already shown earlier (Schilt et al., 2014). For the first time we are able to show that this is also true for the general glacial/interglacial N₂O increase, while some decoupling is suggested for long-term terrestrial and marine emission trends during the Holocene. In particular, the strong deglacial increase in terrestrial N₂O emissions, indicative of an enhanced nitrogen turnover in soils, implies that either nitrogen availability was not a limiting factor of vegetation growth on the longer time scales or that the relative amount of N₂O produced and lost to the atmosphere during nitrogen turnover in soils increased for warmer climate conditions (Joos et al., 2019). Reconstructed terrestrial N₂O emissions changed by up to 1 TgN yr⁻¹ and within less than two centuries at the onset of the NH warming events around 14.6 and 11.7 ka BP, while marine emissions changed more sluggishly to ocean reorganizations accompanying the rapid warming events during the deglacial. These time scales are also relevant for 21st century climate projections and much longer than accessible in typical laboratory or field experiments. Taken at face value, our results suggest that the terrestrial nitrogen cycle will also rapidly adjust on the global scale in the coming decades leading to enhanced N₂O emissions, while marine N₂O feedbacks to future global warming induced changes in AMOC will only fully unfold on time scales of centuries in the future.

Formatiert: Tiefgestellt

Gelöscht: (see Joos et al., this issue)

Formatiert: Tiefgestellt

Acknowledgements. Long-term financial support of this research by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant no. 200020_172506 and 200020_172476) is gratefully acknowledged. Part of the research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Advanced Grant Agreement no. 226172 (MATRICs) awarded to HF. This work is also a contribution to the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA), the Talos Dome Ice Core Project (TALDICE) and the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP). EPICA is a joint European Science Foundation/European Commission (EC) scientific programme, funded by the EC and by national contributions from Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and the UK. The main logistic support was provided by IPEV and PNRA (at Dome C) and AWI (at Dronning Maud Land). TALDICE is a joint European programme led by Italy and is funded by national contributions from Italy, France, Germany, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. The main logistical support was provided by PNRA at Talos Dome. NGRIP is directed and organised by the Department of Geophysics at the Niels Bohr Institute for Astronomy, Physics and Geophysics, University of Copenhagen. It is supported by funding agencies in Denmark, Belgium, France, Germany, Iceland, Japan, Sweden, Switzerland and the USA. This is EPICA publication no. XX and TALDICE publication no. YY.

Data availability. Data presented in this study will be available at the NOAA paleoclimate data base

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Amundson, R., Austin, A.T., Schuur, E.A.G., Yoo, K., Matzek, V., Kendall, C., Uebersax, A., Brenner, D., Baisden, W.T., 2003. Global patterns of the isotopic composition of soil and plant nitrogen. *Glob Biogeochem Cyc* 17, doi: 10.1029/2002GB001903.
- 5 Anderson, R.F., Ali, S., Bradtmiller, L.I., Nielsen, S.H.H., Fleisher, M.Q., Anderson, B.E., Burckle, L.H., 2009. Wind-driven upwelling in the Southern Ocean and the deglacial rise in atmospheric CO₂. *Science* 323, 1443-1448.
- Bard, E., Rostek, F., Turon, J.-L., Gendreau, S., 2000. Hydrological Impact of Heinrich Events in the Subtropical Northeast Atlantic. *Science* 289, 1321.
- Battaglia, G., Joos, F., 2018a. Hazards of decreasing marine oxygen: the near-term and millennial-scale benefits of meeting the Paris climate targets. *Earth System Dynamics* 9, 797-816.
- 10 Battaglia, G., Joos, F., 2018b. Marine N₂O emissions from nitrification and denitrification constrained by modern observations and projected in multimillennial global warming simulations. *Glob Biogeochem Cyc* 32, 92-121.
- Battaglia, G., Joos, F., Fischer, H., Jeltsch-Thömmes, A., Schmitt, J., 2019. Marine N₂O emissions during a Younger Dryas-like event: the role of meridional overturning, tropical thermocline ventilation, and biological productivity. *Environmental Research Letters*, (in press).
- 15 Baumgartner, M., Kindler, P., Eicher, O., Floch, G., Schilt, A., Schwander, J., Spahni, R., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Leuenberger, M., Fischer, H., Stocker, T.F., 2014. NGRIP CH₄ concentration from 120 to 10 kyr before present and its relation to a δ¹⁵N temperature reconstruction from the same ice core. *Clim. Past* 10, 903-920.
- Baumgartner, M., Schilt, A., Eicher, O., Schmitt, J., Schwander, J., Spahni, R., Fischer, H., Stocker, T.F., 2012. High-resolution inter-polar difference of atmospheric methane around the Last Glacial Maximum. *Biogeosciences* 9, 3961–3977.
- 20 Bereiter, B., Eggleston, S., Schmitt, J., Nehrbass-Ahles, C., Stocker, T.F., Fischer, H., Kipfstuhl, J., Chappellaz, J., 2015. Revision of the EPICA Dome C CO₂ record from 800 to 600 kyr before present. *Geophys Res Let* 42, doi:10.1002/2014GL061957.
- Bernard, S., Rockmann, T.R., Kaiser, J., Barnola, J.M., Fischer, H., Blunier, T., Chappellaz, J., 2006. Constraints on N₂O budget changes since pre-industrial time from new firn air and ice core isotope measurements. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 6, 493-503.
- 25 Bock, M., Schmitt, J., Beck, J., Schneider, R., Fischer, H., 2014. Improving accuracy and precision of ice core δD(CH₄) analyses using methane pre-pyrolysis and hydrogen post-pyrolysis trapping and subsequent chromatographic separation. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 7, 1999-2012.
- 30 Bock, M., Schmitt, J., Beck, J., Seth, B., Chappellaz, J., Fischer, H., 2017. Glacial/interglacial wetland, biomass burning, and geologic methane emissions constrained by dual stable isotopic CH₄ ice core records. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114, E5778-E5786.

