Response to Reviews for "The pH dependency of the boron isotopic composition of diatom opal (Thalassiosira weissflogii) by Donald et al.

Reviewer RC1 – Jan Fietzke

We thank the reviewer for his comments and in particular his recognition that, while this manuscript is a start of the exploitation of boron isotopes in diatoms, it is not the final word on the matter. We respond to each of his comments in turn below.

RC1: "I had the opportunity to analyze cultured T. weissflogii samples for their boron isotopic composition using LA-MC-ICPMS about 7 years ago. On average those analyses resulted in d11B of 14.0 +- 1.1 (1sd). Unfortunately, the results appeared too imprecise to be useful and never got published... Nevertheless, the significant difference of both, own data and those reported in this manuscript, is quite striking to me."

This is indeed quite a difference, but without more detail it is hard for us to critically evaluate this observation. However, we would like to point out that: (i) we carried out an extensive cleaning protocol to remove residual organic material; (ii) we carried out an extensive investigation of our protocol including ensuring little to no boron was lost during the purification process; and (iii) our standard addition tests support the conclusion that our $\delta^{11}B$ analytical method is accurate. It is also perhaps worth noting that all published $\delta^{11}B$ measurements to date are also isotopically light, like our results – though we acknowledge that this is a rather limited dataset to make such comparisons. In the future we would welcome engaging with the community to further explore the analytical accuracy of $\delta^{11}B$ in opal-matrices by various analytical techniques.

RC1:"I would like to see in an additional figure a direct comparison of the [B] vs. pH systematic reported by Meija et al. (2013) and this study."

This was included in the original manuscript as a supplementary figure. Given this comment (and a similar one by reviewer RC2) we have now brought this data into Figure 5 (grey circles).

RC1: "The authors suggest the differences may be due to the use of LA and conventional ICPMS. I do not think, the LA results published by Meija et al. (2013) are inaccurate."

This is not actually what we say in the manuscript, we were careful not to apportion cause and instead we said the following: "In detail, however, our concentrations are around 2-3 times lower than Meija et al. (2013), perhaps due to the different analytical methods used (laser ablation ICP-MS vs. solution here…".

RC1: "So, this would indicate three possibilties: a) samples for the older LA study had not been cleaned sufficiently (which I doubt strongly) b) the sample preparation used in this study resulted in a loss of boron or c) some details in the culturing setups resulted in this observable differences."

Since we have not done a direct comparison of methods for determining B/Si it is hard to determine the specific cause. However, given this comment, we now briefly discuss these possibilities in the manuscript, expanding on the observed discrepancy (in absolute B/Si but not in the relationship between B/Si and pH) in lines 289-292.

RC1:"I would also be interested to see a figure displaying d11B vs. [B]. From figure 5 it appears there may be a stronger correlation of those two parameters than the ones of each of both vs. pH."

There is in fact a weaker relationship between these two variables than between each and pH. We therefore decided not to include this figure as it distracted from the good relationships with pH.

RC1: “The model proposed to explain the data (including the -10permill offset during incorporation into opal) is not really satisfying… This would need a better, more detailled description,maybe including a schematic figure for a better conceptual understanding.”

We have now included a schematic (Figure 8) and have modified the relevant section to improve clarity (also in accordance with the comments of RC2 below).

Reviewer RC2 – Joji Uchikawa

We thank this reviewer for his comments and we are pleased that he favours publication and recognises the importance of this contribution. In order to harvest sufficient biomass from our dilute batch cultures we had to let them continue longer than we would have if we were going to avoid significant pH drift. We agree with the reviewer that this is a weakness of this current study but we do acknowledge this in the manuscript and recommend a different approach for subsequent studies. We respond to the rest of RC2’s in turn below.

RC2:“I would love to see figure-S1 in the main body of the paper (with proper data legend), which nicely compares the [B] vs pH relationship revealed here and previously in Mejía et al. (2013). Or ternatively, I recommend to include the data by Mejía et al. (2013) in Figure 5B”

As mentioned in our response to RC1, we now include these data in Figure 5 of the revised manuscript.

RC2: “Figure 5: It might be worthwhile to add horizontal error bars (uncertainties for the projected 11B values for borate due to the drifts in pH).”

This is a good idea, these are now added.

RC2: “In addition, I am curious if the authors considered more of a threshold-type response (e.g., step-wise increase) for the relationship between diatom B contents and seawater pH (Panel B), rather than linear regression?”

This is an interesting point but given the uncertainties in both pH and [B] we would prefer not to overly fit curves to the observed dataset. The statistics suggest a linear fit describes the data adequately (albeit with some scatter) and such a two stepped relationship is not consistent with the data from Mejia et al.

RC2:” Regarding the in-house TC460 standard used for validating the analytical methods. It was clear to me that the variations in B contents in the TC460 shown in Figure 4C were due to supplemental additions of different amounts of the NIST SRM951 reference material. But this appears not the case for the variations in B contents shown in Figure 4A. Then, do they simply reflect the differences in the amounts of TC460 dissolved?

The variations in B content shown in Figure 4A reflect variations in the amount of boron loaded onto the columns. We have attempted to make this clearer in the figure caption of this figure (line 471).

RC2:”I feel the manuscript will significantly benefit from having a short paragraph describing the process of how diatoms produce their frustules, including how silicic acid is gained from seawater into the cell and what happens afterwards (especially in the Silicate Deposition Vesicles: “SDV”) to deposit silica frustules. Perhaps, the paragraph should also have brief description of carbon acquisition for photosynthesis (Line 364-371), to which an active intake of seawater borate is linked (Line 364-372).”

These are good points and we have now added this 338-343 and 387-388.

RC2:"I have several questions and unclear points in the Section 3.2.2…. I think it is safe for authors to acknowledge that assumption #1 is an entirely open question at this stage. Furthermore, I wonder how assumption #2 is possible. I presume SDV plays central roles for frustule formation (silica polymerization?). But it is mentioned that the internal pH of SDV should be around 5.5 (Line 334, Line 347). At such pH, essentially all of dissolved B should exist as $B(OH)_3$, not $B(OH)_4^-$ (Fig. 6). How can you incorporate something that does not exist?"

We now expand on the points raised here in the revised manuscript (lines 377-386).

In response to the other part of this comment – the SDV does play a very important role in the formation of the opal frustule. This will be made clear in the revised manuscript.

The remainder of RC2's comments are minor and will be corrected in the revised manuscript.

The pH dependency of the boron isotopic composition of diatom opal (*Thalassiosira weissflogii*)

Hannah K. Donald[1], Gavin L. Foster[1,*], Nico Fröhberg[1], George E. A. Swann[2], Alex J. Poulton[3,4], C. Mark Moore[1] and Matthew P. Humphreys[1,5]

[1]School of Ocean and Earth Science, National Oceanography Centre Southampton, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO14 3ZH

[2]School of Geography, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD

[3]Ocean Biogeochemistry and Ecosystems, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH

[4]The Lyell Centre, , Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, EH14 4AS

[5]~~School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ~~NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Department of Ocean Systems (OCS), and Utrecht University, PO Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg (Texel), the Netherlands

*Corresponding Author

Abstract

The high latitude oceans are key areas of carbon and heat exchange between the atmosphere and the ocean. As such, they are a focus of both modern oceanographic and palaeoclimate research. However, most palaeoclimate proxies that could provide a long-term perspective are based on calcareous organisms, such as foraminifera, that are scarce or entirely absent in deep-sea sediments south of 50°S ~~latitude~~ in the Southern Ocean and north of 40°N in the North Pacific. As a result, proxies need to be developed~~-~~ for the opal-based organisms (*e.g.* diatoms) ~~that are~~ found at these high latitudes, ~~and~~ which dominate the biogenic sediments ~~that are~~ recovered from these regions. Here we present a method for the analysis of the boron (B) content and isotopic composition ($\delta^{11}B$) of diatom opal. We ~~also~~ apply it for the first time to evaluate the relationship between seawater pH ~~and~~ , $\delta^{11}B$ and B concentration ([B]) in the frustules of the diatom *Thalassiosira weissflogii,* cultured ~~at~~ across a range of carbon dioxide partial pressure (pCO_2) and pH values. In agreement with existing data, we find that the [B] of the cultured diatom frustules increases with increasing pH (Mejia et al., 2013). $\delta^{11}B$ shows a relatively well-defined negative trend with increasing pH, ~~; a~~ completely distinct ~~relationship~~ from any other biomineral previously measured. This relationship not only has implications for the magnitude of the isotopic fractionation that occurs during boron incorporation into opal, but also allows us to explore the potential of the boron-based proxies for palaeo-pH and palaeo-CO_2 reconstruction in high latitude marine sediments that have, up until now, eluded study due to the lack of suitable carbonate material.

