

## Author responses

We would like to thank the Associate Editor Dr Wajih Naqvi for their efforts in facilitating the review of this manuscript. We particularly thank both Referees for their constructive comments, which have greatly enhanced the manuscript. Specific responses to each Referee comment appears in non-underlined text below, with line numbers in parentheses referring to the revised manuscript.

## Response to Referee #2

### General comments

Jackson and co-authors have produced a welcome and comprehensive review of an exciting and growing topic that bridges the gap between coral reef ecology and DMS biogeochemistry. They skilfully bring together the current threats faced by the coral reefs with a detailed overview of the role of DMS and other reduced S compounds in coral physiology, whilst placing this in the context of the intricacies of the impacts on atmospheric processes and climate regulation.

To my knowledge, a similar review has not before been published. This topic has been growing in strength over the last 10 years or so, and as such a review of this type is timely and appropriate. The manuscript is written in an accessible and easy-to-read style, with few technical or editorial issues. This paper will be of great interest to the DMS community – both those with an interest in ocean biogeochemistry, as well as atmospheric chemists and modellers. It should also bring to prominence this topic amongst the coral scientific community, as until now the role of DMS/P in coral physiology, survival and its influence on the atmosphere/climate has perhaps not received the attention it deserves.

I can recommend this paper for publication provided the authors adequately address the issues which I have outlined below. In particular, I strongly recommend that the authors address my concerns with regards to sections 7 and 8 – both are currently somewhat weak and need a change in emphasis to make coral DMS production the focus of the discussion. Furthermore, both sections would benefit from being more forward-looking and include some specific recommendations for future research in the context of coral DMS production (please see further comments below).

Title: The title in its current form doesn't do a good job of describing the paper and should include some mention of DMS as this is really the main point of the paper (and more likely to get found in a Google Scholar search for 'DMS and corals!'). Perhaps something along the lines of the following: Marine dimethylsulfide (DMS) emissions and the ecophysiology of coral reefs. Dimethylsulfide (DMS) emissions from coral reefs in the face of natural and climate-induced stress.

Section 7 Coral reef monitoring: In its current form, the aim of section 7 is unclear and seems slightly weak. The authors give a reasonable overview of coral reef monitoring programs but there is little contextualisation in terms of DMS production. Some statements are unclear in their meaning e.g. L 20-22 from "Additionally, field surveys....". What is meant by "the substance"? And it is not clear what is true of DMS/P in coral reef waters. The authors should revisit this section and reword to make clearer. At the moment, it feels a little like they are struggling to illustrate the relevance to DMS etc. It's also unclear what "DHW of °C-weeks" means. The final sentence of this section (L14 – 16) hints at where the authors could focus this part of the paper i.e. by providing forward-looking recommendations of future research to improve our understanding of "the biogeochemical processes occurring in coral reefs and the ways we can effectively ensure their preservation". This is the emphasis from which they could begin this section to make it much more relevant to the review as a whole. Therefore, I recommend a re-think and re-write of this section, starting with the aim above, then drawing on the past and ongoing monitoring programs to develop recommendations for future research.

Section 8 Mitigation strategies: Similarly to section 7, the current emphasis does not seem quite relevant enough to the review as a whole. It simply serves to summarise the current literature on geoengineering etc. to protect coral reefs. Again, I believe a restructuring of this section is necessary. The authors end the section by mentioning DMS flux – I would recommend bringing this part of the discussion to the beginning of the section and then develop the more general discussion from the standpoint of DMS. Some specific, forward-looking research recommendations would also be welcomed, and this is necessary to turn what is currently a solid literature review into something more innovative.

We thank the referee for their valuable insight and comments on our manuscript. Important points have been raised, which have been addressed in the revised manuscript.

Title: The title has been changed to ‘Dimethylsulfide (DMS), marine biogenic aerosols and the ecophysiology of coral reefs’ to better describe the paper.

Section 7: We have included a substantial re-write of section 7 and have renamed this section ‘Future research’ to better reflect the aim of the discussion. This section now highlights gaps in the literature and presents several specific recommendations for future research which will progress the current understanding of the role of DMS in coral reefs and local atmospheric properties (page 17-19).

Section 8: The purpose of this section is to discuss potential ways to counteract the predicted decline in biogenic aerosol emissions with ongoing coral reef degradation and to mitigate the detrimental effects of further ocean warming. Section 8 has been re-written to focus on alternative ways to conserve coral reefs, such as the propagation of temperature tolerant coral species and climate engineering to artificially mimic marine biogenic aerosol emissions (page 19-21).

## **Specific comments**

Pg 4, L2: The authors refer to corals as being ‘amongst the largest sources of natural sulfur’ but this is incorrect. As they later explain (Pg 11) the total sea-air flux of DMS is 17.6 – 34.4 Tg S/y compared to only 0.02 – 0.08 Tg S/y from tropical coral reefs. A rewording of the sentence is required.

We have clarified that corals are ‘amongst the largest *individual* sources of natural sulfur’ (page 2, line 3 and page 4, line 2), when compared with individual micro- or macroalgae (page 4, line 21-23).

Pg 4, L24-28: The sentence beginning ‘Particulate DMSP...’ would benefit from some rewording. It is currently a long sentence describing multiple phenomena and reads confusingly. The reference to grazing seems out of context here. I suggest something along these lines: ‘DMSP in the form of intracellular, or particulate, DMSP (DMSPp) may be released to the surrounding reef waters via zooxanthellae expulsion at a rate of 0.2 – 0.4%

Symbiodinium cells day-1, in response to elevated irradiance or temperature (ref).  
Furthermore, DMS or DMSO may be released in coral mucous and Symbiodinium exudates’.

This section has been reworded to read more clearly (page 5, line 7-12).

Pg 6 L23-24: This sentence isn’t clear in its meaning. Please double check. Perhaps ‘...when ROS levels are..’ could be replaced with ‘...by reducing ROS levels to...’.

The sentence reading ‘...when ROS levels are...’ has been replaced with ‘...by reducing ROS levels to’ (page 7, line 7).

Pg 7, L9 – 11: This is the first mention of soft corals with no previous context, and currently only offers brief information. Either add more information here, or alternatively omit soft corals from this part of the discussion, because it doesn’t currently serve a great purpose.

More context on the importance of DMS emissions from soft corals has been provided (page 4, line 19-21 and page 7, line 28-31). Although the focus of the paper is Scleractinian corals, soft corals also contain large quantities of DMS and have been reported to increase in abundance in disturbed coral reef systems.

Pg 11, 11 – 19: The findings of Six et al. (2013) (Nature CC 3, 975) and Schwinger et al. (2017) (Biogeosciences 14, 3633) should be incorporated into this part of the discussion. Also the final sentence of this paragraph is tantalising but vague and would benefit from being expanded. The relevance of carbonate chemistry and buffering capacity is currently very unclear.

The findings of Six et al. (2013) and Schwinger et al. (2017) regarding changes to DMS sea-air flux in response to ocean acidification have been incorporated into section 4.2 (page 12, line 11-17). The importance of regional biogeochemistry and phytoplankton community dynamics in predicting changes to DMS emissions has also been made clearer (page 12, line 17-19).

Pg 12: The sub heading 4.3 Complexity of the DMS cycle doesn’t quite fit. Perhaps Complexity of the climate response to DMS, or something similar.

The sub-heading has been changed to ‘4.3 Complexity of the climate response to DMS’ (page 13, line 5).

Pg 14, L24: It may be better to say ‘prevailing meteorological conditions’.

This phrase has been changed to ‘...prevailing meteorological conditions’ (page 16, line 2).

Pg 16, L2: It is an overstatement to say ‘If coral reefs significantly affect our climate...’ perhaps say ‘If coral reefs significantly affect local atmospheric conditions...’ or similar.

The sentence ‘If coral reefs significantly affect our climate...’ has been changed to ‘If coral reefs significantly affect local atmospheric conditions...’ (page 17, line 11).

### **Technical corrections**

Pg 2 L10: move comma to come after ‘...emerging topic of research,’

Comma has been moved *after* ‘...emerging topic of research’ (page 2, line 10).

Pg 6, L15: remove comma after ‘approached’

Comma after ‘approached’ has been removed (page 6, line 24).

Throughout the paper: The authors refer to “the radiative balance”. It would be better to refer to “the Earth’s radiative balance”.

The phrase ‘the radiative balance’ has been replaced throughout the paper with ‘the radiative balance over the ocean/coral reefs’ (page 2, line 5-6; page 20, line 31-32; page 21, line 7 and elsewhere). We did not use ‘the Earth’s radiative balance’ in these instances as the discussion was focused on local effects over coral reefs.

## Response to Referee #3

### General comments

We would like to thank the Referee for their valuable insight and constructive comments on this manuscript. Important points have been raised and we have done our best to address each of these in the revised manuscript.

### General comments

Coral reefs are important sources of the climate-active trace gas dimethyl sulphide (DMS). This review summarises some of our knowledge on the impact of coral reefs on sulfur cycling and the potential role of DMS and its precursor DMSP in alleviating physiological stress in corals. The paper is generally well-written but suffers from poorly designed figures and a narrow focus on corals from the Great Barrier Reef (see below).

The current discussion does focus on processes in the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), because a substantial amount of the literature on biogeophysical processes relating to DMS in coral reefs focuses on this region. The GBR is considered to be relatively pristine (given the southern hemisphere location, size and predominant south-easterly trade winds), and this makes it a good study site for field and remote sensing analyses of the relationship between coral physiological stress, DMS emissions and the properties of marine aerosols and clouds, which can be confounded by continental and/or anthropogenic emissions in other regions (page 13, line 30-page 14, line 2). However, we recognise that the review is limited in scope and so have expanded the focus to include more literature from other coral reef regions. We have also made changes to figures where needed (see specific comment responses below).

### Specific comments

The review is sometimes too narrow and focuses on Great Barrier Reef processes only. However, there is some information available at least on Caribbean and Hawaiian reefs. For example, I am aware of the following:

Andreae, M. O., Barnard, W. R. and Ammons, J. M. (1983) The Biological Production of Dimethylsulfide in the Ocean and its Role in the Global Atmospheric Sulfur Budget. In: Hallberg, R. (ed.) Environmental Biogeochemistry Ecol. Bull. Stockholm: Ecol. Bull.

Hill, R., Li, C., Jones, A., Gunn, J. and Frade, P. (2010) Abundant betaines in reef building corals and ecological indicators of a photoprotective role. Coral Reefs, 29, 869-880.

Hill, R.W., Dacey, J.W. H. and Edward, A. (2000) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate in giant clams (Tridacnidae). Biological Bulletin, 199, 108-115.

Hill, R. W., Dacey, J. W. H. and Krupp, D. A. (1995) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate in Reef Corals. Bulletin of Marine Science, 57, 489-494.

We recognise that the review has a narrow geographical focus and so have included more literature on other coral reef regions. We have made reference to the following as suggested:

- Andreae et al., 1983 - DMS production by corals exposed to air at low tide can dominate the background oceanic signal (page 6, line 24-28).
- Hill et al., 2010 - Curacao reef-building corals contain an abundance of betaines, including DMSP. Biosynthesis is modulated by exposure to irradiance, indicating a potential role in photoprotection (page 7, line 1-2 and page 7, line 12 - 14).
- Hill et al., 2000 - Reported DMSP concentrations in giant clams (page 4, line 19-21).
- Hill et al., 1995 - Reported DMSP concentrations measured in corals sampled from Hawaii (page 4, line 15-16).

Some sections only weakly link to marine biogenic aerosols (see title). Sections 7 and 8 appear to mostly cover reef conservation efforts. Possibly, Section 7 could be enhanced by adding information on atmospheric monitoring and how this could be combined with existing efforts (long-term monitoring) that quantify coral health. For example, some classic VOC studies conducted at Mace Head Observatory might be useful here:

Broadgate, W. J., Malin, G., Kupper, F. C., Thompson, A. and Liss, P. S. (2004) Isoprene and other non-methane hydrocarbons from seaweeds: a source of reactive hydrocarbons to the atmosphere. Marine Chemistry, 88, 61-73.

Carpenter, L. J., Sturges, W. T., Penkett, S. A., Liss, P. S., Alicke, B., Hebestreit, K. and Platt, U. (1999) Short-lived alkyl iodides and bromides at Mace Head, Ireland: Links to biogenic sources and halogen oxide production. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 104, 1679-1689.

Not all sections discuss marine biogenic aerosols and so the title has been changed to better reflect the focus of the paper: ‘Dimethylsulfide (DMS), marine biogenic aerosols and the ecophysiology of coral reefs’.

Section 7 has been renamed ‘Future research’. This section highlights gaps in the literature and provides recommendations for future research, including the importance of analysing long-term databases on coral health and atmospheric variables (e.g. AOD observations from AERONET at Lucinda in the central GBR). Such studies would progress the current understanding of the role of DMS in coral reefs and local atmospheric properties (page 17-19). Broadgate et al. (2004) and Carpenter et al. (1999) both measured the concentration and flux of various VOCs produced by macroalgae in rock pools at Mace Head, Ireland. While these studies made significant contributions to the literature on biogenic trace gas emissions, the results are not directly relevant to the discussion on long-term DMS measurements from coral reefs and so, we have not made reference to these papers in section 7.

Section 8 has been rewritten to focus on alternative ways to conserve coral reefs, such as the propagation of temperature tolerant coral species and climate engineering. The purpose of section 8 is to discuss potential ways to counteract the predicted decline in biogenic aerosol emissions with ongoing coral reef degradation and to mitigate the detrimental effects of further ocean warming (page 19-21).

Many of the figures are not well designed and/or provide little information. Figure 1 and Figure 2 can likely be removed. The caption in Figure 4 should explain all abbreviations similar to Figure 3. There are many issues with this figure, including:

- a. Confusing use of terms such as ‘ventilation’ to explain loss of DMS to atmosphere but this is not indicated for loss of methanethiol.
- b. What is meant by ‘catabolism’? Is the demethylation step not a catabolism as well?
- c. Why are zooplankton and phytoplankton the only sources of DMSP? Why not the coral?



d. Why is DMSP not released from other grazers such as herbivorous fish?

e. How do DMS and acrylate feed into microbial demethylation? It is unclear how Figure 6 was generated. Where is this figure from? Is this original research and should not be presented in a 'review'? Lat/Lon and scale info is missing from Figure 6a, and why does Figure 6b display odd Latitude info? Unclear how the arrow in 6a relates to info in 6b. Is there a unit for the colour scale along the right (say on figure what it shows)? The actual reef is poorly illustrated in Figure 7. It is unclear what the white dots in the inset show, how the arrow relates between the two figures, what the dark blue area in the insert displays, etc. There is a scale and Lat/Lon information missing.

Figure 1 shows the geographical distribution of tropical coral reefs to provide context on the abundance and thus, the importance of these ecosystems throughout the tropics. Figure 2 illustrates the diversity of a healthy coral reef. Although Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 don't provide information additional to what has already been discussed in the text, we do think that they support the review and so, have included the figures in the manuscript.

Figure 4 (now Figure 3) has been changed to better reflect the processes involved in coral DMS/P/O biosynthesis and cycling. All abbreviations and processes have been defined in the caption. The term 'ventilation' has been replaced with 'sea-air flux' to indicate loss of DMS, DMSO and methanethiol to the atmosphere. Use of 'catabolism' is meant to be 'cleavage' and has been amended throughout the text and figures. Sources of DMSP illustrated in the figure and described in the caption are the coral holobiont (including the coral host and zooxanthellae), zooxanthellae expulsion followed by cell senescence and/or grazing on by zooplankton/herbivorous fish.

Figure 6 presents existing MODIS data and supports the discussion in section 5.1. Although this figure has not been published elsewhere, we do think that it is acceptable to include as part of a review, as other review papers have done (for example, Figure 3 in Grythe et al., 2014). The map in Fig. 6a shows the region of the GBR and Coral Sea (from Google Earth, see caption) for which the AOD Hövmoller plot in Fig. 6b was calculated for. Latitude, longitude and scale information has been added to Fig. 6a, with corresponding latitudes added to Fig. 6b. The arrow indicated that Fig. 6a represents the location of data in Fig. 6b. The arrow has been removed and this is instead explained in the caption. AOD is a unitless value and so, no unit has been included on the colour bar in Fig. 6b.

Figure 7 - The 'dark blue area' in the inset (map data from Google Earth) illustrates the outline of the southern GBR, shown to be covered by clouds in the true colour image. The arrow indicated that the inset represents the region shown in the true colour image. The arrow has been removed and this is now explained in the caption. City names (represented by the white dots) have been added to all figure components. We have also added latitude, longitude and scale information to Fig. 7.

Some reviews contain a glossary - this may be useful here, too? Could explain specific terminology, for example: - Radiative forcing - Aerosol optical depth - ...

We have included a glossary of key terms and phrases commonly used throughout the manuscript (page 37).

## **Technical corrections**

Page 2, line 1: Restructure (?): '...Coral reefs are being threatened by global climate change, with ocean warming, acidification and declining water quality adversely affecting coral health and cover in many coastal systems...'

The first sentence of the Abstract has been rephrased (page 2, line 1 - 2).

Page 2, line 14: '...Gaining a better understanding of the role of coral reef DMS emissions is crucial to predicting the future climate of our planet...' Is this justified? Do DMS emissions from coral reefs really affect the climate of our planet? May be regional climate? Coastal zones? Statement here seems to fit poorly with statement on P11, L34!

We have changed the wording here to avoid overstating the importance of coral reef DMS emissions in large-scale climate: '...it is crucial that we gain a better understanding of the role of DMS in local climate of coral reefs' (page 2, line 13-14).

Page 3, line 6: May have to widen out to beyond the genus 'Symbiodinium' or refer to Symbiodiniaceae? See paper by LaJeunesse, T. C., Parkinson, J. E., Gabrielson, P. W., Jeong, H. J., Reimer, J. D., Voolstra, C. R. and Santos, S. R. (2018) Systematic Revision of

Symbiodiniaceae Highlights the Antiquity and Diversity of Coral Endosymbionts. Current Biology, 28, 2570-2580.e6.

We have replaced the genus '*Symbiodinium*' with 'Symbiodiniaceae' or 'zooxanthellae' throughout the manuscript to encompass the diversity of genera of endosymbiotic microalgae within corals, with reference to LaJeunesse et al., 2018 (page 3, line 6, 7 and elsewhere).

Page 3, line 8: Check hyphenation: '...membrane-enclosed compartments...'

Hyphen has been added to 'membrane-enclosed' (page 3, line 8-9).

Page 4, line 2: What is meant by '...natural sulfur...' – change to 'biogenic sulfur'?

The phrase 'natural sulfur' refers to non-anthropogenic sulfur (i.e. biogenic or volcanic). Corals are amongst the strongest individual sources of biogenic sulfur and so, we have changed the wording here to 'biogenic sulfur' (page 4, line 2).

Page 4, line 7: Check hyphenation: '...DMS-derived aerosol...'

Spaces around the hyphen in the phrase 'DMS - derived' have been removed (page 4, line 7).

Page 4, line 11: I think some information should be provided on conservation and management before making the statement in the final sentence of Section 1: '...In the face of rapid climate change, non-traditional means of conservation and management may be required...'

We have not gone into much detail on conservation and management strategies in the introduction, as we have discussed these in section 8. This sentence has been removed. The final few sentences of the introduction now outline what will be covered in the review (page 4, line 8-11).

Page 4, line 16: Reword to (?): '...above a coral reef exposed to air...'

We have changed the wording here to ‘...above a coral reef exposed to air at low tide’ (page 4, line 16-17).

Page 4, line 17: Capitalisation of ‘Octocorals’ necessary? These are animals as in ‘dogs’?

Capitalisation of ‘Octocorals’ has been removed (page 4, line 19).

Page 4, line 18: What are the concentrations for dinoflagellate cells and benthic algae?

Average concentrations for benthic macroalgae and free-living microalgae sampled from the GBR are provided (page 4, line 21-23).

Page 4, line 21: Check structure of information. Consider removing orphan sentence/paragraph.