Bouwman, A.F., Beusen, A.H.W., Griffioen, J., Van Groenigen, J.W., Hefting, M.M., Oenema, O., Van Puijenbroek, P.J.T.M., Seitzinger, S., Slomp, C.P., Stehfest, E., 2013. Global trends and uncertainties in terrestrial denitrification and N₂O emissions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 368.

Cartapanis, O., Bianchi, D., Jaccard, S.L., Galbraith, E.D., 2016. Global pulses of organic carbon burial in deep-sea sediments during glacial maxima. *Nat Commun* 7.

Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., A., C., DeFries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R., Piao, S., Thornton, P., 2013. Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles, in: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 465-570.

Elderfield, H., Ferretti, P., Greaves, M., Crowhurst, S., McCave, I.N., Hodell, D., Piotrowski, A.M., 2012. Evolution of Ocean Temperature and Ice Volume Through the Mid-Pleistocene Climate Transition. *Science* 337, 704-709.

Elsig, J., Schmitt, J., Leuenberger, D., Schneider, R., Eyer, M., Leuenberger, M., Joos, F., Fischer, H., Stocker, T.F., 2009. Global carbon cycle changes during the Holocene based on ice-core stable carbon isotope measurements. *Nature* 461, 507-510.

Enting, I.G., 1987. On the use of smoothing splines to filter CO₂ data. *J Geophys Res* 92, 10977-10984.

Erhardt, T., Capron, E., Rasmussen, S.O., Schüpbach, S., Bigler, M., Adolphi, F., Fischer, H., 2019. Decadal-scale progression of the onset of Dansgaard–Oeschger warming events. *Clim. Past* 15, 811-825.

Etheridge, D.M., Pearman, G.I., de Silva, F., 1988. Atmospheric trace-gas variations as revealed by air trapped in an ice core from Law Dome, Antarctica. *Ann Glac* 10, 28-33.

Flückiger, J., Blunier, T., Stauffer, B., Chappellaz, J., Spahni, R., Kawamura, K., Schwander, J., Stocker, T.F., Dahl-Jensen, D., 2004. N₂O and CH₄ variations during the last glacial epoch: Insight into global processes. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 18, doi:10.1029/2003GB002122.

Flückiger, J., Dällenbach, A., Blunier, T., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T.F., Raynaud, D., Barnola, J.M., 1999. Variations in atmospheric N₂O concentration during abrupt climatic changes. *Science* 285, 227-230.

Flückiger, J., Monnin, E., Stauffer, B., Schwander, J., Stocker, T.F., Chappellaz, J., Raynaud, D., Barnola, J.M., 2002. High-resolution Holocene N₂O ice core record and its relationship with CH₄ and CO₂. *Glob Biogeochem Cyc* 16, 1010, doi:10.1029/2001GB001417.

Fourteau, K., Faïn, X., Martinerie, P., Landais, A., Ekaykin, A.A., Lipenkov, V.Y., Chappellaz, J., 2017. Analytical constraints on layered gas trapping and smoothing of atmospheric variability in ice under low-accumulation conditions. *Clim. Past* 13, 1815-1830.

Frame, C.H., Deal, E., Nevison, C.D., Casciotti, K.L., 2014. N₂O production in the eastern South Atlantic: analysis of N₂O stable isotopic and concentration data. *Glob Biogeochem Cyc*, 2013GB004790.

