

# Response to Editor

Dear Prof. Middelburg,

Thank you for the effort you have put in to improve this study. We have carefully addressed the review on a point-by-point basis.

Please also note that we have added a figure in the panel Figure 8 (c), to better illustrate the differences between riverine carbon inputs and riverine-induced carbon outgassing of the northern and southern hemispheres, which were already described in the text. The new sub-figure is referenced at L12p24. There were no other changes undertaken other than this and addressing the comments from the reviewer.

Sincerely,

On behalf of all authors,

Fabrice Lacroix

# Response to Report #1

## General Comments:

**Reviewer Comment:** *In this new version, the authors made the text noticeably more concise and to the point. The discussion is better organized. This makes the paper much clearer and less cumbersome to read. The novelties from this study, and how the latter can be compared to previous work is now easy to grasp.*

*In my previous review, I already acknowledged that this is a thorough piece of work improving our understanding of C cycling at the global scale along the land-ocean-atmosphere continuum. I think its quality now reaches Biogeosciences' standards, but still have some minor comments that could be addressed.*

**Author Comment:** **We would like to thank the reviewer very much for both reviews, which were done in great detail despite the length of the submitted paper. We believe they helped make major improvements to the paper.**

## Minor Comments:

L9p1. “riverine exports leads to” -> “riverine exports lead to” → **Corrected.**

L10p1. “results from a source from inorganic carbon loads” -> “results from inorganic carbon loads” → **Corrected.**

L11-12p1. “... and a slight model desequilibrium” -> This is still not clear if you haven't read the whole paper, and also not the most important conclusion. Maybe just indicating that the sink is mainly caused by uptake and resulting alkalinity production is sufficient. → **Corrected.**

L19-23p1. “... as well as to consider the long-term...” -> The sentence could be split in 2 here, since it is very long, and presents 2 distinct conclusions of the study, which are not directly related.

**We agree and split the sentence in two parts, see L19-24p1 from in the revised manuscript.**

L6p4. The 2 last paragraphs of the Introduction could be more smoothly connected, e.g. by saying that the present study aims at filling the listed knowledge gaps above, or part of them...

**We have connected the two paragraphs in the revised manuscript as following (L6p4):**

**“To address the knowledge gaps listed above, ..”**

L2p6. It is still not totally clear why the authors decided to scale the runoff. Why would MPI-ESM perform less well than the model from Fekete et al. (2002)? It would seem more logical to scale the runoff to the freshwater inputs used in the ocean model (from OMIP). However, this is probably a detail to the whole study in comparison to other assumptions.

**This is a good point, which might also illustrate uncertainties in the estimation of the global runoff, for which the Fekete et al. (2002) estimate is still used as the benchmark study despite being relatively dated. In Goll et al. (2014) however, where the performances of 4 ESMs to reproduce the global runoff were assessed, the MPI-ESM was the lowest, whereas other ESMs were closer to the Fekete et al. (2002) estimate. Using the OMIP runoff to scale to would be another potential solution, but the estimates for HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> weathering release (and exports to the ocean) are already on the low side of literature estimates using the higher scaling factor (to Fekete et al. , 2002). Therefore this higher scaling factor was used. We added a short sentence regarding this in the revised manuscript (Appendix B, last sentence).**

L10p6. “The Beusen et al. (2005) describes” -> “Beusen et al. (2005) describe” → **corrected. L12p6**

L23p7. “Pfert,catch was the input from agricultural application of fertilizers, manure and inorganic matter” -> precise that this is the amount of P reaching surface freshwaters due to surface runoff on agricultural lands within the catchment. It is not totally clear when reading that it is not the amount of fertilizer applied to the agricultural lands.

**Author Comment: We agree and this was corrected with: “Pfert,catch was the input to rivers from agricultural application of fertilizers, manure and organic matter”(l30p7 of revised manuscript).**

“P exports due to soil perturbations were not considered” -> This doesn't seem totally accurate, since Pfert,catch from Beusen et al. (2016) does account for disequilibrium in soils.

**This is true and this was corrected with: “P exports due to changes in organic matter erosion in soils were not considered..” (L6p37)**

L18-20p8. “We did not consider particulate inorganic phosphorus exports...” -> Even though there are no PIP inputs to the ocean model, this sentence is a bit confusing, since Fe-P exports are estimated. This could be more detailed by stating that for the ocean model inputs, only the desorbed fraction is considered, and the remaining is assumed to be non-bioavailable in the ocean.

**This is correct and was rephrased in the revised manuscript:**

**L13.p8 “The IP was then fractionated into DIP and Fe-Pw, which was released P that was adsorbed to iron minerals, “**

**L18.p8 “We did not consider particulate inorganic phosphorus exports other than Fe-P, ..”**

L20p10. “and correcting errors in the model” -> this is very vague and maybe not necessary to add here. → **This was removed in the revised manuscript.**

L26p11. The origin of some of the parameters is still not totally clear even though the range is discussed (0.003 d<sup>-1</sup> degradation rate for tDOM). Is this somehow calibrated? Discussion on this deserves to be refined in 7.2, since due to high C:P ratio, the degradation of tDOM contributes significantly to the estimated CO<sub>2</sub> “riverine-induced” outgassing.

**In a paper being prepared for submission, for which we performed model simulations at a higher resolution than here, we will show how different tDOM degradation rates might impact the fate of tDOM in the ocean and CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing on the global continental shelf. The rate chosen here is realistic with regards to the little observational data for validation that we have. Regional validation is relatively difficult in this resolution, but we show in the revised manuscript that the amounts of tDOM degraded at this rate is plausible in comparison to what regional budgets have suggested (Supplementary Information S.2.2).**

L1p12. Even though the physical modelling part is not the scope of this paper, I think it would be useful to have more information on the hydrology/hydrodynamics inputs and characteristics. E.g., is the meteorology from one specific year used for all simulated years?

**We have added additional information on in the revised manuscript l12-17p10:**

**“The atmospheric surface boundary data, as well as river freshwater model inputs used to drive the model originate from the Ocean-Model-Intercomparison-Project (OMIP, Röske (2006)) data set, a mean annual cycle for atmospheric parameters at a daily time step from the ECMWF reanalysis**

**project ERA40 data. To produce the OMIP freshwater input climatology, the HD model was driven with the ERA40 atmospheric data (Hagemann and Gates, 2001)."**

**The analysis of the atmospheric and freshwater OMIP data is done relatively extensively in Röske (2006) and Hagemann and Gates, 2001.**

L9p12. "REF was simulated" -> "REF was run" → **We changed "was simulated" to "performed", L12p12.**

L17p12. "was simulated" -> *redundant in the sentence.* → **Corrected .**

L6p13. "0.8 – 4 TgP yr<sup>-1</sup>" -> *To my understanding, the upper limit of the range does not only account for weathering. It is confusing to compare it to the weathering estimates in text, while it was not included in Table 2.*

**The upper range does indeed only consider weathering P release. The iron-bound PIP given in the Compton et al. (2000) is P that was first released during weathering and subsequently adsorbed to iron-manganese oxide and oxyhydroxide particle surfaces. The estimate for this Fe-P is given in Table 1A in Compton et al. (2000). The "detrital" PIP is given in the Compton et al. (2000) study is on the other hand covalently bound and not available for uptake by the biosphere. We have added the upper limit to Table 2, since it is one of the rare weathering estimates independent from the Hartmann et al. (2014) model.**

L21p17. "river specie" -> "river species"

**We changed "species" to "compound" in the revised manuscript L26p17.**

L23p17. "and to a framework" -> "and constitutes a framework" → **Corrected L28p17.**

L3p19. "REF and R" -> "REF and RIV" → **Corrected L3p21.**

L1-3p22. *Precise that the processes described here are what happens in the model.* → **Corrected l29p21.**

L11p31. "fixed a N:P ratio for" -> "a fixed N:P ratio of" → **Corrected.**

L11p31. "While N:P ratios..." -> "N:P ratios..." → **Corrected**

*+ precise that "we are incapable" of assessing spatially-variable global N:P ratios for river exports within the present framework (other existing global frameworks do assess variable N:P ratios).*

**We are unaware of any spatially-explicit global models that take into account estuarine transformations that deplete DIN (and potentially other changes to further compound loads). Nevertheless, we added "...which is impossible of representing in our model due to resolution constraints" in the revised manuscript L14p31.**

L20-22p33. *I would end the conclusion by the local importance of riverine inputs, since this is more "impressing" than these 10%, especially that these are fraught with large uncertainties.*

**We think it is better to end the study with a global perspective" despite the uncertainties, since regional models are still better suited to assess these local changes, especially in areas strongly influenced by riverine inputs, whereas the largest advantage of our model is enabling an analysis of the global impacts. 10% is also not negligible at the global scale, especially considering we derive a conservative estimate for the global riverine C flux.**



# Oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing and biological production hotspots induced by pre-industrial river loads of nutrients and carbon in a global modelling approach

Lacroix Fabrice<sup>1,2</sup>, Ilyina Tatiana<sup>1</sup>, and Hartmann Jens<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ocean in the Earth System, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geoscience, Environment and Society (DGES), Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Geology, Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

*Correspondence to:* Fabrice Lacroix (fabrice.lacroix@mpimet.mpg.de)

**Abstract.** Rivers are a major source of nutrients, carbon and alkalinity to the global ocean. In this study, we firstly estimate pre-industrial riverine loads of nutrients, carbon and alkalinity based on a hierarchy of weathering and terrestrial organic matter export models, while identifying regional hotspots of the land-ocean exports. Secondly, we implement the riverine loads into a global biogeochemical ocean model and describe their implications for oceanic nutrient concentrations, the net primary production (NPP) and air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes globally, as well as in a regional shelf analysis. Thirdly, we quantitatively assess the terrestrial origins and the long-term oceanic fate of riverine carbon in the framework. We quantify annual pre-industrial riverine loads of 3.7 Tg P, 27 Tg N, 158 Tg Si and 603 Tg C. We thereby identify the tropical Atlantic catchments (20% of global C), Arctic rivers (9% of global C) and Southeast Asian rivers (15% of global C) as dominant providers of carbon to the ocean. The riverine exports ~~leads~~lead to a net global oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> source of 231 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> to the atmosphere, which mainly results from ~~a source from~~ inorganic riverine carbon loads (183 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>), and from organic riverine carbon inputs (128 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>). Additionally, a sink of 80 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> is caused by the enhancement of the biological carbon uptake by dissolved inorganic nutrient inputs ~~, and the~~ resulting alkalinity production ~~and a slight model disequilibrium~~. While large outgassing fluxes are mostly simulated in proximity to major river mouths, substantial outgassing fluxes can also be found further offshore, most prominently in the tropical Atlantic. Furthermore, we find evidence for the interhemispheric transfer of carbon in the model; we detect a stronger relative outgassing flux (49% of global river induced outgassing) in the southern hemisphere in comparison to the hemisphere's relative riverine inputs (33% of global river inputs), as well as an outgassing flux of 17 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> in the Southern Ocean. Riverine loads lead to a strong increase in NPP in the tropical West Atlantic, Bay of Bengal and the East China Sea (+166%, +377% and +71% respectively). While the NPP is not strongly sensitive to riverine loads on the light-limited Arctic shelves, the CO<sub>2</sub> flux is strongly altered due to substantial dissolved carbon supplies to the region. While our study confirms that the ocean circulation is the main driver for open ocean biogeochemical distributions, it reveals the necessity to consider riverine exports for the representation of heterogeneous features of the coastal ocean ~~, and~~ to represent riverine-induced carbon outgassing ~~, as well as in the ocean. It also underlines the need~~ to consider the long-term volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux to close the atmospheric carbon budget in a coupled land-ocean-atmosphere setting.

## 1 Introduction

Rivers deliver substantial amounts of carbon (C), phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N), silica (Si), iron (Fe) and alkalinity (Alk) to the ocean (Seitzinger et al., 2005, 2010; Dürr et al., 2011; Beusen et al., 2009; Tréguer and De La Rocha, 2013; Beusen et al., 2016). In the ocean, these compounds are either stored in the sediment, are exported offshore or are outgassed (Froelich, 1988; 5 Stepanauskas et al., 2002; Dagg et al., 2004; Krumins et al., 2013; Sharples et al., 2017). In global ocean models however, biogeochemical riverine exports and their contributions to the cycling of carbon have been strongly simplified or ignored. In this study, we attempt to improve the understanding of the long-term effects of riverine loads in the ocean, by firstly estimating the magnitudes of biogeochemical riverine exports for the pre-industrial time period, and secondly by assessing their long-term implications for ocean biogeochemical cycles.

10 Natural riverine carbon and nutrients originate from the export of organic matter from the terrestrial biosphere and the inputs from weathering of the lithosphere (Ludwig et al., 1998).

Weathering directly releases nutrients (P, Si and Fe) that can be taken up by the terrestrial ecosystems, or exported directly to aquatic systems (Hartmann et al., 2014). In these ecosystems, they are reported to enhance the carbon uptake due to their limitation of the biological primary production (Elser et al., 2007; Fernández-Martínez et al., 2014). Furthermore, alkalinity 15 and carbon are released in the weathering process, while CO<sub>2</sub> is drawn down from the atmosphere (Amiotte Suchet and Probst, 1995; Meybeck and Vörösmarty, 1999; Hartmann et al., 2009). Spatially explicit weathering models have a strong potential for providing weathering release fluxes of nutrients, alkalinity and carbon for Earth System Models (e.g. Hartmann et al. (2014)), as well as to quantify the weathering-induced drawdown of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Ludwig et al., 1998; Hartmann et al., 2009; Roelandt et al., 2010; Hartmann et al., 2014). These approaches rely on estimating chemical weathering rates as a first-order 20 function of hydrology, lithology, rates of physical erosion, soil properties and temperature (Amiotte Suchet and Probst, 1995; Hartmann et al., 2009, 2014).

The terrestrial biosphere also provides carbon and nutrients to rivers, dominantly through organic matter exports (Meybeck and Vörösmarty, 1999; Seitzinger et al., 2010; Regnier et al., 2013). The formation of organic matter through biological primary production on land firstly consumes atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Ludwig et al., 1998). Leaching and physical erosion can then mobilize 25 dissolved and particulate organic matter from soils and peatlands, and export it to rivers. While the natural P and Fe within the organic matter originate from weathering, C and N mostly originate from atmospheric fixation (Meybeck and Vörösmarty, 1999; Green et al., 2004).

Dissolved inorganic nutrients enhance the primary production in the ocean, and thus cause an uptake of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Tyrrell, 1999). The riverine inputs of dissolved inorganic carbon on the other hand causes carbon outgassing in the ocean 30 (e.g. Sarmiento and Sundquist (1992)). Terrestrial organic matter releases dissolved inorganic carbon and nutrients during its remineralization, but its dynamics and composition in the ocean have been open questions for over two decades (Ittekkot, 1988; Hedges et al., 1997; Cai, 2011; Lalonde et al., 2014). In the case of terrestrial dissolved organic matter (tDOM), its previous degradation in rivers leads to high carbon to nutrients ratios found in tDOM at the river mouths (i.e. C:P weight ratios of over 500, Meybeck (1982); Seitzinger et al. (2010)). The degradation of tDOM could cause substantial regional outgassing due to

its large transfer of carbon in relation to its nutrient supplies (Cai, 2011; Müller et al., 2016; Aarnos et al., 2018). Although the strong previous degradation of tDOM and its high carbon to nutrients ratios imply low biological reactivity in the ocean, tDOM is not a major constituent of organic mixtures in open ocean sea-water or sediment pore water (Ittekkot, 1988; Hedges et al., 1997; Benner et al., 2005), thus it is also not inert in the ocean. In the case of terrestrial particulate organic matter (POM),  
5 even stronger gaps in knowledge exist (Cai, 2011). POM has however been reported to affect coastal ocean biogeochemistry regionally by controlling the availability of nutrients through its remineralization (Froelich, 1988; Dagg et al., 2004; Stramski et al., 2004). Furthermore, a substantial proportion of weathered P is exported to the ocean bound to iron (Fe-P, Compton et al. (2000)). Within the ocean, a fraction of P in Fe-P is thought to be desorbed, and thus converted to a bioavailable compound (dissolved inorganic phosphorus).

10 Pre-industrial P and N riverine loads strongly differ to present-day loads due to a dramatic anthropogenic perturbation of inputs to catchments over the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Seitzinger et al., 2010; Beusen et al., 2016). Riverine P and N exports are suggested to even have already been perturbed prior to 1850-1900 due to increased soil erosion from land-use changes, fertilizer use in agriculture and sewage sources (Mackenzie et al., 2002; Filippelli, 2008; Beusen et al., 2016). In the case of C and Si, the perturbations have been reported to be far less substantial at the global scale (Seitzinger et al., 2010; Regnier et al., 2013;  
15 Maavara et al., 2014, 2017). Since global modelling studies of the climate are usually initialized for 1850 (Giorgetta et al., 2013) or 1900 (Bourgeois et al., 2016), these exports due to non-natural sources should also be taken into account in initial pre-industrial model states.