The orphan sentence has been merged with the following paragraph (page 5, line 16-17).

Page 4, line 24 - 28: This sentence does not make logical sense. It starts off with information on DMSP<sub>p</sub> but then seems to include info on DMSP<sub>d</sub> (release from phytoplankton during grazing) and other non-particulate exudates.

DMSP<sub>w</sub> refers to dissolved or non-particulate DMSP (rather than DMSP<sub>d</sub>). Both DMSP<sub>w</sub> and DMSP<sub>p</sub> may be released from the coral holobiont into reef waters via zooxanthellae expulsion, followed by natural senescence or grazing. This section has been rephrased to make this point clearer (page 5, line 7-12 and Figure 3).

Page 4, line 28 - 29 and page 5, line 4: There is also the possibility that DMS is being produced from DMSP without the production of acrylate (ddd-cleavage pathway): Todd, J. D., Rogers, R., Li, Y. G., Wexler, M., Bond, P. L., Sun, L., Curson, A. R. J., Malin, G., Steinke, M. and Johnston, A. W. B. (2007) Structural and regulatory genes required to make the gas dimethyl sulfide in bacteria. Science, 315, 666-669. (See also P5, L4).

We have described this alternate DMSP cleavage pathway in the text, with reference to Todd et al. (2007) (page 4, line 28-29).

Page 5, line 18: I disagree with: ‘...Until recently, it was thought that biosynthesis was limited to photosynthetic endosymbionts...’ – (i) Many non-endosymbiotic organisms make DMSP and (ii) it has been long known that the heterotrophic dinoflagellate (= ‘animal’) *Cryptocodinium cohnii* can make DMSP, for example: Uchida, A., Ooguri, T., Ishida, T., Kitaguchi, H. and Ishida, Y. (1996) Biosynthesis of dimethylsulfoniopropionate in *Cryptocodinium cohnii* (Dinophyceae). In: Kiene, R. P., Visscher, P. T., Keller, M. D. and Kirst, G. O. (eds.) Biological and Environmental Chemistry of DMSP and Related Sulfonium Compounds. New York: Plenum Press.

This statement refers to the coral holobiont only, for which it was thought that DMS/P biosynthesis was solely attributed to zooxanthellae. This sentence was not meant to imply that photosynthetic endosymbionts are the only source of dimethylated sulfur compounds in the ocean (we have discussed in several places that non-endosymbiotic organisms such as marine macroalgae, free-living microalgae and heterotrophs also produce DMS/P). We have rephrased this sentence to make this point clearer: ‘Until recently, it was thought that *biosynthesis in the coral holobiont* was limited to photosynthetic endosymbionts’ (page 5, line 27-28).

Page 5, line 27: (and elsewhere in text): Remove italics from ‘spp.’

Italics has been removed from ‘spp.’ throughout the manuscript (page 5, line 31 and elsewhere).

Page 6, line 23: Is this true?: ‘...These ROS can diffuse from the algal symbiont into coral cytoplasm...’ – I wonder whether ROS are too reactive to pass through biomembranes? Isn’t this why they are so damaging to biological structures/molecules?

The capacity of reactive oxygen species to diffuse across biological membranes depends on pH. For example, the superoxide anion dominates in pH conditions  $> \sim 5$  (as is found in the coral gastrodermis: pH  $\sim 7$ , Tresguerres et al., 2017) and has a limited ability to diffuse across membranes. However, in the more acidic symbiosome (pH  $\sim 4$ ) hydroperoxyl radicals may diffuse across cell membranes and so, can diffuse from the symbiosome into the coral gastrodermis (page 7, line 6-7)

Page 6, line 32: Is this statement correct? I do not recall that the paper by Hopkins et al. (2016) addresses the effects of SST or salinity.

Hopkins et al. (2016) was referenced here to support the statement that when corals are exposed to a high level of stress, DMS/P concentrations decline as the rate of oxidation to DMSO increases. Hopkins et al. (2016) demonstrated this when corals were exposed to air, as occurs during low tide. SST and salinity thresholds were listed as examples of conditions which can induce this antioxidant response. For clarity, we have also included ‘exposure to air at low tide’ (page 7, line 17).

Page 7, line 14: Add apostrophe ‘...hinders corals’ ability...’

An apostrophe has been added to ‘corals’ ability’ (page 8, line 3).

Page 8, line 4: What are those ‘time scales’?

An exact time-scale can’t really be provided here, given that there is significant variability in temperature and irradiance tolerance between coral species and zooxanthellae clades. In the previous sentence we state that relatively small SST anomalies can result in coral bleaching if conditions persist for ‘several weeks’. We then state that SST anomalies  $> 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  can cause coral bleaching in a shorter amount of time (i.e.  $<$  several weeks), depending on the magnitude of SST anomalies and duration of exposure (page 8, line 23-26).

Page 8, line 13: Check hyphenation ‘...temperature-sensitive species...’

Hyphen has been added to ‘temperature-sensitive’ (page 9, line 5 and 15).

Page 8, line 15: Check hyphenation ‘...macro- and microalge...’

Hyphen has been added to ‘macro- and microalgae’ (page 9, line 7).

Page 8, line 21 - 22: So, this suggests that DMSP may not have a role in conferring temperature tolerance? Reconsider the wording.

The point being made here was that temperature-tolerant zooxanthellae clades have been found to contain less DMSP compared to temperature-sensitive clades, when exposed to the same conditions. This is likely due to differences in temperature tolerance (i.e. temperatures which induce oxidative stress in Clade C endosymbionts, may not stress Clade D, negating the need to produce as much DMS/P). We have reworded this sentence to make this point clearer (page 9, line 14-18).

Page 8, line 25: Change singular/plural: ‘...However, tolerance thresholds within Symbiodinium clades are highly variable (Klueter et al., 2017) and do not always predict DMSP biosynthesis (Steinke et al. 2011)...’

This sentence has been corrected (page 9, line 16-18).

Page 8, line 26: Steinke et al. (2011) missing from reference list – carefully check all references.

We apologize for this oversight and have carefully checked that all references have been included in the reference list.

Page 9, line 2: Check hyphenation: ‘...algal-dominated coral-reef communities.... Also, this sentence may require a reference.

Hyphen has been added to ‘algal-dominated’ (page 9, line 28). There is no need to hyphenate ‘coral reef’. This sentence is a hypothetical and builds on the findings of Lin et al. (2016) who found that algae blooms can promote heat absorption at the surface (page 9, line 26-29).

Page 9, line 4: Check hyphenation: ‘...pH-sensitive coral-calcification rates...’

Hyphenated the words ‘pH-sensitive’ (page 9, line 31). There is no need to hyphenate ‘coral calcification’.

Page 9, line 6: Change singular/plural ‘...absorbed by the oceans and affect seawater...’

This sentence has been corrected to ‘absorbed by the oceans and affect seawater chemistry’ (page 9, line 33).

Page 9, line 7: Avoid repetitive word usage ‘...Increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels increase...’

Repetition of ‘increase(d)’ has been removed: ‘Increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels *enhance*...’ (page 10, line 1).

Page 9, line 14: It may be important to point out that this is for warm-water corals? I suspect that the damage to cold-water corals is much higher still since the dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> into water is enhanced/temperature dependent?

Clarified that we are referring to projected impacts of ocean acidification in tropical coral reefs (page 10, line 8).

Equation 2: Should the reaction be presented the other way around, because the text refers to erosion of calcareous structures?

We have reversed Eq. 2 to illustrate the process of CaCO<sub>3</sub> erosion from left to right (page 10, line 12).

Page 9, line 23: Could add effects of temperature/CO<sub>2</sub> on DMS/P in isolation and combined? See: Arnold, H. E., Kerrison, P. and Steinke, M. (2013) Interacting effects of ocean acidification and warming on growth and DMS-production in the haptophyte coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*. Global Change Biology, 19, 1007-1016.

We have discussed the effects of temperature and ocean acidification on DMS/P production in cultured zooxanthellae, highlighting the stronger influence of temperature, with reference to Arnold et al. (2013) (page 10, line 25-27).

Page 9, line 30: May mention that RCP8.5 is an IPCC scenario?

We have included a brief definition of RCP scenarios where mentioned throughout the text (page 10, line 23-24 and page 20, line 8).



Page 10, line 24: Check hyphenation: ‘...biologically derived negative feedback...’

Hyphenation of ‘biologically-derived’ has been removed (page 11, line 20).

Page 11, line 3: Change wording ‘...undersampled ocean regions...’

The phrase ‘under sampled’ has been changed to ‘undersampled’ (page 11, line 29).

Page 11, line 8: It is unclear what is meant by ‘...all of which reduce the thermal capacity of the sea surface...’. How can the surface concentration of DMS, tidal height, etc. reduce the thermal capacity?

This oversight has been corrected and now reads: ‘Higher sea surface DMS concentration, SST and wind speed (which increase diffusivity), and reduced tide height, will enhance the sea-air flux of DMS in coral reef waters’ (page 12, line 3-4).

Page 11, line 9: Change wording to ‘increased diffusivity’?

The phrase ‘reduced diffusivity resistance’ has been changed to ‘increase diffusivity’ (page 12, line 3).

Page 11, line 11: I find it difficult to see how this paragraph is relevant to the specific topic/title of manuscript – unless cold-water corals are considered here? The manuscript sometimes lacks focus.

This paragraph discusses predicted changes to DMS sea-air flux from other ocean regions in a changing climate, for which the impacts in coral reefs are largely unknown. Given that the review discusses the link between DMS and atmospheric properties, we think that it is important to mention these predicted changes, to provide context on the impacts of climate change on DMS emissions and how this may influence local or regional climate (page 12, line 6-22).

Page 13, line 32: More info on ‘...and other volatile organic compounds (VOCs)...’ would be useful here. What other gases are being released?

Other VOCs such as isoprene may also be contributing to the seasonality observed in AOD (page 15, line 6).

Page 14, line 5: What is meant by ‘...tidal lunar cycle...’ – is this not the same? Lunar cycle drives the tides?

This oversight has been corrected. Use of ‘tidal lunar cycle’ has been replaced with ‘tidal cycle’ (page 15, line 12).

Page 14, line 26: Avoid use of ‘extreme’ (it’s all relative). May be ‘high solar irradiance’?

Use of ‘extreme’ has been replaced with ‘high’ to describe solar irradiance (page 15, line 33).

Page 15, line 8 - 9: Check hyphenation ‘...rainfall-sensitive agriculture...’

Hyphen has been added to the phrase ‘rainfall-sensitive’ (page 16, line 14-15).

Page 15, line 18: ‘...or condenses onto existing particles...’

‘condense’ has been changed to ‘condenses’ (page 16, line 24).

Page 16, line 9 - 10: Coral bleaching is not a stressor ‘...impacts of environmental stressors such as coral bleaching...’. Rewording necessary.

Coral bleaching was mentioned here as an example of the *impacts* of environmental stressors, not as an example of a stressor. Section 7 has been rewritten (see general comment responses) and this sentence is no longer included (page 17-19).

Page 16, line 10: Check spacing: ‘... coral reefs is also...’

Section 7 has been rewritten.

Page 16, line 19: Avoid ‘extreme’ and check hyphenation ‘...are cost- and resource intensive...’

Replaced ‘extremely’ with ‘very’ or ‘highly’ to describe costs and time associated with field campaigns and have hyphenated the phrase ‘cost- and resource intensive...’ (page 18, line 13 and page 20, line 26).

Page 16, line 21: What is meant by this ‘...Additionally, field surveys cannot capture processes that may be occurring down-wind of the substance’s origin...’? Numerous atmospheric/marine chemistry studies do exactly this? And how is that true for studies on DMSP? Unclear – would need a re-write or more information?

This section has been rewritten (page 18, line 17-21).

Page 16, line 26: Check hyphenation ‘...cost- and time efficient.’

Again, we have hyphenated the phrase ‘cost- and resource intensive...’ (page 18, line 13 and page 20, line 26).

Page 16, line 31: Consider capitalisation ‘...Hotspot and Degree Heating Week (DHW)...’

Degree Heating Week and Hotspot have been capitalised (page 19, line 5).

Page 16, line 33: Check punctuation ‘...maximum, which, when accumulated over a 12-week moving window, provide a measure...’

This sentence has been removed from the revised manuscript.

Page 17, line 1: Here and elsewhere, consider presentation of water temperature/degree symbol spacing. It should be 8 °C but some journals also use 8°C (never 8° C).

The inclusion of a space between the degree symbol and ‘C’ was a formatting error which occurred when inserting a symbol in MS Word. We had fixed some of these errors prior to submitting the manuscript. We thank you for pointing out the remaining errors which were not picked up in our revisions (page 6, line 5 and elsewhere).

Page 17, line 20: Check hyphenation ‘...temperature-tolerant coral species...’

Hyphenated ‘temperature-tolerant’ (page 19, line 26 and 30)

Section 8 and elsewhere: Check use of tenses. For example ‘...In a modelled scenario, injecting 5 Tg SO<sub>2</sub> annually into the stratosphere above Caribbean coral reefs reduces SST, irradiance and sea-level rise and results in a substantial decline in the number of mass coral bleaching events predicted to occur over the next 50 years (Zhang et al., 2017)...’; ‘...Similarly, Kwiatkowski et al. (2015) reported that enhancing SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the tropical stratosphere reduces SST and the risk of coral bleaching over the next 30 years under an RCP4.5 scenario...’; ...Latham et al. (2013) found that an enhanced source of sea-spray aerosol over the GBR, Caribbean and French Polynesia offsets the warming effects...’ Also: consider use of ‘reduce’ (could be confused with chemical reduction) and use ‘decrease’ instead?

Past tense has been consistently used here and elsewhere when discussing results from past publications (section 8, page 19-21).

Page 18, line 1 and 4: Avoid repetitive word usage ‘another’

Removed repetition of ‘another’ (page 20, line 16-18).

Page 18, line 5: Consider rewording ‘...over reefs of high economic and environmental value.’

Reworded this sentence to ‘...over coral reefs with high economic and environmental value’ (page 20, line 20).

Page 18, line 21: ‘...There is substantial evidence that coral reefs are strong sources of dimethylated sulfur compounds...’ It is true that corals are producing a lot of DMSP. However, our recent attempt to simulate the DMS sea-to-air flux from coral reefs using the ‘model cnidarian’ *Aiptasia* (which likely has its limitations...) finds that the flux normalised to sea surface area is lower in coral reefs than the average global oceanic flux: Franchini, F. and Steinke, M. (2017) Quantification of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) production in the sea anemone *Aiptasia* sp. to simulate the sea-to-air flux from coral reefs. *Biogeosciences*, 14, 5765-5774.

DMS emissions from the GBR are typically in the range expected for other ocean regions (~100-200 ppt). However, several studies have also found that *Acropora* spp. are very strong producers of DMS/P, with concentrations > 3500 nmol cm<sup>-2</sup> coral surface (Broadbent et al., 2002). The concentrations reported for *Aiptasia* in Franchini and Steinke (2017) were relatively low. It would be very interesting to investigate the contribution of coral reefs to global DMS sea-air flux estimates using concentrations reported from other cnidarians, particularly *Acropora* corals.

*Acropora* are abundant throughout the Indo-Pacific and likely dominate the coral source of DMS/P in this region (Swan et al., 2017b). Concentrations of atmospheric DMS above the Heron Island coral reef flat reached ~45 nmol m<sup>-3</sup> (> 1000 ppt) when the corals were exposed to air at low tide (Swan et al., 2017a). This suggests that the sea-air flux of DMS from corals can be a much higher, albeit intermittent, source of DMS than the oceanic signal which is dominated by algal emissions. Other studies estimate that the GBR emits 0.02 - 0.08 Tg S yr<sup>-1</sup> (Hopkins et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2018). Although this represents < 1% of global DMS sea-air flux estimates, it is still a significant amount of sulfur released from a relatively small region of the ocean, with the potential to influence local or possibly regional climate (page 13, line 2-4).

Page 18, line 31: ‘...This biogenic aerosol source is in danger of becoming weaker with ongoing coral reef degradation...’ Earlier, the case was made for DMS being the same/increasing when seaweeds replace corals?

The point being made here was that ongoing coral reef degradation might lead to the loss of a coral-only source of MBA (i.e. not including other potential sources such as marine algae).

We can see that this point was not clear and so have removed this sentence to avoid confusion (page 21, line 14).

References: Check for use of italics for scientific names (e.g. *Symbiodinium* in Deschaseaux et al. 2014b, Klueter et al. 2017).

Scientific names have been italicised in the reference list where required.

**Dimethylsulfide (DMS), marine biogenic aerosols and the ecophysiology of coral reefs**

Rebecca L. Jackson<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Albert J. Gabric<sup>2,3</sup>, Roger Cropp<sup>1</sup> and Matthew T. Woodhouse<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Environment and Science, Griffith University, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Australian Rivers Institute, Griffith University, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia

<sup>3</sup>School of Environment and Science, Griffith University, Nathan, QLD, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Climate Science Centre, Oceans and Atmosphere, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Aspendale, VIC, Australia

*Correspondence to:* Rebecca Jackson (rebecca.jackson7@griffithuni.edu.au)

Deleted: M

**Abstract.** Global climate change and the impacts of ocean warming, ocean acidification and declining water quality are adversely affecting coral reef ecosystems. This is of great concern as coral reefs provide numerous ecosystem, economic and social services. Corals are also recognized as being amongst the strongest individual sources of natural atmospheric sulfur, through stress-induced emissions of dimethylsulfide (DMS). In the clean marine boundary layer, biogenic sulfates contribute to new aerosol formation and the growth of existing particles, with important implications for the radiative balance over the ocean. Evidence suggests that DMS is not only directly involved in the coral stress response, alleviating oxidative stress, but may create an “ocean thermostat” which suppresses sea surface temperature through changes to aerosol and cloud properties. This review provides a summary of the current major threats facing coral reefs and describes the role of dimethylated sulfur compounds in coral ecophysiology and the potential influence on climate. The role of coral reefs as a source of climatically important compounds is an emerging topic of research, however, the window of opportunity to understand the complex biogeophysical processes involved is closing with ongoing degradation of the world’s coral reefs. The greatest uncertainty in our estimates of radiative forcing and climate change are derived from natural aerosol sources, such as marine DMS, which constitutes the largest flux of oceanic reduced sulfur to the atmosphere. Given the increasing frequency of coral bleaching events, it is crucial that we gain a better understanding of the role of DMS in local climate of coral reefs.

- Deleted: Coral reefs are being threatened by
- Deleted: g
- Deleted: with o
- Deleted: and
- Deleted: , compounded by
- Deleted: i
- Deleted: n many coastal systems,
- Deleted: coral health and cover
- Deleted: (SST)
- Deleted: physiology
- Deleted: climate
- Deleted: ,

Deleted: Gaining a better understanding of the role of coral reef DMS emissions is crucial predicting the future climate of our planet.



1. Introduction

Tropical corals grow in warm, oligotrophic waters from approximately 30°N to 30°S (Fig. 1). This geographical restriction is due to the physiological requirements of reef-building Scleractinian corals which have relatively narrow thermal, light and salinity tolerance ranges (Bourne et al., 2016; Lesser, 2010; Hughes et al., 2018). The term coral holobiont refers to the symbiosis of the coral host with multiple endosymbiotic microorganisms including cyanobacteria, which aid in nitrogen fixation (Lesser et al., 2004) and photosynthetic dinoflagellates within the Symbiodiniaceae family (LaJeunesse et al., 2018; Muscatine and Porter, 1977). Several genera of Symbiodiniaceae may exist within the coral host depending on species and thermo-tolerance and are collectively termed zooxanthellae. These are acquired via phagocytosis and reside within membrane-enclosed compartments (the symbiosome) within the coral gastrodermis (Tresguerres et al., 2017). Zooxanthellae provide corals with their vibrant colours (e.g. Fig. 2) and 95% of their metabolic requirements via translocation of photosynthetically fixed carbon and in return, depend upon the coral host to obtain inorganic carbon (Falkowski et al., 1984; Dubinsky and Falkowski, 2011). This symbiosis is essential for coral survival (Bourne et al., 2016).

