

Abstract

 The sources of dissolved organic matter (DOM) in coastal waters are diverse, and they play different roles in the biogeochemistry and ecosystems of the ocean. In this study, we measured 24 dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and nitrogen (DON), δ^{13} C-DOC, and fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) in coastal bay waters surrounded by large cities (Masan Bay, Korea) to determine the different DOM sources in this region. The surface seawater samples were collected in two sampling campaigns (Aug. 2011 and Aug. 2016). The salinities were in the range of 10–21 in 2011 and 25–32 in 2016. In 2011, excess DOC was observed for high-salinity (16–21) waters, indicating that the excess source inputs were mainly from marine 30 autochthonous production according to the δ^{13} C-DOC values of -23.7‰ to -20.6‰, the higher concentrations of protein-like FDOM, and the lower DOC/DON (C/N) ratios (8–15). By contrast, excess DOC observed in high-salinity waters in 2016 was characterized by low 33 FDOM, more depleted $δ^{13}C$ values of −28.8‰ to −21.1‰, and high C/N ratios (13–45), suggesting that the excess DOC source is from terrestrial C3 plants by direct land-seawater 35 interactions. Our results show that multiple DOM tracers such as δ^{13} C-DOC, FDOM, and C/N ratios are powerful for discriminating the complicated sources of DOM occurring in coastal waters.

1. Introduction

 Dissolved organic matter (DOM) plays an important role in biogeochemical cycles (e.g., de-oxygenation, acidification, photochemistry) and ecosystems of the ocean (Hansell and Carlson, 2002). DOM composition depends on its parent organic matter and subsequent biogeochemical processes. DOM in coastal waters originates from various sources including (1) *in situ* production by primary production, exudation of aquatic plants, and their degradation (Markager et al., 2011; Carlson and Hansell, 2015), (2) terrestrial sources by the degradation of soil and terrestrial plant matter (Opsahl and Benner, 1997; Bauer and Bianchi, 2011), and (3) anthropogenic sources such as industrial, agricultural, and domestic sewage (Griffith and Raymond, 2011).

 Depending on the origin and composition of DOM, its behavior and cycling are different: the labile fraction of DOM is decomposed rapidly through microbially or photochemically mediated processes, whereas refractory DOM is resistant to degradation and can persist in the ocean for millennia. In the coastal ocean, organic matter from terrestrial plant litter or soils appears to be more refractory (Cauwet, 2002) and thus often behaves conservatively. In addition, refractory DOM is produced in the ocean by bacterial transformation of labile DOM by reshaping its composition (Tremblay and Benner, 2006; Jiao et al., 2010). However, it is still very difficult to determine the sources and characteristics of DOM in coastal waters.

 There are many approaches to distinguish the source of DOM in coastal areas using various tracers (Faganeli et al., 1988; Benner and Opsahl, 2001; Chen et al., 2004; Baker and Spencer, 2004; Cawley et al., 2012; Lee and Kim, 2018). The stable carbon isotopic

62 composition of dissolved organic carbon (δ^{13} C-DOC) has been used to distinguish different 63 sources. In general, δ^{13} C values derived from C3 and C4 land plants are in the range of -23‰ 64 – -34% and -9% – -17% (Deines, 1980), respectively, while those derived from marine phytoplankton range from −18 to −22‰ (Kelley et al., 1998; Coffin and Cifuentes, 1999). In addition, the optically active fraction of DOM known as fluorescent DOM (FDOM) have been successfully used for characterizing DOM (Coble et al., 1990; Coble, 1996). Fluorescence excitation-emission matrices and parallel factor analysis (EEM-PARAFAC) technique has been applied to trace the source of humic-like versus protein-like DOM in coastal waters and estuaries (Chen et al., 2004; Jaffé et al., 2004; Murphy et al., 2008). DOC/DON ratios are often used to differentiate allochthonous versus autochthonous sources. The C/N ratios of terrestrial organic carbon are usually higher than 12, while those of marine organic carbon from phytoplankton are almost constant ranging from 6 to 8 (Milliman et al., 1984; Lobbes et al.,2000). However, the interpretation of isotopic ratio of bulk sample alone in complex coastal environments is somewhat complicated by the overlap of the isotopic ranges. Thus, several 76 studies have used δ^{13} C-DOC combined with FDOM (Osburn and Stedmon, 2011; Osburn et al., 2011; Ya et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2015) or carbon isotope ratios combined with C/N ratio (Thornton and McManus, 1994; Andrews et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2004; McCallister et al., 2006; Pradhan et al., 2014) to discriminate different sources of DOM in estuarine and coastal waters. As far as we know, these three tracers together have not yet been used together to determine DOM sources in coastal waters.

