Spatial variations of CO₂ fluxes in the Saguenay Fjord (Québec, Canada) and results of a water mixing model

Louise Delaigue¹, Helmuth Thomas^{2,3} and Alfonso Mucci¹

¹GEOTOP and Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, McGill University, 3450 University Street, Montreal, QC H3A 0E8, Canada

²Department of Oceanography, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

³Center for Materials and Coastal Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Germany

Correspondence to: Louise Delaigue (louise.delaigue@mail.mcgill.ca)

- Abstract. The Saguenay Fjord is a major tributary of the St. Lawrence Estuary and is strongly stratified. A 6-8 m wedge of brackish water typically overlies up to 270 m of seawater. Relative to the St. Lawrence River, the surface waters of the Saguenay Fjord are less alkaline and host higher dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations. In view of the latter, surface waters of the fjord are expected to be a net source of CO₂ to the atmosphere, as they partly originate from the flushing of organic-rich soil porewaters. Nonetheless, the CO₂ dynamics in the fjord are modulated with the rising tide by the intrusion, at the surface, of brackish water from the Upper St. Lawrence Estuary, as well as
- 15 an overflow of mixed seawater over the shallow sill from the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary. Using geochemical and isotopic tracers, in combination with an optimization multi-parameter algorithm (OMP), we determined the relative contribution of known source-waters to the water column in the Saguenay Fjord, including waters that originate from the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary and replenish the fjord's deep basins. These results, when combined to a conservative mixing model and compared to field measurements, serve to identify the dominant factors, other than physical mixing,
- such as biological activity (photosynthesis, respiration) and gas exchange at the air-water interface, that impact the water properties (e.g., pH, pCO₂) of the fjord. Results indicate that the fjord's surface waters are a net source of CO_2 to the atmosphere during periods of high freshwater discharge (e.g., spring freshet) whereas they serve as a net sink of atmospheric CO_2 when their practical salinity exceeds ~ 5-10.

1 Introduction

5

Anthropogenic emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) have recently propelled atmospheric CO₂ concentrations above the 410 ppm mark, the highest concentration recorded in the past 3 million years (Willeit et al., 2019). The oceans, the largest CO₂ reservoir on Earth, have taken up ca. 30% of the anthropogenic CO₂ emitted to the atmosphere since the beginning of the industrial era (Feely et al., 2004; Brewer and Peltzer, 2009; Doney et al., 2009; Orr, 2011, Friedlingstein et al., 2019), mitigating the impact of this greenhouse gas on global warming (Sabine et al., 2004). On the other hand, the uptake of CO₂ by the oceans has led to modifications of the seawater carbonate chemistry and a decline in the average surface ocean pH by ~0.1 units since pre-industrial times, a phenomenon dubbed ocean acidification (Caldeira and Wickett, 2005). According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) "business as usual" emissions scenario IS92a and general circulation models, atmospheric CO₂ levels may reach 800 ppm by 2100, lowering the pH of the surface oceans by an additional 0.3-0.4 units, at a rate that is unprecedented in

- 35 the geological record (Caldeira and Wickett, 2005; Hönisch et al., 2012; Rhein et al., 2013). The growing concern about the impacts of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions on climate as well as marine and terrestrial ecosystems calls for a meticulous quantification of organic and inorganic carbon fluxes, especially in coastal environments, including fjords, a major but poorly quantified component of the global carbon cycle and budget (Bauer et al., 2013; Najjar et al., 2018). Meaningful predictions of the effects of climate change on future fluxes are intricate given the very large uncertainty
- 40 associated with present-day air-sea CO₂ flux estimates in coastal waters, including rivers, estuaries, tidal wetlands, and the continental shelf (Bauer et al., 2013; Najjar et al., 2018). The coastal ocean occupies only ~7% of the global ocean surface area, but plays a major role in biogeochemical cycles because it (1) receives massive inputs of terrestrial organic matter and nutrients through continental runoff and groundwater discharge; (2) exchanges matter and energy with the open ocean; and (3) is one of the most geochemically and biologically active areas of the biosphere,
 45 accounting for significant fractions of marine primary production (~14 to 30%), organic matter burial (~80%),

sedimentary mineralization (~90%), and calcium carbonate deposition (~50%) (Gattuso et al., 1998).