Shallow-water coral reefs cover only 0.1% (~600,000 km<sup>2</sup>) of the marine environment (Spalding et al., 2001), yet provide numerous essential ecosystem, economic and social services (Barbier et al., 2011; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Jones, 2015), with an estimated value of US\$9.9 trillion annually (Costanza et al., 2014). Approximately one third of all described marine species obtain food and habitat from coral reefs (Reaka-Kudla, 1997). This biodiversity makes them hotspots for global tourism, providing approximately US\$36 billion annually (Spalding et al., 2017) and for the discovery of new medically important biochemical compounds (Kumar, 2006). The high productivity of coral reefs also provides a valuable source of income for global fisheries, estimated at US\$5.7 billion per year (Cesar et al., 2003). Fringing and barrier reefs are also highly effective at mitigating coastal erosion by reducing wave energy by up to 97% (Ferrario et al., 2014). Coral reefs provide many other services including nutrient cycling (Barbier et al., 2011; Gattuso et al., 1998; Bourne et al., 2016) and potentially climate regulation through stress-induced emissions of volatile sulfur compounds (Cropp et al., 2018; Fischer and Jones, 2012; Jones, 2015; Fiddes et al., 2018).

When corals experience physiological stress from high sea surface temperature (SST), irradiance, hyposalinity or exposure to air at low tide, they increase production of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), which acts as an antioxidant for the coral holobiont (Deschaseaux et al., 2014a; Hopkins et al., 2016; Gardner et al., 2016). Depending on the degree of coral stress, a portion of DMSP is enzymatically cleaved to form the volatile gas dimethylsulfide (DMS) (Raina et al., 2009; Bullock et al., 2017). Upon ventilation to the atmosphere, DMS is oxidised to form aerosol precursors (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997), which can affect aerosol and cloud properties (Sanchez et al., 2018; Charlson et al., 1987). DMS emissions from coral reefs may therefore exert a significant influence on local climate.

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: of

Deleted: genus

Deleted: Symbiodinium

Deleted: clades

Deleted: Symbiodinium

Deleted:

Deleted: . The

Deleted: of coral reefs

Deleted: Their

Deleted: light

Deleted: formation and growth

The role of coral reefs in climate has only recently begun to be appreciated, despite zooxanthellate corals being amongst the largest **individual** sources of **biogenic** sulfur in the marine environment (Burdett et al., 2015; Broadbent and Jones, 2004; Haydon et al., 2018; Swan et al., 2017a; Van Alstyne et al., 2009). However, our understanding of the biogeochemical and ocean-atmosphere interactions involved in the coral reef DMS cycle is not yet complete (Jones, 2015), contributing to the large uncertainty in the role of natural aerosols in our estimates of radiative forcing (Carslaw et al., 2013). The ongoing degradation of the world's coral reefs provides an urgency to gaining a better understanding of these processes. A concern is whether a decline in emissions of DMS-derived aerosol will alter the local radiative balance and accelerate warming in coral reefs, impeding the ability of corals to cope with future **climate change**. In this review we examine the role of DMS in coral ecophysiology and the emerging topic of coral reefs as a source of marine biogenic aerosol (MBA). The implications of coral bleaching and ongoing coral reef degradation will also be discussed to highlight the importance of a multi-disciplinary approach to coral reef management.

## 2. The role of dimethylated sulfur compounds in coral reefs

### 2.1 The coral reef sulfur cycle

Scleractinian corals are recognized as being a significant source of DMS/P, with reported concentrations of 54,381 nmol DMSP in a 50 mL sample of coral mucous ropes (Broadbent and Jones, 2004), 409–459 nmol DMSP cm<sup>-2</sup> of coral surface (Frade et al., 2016; Hill et al., 1995, 2010), and 45.9 nmol m<sup>-3</sup> atmospheric DMS (DMS<sub>a</sub>) above a coral reef exposed to air at low tide (Swan et al., 2017a). In the coral reef-dense region of the Indo-Pacific, *Acropora* spp. are abundant and contain the highest reported concentrations of DMS/P amongst coral genera (Swan et al., 2017b), exceeding 3,500 nmol DMSP cm<sup>-2</sup> (Broadbent et al., 2002). Other zooxanthellate organisms including actocorals (soft coral) and giant clams contain high amounts of DMSP, with concentrations of up to 4710 nmol mg<sup>-1</sup> protein (Haydon et al., 2018) and 33,000 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> tissue (Hill et al., 2000), respectively. Concentrations in the coral holobiont and other zooxanthellate organisms are substantially higher than that reported for benthic macroalgae (1.5 nmol cm<sup>-2</sup>) and individual free-living dinoflagellates (1.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> nmol zooxanthellae<sup>-1</sup>) (Broadbent et al., 2002).

In the coral holobiont, the biosynthesis of DMSP is upregulated in response to physiological stress. DMSP catabolism occurs via the cleavage and demethylation pathways, yielding DMS or methanethiol (MeSH), respectively (Fig. 3). The cleavage pathway is mediated by either DMSP-lyase enzymes, yielding DMS and acrylate (Bullock et al., 2017; Caruana and Malin, 2014; Raina et al., 2009), or via the addition of an acyl coenzyme A (encoded for by the microbial dddD gene) before cleavage to DMS occurs (Todd et al., 2007). The amount of DMS ultimately released to surrounding reef waters is dependent upon the ratio of DMSP breakdown to DMS and DMS/P photo-oxidation to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) by reactive oxygen produced by the coral holobiont under stress (Fig. 3). Although oxidation to DMSO is a sink of DMS/P, DMSO may also be reduced back to DMS and therefore also acts as a DMS source in coral reef waters (Fischer and Jones, 2012; Gardner et al., 2016;

Deleted: natural

Deleted: primary

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: rises in SST

Deleted: In the face of rapid climate change, non-traditional means of conservation and management may be required.

Deleted: as high as

Deleted: M

Deleted: and 18,665 nM DMS

Deleted: n aerially exposed

Deleted: Z

Deleted: soft corals such as

Deleted: O

Deleted: ,

Deleted: are also reported to

Deleted: with

Deleted:

Deleted: Corals

Deleted: These concentrations are higher than those reported for lone dinoflagellate cells and benthic algae

Deleted: .

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: Figure 3 provides a simplified overview of the coral reef sulfur cycle and the role of dimethylated sulfur compounds in the DMS - SST feedback. ¶

DMSP is a zwitterion and will not passively diffuse across cell membranes. Particulate DMSP (DMSP<sub>p</sub>) may be released to surrounding reef waters via zooxanthellae expulsion, which can occur at a rate of 0.2 - 0.4% *Symbiodinium* cells day<sup>-1</sup> in response to elevated irradiance or temperature (Jones et al., 2007), zooplankton grazing on phytoplankton (Dacey and Wakeham, 1986), or in the form of DMS or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) released in coral mucous and *Symbiodinium* exudates (Broadbent and Jones, 2006; Raina et al., 2009).

Deleted: acrylate are produced via the cleavage of DMSP by enzymes collectively termed DMSP-lyases (Bullock et al., 2017), present in zooxanthellae (Caruana and Malin, 2014) and coral-associated bacteria (Raina et al., 2009).

Deleted: 4

Deschaseaux et al., 2014b). The physiological requirements of microbial communities within the coral host and in coral reef waters also plays an important role in the cycling of DMSP, switching between the DMSP cleavage and demethylation pathways (Fig. 3). Microbial cleavage of DMSP yields DMS (and acrylate via the DMSP-lyase cleavage pathway), which serves as an important carbon source, while the demethylation pathway yields an organic sulfur source for the coral microbiome (Bourne et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016; Bullock et al., 2017).

DMSP is a zwitterion and will not diffuse across cell membranes. Corals expel their endosymbiotic microalgae at a rate of 0.2-0.4% zooxanthellae cells day<sup>-1</sup> in response to elevated irradiance or temperature (Jones et al., 2007). DMSP in the form of dissolved (DMSP<sub>w</sub>) or particulate (DMSP<sub>p</sub>), is instead released into surrounding waters from expelled zooxanthellae (Fig. 3), via natural cell senescence or grazing by zooplankton and herbivorous fish (Dacey and Wakeham, 1986). Dissolved DMS (DMS<sub>w</sub>) and dissolved DMSO (DMSO<sub>w</sub>) may also be released in zooxanthellae exudates or in coral mucous (Broadbent and Jones, 2006; Raina et al., 2009). DMS<sub>w</sub> is then ventilated to the atmosphere where it has a residence time of approximately one day (Khan et al., 2016), before atmospheric reaction mechanisms such as oxidation to sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) or methane sulfonic acid (MSA) occur (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Barnes et al., 2006; Veres et al., 2020).

Figure 4 provides a simplified overview of the role of dimethylated sulfur compounds in the DMS-aerosol-cloud feedback over coral reefs. When pre-existing aerosol concentrations and cloud cover are high, for example when high wind speeds enhance sea-spray aerosol (SSA) emission, heterogeneous oxidation of DMS-derived sulfates occurs rapidly in cloud droplets, contributing to the growth of existing particles rather than the formation of new particles (Woodhouse et al., 2013; Hoffmann et al., 2016). Conversely, during calm, clear conditions, DMS-derived sulfates may undergo further oxidation to sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), followed by gaseous phase nucleation to form new non-sea salt sulfate (nss-SO<sub>4</sub>) particles (Fig. 4). Nucleation of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> may occur within the marine boundary layer (MBL) or in the free troposphere where conditions are more favourable, with entrainment providing an important source of new sulfate particles to the MBL (Sanchez et al., 2018). These secondary aerosols can be efficient cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and may affect cloud albedo, lifetime and cover over oceans (Charlson et al., 1987).

## 2.2 Mechanisms of biosynthesis

The biochemical pathways involved in DMSP biosynthesis in corals are complex (Bullock et al., 2017). Until recently, it was thought that biosynthesis in the coral holobiont was limited to photosynthetic endosymbionts. However, recent findings show that coral hosts themselves are substantial sources of DMSP (Raina et al., 2013). In phytoplankton, DMSP is produced via a series of four enzymes (Gage et al., 1997) which assimilate sulfur into cysteine and methionine and subsequently into the stable, soluble form DMSP (Bourne et al., 2016; Stefels, 2000). *Acropora* spp. contain orthologues of genes responsible for the expression of two of these enzymes, which encode NADPH-reductase and Ado-Met-dependent methyltransferase enzymes involved in the second and third steps of the DMSP biosynthesis pathway, respectively (Raina et al., 2013). The

Deleted: catabolism

Deleted: 4

Deleted: The

Deleted: m

Deleted: catabolism

Deleted: pathway

Deleted: both of

Deleted: ¶

Dissolved DMS (

Deleted: )

Deleted: (Fig. 3)

Deleted: SO<sub>2</sub>

Deleted: in cloud droplets

Deleted: SO<sub>2</sub>

Deleted: 3

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

5 methyltransferase enzyme is particularly specific to this pathway and is highly expressed in *Acropora* juveniles which have not yet assimilated endosymbiotic *Symbiodiniaceae*. Expression declines with adult development likely due to coral association with zooxanthellae (Raina et al., 2013). Additionally, high levels of intracellular DMSP are reported in *Acropora* spp., with *A. tenuis* and *A. millepora* juveniles exhibiting 65% and 76% increases in DMSP concentration in response to thermal stress (Raina et al., 2013). Similar responses were observed in adult corals after exposure to temperatures of 32°C for 10 days. Despite a decline in zooxanthellae density of 84% (where the remaining 16% were severely structurally compromised and not producing DMSP) DMSP concentration increased by 68%, suggesting that the coral polyp was the source of biosynthesis (Raina et al., 2013).

10 There are a number of hypotheses as to why corals synthesize DMSP. Sulfur is an essential nutrient for all lifeforms, involved in amino acid and protein synthesis. Carbon is also an essential component of life, providing an energy source for respiration. DMSP provides an abundant organic source of both sulfur and carbon in coral reefs and biosynthesis is thought to play a role in the structuring of the coral microbiome (Bourne et al., 2016; Raina et al., 2010; Raina et al., 2009). These endosymbionts provide a number of services to the coral host, including carbon and nitrogen fixation (Falkowski et al., 1984; Dubinsky and Falkowski, 2011) and disease prevention via production of antimicrobial compounds such as tropodithetic acid (TDA), derived from microbial DMSP catabolism (Raina et al., 2016). DMSP is also involved in alleviating oxidative stress in Scleractinian corals (Hopkins et al., 2016; Gardner et al., 2016; Deschaseaux et al., 2014b).

### 2.3 The coral antioxidant response

20 Empirical evidence shows that DMSP biosynthesis in Scleractinian corals is upregulated during periods of elevated SST, irradiance, aerial exposure at low tide and hyposalinity associated with rainfall or fluvial discharges from adjacent river systems (Andreae et al., 1983; Swan et al., 2017a; Broadbent and Jones, 2006; Jones et al., 2007; Gardner et al., 2016; Hopkins et al., 2016; Raina et al., 2013). Corals and the endosymbiotic relationship they depend upon, have a relatively narrow thermal, light and salinity tolerance range (Lesser, 2010; Nielsen et al., 2018). Enhanced production of dimethylated sulfur compounds when these tolerance ranges are approached suggests DMSP may play a key role in the coral stress response. Strong production of DMS by corals exposed to air at low tide was first noted by Andreae et al. (1983) during ship measurements throughout the Florida Keys. Although DMS<sub>w</sub> production was dominated by benthic and planktonic algae, the coral reef became a much stronger source of DMS during low tide, with spikes in DMS<sub>a</sub> more than twice that of the background oceanic signal (Andreae et al., 1983). This was more recently observed in the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), Australia, where DMS<sub>a</sub> can exceed 45 nmol m<sup>-3</sup> during low tide (Swan et al., 2017a). Field measurements show that DMSP and DMS are correlated with SST (Jones et al., 2007) and tide height (Jones and Trevena, 2005) throughout the GBR. This relationship has also been demonstrated in chamber experiments (Raina et al., 2013; Hopkins et al., 2016). For example, *A. intermedia* sampled from Heron Island in the southern GBR increased intracellular DMSP production by 45% in response to a 2°C rise in ambient SST (Jones et al., 2014). Similarly,

Deleted: Symbiodinium

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted:

Deleted: Symbiodinium

Deleted: ,

Deleted: positively

Deleted: Great Barrier Reef (

Deleted: ), Australia

Deleted:

Deleted:

in Curaçao reef-building corals the biosynthesis of a number of betaines including DMSP, is modulated by irradiance, indicating a role in photoprotection in the coral holobiont (Hill et al., 2010).

Elevated irradiance and/or SST can impair zooxanthellae photosystems, destabilizing the photosynthetic electron-transport chain and increasing the production of harmful reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Lesser et al., 1990; Jones et al., 2002; Yakovleva et al., 2009; Downs et al., 2002). These ROS can diffuse from the symbiosome into the coral gastrodermis where antioxidant defences may prevent oxidative damage by reducing ROS levels to the tolerance threshold of the coral holobiont. In phytoplankton, superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione interactions form an antioxidant pathway, whereby SOD converts superoxide anions to hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) while the glutathione pathway regenerates the enzyme ascorbate peroxidase, responsible for scavenging H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Lesser, 2006). DMSP, DMS and acrylate are also capable of scavenging ROS (Sunda et al., 2002) and may therefore form a similar antioxidant system in corals (summarised in Fig. 3). Furthermore, Curaçao corals contain high concentrations of other photoprotective betaines, with collective total concentrations ranging from 12-204 (mean 75) nmol L<sup>-1</sup> of coral tissue (Hill et al., 2010). Glycine betaine and proline betaine were the most prominent compounds in the sampled corals, and may too, increase the oxidative stress threshold of the coral holobiont.

The role of DMSP in the coral antioxidant response is supported by several studies which show that when stress exceeds coral physiological limits (e.g. SST > 30°C, salinity < 24 psu or exposure to air at low tide), DMSP and DMS concentrations decline as the rate of oxidation to DMSO increases (Hopkins et al., 2016; Gardner et al., 2016; Deschaseaux et al., 2014b). For example, when *Acropora* spp. were exposed to SST two degrees above the climatological summer maximum for a period of 36 hours, chamber headspace DMS concentrations declined by 93% as the ratio of dissolved DMSO:DMSP increased (Fischer and Jones, 2012). Similarly, increases in DMS<sub>a</sub> occur when corals are exposed to air at low tide, due to direct atmospheric exchange (Swan et al., 2017a). DMS<sub>a</sub> declines with time as oxidation to DMSO increases. When corals are resubmerged, a second spike in DMS<sub>a</sub> occurs as the dissolution of DMS-rich coral mucous increases sea surface concentration and ventilation to the atmosphere. Atmospheric and dissolved DMS levels then decline again as DMSO concentrations increase (Hopkins et al., 2016; Swan et al., 2016). This trend is also observed when corals are exposed to low salinity (~16 psu) (Gardner et al., 2016), as occurs on inshore continental reefs during the wet season when fluvial discharge is high. The decline in intracellular DMSP and DMS emissions with high stress levels reflects enhanced photochemical oxidation to DMSO, suggesting DMS/P is a sensitive indicator of coral stress and an important antioxidant in the coral holobiont. As mentioned earlier, soft corals (e.g. *Octocorallia* spp.) contain large quantities of DMS/P. However, unlike Scleractinian corals, emissions of DMS from zooxanthellate soft corals do not vary seasonally, perhaps indicating an alternative ecological role involving holobiont community structuring (Haydon et al., 2018). Given the increasing dominance of soft corals in disturbed coral reef systems (Inoue et al., 2013; Nörstrom et al., 2009), a shift in coral community structure could lead to a significant change in DMS emissions from coral reefs.

Deleted: algal symbiont

Deleted: cytoplasm

Deleted: when ROS levels are below

Deleted: 4

Deleted:

Deleted: or

Deleted: extreme

Deleted: Interestingly

Deleted: possibly

3. Environmental stressors and their impact on DMSP cycling

A key question is how the DMS/P antioxidant system in corals will respond to a changing climate, and whether a change in DMS/P synthesis facilitates or hinders corals' ability to adapt to rapid environmental change. Bourne et al. (2016) review the cumulative impacts of coral reef stressors, and claim that when temperature, ocean acidification, water quality and other stressors such as overfishing accumulate, the diversity and resilience of the coral microbiome and coral reef ecosystem declines. Reduced diversity may lead to a higher risk of coral disease and mortality and wide-scale ecosystem shifts before a new stable state is achieved (Bourne et al., 2016). Figure 5 summarises the impacts of climate change and modification of land-use on coral reefs and the possible impact on DMS emissions. However, the impacts of ocean warming, ocean acidification and declining water quality on net DMS production and sea-air flux in coral reefs remains uncertain.

Deleted: predicted

3.1 Ocean warming

Ocean warming is considered to be one of the greatest threats to coral reefs (Baker et al., 2008; Heron et al., 2016; Hughes et al., 2018; Skirving et al., 2019). More than 90% of the heat energy accumulated in the Earth's climate system, largely a result of anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, is stored in the ocean. Consequently, global mean SST has risen by 0.5°C over the last 40 years (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2014). The stability of the coral system is dependent upon the range of temperature and irradiance experienced by the coral host and their endosymbionts (Dubinsky and Falkowski, 2011; Lesser, 2010). If thermal and/or irradiance stress induces the production of excess ROS (Lesser, 2010), corals may change the composition of zooxanthellae clades (symbiont switching or shuffling) in an attempt to reduce oxidative stress (Bay et al., 2016), or expel their zooxanthellae resulting in coral bleaching (Gates et al., 1992; Nielsen et al., 2018; Lesser et al., 1990). Temperature and irradiance stress can be exacerbated during exposure to air at low tide during the day, which can result in extensive partial mortality of intertidal corals (Buckee et al., 2019). The severity of coral bleaching and subsequent mortality is dependent on the magnitude of stress and duration of exposure (Ainsworth et al., 2016).