 Our study aimed at discriminating DOM sources in coastal waters, where various 84 sources are present, using δ^{13} C-DOC, FDOM, and DOC/DON ratios together. Masan bay is surrounded by cities with thousands of industrial plants and a population of 1.1 million. In

 association with large anthropogenic nutrient loading, this area has been recognized as a highly eutrophic embayment (Lee and Min, 1990; Yoo, 1991; Hong et al., 2010). Red tides and hypoxic water mass in the bottom layer of the bay have occurred annually in spring and summer (Lee et al., 2009). In addition, there are potential point sources of sewage treatment plants (STPs) which manage domestic and industrial wastewater from Masan and Changwon cities. Lee et al. (2011) revealed the origins of sewage and organic matter using dissolved sterols in Masan Bay. They reported that the water samples from the creeks, inner bay, and nearby STP were affected by sewage sources. Oh et al. (2017) showed that the excess DOC in bay water is produced by phytoplankton production. Therefore, Masan Bay is a suitable place to test the applicability of these multiple tracers to differentiate complicated DOM sources in other areas of the world's coastal regions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

 Masan Bay is located on the southeast coast of Korea with an area of approximately 101 80 km² (Fig. 1). The annual precipitation is approximately 1500 mm, and most of the precipitations occurs in the summer monsoon season. The amount of freshwater discharge into this bay is approximately 2.5×10^8 m³ yr⁻¹ with significant seasonal variation. The tide is semi-104 diurnal, showing a maximum tidal amplitude of \sim 1.9 m (average = 1.3 m) during the sampling 105 period. Due to topographic conditions, the current is very weak $(2-3 \text{ cm s}^{-1})$, and the residence times of water in the inner bay and in the entire bay are approximately 54 and 23 days, respectively (Lee et al., 2009). In the middle of the bay, an artificial island was constructed in 108 2015–2016 (Fig. 1) with an area of 0.64 km². The artificial island may have resulted in changes in water currents, residence times, and biogeochemical conditions.

2.2 Sampling

 Sampling was conducted in August 2011 and August 2016 in Masan Bay. Water samples were collected from the surface at 17 sites in 2011 and 10 sites in 2016. The bay receives a large amount of freshwater discharge from the northernmost part of the region. The 115 average surface water temperatures were $30.4 \pm 2.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ in 2011 and $26.5 \pm 0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ in 2016. All water samples were filtered through pre-combusted GF/F filters. Samples for FDOM analysis 117 were stored at 4°C in pre-combusted amber vials. Samples for DOC, total dissolved nitrogen 118 (TDN), and δ^{13} C-DOC analysis were stored in pre-combusted glass ampoules after acidifying to a pH ~2 with 6M HCL. Samples analyzed for dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) were stored frozen in a HDPE bottle (Nalgene) prior to analyses.

2.3 Analytical methods

 The concentrations of DOC and TDN were determined using a high-temperature 124 catalytic oxidation (HTCO) analyzer (TOC-V_{CPH}, Shimadzu, Japan). The standardization for DOC analysis was performed using a calibration curve of acetanilide (C:N ratio = 8) in ultra- pure water. The acidified samples were purged with pure air carrier gas for two min to remove dissolved inorganic carbon. Samples were carried into a combustion tube heated to 720 °C 128 where the DOC was converted quantitatively to $CO₂$. $CO₂$ gas was detected by a non-dispersive infrared detector (NDIR). Our DOC and TDN methods were verified using the seawater 130 reference samples for DOC of 44–46 μ mol L⁻¹ and for TDN of 32–34 μ mol L⁻¹, which were produced by the University of Miami (Hansell's lab, USA). Inorganic nutrients were measured using nutrient auto-analyzers (Alliance Instruments, FUTURA+ for 2011 samples and QuAAtro39, SEAL Analytical Ltd. for 2016 samples). Reference seawater materials (KANSO Technos, Japan) were used for the verification of analytical accuracy. DON concentrations were calculated based on the difference between the TDN and DIN concentrations.

137 The values of δ^{13} C-DOC were determined using a TOC-IR-MS instrument (Isoprime, Elementar, Germany). The analytical method is the same as that used by Kim et al. (2015) and 139 Lee and Kim (2018). Low carbon water $\langle 2 \mu M$; University of Miami, Hansell's lab) was measured for blank corrections and used for preparing all standard samples. The blank correction procedure is the same as that reported previously (Panetta et al., 2008; De Troyer et al., 2010). Certified IAEA-CH6 sucrose (International Atomic Energy Agency, −10.45 ± 0.03‰) was used for standardization. The standard solution was measured for every ten 144 samples to monitor the drifting effect. Our measured values of δ^{13} C-DOC of the Deep-Sea Water Reference (University of Miami) samples were ±0.3‰ relative to the values provided by Panetta et al. (2008) and Lang et al. (2007).