35
36

1. Introduction

The high latitude regions, such as the Southern Ocean and the subarctic North Pacific Ocean, exert key controls on atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2). Both areas are where upwelling of deep carbon- and nutrient-rich water occurs, which promotes outgassing of previously stored carbon to the atmosphere and nutrient fertilisation of primary productivity, in turn drawing down CO_2. The balance of processes involved in determining whether these oceanic regions are a source or sink of CO_2 are poorly understood, to the extent that the oceanic controls on glacial-interglacial pH and pCO_2 changes remain a subject of vigorous debate (*e.g.* Martin, 1990; Sigman and Boyle, 2000). Recently, several studies have shown how the boron isotope pH proxy applied to calcitic foraminifera successfully tracks surface water CO_2 content, ~~and~~ thus documentings~~s~~ changes in air-sea CO_2 flux along the margins of these regions (*e.g.* Martínez-Botí et al., 2015; Gray et al. 2018). However, the lack of preserved marine carbonates in areas that are thought to be key in terms of glacial-interglacial CO_2 change (*e.g.* the polar Antarctic zone; Sigman et al., 2010) represents a currently insurmountable problem, ~~and~~ prevention~~s~~ the determination of air-sea CO_2 flux using boron-based proxies in regions that are likely to play the most important role in glacial-interglacial CO_2 change. There is therefore a clear need for the boron isotope palaeo-pH proxy to be developed in biogenic silica (diatom frustules, radiolarian shells), which is preserved in high-latitude settings, to better understand these key regions and their role in natural climate change.

The boron isotopic system has been used extensively in marine carbonates for the reconstruction of past ocean pH~~,~~ and past atmospheric pCO_2 (*e.g.* Hemming and Hanson, 1992; Pearson and Palmer, 2000; Hönisch and Hemming, 2005; Foster, 2008; Henehan et al., 2013; Chalk et al. 2017; Sosdian et al. 2018). Comprehensive calibration work has been completed for numerous species of foraminifera that are currently used in palaeoceanographic reconstruction (*e.g.* Henehan et al. 2016; Rae et al. 2011). From this~~, and~~ it has been shown that while $\delta^{11}B$ compositions are fairly similar among carbonates, species-specific differences exist in the relationship between the $\delta^{11}B$ ~~boron isotopic composition~~ of dissolved borate and ~~the~~ ~~$\delta^{11}B$~~that of foraminifera. Once this relationship is known, this $\delta^{11}B$-pH calibration can be applied to fossils found in deep-sea sediment cores, reliably reconstructing past ocean pH and pCO_2 (*e.g.* Hönisch and Hemming, 2005; Foster, 2008, Hönisch et al., 2009; Chalk et al., 2017). However, thus far the boron isotopic composition (expressed as $\delta^{11}B$) and B concentration ([B]) of the siliceous fraction of deep-sea sediments remains poorly studied.

Early exploratory work by Ishikawa and Nakamura (1993) showed that biogenic silica and diatom ooze collected from modern deep-sea sediments in the North and Equatorial Pacific had relatively high

boron contents (70-80 ppm), but a very light isotope ratio. For example, a diatom ooze was shown to have a $\delta^{11}B$ of -1.1 ‰ whilst radiolarian shells had a $\delta^{11}B$ of +4.5 ‰. While some of this light $\delta^{11}B$ may have partly arisen due to clay contamination (reducing the diatom ooze sample by up to 3 ‰; Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993) it also likely reflects an opal:seawater isotopic fractionation arising from the substitution of borate for silicate in tetrahedral sites in the opal (Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993). A similarly light $\delta^{11}B$ was also observed in marine cherts from deep sea sediments by Kolodny and Chaussidon (2004; -9.3 to +8 ‰), but these are likely diagenetic and therefore ~~are~~ unlikely to be primary seawater precipitates. A recent culture study of the diatoms *Thalassiosira weissflogii* and *T. pseudonana* showed that the boron content of cultured opal was significantly lower than suggested by the bulk sampling of Ishikawa and Nakamura (1993) at around 5-10 ppm, increasing as pH increased from 7.6 to 8.7 (Mejia et al., 2013~~; Supplementary Figure S1~~). This suggests seawater tetrahydroxyborate anion (borate; $B(OH)_4^-$) is predominantly incorporated into the diatom frustule rather than boric acid ($B(OH)_3$)~~,~~ and implies there is potential for the boron content of diatom opal to trace pH in the past (Mejia et al., 2013).

Here, the relationship between $\delta^{11}B$ of the frustules of the diatom *T. weissflogii* and seawater pH is investigated for the first time using a batch culturing technique and different air-CO_2 mixtures to explore a range of pH (8.54 ± 0.57 to 7.48 ± 0.06). The aim of this study was also to develop a methodology for measuring the boron isotopic composition of biogenic silica by MC-ICP-MS and apply this method to explore the response of the boron-based proxies ([B] and $\delta^{11}B$) in diatom frustules to changing pH. Ultimately, we show how boron isotopes measured in diatom frustules may provide further insight into boron uptake and physiological activity within diatoms~~,~~ and ~~we~~ test the potential of $\delta^{11}B$ and boron content in diatoms as proxies for the ocean carbonate system.

2. Methods

2.1 Experimental Set up

The centric diatom *T. weissflogii* (Grunow in van Heurck, PCC 541, CCAP 1085/1; Hasle and Fryxell, 1977) was grown in triplicate in ~~K/1~~ enriched sterile and filtered seawater (K/1; 0.2 μm; seawater sourced from Labrador Sea; Keller et al., 1987) in 3 L glass Erlenmeyer flasks for a maximum of one week for each experiment. Initial nutrient concentrations within the seawater before enrichment were assessed on a SEAL Analytical QuAAtro analyser with a UV/vis spectrometer and ranged from 23.3 to 27.5 µM for nitrate(+nitrite), 4.3 to 5.4 µM for silicic acid~~,~~ and 1.4 to 1.6 µM for phosphate. The culture experiments were bubbled with air-CO_2 mixtures in different concentrations (sourced from BOC; www.boconline.co.uk) to provide a pH range at constant bubble rates, ~~and~~ with every flask

was agitated by hand twice daily to limit algal settling and aggregation. The monocultures were grown in nutrient replete conditions at constant temperature (20°C) and on a 12h:12 h light:dark cycle (with 192 μE m^{-2} s^{-1}, or 8.3 E m^{-2} d^{-1} during the photoperiod). The diatoms were acclimated to each pCO_2 treatment for at least 10 generations before inoculating the culture experiment flasks. All culture handling was completed within a laminar flow hood to ensure sterility. The flow hood surfaces were cleaned with 90% ethanol before and after handling, as well as the outer surface of all autoclaved labware entering the laminar flow hood such as bottles and pipettes.