Deleted:

Deleted: light

Deleted: duration and

Deleted:

Deleted: during conditions preceding the event

Deleted: For example, high SST or irradiance may not independently result in coral bleaching, however, may do so synergistically.

Deleted:

Deleted:

As many Scleractinian corals now reside in regions with SST close to their upper physiological limits, a 0.5°C rise in SST above the local summer maximum for a period of several weeks is sufficient to cause coral bleaching and mortality (Berkelmans, 2009). SST greater than ~2°C above the summertime maximum can result in coral bleaching over much shorter time-scales, depending on coral tolerance to thermal and/or irradiance stress and on the magnitude and duration of exposure (Bainbridge, 2017). If stress levels subside quickly, corals may regain their zooxanthellae and recover, although surviving corals can have reduced growth, calcification and reproductive rates and a higher incidence of disease and competitive susceptibility (Baker et al., 2008; Ward et al., 2002; Chaves-Fonnegra et al., 2018). Recent work found an 89% decline in larval recruitment during the 2018 spawning event in the GBR after consecutive mass bleaching events adversely affected populations of adult spawning corals (Hughes et al., 2019). If current GHG emissions continue, it is estimated that 50% of

coral reefs will experience annual severe coral bleaching by 2030, and more than 95% by 2050 (Burke et al., 2011), assuming corals cannot adapt or acclimate to the changing climate.

5 Empirical evidence has demonstrated that DMS/P biosynthesis is upregulated during thermal stress, followed by oxidation to DMSO in temperature-sensitive species (e.g. Deschaseaux et al., 2014a). Therefore, warmer oceans will likely cause an upregulation of DMSP biosynthesis and oxidation to DMSO in coral reefs (Fig. 5). However, variation among coral species and zooxanthellae type and their interactions with marine macro- and microalgae will govern changes to the coral reef sulfur cycle. When corals experience thermal stress, *Acropora* spp. in the GBR have been found to expel thermo-sensitive Clade C *Symbiodiniaceae*, instead taking up the more tolerant Clade D variety (Bay et al., 2016). Coral switching and/or shuffling of endosymbionts may occur rapidly over time-scales of several days to weeks, or occur gradually over several months in response to changes in environmental conditions. Changing their endosymbiont community structure to favour more tolerant species can assist corals in coping with physiological stress, as thermo-tolerant zooxanthellae photosystems are not producing excess amount of ROS. For example, symbiont shuffling to favour type D symbiont dominance in *A. millepora* increased thermo-tolerance by ~1.5°C (Berkelmans and Van Oppen, 2006). Interestingly, temperature-tolerant zooxanthellae clades (e.g. clade D) produced less DMSP compared to temperature-sensitive clades when exposed to the same conditions (Deschaseaux et al., 2014b; Bay et al., 2016). likely reflecting differences in thermo-tolerance. However, tolerance thresholds within zooxanthellae clades are highly variable (Klueter et al., 2017) and do not always predict DMSP biosynthesis (Steinke et al. 2011).

20 Marine micro- and macroalgae compete with corals for light, nutrients and space. When coral reefs are degraded by coral bleaching, fleshy macroalgae such as *Polysiphonia* spp. and *Ulva* spp. which also synthesize DMSP (Van Alstyne and Puglisi, 2007), may dominate (De'ath and Fabricius, 2010; Bell, 1992; McClanahan et al., 2011; McCook and Diaz-Pulido, 2002). Phytoplankton blooms, which are additional sources of DMSP in coral reefs, have been positively correlated with aerosol concentration in the Southern Ocean, reflecting an enhanced biogenic particle source from DMS and other organic emissions (Korhonen et al., 2008; McCoy et al., 2015). This additional algal source of biogenic sulfur may counteract any decline in coral emissions (Fig. 5). Algal blooms may also increase light attenuation at the surface, alleviating coral light stress however, these organisms also promote heat absorption at the ocean surface, converting ~60% of absorbed photons to heat (Lin et al., 2016). Therefore, increasingly algal-dominated coral reef communities may exacerbate the warming effects of GHGs in coral reefs.

### 30 3.2 Ocean acidification

Ocean acidification (OA) affects coral reefs by enhancing dissolution and limiting pH-sensitive coral calcification rates (Tresguerres et al., 2017; Albright et al., 2018; Steiner et al., 2018). Anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions are 10.1 ± 0.5 Gt C year<sup>-1</sup> (Le Quéré et al., 2018), of which approximately 30% is absorbed by the oceans and affect seawater chemistry

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted: *Symbiodinium*

Deleted: Symbiont

Deleted: may

Deleted: This

Deleted: *Symbiodinium*

Deleted: some

Deleted: are weaker producers of

Deleted: the

Deleted:

Deleted: This may change if future SST rises establish new baseline temperatures above the tolerance threshold of these more tolerant clades, increasing oxidative stress and DMSP biosynthesis. ...

Deleted: *Symbiodinium*

Deleted: is

Deleted: does

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted: line


Deleted: I

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: s

(IPCC, 2014; Orr, 2011). Increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels enhance the formation of carbonic acid (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) which readily dissociates into bicarbonate ions (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and protons (H<sup>+</sup>) as per Eq. (1). These protons react with carbonate (CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) to produce more HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ions, which in turn, decrease the bioavailability of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> for marine calcification (Orr, 2011). The current concentration of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> ions in the ocean is 200 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> of seawater (Zeebe and Tyrrell, 2019), around 40 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> less than the minimum over the past 420,000 years (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007). OA also favours erosion of calcareous structures (Eq. (2)), with massive *Porites* corals in the northern GBR displaying annual declines in linear extension rates and skeletal density of 1.02% and 0.36% respectively (Cooper et al., 2008). If current GHG emissions persist, it is estimated that less than 15% of the world's tropical coral reefs will be in regions with carbonate saturation sufficient for coral growth by 2050 (Burke et al., 2011).



It is unclear how DMSP cycling will be influenced by OA and this is particularly true of coral reefs. In remote oceans such as the Arctic, biosynthesis of DMSP is predicted to increase due to enhanced phytoplankton biomass and availability of inorganic carbon (Archer et al., 2013). Other studies predict that the flux of DMS to the atmosphere will decrease due to a decline in the abundance of DMSP-producers such as phytoplankton (Schwinger et al., 2017; Archer et al., 2018). Regardless, acidification appears to be adversely affecting coral calcification and health (Cooper et al., 2008; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Orr, 2011; Albright et al., 2018). If this continues, a decline in coral cover may result in a decline in DMS emissions from coral reefs (Fig. 5). Again, opportunistic growth of marine algae is predicted to dominate degraded coral reef systems (De'ath and Fabricius, 2010; Brodie et al., 2011; McCook and Diaz-Pulido, 2002). It is possible that increased DMS emissions from this algal source may counteract the decline in coral emissions (Fig. 5). However, recent evidence from the southern GBR demonstrated that the combined effects of ocean warming and acidification under RCP8.5 conditions (an IPCC representative concentration pathway (RCP) whereby GHG and aerosol emissions drive an 8.5 W m<sup>-2</sup> increase in radiative forcing by 2100) also impaired calcification in the macroalga *Halimeda heteromorpha* (Brown et al., 2019). Other studies have demonstrated that temperature has a stronger influence on DMS/P production in cultured microalgae, whereby increased production in response to temperature outweighed the decline in DMS/P biosynthesis due to OA (Arnold et al., 2013).

### 3.3 Water quality and eutrophication

Declining water quality is another cause of coral reef degradation. Although inner-shelf coral reefs exposed to reduced water quality are more resistant to coral bleaching, resilience is low due to increased susceptibility to disease and predation (MacNeil et al., 2019). Eutrophication arises when enhanced loading of the limiting macro-nutrients nitrogen (N) and/or phosphorous (P) induce excessive growth of marine algae (Howarth et al., 2011; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; McEwan et al., 1998), which

Deleted: increase

Deleted: already

Deleted:  $Ca^{2+}(aq) + 2HCO_3^-(aq) \rightleftharpoons CaCO_3(s) + 2H^+(aq)$

Deleted:

Deleted: coralline source-strength

Deleted: , highlighting the complexity inherent in coral-algae interactions



impede coral growth and reduce the opportunity for new corals to establish (De'ath and Fabricius, 2010; Bell, 1992; McClanahan et al., 2011). This is often caused by catchment runoff and soil erosion from land clearing, agriculture and urbanization (Brodie et al., 2011; McKergow et al., 2005). Resulting algal blooms can reduce water clarity, deplete dissolved oxygen and release toxins (Osborne et al., 2001), all of which adversely affect coral health and grazing fish populations.

5 Depletion of grazing fish populations further favours algal growth by removing top-down control (McClanahan et al., 2011). Eutrophication leading to an increase in phytoplankton standing stock also contributes to outbreaks of *Acanthaster planci* (Crown of Thorns Seastar), an invasive predator of corals and a significant threat to coral reefs (Fabricius et al., 2010; De'ath et al., 2012; Wooldridge and Brodie, 2015). These impacts are compounded by enhanced sedimentation from riverine discharge and dredging, which cause benthic smothering, increased turbidity and reduced light penetration, all of which

10 adversely affect photosynthesising organisms including coral zooxanthellae (Erftemeijer et al., 2012). Sea-level rise and changes to large-scale oceanic circulation in response to global warming is exacerbating coastal erosion, which is contributing to declining water quality. Climate change has also caused an increase in storm surges which further degrade coral reefs (Mellin et al., 2019). Measurements of dissolved DMS/P have been found to decline along a gradient of relatively pristine to human-impacted coral reefs in the central GBR (Jones et al., 2007). However, it is unclear whether poor water quality will result in a

15 net decline in DMS flux from coral reefs in future, as an enhanced algal source may counteract declining emissions from corals (Fig. 5).

Deleted: the GBR

Deleted: and

Deleted: and

Deleted: themselves

Deleted: -

Deleted:

**4. Marine biogenic aerosols**

**4.1 The CLAW hypothesis**

The CLAW hypothesis (1987) proposed that marine DMS emissions increase the formation of low-level, high albedo cloud cover, establishing a biologically derived negative feedback on the warming effects of GHG (Charlson et al., 1987; Shaw, 1983). Despite decades of research, our knowledge of the biological, chemical and atmospheric processes involved in the biosynthesis, flux and climatic influences of DMS remains incomplete. Some suggest that the original hypothesis is an oversimplification (Green et al., 2014) or no longer relevant (Quinn and Bates, 2011) with anthropogenic perturbation of the atmosphere throughout much of the globe (Spracklen and Rap, 2013). However, others remain steadfastly positive about the

20 role of DMS in global climate (Grandey and Wang, 2015).

**4.2 DMS sea-to-air flux**

The global sea-air flux of DMS is estimated to be 17.6 - 34.4 Tg S year<sup>-1</sup> (Kettle and Andreae, 2000; Lana et al., 2011; Land et al., 2014), accounting for approximately 50% of the natural global atmospheric sulfate burden (Bates et al., 1992; Simó, 2001; Barnes et al., 2006). The large DMS flux range reflects the uncertainty in undersampled ocean regions where newly

30 collected data is improving the estimate (Webb et al., 2019). Emissions are highly variable in both space and time and are primarily governed by ocean biology in the mid-low latitude oceans (Broadbent and Jones, 2006; Jones et al., 2007; Korhonen

et al., 2008) and sea-ice dynamics in polar regions (Gabric et al., 2018). The sea-to-air flux of DMS depends on its surface ocean concentration (Lana et al., 2011), SST (Yang et al., 2011), wind speed (Ho and Wanninkhof, 2016) and water depth or tide height (Swan et al., 2017a). Higher sea surface DMS concentration, SST and wind speed (which increase diffusivity), and reduced tide height, will enhance the sea-air flux of DMS in coral reef waters.

**Deleted:** , all of which reduce the thermal capacity of the sea surface, causing enhanced heating and reduced diffusivity resistance. ...

It is unclear how marine DMS production and sea-air flux will change in response to climate change. In the Arctic, DMS flux is estimated to increase by 86% to 300% under modelled scenarios of three to four-times present-day CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. This predicted increase is due to a combination of enhanced biological activity and changes to sea-ice dynamics (Gabric et al., 2005; Qu et al., 2017). On a global scale, atmospheric DMS concentrations are predicted to increase by 41% with a tripling of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, increasing mean aerosol optical depth (AOD) by 3.5% and cooling the northern and southern hemisphere by 0.4 K and 0.8 K respectively (Gabric et al., 2013). Other studies simulate a global reduction of the DMS flux by 10-18% (Kloster et al., 2017; Six et al., 2013) and 48% (Schwinger et al., 2017) under various ocean acidification scenarios by the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> centuries, respectively. Modelled scenarios predict an additional global warming of 0.23-0.48 K due to a decline in DMS-derived sulfate aerosols and cloud albedo (Schwinger et al., 2017; Six et al., 2013), although significant regional variability in the response of DMS emissions to climate perturbations was present. In the Southern Ocean, DMS emissions increased in response to warming, counteracting the predicted regional decrease in emissions in response to OA (Schwinger et al., 2017). This regional variability is likely driven by differences in carbonate chemistry and conditions to which phytoplankton communities are adapted to, which play an important role in phytoplankton community structure and DMS production (Hopkins et al., 2018). Overall, studies from the last few decades of global biogeochemical modeling and mesocosm experiments provide both negative and positive changes in DMS flux under future climate conditions and highlight the need for an improved understanding of regional biogeochemical responses to climate change. This is particularly true of coral reefs, where few studies have attempted to quantify DMS emissions under current or future climate conditions.

**Deleted:** , such as Kloster et al. (2007),

**Deleted:** 10% by the late 21<sup>st</sup> century

The total contribution of coral reefs to the global sulfur budget is not yet clear, however, the GBR and lagoon waters (347,000 km<sup>2</sup>) are estimated to emit 0.02 Tg S year<sup>-1</sup> (Jones et al., 2018). It is noted that coral cover in the GBR is ~20,000 km<sup>2</sup> and consequently, DMS flux from the surrounding lagoon would appear to be higher than estimates from the coral reef. Sources of DMS in lagoon waters include phytoplankton, macroalgae and dissolved DMS released from the coral holobiont and other zooxanthellate organisms. Therefore, the total DMS flux can be considered an estimate of coral reef DMS emissions. This is the first estimate of DMS flux from the GBR, however it is based on a limited number of field observations and does not account for occasional large pulses of DMS emitted by corals during aerial exposure at low tide (Swan et al., 2017a). When these events are considered, DMS sea-air flux from coral reefs would periodically increase. Hopkins et al. (2016) provided an estimate of DMS flux per unit area of the GBR, based on laboratory measurements taken from *A. horrida* periodically exposed to air. As *Acropora* are the dominant genus throughout the Indo-Pacific and are amongst the strongest producers of DMS/P, it was estimated that corals in the GBR release 3 - 11 mmol S m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. According to this estimate the GBR releases 0.01 - 0.04

**Deleted:** ¶

¶ However, other factors affecting regional ocean biogeochemistry will also be important, such as natural variability in carbonate chemistry and the buffering capacity of the ocean surface (Hopkins et al., 2018), both of which affect phytoplankton populations. ¶

**Deleted:** was far

**Deleted:** However, it is likely that most of the dissolved DMS in the lagoon was produced by corals.

**Deleted:** entire

Tg S yr<sup>-1</sup>, consistent with the estimate provided by Jones et al. (2018). Assuming that DMS flux is constant across coral reefs and lagoon waters, tropical coral reefs (~600,000 km<sup>2</sup>) emit 0.02-0.08 Tg S yr<sup>-1</sup>. Although this represents < 1% of global or tropical average DMS sea-air flux estimates (Lana et al., 2011), it is a substantial amount of sulfur released from only 0.2% of the ocean surface, with the potential to influence local climate in coral reefs.

Deleted: -

#### 4.3 Complexity of the climate response to DMS

Deleted: Complexity of the DMS cycle

Once ventilated to the atmosphere, the influence of DMS on climate is dependent on the efficiency of DMS oxidation to SO<sub>2</sub> and secondarily to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Barnes et al., 2006), which ranges from 0.14 to 0.95 in the MBL due to spatiotemporal variation in pre-existing atmospheric properties (Glasow and Crutzen, 2004). The annual mean contribution of DMS to CCN is estimated to be only 3.3% in the northern hemisphere and 9.9% in the southern hemisphere (Woodhouse et al., 2010). Consequently, the sensitivity of CCN to DMS emissions at a global scale is low, with a 1% increase in global DMS flux resulting in only a 0.1% increase in mean CCN (Woodhouse et al., 2010). Although the response of CCN to DMS is low on a global scale, spatial and seasonal estimates vary, with higher responses evident in pristine regions of high biological activity (Woodhouse et al., 2013; Lana et al., 2012; Vallina et al., 2007; Zavorsky et al., 2018). However, an alternate DMS oxidation pathway, involving the formation of hydroperoxymethyl thioformate (HPMTF), was recently observed in the marine atmosphere and accounts for ~30% of oceanic DMS oxidation, with important implications for the representation of DMS-derived sulfates in atmospheric models (Veres et al., 2020). Further, models may be underestimating DMS emissions in some parts of the ocean due to sparsity in global observations, resulting in large uncertainties in interpolated flux estimates (Mulcahy et al., 2018).

In the remote MBL, biogenic aerosols such as DMS-derived sulfates may be important in smaller-scale climate regulation. Vallina et al. (2007) identified a strong sensitivity of fine-mode AOD and CCN number to the rate of DMS<sub>a</sub> oxidation in pristine, high latitude regions. In the North Atlantic, new sulfate particles formed via the nucleation of DMS-derived H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the free troposphere accounted for 33% of CCN at 0.1% supersaturation, largely due to enhanced phytoplankton biomass in spring (Sanchez et al., 2018). Similarly, in the Southern Ocean, seasonal variability in cloud droplet number is primarily driven by changes in sea-spray, organic matter and biogenic sulfates, with seasonal maxima during spring and summer, coinciding with enhanced biological activity and DMS emissions. DMS-derived nss-SO<sub>4</sub> particles account for 7-20% of mean CCN in winter and 43-65% in summer (Korhonen et al., 2008), increasing the Southern Ocean summertime mean reflectivity by more than 10 W m<sup>-2</sup> (McCoy et al., 2015). Conversely, complete removal of DMS resulted in a modelled top of atmosphere radiative forcing of +1.7 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Fiddes et al., 2018).

Deleted: have been shown to be influential on regional local climate, suggesting that DMS

Deleted: in pristine marine environments.

Deleted: In

Deleted: Similarly

#### 5. Do coral reefs affect the climate?

The GBR provides a valuable study location for the analysis of biogenic aerosols. Given its large spatial extent (2,300 km in length and 60-250 km in width) and southern hemisphere location, the atmosphere over the GBR is considered to be relatively unpolluted. The region also experiences predominant east to south-easterly trade winds year-round, which carry clean, marine

air to the GBR and advect biogenic compounds northward over downwind coral reefs. Consequently, the majority of research examining the role of coral reefs in MBA production has focused on the GBR region.

### 5.1 Influence on particle formation and growth

The ability of corals to influence local atmospheric properties has only recently begun to be appreciated. Bigg and Turvey (1978) measured total atmospheric particle concentration along the north-east Queensland (QLD) coastline from 1974 to 1977 and found that concentrations were up to seven-times higher in maritime air directly over the GBR compared to the seaward side. Three decades later, Leck and Bigg (2008) observed fluctuations in particle concentration at Lizard Island in the northern GBR during September 1998 and July 2005. Nucleation events forming particles with a diameter greater than  $> 0.01 \mu\text{m}$ , were observed on all study days with concentrations reaching  $40,000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  during the warmer September period and up to  $4,300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  in July (Leck and Bigg, 2008). There is strong seasonality in both DMS (Jones et al., 2018; Swan et al., 2017a) and AOD in the GBR (Cropp et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 2018). The large seasonal difference in aerosol loading implies a temperature or irradiance-dependent biological influence. Furthermore, Modini et al. (2009) observed nucleation events at Agnes Water in the southern GBR, in which corals were concluded to be the most likely source of precursor compounds. These events occurred in clean, easterly air masses originating in the MBL, when solar irradiance at the sea surface was high ( $\sim 1,000 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ). Particles consisted of 40% organics and 60% sulfate. In the strongest nucleation event, Aitken mode ( $< 0.1 \mu\text{m}$  in diameter) concentration was measured at  $3,200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . This event occurred during a NNE wind, accumulating particles of marine origin from upwind coral reefs. The authors concluded that Aitken mode concentration was too low to have been derived from coagulation alone, thus new particles were largely derived from the condensation of low volatility vapours such as DMS-derived  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (Modini et al., 2009). Swan et al. (2016) also measured high particle concentration over Heron Island in the southern GBR, which coincided with a peak in DMS emissions from the coral reef flat during calm conditions after a low tide, again suggesting that the coral reef was a source of MBA precursor compounds.