 FDOM was determined using a spectrofluorometer (FluoroMate FS-2, SCINCO) within two days from the sampling time. EEMs were collected for the emission (Em) wavelength range of 240–600 nm with 2 nm intervals and an excitation (Ex) wavelength range of 240–500 nm with 5 nm intervals. Each sample value was subtracted for the signal of Milli- Q water produced daily to remove Raman scattering peaks. All data were converted to quinine sulfate units (QSU) using a quinine sulfate standard solution dissolved in 0.1N sulfuric acid at Ex/Em of 350/450 nm. We did not correct EEM data for inner filter effects before measurements, because the inner filter effects were found to be negligible for coastal water samples using this instrument (Lee and Kim, 2018). EEMs-PARAFAC was performed on

 MATLAB (R2013a) using a DOMFluor toolbox, and the three components (C1-C3) were validated by split-half analysis (Figs. S1 and S2).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Horizontal distributions of DOM

 The salinity of surface seawater in August 2011 ranged from 10 to 21, while the salinity in August 2016 ranged from 25 to 32 (Table 1 and Fig. 2). The concentrations of DOC in both sampling periods ranged from 100 μM to 200 μM (Fig. 2), which fall within the DOC ranges commonly observed in coastal waters (Gao et al., 2010; Osburn and Stedmon, 2011; Kim et al., 2012). The highest concentration of DOC in 2011 (186 μM) was observed at station M4-1 in the middle of the bay, whereas the highest concentration of DOC in 2016 (191 μM) was observed at station M1, which is the innermost station in the bay. DOC concentrations were lowest at the outermost stations in both sampling periods. Concentrations of DON were in the range of 7–24 μM in 2011 and 3–15 μM in 2016, with the highest value at M5-1 in 2011 and at M1 in 2016 (Fig. 2).

 EEM-PARAFAC dataset analyses identified three components in the surface water samples. EEMs contour plots and split-half validation results of three components are shown in the supplementary (Figs. S1 and S2). Based on the comparison with the data in the OpenFluor (Murphy et al., 2014), Component 1 (FDOMH, Ex/Em = 322/405 nm) is associated with a terrestrial humic-like component (Liu et al., 2019; Dalmagro et al., 2019; Chen et al., 178 2016). Component 2 (FDOM_M, Ex/Em = $386/450$ nm) is also associated with an allochthonous humic-like component (Wünsch et al., 2017). Component 3 (FDOMP, Ex/Em = 280/330 nm) is associated with a protein-like component, which is a product of microbial processes (Liu et al., 2019; Murphy et al., 2011; Osburn et al., 2011). We use Component 1 as a representative 182 of terrestrial humic-like FDOM (FDOM $_H$) in this study because there was a significant 183 correlation (r^2 =0.95) between Component 1 and Component 2.

 FDOM^H is known to indicate humic substances from terrestrial, anthropogenic, or agricultural sources (Coble, 2007), whereas FDOM^P is likely related to autochthonous or 187 anthropogenic sources (Coble, 1996; Hudson et al., 2007). The intensities of FDOM_H and FDOM^P in 2011 were in the range of 3.6–9.2 QSU and 4–79 QSU, respectively (Fig. 3). The 189 intensities of FDOM_H and FDOM_P in 2016 were in the range of 2.7–0.6 OSU and 4.8–2.1 OSU, respectively (Fig. 3). An exceptionally higher concentration of FDOM^P was observed at station M4-1 (78 QSU) relative to that of other stations (2–25 QSU) in 2011 (Fig. 4d).

3.2 Origin of excess DOM

 The plot of DOC against salinity in 2011 showed two different mixing trends. The first slope showed a slight increase in DOC with decreasing salinity toward the innermost stations, including M1, M1-1, and M2 (Fig.4a, Group 1). The second trend showed a sharp rise in DOC (excess DOC in 2011) to the maximum at stations with salinities between 18 and 22 (Fig.4a, Group 2), indicating that there are other DOC sources at the high-salinity stations, besides the two end-member mixing. The plot of DOC against salinity showed that DOC in 2016 was in a range similar to that of 2011, although there was much less influence from fresh water (Fig. 4a, Group 3). This plot shows that there was an addition of DOC (excess DOC) in 2016 for high- salinity water in the bay. The potential sources of excess DOC occurring in this bay water may include terrestrial freshwater in creeks, STP water, direct land-seawater interaction, and *in situ* biological production. The creek water may also include various anthropogenic sources (i.e., industrial, agricultural, and domestic sewage) as well as natural land sources. There are no salt- marsh or wetland habitats in Masan Bay. To determine the main sources of the excess DOC 207 using δ^{13} C-DOC, FDOM, and DOC/DON ratios, the excess DOC stations are separated into three groups (Group 1, Group 2 in 2011, and Group 3 in 2016) (Fig. 4a).