- Francey, R.J., Allison, C.E., Etheridge, D.M., Trudinger, C.M., Enting, I.G., Leuenberger, M., Langenfelds, R.L., Michel, E., Steele, L.P., 1999. A 1000-year high precision record of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in atmospheric CO_2 . *Tellus B* 51, 170-193.
- Galbraith, E.D., Kienast, M., 2013. The acceleration of oceanic denitrification during deglacial warming. *Nature Geosci* 6, 579-584.
- 5 Goldstein, B., Joos, F., Stocker, T.F., 2003. A modeling study of oceanic nitrous oxide during the Younger Dryas cold period. *Geophys Res Let* 30, doi: 10.1029/2002GL016418.
- Henry, L.G., McManus, J.F., Curry, W.B., Roberts, N.L., Piotrowski, A.M., Keigwin, L.D., 2016. North Atlantic ocean circulation and abrupt climate change during the last glaciation. *Science* 353, 470.
- Ishijima, K., Sugawara, S., Kawamura, K., Hashida, G., Morimoto, S., Murayama, S., Aoki, S., Nakazawa, T., 2007.
- 10 Temporal variations of the atmospheric nitrous oxide concentration and its $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ for the latter half of the 20th century reconstructed from firn air analyses. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* 112.
- Jaccard, S.L., Galbraith, E.D., 2012. Large climate-driven changes of oceanic oxygen concentrations during the last deglaciation. *Nature Geoscience* 5, 151-156.
- Jaccard, S.L., Galbraith, E.D., Frölicher, T.L., Gruber, N., 2014. Ocean (de)oxygenation across the last deglaciation: Insights for the future. *Oceanography* 27, 26-35.
- 15 Jaccard, S.L., Galbraith, E.D., Martínez-García, A., Anderson, R.F., 2016. Covariation of deep Southern Ocean oxygenation and atmospheric CO_2 through the last ice age. *Nature* 530, 207-210.
- Joos, F., Spahni, R., Stocker, B.D., Lienert, S., Müller, J., Fischer, H., Schmitt, J., Prentice, I.C., Otto-Bliesner, B., Liu, Z., 2019. N_2O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial - Part II: Terrestrial N_2O emissions constrain carbon-nitrogen interactions. *Biogeosciences Discuss.* 2019, 1-43.
- 20 Kessarkar, P.M., Naqvi, S.W.A., Thamban, M., Fernandes, L.L., Siebert, C., Rao, V.P., Kawahata, H., Ittekkot, V., Frank, M., 2018. Variations in Denitrification and Ventilation Within the Arabian Sea Oxygen Minimum Zone During the Holocene. *Geochem Geophys Geosys* 19, 2179-2193.
- Kohfeld, K.E., LeQuéré, C., Harrison, S.P., Anderson, R.F., 2005. Role of marine biology in glacial-interglacial CO_2 cycles. *Science* 308, 74-78.
- 25 Köhler, P., Knorr, G., Buiron, D., Lourantou, A., Chappellaz, J., 2011. Abrupt rise in atmospheric CO_2 at the onset of the Bølling/Allerød: in-situ ice core data versus true atmospheric signals. *Clim. Past* 7, 473-486.
- Kracher, D., Reick, C.H., Manzini, E., Schultz, M.G., Stein, O., 2016. Climate change reduces warming potential of nitrous oxide by an enhanced Brewer-Dobson circulation. *Geophys Res Let* 43, 5851-5859.
- 30 Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y., Sambridge, M., 2014. Sea level and global ice volumes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111, 15296-15303.
- Lewicka-Szczepak, D., Well, R., Köster, J.R., Fuß, R., Senbayram, M., Dittert, K., Flessa, H., 2014. Experimental determinations of isotopic fractionation factors associated with N_2O production and reduction during denitrification in soils. *Geochim Cosmochim* 134, 55-73.

- Lisiecki, L.E., Raymo, M.E., 2005. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic delta O-18 records. *Paleoceanogr* 20.
- Liu, Z., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., He, F., Brady, E.C., Tomas, R., Clark, P.U., Carlson, A.E., Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Curry, W., Brook, E., Erickson, D., Jacob, R., Kutzbach, J., Cheng, J., 2009. Transient Simulation of Last Deglaciation with a New Mechanism for Bolling-Allerod Warming. *Science* 325, 310-314.
- Loulergue, L., Schilt, A., Spahni, R., Masson-Delmotte, V., Blunier, T., Lemieux, B., Barnola, J.-M., Raynaud, D., Stocker, T.F., Chappellaz, J., 2008. Orbital and millennial-scale features of atmospheric CH₄ over the past 800,000 years. *Nature* 453, 383-386.
- MacFarling Meure, C.M., Etheridge, D., Trudinger, C., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R.L., van Ommen, T., Smith, A., Elkins, J.W., 2006. The Law Dome CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O ice core records extended to 2000 years BP. *Geophys Res Let* 33, L14810, doi:14810.11029/12006GL026152.
- Marcott, S.A., Bauska, T.K., Buizert, C., Steig, E.J., Rosen, J.L., Cuffey, K.M., Fudge, T.J., Severinghaus, J.P., Ahn, J., Kalk, M.L., McConnell, J.R., Sowers, T., Taylor, K.C., White, J.W.C., Brook, E.J., 2014. Centennial-scale changes in the global carbon cycle during the last deglaciation. *Nature* 514, 616-619.
- Marcott, S.A., Shakun, J.D., Clark, P.U., Mix, A.C., 2013. A Reconstruction of Regional and Global Temperature for the Past 11,300 Years. *Science* 339, 1198-1201.
- McLauchlan, K.K., Williams, J.J., Craine, J.M., Jeffers, E.S., 2013. Changes in global nitrogen cycling during the Holocene epoch. *Nature* 495, 352-355.
- McManus, J.F., Francois, R., Gherardi, J.-M., Kegwin, L.D., Brown-Leger, S., 2004. Collapse and rapid resumption of Atlantic meridional circulation linked to deglacial climate changes. *Nature* 428, 834-837.
- Moffitt, S.E., Moffitt, R.A., Sauthoff, W., Davis, C.V., Hewett, K., Hill, T.M., 2015. Paleocyanographic Insights on Recent Oxygen Minimum Zone Expansion: Lessons for Modern Oceanography. *PLOS ONE* 10, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115246.
- Monnin, E., Indermühle, A., Dällenbach, A., Flückiger, J., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T.F., Raynaud, D., Barnola, J.M., 2001. Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations over the last glacial termination. *Science* 291, 112-114.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., T., T., Zhang, H., 2013. Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Russell, J.M., Clark, P.U., Liu, Z.Y., Overpeck, J.T., Konecky, B., deMenocal, P., Nicholson, S.E., He, F., Lu, Z.Y., 2014. Coherent changes of southeastern equatorial and northern African rainfall during the last deglaciation. *Science* 346, 1223-1227.