The ability of corals to influence the atmosphere above them has also been investigated using remote-sensing approaches. Lana et al. (2012) found that sulfate aerosols positively correlated with CCN and negatively with cloud droplet radius throughout the mid to high latitude oceans and in some tropical latitudes, where a high density of coral reefs exists. Observations of satellite-derived fine-mode aerosol over parts of the GBR show concentrations to be positively correlated with conditions that are empirically shown to cause a stress response in corals and thus, enhance DMS emissions (Cropp et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 2018). Correlation strength between aerosol and coral light stress (a function of PAR, tide height and water clarity) improved with decreasing wind speed at Heron Island, suggestive of a substantial local MBA source over the southern GBR (Cropp et al., 2018).

Figure 6 shows the seasonal zonal-averaged variation in daily mean (2001 - 2017) total AOD (869 nm) over the GBR, highlighting the seasonality in aerosol loading. This data was acquired at 4 km resolution from the Moderate Resolution

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: aerosol

Deleted: loading

Deleted: particle concentration

Deleted: Similarly

Deleted: n

Deleted: extremely

Deleted: to be

Moved up [1]: There is strong seasonality in both DMS (Jones et al., 2018; Swan et al., 2017a) and aerosol loading in the GBR (Cropp et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 2018).

Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on board the Terra and Aqua satellites (<https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/>). Observations over the Australian continent were excluded, thus Fig. 6b shows only zonal-averaged AOD for the GBR and adjacent Coral Sea (Fig 6a). In the northern half of the GBR, AOD is highest during the winter dry season (May - October). Conversely, the southern half of the GBR displays a marked increase in AOD during the warmer months (November - April) and a decrease in winter (Fig. 6b). It is not clear what is driving this latitudinal variability, however emissions of DMS and other volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as isoprene, cannot be excluded as a potential driver of seasonal variability. Existing research has linked seasonal variability in aerosol and CCN formation to enhanced biological activity in other remote ocean regions (Gabric et al., 2018; Korhonen et al., 2008). The increase in AOD during summer in the southern GBR is also suggestive of a temperature or light-dependent biogenic influence, potentially from the high biomass of coral reefs below.

## 5.2 Influence on low-level clouds, sea surface temperature and surface solar radiation

The Western Pacific Warm Pool (WPWP) is a coral reef dense region located to the north-east of Australia, where SST reaches an upper limit of  $\sim 30^{\circ}\text{C}$  due to regular pulses of low-level cloud (LLC) cover which closely follow the tidal cycle (Kleypas et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2010; Ramanathan and Collins, 1991). It has been posited that coral reef DMS emissions contribute to the formation of this “ocean thermostat”, acting to suppress ocean temperatures below coral thermal tolerance thresholds, resulting in few coral bleaching events over the past 25 years (Kleypas et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2010). Similarly, although an overall warming trend is apparent along the NE coast of Australia, SST in the far northern GBR is warming at a slower rate compared to southern regions (Lough, 2008; Heron et al., 2016). As in the WPWP, this is potentially due to enhanced LLC which is estimated to account for approximately 30% of the variance in SST over the GBR (Leahy et al., 2013). Figure 7 shows LLC closely aligned over the Swains Reefs in the southern GBR, captured by MODIS Terra on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018. Back trajectories computed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Air Resources Laboratory HYSPLIT Model show dominant south-westerly winds on this day (Stein et al., 2015; Rolph et al., 2017), potentially explaining the north-eastward migration of LLC in the true colour image (Fig. 7).

Reduced SST and irradiance due to enhanced LLC have also been observed to mitigate mass coral bleaching events. For example, high SST in the summer of 1998 resulted in widespread coral bleaching throughout the tropics (Wilkinson et al., 1999). This event caused severe bleaching and subsequent mortality of 99% of *Pocillopora* corals in the Tuamotu Archipelago, French Polynesia (Mumby et al., 2001a). However, high LLC cover significantly reduced the amount of surface solar radiation at coral reefs in the nearby Society Islands where bleaching did not occur (Mumby et al., 2001b). Similarly, high SST ( $> 31^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) in 1994 caused coral bleaching at Nelly Bay (Magnetic Island) in the central GBR (Jones et al., 1997) however, no bleaching was observed  $\sim 60$  km away at Pioneer Bay (Orpheus Island) (Jones et al., 2007). Jones et al. (2017) examined DMS<sub>g</sub> before, during and after this bleaching event at both locations. At the unbleached reef, regular pulses of LLC often coincided with pulses of DMS ( $14\text{--}20\text{ }\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{ day}^{-1}$ ). Conversely, at the bleached reef LLC cover and DMS emissions were low when SST exceeded  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Jones et al., 2007) and coincided with high solar irradiance (Jones et al., 2017). Together these findings suggest

Deleted:

Deleted: lunar

Deleted: extreme

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: extreme

that stress-induced emissions of DMS from coral reefs may influence local LLC cover to mitigate stress and prevent coral bleaching. However, spatial variation in this phenomenon is apparent, possibly due to ~~prevailing~~ meteorological conditions or other factors affecting coral reef health as discussed in section 2.

Deleted: existing

### 5.3 Influence on precipitation

Coral reef-derived aerosol emissions have also been proposed to affect precipitation patterns. Jones (2015) discusses ~~high~~ rainfall in regions lying in the path of SE trade winds travelling over the GBR, implying that emissions of DMS and other organic compounds from the coral reef contributed to cloud droplet formation. Conversely, Fiddes et al. (2018) found that DMS-derived sulfate particles enhanced LLC cover and lifetime and consequently suppressed rainfall in parts of the tropics. When high concentrations of fine particles rapidly grow to CCN activation sizes, cloud droplet size decreases, cloud lifetime increases, and rainfall is suppressed (Rosenfeld et al., 2007; Dave et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2018). However, when CCN minimum size is not met, water vapour remains in the atmosphere, suppressing local rainfall yet enhancing rainfall downwind when conditions for particle growth are more favourable (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Fan et al., 2018; Grandey and Wang, 2015; Lin et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2016). Changes to the source strength of ultra-fine and fine aerosols may therefore affect climate by either increasing or suppressing rainfall. The ~~possibility of reduced precipitation is a critical question for rainfall-sensitive agriculture in NE Australia with ongoing degradation of the GBR and highlights the need for an improved understanding of the relationship between MBA emissions and their impact on cloud micro-physics.~~

Deleted: extreme

Deleted: latter poses a concerning question

Deleted: the sustainability of

Deleted:

### 5.4 Other biogenic volatile organic compounds

Although DMSP and its derivatives are the most abundant compounds in the coral reef sulfur cycle (~95% of sulfur compounds), other VOCs such as isoprene, dimethyl disulfide (DMDS), carbon disulfide (CS<sub>2</sub>) and the recently discovered DMSP variant, dimethylsulfoxonium propionate (DMSOP) are also produced in coral reefs (Swan et al., 2016; Thume et al., 2018). Isoprene is the most abundant biogenic VOC in the atmosphere, largely derived from terrestrial vegetation however, oceanic emissions are also reported from marine algae including ~~Symbiodiniaceae~~ (Exton et al., 2013). Swan et al. (2016) recorded large quantities of isoprene in *A. aspera* mucous, likely produced by expelled coral ~~zooxanthellae~~. Isoprene may be oxidised in the atmosphere or condenses onto existing particles, thus also contributing to aerosol formation over coral reefs with the potential to affect local climate (Fan and Zhang, 2004; Kroll et al., 2006; Surratt et al., 2007). Photochemistry at the ocean surface is ~~a sink of organic compounds, yet interfacial photochemical reactions also produce an important abiotic source of VOCs, estimated to be 23.2 - 91.9 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, contributing approximately 60% of organic aerosol mass in the remote MBL~~ (Brüggemann et al., 2018).

Deleted: Symbiodinium

Deleted: Symbiodinium

Deleted: This compound

Deleted: also

Deleted: an important abiotic source of

Deleted: vapours

Deleted: , with VOC emission estimates ranging from

### 6. Outlook and the implications for coral bleaching

The ~~physiological~~ response of corals to increasing light and/or temperature stress is nonlinear. As these environmental factors increase beyond optimal levels, DMS emissions initially increase, but when thermal and/or light stress becomes too great,

DMS emissions from corals essentially shut-down (Jones et al., 2007; Fischer and Jones, 2012). This means that there are less aerosol precursor compounds and potentially fewer secondary aerosols forming over the coral reef. Recent work examined anomalies in fine-mode AOD over the GBR during four mass coral bleaching events which occurred primarily due to marine heatwaves (Jackson et al., 2018). Prior to each bleaching event, when SST was rising and corals were likely emitting more DMS, above average AOD was observed. However, just prior to and during the bleaching events, when corals were likely experiencing physiological stress, AOD declined to normal background levels, or below average levels where the coral reef was severely affected. Although these covarying events may be a coincidence, the synchronous decline in AOD with the onset of coral bleaching suggests a link between coral health and atmospheric properties in the GBR.

Deleted: extreme

Deleted: and may have bleached

This raises some important and concerning questions. Will the nonlinear response of DMS emissions from coral reduce their ability to cope with future temperature rises? If coral reefs significantly affect local atmospheric conditions, what will the consequences of ongoing coral reef degradation and coral bleaching be for local or regional climate? A decline in aerosol and LLC formation over relatively pristine coral reefs such as the GBR could occur, potentially increasing SST and establishing a positive feedback on coral stress.

Deleted: our climate

## 7. Future research

Deleted: It is possible that we are already witnessing the early stages of this process, whereby back-to-back marine heatwaves and coral bleaching events are causing a rapid decline in coral cover in the GBR (Hughes et al., 2018).

Deleted: Coral reef monitoring

Coral reefs are a significant source of dimethylated sulfur compounds however, there remains substantial uncertainty surrounding the importance of DMS in coral homeostasis and local climate, and what implications ongoing coral reef degradation may have on these complex biogeophysical processes. It is important that future research monitors changes to coral health and community structure, the processes driving DMS biosynthesis and emissions, and characterises the properties of marine aerosols and LLC over coral reefs. Understanding these complex ecological and biogeophysical processes will require a multidisciplinary approach, utilizing field, laboratory and remotely sensed data in conjunction with earth system models.

A key area for future research is the investigation of DMS/P biosynthesis amongst different coral reef taxa. The relative abundance of a range of VOCs has been investigated in some species which occupy coral reefs including *Acropora* spp., *Stylophora pistillata*, *Favites* sp., *Lobophytum* sp. (soft coral) and cultured samples of benthic macroalgae and crustose coralline algae (Broadbent et al., 2002; Swan et al., 2016, 2017b). Further studies quantifying the biosynthesis of DMS/P/O in other coral genera, and other DMS-producing lifeforms is needed. These studies should particularly focus on species which currently or are predicted to dominate disturbed coral reef systems after an ecological regime shift, such as temperature-tolerant Scleractinian corals, soft corals (e.g. octocorals) and macroalgae. Further laboratory or mesocosm experiments must also investigate how DMS/P/O biosynthesis varies under multiple, synergistic stressors (e.g. Arnold et al., 2013) and whether a change in biosynthesis will assist corals in coping with future disturbances. For example, it is not

known whether DMS emissions from thermo-tolerant coral species will increase with further ocean warming, or whether ocean acidification and deteriorating water quality will impede coral resilience and result in a decline in emissions.

Long-term, high frequency measurements of seawater and atmospheric DMS concentrations in coral reefs is also needed. Short-term field campaigns measuring DMS<sub>w</sub> and DMS<sub>a</sub> have been conducted over periods ranging from several days of consistent measurements to several months of sporadic measurements (e.g. Andreae et al., 1983; Jones et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2018; Swan et al., 2017a). These campaigns have been crucial in providing high frequency, detailed data necessary to begin to understand the processes driving DMS emissions from coral reefs. For example, Swan et al. (2017a) identified spikes in DMS<sub>a</sub> emitted by corals at low tide at Heron Island during consistent DMS<sub>a</sub> sampling over a two-week period. Such periodic emissions may not have been captured without consistent measurements (every ~15 minutes) of local tide height and DMS<sub>a</sub> concentrations. This finding supported early indications that corals can be a much stronger, albeit intermittent source of DMS during low tide, compared to the background oceanic signal which is likely dominated by algal emissions (Andreae et al., 1983). However, field surveys are very cost- and resource intensive (reviewed in Hedley et al., 2016). The establishment of an autonomous system (e.g. Dacey, 2010) would alleviate some of these caveats and greatly benefit this field of research. This data would allow diel and seasonal changes in DMS emissions from coral reefs to be investigated more thoroughly and allow long-term changes in emissions strength with ongoing climate change to be determined. Although, field surveys alone cannot always capture the larger scale processes involved in DMS oxidation, particle formation and growth. DMS has an atmospheric residence time of up to 1 day (Khan et al., 2016) and may therefore accumulate and affect aerosol and cloud properties over coral reefs downwind of the emissions source where field sampling may not be occurring. Therefore, it can be difficult to deduce empirical relationships between local DMS emissions and atmospheric properties from field surveys alone.

Remote-sensing approaches are useful in this regard, as they enable rapid, cost and time efficient analysis of a wide range of variables at large temporal and spatial scales and can be directly compared with field observations. For example, long-term, high-frequency observations of fine-mode AOD are available from the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) at Lucinda in the central GBR. Comparison of this dataset with long-term measurements of meteorological conditions and DMS<sub>a</sub> above the adjacent coral reef would provide valuable insight into the role of DMS on local atmospheric properties. Furthermore, if empirical relationships between coral physiological stress and DMS/P biosynthesis can be deduced from field and laboratory experiments, a remotely sensed proxy for sea surface DMS concentrations and sea-air flux from coral reefs can be calculated (e.g. Cropp et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2018), similar to that produced for phytoplankton (Gali et al., 2018). Such proxies could be used in conjunction with long-term data on coral cover and health (e.g. Australian Institute of Marine Science Long-Term Monitoring Program) to estimate the source strength of DMS emissions over large regions such as the GBR under future climate scenarios.



Several remote sensing approaches are currently used by coral reef scientists and managers to monitor environmental conditions in coral reefs. The majority of these focus on SST and PAR anomalies as these are largely considered to be the major stressors to corals and are often the dominant factors contributing to coral bleaching (Jones et al., 2002; Lesser, 2010). The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Coral Reef Watch utilises satellite-derived SST and PAR to produce several measures of coral thermal and light stress, including the Hotspot, Degree Heating Week (DHW) and Light Stress Damage (LSD) products (Liu et al., 2006; Skirving et al., 2017). For example, the DHW product is based on spatially dependent SST anomalies above the maximum climatological summertime SST. Empirical evidence has demonstrated that these remotely sensed products reliably predict the severity and extent of mass coral bleaching (Hughes et al., 2018; Bainbridge, 2017; Berkelmans, 2009; Liu et al., 2003; Skirving et al., 2017, 2019). These are also conditions which are known to affect coral DMS/P biosynthesis. Investigating spatiotemporal trends in conditions that influence DMS/P biosynthesis (e.g. thermal stress, measured as DHW), and atmospheric properties such as AOD, could provide insight into the role of DMS in local climate of coral reefs (e.g. Cropp et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 2018).

This information could then be used to inform regional earth system models, to quantify the role of DMS<sub>a</sub> in the formation of sulfate aerosols, CCN and the properties of low-level marine clouds over coral reefs. Currently, DMS emissions from coral reefs are poorly constrained and are not explicitly included in any global DMS climatology. Some modelled studies show weak, or no relationship between DMS<sub>a</sub> and atmospheric properties in the tropics, whereas strong relationships have been demonstrated in other ocean regions (e.g. Vallina et al., 2007). This may be due to low sensitivity of aerosol and CCN to DMS in the low-latitudes, or a consequence of poor representation of coral reef DMS emissions in global climatologies. Improving the representation of coral reefs in DMS databases, which are subsequently incorporated into earth system models, would provide insight into the sensitivity of local climate to coral reef DMS emissions. Quantifying the radiative cooling effect of marine biogenic aerosols over coral reefs is increasingly important with ongoing ocean warming and coral bleaching.

## 8. Mitigation strategies

The predicted increase in the frequency and severity of mass coral bleaching events may require biological and/or physical interventional management strategies to conserve coral reefs. One possible method is the propagation of temperature-tolerant coral species throughout coral reef ecosystems (Van Oppen et al., 2015). This may help degraded coral reefs recover from disturbances such as coral bleaching and help to prevent further mass coral bleaching events. As discussed above, our understanding of DMS/P biosynthesis amongst coral taxa is relatively limited and temperature tolerance does not always predict the rate of DMS emissions (Steinke et al., 2011). Although, the propagation of these temperature-tolerant species may counteract the predicted decline in DMS emissions in coral reefs dominated by sensitive coral species with ongoing coral reef degradation.

**Deleted:** Adaptive management of coral reefs depends on regular monitoring of coral health, cover and the impacts of environmental stressors such as coral bleaching. The ability to accurately predict the impacts of future disturbances on coral reefs is also required. Field surveys provide valuable, detailed information on the condition of coral reefs. The Australian Institute for Marine Science (AIMS) Long-Term Monitoring Program is one of the most comprehensive programs, providing more than 30 years of continuous surveys of coral reef health and community structure across 47 mid-shore and offshore reefs spanning the entire length of the GBR. This data has been used to assess the impacts of stressors such as coastal development, agricultural runoff, industrial activities such as dredging, predators and climate change. The findings have been instrumental in the development of current initiatives aimed at mitigating coral reef stressors, such as the re-zoning of the GBR Marine Park in 2004 and the Australian Government Reef Restoration and Adaptation Program. These types of programs are crucial in providing high frequency, detailed data necessary to understand the local biogeochemical processes that are driving the larger changes to community structure and climate patterns. However, field surveys are extremely cost and resource intensive (reviewed in Hedley et al., 2016) and consequently occur over relatively short time scales of days to weeks. Additionally, field surveys cannot capture processes that may be occurring down-wind of where climatically important compounds such as DMS were emitted. This is true of field measurement of DMS/P in coral reef waters, where DMS has an atmospheric residence time of up to 1 day (Khan et al., 2016) and may therefore accumulate and affect aerosol and climate properties over coral reefs downwind of the emissions source (e.g. Swan et al., 2016). Therefore, it can be difficult to deduce empirical relationships between local DMS emissions and atmospheric properties from field surveys at a single location. Although these measurements provide valuable insight into the environmental factors driving variability in emission strength, the larger scale processes involved in DMS oxidation, particle formation and growth cannot be discerned from this data alone.