209

210 Group 1 includes low-salinity water stations (M1, M1-1, M2, M3, M5-1, M5-2, and 211 M5-3) observed in 2011 (Fig. 1). δ^{13} C-DOC values for Group 1 ranged from -25.4‰ to 212 – 23.3‰. We plotted a conservative mixing curve of δ^{13} C-DOC for two end-member mixing 213 (Spiker, 1980; Raymond and Bauer, 2001). The assumed end-member values of DOC and δ^{13} C-214 DOC were 185 μM and −28‰ (Raymond and Bauer, 2001), respectively, for the terrestrial 215 end-member (S=0) and 100 μM and −18‰ (Kelley et al., 1998), respectively, for the marine 216 end-member (S=34). The δ^{13} C values of Group 1 fall into the mixing line or are slightly heavier 217 than the mixing line within 1.5 ‰, indicating the conservative mixing between the terrestrial 218 C3 land plant (−23‰ to −32‰; Deines, 1980) in freshwater and the open ocean seawater. The 219 slightly heavier values could be produced by *in situ* biological production during the mixing 220 processes. As such, the plot of δ^{13} C-DOC values versus C/N ratios also indicates that the excess 221 DOC of Group 1 is from freshwater DOC (Fig. 5a).

222

223 Group 2 includes high-salinity water stations (M4-1, M4-2, M6, M6-1, M7-1, M7-2, 224 M8, M9, and M9-1) observed in 2011 (Fig. 1). The δ^{13} C-DOC values of Group 2 were in the 225 range of −23.3‰ to −20.6‰ and were more enriched than the conservative mixing curve. 226 These values are close to the marine δ^{13} C-DOC values (−22 to −18‰) (Fry et al., 1998), except 227 for one station (M6), in this group (-23.3%). The δ^{13} C-DOC values of Group 2 suggest that excess DOM was added *in situ* by biological production in seawater. As such, the plot of $δ¹³C-$

229 DOC values versus C/N ratios also indicates that the excess DOC of Group 2 is produced by marine phytoplankton (Fig. 5a).

 Group 3 includes high-salinity water stations (M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6, and M7) observed in 2016 (Fig. 1). Although all data were collected in the same wet season (August), the salinity ranges of both campaigns were different from those in 2011, with a narrow high 235 salinity range in 2016. The δ^{13} C-DOC values for Group 3 also showed significantly different 236 values relative to those sampled in 2011 (Group 1 and Group 2). The δ^{13} C-DOC values for Group 3 were depleted (−28.8‰ and −21.1‰) relative to the conservative mixing curve (Fig. 238 4b). The plot of δ^{13} C-DOC values versus C/N ratios indicates that the excess DOC of Group 3 is from C3 terrestrial plants through direct land (including the possible sources from a newly- built artificial island)-seawater interactions, based on the fact that the excess DOC occurred in high-salinity (26–32) waters (Fig. 5a).

243 FDOM_H showed a significant negative correlation with salinity ($r^2 = 0.89$). The concentrations were highest for Group 1 and lowest for Group 3. This result indicates that humic DOM in this region was mainly from a terrestrial source and behaved conservatively in the freshwater and seawater mixing zone. This trend is commonly observed in coastal waters 247 worldwide (Coble et al., 1998; Mayer et al., 1999). However, the concentration of FDOM_P showed no correlation with salinity. In general, FDOM^P shows non-conservative behavior in many estuaries owing to the extra source of DOC produced by *in situ* biological production 250 (Benner and Opsahl, 2001). In the study region, a remarkably high FDOM_P concentration was observed at station M4-1 in 2011, where DOC concentration was highest. This trend also 252 supports the argument, based on the δ^{13} C-DOC results, that the main source of DOC at this station is from *in situ* biological production. We observed the decoupling between DOC and 254 FDOM $_H$ because FDOM $_H$ is not the major portion of DOC in this bay, except M4-1 station.

 Masan Bay has many potential land sources of DOM from different creeks. In addition, the treated sewage outflow from a STP is located near station M7-1 (Fig. 1). Many studies have been conducted to identify organic pollutants from STP (Kannan et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011). In our study, however, station M7-1 did not show different DOM characteristics: (1) the 260 concentrations of DOC, FDOM_H, and FDOM_P against salinity did not show anomalously 261 higher or lower trends, relative to the other stations nearby. (2) The δ^{13} C-DOC values at M7-1 (−20.6‰) were close to the marine values (Fry et al., 1998), similar to those in other stations nearby, although they are known to be lighter in some US wastewater treatment plants (−26‰) (Griffith et al., 2009). (3) A fulvic-like peak was not observed, although a significantly higher fulvic-like peak (Ex/Em 320–340 nm/410–430 nm) was observed in treated sewage (Baker and Inverarity, 2004). (4) The increase of FDOM^P intensities at stations M7-1 and M7-2 was 267 insignificant relative to those at stations $M6-1$ and $M8$, although FDOM_P is often used as a tracer of anthropogenic material including treated effluents (Hudson et al., 2007). Thus, we conclude that the concentration of DOC at station M7-1 was not influenced by STP. This STP appears to reduce TOC concentrations to a level that cannot influence the DOC concentrations resulting from the other mixing sources, as shown in several other estuaries (Abril et al., 2002). In general, anomalously high FDOM^P was observed for anthropogenic sources (Coble, 273 1996; Baker et al., 2003). The δ^{13} C values of sewage effluents generally ranged from -22‰ to