The cultured diatom samples were collected by centrifugation at 96 h, during the exponential growth phase. Each flask was simultaneously disconnected from the gas supply~~,~~ ~~and~~ with the culture ~~was~~ immediately centrifuged at 3700 rpm for 30 minutes into a pellet, rinsed with MilliQ, and frozen at -20°C in sterile plastic 50 mL centrifuge tubes. Around 10 mg of diatom biomass was harvested in each experiment.

2.2. Growth rate and cell size

A 5 mL sub-sample was taken from each culture flask through sterilised Nalgene tubing into sterile syringes~~,~~ and sealed in sterile 15 mL centrifuge tubes. Triplicate cell counts using a Coulter Multizier^{TM3} (Beckman Coulter) were performed daily on each experimental flask. Growth rates were calculated using equation 1:

$$\mu = (lnN_t - lnN_i)/(t - t_i) \quad (1)$$

~~Where~~ where N_i is the initial cell density at the start of the experiment (t_i) and N_t is the cell density at time t. Triplicate estimates of cell size were also determined using the Coulter Multizier^{TM3}, to determine the mean cell size over time in each flask. Figure 1 shows that although there is no statistically significant relationship between pH and diatom growth rate, cell size does show a small, but statistically significant, positive slope.

2.3 pH, DIC and $\delta^{11}B$ of the culture media

A pH meter (Orion 410A) calibrated using standard National Bureau of Standards (NBS) buffers prior to sample extraction was used to monitor the evolution of pH through the experiment on a daily basis. For fully quantitative constraints on the carbonate system of the culture media, dissolved inorganic carbon~~,~~ (DIC) was measured in triplicate, every other day, for each pH treatment (*i.e.* once per experiment flask). The 100 mL sample bottles were filled to overflowing and immediately closed

with ground glass stoppers, then uncapped to be poisoned with ~~1 mL~~20 µL saturated mercuric chloride solution ($HgCl_2$) to prevent any further biologically-induced changes in DIC, ~~and~~ before being ~~stored~~ sealed with a 1 mL air headspace and Apiezon L grease, and stored in complete darkness until analysis (Dickson et al., 2007). Analysis of DIC was performed by acidification with excess 10% phosphoric acid and CO_2 transfer in a nitrogen gas stream to an infrared detector using a DIC Analyzer AS-C3 (Apollo SciTech, DE, USA) at the University of Southampton. The DIC results were calibrated using measurements of batch 151 certified reference material obtained from A. G. Dickson (Scripps Institution of Oceanography, CA, USA). The accuracy of the DIC analysis was ca. 3 µmol kg^{-1}. Carbonate system parameters, including seawater pCO_2, were calculated using measured pH_{NBS} and DIC values, temperature, salinity and nutrients with the CO_2SYS v1.1 program~~me~~ (van Heuven et al., 2011; using constants from Dickson, 1990; Lueker et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2010), which was also used to convert pH meter readings from the NBS to the Total scale (used throughout).

All flasks were initially filled with media from the same large batch, and all culture treatments therefore started with the same initial pH. The pH for all treatments was then altered by bubbling through the different air-CO_2 mixtures, ranging from low pH (target = 1600 ppm, high pCO_2) to high pH (target = 200 ppm, low pCO_2). Almost all treatments held relatively constant DIC and pH until the final 24 hours of the experiment, when marked changes in DIC and pH in all culture treatments were observed (Figure 2), which in most cases was likely due to the growth of diatoms and an associated net removal of DIC, despite the constant addition of pCO_2. In order to account for these non-steady state conditions of the carbonate system, the mean pH and pCO_2 of each treatment were calculated based on the number of cells grown per 24 hours along with the pH/pCO_2 measured in that 24 hours, thus adjusting for the observed exponential growth rate of *T. weissflogii* (Table 1).

The boron concentration of the culture media was not determined but is assumed to be the same as Labrador seawater (~4.5 ppm; Lee et al., 2010). The boron isotopic composition of the culture media was determined using standard approaches (Foster et al., 2010) to be 38.8 ± 0.19 ‰ (2 s.d.).

2.4 Preparing cultured diatoms for $\delta^{11}B$ and B/Si analysis

In order to examine reproducibility and accuracy of our boron measurements, an in-house diatom reference material was used to develop a method for measuring boron isotopes and boron concentration in biogenic silica. A British Antarctic Survey core catcher sample (TC460) from core TC460 in the Southern Ocean (-60.81534° N, -50.9851° E, water depth 2594 m) was used for this purpose (supplied by C.-D. Hildebrand [British Antarctic Survey]). Although the diatom assemblage was not characterised in the core catcher, the nearest sediment sample in the core is dominated by

Hyalochaete Chaetoceros resting spores, representing circa 70% of the total diatom content, with sea ice and cool open water species making up the bulk of the remaining 30% (*e.g*. *Actinocyclus actinochilus, Fragilariopsis curta, F. cylindrus, F. obliquecostata, Odontella weissflogii, Thalassiosira antarctica*). A pure diatom sample of mixed species was separated from this bulk sediment and cleaned of clay contamination at the University of Nottingham following an established diatom separation technique (Swann et al., 2013). Briefly, the bulk sample underwent organic removal and carbonate dissolution (using 30% H_2O_2 and 5% HCl), heavy liquid separation in several steps at different specific gravities using sodium polytungstate (SPT)~~,~~ and visual monitoring throughout the process to ensure the sample was free from non-diatom material, such as clay particulates. After the final SPT separation, samples were rinsed thoroughly with MilliQ and sieved at 10 µm to remove all SPT traces.

The culture samples and the diatom fraction from TC460 were first acidified (H_2SO_4)~~,~~ and organics were oxidised using potassium permanganate and oxalic acid (following Horn et al., 2011 and Mejía et al., 2013). The samples were rinsed thoroughly using MilliQ water via centrifugation and transferred to acid-cleaned Teflon beakers. A secondary oxidation was completed under heat using perchloric acid. Finally, the organic-free samples were rinsed thoroughly with MilliQ via filtration.

In the boron-free HEPA filtered clean laboratory at the University of Southampton, each sample was dissolved completely in a gravimetrically known amount of NaOH (0.5 M from 10 M concentrated stock supplied by Fluka) at 140°C for 6 to 12 h~~,~~ and briefly centrifuged prior to boron separation to ensure no insoluble particles were loaded onto the boron column. Anion exchange columns containing Amberlite IRA 743 resin were then used to separate the matrix from the boron fraction of each sample following Foster (2008). Briefly, the dissolved opal was loaded directly onto the column without buffering and the matrix removed with 9 x 200 µL washes of MilliQ. This was collected for subsequent analysis and the pure boron fraction was then eluted and collected in 550 µL of 0.5 M HNO_3 acid. The level of potential contamination was frequently monitored using total procedural blanks (TPB) measured in every batch of columns. The TPB comprised an equivalent volume of sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 0.5 M) as used in the samples of each batch (ca. 0.2 - 4 mL). This was analysed following the sample analysis protocols detailed below, ~~and~~ typically the TPBs for this work contained less than 40 pg of boron. This equates to a typical blank contribution of ca. 0.015%, which results in a negligible correction and is therefore ignored here.

Prior to isotope analysis, all boron fractions were collected in pre-weighed acid cleaned Teflon beakers and their mass was recorded using a Precisa balance. A 10 µL aliquot was taken and diluted with 490 µL 0.5 M HNO_3 in acid cleaned plastic centrifuge tubes (2 mL). This was then analysed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Element 2XR ICP-MS at the University of Southampton, with boron concentration determined using standard approaches and a gravimetric standard containing boron, silicon, sodium, and aluminium. In order to determine the B/Si ratio, and hence the B concentration of the opal, the Si concentration must also be quantitatively measured. This is achieved here by using a known concentration and mass of NaOH to dissolve each sample, and by measuring the Si/Na ratio the Si concentration of each opal sample can be determined. From this, assuming a chemical formula of $SiO_2.H_2O$ and a H_2O content of 8% (Hendry and Anderson, 2013), the B content of the opal in ppm can be estimated. As detailed above, during the purification procedure, sample matrix was washed off the column using MilliQ, and collected in pre-weighed acid cleaned Teflon beakers. These samples were then diluted with 3 % HNO_3 enriched with Be, In and Re for the internal standardisation and measured on the Thermo Scientific X-series ICP-MS. The standards run on the X-Series consisted of varied concentrations of the gravimetric standard also used on the Element, containing B, Si, Na and Al.