Remote-sensing approaches are useful in this regard, as they enable rapid, cost and time efficient analysis of a wide range of variables at large temporal and spatial scales. Several remote sensing approaches are currently used by coral reef scientists and managers to monitor environmental conditions in coral reefs. The majority of these focus on SST as this is largely considered to be the major stressor to corals and is often the dominant factor contributing to coral bleaching... [1]

**Deleted:** means that

**Deleted:** may be required

**Deleted:** In addition to

**Deleted:**

Other strategies are aimed at mitigating the warming effects of GHGs via physical means. Solar Radiation Management (SRM) strategies essentially mimic natural marine aerosol emissions and involve injecting sea-spray or sulfate particles into the atmosphere over coral reefs to increase the albedo of low-lying marine clouds (Crabbe, 2009; Irvine et al., 2017). In a modelled scenario, injecting 5 Tg SO<sub>2</sub> annually into the stratosphere above Caribbean coral reefs reduced SST, irradiance and sea-level rise and resulted in a substantial decline in the number of mass coral bleaching events predicted to occur over the next 50 years (Zhang et al., 2017). Similarly, Kwiatkowski et al. (2015) reported that enhancing SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the tropical stratosphere reduced SST and the risk of coral bleaching over the next 30 years under an RCP4.5 scenario (where GHG and aerosol emissions drive a 4.5 W m<sup>-2</sup> increase in radiative forcing by 2100). Other studies have examined the effect of releasing sea-spray from ocean-based vessels to the MBL over coral reefs to brighten low-level marine clouds. Latham et al. (2013) found that an enhanced source of sea-spray aerosol over the GBR, Caribbean and French Polynesia offset the warming effects associated with a doubling of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, and this reduced the number of coral bleaching events predicted to occur until the end of this century. An additional benefit of these SRM strategies is the potential moderation of climate hazards (Irvine et al., 2019), such as the reduction in the severity of tropical cyclones with a decline in SST (Zhang et al., 2017; Latham et al., 2012).

Deleted: o  
Deleted: have also been proposed

Another approach involves the implementation of a biodegradable calcium carbonate surface film over coral reefs. Early trials conducted as part of the Surface Films to Attenuate Light into the Great Barrier Reef project have demonstrated that the film increased light attenuation by 30% and had no adverse effects on coral physiology (Great Barrier Reef Foundation, 2018). An initiative proposed by the Reef and Rainforest Research Centre and QLD tourism industry is investigating the feasibility of pumping deep, cold water from 10 - 30 m over coral reefs with high economic and environmental value. Early pilot studies are currently being conducted to test the feasibility of the technology at Moore Reef off the coast of Cairns (Reef and Rainforest Research Centre, 2017).

Deleted: reducing

Solar geoengineering is a realistic approach which may provide short-term protection for high-value or vulnerable coral reefs from rising temperatures. Some of these approaches mimic marine biogenic aerosol emissions and may counteract a decline in DMS-derived sulfate emissions with ongoing coral reef degradation. However, these approaches are highly cost- and resource intensive, particularly for large coral reef systems such as the GBR and success would depend upon the willingness of governments and/or organisations to continue funding and implementing the technology. These strategies also do not address other coral reef stressors such as ocean acidification and the long-term implications are not yet completely understood (Crabbe, 2009; Irvine et al., 2017). Therefore, there is enormous incentive to improve our understanding of the natural drivers of coral resilience, including the role of DMS/P in alleviating oxidative stress and influencing the radiative balance over coral reefs. Future research must decipher the role of DMS and other VOC emissions in marine aerosol and cloud formation. If DMS significantly influences aerosol and cloud properties and alleviates coral physiological stress, it is vital that we understand how these processes may be affected by ongoing anthropogenic and climate change-related disturbances. Further

Deleted: s  
Deleted: s  
Deleted: Another  
Deleted: reefs in the northern GBR

Deleted: Climate

Deleted: extremely

research is also needed to understand the long-term implications of solar geoengineering strategies, which may need to be implemented as a last resort to conserve coral reefs.

### 9. Conclusions

There is substantial evidence that coral reefs are strong sources of dimethylated sulfur compounds. These play an important role in alleviating oxidative stress in the coral holobiont resulting from high temperatures, irrradiance, aerial exposure and hyposalinity. It is possible that a side-effect of this stress response provides a source of precursor compounds for the formation of secondary sulfate aerosols, with important implications for the radiative balance over coral reefs. There is strong seasonality in both DMS emissions and aerosol loading over the 2,300 km stretch of relatively pristine coral reefs in the GBR - a relationship that is indicative of a substantial biogenic influence on AOD. Field studies have observed new particle formation events occurring over the GBR, and remote sensing analyses have demonstrated that AOD is positively correlated with conditions that have been empirically shown to enhance coral DMS emissions. It is therefore possible that pristine coral reefs such as the GBR are a source of MBA, with important implications for coral resilience to future rises in ocean temperature.

Corals enhance DMS emissions in response to rising stress however, emissions decline when coral physiological tolerance ranges are approached. The same trend has been observed for both AOD and LLC cover, which often coincide with coral bleaching events. Natural aerosols are an important source of CCN and cloud cover is a primary determinant of the spatial extent and severity of coral bleaching. Although the response of coral DMS emissions to the changing climate is uncertain, a decline in DMS-derived particles may exacerbate warming and the degradation of coral reefs. Capturing the complex biogeochemical and ocean-atmosphere interactions involved in the coral reef sulfur cycle is a challenging task. A multidisciplinary approach which utilises both field and remote sensing observations, with earth system models is therefore needed to improve our understanding of the importance of DMS in coral physiology and climate. This will enable a better understanding of natural aerosol radiative effects and inform alternative methods of coral reef management.

Deleted: light levels

Deleted: likely

Deleted: the radiative balance.

Deleted: This biogenic aerosol source is in danger of becoming weaker with ongoing coral reef degradation. Although c...

Deleted: all of

Deleted: to

#### **Data availability**

The aerosol optical depth dataset presented in this review can be obtained from NASA's OceanColor Distributed Active Archive Centre (<https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/>).

#### **Author contributions**

5 RJ prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### **Acknowledgements**

10 The authors gratefully acknowledge the MODIS mission scientists and NASA Ocean Biology Processing Group for the provision of aerosol optical depth data presented in this review. We also thank the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory (ARL) for the provision of the HYSPLIT transport and dispersion model and READY website (<https://www.ready.noaa.gov>) used in this publication.

#### **Funding**

15 Rebecca Jackson is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship, a Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation Scholarship and the Griffith University School of Environment and Science.

## References

- Ainsworth, T. D., Heron, S. F., Ortiz, J. C., Mumby, P., Grech, A., Ogawa, D., Eakin, C. M., and Leggat, W.: Climate change disables coral bleaching protection on the Great Barrier Reef, *Science*, 352, 338-342, 10.1126/science.aac7125, 2016.
- Albright, R., Takeshita, Y., Koweek, D. A., Ninokawa, A., Wolfe, K., Rivlin, T., Nebuchina, Y., Young, J., and Caldeira, K.: Carbon dioxide addition to coral reef waters suppresses net community calcification, *Nature*, 555, 516, 10.1038/nature25968, 2018.
- [Andreae, M., Barnard, W., and Ammons, J.: The biological production of dimethylsulfide in the ocean and its role in the global atmospheric sulfur budget, \*Ecological Bulletins\*, 167-177, 1983.](#)
- Andreae, M., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol–cloud–precipitation interactions. Part 1. The nature and sources of cloud-active aerosols, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 89, 13-41, 10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.03.001, 2008.
- Andreae, M. O., and Crutzen, P. J.: Atmospheric aerosols: Biogeochemical sources and role in atmospheric chemistry, *Science*, 276, 1052-1058, 10.1126/science.276.5315.1052, 1997.
- Archer, S., Kimmance, S., Stephens, J., Hopkins, F., Bellerby, R., Schulz, K. G., Piontek, J., and Engel, A.: Contrasting responses of DMS and DMSP to ocean acidification in Arctic waters, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 1893-1908, 10.5194/bg-10-1893-2013, 2013.
- Archer, S. D., Suffrian, K., Posman, K. M., Bach, L. T., Matrai, P. A., Countway, P. D., Ludwig, A., and Riebesell, U.: Processes that contribute to decreased dimethyl sulfide production in response to ocean acidification in subtropical waters, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5, Art. Nr. 245, 10.3389/fmars.2018.00245, 2018.
- [Arnold, H. E., Kerrison, P., and Steinke, M.: Interacting effects of ocean acidification and warming on growth and DMS-production in the haptophyte coccolithophore \*Emiliania huxleyi\*, \*Global Change Biology\*, 19, 1007-1016, 10.1111/gcb.12105, 2013.](#)
- Bainbridge, S. J.: Temperature and light patterns at four reefs along the Great Barrier Reef during the 2015–2016 austral summer: understanding patterns of observed coral bleaching, *Journal of Operational Oceanography*, 10, 16-29, 10.1080/1755876X.2017.1290863, 2017.
- Baker, A. C., Glynn, P. W., and Riegl, B.: Climate change and coral reef bleaching: An ecological assessment of long-term impacts, recovery trends and future outlook, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 80, 435-471, 10.1016/j.ecss.2008.09.003, 2008.
- Barbier, E. B., Hacker, S. D., Kennedy, C., Koch, E. W., Stier, A. C., and Silliman, B. R.: The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services, *Ecological Monographs*, 81, 169-193, 10.1890/10-1510.1, 2011.
- Barnes, I., Hjorth, J., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl sulfoxide and their oxidation in the atmosphere, *Chemical Reviews*, 106, 940-975, 10.1021/cr020529+, 2006.
- Bates, T., Lamb, B., Guenther, A., Dignon, J., and Stoiber, R.: Sulfur emissions to the atmosphere from natural sources, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 14, 315-337, 1992.

- Bay, L. K., Doyle, J., Logan, M., and Berkelmans, R.: Recovery from bleaching is mediated by threshold densities of background thermo-tolerant symbiont types in a reef-building coral, *Royal Society Open Science*, 3, 160322, 10.1098/rsos.160322, 2016.
- Bell, P.: Eutrophication and coral reefs—some examples in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon, *Water Research*, 26, 553-568, 1992.
- 5 Berkelmans, R., and Van Oppen, M. J.: The role of zooxanthellae in the thermal tolerance of corals: a ‘nugget of hope’ for coral reefs in an era of climate change, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273, 2305-2312, 10.1098/rspb.2006.3567, 2006.
- Berkelmans, R.: Bleaching and mortality thresholds: how much is too much?, in: *Coral Bleaching*, Springer, 103-119, 2009.
- Bigg, E., and Turvey, D.: Sources of atmospheric particles over Australia, *Atmospheric Environment*, 12, 1643-1655, 1978.
- 10 Bourne, D. G., Morrow, K. M., and Webster, N. S.: Insights into the coral microbiome: underpinning the health and resilience of reef ecosystems, *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 70, 317-340, 10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095440, 2016.
- Broadbent, A., and Jones, G.: Seasonal and diurnal cycles of dimethylsulfide, dimethylsulfoniopropionate and dimethylsulfoxide at One Tree Reef lagoon, *Environmental Chemistry*, 3, 260-267, 10.1071/EN06011, 2006.
- Broadbent, A. D., Jones, G. B., and Jones, R. J.: DMSP in corals and benthic algae from the Great Barrier Reef, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 55, 547-555, 10.1006/ecss.2002.1021, 2002.
- 15 Broadbent, A. D., and Jones, G. B.: DMS and DMSP in mucus ropes, coral mucus, surface films and sediment pore waters from coral reefs in the Great Barrier Reef, *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 55, 849-855, 10.1071/MF04114, 2004.
- Brodie, J., Devlin, M., Haynes, D., and Waterhouse, J.: Assessment of the eutrophication status of the Great Barrier Reef lagoon (Australia), *Biogeochemistry*, 106, 281-302, 10.1007/s10533-010-9542-2, 2011.
- 20 Brown, K. T., Bender-Champ, D., Kenyon, T. M., Rémond, C., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., and Dove, S.: Temporal effects of ocean warming and acidification on coral–algal competition, *Coral Reefs*, 38, 297-309, 10.1007/s00338-019-01775-y, 2019.
- Brüggemann, M., Hayeck, N., and George, C.: Interfacial photochemistry at the ocean surface is a global source of organic vapors and aerosols, *Nature Communications*, 9, 2101, 10.1038/s41467-018-04528-7, 2018.
- 25 [Buckee, J., Pattiaratchi, C., and Verduin, J.: Partial mortality of intertidal corals due to seasonal daytime low water levels at the Houtman Abrolhos Islands, Coral Reefs, 1-7, 10.1007/s00338-019-01887-5, 2019.](#)
- Bullock, H. A., Luo, H., and Whitman, W. B.: Evolution of dimethylsulfoniopropionate metabolism in marine phytoplankton and bacteria, *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 637, 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00637, 2017.
- Burdett, H. L., Hatton, A. D., and Kamenos, N. A.: Coralline algae as a globally significant pool of marine dimethylated sulfur, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29, 1845-1853, 10.1002/2015GB005274, 2015.
- 30 Burke, L., Reyntar, K., Spalding, M., and Perry, A.: *Reefs at Risk Revisited*, World Resources Institute, Washington DC, 2011.
- Carslaw, K., Lee, L., Reddington, C., Pringle, K., Rap, A., Forster, P., Mann, G., Spracklen, D., Woodhouse, M., and Regayre, L.: Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, *Nature*, 503, 67, 10.1038/nature12674, 2013.

- Caruana, A. M., and Malin, G.: The variability in DMSP content and DMSP lyase activity in marine dinoflagellates, *Progress in Oceanography*, 120, 410-424, 10.1016/j.pcean.2013.10.014, 2014.
- Cesar, H., Burke, L., and Pet-Soede, L.: The economics of worldwide coral reef degradation, Cesar Environmental Economics Consulting (CEEC), 2003.
- 5 Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O., and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo and climate, *Nature*, 326, 655, 1987.
- Chaves-Fonnegra, A., Riegl, B., Zea, S., Lopez, J. V., Smith, T., Brandt, M., and Gilliam, D. S.: Bleaching events regulate shifts from corals to excavating sponges in algae-dominated reefs, *Global Change Biology*, 24, 773-785, 10.1111/gcb.13962, 2018.
- 10 Cooper, T. F., De'Ath, G., Fabricius, K. E., and Lough, J. M.: Declining coral calcification in massive *Porites* in two nearshore regions of the northern Great Barrier Reef, *Global Change Biology*, 14, 529-538, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01520.x, 2008.
- Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., Van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S. J., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S., and Turner, R. K.: Changes in the global value of ecosystem services, *Global Environmental Change*, 26, 152-158, 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002, 2014.
- 15 Crabbe, M. J. C.: Modelling effects of geoengineering options in response to climate change and global warming: Implications for coral reefs, *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, 33, 415-420, 10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2009.09.004, 2009.
- Cropp, R., Gabric, A., van Tran, D., Jones, G., Swan, H., and Butler, H.: Coral reef aerosol emissions in response to irradiance stress in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, *Ambio*, 47, 671-681, 10.1007/s13280-018-1018-y, 2018.
- 20 Dacey, J. W.: Automated longterm measurement of atmospheric dimethylsulfide at Barrow, Alaska, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute, USA, 2010.
- Dacey, J. W., and Wakeham, S. G.: Oceanic dimethylsulfide: production during zooplankton grazing on phytoplankton, *Science*, 233, 1314-1316, 1986.
- Dave, P., Patil, N., Bhushan, M., and Venkataraman, C.: Aerosol influences on cloud modification and rainfall suppression in the South Asian monsoon region, in: *Climate Change Signals and Response*, Springer, 21-37, 2019.
- 25 De'ath, G., and Fabricius, K.: Water quality as a regional driver of coral biodiversity and macroalgae on the Great Barrier Reef, *Ecological Applications*, 20, 840-850, 10.1890/08-2023.1, 2010.
- De'ath, G., Fabricius, K. E., Sweatman, H., and Puotinen, M.: The 27-year decline of coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef and its causes, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109, 17995, 10.1073/pnas.1208909109, 2012.
- 30 Deschaseaux, E. S., Jones, G. B., Deseo, M. A., Shepherd, K. M., Kiene, R., Swan, H., Harrison, P. L., and Eyre, B. D.: Effects of environmental factors on dimethylated sulfur compounds and their potential role in the antioxidant system of the coral holobiont, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 59, 758-768, 10.4319/lo.2014.59.3.0758, 2014a.

Formatted: Font: Italic

- Deschaseaux, E. S. M., Beltran, V., Jones, G. B., Deseo, M. A., Swan, H. B., Harrison, P. L., and Eyre, B. D.: Comparative response of DMS and DMSP concentrations in *Symbiodinium* clades C1 and D1 under thermal stress, *Journal of experimental marine biology and ecology*, 459, 181-189, 10.1016/j.jembe.2014.05.018, 2014b.
- Diaz, R. J., and Rosenberg, R.: Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems, *Science*, 321, 926-929, 10.1126/science.1156401, 2008.
- Downs, C. A., Fauth, J. E., Halas, J. C., Dustan, P., Bemiss, J., and Woodley, C. M.: Oxidative stress and seasonal coral bleaching, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 33, 533-543, 10.1016/S0891-5849(02)00907-3, 2002.
- Dubinsky, Z., and Falkowski, P.: Light as a source of information and energy in zooxanthellate corals, in: *Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition*, Springer, 107-118, 2011.
- Erftemeijer, P. L., Riegl, B., Hoeksema, B. W., and Todd, P. A.: Environmental impacts of dredging and other sediment disturbances on corals: a review, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 64, 1737-1765, 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.05.008, 2012.
- Exton, D., Suggett, D., McGenity, T., and Steinke, M.: Chlorophyll-normalized isoprene production in laboratory cultures of marine microalgae and implications for global models, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 58, 1301-1311, 10.4319/lo.2013.58.4.1301, 2013.
- Fabrizius, K., Okaji, K., and De'Ath, G.: Three lines of evidence to link outbreaks of the crown-of-thorns seastar *Acanthaster planci* to the release of larval food limitation, *Coral Reefs*, 29, 593-605, 10.1007/s00338-010-0628-z, 2010.
- Falkowski, P. G., Dubinsky, Z., Muscatine, L., and Porter, J. W.: Light and the bioenergetics of a symbiotic coral, *Bioscience*, 34, 705-709, 1984.
- Fan, J., and Zhang, R.: Atmospheric oxidation mechanism of isoprene, *Environmental Chemistry*, 1, 140-149, 10.1071/EN04045, 2004.
- Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Zhang, Y., Giangrande, S. E., Li, Z., Machado, L. A., Martin, S. T., Yang, Y., Wang, J., and Artaxo, P.: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by ultrafine aerosol particles, *Science*, 359, 411-418, 10.1126/science.aan8461, 2018.
- Ferrario, F., Beck, M. W., Storlazzi, C. D., Micheli, F., Shepard, C. C., and Airoldi, L.: The effectiveness of coral reefs for coastal hazard risk reduction and adaptation, *Nature Communications*, 5, 3794, 10.1038/ncomms4794, 2014.
- Fiddes, S. L., Woodhouse, M. T., Nicholls, Z., Lane, T. P., and Schofield, R.: Cloud, precipitation and radiation responses to large perturbations in global dimethyl sulfide, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18, 10177-10198, 2018.
- Fischer, E., and Jones, G.: Atmospheric dimethylsulphide production from corals in the Great Barrier Reef and links to solar radiation, climate and coral bleaching, *Biogeochemistry*, 110, 31-46, 10.1007/s10533-012-9719-y, 2012.
- Frade, P., Schwaninger, V., Glasl, B., Sintes, E., Hill, R., Simó, R., and Herndl, G.: Dimethylsulfoniopropionate in corals and its interrelations with bacterial assemblages in coral surface mucus, *Environmental Chemistry*, 13, 252-265, 2016.
- Gabrie, A., Matrai, P., Jones, G., and Middleton, J.: The nexus between sea ice and polar emissions of marine biogenic aerosols, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0254.1, 2018.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic



- Gabric, A. J., Qu, B., Matrai, P., and Hirst, A. C.: The simulated response of dimethylsulfide production in the Arctic Ocean to global warming, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 57, 391-403, 10.3402/tellusb.v57i5.16564, 2005.
- Gabric, A. J., Qu, B., Rotstajn, L., and Shephard, J. M.: Global simulations of the impact on contemporary climate of a perturbation to the sea-to-air flux of dimethylsulfide, *Australian Meteorological and Oceanographic Journal*, 63, 365-376, 2013.
- Gage, D. A., Rhodes, D., Nolte, K. D., Hicks, W. A., Leustek, T., Cooper, A. J., and Hanson, A. D.: A new route for synthesis of dimethylsulphonioacetate in marine algae, *Nature*, 387, 891, 1997.
- [Gali, M., Levasseur, M., Devred, E., Simó, R., and Babin, M.: Sea-surface dimethylsulfide \(DMS\) concentration from satellite data at global and regional scales, \*Biogeosciences\*, 15, 3497-3519, 10.5194/bg-15-3497-2018, 2018.](#)
- Gardner, S. G., Nielsen, D. A., Laczka, O., Shimmon, R., Beltran, V. H., Ralph, P. J., and Petrou, K.: Dimethylsulfonylacetate, superoxide dismutase and glutathione as stress response indicators in three corals under short-term hyposalinity stress, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 283, 20152418, 10.1098/rspb.2015.2418, 2016.
- Gates, R. D., Baghdasarian, G., and Muscatine, L.: Temperature stress causes host cell detachment in symbiotic cnidarians: implications for coral bleaching, *The Biological Bulletin*, 182, 324-332, 1992.
- Gattuso, J. P., Frankignoulle, M., and Wollast, R.: Carbon and carbonate metabolism in coastal aquatic ecosystems, *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 29, 405-434, 1998.
- Glasow, R. v., and Crutzen, P.: Model study of multiphase DMS oxidation with a focus on halogens, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 4, 589-608, 2004.
- Grandey, B. S., and Wang, C.: Enhanced marine sulphur emissions offset global warming and impact rainfall, *Scientific Reports*, 5, 13055, 10.1038/srep13055, 2015.
- Green, T., Hatton, A. D., Hughes, R. N., Hughes, D. J., and Smith, I. P.: The CLAW hypothesis: a new perspective on the role of biogenic sulphur in the regulation of global climate, *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, 52, 315-336, 2014.
- Guo, L., Turner, A. G., and Highwood, E. J.: Local and remote impacts of aerosol species on Indian summer monsoon rainfall in a GCM, *Journal of Climate*, 29, 6937-6955, 10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0728.1, 2016.
- Haydon, T. D., Seymour, J. R., and Suggett, D. J.: Soft corals are significant DMSP producers in tropical and temperate reefs, *Marine Biology*, 165, 109, 10.1007/s00227-018-3367-2, 2018.
- Hedley, J., Roelfsema, C., Chollett, I., Harborne, A., Heron, S., Weeks, S., Skirving, W., Strong, A., Eakin, C., and Christensen, T.: Remote sensing of coral reefs for monitoring and management: a review, *Remote Sensing*, 8, 118, 2016.
- Heron, S. F., Maynard, J. A., Van Hooidonk, R., and Eakin, C. M.: Warming trends and bleaching stress of the world's coral reefs 1985–2012, *Scientific Reports*, 6, 38402, 10.1038/srep38402, 2016.
- [Hill, R. W., Dacey, J. W., and Krupp, D. A.: Dimethylsulfonylacetate in reef corals, \*Bulletin of Marine Science\*, 57, 489-494, 1995.](#)

- Hill, R. W., Dacey, J., and Edward, A.: Dimethylsulfoniopropionate in giant clams (*Tridacnidae*). *The Biological Bulletin*, 199, 108-115, [10.2307/1542870](#), 2000.
- Hill, R. W., Li, C., Jones, A., Gunn, J., and Frade, P.: Abundant betaines in reef-building corals and ecological indicators of a photoprotective role, *Coral reefs*, 29, 869-880, [10.1007/s00338-010-0662-x](#), 2010.
- 5 

Ho, D. T., and Wanninkhof, R.: Air-sea gas exchange in the North Atlantic: 3He/SF6 Experiment during GasEx-98, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 68, 30198, [10.3402/tellusb.v68.30198](#), 2016.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Harvell, C. D., Sale, P. F., Edwards, A. J., and Caldeira, K.: Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification, *Science*, 318, 1737-1742, [10.1126/science.1152509](#), 2007.
- 10 

Hoffmann, E. H., Tilgner, A., Schrödner, R., Bräuer, P., Wolke, R., and Herrmann, H.: An advanced modeling study on the impacts and atmospheric implications of multiphase dimethyl sulfide chemistry, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, 11776-11781, [10.1073/pnas.1606320113](#), 2016.

Hopkins, F. E., Bell, T. G., Yang, M., Suggett, D. J., and Steinke, M.: Air exposure of coral is a significant source of dimethylsulfide (DMS) to the atmosphere, *Scientific Reports*, 6, 36031, [10.1038/srep36031](#), 2016.
- 15 

Hopkins, F. E., Nightingale, P. D., Stephens, J. A., Moore, C. M., Richier, S., Cripps, G., and Archer, S. D.: Dimethylsulfide (DMS) production in polar oceans is resilient to ocean acidification, *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 10.5194/bg-2018-55, 2018.

Howarth, R., Chan, F., Conley, D. J., Garnier, J., Doney, S. C., Marino, R., and Billen, G.: Coupled biogeochemical cycles: eutrophication and hypoxia in temperate estuaries and coastal marine ecosystems, *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9, 18-26, [10.1890/100008](#), 2011.
- 20 

Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Baird, A. H., Connolly, S. R., Dietzel, A., Eakin, C. M., Heron, S. F., Hoey, A. S., Hoogenboom, M. O., and Liu, G.: Global warming transforms coral reef assemblages, *Nature*, 556, 492, [10.1038/s41586-018-0041-2](#), 2018.

Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Baird, A. H., Connolly, S. R., Chase, T. J., Dietzel, A., Hill, T., Hoey, A. S., Hoogenboom, M. O., Jacobson, M., Kerswell, A., Madin, J. S., Mieog, A., Paley, A. S., Pratchett, M. S., Torda, G., and Woods, R. M.: Global warming impairs stock-recruitment dynamics of corals, *Nature*, [10.1038/s41586-019-1081-y](#), 2019.
- 25 

Inoue, S., Kayanne, H., Yamamoto, S., and Kurihara, H.: Spatial community shift from hard to soft corals in acidified water, *Nature Climate Change*, 3, 683-687, [10.1038/NCLIMATE1855](#), 2013.
- 30 

IPCC: Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core writing team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)], IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland., 2014.

Irvine, P. J., Emanuel, K., He, J., Horowitz, L. W., Vecchi, G., and Keith, D.: Halving warming with idealized solar geoengineering moderates key climate hazards, *Nature Climate Change*, 9, 295-299, [10.1038/s41558-019-0398-8](#), 2019.

- Irvine, P. J., Kravitz, B., Lawrence, M. G., Gerten, D., Caminade, C., Gosling, S. N., Hendy, E. J., Kassie, B. T., Kissling, W. D., and Muri, H.: Towards a comprehensive climate impacts assessment of solar geoengineering, *Earth's Future*, 5, 93-106, 10.1002/2016EF000389, 2017.
- Jackson, R., Gabric, A., and Cropp, R.: Effects of ocean warming and coral bleaching on aerosol emissions in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, *Scientific Reports*, 8, 14048, 10.1038/s41598-018-32470-7, 2018.
- Jones, G., Curran, M., Broadbent, A., King, S., Fischer, E., and Jones, R.: Factors affecting the cycling of dimethylsulfide and dimethylsulfoniopropionate in coral reef waters of the Great Barrier Reef, *Environmental Chemistry*, 4, 310-322, 10.1071/EN06065, 2007.
- Jones, G.: The Reef Sulphur Cycle: Influence on Climate and Ecosystem Services, in: *Ethnobiology of Corals and Coral Reefs*, Springer, 27-57, 2015.
- Jones, G., Curran, M., Swan, H., and Deschaseaux, E.: Dimethylsulfide and coral bleaching: Links to solar radiation, low level cloud and the regulation of seawater temperatures and climate in the Great Barrier Reef, *American Journal of Climate Change*, 6, 328, 10.4236/ajcc.2017.62017, 2017.
- Jones, G., Curran, M., Deschaseaux, E., Omori, Y., Tanimoto, H., Swan, H., Eyre, B., Ivey, J., McParland, E., and Gabric, A.: The flux and emission of dimethylsulfide from the Great Barrier Reef region and potential influence on the climate of NE Australia, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 13,835-813,856, 10.1029/2018JD029210, 2018.
- Jones, G. B., and Trevena, A. J.: The influence of coral reefs on atmospheric dimethylsulphide over the Great Barrier Reef, Coral Sea, Gulf of Papua and Solomon and Bismarck Seas, *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 56, 85-93, 10.1071/MF04097, 2005.
- Jones, G. B., Fischer, E., Deschaseaux, E. S., and Harrison, P. L.: The effect of coral bleaching on the cellular concentration of dimethylsulphoniopropionate in reef corals, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 460, 19-31, 10.1016/j.jembe.2014.06.003, 2014.
- Jones, R. J., Berkelmans, R., and Oliver, J. K.: Recurrent bleaching of corals at Magnetic Island (Australia) relative to air and seawater temperature, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 289-292, 1997.
- Jones, R. J., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Larkum, A. W., and Schreiber, U.: Temperature-induced bleaching of corals begins with impairment of the CO<sub>2</sub> fixation mechanism in zooxanthellae, *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 21, 1219-1230, 10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00345.x, 2002.
- Kettle, A., and Andreae, M.: Flux of dimethylsulfide from the oceans: A comparison of updated data sets and flux models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 105, 26793-26808, 10.1029/2000JD900252, 2000.
- Khan, M., Gillespie, S., Razis, B., Xiao, P., Davies-Coleman, M., Percival, C., Derwent, R., Dyke, J., Ghosh, M., and Lee, E.: A modelling study of the atmospheric chemistry of DMS using the global model, STOCHEM-CRI, *Atmospheric Environment*, 127, 69-79, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.028, 2016.
- Kleypas, J. A., Danabasoglu, G., and Lough, J. M.: Potential role of the ocean thermostat in determining regional differences in coral reef bleaching events, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, 10.1029/2007GL032257, 2008.

Formatted: Subscript

- Kloster, S., Six, K., Feichter, J., Maier-Reimer, E., Roeckner, E., Wetzel, P., Stier, P., and Esch, M.: Response of dimethylsulfide (DMS) in the ocean and atmosphere to global warming, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 112, 10.1029/2006JG000224, 2007.
- 5 Klueter, A., Trapani, J., Archer, F. I., McIlroy, S. E., and Coffroth, M. A.: Comparative growth rates of cultured marine dinoflagellates in the genus *Symbiodinium* and the effects of temperature and light, *PLOS One*, 12, e0187707, 10.1371/journal.pone.0187707, 2017.
- Korhonen, H., Carslaw, K. S., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., and Woodhouse, M. T.: Influence of oceanic dimethyl sulfide emissions on cloud condensation nuclei concentrations and seasonality over the remote Southern Hemisphere oceans: A global model study, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 113, 10.1029/2007JD009718, 2008.
- 10 Kroll, J. H., Ng, N. L., Murphy, S. M., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene photooxidation, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40, 1869-1877, 10.1021/es0524301, 2006.
- Kumar, P.: The economics of medicinal plants: Are high commercial values enough to ensure biodiversity conservation?, in: *Conserving medicinal species: Securing a healthy future*, edited by: Miththapala, S., IUCN: Ecosystems and Livelihoods Group, Asia, 9-15, 2006.
- 15 Kwiatkowski, L., Cox, P., Halloran, P. R., Mumby, P. J., and Wiltshire, A. J.: Coral bleaching under unconventional scenarios of climate warming and ocean acidification, *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 777, 10.1038/NCLIMATE2655, 2015.
- [LaJeunesse, T. C., Parkinson, J. E., Gabrielson, P. W., Jeong, H. J., Reimer, J. D., Voolstra, C. R., and Santos, S. R.: Systematic revision of Symbiodiniaceae highlights the antiquity and diversity of coral endosymbionts, \*Current Biology\*, 28, 2570-2580, 10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.008, 2018.](#)
- 20 Lana, A., Bell, T., Simó, R., Vallina, S., Ballabrera-Poy, J., Kettle, A., Dachs, J., Bopp, L., Saltzman, E., and Stefels, J.: An updated climatology of surface dimethylsulfide concentrations and emission fluxes in the global ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 25, 10.1029/2010GB003850, 2011.
- Lana, A., Simó, R., Vallina, S., and Dachs, J.: Potential for a biogenic influence on cloud microphysics over the ocean: A correlation study with satellite-derived data, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 7977-7993, 10.5194/acp-12-7977-2012, 2012.
- 25 Land, P. E., Shutler, J. D., Bell, T., and Yang, M.: Exploiting satellite earth observation to quantify current global oceanic DMS flux and its future climate sensitivity, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119, 7725-7740, 10.1002/2014JC010104, 2014.
- Latham, J., Parkes, B., Gadian, A., and Salter, S.: Weakening of hurricanes via marine cloud brightening (MCB), *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 13, 231-237, 10.1002/asl.402, 2012.
- 30 Latham, J., Kleypas, J., Hauser, R., Parkes, B., and Gadian, A.: Can marine cloud brightening reduce coral bleaching?, *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 14, 214-219, 10.1002/asl.2442, 2013.
- Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Hauck, J., Pongratz, J., Pickers, P. A., Korsbakken, J. I., Peters, G. P., and Canadell, J. G.: Global carbon budget 2018, *Earth System Science Data*, 10, 10.5194/essd-10-2141-2018, 2018.

Formatted: Font: Italic

- Leahy, S. M., Kingsford, M. J., and Steinberg, C. R.: Do clouds save the Great Barrier Reef? Satellite imagery elucidates the cloud-SST relationship at the local scale, *PLOS One*, 8, e70400, 10.1371/journal.pone.0070400, 2013.
- Leck, C., and Bigg, E. K.: Comparison of sources and nature of the tropical aerosol with the summer high Arctic aerosol, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 60, 118-126, 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00315.x, 2008.
- 5 Lesser, M., Stochaj, W., Tapley, D., and Shick, J.: Bleaching in coral reef anthozoans: effects of irradiance, ultraviolet radiation, and temperature on the activities of protective enzymes against active oxygen, *Coral Reefs*, 8, 225-232, 1990.
- Lesser, M., Mazel, C., Gorbunov, M., and Falkowski, P.: Discovery of symbiotic nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria in corals, *Science*, 305, 997-1000, 10.1126/science.1099128, 2004.
- Lesser, M. P.: Oxidative stress in marine environments: biochemistry and physiological ecology, *Annual Review of Physiology*, 68, 253-278, 10.1146/annurev.physiol.68.040104.110001, 2006.
- 10 Lesser, M. P.: Coral bleaching: causes and mechanisms, in: *Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition*, Springer, 405-419, 2010.
- Lin, H., Kuzminov, F. I., Park, J., Lee, S., Falkowski, P. G., and Gorbunov, M. Y.: The fate of photons absorbed by phytoplankton in the global ocean, *Science*, 351, 264-267, 10.1126/science.aab2213, 2016.
- 15 Lin, L., Wang, Z., Xu, Y., Fu, Q., and Dong, W.: Larger sensitivity of precipitation extremes to aerosol than greenhouse gas forcing in CMIP5 models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 8062-8073, 10.1029/2018JD028821, 2018.
- Liu, G., Strong, A. E., and Skirving, W.: Remote sensing of sea surface temperatures during 2002 Barrier Reef coral bleaching, *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 84, 137-141, 10.1029/2003EO150001, 2003.
- 20 Liu, G., Strong, A. E., Skirving, W., and Arzayus, L. F.: Overview of NOAA coral reef watch program's near-real time satellite global coral bleaching monitoring activities, *Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Coral Reef Symposium*, 2006, 1783-1793,
- Lough, J.: Shifting climate zones for Australia's tropical marine ecosystems, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, 10.1029/2008GL034634, 2008.
- 25 MacNeil, M. A., Mellin, C., Matthews, S., Wolff, N. H., McClanahan, T. R., Devlin, M., Drovandi, C., Mengersen, K., and Graham, N. A. J.: Water quality mediates resilience on the Great Barrier Reef, *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 3, 620-627, 10.1038/s41559-019-0832-3, 2019.
- McClanahan, T. R., Graham, N. A., MacNeil, M. A., Muthiga, N. A., Cinner, J. E., Bruggemann, J. H., and Wilson, S. K.: Critical thresholds and tangible targets for ecosystem-based management of coral reef fisheries, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201106861, 10.1073/pnas.1106861108, 2011.
- 30 McCook, L. J., and Diaz-Pulido, G.: The fate of bleached corals: patterns and dynamics of algal recruitment, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 232, 115-128, 10.3354/meps232115, 2002.

- McCoy, D. T., Burrows, S. M., Wood, R., Grosvenor, D. P., Elliott, S. M., Ma, P.-L., Rasch, P. J., and Hartmann, D. L.: Natural aerosols explain seasonal and spatial patterns of Southern Ocean cloud albedo, *Science Advances*, 1, e1500157, 10.1126/sciadv.1500157, 2015.
- McEwan, J., Gabric, A. J., and Bell, P. R.: Water quality and phytoplankton dynamics in Moreton Bay, south-eastern Queensland. I. Field survey and satellite data, *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 49, 215-225, 1998.
- McGowan, H., and Theobald, A.: ENSO weather and coral bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 10.1002/2017GL074877, 2017.
- McKergow, L. A., Prosser, I. P., Hughes, A. O., and Brodie, J.: Sources of sediment to the Great Barrier Reef world heritage area, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 51, 200-211, 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.11.029, 2005.
- Mellin, C., Matthews, S., Anthony, K. R. N., Brown, S. C., Caley, M. J., Johns, K., Osborne, K., Puotinen, M., Thompson, A., Wolff, N. H., Fordham, D. A., and MacNeil, M. A.: Spatial resilience of the Great Barrier Reef under cumulative disturbance impacts, *Global Change Biology*, 10.1111/gcb.14625, 2019.
- Modini, R. L., Ristovski, Z., Johnson, G. R., He, C., Surawski, N., Morawska, L., Suni, T., and Kulmala, M.: New particle formation and growth at a remote, sub-tropical coastal location, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 7607-7621, 10.5194/acp-9-7607-2009, 2009.
- Mulcahy, J., Jones, C., Sellar, A., Johnson, B., Boutle, I., Jones, A., Andrews, T., Rumbold, S., Mollard, J., and Bellouin, N.: Improved aerosol processes and effective radiative forcing in HadGEM3 and UKESM1, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 10, 2786-2805, 10.1029/2018MS001464, 2018.
- Mumby, P., Chisholm, J., Edwards, A., Clark, C., Roark, E., Andrefouet, S., and Jaubert, J.: Unprecedented bleaching-induced mortality in *Porites* spp. at Rangiroa Atoll, French Polynesia, *Marine Biology*, 139, 183-189, 10.1007/s002270100575, 2001a.
- Mumby, P. J., Chisholm, J. R., Edwards, A. J., Andrefouet, S., and Jaubert, J.: Cloudy weather may have saved Society Island reef corals during the 1998 ENSO event, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 222, 209-216, 10.3354/meps222209, 2001b.
- Muscantine, L., and Porter, J. W.: Reef corals: mutualistic symbioses adapted to nutrient-poor environments, *Bioscience*, 27, 454-460, 1977.
- Nielsen, D. A., Petrou, K., and Gates, R. D.: Coral bleaching from a single cell perspective, *The ISME Journal*, 12, 1558, 10.1038/s41396-018-0080-6, 2018.
- Norström, A. B., Nyström, M., Lokrantz, J., and Folke, C.: Alternative states on coral reefs: beyond coral-macroalgal phase shifts, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 376, 295-306, 10.3354/meps07815, 2009.
- Orr, J. C.: Recent and future changes in ocean carbonate chemistry, *Ocean acidification*, 1, 41-66, 2011.
- Osborne, N. J., Webb, P. M., and Shaw, G. R.: The toxins of *Lyngbya majuscula* and their human and ecological health effects, *Environment International*, 27, 381-392, 10.1016/S0160-4120(01)00098-8, 2001.
- Qu, B., Gabric, A. J., Zeng, M., Xi, J., Jiang, L., and Zhao, L.: Dimethylsulfide model calibration and parametric sensitivity analysis for the Greenland Sea, *Polar Science*, 13, 13-22, 10.1016/j.polar.2017.07.001, 2017.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Normal, Left