 –28.5‰ (Andrews et al., 1998; Barros et al., 2010), and those of STP effluents ranged from – 275 24‰ to -28% (Griffith et al., 2009). The $\delta^{13}C$ vs FDOM_P plot (Fig. 5b) shows that there was 276 no increase in FDOM_P concentrations for samples which had depleted δ^{13} C values. Thus, we conclude that there was no significant DOC input from untreated sewage or STP sources in this bay.

4. Conclusions

281 We determined the sources of DOM in 2011 and 2016 using the δ^{13} C-DOC, FDOM, and DOC/DON ratios. The main sources were separated into three groups based on DOC concentrations versus salinity plots. The DOM concentrations in the first group in 2011, which included the lowest salinity waters, were found to be mixtures of terrestrial DOM and open-285 ocean DOM sources based on the δ^{13} C values of -25.4‰ to -23.3‰ and a good correlation between FDOM^H and salinity. The excess DOC concentrations in the second group in higher salinity waters in 2011 were found to be produced *in situ* by biological production based on 288 more enriched δ^{13} C-DOC values (−22.0‰ to −20.6‰), high FDOM_P concentrations, and low C/N ratios. The excess DOC concentrations in the third group in high salinity waters in 2016 seemed to be produced by direct interaction between land and seawater based on more depleted δ^{13} C-DOC values (−28.8‰ and −21.1‰), low FDOM concentrations, and high C/N ratios. Our 292 results show that the combination of multiple DOM tracers, including δ^{13} C-DOC, FDOM, and DOC/DON ratios, is powerful for discriminating the complicated sources of DOM occurring in coastal waters.

Data availability

 All data used in this paper can be accessed by email to the corresponding author upon request.

- **Author contribution**
- GK conceptualized the study. SL and TK collected the samples. SL performed the analyses. SL

Kingston Harbour, Jamaica, Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci., 46, 743-756, 1998.

- Baker, A., and Inverarity, R.: Protein‐like fluorescence intensity as a possible tool for determining river water quality, Hydrol. Process., 18, 2927-2945, 2004.
- Baker, A., and Spencer, R. G.: Characterization of dissolved organic matter from source to sea using fluorescence and absorbance spectroscopy, Sci. Total Environ., 333, 217-232, 2004.
- Bauer, J. E. and Bianchi, T. S.: Dissolved organic carbon cycling and transformation, in: Treatise on estuarine and coastal science, edited by: Wolanski, E. and Mcluski, D. S., 5, 7-67, Academic Press, Waltham, 2011.
- Beaupré, S. R.: Chapter 6 The Carbon Isotopic Composition of Marine DOC, in:
- Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter (Second Edition), edited by: Hansell, D.
- A., and Carlson, C. A., Academic Press, Boston, 335-368, 2015
- Benner, R., and Opsahl, S.: Molecular indicators of the sources and transformations of dissolved organic matter in the Mississippi river plume, Org. Geochem., 32, 597-611, 2001.
- Carlson, C. A., and Hansell, D. A.: Chapter 3 DOM Sources, Sinks, Reactivity, and Budgets,
- in: Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter (Second Edition), edited by: Hansell,
- D. A., and Carlson, C. A., Academic Press, Boston, 65-126, 2015
- Cauwet, G.: Chapter 12 DOM in the Coastal Zone, in: Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter, edited by: Hansell, D. A., and Carlson, C. A., Academic Press, San Diego, 579- 609, 2002.
- Cawley, K. M., Ding, Y., Fourqurean, J., and Jaffé, R.: Characterising the sources and fate of dissolved organic matter in Shark Bay, Australia: a preliminary study using optical properties and stable carbon isotopes, Mar. Freshwater Res., 63, 1098-1107, https://doi.org/10.1071/MF12028, 2012.
- Chen, R. F., Bissett, P., Coble, P., Conmy, R., Gardner, G. B., Moran, M. A., Wang, X., Wells,
- M. L., Whelan, P., and Zepp, R. G.: Chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) source characterization in the Louisiana Bight, Mar. Chem., 89, 257-272, 2004.
- Chen, M., Kim, J.-H., Nam, S.-I., Niessen, F., Hong, W.-L., Kang, M.-H., and Hur, J. J.: Production of fluorescent dissolved organic matter in Arctic Ocean sediments, Sci. Rep. 6, 39213, 2016.
- Coble, P. G., Green, S. A., Blough, N. V., and Gagosian, R. B.: Characterization of dissolved organic matter in the Black Sea by fluorescence spectroscopy, Nature, 348, 432, 1990b.
- Coble, P. G.: Characterization of marine and terrestrial DOM in seawater using excitation-emission matrix spectroscopy, Mar. Chem., 51, 325-346, 1996.
- Coble, P. G., Del Castillo, C. E., and Avril, B.: Distribution and optical properties of CDOM in the Arabian Sea during the 1995 Southwest Monsoon, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 45, 2195-2223, 1998.
- Coble, P. G.: Marine optical biogeochemistry: the chemistry of ocean color, Chemical reviews, 107, 402-418, 2007.
- Coffin, R. B., and Cifuentes, L. A.: Stable isotope analysis of carbon cycling in the Perdido Estuary, Florida, Estuaries, 22, 917-926, 1999.
- Dalmagro, H. J., Lathuillière, M. J., Sallo, F. d. S., Guerreiro, M. F., Pinto, O. B., de Arruda, P.
- H., Couto, E. G., and Johnson, M. S.: Streams with Riparian Forest Buffers versus
- Impoundments Differ in Discharge and DOM Characteristics for Pasture Catchments in
- Southern Amazonia, Water, 11, 390, 2019.