- Park, S., Croteau, P., Boering, K.A., Etheridge, D.M., Ferretti, D., Fraser, P.J., Kim, K.R., Krummel, P.B., Langenfelds, R.L., van Ommen, T.D., Steele, L.P., Trudinger, C.M., 2012. Trends and seasonal cycles in the isotopic composition of nitrous oxide since 1940. *Nature Geoscience* 5, 261-265.
- Park, S., Pérez, T., Boering, K.A., Trumbore, S.E., Gil, J., Marquina, S., Tyler, S.C., 2011. Can N₂O stable isotopes and isotopomers be useful tools to characterize sources and microbial pathways of N₂O production and consumption in tropical soils? *Glob Biogeochem Cyc* 25, GB1001.
- Pedro, J.B., Jochum, M., Buizert, C., He, F., Barker, S., Rasmussen, S.O., 2018. Beyond the bipolar seesaw: Toward a process understanding of interhemispheric coupling. *Quat Sci Rev* 192, 27-46.
- Praetorius, S.K., Mix, A.C., Walczak, M.H., Wolhowe, M.D., Addison, J.A., Pahl, F.G., 2015. North Pacific deglacial hypoxic events linked to abrupt ocean warming. *Nature* 527, 362.
- Prather, M.J., Hsu, J., DeLuca, N.M., Jackman, C.H., Oman, L.D., Douglass, A.R., Fleming, E.L., Strahan, S.E., Steenrod, S.D., Søvdé, O.A., Isaksen, I.S.A., Froidevaux, L., Funke, B., 2015. Measuring and modeling the lifetime of nitrous oxide including its variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 120, 5693-5705.
- Prokopiou, M., Sapart, C.J., Rosen, J., Sperlich, P., Blunier, T., Brook, E., van de Wal, R.S.W., Röckmann, T., 2018. Changes in the Isotopic Signature of Atmospheric Nitrous Oxide and Its Global Average Source During the Last Three Millennia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 123, 10,757-710,773.
- Rasmussen, S.O., Andersen, K.K., Svensson, A.M., Steffensen, J.P., Vinther, B.M., Clausen, H.B., Siggaard-Andersen, M.L., Johnsen, S.J., Larsen, L.B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Bigler, M., Rothlisberger, R., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M.E., Ruth, U., 2006. A new Greenland ice core chronology for the last glacial termination. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* 111.
- Rasmussen, S.O., Bigler, M., Blockley, S.P., Blunier, T., Buchardt, S.L., Clausen, H.B., Cvijanovic, I., Dahl-Jensen, D., Johnsen, S.J., Fischer, H., Gkinis, V., Guillevic, M., Hoek, W.Z., Lowe, J.J., Pedro, J.B., Popp, T., Seierstad, I.K., Steffensen, J.P., Svensson, A.M., Vallenga, P., Vinther, B.M., Walker, M.J.C., Wheatley, J.J., Winstrup, M., 2014. A stratigraphic framework for abrupt climatic changes during the Last Glacial period based on three synchronized Greenland ice-core records: refining and extending the INTIMATE event stratigraphy. *Quat Sci Rev* 106, 14-28.
- Reichart, G.J., Lourens, L.J., Zachariasse, W.J., 1998. Temporal variability in the northern Arabian Sea oxygen minimum zone (OMZ) during the last 225,000 years. *Paleoceanogr* 13, 607-621.
- Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Buck, C.E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Haflidason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T.J., Hoffmann, D.L., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F., Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Niu, M., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon, J.R., Staff, R.A., Turney, C.S.M., van der Plicht, J., 2013. IntCal13 and Marine13 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years cal BP. *Radiocarbon; Vol 55, No 4* (2013).
- Rhodes, R.H., Brook, E.J., Chiang, J.C.H., Blunier, T., Maselli, O.J., McConnell, J.R., Romanini, D., Severinghaus, J.P., 2015. Enhanced tropical methane production in response to iceberg discharge in the North Atlantic. *Science* 348, 1016.