Until now, riverine point sources of biogeochemical compounds have been omitted or poorly represented in global ocean biogeochemical models, despite being suggested to strongly impact the biogeochemistry of coastal regions (Froelich, 1988;  
20 Stepanauskas et al., 2002; Dagg et al., 2004) and to cause a pre-industrial source of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in the ocean (Sarmiento and Sundquist, 1992; Aumont et al., 2001; Gruber et al., 2009; Resplandy et al., 2018). This 'background' CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing flux of 0.2 to 0.8 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup> is significant in the context of the present-day oceanic carbon uptake of around 2.3 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup> (IPCC, 2013). In a modelling study, Aumont et al. (2001) derived carbon loads from an erosion model and analyzed the oceanic outgassing caused by the riverine carbon. The impacts of nutrients and alkalinity were however not considered and carbon was  
25 only added to the ocean as dissolved inorganic carbon, omitting terrestrial organic matter dynamics in the ocean. Da Cunha et al. (2007) analyzed the impacts of present-day river loads on the oceanic primary production in a global biogeochemical model for an analysis period of 10 years, which does not suffice to assess long-term implications of river loads on the ocean's biogeochemistry. Bernard et al. (2011) added present-day biogeochemical riverine loads to an ocean biogeochemistry model to focus on their implications for global opal export distributions, ignoring other aspects of the implications of these loads. In  
30 a global coastal ocean study, Bourgeois et al. (2016) quantified the coastal anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, but did not analyze the impacts of riverine loads. To our knowledge, a study has yet to give a comprehensive overview of pre-industrial land-ocean river exports and their long-term global impacts on oceanic biogeochemical cycling in a 3-dimensional framework. In previously published literature, riverine loads were added according to present-day estimates, despite severe perturbation of the land-ocean N and P exports having taken place during the 20th century (Beusen et al., 2016). An initial ocean state that considers pre-  
35 industrial riverine supplies would however be necessary to truly assess the temporal dynamical impacts associated with these



perturbations. Studies have also not considered differing characteristics of terrestrial organic matter to those of oceanic organic matter. Furthermore, it is often unclear under which criteria the alkalinity supplies to the ocean were constrained in global ocean models. Regional sensitivities of coastal regions to biogeochemical riverine loads have not been assessed at the global scale, largely due to the incapability of the global models to represent plausible continental shelf sizes in the past (Bernard et al., 2011).

In this study To address the knowledge gaps listed above, we **1.** implement a representation of pre-industrial riverine loads into a global ocean biogeochemical model, considering both weathering and non-weathering sources of nutrients, carbon and alkalinity. We compare our estimates with a wide range of published literature values, while also determining regions of disproportionate contributions to global exports. **2.** The implications of riverine fluxes for the oceanic net primary production (NPP) and CO<sub>2</sub> flux are assessed globally, as well as regionally in an analysis of shallow shelf regions. **3.** We evaluate the origins and fate of riverine carbon quantitatively, while assessing the balance between the land carbon uptake and the oceanic carbon outgassing in the individual models. This balance of the land carbon uptake and the oceanic outgassing is then used to assess the potential implementation of riverine fluxes in a fully coupled land-atmosphere-ocean setting.

## 2 Methods

To address the objectives of this study, we derived the most relevant pre-industrial (1850) riverine loads of biogeochemical compounds to the ocean in dependence of pre-industrial Earth System Model simulation variables. The derived riverine loads were then incorporated into a global ocean biogeochemical model in order to assess their global and regional impacts. In order to quantify the effects of the riverine supplies on coastal regions, we also defined 10 regions with ocean depths of less than 250m.

### 2.1 Deriving pre-industrial riverine loads


We focused on the exports of P, N, Si, Fe, C and Alk for global catchments. The catchments were defined by using the largest 2000 catchments from the Hydrological Discharge (HD) model (Hagemann and Dümenil, 1997; Hagemann and Gates, 2003), a component of the Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM). The catchments were derived from the runoff flow directions of the model at a horizontal resolution of 0.5 degrees. The exorheic river catchments (catchments, which discharge into the ocean) were considered to be catchments with river mouths that have a distance of less than 500 km to the coastline in the HD model. Catchments with larger distances were considered to be endorheic catchments (catchments, which do not discharge into the ocean). The biogeochemical tracers released in these catchments were assumed to be retained permanently, whereas the riverine exports of exorheic catchments were added to the ocean.

We considered both weathering sources as well as non-weathering sources of P, N, Si, Fe, C and Alk to river catchments (Figure 1). These were derived, if possible, from spatially explicit models. Within the catchments, we accounted for transformations of P, N and Fe to organic matter through biological productivity on land and in rivers, which were derived from globally fixed ratios to C (Figure 1). The organic carbon in tDOM and POM was assumed to ultimately originate from terres-

a)

	Source	Model/Study		Source	Model/Study
<b>C</b>	<i>Weathering (DIC)</i>	Hartmann et al. (2009)	<b>Si</b>	<i>Weathering</i>	Beusen et al. (2009)
	<i>Non-Weathering</i> DOC (Atmospheric) POC (Atmospheric)	NEWS2 NEWS2	<b>N</b>	<i>Non-Weathering</i> Atmospheric Anthropogenic	Fixed ratio to P
	<i>Weathering</i> <i>Non-Weathering</i> Fertilizer (1900) Sewage (1900) Allochthonous (1900)	Hartmann et al. (2014) Hart et al. (2004) Morée et al. (2013) Beusen et al. (2016)	<b>Fe</b> <b>Alk</b>	<i>Weathering</i>	Fixed ratio to P Hartmann et al. (2009) Goll et al. (2014)

b)



**Figure 1.** (a) Table of sources of nutrient, carbon and alkalinity inputs to the catchments and (b) scheme of origins, transformations of the catchment compounds and biogeochemical processes in the ocean. The abbreviations are: Inorg. Comp.: Inorganic Compounds, tDOM: terrestrial dissolved organic matter, POM: particulate organic matter, DIP: dissolved inorganic phosphorus, Fe-P: Iron-bound phosphorus, DIN: dissolved inorganic nitrogen, DSi: dissolved silica, DFe: dissolved iron, DIC: dissolved inorganic carbon, Alk: alkalinity.

trial biological CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Ludwig et al., 1998) and was therefore not subtracted from the catchment DIC pools. Additionally, a fraction of P was also assumed to have been adsorbed to Fe-P. The rivers in our approach therefore deliver terrestrial organic matter (tDOM and POM), inorganic compounds (DIP, Fe-P, DIN, DSi, DFe and DIC) and alkalinity (Alk) to the ocean (Figure 1).

- 5 The surface runoff, surface temperature and precipitation data used to drive the weathering release models were obtained from output of a coupled Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM) pre-industrial simulation (Giorgetta et al.,

2013). We thereby used the annual 100 year means of pre-industrial runoff, temperature and precipitation data computed by the MPI-ESM on a 1.875 degree Gaussian grid. We scaled the runoff data by a factor of 1.59 to account for the runoff model bias with regards to global estimations (Fekete et al., 2002), which is discussed in Appendix B.

### 2.1.1 Terrestrial dissolved and particulate organic matter characteristics

5 We assumed that the pre-industrial loads of tDOM and POM did not strongly differ to their present-day loads at the global scale. Seitzinger et al. (2010), who analyzed anthropogenic perturbations to riverine loads for 1970-2000, only found small changes in POC and DOC loads to the ocean over this time period. Regnier et al. (2013) suggest a total anthropogenic perturbation of the total carbon flux to the ocean of around 10%, for which we did not account in this study.

The riverine loads of tDOM and POM were therefore derived from the DOC and POC river loads for the reference year 1970  
10 (NEWS2), which were in turn determined from the models of Harrison et al. (2005) and Beusen et al. (2005). The Harrison et al. (2005) model quantifies the DOC catchment yields as a function of runoff, wetland area and consumptive water use. ~~The Beusen et al. (2005) describes~~ Beusen et al. (2005) describe the POC catchment yields as a function of catchment suspended solids yields, which depend on grassland and wetland areas, precipitation, slope and lithology.

The riverine organic matter exported to the ocean consisted of globally constant fractions of C, P, N and Fe. The tDOM C:P  
15 consistence was based on a C:P mole ratio of 2583:1 derived from Meybeck (1982) and Compton et al. (2000). The tDOM total N:P mole ratio was chosen to be 16:1, in order to represent the same N:P ratio as of the organic matter export to the sediment, as well as for the P:Fe ratio ( $1:3.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$ ). The total C:N:P:Fe mole ratio of tDOM was therefore 2583:16:1:3.0  $10^{-4}$ . The C:P ratio of riverine POM is highly uncertain, but global C:P mole ratios from observational data (56-499) (Meybeck, 1982; Ramirez and Rose, 1992; Compton et al., 2000) suggest a much closer ratio to the oceanic production and export ratios  
20 (mole C:P = 122:1, Takahashi et al. (1985)) than for tDOM. Due to this and the gaps of knowledge on POM composition, we chose a C:N:P:Fe ratio analogous to that of oceanic POM ( $122:16:1:3.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$ ).

### 2.1.2 Phosphorus

#### P weathering yields

We derived the P weathering yields from a spatio-temporal model (Hartmann et al., 2014), which quantifies the P weathering  
25 release in relation to the SiO<sub>2</sub> and cation release. The model core is dependent on runoff and lithology (Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2011) and was calibrated for the extensive dataset of 381 river catchments of the Japanese Archipelago. The model was then corrected globally for temperature and soil shielding effects (Hartmann et al., 2014):

$$F_{P_{release}} = \sum_{i=lith} b_{P,i} * q * F_i(T) * F_S \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{P_{release}}$  is the chemical weathering rate of P per area ( $t \text{ km}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ),  $b_{P,i}$  is an empirical factor representing the rate of P  
30 weathering of lithology  $i$ ,  $q$  is the runoff ( $\text{mm yr}^{-1}$ ),  $F(T)$  is a lithology-dependent temperature function and  $F_S$  is a parameter for soil shielding.

The lithology types consisted of 16 lithological classes from the lithological map database GliM (Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012), which have different weathering parameters  $b_{P,i}$  as well as temperature functions  $F_i(T)$ . The factor  $b_{P,i}$  represents the chemical weathering rate factor for  $\text{SiO}_2 + \text{cations}$  ( $b_{\text{SiO}_2+\text{Cat}}$ ) multiplied with the relative P content ( $b_{\text{Prel},i}$ ):

$$b_{P,i} = b_{\text{SiO}_2+\text{Cat},i} * b_{\text{Prel},i} \quad (2)$$

5 The parameters  $b_{\text{SiO}_2+\text{Cat},i}$  and  $b_{\text{Prel},i}$  for each lithology  $i$  can be found in Hartmann et al. (2014).

The temperature correction function  $F(T)$  is an Arrhenius relationship for basic (rich in iron and magnesium) and acid (high silica content) lithological classes, with activation energies normalized to the average temperature of the calibration catchments of the study (Hartmann et al., 2014). For acid rock lithologies, an activation energy of 60 kJ/mole was assumed, whereas for basic rock types 50 kJ/mole was used. Carbonate lithologies do not have a temperature correction due to the absence of a clear  
10 relationship to field data, as well as uncertainties in the mechanisms of a temperature effect on carbonate weathering (Hartmann et al., 2014; Romero-Mujalli et al., 2018).

A soil shielding factor  $F_S$  was considered due to the inhibition of weathering by certain types of soils. These soils with low physical erosion rates develop a chemically depleted thick layer, which shields them from water supply, thus preventing the maximum weathering of the soil aggregates (Stallard, 1995). The average soil shielding factor of 0.1 was estimated for the  
15 soils Ferrasols, Acrisols, Nitisols, Lixisols, Histosols as well as Gleysols, which was found to be the best global estimate for the calibration catchments in Hartmann et al. (2014).

### Non-weathering P sources

Since the P cycle was already perturbed in the assumed pre-industrial state (1850) due to anthropogenic activities (Mackenzie et al., 2002; Filippelli, 2008; Beusen et al., 2016), we also accounted for P sources other than weathering ( $P_{\text{nw},\text{catch}}$ ). Similarly  
20 to Beusen et al. (2016), we derived the global non-weathering source of P as the sum of fertilizer ( $P_{\text{fert},\text{catch}}$ ), sewage ( $P_{\text{sew},\text{catch}}$ ) and allochthonous P inputs ( $P_{\text{alloch},\text{catch}}$ ):

$$P_{\text{nw},\text{catch}} = P_{\text{fert},\text{catch}} + P_{\text{sew},\text{catch}} + P_{\text{alloch},\text{catch}} \quad (3)$$

$P_{\text{fert},\text{catch}}$  was the input [to rivers](#) from the agricultural application of fertilizers, manure and organic matter (1.6 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup> globally, from Beusen et al. (2016)),  $P_{\text{sew},\text{catch}}$  was the P input from sewage (0.1 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup> globally, Morée et al. (2013)) and  
25  $P_{\text{alloch},\text{catch}}$  represented allochthonous organic matter P inputs (1 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup> simplified as vegetation in floodplains in Beusen et al. (2016)), all estimated for year 1900 due to previous robust estimates not being available to our knowledge. Since our framework was developed with the aim of being used in Earth System Models, we assumed soil equilibrium since this is the initial state criteria used in state-of-the-art model simulations. Therefore, P exports due to ~~soil perturbations~~ [changes of organic matter erosion in soils](#) were not considered. The distribution of anthropogenic P inputs (agriculture+sewage) to catchments  
30 was assumed to be the same as the global distribution of contemporary anthropogenic P inputs, which was derived from the NEWS2 study:

$$P_{\text{ant},\text{catch}} = P_{\text{ant},\text{global}} * \text{DIP}_{\text{ant-pd},\text{catch}} / \text{DIP}_{\text{ant-pd},\text{global}} \quad (4)$$

where  $P_{ant,catch}$  is the anthropogenic catchment P pool, whereas  $DIP_{ant-pd,catch}$  and  $DIP_{ant-pd,global}$  are anthropogenic inputs from the NEWS2 study for every catchment and their global sum, respectively. For allochthonous P inputs, we assumed the same distribution as for the organic matter yields. Both of these distributions are shown and discussed in the Supplementary [information Information](#) S.1.1. and S.1.2.

## 5 **P river loads**

For each catchment, we estimated the total annual P inputs to the catchments ( $P_{total,catch}$ ), as the sum of the catchment weathering yields ( $P_{w,catch}$ ) and of non-weathering sources ( $P_{nw,catch}$ ):

$$P_{total,catch} = P_{w,catch} + P_{nw,catch} \quad (5)$$

$P_{total,catch}$  was then fractionated into inorganic P ( $IP_{catch}$ ) and P contained in tDOM (DOP) and POM (POP), which was  
10 assumed to have been taken up on land or in rivers by the biology at the globally fixed prescribed P:C ratios. After considering this net P transformation, the remaining P was assumed to be  $IP_{catch}$  for every catchment:

$$IP_{catch} = P_{total,catch} - DOP_{total,catch} - POP_{total,catch} \quad (6)$$

The IP was then fractionated into DIP and [Fe-P-Fe-Pw, hich was DIP that was adsorbed to iron minerals](#), with a ratio  $r_{inorg}$  (DIP:Fe-P = 1:3) approximated from the global natural P river export estimates of Compton et al. (2000):

$$15 \quad DIP_{catch} = r_{inorg} * IP_{catch} \quad (7)$$

and:

$$Fe - P_{catch} = (1 - r_{inorg}) * IP_{catch} \quad (8)$$

We did not consider particulate inorganic phosphorus exports [in this study other than Fe-P](#), since it originates from physical erosion, which does not chemically transform the shale. The resulting [shale-derived](#) material is considered to not be bioavailable  
20 in rivers or the coastal ocean (Compton et al., 2000).

### **2.1.3 Nitrogen and iron**


The inputs of N to river catchments were derived from the total P inputs to catchments at a globally fixed mole N:P ratio of 16:1 for all species (Takahashi et al., 1985). The nitrogen contained in organic matter were subtracted from its dissolved inorganic nitrogen pool. Beusen et al. (2016) suggest a total pre-industrial N:P mole ratio of 21:1 and a synthesis of global  
25 observations by Turner et al. (2003) report higher N:P ratios for in most major rivers. However, denitrification also removes N in river estuaries (3-10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, Seitzinger et al. (2005)) globally, which could compensate the higher N loads than at a N:P ratio of 16:1 (Supplementary Information S.1.3). For Fe, we used a Fe:P mole ratio of 3.0 10<sup>-4</sup>:1 to quantify Fe inputs to the catchments for all species, which is the Fe:P export ratio of organic material in the ocean biogeochemical model. The dissolved Fe inputs were also formed from subtracting iron from organic matter to the catchment Fe pool.

### 2.1.4 Dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity

The C and Alk weathering release was derived from weathering CO<sub>2</sub> uptake equations that originate from the studies of Hartmann et al. (2009) and Goll et al. (2014). Weathering reactions take up atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and release carbon in the form of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during carbonate weathering:



and silicate weathering:



The equations (9) and (10) dictate the release of 1 HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (thus 1 DIC and 1 Alk) for each mole of CO<sub>2</sub> taken up in the weathering of silicate lithologies, and of 2 HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (thus 2 DIC and 2 Alk) are released during the uptake of each mole of CO<sub>2</sub> drawn down during the weathering of carbonate lithologies.

The release equations from Hartmann et al. (2009) and Goll et al. (2014) quantify the lithology (i) dependent HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> weathering release as a function of runoff (q), temperature (F<sub>i</sub>(T)), soil shielding F<sub>S</sub> and a weathering parameter b<sub>C,i</sub>:

$$F_{HCO_3^-} = \sum_{i=lith} b_{C,i} * q * F_i(T) * F_S \quad (11)$$

The parameter b<sub>C,i</sub> is dependent on the weathering rate of the lithology and the composition of the lithology.