The boron isotopic composition of the biogenic silica samples was determined on a Thermo Scientific Neptune MC-ICP-MS, also situated in a boron-free HEPA filtered laboratory at the University of Southampton, following Foster (2008). Instrument induced fractionation of the $^{11}B/^{10}B$ ratio was corrected using a sample-standard bracketing routine with NIST SRM 951, following Foster (2008). This allows a direct determination of $\delta^{11}B$ without recourse to an absolute value for NIST SRM 951 (Foster, 2008) using the following equation, where $^{11}B/^{10}B_{standard}$ is the mean $^{11}B/^{10}B$ ratio of the standards bracketing the sample of interest.

$$\delta^{11}B = \left[\left(\frac{^{11}B/^{10}B_{sample}}{^{11}B/^{10}B_{standard}}\right) - 1\right] \times 1000 \quad (2)$$

The reported $\delta^{11}B$ is an average of the two analyses, with each representing a fully independent measurement (*i.e.* the two measurements did not share blanks or bracketing standards). Machine stability and accuracy was monitored throughout the analytical session using repeats of NIST SRM 951, as well as boric acid reference materials AE120, AE121 and AE122 that gave $\delta^{11}B$ (± 2 s.d.) of -20.19 ± 0.20 ‰, 19.60 ± 0.28 ‰, and 39.31 ± 0.28 ‰, that are within error of the gravimetric values from Vogl and Rosner (2012).

The ~~reproducibility~~ reproducibilities of the $\delta^{11}B$ and [B] measurements were assessed by repeat measurements of TC460 of different total B concentration (11 to 34 ng of B). In order to assess the accuracy of this method, we follow Tipper et al. (2008) and Ni et al. (2010) and use standard addition. To this end, known amounts of NIST SRM 951 standard were mixed with known quantities of TC460. All mixtures were passed through the entire separation and analytical procedure, including aliquots of pure standard and sample. A sodium acetate - acetic acid buffer was added to all 951 boric acid used prior to mixing, to ensure the pH was sufficiently elevated for the column separation procedure (following Foster, 2008). The amount of biogenic silica matrix added to the columns for each mixture was kept constant, so the volume added to the column was altered for each mixture accordingly. Uncertainty in the $\delta^{11}B$ calculated for each mixture was determined using a Monte Carlo procedure (n = 1000) in R (R Core Team, 2019) propagating uncertainties, at 95% confidence, in known isotopes ratios (± 0.2 ‰), sample concentration (± 6 %), and measured masses (± 0.5 %).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Analytical Technique

3.1.1. Purification

The Na, Si, and Al concentrations of the matrix fraction of several replicates of the diatom fraction of TC460 are shown in Figure 3a-d. Prior to purification, Na and Si concentrations were consistently around 265 and 114 ppm respectively, whereas Al was more variable at 5-25 ppb. The boron content of these matrix samples in all cases was at blank level. The concentration of these elements in the boron fraction is shown in Figure 3e-g, highlighting that the column procedure ~~is~~ was sufficient to concentrate boron and remove Na and Si, which are both present at sub-5 ppb level (*i.e.* at less than 0.002 % of matrix concentration). The Al is likely present in the diatom frustule (*e.g.* Koning et al., 2007) and is elevated in the boron fraction compared to the matrix fraction (Figure 3). Diatom-bound Al is likely present as the anion $Al(OH)_4^-$, hence its elevation in the boron fraction. Although this is a detectable level of Al, it is unlikely that this level of contamination will influence the mass fractionation of these samples when measured by MC-ICP-MS (Foster, 2008; Guerrot et al., 2010).

3.1.2. Accuracy and Reproducibility

Throughout the duration of this study, a single dissolution of the diatom fraction of TC460 was measured 18 times in separate analyses at various concentrations, in order to assess external reproducibility of this method. Carbonates generally have a reproducibility of ± 0.20 ‰ (2σ) at an analyte concentration of 50 ppb boron using the MC-ICP-MS methods at the University of Southampton (*e.g.* Chalk et al., 2017). The repeated measurements of TC460 gave a reproducibility of

± 0.28 ‰ (2σ) over 18 samples, ranging from 19 ppb to 61 ppb (11 to 34 ng) boron (Figure 4). The ~~similar~~ insensitivity of $\delta^{11}B$ ~~regardless of~~to the boron concentration analysed confirms that blank contamination during purification is not significant. Figure 4 shows that there is also no correlation between Al content of the boron fraction and measured $\delta^{11}B$, confirming that Al contamination does not influence mass fractionation.

Figure 4 shows the results of the standard addition experiment, and when the uncertainty in the $\delta^{11}B$ of the mixture is considered, it is clear that nearly all the mixtures lie within error of the 1:1 line, indicating that there is a lack of a significant matrix effect when analysing the $\delta^{11}B$ of biogenic silica as described herein. A least-squares linear regression of the mixtures has a slope of 1.01 ± 0.07 and an intercept of -0.15 ± 0.29 ‰, implying the approach is accurate to ± 0.29 ‰, which is remarkably similar to the stated reproducibility of TC460 (± 0.28 ‰ at 2σ).

B and Si content were determined separately and combined post-analysis in order to estimate the B/Si ratio for each sample and hence the B concentration. The reproducibility of this method was tested using six repeats of the diatom fraction of TC460. The mean of all six measurements is 2.99 ± 0.64 ppm; (2σ; Figure 4), implying this multi-stage method of determining the B content of diatoms is precise to ± 20 % at 95% confidence.

3.2. Diatom Cultures

3.2.1. Boron content of the frustule of *T. weissflogii*

The boron content of *T. weissflogii* increases as a function of pH from around ~1 ppm to ~4 ppm over a range of average culture pH from 7.5 to 8.6 (Figure 5; Table 2). While this is lower by an order of magnitude than the limited previous studies of boron in sedimentary diatoms (Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993), it is similar to boron concentration in the bulk diatom fraction of TC460 (Figure 4D~~5~~) and to that observed in previous culturing studies of this diatom species (Figure 5; Meija et al., 2013). In detail, however, our concentrations are around 2-3 times lower than Meija et al. (2013), perhaps due to: (i) the different analytical methods used (laser ablation ICP-MS vs. solution here); (ii) ~~Figure A1)~~ differences in cleaning methods; and/or (iii) differences in culturing methodology. ~~-~~Despite the scatter between our treatments (also seen in Meija et al., 2013; Figure 5; ~~Figure A1~~), a least squares regression through the treatments is significant at the 95% confidence level ($y = 2.15x - 15.56$, $R^2 = 0.46$, $p = 0.015$; Figure 5). The cause of this scatter between treatments is not known but a likely contributor is the relatively high variability in the carbonate system which was observed in each treatment due to the growth of the diatoms in this batch culture setup (Figure 2).

Formatted: Highlight

Boron is an essential nutrient for diatoms (Lewin, 1966) and it is likely that boric acid passively diffuses across the cell wall to ensure the diatom cell has sufficient boron to meet its biological needs. However, if boric acid were the sole source of boron for the diatoms measured here we might expect a decrease in boron content as pH increases and external dissolved boric acid concentration declines (Figure 6).