- Röckmann, T., Kaiser, J., Brenninkmeijer, C.A.M., 2003. The isotopic fingerprint of the pre-industrial and the anthropogenic N₂O source. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 3, 315-323.
- Röckmann, T., Kaiser, J., Brenninkmeijer, C.A.M., Crowley, J.N., Borchers, R., Brand, W.A., Crutzen, P.J., 2001. Isotopic enrichment of nitrous oxide (¹⁵N¹⁴NO, ¹⁴N¹⁵NO, ¹⁴N¹⁴N¹⁸O) in the stratosphere and in the laboratory. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* 106, 10403-10410.
- Rubino, M., Etheridge, D.M., Thornton, D.P., Howden, R., Allison, C.E., Francey, R.J., Langenfelds, R.L., Steele, P.L., Trudinger, C.M., Spencer, D.A., Curran, M.A.J., Van Ommen, T.D., Smith, A.M., 2018. Revised records of atmospheric trace gases CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and δ¹³CO₂ over the last 2000 years from Law Dome, Antarctica. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.* 2018, 1-30.
- Sapart, C.J., van der Veen, C., Vigano, I., Brass, M., van de Wal, R.S.W., Bock, M., Fischer, H., Sowers, T., Buizert, C., Sperlich, P., Blunier, T., Behrens, M., Schmitt, J., Seth, B., Röckmann, T., 2011. Simultaneous stable isotope analysis of methane and nitrous oxide on ice core samples. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 4, 2607-2618.
- Schilt, A., Baumgartner, M., Blunier, T., Schwander, J., Spahni, R., Fischer, H., Stocker, T.F., 2010a. Glacial–interglacial and millennial-scale variations in the atmospheric nitrous oxide concentration during the last 800,000 years. *Quat Sci Rev* 29, 182-192.
- Schilt, A., Baumgartner, M., Eicher, O., Chappellaz, J., Schwander, J., Fischer, H., Stocker, T.F., 2013. The response of atmospheric nitrous oxide to climate variations during the last glacial period. *Geophys Res Lett* 40, 1888-1893.
- Schilt, A., Baumgartner, M., Schwander, J., Buiron, D., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Loulergue, L., Schüpbach, S., Spahni, R., Fischer, H., Stocker, T.F., 2010b. Atmospheric nitrous oxide during the last 140,000 years. *Earth Pla Sci Let* 300, 33-43.
- Schilt, A., Brook, E.J., Bauska, T.K., Baggenstos, D., Fischer, H., Joos, F., Petrenko, V.V., Schaefer, H., Schmitt, J., Severinghaus, J.P., Spahni, R., Stocker, T.F., 2014. Isotopic constraints on marine and terrestrial N₂O emissions during the last deglaciation. *Nature* 516, 234-237.
- Schmitt, J., Schneider, R., Elsig, J., Leuenberger, D., Lourantou, A., Chappellaz, J., Köhler, P., Joos, F., Stocker, T.F., Leuenberger, M., Fischer, H., 2012. Carbon isotope constraints on the deglacial CO₂ rise from ice cores. *Science* 336, 711-714.
- Schmitt, J., Seth, B., Bock, M., Fischer, H., 2014. Online technique for isotope and mixing ratios of CH₄, N₂O, Xe and mixing ratios of organic trace gases on a single ice core sample. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 7, 2645-2665.
- Schmittner, A., Galbraith, E.D., 2008. Glacial greenhouse gas fluctuations controlled by ocean circulation changes. *Nature* 456, 373-376.
- Shakun, J.D., Clark, P.U., He, F., Marcott, S.A., Mix, A.C., Liu, Z., Otto-Bliesner, B., Schmittner, A., Bard, E., 2012. Global warming preceded by increasing carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglaciation. *Nature Geoscience* 484, 49-55.
- Southon, J., Noronha, A.L., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Wang, Y., 2012. A high-resolution record of atmospheric ¹⁴C based on Hulu Cave speleothem H82. *Quat Sci Rev* 33, 32-41.