15 The catchment Alk and DIC catchment loads were the HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> weathered annually within the catchments, assuming conservation of Alk along the land-ocean continuum. We therefore consider carbonate alkalinity exports solely and a DIC:Alk loads ratio of 1:1. Riverine HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is thereby considered to mainly originate from the products of silicate and carbonate weathering reactions (Amiotte Suchet and Probst, 1995; Meybeck and Vörösmarty, 1999). We did not consider additional DIC sources, for instance of CO<sub>2</sub> from respiration of organic matter in soil pore water, groundwater or in rivers. River observational data  
20 however show that the riverine HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and total DIC mole exports rarely deviate by more than 10% (Araujo et al., 2014).

### 2.1.5 Silica

To quantify the spatial distribution of Si export yields, we used the model of Beusen et al. (2009), which describes the dissolved silica (DSi) river export as:

$$F_{DSiO_2} = b_{prec} * \ln(prec) + b_{volc} * volc + b_{bulk} * bulk + b_{slope} * slope \quad (12)$$

25 where F<sub>DSiO<sub>2</sub></sub> is the export of DSi in Tg SiO<sub>2</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> km<sup>-2</sup>, ln(prec) is the natural logarithm of the precipitation in mm d<sup>-1</sup>, volc is the area fraction covered by volcanic lithology (no dimension), bulk is the bulk density of the soil in Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, slope is the average slope based on global Agro-ecological zones (FAO/IIASA) in m km<sup>-1</sup>, and b<sub>prec</sub>, b<sub>volc</sub>, b<sub>bulk</sub>, b<sub>slope</sub> are the estimated regression coefficients in Beusen et al. (2009). For the precipitation, we used pre-industrial model output from the MPI-ESM,

whereas the volcanic area originated from Dürr et al. (2005), the soil density from Batjes (1997) and Batjes (2002), and the average slope from the Global Agro-Ecological Zones database (FAO/IIASA). The exports were aggregated for the HD model catchments, while taking into account catchment areas. The loads that were generated by the Beusen et al. (2009) model were converted to Tg DSi loads and are given accordingly in the rest of our study. We also neglected the land-ocean export of particulate silica physically eroded from land.

## 2.2 Ocean Model Setup

### 2.2.1 Ocean Biogeochemistry

The Max Planck Institute Ocean Model (MPIOM, Jungclaus et al. (2013)), which was used to simulate oceanic physics, is a z coordinate global circulation model that solves primitive equations under the hydrostatic and Boussinesq approximation on a C-grid with a free surface for every model time step (1 h). The grid configuration (GR15) is a bipolar grid with poles over Antarctica and Greenland, resolving the surface at around 1.5 degrees. Vertically, the configuration consists of 40 uneven spaced layers, with increasing thicknesses at greater depths. The [flow fields of the MPIOM dictate the advection, mixing and diffusion biogeochemical tracers in the ocean. The atmospheric surface boundary data, as well as river freshwater model inputs used to drive the model](#) originate from the Ocean-Model-Intercomparison-Project (OMIP, Röske (2006)). ~~The flow fields of the MPIOM dictate the advection, mixing and diffusion biogeochemical tracers in the ocean~~ [Röske \(2006\) data set, a mean annual cycle for atmospheric parameters at a daily time step from the ECMWF reanalysis project ERA40 data. To produce the OMIP freshwater input climatology, the HD model was driven with the ERA40 atmospheric data \(Hagemann and Gates, 2001\).](#)

Hamburg Ocean Carbon Cycle model (HAMOCC), which is coupled to MPIOM, simulates the inorganic carbon chemistry, biological transformations, nutrient cycling and gas dynamics in the ocean water column, sediment and at the air-sea interface. The model core along with its equations are described in Ilyina et al. (2013), but we also accounted for more recent modifications explained in Mauritsen et al. (2018). These included incorporating dynamic nitrogen fixation by cyanobacteria (Paulsen et al., 2017), following recommendations of the OMIP protocol (Orr et al., 2017), nitrogen deposition according to the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 6 (CMIP6) database (<https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/projects/input4mips/>) ~~and correcting errors in the model~~. The pools of the model consist of DIP, DIN, DFe, oxygen, DSi, DIC, Alk, opal, calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), phytoplankton (PHY), cyanobacteria (CYA), dissolved organic material (DOM) and particulate organic material (POM) (Appendix A1).

The inorganic carbon chemistry is based on Maier-Reimer and Hasselmann (1987), with adjustments in the calculation of chemical constants as described in the OMIP protocol (Orr et al., 2017). Total DIC and total Alk are thereby prognostic tracers from which the carbonate species are determined diagnostically. The total DIC includes all carbonate species and total Alk is defined as carbonate as well as borate alkalinity.

The dynamics of the biological organic matter cycling are based on an extended NPZD type model with pools of nutrients, phytoplankton, zooplankton, detritus (POM), DOM and cyanobacteria. The phytoplankton growth follows Michaelis-Menten kinetics as a function of temperature, light and nutrient availability and a constant ratio (C:N:P:Fe = 122:16:1:3.0 10<sup>-4</sup>, Taka-

hashi et al. (1985)) dictates the composition of all oceanic organic matter. Both oceanic DOM and POM are advected according to the ocean physics, and the POM also sinks as a function of depth (Martin et al., 1987). Aerobic remineralization takes place when the oxygen concentration is above a threshold oxygen concentration, whereas at low enough oxygen concentrations, denitrification and sulfate reduction can take place. Furthermore, the phytoplankton produce opal shells when dissolved silica is available, and CaCO<sub>3</sub> shells when dissolved silica is depleted. The CaCO<sub>3</sub> and opal thereby sink at constant rates.

HAMOCC also contains a 12 layer sediment module where the same remineralization and dissolution processes as in the water column take place for the solid sediment constituents (Heinze et al., 1999). The sediment consists of a fraction of pore water, which contains dissolved inorganic compounds (e.g. DIC and DIP). POM, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and opal fluxes from the water column are deposited to the top sediment layer. There is a diffusive inorganic compound flux at the water sediment-water column interface and a particulate flux from the bottom layer to a diagenetically consolidated burial layer.

Dust is added through atmospheric deposition according to input fields of Mahowald et al. (2006). The model considers the dynamics of CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> and their exchange at the ocean-atmosphere interface. Since we model a pre-industrial state of equilibrium in this study, we used constant atmospheric concentrations for CO<sub>2</sub> of 278ppmV (Etheridge et al., 1996).

### 2.2.2 Treatment of the river loads in the ocean biogeochemistry model

The biogeochemical riverine loads were added to the ocean surface layer in HAMOCC constantly over the whole year. The locations of major river mouths were corrected manually on a case to case basis for large rivers in order to reproduce the same locations as the freshwater inputs from the OMIP model inputs.

The dissolved riverine inorganic compounds (DIC, DIP, DIN, DSi, DFe, Alk) were added to the model in their dissolved species pools in HAMOCC. We added 80% of P contained in the riverine Fe-P to the oceanic DIP pool, in order for the amount of bio-available Fe-P to be comparable with the given range in Compton et al. (2000) (1.1-1.5 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup>). The rest of the Fe-P pool was considered to be unreactive in the ocean and was eliminated. The riverine POM was added to the oceanic POM pool in the ocean model.

For tDOM, we extended HAMOCC with a new tracer that was characterized with the described C:N:P:Fe mole ratio. tDOM was mineralized as a function of the tDOM concentration at a rate  $k_{rem,tDOM}$  and also of an oxygen limitation factor ( $\Gamma_{O_2}$ ), which decreases the maximum potential remineralization rate as a function of the oxygen concentration:

$$dtDOM/dt = k_{rem,tDOM} * tDOM * \Gamma_{O_2} \quad (13)$$

Since a large fraction of tDOM delivered by rivers is already strongly degraded, it is to a certain extent ~~resistant~~ resistant to microbial degradation (Ittekkot, 1988; Vodacek et al., 2003). We therefore assumed a slightly slower remineralization rate of tDOM ( $k_{rem,tDOM}$ ) compared to oceanic DOM (0.003 versus 0.008 d<sup>-1</sup> for oceanic DOM), in order to have a slower degradation rate than oceanic DOM. This rate is within the tDOM degradation range provided in Fichot and Benner (2014) for the Louisiana shelf (0.001-0.02 d<sup>-1</sup>) and we also compare tDOM to the limitedly available observational data in Supplementary Information 2.2. The oxygen limitation function used ( $\Gamma_{O_2}$ ) was analogous to that of the oceanic DOM which is described in Mauritsen et al. (2018).



### 2.2.3 Pre-industrial ocean biogeochemistry model simulations

We performed two ocean model simulations in order to assess the impacts of biogeochemical riverine fluxes in terms of their magnitudes and locations.

The first (REF) was the standard model version until now (for instance in Mauritsen et al. (2018)), which was lacking in terms of its representation riverine inputs: Biogeochemical inputs were added in the open ocean in order to compensate for burial losses in the sediment at the global scale. In HAMOCC, biogeochemical inputs are needed in order to maintain a stable ocean state, since the burial loss in the sediment induces a loss of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , opal and organic matter. Without these inputs, ocean biogeochemical inventories would thrive to zero. In order to maintain a state close to equilibrium in the standard model version (REF), inputs representing the re-dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (added in a mole Alk:DIC ratio of 2:1), inputs of dissolved silica (DSi) and inputs of oceanic DOM were added homogeneously per surface in all of the ocean to compensate for sediment burial. Therefore, inputs were almost solely added to the open ocean (See Supplementary Information S.5.). REF was ~~simulated~~performed for 5'000 years, where burial fluxes were computed approximately every 1'000 years. The resulting fluxes of these calculations were added to the ocean as inputs.

The simulation RIV replaced the homogeneous inputs of biogeochemical compounds with riverine inputs of DIP, Fe-P, DIN, DSi, DFe, DIC, Alk, tDOM and POM, derived in the described approach at their corrected geographical locations. The major differences to the REF simulation originate from the geographic locations of the inputs, the magnitudes of carbon loads to the ocean, as well as the mole ratios of Alk:DIC in comparison to the  $\text{CaCO}_3$  burial compensation inputs (1:1 for RIV and 2:1 for REF) and of tDOM in comparison to the oceanic DOM inputs. Since the inputs to the ocean were fully constrained by our described approach, long simulations were needed in order for both the water column and especially the sediment to equilibrate to the new biogeochemical inputs. We firstly performed the simulation ~~was simulated~~ for 4'000 years first including both the water column and sediment model components. Once particulate fluxes to the sediment were approximately stable, we performed a 10'000 years in a model version simulating only the sediment sub-model, which was forced with the stable 100 year mean of particulate fluxes from the previous simulation. The sediment was then coupled back to the ocean water column, with a simulation performed for 2'000 more years in the full model version.

We used REF as a reference simulation in order to compare RIV to, which enabled us to compare the impacts of riverine fluxes at plausible pre-industrial magnitudes and locations (RIV) to REF, where the vast majority of inputs were added directly to the open ocean. For the analysis of the resulting ocean biogeochemistry, we used the last 100 year means of RIV and REF.

### 2.3 Definitions of coastal regions for analysis

To investigate the impacts of riverine exports on coastal regions, we chose 10 coastal regions characterized by shallow continental shelves and high riverine loads that cover a variety of latitudes (Table 1). The shelves were defined to have depths shallower than 250m. The cutoff sections perpendicular to the coast were done according to MARGins and CATchements Segmentation (MARCATS) (Laruelle et al., 2013), except for the Beaufort Sea, Laptev Sea, North Sea and Congo shelf, where we

used the COastal Segmentation and related CATchments (COSCATs) definitions (Meybeck et al., 2006) due to the vastness of their MARCATS segmentations.

**Table 1.** Comparison of the surface areas [ $10^9 \text{ m}^2$ ] of selected coastal regions with depths of under 250m in the ocean model setup and in segmentation approaches. The comparisons were done with the MARCATS (Laruelle et al., 2013) or COSCATs (Meybeck et al., 2006). The shelf classes were defined as in Laruelle et al. (2013).

Coastal Regions	Major Rivers	Model Area	MARCATS/COSCAT Area	Shelf Class
1. Beaufort Sea (BS)	Mackenzie	269	274	Polar
2. Laptev Sea (LS)	Lena	397	326	Polar
3. North Sea (NS)	Rhine	499	871	Marginal Sea
4. Sea of Okhotsk (OKH)	Amur	245	992	Marginal Sea
5. East China Sea (CSK)	Yangtze, Huang He	731	1299	Tropical
6. Bay of Bengal (BEN)	Ganges	245	230	Indian Margin
7. Southeast Asia (SEA)	Mekong	1795	2318	Indian Margin
8. Tropical West Atlantic (TWA)	Amazon, Orinoco	448	517	Tropical
9. Congo shelf (CG)	Congo	53	38	Tropical
10. South America (SAM)	Paraná	1553	1230	Subpolar

### 3 Pre-industrial rivers loads

#### 3.1 Global weathering inputs to catchments

5 The weathering release models provide global means for pre-industrial weathering release rates of P, Si, DIC and Alk (Table 2), as well as their spatial distributions (Figure 2). For P, the calculated global release is  $1.34 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$  when considering the runoff scaling factor of 1.59. The release calculated when compensating the model's runoff underestimation fits within the range found in published literature of  $0.8 - 4 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$  (Compton et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2010; Goll et al., 2014; Hartmann et al., 2014). The Hartmann et al. (2014) and Goll et al. (2014) estimates ( $1.1$  and  $0.8-1.2 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ , respectively) originate

10 from the same weathering model as is used here. The  $1.9 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$  reported in Wang et al. (2010) was on the other hand constructed by upscaling measurement data points. In a further study, Compton et al. (2000) provide a quantification of the prehuman phosphorus cycle while distinguishing between land-ocean fluxes from shale-erosion as well as from weathering. Thereby, the total pre-human P riverine flux which originates from weathering is given by averaging P species concentrations from unpolluted rivers and multiplying them with global runoff estimates, yielding an estimate of  $2.5 - 4.0 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ . Both

15 estimates originating from upscaling from river measurements are therefore higher than the P weathering flux provided in the modelling approach in this study, in Goll et al. (2014) and in Hartmann et al. (2014), which suggests further effort might be needed to better constrain the global P weathering release.


We derive a DSi global yield of 168 Tg Si yr<sup>-1</sup>. This is within the range estimated by Beusen et al. (2009) (158-199 Tg Si yr<sup>-1</sup>), who used the same model while using present-day observational data to drive the model for precipitation. Our estimate is also comparable with the 173 Tg Si yr<sup>-1</sup> estimate provided by Dürr et al. (2011).

The modelled DIC and Alk release amounts to 374 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, which also takes into account the runoff scaling factor. By extrapolating from measurement data of 60 large river catchments, Meybeck (1982) suggests that the DIC export to the ocean is around 380 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> and originates directly from weathering. Further modelling studies also provide similar estimates of 260 to 300 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> (Berner et al., 1983; Amiotte Suchet and Probst, 1995). The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown induced by weathering is directly related to the release of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> since silicate weathering draws down 1 mole of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and carbonate weathering draws down 0.5 mol of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> released (Eq. (9) and Eq. (10)). While we model a CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown of 280 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> induced by weathering, previously estimated drawdown fluxes are suggested in the range of 220-440 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (Gaillardet et al., 1999; Amiotte Suchet et al., 2003; Hartmann et al., 2009), Goll et al. (2014)). The results imply that of the 374 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> DIC released by weathering, 280 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> originates from atmospheric drawdown, while the rest originates from the weathering of the carbonate lithology (94 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>).

**Table 2.** Pre-industrial weathering release of P, Si, DIC and Alk, as well as CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown, quantified by the combination of models used in this study in comparison to published literature estimates.

Species	Modelled weathering flux	Estimates	Source
P release [Tg yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	1.34	<del>±2.0</del> <del>0.8</del> - <del>±8.4</del> <del>4.0</del>	<a href="#">Compton et al. (2000)</a> ; Wang et al. (2009); Hartmann et al. (2014); <a href="#">Goll et al. (2014)</a>
Si release [Tg yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	168	158 - 200	Beusen et al. (2009); Dürr et al. (2011); Tréguer and De La Rocha (2013)
DIC release [Tg yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	374	260 - 550	Berner et al. (1983); Amiotte Suchet et al. (1995); Mackenzie et al. (1998); Hartmann et al. (2009)
Alk release [10 <sup>12</sup> mole yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	18.8	-	-
CO <sub>2</sub> drawdown [Tg yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	280	220 - 440	<i>Gaillardet et al., 1999; Amiotte-Suchet et al., 2003</i> <i>Hartmann et al., 2009, Goll et al., 2014</i>

We observe hotspots that contribute disproportionately to the nutrients and carbon release, with the Amazon, Southeast Asia as well as Northern Europe and Siberia as strong sources of weathering (Figure 2). The dominance of these regions regarding their weathering release is often due to a wet and warm climate, as well as due to their lithology (Amiotte Suchet and Probst, 1995; Gaillardet et al., 1999; Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2011).



**Figure 2.** Weathering release rates of (a) P [ $\text{kg P km}^{-2}$ ], (b) Si [ $\text{tons Si km}^{-2}$ ], (c) DIC [ $\text{tons C km}^{-2}$ ] and (d) Alk [ $\text{kmol C km}^{-2}$ ].