Several studies note that a number of higher plants have mechanisms for also actively taking up boron, leading to large variations in internal boron concentrations (Pfeffer et al., 2001; Dordas and Brown, 2000; Brown et al., 2002). Indeed, on the basis of a similar dataset to that collected here, Meija et al. (2013) suggested that borate is likely transported across the cell wall of *T. weissflogii* as some function of external borate concentration, which shows a positive relationship with external pH (Figure 6). This hypothesis is developed and discussed further in the next section.

3.2.2. Frustule $\delta^{11}B$ of *T. weissflogii*

The $\delta^{11}B$ of *T. weissflogii* are isotopically light compared to seawater (39.6 ‰; Foster et al., 2010), with an average value across all treatments of -3.95 ‰ (Table 2). Despite the scatter between treatments, similar to the [B] data, Figure 5~~5~~ shows that there is a clear relationship between the $\delta^{11}B$ of the diatom frustule and pH (R^2 = 0.46~~3~~, p <0.01), albeit with a negative and relatively shallow slope (y = -2.61~~37~~x + 17.125~~.34~~).

These results confirm that biogenic silica, free from clay contamination, has a very light boron isotopic composition (Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993). However, the observed relationship between $\delta^{11}B$ in *T. weissflogii* and pH is radically different to that which is observed in carbonates (Figure ~~5~~5), implying a distinctive incorporation mechanism for boron into diatom opal. Much work has been carried out in recent years to show that boron is incorporated in carbonates predominantly as the borate ion with minor, if any, isotopic fractionation (*e.g.* see Branson, 2018 for a review). It is similarly thought that the borate ion is incorporated into opal~~,~~ in an analogous fashion to its incorporation into clays (Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993; Kolodny and Chaussidon, 2004). However, such a mechanism in isolation would only be able to generate $\delta^{11}B$ in opal of ~13 ‰ (at the lowest pH). Given the preponderance of isotopically light diatoms, radiolaria and chert $\delta^{11}B$ in the literature (including this study; Kolodony and Chaussidon, 2004; Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993), it is therefore likely that there is an additional light isotopic fractionation of boron on its incorporation into opal, although its absolute magnitude is currently unknown (Kolodony and Chaussidon, 2004).

To make their frustules out of biogenic silica, aqueous $Si(OH)_4$ is taken up by the diatom cell via active transport by silicon transporter proteins (Amo and Brzezinski, 1999). Once $Si(OH)_4$ has entered the cell, it accumulates in vacuoles that tend to have a high pH in order to prevent polycondensation of $Si(OH)_4$ at its higher concentration in the vacuole (Vrieling et al., 1999). The accumulated $Si(OH)_4$ is then transported to the silicon deposition vesicle (SDV), which is an acidic compartment where the formation of biogenic silica and the construction of the frustule occurs. Without knowledge of the isotopic fractionation of boron on incorporation into biogenic silica, the interpretation of our new $\delta^{11}B$ data is ~~therefore~~ challenging. This difficulty is further increased given that the fluid in the ~~silica deposition vesicle (~~SDV~~) in diatoms~~ is unlikely to have the ~~boron isotopic composition of~~same $\delta^{11}B$ as external seawater~~,~~ and ~~is likely at a~~its relatively acidic pH (~5.5; Meija et al., 2013; Vrieling et al., 1999) is likely to promote polymerisation of $Si(OH)_4$. Nonetheless, the broad similarity between the $\delta^{11}B$ of our cultured *T. weissflogii* with the bulk diatom fraction measured here from sample TC460~~,~~ and the bulk diatom fraction and radiolarian skeleton measured by Ishikawa and Nakamura (1993), suggests that a large part of the light isotopic composition of biogenic silica is driven by the isotopic fractionation on incorporation rather than "vital effects" relating to the $\delta^{11}B$ and pH of the SDV in the different species and organisms. That being said, the >3‰ range between different pH treatments in *T. weissflogii* and the >10 ‰ difference between our *Chaetoceros* dominated bulk diatom fraction from TC460 and the cultured *T. weissflogii,* as well as the negative relationship between pH and diatom $\delta^{11}B$ (Figure 5), argue~~s~~ against a simple two-step model involving ~~the incorporation of seawater~~ borate ion incorporation from seawater with ~~and~~ a fixed isotopic fractionation ~~on incorporation~~.

The $\delta^{11}B$ of the fluid from which our *T. weissflogii* precipitated their frustules can be calculated if we assume the pH in the SDV of our *T. weissflogii* is 5.5 across all our treatments (Mejia et al., 2013). Given that at this pH the $\delta^{11}B$ of borate is ~13 ‰, ~~This suggests that~~ the isotopic composition of this fluid is lighter than seawater, even if we assume an arbitrary ~~-~~10 ‰ isotopic fractionation on incorporation (blue circles in Figure 7a). Furthermore, the $\delta^{11}B$ of the SDV fluid is inversely correlated with the $\delta^{11}B$ of either dissolved borate or dissolved boric acid (Figure 7a).

As discussed above and illustrated schematically in Figure 8, Mejia et al. (2013) suggested that there are two sources of boron in a diatom cell: (i) passively diffused and isotopically heavy boric acid; and (ii) actively ~~incorporated~~ transported isotopically light borate ion (see Figure 8~~6~~). Assuming that: (a) no additional fractionation occurs during uptake and diffusion; and (b) only the borate ion is incorporated into the frustule, we can calculate the relative contribution of these

Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Not Highlight
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Not Highlight

two sources of boron as a function of external pH (Figure 7b). This treatment shows that the relative concentration of borate derived boron in the SDV fluid increases as external pH increases, though the absolute values here are a function of the magnitude of the isotopic fractionation on incorporation, ~~and~~ so we only have confidence in the trends shown in Figure 7b. Nonetheless, given that the dissolved boric acid concentration decreases and dissolved borate increases as pH is increased (Figure 6), this is perhaps not surprising.

While ~~T~~this finding is ~~also~~ entirely compatible with the trend of increasing boron content of *T. weissflogii* observed as pH increases (Figure 5), an added complication is that at pH ~5.5 the concentration of borate ion in the SDV is likely to be relatively low (Figure 6). ~~T~~However, the timescales required ~~however~~ to reach equilibrium in the boron system are short (e.g. around 95 μs; Zeebe et al., 2001), meaning that ~~once~~ any aqueous borate ~~had been~~ incorporated into the frustule ~~there~~ would be ~~a continuous supply replenishing concentrations~~immediately replenished to its equilibrium value~~s from the ready~~ by conversion ~~of~~from the more ~~dominant~~abundant boric acid. Although relevant partition coefficients are likely to be different, a similar process ensures the quantitative removal of boron from pH <7 solutions by the Amberlite 743 anion exchange resin used for boron purification prior to analysis by MC-ICPMS (see above; Lemarchand et al., 2002).

Active bicarbonate ion uptake accounts for a substantial amount of the carbon fixed by phytoplankton (e.g. [1]Tortell et al., 2006)~~.~~. ~~a~~As a result, Mejía et al. (2013) proposed that the enrichment of borate ion into the SDV of *T. weissflogii* and *T. pseudonana* was the result of the active co-transport of borate ion with bicarbonate ion by bicarbonate transporter proteins. Borate is transported because of its similar charge and size to HCO_3^- and the phylogenetic similarity between bicarbonate and borate transporters (Mejía et al., 2013). In ~~this~~ our model, as external borate ion concentration increases, the borate leak into the diatom cell is also increased. An additional factor is ~~the~~ HCO_3^- transport, which may be proportionally up-regulated as external CO_2 content decreases (~~as~~ and external pH increases) in order to provide the diatom cell with sufficient carbon (Mejía et al., 2013). This may therefore offer a~~n additional factor~~way of driving an elevation of the borate content of the SDV as pH increases (Mejía et al., 2013). Regardless of the exact mechanism, an SDV fluid ~~that displays a boron isotopic composition as an inverse function of~~with an inverse relationship between $\delta^{11}B$ and pH is required to explain the ~~observed~~ $\delta^{11}B$ ~~composition~~ of the ~~frustule of~~ *T. weissflogii* frustule measured here. A simple model whereby external borate ion is an increasingly important contributor to the boron in the SDV as pH increases is able to explain the observed dependency of boron content and $\delta^{11}B$ on pH.