- Sowers, T., Alley, R.B., Jubenville, J., 2003. Ice Core Records of Atmospheric N₂O Covering the Last 106,000 Years. *Science* 301, 945-948.
- Spahni, R., Chappellaz, J., Stocker, T.F., Loulergue, L., Hausammann, G., Kawamura, K., Flückiger, J., Schwander, J., Raynaud, D., Masson-Delmotte, V., Jouzel, J., 2005. Atmospheric methane and nitrous oxide of the late Pleistocene from Antarctic ice cores. *Science* 310, 1317-1321.
- Spahni, R., Schwander, J., Flückiger, J., Stauffer, B., Chappellaz, J., Raynaud, D., 2003. The attenuation of fast atmospheric CH₄ variations recorded in polar ice cores. *Geophys Res Lett* 30, doi:10.1029/2003GL017093.
- Spahni, R., Wania, R., Neef, L., van Weele, M., Pison, I., Bousquet, P., Frankenberg, C., Foster, P.N., Joos, F., Prentice, I.C., van Velthoven, P., 2011. Constraining global methane emissions and uptake by ecosystems. *Biogeosciences* 8, 1643-1665.
- Steffensen, J.P., Andersen, K.K., Bigler, M., Clausen, H.B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M., Johnsen, S.J., Jouzel, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Popp, T., Rasmussen, S.O., Röthlisberger, R., Ruth, U., Stauffer, B., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Sveinbjornsdottir, A.E., Svensson, A., White, J.W.C., 2008. High-resolution Greenland ice core data show abrupt climate change happens in few years. *Science* 321, 680-684.
- Stocker, B.D., Roth, R., Joos, F., Spahni, R., Steinacher, M., Zaehle, S., Bouwman, L., Xu, R., Prentice, I.C., 2013. Multiple greenhouse-gas feedbacks from the land biosphere under future climate change scenarios. *Nature Clim. Change* 3, 666-672.
- Stocker, B.D., Yu, Z., Massa, C., Joos, F., 2017. Holocene peatland and ice-core data constraints on the timing and magnitude of CO₂ emissions from past land use. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114, 1492-1497.
- Suntharalingam, P., Sarmiento, J.L., 2000. Factors governing the oceanic nitrous oxide distribution: Simulations with an ocean general circulation model. *Glob Biogeochem Cyc* 14, 429-454.
- Tschumi, T., Joos, F., Gehlen, M., Heinze, C., 2011. Deep ocean ventilation, carbon isotopes, marine sedimentation and the deglacial CO₂ rise. *Climate of the Past* 7, 771-800.
- Veres, D., Bazin, L., Landais, A., Toyé Mahamadou Kele, H., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Blayo, E., Blunier, T., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Rasmussen, S.O., Severi, M., Svensson, A., Vinther, B., Wolff, E.W., 2013. The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years. *Clim. Past* 9, 1733-1748.
- Waelbroeck, C., Pichat, S., Böhm, E., Loughheed, B.C., Faranda, D., Vrac, M., Missiaen, L., Vazquez Riveiros, N., Burckel, P., Lippold, J., Arz, H.W., Dokken, T., Thil, F., Dapoigny, A., 2018. Relative timing of precipitation and ocean circulation changes in the western equatorial Atlantic over the last 45 kyr. *Clim. Past* 14, 1315-1330.
- Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., He, Y., Kong, X., An, Z., Wu, J., Kelly, M.J., Dykoski, C.A., Li, X., 2005. The Holocene Asian Monsoon: links to solar changes and North Atlantic climate. *Science* 308, 854-857.
- Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Kong, X., Shao, X., Chen, S., Wu, J., Jiang, X., Wang, X., An, Z., 2008. Millennial- and orbital-scale changes in the East Asian monsoon over the past 224,000 years. *Nature* 451, 1090-1093.
- Wanner, H., Solomina, O., Grosjean, M., Ritz, S.P., Jetel, M., 2011. Structure and origin of Holocene cold events. *Quat Sci Rev* 30, 3109-3123.

Yu, Z., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D.P., Beilman, D.W., Hunt, S.J., 2010. Global peatland dynamics since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Geophys Res Let* 37, doi:10.1029/2010GL043584.

Table 1: Measurement precision (± 1 standard deviation) of N_2O isotope ice core data measured at the University of Bern in this study.

Samples/Isotope	system I (Bock et al., 2014)		system II (Schmitt et al., 2014)	
	$\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ (‰)	$\delta^{18}O(N_2O)$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ (‰)	$\delta^{18}O(N_2O)$ (‰)
NGRIP 2013	0.2 to 0.3	0.5 to 0.7	0.3	0.4
EDML 2013	0.2 to 0.3	0.5 to 0.7	0.3	0.4
EDML 2014	0.2 to 0.4	0.5 to 0.8	0.3	0.4
TALDICE	Not measured	Not measured	0.3	0.4

Table 2: Parameter ranges used in the atmospheric two-box model for the calculation of terrestrial and marine N_2O emissions.

