

Interactive comment on “Tracing the sources of dissolved organic carbon occurring in a coastal bay surrounded by heavily industrialized cities using stable carbon isotopes” by Shin-Ah Lee et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 6 August 2019

This manuscript by Lee et al set out to investigate the sources of dissolved organic matter in a coastal bay surrounded by heavily industrialized cities, Masan Bay, Korea, during two different sampling trips in 2011 and 2016. The authors measured DOC, DON, chromophoric-DOM and stable carbon isotope composition in related samples. They found that the excess DOC was observed in higher-salinity waters (16-21) during 2011, with higher concentrations of protein-like FDOM and lower DOC/DON ratios. In 2016, however, the high DOC waters in high-salinity waters were characterized by low FDOM, more depleted $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values and high C/N ratios.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



In general, this is a fairly well written manuscript presenting data on the source changes in DOC and CDOM in a coastal environment during two different sampling years. Overall, it is concise manuscript. Their approach is straightforward and conclusions are based on what the authors observed. Contents presented here are suitable for the journal of bg. I support eventual publication of this manuscript

Having said that this manuscript needs revisions before acceptance.

First of all, their two samplings in the same study area are over 5 years apart (2011-2016) without other sampling point. In addition, DOC abundances do not vary consistently or significantly with salinity (Fig 4a) although FDOM-H does (Figure 4c). It is very difficult to judge these DOC data since they are from a coastal bay influenced heavily by industrialized. Additional explanation will be helpful.

Second, the authors presented results from PARAFAC analysis in several places (see also Figure 4) and the FDOM composition differences between two samplings. However, there are not additional information related to these analyses, neither component contours nor excitation/emission loadings. The PARAFAC-derived DOM components should be provided along with their detailed and specific Ex/EM values (can be in supplemental if needed).

Third, Specific goals and scientific questions should be added into the Introduction section. Otherwise, it does not look like a

Fourth, are there any additional parameters/evidence to support the industrial pollution or excess DOC during sampling? Similar to $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, specific C/N ratios should be provided in the abstract so that the reader can easily compare data between different sampling years.

Lastly, similar to DOC concentrations, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in 2016 are somewhat highly variable within small salinity range. What are the possible reasons causing this abrupt change? FDOM, on the other hand, seemed to vary consistently with salinity. Then,

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

the question is that are FDOM nor related to the bulk DOC in the study area or from polluted DOC sources?

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-229>, 2019.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

