

BGD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Vertical transport of sediment-associated metals and cyanobacteria by ebullition in a stratified lake" by Kyle Delwiche et al.

Anonymous Referee #4

Received and published: 27 January 2020

General Comments: The manuscript by Delwiche et al describes the contribution of bubble-mediated, vertical transport of particles, chemistry, and biology within a lake. This is a valuable observation with a unique laboratory experiment to support the results. The observations found here are fascinating and worthy of publication. I am supportive of publication; however, the issues with sample collection make me call into question the quantitative results and budget. Please see my specific comments below for further details. Ultimately, the data need to be published, but the manuscript needs major revisions to remove the budgets which are likely inaccurate, given the sample collection procedure. Please refocus the manuscript to state the observations and cast your results in light of how the samples were collected.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



centage of the particles when not passed through a plume of sediment. L 325-326:

Observations like this are the reason this manuscript needs to be published. L 353-354: This is a major finding of this study and should be a highlight. L 374: What does it mean to have a negative rate of transport? Are bubbles actually sequestering cells from the surface waters? This is another reason why I think the budgets need to be removed and the focus placed on the observations and laboratory experiments. L 400: Given the large errors in your bubble transport of cells, I have a hard time following how the error now is so small. The error propagation is not well explained.

Technical Comments: L 22: Delete "are". L 22-23: First sentence needs a citation. L 32-34: First sentence of the paragraph, poor sentence structure, please rewrite. L 35-37: "However, transport to surface..." Poor sentence structure, please rewrite. L 45-46: "Bubbling from anoxic sediment..." Sentence missing numerous citations. L 50-53: "Bubble-mediated particle..." Poor sentence structure, confusing, please rewrite. L 184-185: "We filtered bubble..." I did not understand this sentence. L 187: How much lower are the blanks? Actual numbers would be better. Two orders of magnitude can range from 110-fold lower to 900-fold lower. These are very different blanks. L 249: mL-1 gas volume or mL gas volume-1? L 250: Estimate – estimated (past tense). L 258: Bring eq. 1 up so that the reader knows the equation before getting the variables. Rewrite the part about the depth interval for germination. I was lost. L 362: This is a concentration, not a rate. L 365: Keep units consistent. Use slash or exponent throughout.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-243, 2019.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