Parameter	Range	Unit	Distribution	Reference
Initial fraction of marine source	0.30 to 0.58	-	Uniform	(Battaglia and Joos, 2018b)
$\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ terrestrial	-34 to +2	‰	Uniform	(Schilt et al., 2014)
$\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ marine	+4 to +10	‰	Uniform	(Frame et al., 2014)
$\delta^{18}O(N_2O)$ terrestrial	+3 to +45	‰	Uniform	(Park et al., 2011; Schilt et al., 2014)
$\delta^{18}O(N_2O)$ marine	+38 to +58	‰	Uniform	(Frame et al., 2014)
Lifetime	123 \pm 9	yr	Gaussian	(Prather et al., 2015)
Stratospheric fractionation constant (^{15}N)	-16.8 \pm 1.6	‰	Gaussian	(Röckmann et al., 2001)
Stratospheric fractionation constant (^{18}O)	-13.8 \pm 2.0	‰	Gaussian	(Röckmann et al., 2001)
Number of moles in atmosphere	1.77	10^{20} mol	Constant	(Röckmann et al., 2003)
Stratospheric fraction of total atmosphere	0.15	-	Constant	(Röckmann et al., 2003)
Exchange rate troposphere/stratosphere	4.11 to 6.63	10^{17} kg yr $^{-1}$	Uniform	(Ishijima et al., 2007)

- Gelöscht: estimates
- Formatiert ... [1]
- Formatiert ... [3]
- Feldfunktion geändert ... [2]
- Formatiert ... [4]
- Formatiert ... [5]
- Formatiert ... [6]
- Formatiert ... [7]
- Formatiert ... [8]
- Gelöscht: -
- Formatiert ... [9]
- Formatiert ... [10]
- Gelöscht: -
- Formatiert ... [11]
- Gelöscht: -
- Formatiert ... [12]
- Formatiert ... [13]
- Formatiert ... [14]
- Gelöscht: -
- Formatiert ... [15]
- Feldfunktion geändert ... [16]
- Formatiert ... [17]
- Formatiert ... [18]
- Gelöscht: -
- Formatiert ... [19]
- Formatiert ... [20]
- Formatiert ... [21]
- Formatiert ... [22]
- Formatiert ... [24]
- Formatiert ... [23]
- Formatiert ... [25]
- Formatiert ... [27]
- Formatiert ... [26]
- Formatiert ... [28]
- Formatiert ... [29]
- Formatiert ... [30]
- Gelöscht: ²⁰
- Formatiert ... [31]
- Formatiert ... [33]
- Formatiert ... [32]
- Formatiert ... [34]
- Gelöscht: -
- Formatiert ... [35]




Figure 1: Compilation of new and published N₂O records over the last 28 kyr including identified artefacts due to in situ production of N₂O. (A) N₂O concentration from this study and published records (Bernard et al., 2006; Flückiger et al., 2002; MacFarling Meure et al., 2006; Park et al., 2012; Schilt et al., 2010b; Schmitt et al., 2014; Sowers et al., 2003) (B) δ¹⁵N(N₂O) and (C) δ¹⁸O(N₂O) from this study and published records (Bernard et al., 2006; Park et al., 2012; Schilt et al., 2014; Schmitt et al., 2014; Sowers et al., 2003). The solid lines in A-C represent Monte Carlo Average smoothing splines with a cutoff period of 2000 yr prior to 16 ka BP and 700 yr afterwards. The grey shaded envelopes (±2 sigma) represent the Monte Carlo based uncertainties of the splines. Samples identified to be subject to in situ formation are indicated by red circles; extreme values outside the plotting range are indicated by arrows and provided in small orange boxes.




Figure 2: Methane synchronization of ice core records. (A) Existing methane (CH₄) concentration records for NGRIP and EDML (Baumgartner et al., 2012), and TALDICE (Schilt et al., 2010b) shown on the original AICC2012 gas age scale. The fast climate variations indicated by the NGRIP δ¹⁸O_{ice} record (B) (Rasmussen et al., 2006) were used as tie points for CH₄ changes in the NGRIP and TALDICE ice cores (mid-points of the CH₄ changes indicated by vertical dashed red lines). The onset of the CH₄ increase at the end of HS1 is indicated by the blue vertical dashed line (see text). A similar approach had been taken by Schilt et al (2010b) by synchronizing the fast changes in the Taylor Glacier CH₄ data with the corresponding changes in the WAIS Divide deep ice-core CH₄ data on an updated version of the WDC06A-7 timescale. The synchronised CH₄ records (C) are then used to create a common gas age scale for N₂O data on the GICC05 age scale (D) (Rasmussen et al., 2006).

Gelöscht: ,

Gelöscht: (Schilt et al., 2010b) by s

Gelöscht: y




Figure 3: Greenhouse gas records over the last 21 kyr after removing N_2O samples affected by in situ formation. (A) CO_2 concentration compilation (Bereiter et al., 2015), (B) CH_4 concentration (Loulergue et al., 2008; Rhodes et al., 2015), (C) N_2O concentration as compiled in this study, (D) $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ and (E) $\delta^{18}O(N_2O)$ from (Schilt et al., 2014) and this study. The solid lines in C-E represent Monte Carlo Average smoothing splines with a cutoff period of 2000 yr prior to 16 ka BP and 700 yr afterwards. The grey shaded envelopes represent of the Monte Carlo based uncertainties (± 2 sigma) of the splines. The dashed envelope in panel E depicts the ± 2 sigma range of the forward modeled atmospheric $\delta^{18}O(N_2O)$ signal expected from the terrestrial and marine emissions reconstructed using N_2O concentrations and $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ (see also Figure 7).