However, a more complete model of the boron systematics in diatom opal requires a better understanding of ~~the~~ isotopic fractionation~~s~~ on incorporation of boron into biogenic silica, the environmental controls on this fractionation~~,~~ and the nature of the partitioning of ~~the~~ boron within the diatom cell and into biogenic silica.

3.2.3. Boron~~-~~based pH proxies in diatom opal

The δ^{11}B-pH and B-pH relationships derived here for *T. weissflogii* potentially offer two independent means to reconstruct the past pH of seawater, particularly in those regions key for CO_2 and heat exchange where foraminifera are largely absent (e.g. at ~~the~~ high ~~Southern and Northern~~ latitudes). However, the current calibrations (Figure 5) are relatively uncertain~~, and this~~which may preclude their application to some situations. For instance, recasting the δ^{11}B-pH relationship in terms of δ^{11}B as the dependent variable and using a regression method that accounts for uncertainty in X and Y variables (SIMEX; Carroll et al., 1996) gives the calculated residual pH of the regression as ± 0.28 pH units. For the [B] vs. pH relationship, this uncertainty is ± 0.36 pH units. At ~~TA or DIC typically found in the~~typical surface ocean conditions, such a variability in pH would translate to ~~estimated~~ seawater pCO_2 variability of up to ca. ± 250 ppm. Although encouraging, this treatment suggests that additional work is needed before the relationship between δ^{11}B and boron content of diatom opal and seawater pH is a sufficiently precise proxy for a fully quantitative past ocean pH. In particular, future culturing efforts should aim to more carefully control the pH of the culture media. This could be achieved by either using larger volume dilute batch cultures, ~~and/or~~ by harvesting the diatoms earlier in the experiment prior to any significant drift in the carbonate system, and/or ~~more robustly through~~by using a more robust steady~~-~~state chemostat method (e.g. Leonardos and Geider, 2005).

Formatted: Font: Italic

4. Conclusions

In the first study of its kind, ~~using~~ we use a modified version of the carbonate boron purification technique of Foster (2008)~~, we~~ to show that the δ^{11}B of *T. weissflogii* opal is pH sensitive but isotopically light (-3.95 ‰ on average)~~,~~ and has an inverse relationship with external seawater pH. Using a novel ICP-MS method we also show that the boron content of *T. weissflogii* opal ~~increased~~ increases with increasing pH, supporting the only other study investigating boron in diatoms (Mejía et al., 2013). This suggests that more borate is incorporated into the diatom frustule as the dissolved borate abundance increases with external pH. A simple model is presented, based on Mejía et al. (2013), which implies both of these findings could be due to there being two distinct sources of the boron in the SDV ~~having two distinct sources~~: external boric acid and external borate ion, with the balance of each source changing with external pH. While these results are encouraging~~, and~~

~~suggest~~suggesting that the boron proxies in diatom opal may hold considerable promise as a tracer of past ocean pH, more work is needed to fully understand the boron systematics of diatom opal. In particular, there is an urgent need to place boron in opal on a firmer grounding with precipitation experiments in the laboratory at controlled pH to determine the magnitude of boron isotopic fractionation on boron incorporation into opal as well as ~~, and subsequently~~ the dependence of this fractionation on other environmental factors.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Claus-Dieter Hildebrand for suppling the diatom-~~-~~rich sediment sample TC460. John Gittins, Mark Stinchcombe, Chris Daniels and Lucie ~~Munns~~ Daniels are acknowledged for their help during the culturing and subsequent nutrient and carbonate system analysis. ~~-~~Heather Stoll is also thanked for her useful discussions on this topic. Financial support for this study was provided by the Natural Environmental Research Council (UK) to H.K.D. (grant number 1362080) and to G.L.F. (NE/J021075/1).

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Diatom growth rate and cell size as a function of pH labelled according to CO_2 treatment. Linear least squares regressions, including R^2 and p-values are also shown.

Figure 2: Each culture treatment labelled according to target pCO_2 and showing the evolution in the culture media through the experiment. All treatments exhibit changes in DIC due to diatom growth balanced with the input of ~~p~~CO_2. The higher pCO_2, the more DIC increases towards the end of the experiment.

Figure 3: (a-d) Concentration of Na, Si, Al and B in the Matrix Fraction by ICP-MS. These analyses suggest blank levels of B are present in the matrix washed off the Amberlite IRA 743 resin-based column. (e-f) Concentration of the Na, Si and Al in the boron fraction indicating blank levels of Na (ca. 1.7 ppb) and Si (ca. 1.9 ppb)~~,~~ and a higher concentration of Al (ca. 68 ppb) are present.

Figure 4: (A) The reproducibility of the TC460 diatom core catcher in-house standard. ~~This shows all s~~Samples of different concentration (~10 to ~30 ng B) lie within error of the mean (5.98 ‰ ± 0.28 ‰, 2σ)~~ at varied concentrations~~. This compares well to carbonates (2σ = 0.20 ‰). (B) Aluminium concentration of the B fraction from TC460 (as ppb of the solution analysed for $\delta^{11}B$) shows no correlation with $\delta^{11}B$, likely suggesting there is no significant effect on mass fractionation for this level of Al. (C) The results of the standard addition experiment. The blue line is a least squares regression between the measured $\delta^{11}B$ of each mixture (green circles) and the calculated $\delta^{11}B$ of that mixture given known end-member values (end members shown as blue circles). $R^2 = 0.97$, $p < 0.0001$, slope = 1.01 ± 0.07 and intercept = -0.15 ± 0.29. 1:1 line is shown as a black line and dotted blue lines show the 95% confidence limit of the regression. Note that the end members were not used in the regression. (D) B content in ppm of six repeat samples of the diatom fraction of TC460. The black line indicates the mean value~~,~~ and the grey lines show 2σ, of 2.99 ± 0.64 ppm.

Figure 5: ~~(A)~~ (-A) $\delta^{11}B$ of *T. weissflogii* diatom opal plotted against aqueous borate, labelled according to pCO_2 treatment. Also shown are published deep sea coral *Desmophyllum dianthus* (Anagnostou et al., 2012) and foraminifera $\delta^{11}B$ (*Globigerinoides ruber* and *Orbulina universa*; Henehan et al., 2013; Henehan et al., 2016, respectively). Least squares regression lines are also shown. Error bars on $\delta^{11}B$ borate are shown at 95% level of confidence and relate to the drift in experimental conditions. (B) ~~(B) Boron content of cultured *T. weissflogii* diatom opal as a function of pH labelled according to pCO_2. A least squares regression with 95% confidence interval is also shown. (C)~~ *T. weissflogii* opal $\delta^{11}B$ against pH of each treatment demonstrating a statistically significant negative relationship. Diatom data is labelled according to pCO_2 treatment. (C) Boron content of cultured *T. weissflogii* diatom opal as a function of pH (using left-axis), labelled according to pCO_2. A least squares regression with 95% confidence interval is also shown. In grey (and using the right-hand

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Subscript

axis) are data for *T. weissflogii* from Mejia et al. (2013). Note how both studies show an increase in boron content with increasing pH, but absolute values differ by a factor of 2-3. Uncertainty in all points is shown at the 95% confidence level. In some cases, the error bars are smaller than the symbols.

Figure 6: Plots describing (A) the pH-dependent relationship between the abundance of aqueous boron species, and (B) the isotopic fractionation observed between boric acid ($B(OH)_3$; red) and borate ($B(OH)_4^-$; blue) at T = 25 °C and S = 35.