### 3.2 Global ~~river~~-riverine loads in the context of published estimates

The modelled global pre-industrial riverine exports to the ocean amount to  $3.7 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ ,  $27 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ ,  $158 \text{ Tg Si yr}^{-1}$  and  $603 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ , resulting from the retention of  $0.3 \text{ Tg P}$ ,  $2.2 \text{ Tg N}$ ,  $10 \text{ Tg Si}$  and  $19 \text{ Tg C}$  through endorheic catchments. The fraction of Fe-P assumed not desorbed in the ocean was also subtracted from the total global loads ( $0.2 \text{ Pg P yr}^{-1}$ ).

5 In the following, we compare the magnitudes of modelled land-ocean exports of P, N, Si and C, as well as their fractionations with a wide range of estimates found in published literature (Table 3). This was done in comparison to pre-industrial estimates directly, as well as for the 1970 estimates by the NEWS2 study to assess the loads in the context of present-day estimations.

The modelled global P loads fluxes are close to the higher P export estimate range of  $4 - 4.7 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$  reported in Compton et al. (2000), which was constructed by upscaling natural river catchment P concentrations to the global river freshwater discharge (thus prehuman). A recent modelling study by Beusen et al. (2016), which takes a more complex retention scheme within rivers into account, suggests a lower load of  $2 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$  for year 1900. The 1970 estimate ( $7.6 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ ) provided by the NEWS2 study, which considers substantial anthropogenic inputs, nevertheless suggests a steep 20th century increase in the global P flux to the ocean for all three cases. The modelled DIP export to the ocean ( $0.5 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ ) is at the top range of prehuman estimates ( $0.3 - 0.5 \text{ Tg yr}^{-1}$ ) and well below 1970 estimates ( $1.1 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ ). A direct fractionation of the global P flux to DIP, DOP and POP is not provided in the Beusen et al. (2016) study. We estimate similar global loads of DOP as Compton et al. (2000) (around  $0.1$  and  $0.2 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ ). The modelled DOP value is also much lower than the 1970 value ( $0.6 \text{ Tg P yr}^{-1}$ ), which was also strongly anthropogenically perturbed for 1970 (Seitzinger et al., 2010). The modelled POP global load

**Table 3.** Comparison of modelled global riverine loads (Model. global loads) with previous estimates [Tg yr<sup>-1</sup>], except for the 1970 POP estimate, which includes all particulate P compounds from the NEWS2 study. The total loads thereby exclude particulate inorganic loads. Fe-P only considers P that is assumed to be desorbed in the ocean. The PP value from the NEWS2 study considers both POP as well as particulate inorganic phosphorus. <sup>1</sup> Compton et al. (2000), <sup>2</sup> Beusen et al. (2016), <sup>3</sup> NEWS2 (Seitzinger et al., 2010), <sup>4</sup> Beusen et al. (2009), <sup>5</sup> Dürr et al. (2011), <sup>6</sup> Tréguer and De La Rocha (2013), <sup>7</sup> Green et al. (2004), <sup>8</sup> Jacobson et al. (2007), <sup>9</sup> Meybeck and Vörösmarty (1999), <sup>10</sup> Resplandy et al. (2018), <sup>11</sup> Regnier et al. (2013), <sup>12</sup> Berner et al. (1983), <sup>13</sup> Amiotte Suchet and Probst (1995), <sup>14</sup> Mackenzie et al. (1998), <sup>15</sup> Cai (2011).

Species	Model. global load	Estimates and Source	Species	Model. global load	Estimates and Source
P [Tg P yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	3.7	4 - 4.8 (prehuman) <sup>1</sup> 2 (1900) <sup>2</sup> 7.6 (1970) <sup>3</sup>	N [Tg N yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	27	19-21 (pre-industrial) <sup>27</sup> 37 (1970) <sup>3</sup>
<i>DIP</i>	0.5	0.3 - 0.5 (prehuman) <sup>1</sup> 1.1 (1970) <sup>3</sup>	<i>DIN</i>	3.4	2.4 (pre-industrial) <sup>7</sup> 14 (1970) <sup>3</sup>
<i>DOP</i>	0.1	0.2 (prehuman) <sup>1</sup> 0.6 (1970) <sup>3</sup>	<i>DON + PON</i>	24	19 (pre-industrial) <sup>7</sup> 23 (1970) <sup>3</sup>
<i>POP</i>	2.2	0.9 (prehuman) <sup>1</sup> 5.9 (PP, 1970) <sup>3</sup>	C [Tg C yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	603	450 - 950 (present-day) <sup>8,9,10,11</sup>
<i>Fe-P</i>	0.8	1.1 - 1.5 (prehuman) <sup>1</sup> -	<i>DIC</i>	366	260 - 550 (present-day) <sup>12,13,14</sup>
			<i>DOC</i>	134	130 - 250 (present-day) <sup>3,9,15</sup>
			<i>POC</i>	103	100 - 140 (present-day) <sup>3,9</sup>
DSi [Tg Si yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	158	158 - 200 (present-day) <sup>4,5,6</sup>			

is larger than the estimate of Compton et al. (2000), which could be due to the POM C:P ratio of 122:1 chosen in our study. Strong uncertainties exist in the global C:P ratios for riverine POM, with Meybeck (1993) suggesting a mole ratio of around 140 C:P, whereas Ramirez and Rose (1992) estimate a ratio of around 1500, which would strongly affect the results given here. The particulate P load given in the NEWS2 study is vastly higher than the POP load modelled in our study, but a large fraction of the estimate is likely to be directly shale-derived particulate P and thus biologically unreactive in the ocean. The modelled Fe-P (1.0 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup>) is slightly below the range estimated in Compton et al. (2000) (1.5 - 3.0 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup>). However, the assumed reactive fraction of the Fe-P loads (0.8 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup>) here is close to how much P is suggested to be desorbed in the coastal ocean in Compton et al. (2000) (1.1-1.5 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup>).

Despite our simplified assumption of N loads being coupled to P loads, the modelled global N load is also situated within the pre-human and contemporary land-ocean N loads given in the modelling study of Green et al. (2004) (21 and 40 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> respectively). The modelled annual DIN load (3.4 Tg N) is slightly higher than the prehuman load given in the Green et al. (2004) study (2.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). In Beusen et al. (2016), the global pre-industrial N load is suggested to be lower (19 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) due to in-stream retention and removal.

The modelled global load of DSi is 158 Tg Si yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is at the lower boundary of the range of present-day estimates of 158-200 Tg Si yr<sup>-1</sup>. We thereby assume that the change in the global DSi load over the 20th century is small, and therefore compare our pre-industrial estimate with present-day estimates from published literature. The NEWS2 study used the same Beusen et al. (2009) silica export model forced with present-day observational precipitation data. Dürr et al. (2011) and Tréguer and De La Rocha (2013) upscaled discharge weighted DSi concentrations at river mouths. Substantial amounts of particulate silica, which aren't considered in this study, are suggested to be delivered to the ocean, yet it is not clear how much is dissolvable and biologically available (Tréguer and De La Rocha, 2013). Another point of uncertainty is the increase in river damming during the 20th century, which might have strongly increased the global silica retention in present-day rivers (Ittekkot et al., 2000; Maavara et al., 2014). The pre-industrial loads therefore might have been higher than for the present-day, but the implications of damming on the retention of biogeochemical compounds escape the scope of this study.

The modelled total C, DIC, DOC and POC fluxes are within, albeit on the lower side of the present-day estimate ranges shown in Table 3. While the carbon retention along the land-ocean continuum might have increased during the 20<sup>th</sup> century, enhanced soil erosion through changes in land use might have also increased the carbon inputs to the freshwater systems, leaving question marks on the magnitude of the net anthropogenic perturbation (Regnier et al., 2013; Maavara et al., 2017). The agreement of the DOC and POC loads with estimates is not surprising, since they originate directly from the NEWS2 study, which already validated the global loads extensively.

The large spread found in the literature estimates regarding all species points towards difficulties in constraining pre-industrial river fluxes. Even for the present-day, Beusen et al. (2016) note large differences between the outcomes of their study and the previous global modelling study NEWS2. Upscaling approaches, on the other hand, are often based on data collected by Meybeck (1982) for pre-1980s without taking into account more recent river measurement data. They also rely on the assumption of a linear relationship between river runoff and river specie-compound loads. While we acknowledge a certain degree of uncertainty in the numbers provided in this study, the modelling approach chosen nevertheless leads to pre-industrial global river loads that are in line with what was suggested previously and te-constitutes a framework that could be incorporated within state-of-the-art Earth System Models.

### 3.3 Hotspots of riverine loads


Riverine loads of the major catchments show similar spatial distributions with regards to areas of high weathering rates (Figure 3), with warm and wet regions yielding the largest river exports to the ocean. We observe large differences between the northern and southern hemispheres. The northern hemisphere accounts for an annual total carbon input of 404 Tg C to the ocean, vastly dominating the global loads (67% of total global C).

We furthermore observe several regions of disproportionate contributions to global riverine loads. For one, rivers that drain into the tropical Atlantic consist of a major fraction of the global oceanic biogeochemical supply. This is due to major rivers of the South American continent debouching into the ocean basin, as well as considerable exports provided by the west African rivers (Table 4). According to our framework, the seven largest rivers unloading in the region (Orinoco, Amazon, São Francisco, Paraíba do Sul, Volta, Niger and Congo) amount to a total yearly carbon loads of 123 Tg C (58 Tg DIC, 44 Tg DOC, 21 Tg POC), which consists of around 20% of the global carbon riverine exports. These regional carbon loads agree very well with estimated values derived from monthly river discharge and carbon concentrations data in Araujo et al. (2014) (53 Tg DIC, 46 Tg DOC). In terms of catchments, the pre-industrial Amazon river provides the largest inputs of biogeochemical tracers to the ocean in the region (modelled annual loads of 0.07 Tg DIP, 0.5 Tg DIN, 15.2 Tg DSi, 33.2 Tg DIC, 28.3 Tg DOC, 17.1 Tg POC), which compares well with the present-day data (0.22 Tg DIP, 17.8 Tg DSi, 32.7 Tg DIC, 29.1 Tg DOC). For the other tropical Atlantic catchments, the modelled DIC loads are close to estimated values for the Congo, Orinoco and Niger, but are overestimated for the smaller catchments of the Paraíba, Volta and São Francisco. The DIP loads of the tropical Atlantic catchments tend to show much lower values with regards to present-day data, suggesting the realistic increase in the region's DIP loads from pre-industrial exports of  $81.8 \cdot 10^9 \text{ g P yr}^{-1}$  to present-day loads of  $276 \cdot 10^9 \text{ g P yr}^{-1}$  due to anthropogenic inputs. Although less significant in terms of global loads, the major Arctic rivers (Yukon, Mackenzie, Ob, Lena, Yenisei) provide a large carbon supply to the Arctic Ocean, thereby consisting of a dominant fraction of DIC. The Arctic rivers thereby provide 37.5 Tg DIC (10% of global DIC), 14.4 Tg DOC (11 % of global DOC) and 4.4 Tg POC annually to the Arctic Ocean. The total C loads of the Arctic therefore amount to 56 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, thus 9% of global C loads. The DIC, DOC and POC load levels are comparable to estimates of 29 Tg DIC yr<sup>-1</sup> (Tank et al., 2012), 17 Tg DOC yr<sup>-1</sup> (Raymond et al., 2007) and 5 Tg POC (Dittmar and Kattner, 2003). Total Arctic DIP loads ( $40.8 \cdot 10^9 \text{ g P yr}^{-1}$ ) derived from our modelling approach are slightly higher with regards to published literature estimates of  $35.8 \cdot 10^9 \text{ g P yr}^{-1}$ . DIP inputs from anthropogenic sources are considered to be small for Arctic catchments (NEWS2), which explains why the modelled pre-industrial DIP loads are of comparable magnitudes to observed DIP loads for the present-day. DIC and DIP loads, which are strongly affected by inputs from weathering models, where a global runoff correction term of 1.59 was applied thereby shows a slight overestimation in the Arctic with regards to published literature estimates, suggesting that a scaling factor of 1.59 might be too high for this region. Furthermore, the dynamics of weathering in the region also remain largely uncertain, for instance due to the implications of permafrost on the weathering rates.

Southeast Asian rivers also provide large exports of biogeochemical tracers to the ocean. The Huang He, Brahmaputra-Ganges, Yangtze, Mekong, Irrawaddy and Salween, which have catchment areas characterized by warm and humid climates, provide 92.4 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> to the ocean (15% of global C loads). We observe vastly elevated DIP levels for the present-day estimates with regards to our pre-industrial modelled levels. The NEWS2 data suggests a strong present-day perturbation of the DIP loads due to anthropogenic inputs to the region's catchments, which can plausibly explain these differences.

The Indo-Pacific Islands have been identified as a region with much higher weathering yields than average (Hartmann et al., 2014). Although this region only accounts for around 2% of the global land surface, it provides 7% (39 Tg) of C and in particular 10% (10 Tg C) of the global POC delivered to the ocean annually, making the region a stronger land source of POC

than the entire Arctic basin. This implies that POM mobilization through soil erosion is a substantial driver of land-sea carbon exports in the region.



**Figure 3.** Modelled annual river loads of DIP (a), DSi (b), DIC (c), Alk (d), DOM (e) and POM (f).



**Table 4.** Regional hotspot of C loads [Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>] and DIP loads [10<sup>9</sup> g P yr<sup>-1</sup>] compared with regional estimates: <sup>1</sup> Araujo et al. (2014), <sup>2</sup> Bird et al. (2008), <sup>3</sup> Tank et al. (2012), <sup>4</sup> Raymond et al. (2007), <sup>5</sup> Dittmar and Kattner (2003), <sup>6</sup> Le Fouest et al. (2013), <sup>7</sup> Li and Bush (2015), <sup>8</sup> Yoshimura et al. (2009), <sup>9</sup> Tao et al. (2010), <sup>10</sup> Seitzinger et al. (2010). Modelled DIP is from our approach to represent pre-industrial fluxes, whereas the DIP literature estimates are from present-day data and are strongly affected by anthropogenic perturbations.

Hotspots	Modelled				Estimates			
	DIC	DOC	POC	DIP	DIC	DOC	POC	DIP
<i>Tropical Atlantic</i>								
Amazon	33.2	28.2	17.1	73	32.7 <sup>1</sup>	29 <sup>1</sup>	6.1 <sup>2</sup>	221 <sup>1</sup>
Congo	9	5.6	1.2	2.3	13 <sup>1</sup>	10.58 <sup>1</sup>	2.0 <sup>2</sup>	18 <sup>1</sup>
Paraíba	2.4	0.5	0.4	<0.1	0.3 <sup>1</sup>	0.10 <sup>1</sup>	-	0.6 <sup>1</sup>
Volta	3	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.1 <sup>1</sup>	0.13 <sup>1</sup>	-	7.0 <sup>1</sup>
Niger	1.1	1.5	0.9	1.0	2.2 <sup>1</sup>	0.43 <sup>1</sup>	0.8 <sup>2</sup>	7.5 <sup>1</sup>
São Fransisco	6	2.9	0.2	2.4	0.5 <sup>1</sup>	0.35 <sup>1</sup>	-	0.5 <sup>1</sup>
Orinoco	3.3	4.8	1.1	2.9	5.0 <sup>1</sup>	3.9 <sup>1</sup>	1.7 <sup>2</sup>	21.4 <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>81.8</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>276</b>
<i>Arctic</i>								
Mackenzie	4.5	1.7	0.4	6.0	6.29 <sup>3</sup>	1.4 <sup>4</sup>	-	1.5 <sup>6</sup>
Yukon	3.1	6.1	0.9	3.8	4.45 <sup>3</sup>	1.7 <sup>4</sup>	-	1.9 <sup>6</sup>
Lena	12.7	2.0	1.1	8.23	5.82 <sup>3</sup>	5.83 <sup>4</sup>	0.47 <sup>4</sup>	4.4 <sup>6</sup>
Yenisei	8.6	2.0	1.2	8.8	6.96 <sup>3</sup>	4.69 <sup>4</sup>	0.17 <sup>4</sup>	7.9 <sup>6</sup>
Ob	8.6	2.6	0.8	14.1	5.90 <sup>3</sup>	3.05 <sup>4</sup>	0.3-0.6 <sup>4</sup>	20.4 <sup>6</sup>
<b>Total</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>40.8</b>	<b>29.4</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>35.8</b>
<i>Southeast Asia</i>								
Ganges	7.7	5.8	15.6	21.3	4.2 <sup>7</sup>	1.4 <sup>2</sup>	1.7 <sup>2</sup>	165 <sup>10</sup>
Irrawaddy	2.5	6.1	0.9	4.6	10.8 <sup>7</sup>	0.89 <sup>2</sup>	3.25 <sup>2</sup>	8.7 <sup>10</sup>
Salween	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.8	8.4 <sup>7</sup>	0.26 <sup>2</sup>	2.9 <sup>2</sup>	1.9 <sup>10</sup>
Mekong	4.4	2.1	3.1	7.2	4.5 <sup>7</sup>	-	-	0.9 <sup>8</sup>
Huang He	3.6	0.5	0.3	8.3	1.3 <sup>7</sup>	0.1 <sup>2</sup>	6.3 <sup>2</sup>	5.0 <sup>9</sup>
Yangtze	23	3.5	1.7	29.9	24 <sup>7</sup>	2.1 <sup>2</sup>	6 <sup>2</sup>	92 <sup>10</sup>
Xi River	8.6	0.9	0.4	4.3	-	4.6 <sup>2</sup>	-	25 <sup>10</sup>
<b>Total</b>	<b>50.5</b>	<b>19.2</b>	<b>22.7</b>	<b>76.4</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>298.5</b>
<i>Indo-Pacific Islands</i>								
<b>Total</b>	<b>19.4</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>24.7</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

## 4 Implications for the global ocean

### 4.1 The ocean state - An increased biogeochemical coastal sink

We observe that the magnitudes of the nutrient inputs (P, N and Si) do not strongly differ between REF and R-RIV (Table 5). This implies that in REF, the amounts of P, N and Si added to the open ocean to maintain a plausible ocean state were similar to what is derived in our approach while deriving river exports. Despite slightly larger inputs of nutrients in RIV than in REF, RIV shows lower global surface dissolved nutrient concentrations and global NPP. The coastal ocean therefore must act as an increased biogeochemical sink in the model, since river-delivered or newly produced particulate organic matter reaches the shelf sea floor faster than in the open ocean, allowing for less time for the organic matter to be remineralized within the water column. This is confirmed by the increased organic matter flux to the global continental shelf sediment (defined as areas with less than 250m depth) in RIV, with an increase from 0.18 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.25 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup>. The large range of global values (0.19-2.20 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup>) given in a review by Kruminis et al. (2013) nevertheless hints that the coastal POM deposition flux is possibly improved in RIV.