Gelöscht: ,




Figure 4: Late Holocene evolution of (A) N₂O concentrations from this study and published records (Bernard et al., 2006; Flückiger et al., 2002; MacFarling Meure et al., 2006; Park et al., 2012; Prokopiou et al., 2018; Rubino et al., 2018; Schilt et al., 2010b; Schmitt et al., 2014) (B) δ¹⁵N(N₂O) and (C) δ¹⁸O(N₂O) from this study and published records (Bernard et al., 2006; Park et al., 2012; Prokopiou et al., 2018; Schmitt et al., 2014), connecting the ice core records and the independent reconstructions using firn air and the Cape Grim air archive (Park et al., 2012).




Figure 5: Deconvolution of artificial ice core data for a hypothetical 50 yr emission ramp-up of (i) terrestrial emissions, (ii) marine emissions and (iii) terrestrial and marine emissions in a fixed ratio. (A) assumed terrestrial and/or marine emission increases for scenarios (i) to (iii). The increase of total emissions is the same in all cases, however the contributions of terrestrial and marine sources differ in the three scenarios. f_m denotes the change in the fraction of marine to total emissions during these three runs. (B) calculated N_2O concentration before (dashed black line) and after low-pass filtering with a log-normal gas age distribution with a mean gas age of 132 yr mimicking the bubble enclosure process (solid black line). The dotted black line represents the artificial ice core data after applying a spline approximation with a cutoff period of 700 yr. (C) calculated $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ before (dashed colored lines) and after low-pass filtering (solid colored lines) with the log-normal gas age distribution and after applying a spline approximation with cutoff period of 700 yr (dotted colored lines), (D) deconvolution results using the data in B and C showing terrestrial (solid colored lines) and marine (dashed colored lines) emissions. Also plotted for comparison are the reconstructed emissions using the ice core data at the onset of the B/A warming (grey lines in B-D), where the ice core results are plotted on the model time scale assuming that the well-defined onset in the ice core CH_4 increase (vertical blue dashed line in Figure 2) is synchronous to the onset of the N_2O emission increase in the artificial data.

Gelöscht: real

Gelöscht: reconstructed from

Gelöscht: A




Figure 6: Results from sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of N_2O emissions inferred by deconvolving the ice core N_2O and $\delta^{15}N(N_2O)$ records. Reconstructed, potential changes in oxygen (A) and nitrogen (B) isotopic source signatures of precursor material relative to 21 ka BP. Inferred changes in terrestrial (C) and marine (D) N_2O emissions anomalies relative to 21 ka BP, E) atmospheric $\delta^{18}O(N_2O)$ from ice cores (dark grey band) and inferred from the terrestrial and marine emissions in panel C and D. Emission anomalies of the standard deconvolution in C-E are shown in black including their ± 1 standard deviation uncertainty (grey shading). Sensitivity setups refer to runs with a lowered (green) and elevated (blue) marine source fraction range at the start of the runs, temporal terrestrial source signature changes derived from model data (purple line) and from lacustrine sediments (red line), and using a time dependent atmospheric lifetime (yellow) as described in detail in section 2.2.3. In both source signature scenarios (purple and red line), marine source signatures are varied according to evidence from marine sediment records.

Gelöscht: black dots




Figure 7: Reconstructed global terrestrial (A) and marine (B) N₂O emission changes: Mean reconstructed terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions are shown by the solid green and blue lines, respectively, together with their uncertainty estimate (± 1 sigma; colored shaded area) based on 500 accepted runs calculated using the Monte Carlo atmospheric two-box model (Schilt et al., 2014) and ice records of N₂O concentration and $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{N}_2\text{O})$. (C) mean reconstructed marine fraction f_m of total emissions (solid brown line) and its 1-sigma uncertainty (orange shaded area). y-axes on the left indicate reconstructed absolute emissions, y-axes on the right indicate the terrestrial and marine emission anomalies relative to the LGM (21 ka BP). The dashed colored lines around the mean anomalies indicate the ± 1 sigma uncertainty of the reconstructed anomalies. Note that the uncertainties of the anomalies are smaller than those of the total fluxes, as the choice of the isotopic source signatures in the Monte Carlo approach systematically shifts total emission fluxes in an individual run to higher or lower values but not the anomalies. This is illustrated by 3 randomly selected runs (run 1, 2, 3, indicated by grey lines), which are systematically offset from each other in A-C. In summary, we are able to reconstruct anomalies in the N₂O emissions relative to the LGM more precisely than the overall level of marine and terrestrial emissions using our approach.

Formatiert: Beschriftung

Gelöscht: black

Gelöscht: grey

Gelöscht: grey

Gelöscht: Thus

Gelöscht: changes

Gelöscht: ir

Gelöscht: ¶