Figure 7: (A) Back-calculated $\delta^{11}B$ of the silica deposition vesicle (SDV), and (B) the fraction of boron in the SDV that is derived from external borate. In (A) the diatom $\delta^{11}B$ data are shown as grey circles and the calculated $\delta^{11}B$ of the SDV as blue circles. Included in this model is an arbitrary -10 ‰ fractionation between the $\delta^{11}B$ of the SDV and the opal precipitated. The fraction of borate in the SDV in (B) is a function of this assumption so these absolute values should be taken as illustrative only.

Figure 8. Schematic of the model described herein for boron uptake by *T. weissflogii*. The speciation behaviour and isotopic composition of boron is also shown in the insert, with the aqueous species colour coded (red = boric acid, blue = borate ion). Seawater boric acid diffuses into the diatom cell and the borate ion is actively transported, with HCO_3^-. While it remains unclear how boron enters the silica deposition vesicle, once inside it respeciates into borate ion and boric acid, with the borate ion being incorporated into the frustule. The isotopic composition of internal boron is a function of external pH, which sets the isotopic composition of the incoming species, and the balance between active borate ion transport and passive boric acid diffusion. The compartments are colour coded according to approximate pH (scale on the right).

~~**Figure 6**: Plots describing (A) the pH-dependent relationship between the abundance of aqueous boron species, and (B) the isotopic fractionation observed between boric acid ($B(OH)_3$; red) and borate ($B(OH)_4^-$; blue) at T = 25 °C and S = 35.~~

~~**Figure 7**: (A) Back-calculated $\delta^{11}B$ of the silica deposition vesicle (SDV), and (B) the fraction of boron in the SDV that is derived from external borate. In (A) the diatom $\delta^{11}B$ data are shown as grey circles and the calculated $\delta^{11}B$ of the SDV as blue circles. Included in this model is an arbitrary -10 ‰ fractionation between the $\delta^{11}B$ of the SDV and the opal precipitated. The fraction of borate in the SDV in (B) is a function of this assumption so these absolute values should be taken as illustrative only.~~

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm
Formatted: Font: Italic
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Superscript

Tables

Treatment	pCO_2 (ppm)	2σ	pH	2σ	DIC (μM)	2σ	HCO_3^- (μM)	2σ	Growth rate (d^{-1})
200	125	8	8.53	0.73	1925	61	1091	59	1.03
280	244	73	8.25	0.41	2165	113	1521	260	1.03
400	267	28	8.25	0.44	2400	115	1728	107	0.96
800	809	62	7.83	0.24	2525	56	2206	69	1.01
1600	2117	40	7.48	0.08	2791	21	2628	22	1.01

Table 1: Mean carbonate system parameters experienced under the average growth conditions as calculated for each culture treatment on the basis of the number of cells grown in each 24-hour period of the batch experiment.

Treatment	pH (Total scale)	pH 2σ	$\delta^{11}B$	$\delta^{11}B$ 2σ	$\delta^{11}B$ sw borate	[B] ppm
200	8.55	0.63	-5.51	0.21	24.20	3.15
200	8.54	0.62	-5.40	0.21	24.00	2.81
280	8.27	0.35	-5.05	0.20	20.00	3.72
280	8.18	0.25	-5.66	0.21	18.80	0.93
280	8.30	0.42	-5.79	0.21	20.50	1.04
400	8.26	0.38	-3.64	0.20	19.90	3.37
400	8.24	0.36	-3.57	0.21	19.60	1.26
400	8.25	0.36	-2.41	0.21	19.70	2.68
800	7.85	0.22	-2.93	0.19	15.40	NA
800	7.82	0.18	-2.80	0.22	15.20	0.78
800	7.82	0.20	-3.08	0.21	15.20	1.11
1600	7.48	0.06	-1.94	0.20	13.30	0.74
1600	7.48	0.07	-3.62	0.21	13.30	0.91

Table 2. Treatment name and pH with $\delta^{11}B$ and [B] for cultured T. weissflogii.

References

Amo, Y. D., and Brzezinski, M. A.: THE CHEMICAL FORM OF DISSOLVED SI TAKEN UP BY MARINE DIATOMS, Journal of Phycology, 35, 1162-1170, 10.1046/j.1529-8817.1999.3561162.x, 1999.

Anagnostou, E., Huang, K.-F., You, C.-F., Sikes, E. L., and Sherrell, R. M.: Evaluation of boron isotope ratio as a pH proxy in teh deep sea coral Desmophyllum dianthus: Evidence of physiological pH adjustment, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 349-350, 251-260, 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.07.006, 2012.

Bradshaw, A. L., Brewer, P. G., Schafer, D. K., and Williams, R. T.: Measurements of total carbon dioxide and alkalinity by potentiometric titration in the GEOSECS program, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 55, 99-115, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(81)90090-X, 1981.

Branson, O.: Boron Incorporation into Marine CaCO3, in: Boron Isotopes: The Fifth Element, edited by: Marschall, H., and Foster, G., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 71-105, 2018.

Brown, P. H., Bellaloui, N., Wimmer, M. A., Bassil, E. S., Ruiz, J., Hu, H., Pfeffer, H., Dannel, F., and Romheld, V.: Boron in plant biology, Plant Biology, 4, 205-223, 2002.

Carroll, R. L., Kuchenhoff, H., Lombard, F., and Stefanski, L. A.: Asymptotics for the SIMEX Estimator in Nonlinear Measurement Error Models, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 91, 242-250, 1996.

Chalk, T. B., Hain, M. P., Foster, G. L., Rohling, E. J., Sexton, P. F., Badger, M. P. S., Cherry, S. G., Hasenfratz, A. P., Haug, G. H., Jaccard, S. L., Martínez-García, A., Pälike, H., Pancost, R. D., and Wilson, P. A.: Causes of ice age intensification across the Mid-Pleistocene Transition, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 10.1073/pnas.1702143114, 2017.

Dickson, A. G.: Thermodynamics of the dissociation of boric acid in synthetic seawater from 273.15 to 318.15 K, Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers, 37, 755-766, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(90)90004-F, 1990.

Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L. and Christian, J. R., Eds.: SOP 1: Water sampling for the parameters of the oceanic carbon dioxide system, in Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO_2 Measurements, PICES Special Publication 3, Chapter 4, North Pacific Marine Science Organization, Sidney, BC, Canada, 2007.

Dordas, C., and Brown, P. H.: Permeability of boric acid across lipid bilayers and factors affecting it, J. Membr. Biol., 175, 95-105, 2000.

Foster, G. L.: Seawater pH, pCO2 and [CO32-] variations in the Caribbean Sea over the last 130 kyr: A boron isotope and B/Ca study of planktic foraminifera, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 271, 254-266, 2008.

570 Foster, G. L., Pogge von Strandmann, P. A. E., and Rae, J. W. B.: Boron and magnesium isotopic
571 composition of seawater, Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 11, Q08015,
572 doi:08010.01029/02010GC003201, 2010.

573 Gray, W. R., Rae, J. W. B., Wills, R. C. J., Shevenell, A. E., Taylor, B., Burke, A., Foster, G. L., and Lear, C.
574 H.: Deglacial upwelling, productivity and CO2 outgassing in the North Pacific Ocean, Nature
575 Geoscience, 11, 340-344, 10.1038/s41561-018-0108-6, 2018.

576 Guerrot, C., Milot, R., Robert, M., and Negrel, P.: Accurate and high-precision determination of boron
577 isotopic ratios at low concentration by MC-ICP-MS (Neptune), Geostandards and Geoanlaytical
578 Research, 35, 275-284, 2010.

579 Hasle, G. R., and Fryxell, G. A.: The genus Thalassiosira: some species with a linear areola array,
580 Proceedings of the Fourth Symposium on Recent and Fossil Marine Diatoms, Oslo, 1977, 15-66,

581 Hemming, N. G., and Hanson, G. N.: Boron isotopic composition and concentration in modern marine
582 carbonates, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 56, 537-543, 1992.