While Alk inputs were also added at nearly the same levels in REF than in our derived riverine loads in RIV, the total carbon inputs are on the other hand increased by almost 100% in RIV in comparison to REF. In comparison to REF, the inorganic (366 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) and organic (237 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) carbon inputs in RIV show stronger agreement with the riverine inorganic (260-550 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) and organic carbon (270 - 350 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) global load estimates found in literature (Meybeck, 1982; Amiotte Suchet and Probst, 1995; Mackenzie et al., 1998; Meybeck and Vörösmarty, 1999; Hartmann et al., 2009; Seitzinger et al., 2010; Cai, 2011; Regnier et al., 2013), signifying an improvement in the model's carbon inputs. These higher carbon inputs also result in a net long-term outgassing flux (231 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>), which is suggested in literature for the pre-industrial ~~time-period~~ time period and is absent in REF. The net outgassing largely originates from higher DIC:Alk ratios of the riverine inputs (1:1) than is exported through the net CaCO<sub>3</sub> production (1:2), as well as higher C:P ratios of tDOM inputs (2583:1) than is exported in the oceanic organic ratio (122:1). Both of these inputs-output imbalances lead to increasing the pCO<sub>2</sub> in the long-term (Appendix C.2).

The global mean surface concentration is lower in RIV than in the observational data of the World Ocean Atlas 2013 (WOA, Boyer et al. (2013)) for DIP (0.439 and 0.480 μM P respectively) and DIN (3.90 and 5.04 μM N), and higher for DSI (13.6 and 7.5 μM Si). The WOA dataset is constructed from present-day observations of an ocean state that might already be perturbed by a substantial increase in riverine P and especially N loads, whereas the model shows pre-industrial concentrations. A consideration of the substantial anthropogenic increase in DIN riverine loads (e.g. Seitzinger et al. (2010)) could plausibly shrink some of the disagreement with the WOA dataset in the case of DIN. A large part of the DIN underestimation is however most likely due to notably large tropical Pacific oxygen minimum zones in the model, which cause a large DIN sink due to denitrification and the consumption of DIN in the anaerobic breakdown of organic matter. Furthermore, the lower surface concentrations of DIP and DIN than found in the WOA dataset suggest that the coastal sink of biogeochemical tracers might be too large. Nevertheless, the surface DIN:DIP ratio in RIV is slightly improved in comparison to REF with regards to WOA, which is most likely due to the shrinking of the tropical Pacific oxygen minimum zones and the decrease of denitrification in the region.

**Table 5.** Comparison of river inputs and the ocean state for REF and RIV. Additionally, we compare the modelled mean surface DIP, DIN and DSi concentrations with World Ocean Atlas 2013 (WOA) surface layer means. OMZ: [Ocean Oxygen minimum zone zones](#).


Variables	REF	RIV		REF	RIV	WOA
<b><i>Open Ocean/River Inputs</i></b>			<b><i>Global ocean variables</i></b>			
P [Tg P yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	3.49	3.7	NPP [Gt C yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	48.87	47.09	
N [Tg N yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	25.2	27	Air-Sea CO <sub>2</sub> flux [Gt C yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	-0.05	0.18	
Si [Tg Si]	115	158	Organic export 90m [Gt C yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	6.84	6.47	
Alk [Tg HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	416	366	CaCO <sub>3</sub> export 90m [Gt C yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.66	0.61	
Inorganic C [Tg C yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	208	366	Surface DIC [mM C]	1.94	1.94	
Organic C [Tg C yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	106	237	Surface DIP [ $\mu$ M P]	0.439	0.413	0.48
			Surface DIN [ $\mu$ M N]	3.90	3.76	5.04
			Surface DSi [ $\mu$ M Si]	13.6	14.6	7.5
			OMZs volume [km <sup>3</sup> ]	2.61	2.45	

The DIP and DIN underestimation bias with respect to the WOA datasets are also reflected in the spatial distributions of the surface concentrations (Figure 4), where in particular the DIN concentrations are underestimated in most major basins. The spatial patterns of differences with regard to WOA data are similar for RIV and for REF (Appendix, Figure E1,F1,G1), suggesting that the ocean physics are the dominant driver of the nutrient distributions in the open ocean (see also Supplementary Information S.5). Prominent bias of the model are lower surface DIP and DIN concentrations in the Southern Ocean, higher DSi concentrations in the Southern Ocean, and higher DIP concentrations in the tropical gyres in comparison with the WOA dataset.

## 4.2 Riverine-induced NPP hotspots

The most productive open ocean in regions REF remain the most dominant areas of biological production in RIV (Figure 5a,b), signaling the major importance of the ocean physics in dictating major spatial patterns of the global NPP.

In comparison to when adding nutrients to the open ocean (REF), substantial enhancements in the NPP can be found near various major river mouths in RIV. In proximity to lower latitude rivers, the uptake of nutrients by phytoplankton via primary production occurs efficiently due to favorable light conditions, thus adding to the local organic matter stock (Figure 5c,d). This can be observed on the shallow shelves of the Tropical Atlantic basin, where major nutrient supplies are provided (see section 3.3), most notably for the Amazon plume. Moreover, the NPP is increased in certain semi-enclosed seas such as the Caribbean Sea, the Baltic Sea, the Black Sea and the Yellow Sea, where satellite observation data also suggest high chlorophyll concentrations (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997).




**Figure 4.** Surface DIP (a,d,g), DIN (b,e,h) and DSi (c,f,i) concentrations in WOA observations (a,b,c), RIV (d,e,f) and their differences RIV-WOA (g,h,i).

In the tropical Atlantic and Pacific, north Pacific, Southern Ocean, the NPP is decreased in RIV, signaling that keeping ocean biogeochemical inventories stable with open ocean inputs led to a slight artificial enhancement of the NPP in REF in these regions. In the Equatorial Pacific, this could partly be explained by the South American river systems, which almost solely discharge into the Atlantic (Figure 7). Although Southeast Asian rivers deliver substantial amounts of land-derived material to the ocean, the export to the open Pacific is likely inefficient, with model coastal salinity profiles in this region suggesting little mixing with the open ocean. Coastal parallel currents could be a key reason explaining this inefficient export (Ichikawa and Beardsley, 2002). Furthermore, the riverine loads mostly supply semi-enclosed or marginal seas (East China, South China and Yellow Seas), which have limited exchange to the open ocean and which are affected by the relatively coarse GR15 model resolution in this region (Jungclaus et al., 2013). The resulting decrease in the Equatorial Pacific NPP is likely responsible for most of the shrinking of oxygen minimum zones (Table 5).

The Arctic Ocean does not show a noticeable increase in NPP, despite high nutrient concentrations in the basin. This can be explained by light limitation, as well as sea ice coverage, which both inhibit the primary production in the region during winter especially. In the entire basin, the nutrient concentrations are much higher than what is suggested in the WOA database. In Bernard et al. (2011), where nutrient inputs were added to the ocean according to the NEWS2 study, similarly high concentrations of DSi were found in the Arctic. Furthermore, Harrison and Cota (1991) suggest that nutrients limit phytoplankton

growth in the late Summer in the Arctic Ocean. Although the summer NPP in the model is substantially higher than for other seasons, the NPP is never nutrient-limited for the vast majority of the Arctic.




**Figure 5.** Depth integrated annual NPP (a,b) and total annually accumulated organic concentration (c,d) in the surface layer in the RIV simulation and RIV - REF.

### 4.3 Riverine-induced CO<sub>2</sub> Outgassing

The addition of riverine carbon loads causes a net oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> source of 231 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> to the atmosphere (Table 5). While the hotspots of the riverine-induced carbon outgassing are regions in proximity to major river mouths (Figure 6b), a widespread, albeit weaker outgassing signal can be observed in open ocean basins. The largest outgassing fluxes are found in the Atlantic and Indo-Pacific (31% and 43% of global outgassing flux respectively), likely due to the tropical Atlantic and Southeast Asian hotspots of riverine carbon supplies (see section 3.2). In the Southern Ocean, we observe an increase in the outgassing flux of 17 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> when comparing RIV to REF, which is almost 10% of the total riverine-caused outgassing. The southern hemisphere shows an oceanic outgassing flux of 113 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> (49%), despite southern hemisphere land exports contributing only 227 Tg (36 %) of total riverine carbon loads to the ocean, which suggests a substantial interhemispheric transfer of carbon from the northern hemisphere to the southern hemisphere (Figure 6c). The interhemispheric transfer of carbon in the ocean has been a topic of discussion in literature, with studies of Aumont et al. (2001) and Resplandy et al. (2018) also suggesting the transport

of carbon between latitudinal regions of the ocean to compensate for unequal contributions of riverine carbon from the northern and southern hemisphere.

The high latitude Arctic rivers also (Lena, Mackenzie, Yenisei, Ob, Oder, Yukon) provide carbon to their respective shelves, which causes outgassing on the Laptev shelf and in the Beaufort Sea (2.2 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> and 2.3 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The impacts of riverine carbon loads in these regions can also be observed in the present-day coastal ocean pCO<sub>2</sub> dataset of Laruelle et al. (2017), in which these regions display very high pCO<sub>2</sub> values (>400ppm).




**Figure 6.** Annual pre-industrial air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> exchange flux of (a) RIV and (b) RIV-REF and (c) contributions of the southern and northern hemispheres to riverine carbon loads and river C-induced oceanic outgassing. A positive flux in (a) and (b) describes an outgassing flux from the ocean to the atmosphere, whereas a negative flux is from the atmosphere to the ocean.

## 5 Coastal region analysis

With respect to the 10 shallow shelf regions chosen for this study (Table 1), the catchments of the low latitude regions (5. CSK, 6. BEN, 7. SEA, 8. TWA, 9. CG) provide substantially more carbon and nutrients to the coastal ocean than the high latitude regions (Figure 7), although the differing size of the coastal regions and of their drainage catchments might play a strong role in explaining these differences. The tropical West Atlantic (8. TWA) has the largest supplies of biogeochemical tracers which are provided largely by the Amazon and Orinoco rivers. In the tropical regions of the Bay of Bengal (6. BEN) and Southeast Asia (7. SEA), the fraction of carbon delivered as POC is substantially higher than for the rest of the regions (Figure 7b).

Furthermore, in the high latitude regions (1.BS, 2.LS, 3.NS, 10.SAM), the DIC loads are the major source of carbon, whereas for the other regions, organic carbon is the largest contributor to the total carbon load. The increasing contribution of DIC loads to the total carbon load in the Arctic can also be observed in Table 4.



**Figure 7.** (a) Total P (TP) and N (TN) and (b) C (DIC, DOC and POC) exports to the chosen coastal ocean regions. TP and TN are the total P and N modelled in their dissolved inorganic species (DIP, DIN) and organic species (tDOM and POM). DOC and POC are the carbon loads from tDOM and riverine POM, respectively.


For tropical and subtropical regions, we observe major coastal ocean NPP increases of 166%, 377% and 71% for the tropical West Atlantic (3.TWA), Bay of Bengal (5.BEN) and East China Sea (6.CSK), caused by the consideration of riverine inputs, respectively (Figure 8b). The availability of light, as well as the large riverine supplies of nutrients to these regions provide optimal conditions to enhance the biological production. Surprisingly however, the Southeast Asian shelf (6.SEA) does not show a similar substantial NPP increase as the other tropical regions despite considerable riverine fluxes to the region (Table 4 in Section 3.2). This is on one hand due to the large area of the defined region; the shelf is the largest that is analyzed in this study ( $1795 \cdot 10^9\ m^2$ ), which reduces the impact of the river loads per area. Secondly, there is a larger connection area to the open ocean due to not sharing a coastal border with a continent, which implies a larger open ocean exchange, thus reducing the influence of the riverine supply with regard to open ocean supplies. The Congo shelf (9.CG) on the other hand has a very small surface area ( $53 \cdot 10^9\ m^2$ ) due to a steep coastal slope. The NPP here is however already one of the highest of the chosen regions without considering rivers, indicating that the region is already strongly supplied with nutrients from coastal upwelling.

On the temperate shelves, where there is a stronger seasonal cycle of the light limitation, the North Sea (2.NS) shows only weak enhancement in the NPP (2%) due to riverine inputs. The South American (10.SAM) and Sea of Okhotsk (4.OKH) also do not show significant NPP increases. Although the NPP is strongly enhanced in the direct proximity to the Paraná river (Figure 5b), the vastness of the South American shelf ( $1553 \cdot 10^9\ m^2$ ) also makes the region less sensitive to river inputs. In published literature, the nutrient supply which drives the NPP on the Patagonian Shelf is also confirmed to be strongly controlled by open ocean inflows, and not by river supplies (Song et al., 2016).

The Arctic shelf regions do not show a strong NPP response to the river inputs (8% and 5% increases for the Beaufort Sea ,1.BS, and Laptev Sea ,2.LS, respectively). We however do not consider seasonality of the riverine inputs. Larger inputs of nutrients in months of larger discharge (Le Fouest et al., 2013) of April to June, which are also months of better light availability, could cause a more efficient usage of the riverine nutrients, since the sea-ice coverage is strongly reduced in these 5 months.

All regions show an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing due to the increased carbon inputs to the ocean. In the Arctic regions (Beaufort Sea and Laptev Sea), the relative change is very pronounced, whereas the impact is generally not as strong in the lower latitude regions due to the enhancement of biological carbon uptake by the nutrient inputs. The tropical West Atlantic is an exception to this latitudinal pattern, since the large carbon riverine supplies also cause a substantial change in the CO<sub>2</sub> flux 10 in the region. In the North Sea, we observe an enhancement of carbon outgassing caused by river loads, but the region remains a substantial sink of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, as is still suggested for the present-day by Laruelle et al. (2014).





**Figure 8.** (a) Global map of the 10 chosen coastal regions with less than 250m depths, (b) pre-industrial annual NPP per area and (c)  $\text{CO}_2$  flux in the given regions [ $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$ ]. In (c), a positive flux means a flux from the ocean to the atmosphere.


## 6 Origins and fate of riverine carbon

In our simplified model framework (Figure 9), we quantify the land sources of riverine carbon (**1-3**), its riverine transfer to the ocean (**4**), and the long-term fate of the riverine carbon in the ocean (**5-10**). Here, we briefly explain the fluxes to focus on their implications. While the terrestrial fluxes are derived from the weathering and organic matter export models, the long-term oceanic fluxes are based on fluxes given by the ocean biogeochemical model. The long-term oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> flux is furthermore decomposed to illustrate the contributions of inorganic and organic carbon inputs to the oceanic outgassing flux in a model equilibrium analysis. The detailed derivation of the land and ocean fluxes are explained in detail in the Appendix C (C1 for terrestrial and C2 for oceanic fluxes).

The total pre-industrial terrestrial uptake of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and its export to rivers amounts to 529 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> in our framework. The sink consists of 280 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> from the CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown induced by weathering (**1**) and 249 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> due to the terrestrial biological uptake (**3**). During the weathering process, 94 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> is moreover released from the lithology during carbonate weathering (**2**). During silicate weathering, all the carbon originates from atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. The land biological uptake (**3**) is derived from the net global export of organic carbon to the ocean. It therefore implicitly takes into account the net land biological uptake and its export to freshwaters, as well as all net sinks and sources in river systems. A total 603 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> is transferred laterally to the ocean (**4**) while taking into consideration an endorheic catchment loss of 19 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>.

