

BGD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "High-frequency measurements of dissolved organic carbon quantity and quality in a headwater catchment" by Benedikt J. Werner et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 9 June 2019

The manuscript describes a \sim one year high frequency measurements of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations and quality in a river draining a headwater catchment in the Harz mountains, Germany. The authors measured DOC-concentrations and SUVA254 every 15 min by means of a UV-Vis probe and calculated the spectral between 275 and 295 nm as indicator of DOM quality. Validation and calibration of both parameters were performed through SAC254 and DOC measurements of grab samples. Discharge was calculated from a stage-discharge relationship based on data obtained from pressure transducer and manual discharge measurements. From their DOC measurements the authors concluded that changes in DOC concentrations and quality are mainly determined by antecedent hydroclimatic conditions. Due to this,

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



bilization patterns as well as molecular markers that can be used to trace DOC from

riparian source zones into the stream in order to fully understand DOC mobilization in the riparian zone. It think that is where other studies have ended before. The biogeochemical findings in this study are quite limited, so that the study has its emphasis on the statistical approach which is clearly necessary to extract a message from the large (high frequency) data set. However, as the authors base their predictors on 60 and 30 means, the meaning of the high-frequency DOC monitoring remains form e unclear. I think it would be interesting to use this data set to evaluate which frequency is at least necessary to capture the role of the predictors and the magnitude of DOC concentration/flux changes (38 discharge events!). Moreover, there are several factors in this data set which might be interesting to evaluate regarding the sensitivity of the model towards the predictors e.g. the magnitude of DOC-flux changes during discharge events, the role of catchment size, DOC-pools etc. but are not discussed.

This manuscript is in general suitable for publication in BGC. I also think that the quality of the data and the approach is good. However, I think before this manuscript can be accepted the authors should try to give their manuscript a clearer aim/hypothesis which goes beyond a gererally better understanding of what is already known. I suggest, that the authors extend their introduction by other studies (there are numerous) on this topic. From this they can probably better deviate what is already known and what the (new) aim of their study is (why needs the frequence be higher than in other studies?). Similar, they should extend their discussion with a comparison to data from other studies and the sensitivity and potential limitations of their predictors including the characteristics of the catchment and a discussion on high frequent high frequency should be.

References:

Broder, T., Biester, H. Hydrologic controls on DOC, As and Pb export from a polluted peatland - the importance of heavy rain events, antecedent moisture conditions and hydrological connectivity. Biogeosciences, 12, 4651-4664 (2015).

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Birkel, C., Broder, T., Biester, H. (2017). Nonlinear and threshold-dominated runoff generation controls DOC export in a small peat catchment. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 122, 498-513.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-188, 2019.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