583 Hendry, K. R., and Andersen, M. B.: The zinc isotopic composition of siliceous marine sponges:
584 Investigating nature's sediment traps, Chem. Geol., 354, 33-41, 2013.

585 Henehan, M. J., Rae, J. W. B., Foster, G. L., Erez, J., Prentice, K. C., Kurcera, M., Bostock, H. C., Martinez-
586 Boti, M. A., Milton, J. A., Wilson, P. A., Marshall, B., and Elliott, T.: Calibration of the boron isotope
587 proxy in the planktonic foraminifera Globigerinoides ruber for use in palaeo-CO2 reconstruction, Earth
588 Planet. Sci. Lett., 364, 111-122, 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.12.029, 2013.

589 Henehan, M. J., Foster, G. L., Bostock, H. C., Greenop, R., Marshall, B., and Wilson, P. A.: A new boron
590 isotope-pH calibration for Orbulina universa, with implications for understanding and accounting for
591 vital effects, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 454, 282-292, 10.1016/j.epsl.2016.09.024, 2016.

592 Honisch, B., and Hemming, N. G.: Surface ocean pH response to variations in pCO2 through two full
593 glacial cycles, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 236, 305-314, 2005.

594 Horn, M. G., Robinson, R. S., Rynearson, T., and Sigman, D. M.: Nitrogen isotopic relationship between
595 diatom-bound and bulk organic matter of cultured polar diatoms, Paleoceanography, 26, 1-12, 2011.

596 Ishikawa, T., and Nakamura, E.: Boron isotope systematics of marine sediments, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.,
597 117, 567-580, 1993.

598 Keller, M. D., Selvin, R. C., Claus, W., and Guillard, R. R. L.: Media for the culture of oceanic
599 ultraplankton, Journal of Phycology, 23, 633-638, 1987.

Kolodny, Y., and Chaussidon, M.: Boron isotopes in DSDP cherts: Fractionation and diagenesis, The Geochemical Society Special Publications, 9, 1-14, 2004.

Koning, E., Gehlen, M., Flank, A.-M., Calas, G., and Epping, E.: Rapid post-mortem incorporation of aluminium in diatom frustules: evidence from chemical and strutural analyses, Mar. Chem., 106, 208-222, 10.1016/j.marchem.2006.06.009, 2007.

Lee, K., Kim, T.-W., Byrne, R. H., Millero, F. J., Feely, R. A., and Liu, Y.-M.: The universal ratio of boron to chlorinity for the North Pacific and North Atlantic oceans, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 74, 1801-1811, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.12.027, 2010.

Lemarchand, D., Gaillardet, J., Gopel, C., and Manhes, G.: An optimized procedure for boron separation and mass spectrometry analysis for river samples, Chem. Geol., 182, 323-334, 2002.

Leonardos, N., and Geider, R. J.: Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide increases organic carbon fixation by Emiliania huxleyi (haptophyta), under nutrient-limited high-light conditions, Journal of Phycology, 41, 1196-1203, 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2005.00152.x, 2005.

Lewin, J.: Boron as a growth requirement for diatoms, Journal of Phycology, 2, 160-163, 10.1111/j.1529-8817.1966.tb04616.x, 1966.

Lueker, T. J., Dickson, A. G., and Keeling, C. D.: Ocean pCO_2 calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K1 and K2: validation based on laboratory measurements of CO_2 gas and seawater at equilibrium Mar. Chem., 70, 105-119, doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00022-0, 2000.

Martin, J.: Glacial-interglacial CO2 change: The iron hypothesis, Paleoceanography, 5, 1-13, 1990.

Martinez-Boti, M. A., Marino, G., Foster, G. L., Ziveri, P., Henehan, M. J., Rae, J. W. B., Mortyn, P. G., and Vance, D.: Boron isotope evidence for oceanic carbon dioxide leakage during the last deglaciation, Nature, 518, 219-222, 10.1038/nature14155, 2015.

Mejia, L. M., Isensee, K., Menendez-Vicente, A., Pisonero, J., Shimizu, N., Gonzalez, C., Monteleone, B. D., and Stoll, H.: B content and Si/C ratios from cultured diatoms (Thalassiosira pseudonana and Thalassiosira weissflogii): Relationship to seawater pH and diatom carbon acquisition, Geochmica et Cosmochimca Acta, 123, 322-337, 10.1016/j.gca.2013.06.011, 2013.

Ni, Y., Foster, G. L., and Elliott, T.: The accuraccy of d11B measurements of foraminifers, Chem. Geol., 274, 187-195, 2010.

Pearson, P. N., and Palmer, M. R.: Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations over the past 60 million years, Nature, 406, 695 - 699, 2000.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Pfeffer, H., Daniel, F., and Romheld, V.: Boron compartmentation in roots of sunflower plants of different boron status: A study using the stable isotopes 10B and 11B adopting two independent approaches Physiol. Plant., 113, 346-351, 2001.

Rae, J. W. B., Foster, G. L., Schmidt, D. N., and Elliott, T.: Boron isotopes and B/Ca in benthic foraminifera: proxies for the deep ocean carbonate system, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 302, 403-413, 2011..

R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Sigman, D. M., and Boyle, E. A.: Glacial/Interglacial variations in atmospheric carbon dioxide, Nature, 407, 859-869, 2000.

Sigman, D. M., Hain, M. P., and Haug, G. H.: The polar ocean and glacial cycles in atmospheric CO2 concentration, Nature, 466, 47-55, doi:10.1038/nature09149, 2010.

Sosdian, S. M., Greenop, R., Hain, M. P., Foster, G. L., Pearson, P. N., and Lear, C. H.: Constraining the evolution of Neogene ocean carbonate chemistry using the boron isotope pH proxy, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 248, 362-376, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.06.017, 2018.

Swann, G. E. A., Pike, J., Snelling, A. M., Leng, M. J., and Williams, M. C.: Seasonally resolved diatom $\delta^{18}O$ records from the West Antarctic Peninsula over the last deglaciation, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 364, 12-23, 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.12.016, 2013.

Tipper, E. T., Galy, A., and Bickle, M.: Calcium and magnesium isotope systematics in rivers draining the Himalaya-Tibetan-Plateau region: Lithological or fractionation control?, Geochmica et Cosmochimca Acta, 72, 1057-1075, 2008.

Tortell, P. D., Martin, C. L., and Corkum, M. E.: Inorganic carbon uptake and intracellular assimilation by subarctic Pacific phytoplankton assemblages, Limnology and Oceanography, 51, 2102-2110, 10.4319/lo.2006.51.5.2102, 2006.

van Heuven, S., Pierrot, D., Rae, J. W. B., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MATLAB Program Developed for CO_2 System Calculations, doi:10.3334/CDIAC/otg.CO2SYS_MATLAB_v1.1, 2011.

Vogl, J., and Rosner, M.: Production and certificaiton of a unique set of isotope and delta reference materials for boron isotope determination in geochemical, environmental and industrial materials, Geostandards and Geoanlaytical Research, 36, 161-175, 2012.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt
Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines
Formatted: Font: 11 pt
Formatted: Font: Symbol
Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Subscript

Vrieling, E. G., Gieskes, W. W. C., and Beelen, T. P. M.: Silicon deposition in diatoms: control by pH inside the silicon deposition vesicle, Journal of Phycology, 35, 548-559, 10.1046/j.1529-8817.1999.3530548.x, 1999.

Zeebe, R. E., Sanyal, A., Ortiz, J. D., and Wolf-Gladrow, D. A.: A theoretical study of the kinetics of the boric acid-borate equilibrium in seawater, Mar. Chem., 73, 113-124, 2001.

Formatted: EndNote Bibliography, Left, Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 1.27 cm, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single