In the ocean, riverine exports of carbon cause a long-term net annual carbon source of 231 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>. We propose a decomposition of the long-term CO<sub>2</sub> flux into sources and sinks induced by the inputs of riverine compounds (Appendix C.2). Assuming model equilibrium, the oceanic outgassing flux can be decomposed into a source from inorganic carbon supplied by weathering (183 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, **5**), a source from terrestrial organic carbon (128 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>), **6**, a sink caused by the enhancement of the biological production due to the addition of dissolved inorganic nutrient and corresponding alkalinity production (69 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, **7**), and a sink due to disequilibrium at the atmosphere-water column interface in the model (11 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, **D1**). The production and the downwards export of CaCO<sub>3</sub> and POM within the ocean lead to simulated sediment deposition fluxes of 188 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for CaCO<sub>3</sub> (inorg. C. flux, **8**) and 582 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for POM (org. C flux, **9**). The dissolution of CaCO<sub>3</sub> and the remineralization of POM within the sediment lead to a DIC flux from the sediment to the water column of 385 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>. The net C flux at the sediment interface (**8+9-10**) is therefore a burial flux of 385 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>. The calculated equilibrium carbon burial flux, which is the difference between the riverine carbon inputs and the equilibrium CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing (**4-5-6+7**), is 361 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, which implies that there is a deviation of 24 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (**D2**) towards the sediment in the simulated model burial (385 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) with respect to the calculated equilibrium state burial (361 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>). The similar deviations from the equilibrium state at the atmosphere-water column and water column-sediment interfaces suggest that the model disequilibrium, which likely originates from long time scales of the processes taking place in the sediment, translates relatively efficiently into a perturbation of the CO<sub>2</sub> flux at the atmosphere-water column interface.

The riverine induced oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing flux of 231 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> is consistent with the estimate range of 200-400 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> given in Sarmiento and Sundquist (1992), who assume an annual riverine C flux of 300-500 Tg C, and with Jacobson et al. (2007) and Gruber et al. (2009), who suggest a slightly higher natural CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing flux of 450 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>. Resplandy et al.



**Figure 9.** Origins and oceanic fate of riverine carbon in our framework [ $\text{Tg C yr}^{-1}$ ]. **1.** Land C uptake through weathering. **2.** Carbonate weathering lithological C flux. **3.** Net land biological C uptake (derived directly from riverine organic carbon exports). **4.** Riverine C exports. **5.** Oceanic outgassing from riverine DIC. **6.** Oceanic outgassing resulting riverine tDOM loads. **7.** Oceanic C uptake due to the enhanced primary production by dissolved inorganic nutrients and the corresponding alkalinity production. **8.** Simulated inorganic C deposition to the sediment. **9.** Simulated net organic C deposition to sediment. **10.** Diffusive DIC flux from the sediment back to the water column. \* are calculated fluxes for ocean model equilibrium, whereas the other fluxes are simulated fluxes by the terrestrial and ocean models. D1 and D2 are the calculated drifts between the oceanic modelled carbon fluxes and the calculated equilibrium fluxes (derived from model equations, Appendix C2) for the ocean-atmosphere and ocean sediment interfaces. See Appendix C for the derivation of the fluxes.

(2018) suggest a higher land-ocean carbon ( $780 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ ) from the derivation of natural outgassing of carbon in the ocean. It is unclear if and how the study considers oceanic carbon removal of the riverine-delivered carbon through sediment burial, leading to a much higher estimate than previously assumed.

Furthermore, we observe an imbalance in the calculated pre-industrial  $\text{CO}_2$  land uptake from the atmosphere and the oceanic outgassing in our approach, with the land uptake outweighing the oceanic outgassing, resulting in a total net atmospheric sink of  $299 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ . Accounting for further sources of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  such as volcanic emissions and shale organic oxidation would therefore be necessary to achieve a stable atmospheric carbon budget in a fully coupled land-ocean-atmosphere setting, since Earth System Models assume constant pre-industrial atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  levels. For instance, Mörner and Etiope (2002) suggest long-term volcanic annual emissions in the range of  $80\text{-}160 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ , whereas Burton et al. (2013) estimate a long-term volcanic outgassing flux as high as that of silicate weathering drawdown. In our approach, the silicate weathering  $\text{CO}_2$  drawdown is of  $196 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ . Additionally to the volcanic  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions, the global atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  land source from the oxidation of organic carbon in shale of around  $100 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$  given by Sarmiento and Sundquist (1992) would then approximately close the atmospheric carbon budget in our framework.

## 7 Approach advantages and limitations

### 7.1 Rivers in an Earth System Model setting

Our approach to represent riverine loads as a function of the climate variables runoff, precipitation and temperature can be used to estimate land-ocean fluxes in an Earth System Model (ESM) setting. Our framework and simulations for the pre-industrial states of riverine loads and the ocean can be used as a baseline to assess oceanic impacts of perturbations of riverine loads due to temporal changes in weathering rates (Gislason et al., 2009), or due to increased anthropogenic P and N inputs to catchments (Seitzinger et al., 2010; Beusen et al., 2016).

Our study relies on strong assumptions in order to perform simulations at the global scale. Improvements within the framework are however possible. For one, the weathering mechanisms, the processing uptake of nutrients by the terrestrial biology, the representation of hydrological flow characteristics, and transformation processes and retention in rivers could all be represented more realistically. We also notably assumed ~~fixed-a-a fixed~~ N:P ratio for 16:1 for all catchments in this study. ~~While~~ N:P ratios are suggested to exceed this ratio at the global scale (29:1 by Seitzinger et al. (2010) and 21:1 by Beusen et al. (2016)) ~~;~~ but denitrification in estuaries could compensate the excess of N with regard to a N:P ratio of 16:1 (see Supplementary Information 1.3), which ~~we are incapable of representing in a global model~~ is impossible to represent in our model due to grid resolution constraints. Furthermore, we assume a similar distribution of pre-industrial anthropogenic P inputs to catchments as for the present day. While comparing the chosen distribution with other approaches reveals the assumption to be plausible (Supplementary Information S.1), we acknowledge large uncertainty both in the magnitudes of anthropogenic inputs as well as in their distributions.

### 7.2 Dynamics of terrestrial organic matter in the ocean

The composition of terrestrial organic matter, as well as its fate in the ocean have been strongly debated in the past. Recent work shows that despite its low biological reactivity, tDOM can be mineralized by abiotic processes such as photodegradation in the ocean (Fichot and Benner, 2014; Müller et al., 2016; Aarnos et al., 2018), despite having already been strongly degraded along the land-ocean continuum. The global magnitude of this degradation is however strongly uncertain. On the other hand, few studies tackle the composition of POM, although it is thought to also be efficiently remineralized in the coastal zone sediment (Hedges et al., 1997; Cai, 2011). While the carbon loads from POM are the lowest loads of all carbon compounds considered in this study, a differing C:P ratio to the one chosen in this study would also affect the modelled outgassing flux.

Rates of coastal remineralization processes have been suggested to differ from those of the open ocean (e.g. Krumins et al. (2013)). A higher sediment organic matter remineralization rate observed in coastal sediments (Krumins et al., 2013) could potentially reduce the coastal biogeochemical sink described in this study.

### 7.3 Arctic Ocean

The simulated nutrient concentrations in the Arctic Ocean are particularly high with regards to WOA data, suggesting that this region with strong riverine inputs might be poorly represented in the ocean biogeochemistry model. Difficulties to represent the region could be due to fine circulation features, with outflows through narrow passages having been shown to be affected by model resolution (Aksenov et al., 2010). The modelled sea ice coverage, which is around 85% average for the Laptev Sea during the whole year, could be overestimated. Moreover, the primary production in the region might be underestimated due to photosynthesis taking place under ice, in ice ponds and over extended daytime periods in the summer months (Deal et al., 2011; Sørensen et al., 2017), all of which are not included in the model.

## 8 Summary and conclusions

In this study, we account for weathering and non-weathering inputs to river catchments, which results in annual pre-industrial loads of 3.7 Tg P, 27 N, 168 Tg SiO<sub>2</sub>, and 603 Tg C to the ocean. These loads are consistent with published literature estimates, although we acknowledge a certain degree of uncertainty regarding the magnitude of riverine fluxes. While we omit the in-stream retention of P during its riverine transport, which reduces the global P exports to the ocean (Beusen et al., 2016), our estimate of the global pre-industrial P export to the ocean is comparable in magnitude to an estimation that determines riverine P exports by upscaling from pristine river measurements (Compton et al., 2000).

We identify the tropical Atlantic catchments, the Arctic Ocean, Southeast Asia and Indo-Pacific islands as regions of dominant contributions of riverine supplies to the ocean. These 4 regions account for over 51% of land-ocean carbon exports in total, with tropical Atlantic catchments supplying around 20% of carbon to the ocean globally. We also observe that the contributions of different carbon species differ between the regions. Most prominently, the carbon supply of the Indo-Pacific islands is dominated by particulate organic carbon loads, which have been identified to be more strongly controlled by extreme hydrological events than other C species (Hilton et al., 2008).

In the ocean, riverine inputs of carbon lead to net global oceanic outgassing of 231 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup>, a comparable value with regards to previous estimates of 200-450 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (Sarmiento and Sundquist, 1992; Jacobson et al., 2007; Gruber et al., 2009). This outgassing flux can be decomposed into two source terms caused by inorganic C inputs (183 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) and organic C inputs (128 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>), and a net sink term (80 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) caused by the enhanced biological C uptake due to riverine inorganic nutrient supplies, corresponding alkalinity production and a slight model drift in alkalinity. The magnitude of the outgassing is however strongly dependent on the magnitude of riverine carbon loads, for which uncertainties still exist.

We observe evidence of a substantial interhemispheric transport of carbon from the northern to the southern hemisphere, with a larger relative carbon outgassing flux in the southern hemisphere (49% of global outgassing) than its relative riverine carbon inputs to the ocean (36% of global C loads). We also show that the Southern Ocean outgasses 17 Tg of riverine carbon, despite not having a direct riverine source of carbon, meaning that riverine carbon is transported within the ocean interior to the Southern Ocean. This interhemispheric transfer of riverine carbon in the ocean has been previously suggested to contribute to the pre-industrial Southern Ocean source of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> for the pre-industrial ~~time-frame~~ [time frame](#) (Sarmiento et al.,

2000; Aumont et al., 2001; Gruber et al., 2009; Resplandy et al., 2018). Here we show that riverine carbon fluxes derived from state-of-the-art land export models confirm the larger contribution of the northern hemispheric terrestrial carbon supply to the ocean. Part of the uneven hemispheric terrestrial carbon supply is then compensated by the transport of carbon within the ocean and is outgassed remotely to the atmosphere.


5 Our results help identify oceanic regions that are sensitive to riverine fluxes. Riverine-induced changes in the regional NPP are mostly found in coastal regions, but significant riverine-derived CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing can also be observed in the open ocean of the tropical Atlantic. In general, latitudinal differences can also be observed in the sensitivity of the NPP and the CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes of various shallow shelves to riverine fluxes. While a high sensitivity in the NPP is found in tropical latitudes, with the tropical West Atlantic, the Bay of Bengal and the East China Sea showing large increases of 166%, 377% and 71% respectively,  
10 the relative changes in the regional CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are larger at higher latitudes. For instance, the Laptev Sea and the Bay of Beaufort become atmospheric sources of 2.2 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> and 2.3 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> respectively, despite being sinks of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> without accounting for riverine inputs. While our analysis revolves around pre-industrial riverine exports, regions that show high sensitivity might also be more strongly affected by 20th century anthropogenic perturbations of land-ocean exports.

Deriving riverine exports as a function of Earth System Model variables (precipitation, temperature and runoff) enables a  
15 representation of the riverine loop, from the terrestrial uptake of carbon, its riverine export and to its long-term outgassing in the ocean and export to the oceanic sediment. In the case of implementing the framework in a coupled land-atmosphere-ocean setting such as an ESM, the atmospheric pre-industrial budget would have to be balanced. In our study, we emphasize the need to consider a long-term terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> source originating from long-term volcanic activity and from shale organic carbon oxidation in order to close the pre-industrial atmospheric C budget.

20 Throughout this study, we find global heterogeneity in the spatial features of weathering fluxes, riverine loads and their implications for the ocean biogeochemistry. We confirm that considering riverine exports to the ocean is central when assessing the biogeochemistry of coastal regions, but also find implications for open ocean regions (i.e. the tropical Atlantic). Our study also shows the necessity to account for the riverine-induced oceanic outgassing of carbon in ocean biogeochemistry models, since our conservative estimate consists of around 10% of the magnitude of the present-day ocean carbon uptake.

25 *Code and data availability.* Code, primary data and scripts needed to reproduce the analyses presented in this study are archived by the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology are available upon request (publications@mpimet.mpg.de)


## Appendix A: Ocean biogeochemistry model scheme



**Figure A1.** Scheme of model compartments in HAMOCC, modified from Ilyina et al. (2013), and (b) of the organic dynamics taking place in the model. The most central model parameters can be found in the Supplementary Information S.2.

## Appendix B: Runoff, temperature and precipitation

The weathering yields provided in this study are dependent on the MPI-ESM pre-industrial spatial representation of surface runoff, surface temperature and precipitation (Figure B1a,b,c).



**Figure B1.** Modelled pre-industrial (a) surface runoff [mm a<sup>-1</sup>], (b) surface temperature [°C] and (c) precipitation [mm a<sup>-1</sup>] annual means.

- 5 Previous work by Goll et al. (2014) describes the MPI-ESM performing well when estimating surface temperatures at single grid cells with regards to observations. The global precipitation is slightly higher than is reported in the Precipitation

Climatology Project (GPCP) (Adler et al., 2003), which is discussed along with spatial biases of the precipitation in Stevens et al. (2013). Most notably, the precipitation is too strong over extratropical land surface and too little over tropical land surface. Runoff on the other hand is less well reproduced globally. For the given time period of the CMIP5 simulation, the global runoff is 23,496 km<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. This is significantly lower than the global runoff estimations of 36,600-38,300 km<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fekete et al., 2002; 5 Dai and Trenberth, 2002). The difficulty of representing several processes that control the runoff, such as evapotranspiration and condensation, is also reflected in the global runoff means of other Earth System Models, which range from 23,000 to 42,500 km<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Goll et al., 2014). The spatial patterns in the CMIP5 simulation are however comparable with the mean annual runoff patterns reported in Fekete et al. (2002), with high surface runoff observed in the Amazon basin, West Africa, Indo-Pacific Islands, Southeast Asia, eastern North America, Northern Europe as well as in Siberia. Due to the strong underestimation of 10 the model regarding the runoff in relation to the combined runoff mean of Fekete et al. (2002) and Dai and Trenberth (2002), we conclude that a scaling factor of 1.59 is necessary to produce runoff plausibly at the global scale. The global runoff from OMIP, which provides freshwater to the ocean model, is on the other hand more plausible (32,542 km<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), and was therefore not scaled. [We scaled the model runoff to the runoff estimation from Fekete et al. \(2002\) and not to the OMIP runoff, since scaling to the OMIP runoff still led to lower global P and DIC-Alk release values than reported in literature estimates.](#)

## 15 **Appendix C: Derivation of carbon fluxes in the simplified coupled system**

### **C1 Terrestrial fluxes**

1. Carbonate and silicate weathering cause a land uptake flux of 280 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> from the atmosphere according to the weathering model simulations (Table 2).

2. Carbonate mineral weathering causes a lithological carbon release flux of 94 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> DIC, as shown in Section 3.2.

20 3. Carbon from terrestrial organic matter originates from the atmosphere (Meybeck and Vörösmarty, 1999). The net carbon uptake by the terrestrial and riverine biology is therefore the same as the lateral organic carbon export, which we derived from NEWS2 (Seitzinger et al., 2010) DOC and POC exports. The net uptake by the terrestrial biology, while taking into account all respiration processes on land and in rivers, is the sum of the lateral POC and DOC exports (249 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>).

25 4. The riverine carbon export to the ocean consists of the sum of from weathering and organic matter carbon exports (623 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>), minus a loss term due to endorheic rivers (19 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>), which results in 603 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (values are rounded).

### **C2 Long-term ocean fluxes**

In the model, riverine loads cause oceanic outgassing through the inputs of inorganic C and tDOM, while the inputs of dissolved inorganic nutrients cause a sink of atmospheric carbon through the biological enhancement of C uptake as well as increasing alkalinity while doing so.



The inorganic C is delivered by rivers as 1 mol DIC and 1 mol alkalinity ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ) and exported as 0.5 mol DIC and 1 mol alkalinity ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ), leaving a surplus of 0.5 mol DIC and 0 mol alkalinity. Increasing the DIC pool without increasing the alkalinity directly increases the dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations, which in its turn causes outgassing:



5 Equilibrium model outgassing caused by inorganic carbon inputs is therefore 0.5 fold of the riverine DIC loads.

tDOM model inputs, in contrary to POM, are not exported to the sediment. Outgassing from organic material results from the high C:P ratio of tDOM. It is mineralized in the ocean providing dissolved inorganic compounds in the C:P ratio of 2583:1, but the subsequent uptake of the released inorganic compounds happens at a C:P ratio of 122:1, resulting in a DIC overshoot. Since the net alkalinity over the entirety of Equation 15 is also constant, the DIC increase causes a  $\text{pCO}_2$  increase (\*simplified equation):



The organic outgassing caused by organic matter inputs is therefore 2461/2583 multiplied with tDOM carbon loads.

The riverine loads of DIP and DIN on the other hand cause C uptake through their enhancement of biological primary production. DIC is thereby removed, thus sinking  $\text{pCO}_2$  (\*simplified equation):



The resulting C uptake from the equation is therefore 122-fold the mole DIP inputs. Additionally, alkalinity is produced in equation (16), which enhances C uptake. The uptake of DIN and DIP through primary production causes a net alkalinity increase by a factor of  $\text{Alk:P} = 17:1$  (Wolf-Gladrow et al., 2007). The alkalinity is exported in a C:Alk ratio of 1:2 through  $\text{CaCO}_3$  production (Equation 14). The C uptake enhancement from the alkalinity increase is therefore the  $17 * 1/2$  - fold of the (bioavailable) DIP loads.