 De Troyer, I., Bouillon, S., Barker, S., Perry, C., Coorevits, K., and Merckx, R.: Stable isotope analysis of dissolved organic carbon in soil solutions using a catalytic combustion total organic carbon analyzer‐isotope ratio mass spectrometer with a cryofocusing interface, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 24, 365-374, 2010.

- Deines, P.: Chapter 9 The isotopic composition of reduced organic carbon, in Handbook of environmental isotope geochemistry, edited by Fritz, P., and Fontes, J. Ch., Elsevier Science, 329-406, 1980.
- Faganeli, J., Malej, A., Pezdic, J., and Malacic, V.: C: N: P ratios and stable c-isotopic ratios as indicators of sources of organic-matter in the gulf of trieste (northern adriatic), Oceanolog. Acta, 11, 377-382, 1988.
- $Fry, B., Hopkinson, C. S., Nolin, A., and Wainright, S. C.: ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ composition of marine$ dissolved organic carbon, Chem. Geol., 152, 113-118, 1998.
- Gao, L., Fan, D., Li, D., and Cai, J.: Fluorescence characteristics of chromophoric dissolved organic matter in shallow water along the Zhejiang coasts, southeast China, Mar. Environ. Res., 69, 187-197, 2010.
- Griffith, D. R., Barnes, R. T., and Raymond, P. A.: Inputs of fossil carbon from wastewater treatment plants to US rivers and oceans, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 5647-5651, 2009.
- Griffith, D. R. and Raymond, P. A.: Multiple-source heterotrophy fueled by aged organic carbon in an urbanized estuary, Mar. Chem., 124, 14-22, 2011.
- Hansell, D. A. and C. A. Carlson: Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter. Academic Press, San Diego, 774 pp. 2002.
- Hong, S. H., Kannan, N., Jin, Y., Won, J. H., Han, G. M., and Shim, W. J.: Temporal trend, spatial distribution, and terrestrial sources of PBDEs and PCBs in Masan Bay, Korea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 60, 1836-1841, 2010.
- Hudson, N., Baker, A., and Reynolds, D.: Fluorescence analysis of dissolved organic matter in
- natural, waste and polluted waters—a review, River Res. Appl., 23, 631-649, 2007.
- Jaffé, R., Boyer, J., Lu, X., Maie, N., Yang, C., Scully, N., and Mock, S.: Source characterization of dissolved organic matter in a subtropical mangrove-dominated estuary by fluorescence analysis, Mar. Chem., 84, 195-210, 2004.
- Jiao, N., Herndl, G. J., Hansell, D. A., Benner, R., Kattner, G., Wilhelm, S. W., Kirchman, D.
- L., Weinbauer, M. G., Luo, T., and Chen, F.: Microbial production of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter: long-term carbon storage in the global ocean, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 8, 593-599, 2010.
- Kannan, N., Hong, S. H., Yim, U. H., Kim, N. S., Ha, S. Y., Li, D., and Shim, W. J.: Dispersion of organic contaminants from wastewater treatment outfall in Masan Bay, Korea, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Sci., 2, 200-206, 2010.
- Kelley, C. A., Coffin, R. B., and Cifuentes, L. A.: Stable isotope evidence for alternative bacterial carbon sources in the Gulf of Mexico, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 1962-1969, 1998.
- Kim, T. -H., Waska, H., Kwon, E., Suryaputra, I. G. N., and Kim, G.: Production, degradation,
- and flux of dissolved organic matter in the subterranean estuary of a large tidal flat, Mar. Chem., 142, 1-10, 2012.
- Kim, T. -H., Kim, G., Lee, S. A., and Dittmar, T.: Extraordinary slow degradation of Dissolved
- Organic Carbon (DOC) in a cold marginal sea, Sci. Rep., 5, 13808, 2015.
- Lamb, A. L., Wilson, G. P., and Leng, M. J.: A review of coastal palaeoclimate and relative sea-410 level reconstructions using $\delta^{13}C$ and C/N ratios in organic material. Earth-Sci. Rev, 75(1-4), 29-57, 2006.
- 412 Lang, S. O., Lilley, M. D., and Hedges, J. I.: A method to measure the isotopic (^{13}C) composition of dissolved organic carbon using a high temperature combustion instrument, Mar. Chem., 103, 318-326, 2007.
- Lee, C.-W., and Min, B.-Y.: Pollution in Masan Bay, a matter of concern in South Korea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 21, 226-229, 1990.
- Lee, H. J., Hong, S. H., Kim, M., Ha, S. Y., An, S. M., and Shim, W. J.: Tracing origins of sewage and organic matter using dissolved sterols in Masan and Haengam Bay, Korea, Ocean Sci. J., 46, 95-103, 2011.