Formatted: Font: Italic

- Quinn, P., and Bates, T.: The case against climate regulation via oceanic phytoplankton sulphur emissions, *Nature*, 480, 51, 10.1038/nature10580, 2011.
- Raina, J.-B., Tapiolas, D., Willis, B. L., and Bourne, D. G.: Coral-associated bacteria and their role in the biogeochemical cycling of sulfur, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 75, 3492-3501, 10.1128/AEM.02567-08, 2009.
- 5 Raina, J.-B., Dinsdale, E. A., Willis, B. L., and Bourne, D. G.: Do the organic sulfur compounds DMSP and DMS drive coral microbial associations?, *Trends in Microbiology*, 18, 101-108, 10.1016/j.tim.2009.12.002, 2010.
- Raina, J.-B., Tapiolas, D. M., Forêt, S., Lutz, A., Abrego, D., Ceh, J., Seneca, F. O., Clode, P. L., Bourne, D. G., and Willis, B. L.: DMSP biosynthesis by an animal and its role in coral thermal stress response, *Nature*, 502, 677, 10.1038/nature12677, 2013.
- 10 Raina, J.-B., Tapiolas, D., Motti, C. A., Foret, S., Seemann, T., Tebben, J., Willis, B. L., and Bourne, D. G.: Isolation of an antimicrobial compound produced by bacteria associated with reef-building corals, *PeerJ*, 4, e2275, 10.7717/peerj.2275, 2016.
- Ramanathan, V., and Collins, W.: Thermodynamic regulation of ocean warming by cirrus clouds deduced from observations of the 1987 El Nino, *Nature*, 351, 27, 1991.
- 15 Reaka-Kudla, M.: Global biodiversity of coral reefs: a comparison with rainforests., in: *Biodiversity II: Understanding and protecting our biological resources*, edited by: Reaka-Kudla, M., Wilson, D., Wilson, E., and Peter, F., Joseph Henry Press, Washington, USA, 83-108, 1997.
- [Todd, J. D., Rogers, R., Li, Y. G., Wexler, M., Bond, P. L., Sun, L., Curson, A. R., Malin, G., Steinke, M., and Johnston, A. W.: Structural and regulatory genes required to make the gas dimethyl sulphide in bacteria, \*Science\*, 315, 666-669, 10.1126/science.1134562, 2007.](#)
- 20 Rolph, G., Stein, A., and Stunder, B.: Real-time Environmental Applications and Display sYstem: READY, *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 95, 210-228, 10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.06.025, 2017.
- Rosenfeld, D., Dai, J., Yu, X., Yao, Z., Xu, X., Yang, X., and Du, C.: Inverse relations between amounts of air pollution and orographic precipitation, *Science*, 315, 1396-1398, 10.1126/science.1137949, 2007.
- 25 Sanchez, K. J., Chen, C.-L., Russell, L. M., Betha, R., Liu, J., Price, D. J., Massoli, P., Ziemba, L. D., Crosbie, E. C., and Moore, R. H.: Substantial seasonal contribution of observed biogenic sulfate particles to cloud condensation nuclei, *Scientific Reports*, 8, 3235, 10.1038/s41598-018-21590-9, 2018.
- Schwinger, J., Tjiputra, J., Goris, N., Six, K. D., Kirkevåg, A., Seland, O., Heinze, C., and Ilyina, T.: Amplification of global warming through pH dependence of DMS production simulated with a fully coupled Earth system model, *Biogeosciences*, 14, 3633-3648, 10.5194/bg-13-3633-2017, 2017.
- 30 Shaw, G. E.: Bio-controlled thermostasis involving the sulfur cycle, *Climatic Change*, 5, 297-303, 1983.
- Simó, R.: Production of atmospheric sulfur by oceanic plankton: biogeochemical, ecological and evolutionary links, *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 16, 287-294, 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02152-8, 2001.

- Singh, D., Bollasina, M., Ting, M., and Diffenbaugh, N. S.: Disentangling the influence of local and remote anthropogenic aerosols on South Asian monsoon daily rainfall characteristics, *Climate Dynamics*, 1-20, 10.1007/s00382-018-4512-9, 2018.
- 5 [Six, K. D., Kloster, S., Ilyina, T., Archer, S. D., Zhang, K., and Maier-Reimer, E.: Global warming amplified by reduced sulphur fluxes as a result of ocean acidification, \*Nature Climate Change\*, 3, 975-978, 10.1038/NCLIMATE1981, 2013.](#)
- Skirving, W., Enriquez, S., Hedley, J., Dove, S., Eakin, C., Mason, R., De La Cour, J., Liu, G., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., and Strong, A.: Remote sensing of coral bleaching using temperature and light: progress towards an operational algorithm, *Remote Sensing*, 10, 18, 10.3390/rs10010018, 2017.
- 10 Skirving, W. J., Heron, S. F., Marsh, B. L., Liu, G., De La Cour, J. L., Geiger, E. F., and Eakin, C. M.: The relentless march of mass coral bleaching: a global perspective of changing heat stress, *Coral Reefs*, 10.1007/s00338-019-01799-4, 2019.
- Spalding, M., Ravilious, C., and Green, E. P.: *World atlas of coral reefs*, University of California Press, 2001.
- Spalding, M., Burke, L., Wood, S. A., Ashpole, J., Hutchison, J., and zu Ermgassen, P.: Mapping the global value and distribution of coral reef tourism, *Marine Policy*, 82, 104-113, 10.1016/j.marpol.2017.05.014, 2017.
- Spracklen, D., and Rap, A.: Natural aerosol–climate feedbacks suppressed by anthropogenic aerosol, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 5316-5319, 10.1002/2013GL057966, 2013.
- 15 Stefels, J.: Physiological aspects of the production and conversion of DMSP in marine algae and higher plants, *Journal of Marine Research*, 43, 183-197, 10.1016/S1385-1101(00)00030-7, 2000.
- Stein, A., Draxler, R., Rolph, G., Stunder, B., Cohen, M., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modelling system., *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 96, 2059-2077, 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, 2015.
- 20 Steiner, Z., Turchyn, A. V., Harpaz, E., and Silverman, J.: Water chemistry reveals a significant decline in coral calcification rates in the southern Red Sea, *Nature Communications*, 9, 3615, 10.1038/s41467-018-06030-6, 2018.
- 25 [Steinke, M., Brading, P., Kerrison, P., Warner, M. E., and Suggett, D. J.: Concentrations of dimethylsulfoniopropionate and dimethyl sulfide are strain-specific in symbiotic Dinoflagellates \(\*Symbiodinium\* sp., \*Dinophyceae\*\), \*Journal of Phycology\*, 47\(4\), 775-83, 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2011.01011.x, 2011.](#)
- Sun, J., Todd, J. D., Thrash, J. C., Qian, Y., Qian, M. C., Temperton, B., Guo, J., Fowler, E. K., Aldrich, J. T., and Nicora, C. D.: The abundant marine bacterium *Pelagibacter* simultaneously catabolizes dimethylsulfoniopropionate to the gases dimethyl sulfide and methanethiol, *Nature Microbiology*, 1, 16065, 10.1038/NMICROBIOL.2016.65, 2016.
- Sunda, W., Kieber, D., Kiene, R., and Huntsman, S.: An antioxidant function for DMSP and DMS in marine algae, *Nature*, 418, 317-320, 2002.
- 30 Surratt, J. D., Kroll, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Claeys, M., Sorooshian, A., Ng, N. L., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., and Jaoui, M.: Evidence for organosulfates in secondary organic aerosol, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41, 517-527, 10.1021/es062081q, 2007.



- Swan, H. B., Crough, R. W., Vaattovaara, P., Jones, G. B., Deschaseaux, E. S., Eyre, B. D., Miljevic, B., and Ristovski, Z. D.: Dimethyl sulfide and other biogenic volatile organic compound emissions from branching coral and reef seawater: potential sources of secondary aerosol over the Great Barrier Reef, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 73, 303-328, 10.1007/s10874-016-9327-7, 2016.
- 5 Swan, H. B., Jones, G. B., Deschaseaux, E. S., and Eyre, B. D.: Coral reef origins of atmospheric dimethylsulfide at Heron Island, southern Great Barrier Reef, Australia, *Biogeosciences*, 14, 229, 10.5194/bg-14-229-2017, 2017a.  
[Swan, H. B., Deschaseaux, E. S., Jones, G. B., and Eyre, B. D.: The relative abundance of dimethylsulfoniopropionate \(DMSP\) among other zwitterions in branching coral at Heron Island, southern Great Barrier Reef, \*Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry\*, 409, 4409-4423, 10.1007/s00216-017-0385-8, 2017b.](#)
- 10 Takahashi, Y., Okazaki, Y., Sato, M., Miyahara, H., Sakanoi, K., Hong, P., and Hoshino, N.: 27-day variation in cloud amount in the Western Pacific warm pool region and relationship to the solar cycle, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 1577-1584, 2010.  
Thume, K., Gebser, B., Chen, L., Meyer, N., Kieber, D. J., and Pohnert, G.: The metabolite dimethylsulfoxonium propionate extends the marine organosulfur cycle, *Nature*, 563, 412-415, 10.1038/s41586-018-0675-0, 2018.
- 15 Tresguerres, M., Barott, K. L., Barron, M. E., Deheyn, D. D., Kline, D. I., and Linsmayer, L. B.: Cell biology of reef-building corals: ion transport, acid/base regulation, and energy metabolism, in: *Acid-Base Balance and Nitrogen Excretion in Invertebrates*, Springer, 193-218, 2017.  
[Vallina, S.M., Simó, R., Gassó, S., de Boyer-Montégut, C., del Río, E., Jurado, E., & Dachs, J.: Analysis of a potential “solar radiation dose-dimethylsulfide-cloud condensation nuclei” link from globally mapped seasonal correlations, \*Global Biogeochemical Cycles\*, 21, 10.1029/2006GB002787, 2007.](#)
- 20 Van Alstyne, K., Dominique, V., and Muller-Parker, G.: Is dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) produced by the symbionts or the host in an anemone-zooxanthella symbiosis?, *Coral Reefs*, 28, 167-176, 10.1007/s00338-008-0443-y, 2009.  
Van Alstyne, K. L., and Puglisi, M. P.: DMSP in marine macroalgae and macroinvertebrates: Distribution, function, and ecological impacts, *Aquatic Sciences*, 69, 394-402, 10.1007/s00027-007-0888-z, 2007.
- 25 Van Oppen, M. J., Oliver, J. K., Putnam, H. M., and Gates, R. D.: Building coral reef resilience through assisted evolution, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112, 2307-2313, 10.1073/pnas.1422301112, 2015.  
[Veres, P. R., Neuman, J. A., Bertram, T. H., Assaf, E., Wolfe, G. M., Williamson, C. J., Weinzierl, B., Tilmes, S., Thompson, C. R. and Thames, A. B.: Global airborne sampling reveals a previously unobserved dimethyl sulfide oxidation mechanism in the marine atmosphere, \*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences\*, 10.1073/pnas.1919344117, 2020.](#)
- 30 Ward, S., Harrison, P., and Hoegh-Guldberg, O.: Coral bleaching reduces reproduction of scleractinian corals and increases susceptibility to future stress, *Proceedings of the Ninth International Coral Reef Symposium*, Bali, 23-27 October 2000, 2002, 1123-1128,

- Webb, A., van Leeuwe, M., den Os, D., Meredith, M., Venables, H., and Stefels, J.: Extreme spikes in DMS flux double estimates of biogenic sulfur export from the Antarctic coastal zone to the atmosphere, *Scientific Reports*, 9, 2233, 10.1038/s41598-019-38714-4, 2019.
- Wilkinson, C. R., Lindén, O., Cesar, H. S., Hodgson, G., Rubens, J., and Strong, A. E.: Ecological and socioeconomic impacts of 1998 coral mortality in the Indian Ocean: An ENSO impact and a warning of future change?, *Ambio*, 28, 188, 1999.
- Woodhouse, M., Carslaw, K., Mann, G., Vallina, S., Vogt, M., Halloran, P., and Boucher, O.: Low sensitivity of cloud condensation nuclei to changes in the sea-air flux of dimethyl-sulphide, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 7545-7559, 10.5194/acp-10-7545-2010, 2010.
- Woodhouse, M., Mann, G., Carslaw, K., and Boucher, O.: Sensitivity of cloud condensation nuclei to regional changes in dimethyl-sulphide emissions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 2723-2733, 2013.
- Wooldridge, S. A., and Brodie, J. E.: Environmental triggers for primary outbreaks of crown-of-thorns starfish on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 101, 805-815, 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.08.049, 2015.
- Yakovleva, I. M., Baird, A. H., Yamamoto, H. H., Bhagooli, R., Nonaka, M., and Hidaka, M.: Algal symbionts increase oxidative damage and death in coral larvae at high temperatures, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 378, 105-112, 10.3354/meps07857, 2009.
- Yang, M., Blomquist, B., Fairall, C., Archer, S., and Huebert, B.: Air-sea exchange of dimethylsulfide in the Southern Ocean: Measurements from SO GasEx compared to temperate and tropical regions, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 116, 10.1029/2010JC006526, 2011.
- Zavarsky, A., Booge, D., Fiehn, A., Krüger, K., Atlas, E., and Marandino, C.: The influence of air-sea fluxes on atmospheric aerosols during the summer monsoon over the tropical Indian Ocean, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 418-426, 10.1002/2017GL076410, 2018.
- Zeebe, R. E., and Tyrrell, T.: History of carbonate ion concentration over the last 100 million years II: Revised calculations and new data, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 68, 3521-3530, 10.1016/j.gca.2019.02.041, 2019.
- Zhang, Z., Jones, A., and Crabbe, M. J. C.: Impacts of stratospheric aerosol geoengineering strategy on Caribbean coral reefs, *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 10, 523-532, 10.1108/IJCCSM-05-2017-0104, 2017.

## **Glossary**

Aerosol: Solid or liquid particle suspended in the atmosphere. Examples include sea spray, dust, smoke and biogenic sulfates.

Aerosol optical depth (AOD): unitless measure of the amount of aerosol in the atmosphere, determined by the extinction of solar radiation.

Albedo: the amount of solar radiation which is reflected from a surface, such as an aerosol particle or cloud droplet.

Catabolism: metabolic pathway by which compounds are degraded into smaller units. In the coral holobiont, DMSP catabolism occurs via the demethylation (removal of a methyl group) or cleavage (enzymatic splitting of a chemical bond) pathways.

Cloud condensation nuclei (CCN): aerosol particles on which water vapour condenses to form cloud droplets. CCN influence the lifetime, albedo and cover of clouds, with implications for precipitation and the Earth's radiative balance.

Coral holobiont: The coral host and all endosymbiotic micro-organisms, including microalgae, microbes and viruses.


Marine boundary layer (MBL): the lower atmosphere in contact with the ocean surface, where the exchange of heat, moisture, momentum and chemical species between the ocean and atmosphere occurs.

Radiative forcing: The net difference between top of atmosphere incoming and outgoing radiation due to interactions between solar radiation, aerosols, clouds and the Earth's surface, measured in  $\text{W m}^{-2}$ . Positive forcing indicates a warming effect, while negative forcing indicates a cooling effect.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS): reactive oxygen produced by impaired algal photosystems and coral tissues during exposure to high temperatures and/or irradiance, which damage cells and tissues. Examples include the superoxide anion, hydroxyl radical and singlet oxygen.

Solar radiation management (SRM): a solar geoengineering approach to mitigating global warming via an increase in the aerosol and cloud albedo effect.

## Figures



5 Figure 1. Global distribution of tropical coral reefs (Map data ©2019 Google). Locations provided by ReefBase (<http://www.reefbase.org>).



Figure 2. Diversity of corals at Norman Reef in the northern Great Barrier Reef, Australia (R. Jackson).

Deleted: Vibrant




Figure 3. Basic processes involved in the cycling of dimethylated sulfur compounds involved in a coral reef. In corals, dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) is produced by both the coral host and endosymbiotic algae in response to physiological stress. DMSP catabolism occurs via the demethylation and cleavage pathways, yielding methanethiol (MeSH) or dimethylsulfide (DMS), respectively. DMS/P scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced in coral tissues and by zooxanthellae photosystems during exposure to high temperatures, irradiance and/or hyposalinity, forming dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). DMS/P/O can be released to surrounding waters via zooxanthellae expulsion, followed by cell senescence and/or grazing by zooplankton or herbivorous fish. DMS/O may also diffuse from the coral into surrounding waters. Dissolved DMSP may undergo microbial catabolism, yielding organic carbon or sulfur depending on bacterial needs (bacterial switch). DMS/P/O may sink and become stored in sediment pore water. DMS/O may be ventilated to the lower atmosphere by wind and temperature-driven transfer across the ocean-atmosphere interface.






Figure 4. Simplified overview of the coral reef dimethylsulfide (DMS)-aerosol-cloud feedback. Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) is produced by the coral holobiont and may be oxidised to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or cleaved to DMS. Atmospheric DMS is oxidised to sulfate aerosol precursor compounds including methane sulfonic acid (MSA) and sulfur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ ) and secondarily to sulfuric acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), which may condense onto existing particles (e.g. sea-spray aerosol (SSA) or organic matter (OM)) or nucleate to non-sea salt sulfate particles (nss- $\text{SO}_4$ ). These may increase the number of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and increase cloud lifetime and albedo. Background image: [http://catlinseaviewsurvey.com/gallery/i853\\_lady-elliott-island-australia/](http://catlinseaviewsurvey.com/gallery/i853_lady-elliott-island-australia/) / © Underwater Earth / XL Catlin Seaview Survey / Aaron Spence / CC BY-NC-SA 4.0.



Deleted:

Deleted: 3.... Simplified overview of the coral reef dimethylsulfide (DMS)- sea surface temperature...erosol-cloud (SST) ...feedback. Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) is produced by the coral holobiont and may be oxidised to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or cleaved to dissolved ...MS. Atmospheric DMS is further ...xidised to sulfate aerosol precursor compounds including methanesulfonic...ethane sulfonic acid (MSA) and sulfur dioxide ( $\text{SO}_2$ ) and secondarily to sulfuric acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), which may condense onto existing particles (e.g. sea-spray aerosol (SSA) or organic matter (OM)) or nucleate to non-sea salt sulfate particles (nss- $\text{SO}_4$ ). These may increase the number of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and increase cloud lifetime and albedo. Background image: [http://catlinseaviewsurvey.com/gallery/i853\\_lady-elliott-island-australia/](http://catlinseaviewsurvey.com/gallery/i853_lady-elliott-island-australia/) / © Underwater Earth / ...XL Catlin... [2]



Deleted:

[3]






Figure 5. The impacts of climate change and land-use on coral reefs. Orange arrows reflect the predicted increase or decrease in DMS emissions from the coral holobiont and marine micro- and macroalgae.







Figure 6. (a) Map of the GBR, Australia (map data ©2019 Google), showing the region defined in (b) longitude-averaged Hazy-moller plot of daily mean (2001 - 2017) total AOD (869 nm) over the GBR.



Deleted:  
Deleted: .  
Deleted: L  
Deleted: o




Figure 7. (a) Low-level convective clouds aligned over the Swains Reefs in the Mackay-Capricorn Management Area of the southern GBR. True colour image captured by MODIS Terra on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018 (NASA Earth Observing System Data and Information System). (b) Map of the southern GBR shown to be covered by clouds in the true colour image (map data ©2019 Google).



Deleted:

Page 19: [1] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	04/11/2019 10:49:00
Page 41: [2] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	24/02/2020 08:37:00
Page 41: [2] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	24/02/2020 08:37:00
Page 41: [2] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	24/02/2020 08:37:00
Page 41: [2] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	24/02/2020 08:37:00
Page 41: [2] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	24/02/2020 08:37:00
Page 41: [2] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	24/02/2020 08:37:00
Page 41: [2] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	24/02/2020 08:37:00
Page 41: [3] Deleted	Rebecca Jackson	23/02/2020 10:34:00