5. According to the  $\text{CaCO}_3$  export equation (Eq D1), half of the riverine DIC input is exported to the sediment as  $\text{CaCO}_3$  and the other half is outgassed as  $\text{CO}_2$  in model equilibrium state. The contribution of outgassing caused by inorganic carbon in the ocean is therefore half (0.5-fold) the DIC inputs ( $366 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ ) and therefore  $183 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$  assuming model equilibrium.

6. tDOM input C:P ratios vastly exceed the oceanic sediment export C:P ratios of organic matter, which causes model equilibrium outgassing in the ocean. Equation C2 shows that for every mol tDOM supplied to the ocean, in model equilibrium 122/2583 of C is exported to the sediment and 2461/2583 of C increases the dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  pool, which is outgassed in the long term. The equilibrium outgassing is therefore the tDOM carbon load ( $134 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ ) multiplied by 2461/2583, which results in  $128 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ . In the case of POM, since the C:P ratio of the riverine input (122:1) is the same as the ratio of the export to the sediment in the ocean, there is no effect on the longterm equilibrium outgassing flux.

7. Since P has no further sinks or sources in the model other than riverine inputs and sediment burial as organic matter, in equilibrium the same amount of P supplied by rivers is buried in the sediment. When DIP is taken up by the biology and transformed to organic matter, carbon is also taken up in a mole ratio of C:P = 122:1. Accounting for this uptake through the biological production enhancement by DIP inputs (including bioavailable DIP) from rivers (1.4 Tg P yr<sup>-1</sup>) results in the uptake of 67 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> through the biological enhancement. Furthermore, when DIP and DIN are transformed to organic matter as organic matter, an alkalinity increase of 17 mol per mol DIP uptake takes place (Eq. D3, Wolf-Gladrow et al. (2007). This increase in alkalinity causes the further uptake and export of 2 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in a total sink of 69 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>.

**D1.** We attribute the difference between the equilibrium CO<sub>2</sub> flux of 242 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> and the modelled net CO<sub>2</sub> flux of 231 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (=11 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) to the small surface alkalinity increase in the model due to slight disequilibrium over the analysis time period.

**8.** The simulated global particulate inorganic C sediment deposition flux in the ocean biogeochemistry model is 188 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>.


**9.** The simulated global organic C sediment deposition flux in the ocean biogeochemistry model is 582 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>.

**10.** The global modelled DIC flux from the sediment back to the water, which originates from POM remineralization in the sediment, column is 385 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>.

**D2.** In model equilibrium the net sediment burial C flux is the total riverine C inputs of 603 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (**4**) subtracted by the equilibrium outgassing of 242 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (**5+6-7**), which results in 361 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>. The simulated burial flux in the model of 385 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (**8+9-10**) deviates from the calculated model burial equilibrium flux. Therefore, the drift at the sediment-ocean interface is 24 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (385 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> - 361 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>).

## 20 **Appendix D: Surface nutrient profiles**

# Phosphate concentrations




**Figure E1.** Phosphate (DIP) concentrations in OBS (WOA observations), REF and RIV.

*Competing interests.* All contributing authors declare that no competing interests are present.

*Acknowledgements.* All simulations were performed at the German Climate Computing Center (DKRZ). The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 643052 (C-CASCADES project). We acknowledge constructive comments and suggestions received from Pierre Regnier, Irene

5 Stemmler, Katharina Six and Philip Pika.

# Dissolved silica concentrations




**Figure F1.** Dissolved silica (DSi) concentrations in OBS (WOA observations), REF and RIV.

## References

- Aarnos, H., Gélinas, Y., Kasurinen, V., Gu, Y., Puupponen, V.-M., and Vähätalo, A. V.: Photochemical Mineralization of Terrigenous DOC to Dissolved Inorganic Carbon in Ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 32, 250–266, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005698>, 2018.
- Adler, R. F., Huffman, G. J., Chang, A., Ferraro, R., Xie, P.-P., Janowiak, J., Rudolf, B., Schneider, U., Curtis, S., Bolvin, D., Gruber, A., Susskind, J., Arkin, P., and Nelkin, E.: The Version-2 Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) Monthly Precipitation Analysis (1979–Present), *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 4, 1147–1167, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541\(2003\)004<1147:TVGPCP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2003)004<1147:TVGPCP>2.0.CO;2), 2003.
- Aksenov, Y., Bacon, S., Coward, A. C., and Holliday, N. P.: Polar outflow from the Arctic Ocean: A high resolution model study, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 83, 14–37, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.06.007>, 2010.

# Nitrate concentrations



**Figure G1.** Nitrate (DIN) concentrations in OBS (WOA observations), REF and RIV.

Amiotte Suchet, P. and Probst, J. -L.: A global model for presentday atmospheric/soil CO<sub>2</sub> consumption by chemical erosion of continental rocks (GEMCO<sub>2</sub>), *Tellus B*, 47, 273–280, <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.47.issue1.23.x>, 1995.

Amiotte Suchet, P., Probst, J.-L., and Ludwig, W.: Worldwide distribution of continental rock lithology: Implications for the atmospheric/soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by continental weathering and alkalinity river transport to the oceans, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 17, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GB001891>, 2003.

Araujo, M., Noriega, C., and Lefèvre, N.: Nutrients and carbon fluxes in the estuaries of major rivers flowing into the tropical Atlantic, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 1, 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2014.00010>, 2014.

Aumont, O., Orr, J. C., Monfray, P., Ludwig, W., Amiotte Suchet, P., and Probst, J.-L.: Riverinedriven interhemispheric transport of carbon, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 15, 393–405, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB001238>, 2001.

- Batjes, N. H.: A world dataset of derived soil properties by FAO–UNESCO soil unit for global modelling, *Soil Use and Management*, 13, 9–16, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.1997.tb00550.x>, 1997.
- Batjes, N. H.: Revised soil parameter estimates for the soil types of the world, *Soil Use and Management*, 18, 232–235, <https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.2002.tb00244.x>, 2002.
- 5 Behrenfeld, M. and Falkowski, P.: Photosynthetic rates derived from satellite-based chlorophyll concentration, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 42, 1479–1491, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.1.0001>, 1997.
- Benner, R., Louchouart, P., and Amon, R. M. W.: Terrigenous dissolved organic matter in the Arctic Ocean and its transport to surface and deep waters of the North Atlantic, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002398>, 2005.
- Bernard, C. Y., Dürr, H. H., Heinze, C., Segschneider, J., and Maier-Reimer, E.: Contribution of riverine nutrients to the silicon biogeochemistry of the global ocean – a model study, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 551–564, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-551-2011>, 2011.
- 10 Berner, R. A., Lasaga, A. C., and Garrels, R.: The carbonate-silicate geochemical cycle and its effect on atmospheric carbon dioxide over the past 100 million years, *American Journal of Science*, 283, 641–683, <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.283.7.641>, 1983.
- Beusen, A. H. W., Dekkers, A. L. M., Bouwman, A. F., Ludwig, W., and Harrison, J.: Estimation of global river transport of sediments and associated particulate C, N, and P, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002453>, 2005.
- 15 Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., Dürr, H., Dekkers, A. L. M., and Hartmann, J.: Global patterns of dissolved silica export to the coastal zone: Results from a spatially explicit global model, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 23, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003281>, 2009.
- Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., Van Beek, L. P. H., Mogollón, J. M., and Middelburg, J. J.: Global riverine N and P transport to ocean increased during the 20th century despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 2441–2451, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2441-2016>, 2016.
- 20 Bird, M. I., Robinson, R. A. J., Oo, N. W., Aye, M. M., Lu, X. X., Higgitt, D. L., Swe, A., Tun, T., Win, S. L., Aye, K. S., Win, K. M. M., and Hoey, T. B.: A preliminary estimate of organic carbon transport by the Ayeyarwady (Irrawaddy) and Thanlwin (Salween) Rivers of Myanmar, *Quaternary International*, 186, 113–122, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2007.08.003>, 2008.
- Bourgeois, T., Orr, J. C., Resplandy, L., Terhaar, J., Ethé, C., Gehlen, M., and Bopp, L.: Coastal-ocean uptake of anthropogenic carbon, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 4167–4185, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-4167-2016>, 2016.
- 25 Boyer, T. P., Antonov, J. I., Baranova, O. K., Garcia, H. E., Johnson, D. R., Mishonov, A. V., O'Brien, T. D., Seidov, D., Smolyar, I. I., Zweng, M. M., Paver, C. R., Locarnini, R. A., Reagan, J. R., Forgy, C., Grodsky, A., and Levitus, S.: *World Ocean Database 2013*, NOAA Atlas NESDIS 72, S. Levitus, Ed., A. Mishonov, Technical Ed.; Silver Spring, MD, 209, <https://doi.org/10.7289/V5NZ85MT>, 2013.
- Burton, M. R., Sawyer, G. M., and Granieri, D.: Deep Carbon Emissions from Volcanoes, *Reviews in Mineralogy Geochemistry*, 75, 323–354, 2013.
- 30 Cai, W.-J.: Estuarine and Coastal Ocean Carbon Paradox: CO<sub>2</sub> Sinks or Sites of Terrestrial Carbon Incineration?, *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 3, 123–145, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142723>, 2011.
- Compton, J., Mallinson, D., Glenn, C., Filippelli, G., Föllmi, K., Shields, G., and Zanin, Y.: Variations in the global phosphorus cycle, IN: *Marine Authigenesis: From Global to Microbial*, Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 21–33, 2000.
- Da Cunha, L., Buitenhuis, E. T., Le Quééré, C., Giraud, X., and Ludwig, W.: Potential impact of changes in river nutrient supply on global ocean biogeochemistry, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002718>, 2007.
- 35 Dagg, M., Benner, R., Lohrenz, S., and Lawrence, D.: Transformation of dissolved and particulate materials on continental shelves influenced by large rivers: plume processes, *Continental Shelf Research*, 24, 833–858, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2004.02.003>, 2004.

- Dai, A. and Trenberth, K. E.: Estimates of Freshwater Discharge from Continents: Latitudinal and Seasonal Variations, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 3, 660–687, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541\(2002\)003<0660:EOFDfC>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2002)003<0660:EOFDfC>2.0.CO;2), 2002.
- Deal, C., Jin, M., Elliott, S., Hunke, E., Maltrud, M., and Jeffery, N.: Large-scale modeling of primary production and ice algal biomass within arctic sea ice in 1992, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 116, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006409>, 2011.
- 5 Dittmar, T. and Kattner, G.: The biogeochemistry of the river and shelf ecosystem of the Arctic Ocean: a review, *Marine Chemistry*, 83, 103–120, [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203\(03\)00105-1](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(03)00105-1), 2003.
- Dürr, H. H., Meybeck, M., and Dürr, S. H.: Lithologic composition of the Earth's continental surfaces derived from a new digital map emphasizing riverine material transfer, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002515>, 2005.
- Dürr, H. H., Meybeck, M., Hartmann, J., Laruelle, G. G., and Roubeix, V.: Global spatial distribution of natural riverine silica inputs to the  
10 coastal zone, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 597–620, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-597-2011>, 2011.
- Elser, J. J., Bracken, M. E. S., Cleland, E. E., Gruner, D. S., Harpole, W. S., Hillebrand, H., Ngai, J. T., Seabloom, E. W., Shurin, J. B., and Smith, J. E.: Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems, *Ecology Letters*, 10, 1135–1142, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01113.x>, 2007.
- Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L. P., Langenfelds, R. L., Francey, R. J., Barnola, J.-M., and Morgan, V. I.: Natural and anthropogenic changes  
15 in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> over the last 1000 years from air in Antarctic ice and firn, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 101, 4115–4128, <https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD03410>, 1996.
- FAO/IIASA: Global Agro-Ecological Zones (Global-AEZ), Food and Agricul. Org. / Int. Inst. for Appl. Syst. Anal., Rome., <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002540>, <http://www.iiasa.ac.at/Research/LUC/GAEZ/index.htm>.
- Fekete, B. M., Vörösmarty, C. J., and Grabs, W.: High-resolution fields of global runoff combining observed river discharge and simulated  
20 water balances, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16, 10–15, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB001254>, 2002.
- Fernández-Martínez, M., Vicca, S., Janssens, I. A., Sardans, J., Luyssaert, S., Campioli, M., Chapin III, F., Ciais, P., Malhi, Y., Obersteiner, M., Papale, D., Piao, S. L., Reichstein, M., Rodà, F., and Peñuelas, J.: Nutrient availability as the key regulator of global forest carbon balance, *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 471, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2177>, 2014.
- Fichot, C. G. and Benner, R.: The fate of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon in a river-influenced ocean margin, *Global Biogeochemical  
25 Cycles*, 28, 300–318, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GB004670>, 2014.
- Filippelli, G. M.: The Global Phosphorus Cycle: Past, Present, and Future, *Elements*, 4, 89–95, <https://doi.org/10.2113/GSELEMENTS.4.2.89>, 2008.
- Froelich, P. N.: Kinetic control of dissolved phosphate in natural rivers and estuaries: A primer on the phosphate buffer mechanism, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 33, 649–668, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.4part2.0649>, 1988.
- 30 Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., Louvat, P., and Allègre, C.: Global silicate weathering and CO<sub>2</sub> consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers, *Chemical Geology*, 159, 3 – 30, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541\(99\)00031-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00031-5), 1999.
- Giorgetta, M. A., Jungclaus, J., Reick, C. H., Legutke, S., Bader, J., Böttinger, M., Brovkin, V., Crueger, T., Esch, M., Fieg, K., Glushak, K., Gayler, V., Haak, H., Hollweg, H.-D., Ilyina, T., Kinne, S., Kornblueh, L., Matei, D., Mauritsen, T., Mikolajewicz, U., Mueller, W., Notz, D., Pithan, F., Raddatz, T., Rast, S., Redler, R., Roeckner, E., Schmidt, H., Schnur, R., Segschneider, J., Six, K. D., Stockhause, M.,  
35 Timmreck, C., Wegner, J., Widmann, H., Wieners, K.-H., Claussen, M., Marotzke, J., and Stevens, B.: Climate and carbon cycle changes from 1850 to 2100 in MPI-ESM simulations for the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5, 572–597, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20038>, 2013.

- Gislason, S. R., Oelkers, E. H., Eiriksdottir, E. S., Kardjilov, M. I., Gisladottir, G., Sigfusson, B., Snorrason, A., Elefsen, S., Hardardottir, J., Torsander, P., and Oskarsson, N.: Direct evidence of the feedback between climate and weathering, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 277, 213–222, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.10.018>, 2009.
- Goll, D. S., Moosdorf, N., Hartmann, J., and Brovkin, V.: Climate-driven changes in chemical weathering and associated phosphorus release since 1850: Implications for the land carbon balance, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 3553–3558, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059471>, 2014.
- Green, P. A., Vörösmarty, C. J., Meybeck, M., Galloway, J. N., Peterson, B. J., and Boyer, E. W.: Pre-industrial and contemporary fluxes of nitrogen through rivers: a global assessment based on typology, *Biogeochemistry*, 68, 71–105, <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BIOG.0000025742.82155.92>, 2004.
- 10 Gruber, N., Gloor, M., Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Doney, S. C., Dutkiewicz, S., Follows, M. J., Gerber, M., Jacobson, A. R., Joos, F., Lindsay, K., Menemenlis, D., Mouchet, A., Müller, S. A., Sarmiento, J. L., and Takahashi, T.: Oceanic sources, sinks, and transport of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 23, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003349>, 2009.
- Hagemann, S. and Dümenil, L.: A parametrization of the lateral waterflow for the global scale, *Climate Dynamics*, 14, 17–31, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003820050205>, 1997.
- 15 Hagemann, S. and Gates, L.: Validation of the hydrological cycle ECMWF and NCEP reanalyses using the MPI hydrological discharge model, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106, 1503–1510, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900568>, 2001.
- Hagemann, S. and Gates, L. D.: Improving a subgrid runoff parameterization scheme for climate models by the use of high resolution data derived from satellite observations, *Climate Dynamics*, 21, 349–359, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-003-0349-x>, 2003.
- Harrison, J. A., Caraco, N., and Seitzinger, S. P.: Global patterns and sources of dissolved organic matter export to the coastal zone: Results from a spatially explicit, global model, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002480>, 2005.
- 20 Harrison, W. and Cota, G.: Primary production in polar waters—relation to nutrient availability, *Polar Research*, 10, 87–104, <https://doi.org/10.3402/polar.v10i1.6730>, 1991.
- Hartmann, J. and Moosdorf, N.: Chemical weathering rates of silicate-dominated lithological classes and associated liberation rates of phosphorus on the Japanese Archipelago—Implications for global scale analysis, *Chemical Geology*, 287, 125–157, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2010.12.004>, 2011.
- 25 Hartmann, J. and Moosdorf, N.: The new global lithological map database GLiM: A representation of rock properties at the Earth surface, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 13, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GC004370>, 2012.
- Hartmann, J., Jansen, N., Dürr, H. H., Kempe, S., and Köhler, P.: Global CO<sub>2</sub>-consumption by chemical weathering: What is the contribution of highly active weathering regions?, *Global and Planetary Change*, 69, 185–194, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2009.07.007>, 2009.
- 30 Hartmann, J., Moosdorf, N., Lauerwald, R., Hinderer, M., and West, A. J.: Global chemical weathering and associated P-release — The role of lithology, temperature and soil properties, *Chemical Geology*, 363, 145–163, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.10.025>, 2014.
- Hedges, J. I., Keil, R. G., and Benner, R.: What happens to terrestrial organic matter in the ocean?, *Organic Geochemistry*, 27, 195–212, [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380\(97\)00066-1](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(97)00066-1), 1997.
- 35 Heinze, C., Maier-Reimer, E., Winguth, A. M. E., and Archer, D.: A global oceanic sediment model for longterm climate studies, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 13, 221–250, <https://doi.org/10.1029/98GB02812>, 1999.