- Lee, S.-A., and Kim, G.: Sources, fluxes, and behaviors of fluorescent dissolved organic matter (FDOM) in the Nakdong River Estuary, Korea, Biogeosciences, 15, 1115-1122, 2018.
- Lee, Y.-W., Hwang, D.-W., Kim, G., Lee, W.-C., and Oh, H.-T.: Nutrient inputs from submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) in Masan Bay, an embayment surrounded by heavily industrialized cities, Korea, Sci. Total Environ., 407, 3181-3188, 2009.
- Liu, C., Du, Y., Yin, H., Fan, C., Chen, K., Zhong, J., and Gu, X.: Exchanges of nitrogen and phosphorus across the sediment-water interface influenced by the external suspended particulate matter and the residual matter after dredging, Environ. Pollut., 246, 207-216, 2019.
- Lobbes, J. M., Fitznar, H. P., and Kattner, G.: Biogeochemical characteristics of dissolved and
- particulate organic matter in Russian rivers entering the Arctic Ocean, Geochim. Cosmochim.
- Acta, 64, 2973-2983, 2000.
- Lu, Y., Edmonds, J. W., Yamashita, Y., Zhou, B., Jaegge, A., and Baxley, M.: Spatial variation in the origin and reactivity of dissolved organic matter in Oregon-Washington coastal waters, Ocean Dyn., 65, 17-32, 2015.
- Markager, S., Stedmon, C. A., and Søndergaard, M.: Seasonal dynamics and conservative
- mixing of dissolved organic matter in the temperate eutrophic estuary Horsens Fjord, Estuarine
- Coastal Shelf Sci., 92, 376-388, 2011.
- Mayer, L. M., Schick, L. L., and Loder, T. C.: Dissolved protein fluorescence in two Maine estuaries, Mar. Chem., 64, 171-179, 1999.
- McCallister, S. L., Bauer, J. E., Ducklow, H. W., and Canuel, E. A.: Sources of estuarine dissolved and particulate organic matter: a multi-tracer approach, Org. Geochem., 37, 454-468, 2006.
- Milliman, J. D., Qinchun, X., and Zuosheng, Y.: Transfer of particulate organic carbon and nitrogen from the Yangtze River to the ocean, Am. J. Sci., 284, 824-834, 1984.
- Murphy, K. R., Stedmon, C. A., Waite, T. D., and Ruiz, G. M.: Distinguishing between terrestrial and autochthonous organic matter sources in marine environments using fluorescence spectroscopy, Mar. Chem., 108, 40-58, 2008.
- Murphy, K. R., Hambly, A., Singh, S., Henderson, R. K., Baker, A., Stuetz, R., and Khan, S. J.: Organic matter fluorescence in municipal water recycling schemes: toward a unified PARAFAC model, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 2909-2916, 2011.
- Murphy, K. R., Stedmon, C. A., Wenig, P., and Bro, R.: OpenFluor–an online spectral library of auto-fluorescence by organic compounds in the environment, Anal. Methods, 6, 658-661, 2014.
- Oh, Y. H., Lee, Y.-W., Park, S. R., and Kim, T.-H.: Importance of dissolved organic carbon flux through submarine groundwater discharge to the coastal ocean: Results from Masan Bay, the southern coast of Korea, J. Mar. Syst., 173, 43-48, 2017.
- Osburn, C. L., and Stedmon, C. A.: Linking the chemical and optical properties of dissolved organic matter in the Baltic-North Sea transition zone to differentiate three allochthonous inputs, Mar. Chem., 126, 281-294, 2011.
- Osburn, C. L., Wigdahl, C. R., Fritz, S. C., and Saros, J. E.: Dissolved organic matter composition and photoreactivity in prairie lakes of the US Great Plains, Limnol. Oceanogr., 56, 2371-2390, 2011.
- Panetta, R. J., Ibrahim, M., and Gélinas, Y.: Coupling a High-Temperature Catalytic Oxidation Total Organic Carbon Analyzer to an Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer To Measure Natural- Abundance δ13C-Dissolved Organic Carbon in Marine and Freshwater Samples, Anal. Chem., 80, 5232-5239, 2008.
- Pradhan, U., Wu, Y., Shirodkar, P., Zhang, J., and Zhang, G.: Sources and distribution of organic matter in thirty five tropical estuaries along the west coast of India-a preliminary assessment, Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci., 151, 21-33, 2014.
- Raymond, P. A., and Bauer, J. E.: DOC cycling in a temperate estuary: a mass balance approach using natural 14C and 13C isotopes, Limnol. Oceanogr., 46, 655-667, 2001.
- Spiker, E.: The Behavior of C-14 and C-13 in Estuarine Water-Effects of Insitu Co2 Production
- and Atmospheric Exchange, Radiocarbon, 22, 647-654, 1980.

 Thornton, S., and McManus, J.: Application of organic carbon and nitrogen stable isotope and C/N ratios as source indicators of organic matter provenance in estuarine systems: evidence from the Tay Estuary, Scotland, Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci., 38, 219-233, 1994.

- Tremblay, L., and Benner, R.: Microbial contributions to N-immobilization and organic matter
- preservation in decaying plant detritus, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 70, 133-146, 2006.
- Twardowski, M. S., and Donaghay, P. L.: Separating in situ and terrigenous sources of absorption by dissolved materials in coastal waters, J. Geophys. Res, 106, 2545-2560, 2001.
- Wang, X.-C., Chen, R. F., and Gardner, G. B.: Sources and transport of dissolved and particulate organic carbon in the Mississippi River estuary and adjacent coastal waters of the northern Gulf of Mexico, Mar. Chem., 89, 241-256, 2004.
- Wünsch, U. J., Murphy, K. R., and Stedmon, C. A.: The one-sample PARAFAC approach reveals molecular size distributions of fluorescent components in dissolved organic matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 11900-11908, 2017.
- Ya, C., Anderson, W., and Jaffé, R.: Assessing dissolved organic matter dynamics and source
- strengths in a subtropical estuary: Application of stable carbon isotopes and optical properties, Cont. Shelf Res., 92, 98-107, 2015.
- Yoo, K.: Population dynamics of dinoflagellate community in Masan Bay with a note on the impact of environmental parameters, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 23, 185-188, 1991.
- Zhang, Y., van Dijk, M. A., Liu, M., Zhu, G., and Qin, B.: The contribution of phytoplankton
- degradation to chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in eutrophic shallow lakes:
- field and experimental evidence, Water Res., 43, 4685-4697, 2009.

494 **Table 1.** Salinity, DOC, FDOM_H, FDOM_P, and δ^{13} C-DOC in surface water of Masan Bay in 495 August 2011 and August 2016.

496 $n/a = not available$.

498 **Figure 1.** A map showing the sampling stations for DOC, δ^{13} C-DOC, FDOM, and DOC/DON

ratio in Masan Bay, Korea, in 2011 and 2016.

Figure 2. Surface distributions of salinity, DOC, and DON in Masan Bay, Korea, in 2011 and

2016.

504 **Figure 3.** Surface distributions of δ^{13} C-DOC, FDOM_H, and FDOM_P in Masan Bay, Korea, in

2011 and 2016.

Figure 4. Relationships between salinity versus (a) DOC, (b) δ^{13} C-DOC, (c) FDOM_H, (d) FDOMP, (e) DON, and (f) DOC/DON values. The DOC concentrations are divided into three groups based on probable different sources (in the dashed circles). The dashed line (b) 510 represents the binary conservative mixing line for δ^{13} C-DOC between the terrestrial end- member and the marine end-member. The solid line (c) represents a linear regression fit of the data.

Figure 5. Relationships between δ^{13} C-DOC values versus (a) DOC/DON ratio and (b) FDOM_P 516 in Masan Bay, Korea. The ranges of DOC/DON ratio and δ^{13} C-DOC values for each group are 517 based on the values reported by Lamb et al. (2006) and Beaupré (2015).