- Hilton, R. G., Galy, A., Hovius, N., Chen, M.-C., Horng, M.-J., and Chen, H.: *Nature Geoscience*, p. 759, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo333>, 2008.
- Ichikawa, H. and Beardsley, R. C.: The Current System in the Yellow and East China Seas, *Journal of Oceanography*, 58, 77–92, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015876701363>, 2002.
- 5 Ilyina, T., Six, K. D., Segschneider, J., Maier-Reimer, E., Li, H., and NúñezRiboni, I.: Global ocean biogeochemistry model HAMOCC: Model architecture and performance as component of the MPI-Earth system model in different CMIP5 experimental realizations, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5, 287–315, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012MS000178>, 2013.
- IPCC: Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles, in: *Climate Change 2013 – The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, pp. 465–570, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, [https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.015](https://doi.org/DOI:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.015), 2013.
- 10 Ittekkot, V.: Global trends in the nature of organic matter in river suspensions, *Nature*, 332, 436, 1988.
- Ittekkot, V., Humborg, C., and Schäfer, P.: Hydrological Alterations and Marine Biogeochemistry: A Silicate Issue?, *BioScience*, 50, 776, [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2000\)050\[0776:HAAMBA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0776:HAAMBA]2.0.CO;2), 2000.
- Jacobson, A. R., Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Gruber, N., Sarmiento, J. L., and Gloor, M.: A joint atmosphere-ocean inversion for surface fluxes of carbon dioxide: 1. Methods and global-scale fluxes, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002556>, 2007.
- 15 Jungclaus, J. H., Fischer, N., Haak, H., Lohmann, K., Marotzke, J., Matei, D., Mikolajewicz, U., Notz, D., and S., S. J.: Characteristics of the ocean simulations in the Max Planck Institute Ocean Model (MPIOM) the ocean component of the MPI-Earth system model, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5, 422–446, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20023>, 2013.
- Krumins, V., Gehlen, M., Arndt, S., Van Cappellen, P., and Regnier, P.: Dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity fluxes from coastal marine sediments: Model estimates for different shelf environments and sensitivity to global change, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 371–398, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-371-2013>, 2013.
- 20 Lalonde, K., Vähätalo, A. V., and Gélinas, Y.: Revisiting the disappearance of terrestrial dissolved organic matter in the ocean: a  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  study, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 3707–3719, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3707-2014>, 2014.
- Laruelle, G. G., Dürr, H. H., Lauerwald, R., Hartmann, J., Slomp, C. P., Goossens, N., and Regnier, P. A.: Global multi-scale segmentation of continental and coastal waters from the watersheds to the continental margins, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17, 2029–2051, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2029-2013>, 2013.
- 25 Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Pfeil, B., and Regnier, P.: Regionalized global budget of the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange at the air-water interface in continental shelf seas., *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, pp. 1199–1214, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004832>.Received, 2014.
- Laruelle, G. G., Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Tison, J.-L., Delille, B., and Regnier, P.: Global high-resolution monthly pCO<sub>2</sub> climatology for the coastal ocean derived from neural network interpolation, *Biogeosciences*, 14, 4545–4561, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4545-2017>, 2017.
- 30 Le Fouest, V., Babin, M., and Tremblay, J.-É.: The fate of riverine nutrients on Arctic shelves, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 3661–3677, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-3661-2013>, 2013.
- Li, S. and Bush, R. T.: Changing fluxes of carbon and other solutes from the Mekong River, *Sci. Rep.*, 26005, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16005> (2015), 2015.
- 35 Ludwig, W., Amiotte Suchet, P., Munhoven, G., and Probst, J.-L.: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> consumption by continental erosion: present-day controls and implications for the last glacial maximum, *Global and Planetary Change*, 16-17, 107–120, [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181\(98\)00016-2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(98)00016-2), 1998.

- Maavara, T., Dürr, H. H., and Van Cappellen, P.: Worldwide retention of nutrient silicon by river damming: From sparse data set to global estimate, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28, 842–855, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004875>, 2014.
- Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., Regnier, P., and Van Cappellen, P.: Global perturbation of organic carbon cycling by river damming, *Nature Communications*, 8, 15347, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15347>, 2017.
- 5 Mackenzie, F. T., Lerman, A., and Ver, L. M. B.: Role of the continental margin in the global carbon balance during the past three centuries, *Geology*, 26, 423–426, 1998.
- Mackenzie, F. T., Ver, L. M., and Lerman, A.: Century-scale nitrogen and phosphorus controls of the carbon cycle, *Chemical Geology*, 190, 13–32, [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541\(02\)00108-0](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(02)00108-0), geochemistry of Crustal Fluids—Fluids in the Crust and Chemical Fluxes at the Earth’s Surface, 2002.
- 10 Mahowald, N. M., Muhs, D. R., Levis, S., Rasch Philip, J., Yoshioka, M., Zender Charles, S., and Luo, C.: Change in atmospheric mineral aerosols in response to climate: Last glacial period, preindustrial, modern, and doubled carbon dioxide climates, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006653>, 2006.
- Maier-Reimer, E. and Hasselmann, K.: Transport and storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in the ocean —an inorganic ocean-circulation carbon cycle model, *Climate Dynamics*, 2, 63–90, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01054491>, 1987.
- 15 Martin, J. H., Knauer, G. A., Karl, D. M., and Broenkow, W. W.: VERTEX: carbon cycling in the northeast Pacific, *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers*, 34, 267–285, [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(87\)90086-0](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90086-0), 1987.
- Mauritsen, T., Bader, J., Becker, T., Behrens, J., Bittner, M., Brokopf, R., Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Crueger, T., Esch, M., Fast, I., Fiedler, S., Fläschner, D., Gayler, V., Giorgetta, M., Goll, D. S., Haak, H., Hagemann, S., Hedemann, C., Hohenegger, C., Ilyina, T., Jahns, T., de la Cuesta Otero, D., Jungclaus, J., Kleinen, T., Kloster, S., Kracher, D., Kinne, S., Kleberg, D., Lasslop, G., Kornblueh, L., Marotzke, J.,
- 20 Matei, D., Meraner, K., Mikolajewicz, U., Modali, K., Möbis, B., Müller, W. A., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nam, C. C. W., Notz, D., Nyawira, S.-S., Paulsen, H., Peters, K., Pincus, R., Pohlmann, H., Pongratz, J., Popp, M., Raddatz, T., Rast, S., Redler, R., Reick, C. H., Rohrschneider, T., Schemann, V., Schmidt, H., Schnur, R., Schulzweida, U., Six, K. D., Stein, L., Stemmler, I., Stevens, B., von Storch, J.-S., Tian, F., Voigt, A., de Vrese, P., Wieners, K.-H., Wilkenskjaeld, S., Winkler, A., and Roeckner, E.: Developments in the MPI-M Earth System Model version 1.2 (MPI-ESM 1.2) and its response to increasing CO<sub>2</sub>, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 0,
- 25 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001400>, 2018.
- Meybeck, M.: Carbon, Nitrogen, and Phosphorus Transport by World Rivers, *Am. J. Sci.*, 282, 1982.
- Meybeck, M.: C, N, P and S in Rivers: From Sources to Global Inputs, in: *Interactions of C, N, P and S Biogeochemical Cycles and Global Change*, edited by Wollast, R., Mackenzie, F. T., and Chou, L., pp. 163–193, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1993.
- Meybeck, M. and Vörösmarty, C.: Global transfer of carbon by rivers, *Global Change News Lett*, 26, 1999.
- 30 Meybeck, M., Dürr, H. H., and Vörösmarty, C. J.: Global coastal segmentation and its river catchment contributors: A new look at land-ocean linkage, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 20, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002540>, 2006.
- Morée, A. L., Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., and Willems, W. J.: Exploring global nitrogen and phosphorus flows in urban wastes during the twentieth century, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27, 836–846, <https://doi.org/10.1002/gbc.20072>, 2013.
- Mörner, N.-A. and Etiope, G.: Carbon degassing from the lithosphere, *Global and Planetary Change*, 33, 185–203, [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181\(02\)00070-X](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(02)00070-X), 2002.
- 35 Müller, D., Warneke, T., Rixen, T., Müller, M., Mujahid, A., Bange, H. W., and Notholt, J.: Fate of terrestrial organic carbon and associated CO<sub>2</sub> and CO emissions from two Southeast Asian estuaries, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 691–705, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-691-2016>, 2016.

- Orr, J. C., Najjar, R. G., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Bullister, J. L., Danabasoglu, G., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Dutay, J.-C., Graven, H., Griffies, S. M., John, J. G., Joos, F., Levin, I., Lindsay, K., Matear, R. J., McKinley, G. A., Mouchet, A., Oschlies, A., Romanou, A., Schlitzer, R., Tagliabue, A., Tanhua, T., and Yool, A.: Biogeochemical protocols and diagnostics for the CMIP6 Ocean Model Intercomparison Project (OMIP), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 10, 2169–2199, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2169-2017>, 2017.
- 5 Paulsen, H., Ilyina, T., Six, K. D., and Stemmler, I.: Incorporating a prognostic representation of marine nitrogen fixers into the global ocean biogeochemical model HAMOCC, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 9, 438–464, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000737>, 2017.
- Ramirez, A. J. and Rose, A. W.: Analytical geochemistry of organic phosphorus and its correlation with organic carbon in marine and fluvial sediments and soils, *American Journal of Science*, 292, 421–454, <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.292.6.421>, 1992.
- 10 Raymond, P. A., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Zhulidov, A. V., Mull, K., Peterson, B. J., Striegl, R. G., Aiken, G. R., and Gurtovaya, T. Y.: Flux and age of dissolved organic carbon exported to the Arctic Ocean: A carbon isotopic study of the five largest arctic rivers, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB002934>, 2007.
- Regnier, P., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Mackenzie, F. T., Gruber, N., Janssens, I. A., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Luysaert, S., Andersson, A. J., Arndt, S., Arnosti, C., Borges, A. V., Dale, A. W., Gallego-Sala, A., Godd ris, Y., Goossens, N., Hartmann, J., Heinze, C., Ilyina, T., Joos, F., LaRowe, D. E., Leifeld, J., Meysman, F. J. R., Munhoven, G., Raymond, P. A., Spahni, R., Suntharalingam, P., and Thullner, M.: Anthropogenic perturbation of the carbon fluxes from land to ocean, *Nature Geoscience*, 6, 597, 2013.
- 15 Resplandy, L., Keeling, R. F., R denbeck, C., Stephens, B. B., Khatiwala, S., Rodgers, K. B., Long, M. C., Bopp, L., and Tans, P. P.: Revision of global carbon fluxes based on a reassessment of oceanic and riverine carbon transport, *Nature Geoscience*, 11, 504–509, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0151-3>, 2018.
- 20 Roelandt, C., Godd ris, Y., Bonnet, M.-P., and Sondag, F.: Coupled modeling of biospheric and chemical weathering processes at the continental scale, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 24, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003420>, 2010.
- Romero-Mujalli, G., Hartmann, J., and B rker, J.: Temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> dependency of global carbonate weathering fluxes – Implications for future carbonate weathering research, *Chemical Geology*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.08.010>, 2018.
- R ske, F.: A global heat and freshwater forcing dataset for ocean models, *Ocean Modelling*, 11, 235–297, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2004.12.005>, 2006.
- 25 Sarmiento, J. and Sundquist, E.: Revised budget for the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon dioxide, *Nature*, 356, 589–593, <https://doi.org/10.1038/356589a0>, 1992.
- Sarmiento, J. L., Monfray, P., Maier-Reimer, E., Aumont, O., Murnane, R. J., and Orr, J. C.: Sea-air CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and carbon transport: A comparison of three ocean general circulation models, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 14, 1267–1281, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900062>, 2000.
- 30 Seitzinger, S. P., Harrison, J. A., Dumont, E., Beusen, A. H. W., and Bouwman, A. F.: Sources and delivery of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus to the coastal zone: An overview of Global Nutrient Export from Watersheds (NEWS) models and their application, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002606>, 2005.
- Seitzinger, S. P., Mayorga, E., Bouwman, A. F., Kroeze, C., Beusen, A. H. W., Billen, G., Drecht, G. V., Dumont, E., Fekete, B. M., Garnier, J., and Harrison, J. A.: Global river nutrient export: A scenario analysis of past and future trends, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 24, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003587>, 2010.
- 35 Sharples, J., Middelburg, J. J., Fennel, K., and Jickells, T. D.: What proportion of riverine nutrients reaches the open ocean?, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 31, 39–58, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GB005483>, 2017.

- Song, H., Marshall, J., Follows, M. J., Dutkiewicz, S., and Forget, G.: Source waters for the highly productive Patagonian shelf in the southwestern Atlantic, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 158, 120–128, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.02.009>, 2016.
- Sørensen, H. L., Thamdrup, B., Jeppesen, E., Rysgaard, S., and Glud, R. N.: Nutrient availability limits biological production in Arctic sea ice melt ponds, *Polar Biology*, 40, 1593–1606, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-017-2082-7>, 2017.
- 5 Stallard, R. F.: Tectonic, Environmental, and Human Aspects of Weathering and Erosion: A Global Review using a Steady-State Perspective, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 23, 11–39, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.000303>, 1995.
- Stepanuskas, R., Jørgensen, N. O. G., Eigaard, O. R., Žvikas, A., Tranvik, L. J., and Leonardson, L.: Summer Inputs of riverine nutrients to the Baltic Sea: bioavailability and eutrophication relevance, *Ecological Monographs*, 72, 579–597, [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615\(2002\)072\[0579:SIORNT\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(2002)072[0579:SIORNT]2.0.CO;2), 2002.
- 10 Stevens, B., Giorgetta, M., Esch, M., Mauritsen, T., Crueger, T., Rast, S., Salzmann, M., Schmidt, H., Bader, J., Block, K., Brokopf, R., Fast, I., Kinne, S., Kornbluh, L., Lohmann, U., Pincus, R., Reichler, T., and Roeckner, E.: Atmospheric component of the MPIM earth system model: ECHAM6, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5, 146–172, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20015>, 2013.
- Stramski, D., Boss, E., Bogucki, D., and Voss, K. J.: The role of seawater constituents in light backscattering in the ocean, *Progress in Oceanography*, 61, 27–56, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2004.07.001>, 2004.
- 15 Takahashi, T., Wallace, S. B., and Langer, S.: Redfield ratio based on chemical data from isopycnal surfaces, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 90, 6907–6924, <https://doi.org/10.1029/JC090iC04p06907>, 1985.
- Tank, S. E., Raymond, P. A., Striegl, R. G., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Fiske, G. J., and Peterson, B. J.: A land-to-ocean perspective on the magnitude, source and implication of DIC flux from major Arctic rivers to the Arctic Ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004192>, 2012.
- 20 Tao, Y., Wei, M., Ongley, E., Zicheng, L., and Jingsheng, C.: Estuarine , Coastal and Shelf Science Long-term variations and causal factors in nitrogen and phosphorus transport in the Yellow River , China, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 86, 345–351, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.05.014>, 2010.
- Tréguer, P. J. and De La Rocha, C. L.: The World Ocean Silica Cycle, *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 5, 477–501, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121211-172346>, 2013.
- 25 Turner, R. E., Rabalais, N. N., Justic, D., and Dortch, Q.: Global patterns of dissolved N, P and Si in large rivers, *Biogeochemistry*, 64, 297–317, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024960007569>, 2003.
- Tyrrell, T.: The relative influences of nitrogen and phosphorus on oceanic primary production, *Nature*, 400, 525–531, <https://doi.org/10.1038/22941>, 1999.
- Vodacek, A., Blough, N. V., DeGrandpre, M. D., DeGrandpre, M. D., and Nelson, R. K.: Seasonal variation of CDOM  
30 and DOC in the Middle Atlantic Bight: Terrestrial inputs and photooxidation, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 42, 674–686, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.4.0674>, 2003.
- Wang, Y. P., Law, R. M., and Pak, B.: A global model of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycles for the terrestrial biosphere, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 2261–2282, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2261-2010>, 2010.
- Wolf-Gladrow, D., Zeebe, R., Klaas, C., Körtzinger, A., and Dickson, A. G.: Total alkalinity: the explicit conservative expression and its  
35 application to biogeochemical processes, *Marine Chemistry*, 106, 287–300, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.01.006>, 2007.
- Yoshimura, C., Zhou, M., Kiem, A. S., Fukami, K., Prasantha, H. H. A., Ishidaira, H., and Takeuchi, K.: Science of the Total Environment 2020s scenario analysis of nutrient load in the Mekong River Basin using a distributed hydrological model, *Science of the Total Environment*, The, 407, 5356–5366, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.06.026>, 2009.