50

Although the carbon cycle of the coastal ocean is acknowledged to be a major component of the global carbon cycle and budget, accurate quantification of organic and inorganic carbon cycling and fluxes in the coastal ocean — where land, ocean and atmosphere interact — remains challenging (Bauer et al., 2013; Najjar et al., 2018). Constraining the exchanges and fates of different forms of carbon along the land—ocean continuum is so far incomplete, owing to limited data coverage and large physical and biogeochemical variability within and between coastal subsystems (e.g., hydrological and geomorphological differences, differences in the magnitude and stoichiometry of organic matter inputs). Hence, owing to limited data coverage and subsystems, there remains a debate as to

- 55 whether coastal waters are net sources or sinks of atmospheric CO₂. Recent compilations of worldwide CO₂ partial pressure (pCO₂) measurements indicate that most open shelves in temperate and high-latitudes are sinks of atmospheric CO₂ whereas low-latitude shelves and most estuaries are sources (Chen and Borges, 2009; Cai, 2011; Chen et al., 2013). As noted by Bauer et al. (2013), estuaries are transitional aquatic environments that can be riverine or marine dominated and, thus, they typically display strong gradients in biogeochemical properties and processes as
- 60 they flow seaward. Chen et al. (2013) reported that the strength of estuarine sources typically decreases with increasing salinity. However, marsh-dominated estuaries, in which active microbial decomposition of organic matter occurs in the intertidal zone, are strong sources of CO₂ (Cai, 2011).

High latitude waters such as the Arctic Ocean have recently been the foci of much research, while coastal, seasonally ice-covered aquatic environments, such as the Saguenay Fjord, that display comparable inter-annual and

- 65 climatic sea-ice cover variabilities but are much more accessible, have been neglected (Bourgault et al., 2012). Characteristics of Arctic coastal ecosystems are found in the Saguenay Fjord, including the presence of many species of plankton, fish, birds and marine mammals as well as important freshwater inputs and the presence of seasonal ice cover (Bourgault et al., 2012). Fjords stand amongst the most productive ecosystems on the planet, while they have a yet unexplored role in regional and global carbon cycles as part of the estuarine family (Juul-
- Pedersen et al., 2015). They are crucial hotspots for organic carbon (mostly terrestrial) burial and account for nearly 11% of the annual organic carbon burial flux in marine sediments, while covering only 0.12% of oceans' surface

(Rysgaard et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2015). In other words, organic carbon burial rates in fjords are a hundred times faster than the average rate in the global ocean. Rates of organic carbon burial provide insights on the mechanism that controls atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ concentrations over geological timescales (Smith et al., 2015).

75

This study presents 1) the relative contribution of known source waters to the water column in the fjord, estimated from the solution of an optimization multi-parameter algorithm (OMP) using geochemical and isotopic tracers, and 2) results of a conservative mixing model, based on results of the OMP analysis and from which theoretical surface-water pCO_2 values are derived and then compared to field measurements. The latter comparison serves to identify the dominant factors, other than physical mixing (i.e., biological activity, gas exchange), that impact the CO_2 fluxes at the air-sea interface and modulate their direction and intensity throughout the fjord (i.e. whether it is a source or a sink of CO_2 to the atmosphere).

2 Data and methods

2.1 Study site characteristics

Located in the subarctic region of Québec, eastern Canada, the Saguenay Fjord is up to 275 m deep, 110 km
long and has an average width of 2 km, with a 1.1 km wide mouth where it connects to the head of the Lower St.
Lawrence Estuary (Fig. 1.a). The fjord's bathymetry includes three basins bound by three sills (Fig. 1.b). The first one, at a depth of ~20 m, is located at its mouth near Tadoussac and controls the overall dynamics of the fjord. The second is located 18 km further upstream and sits at a depth of 60 m, while the third one is found another 32 km further upstream and rises to a depth of 115 m. The fjord's drainage basin is 78,000 km² and is part of the greater St. Lawrence drainage basin (Smith and Walton, 1980), forming a hydrographic system, along with the Great Lakes, of more than 1.36 million km².

Tributaries to the Saguenay Fjord include the Saguenay, Éternité and Sainte-Marguerite Rivers (Fig. 1.a).
The Saguenay River is the main outlet from the Saint-Jean Lake, and flows into the North Arm of the fjord near St.
Fulgence (Fig. 1.a) with a mean freshwater discharge of ~1200 m³ s⁻¹ (Bélanger, 2003). Two other local, minor
tributaries, the Rivière-à-Mars (95 km long, mean discharge ~8 m³ s⁻¹) and the Rivière des Ha! Ha! (35 km long, mean discharge ~15 m³ s⁻¹) discharge into the Baie des Ha! Ha!, a distinct feature of the Saguenay Fjord (Fig. 1.a). Finally, the fjord receives denser marine waters from the St. Lawrence Estuary, filling the bottom of the three basins, as these waters episodically overflow the entrance sill (Therriault and Lacroix, 1975; Stacey and Gratton, 2001; Bélanger, 2003; Belzile et al., 2016). According to Seibert et al. (1979), the tidal amplitude at the mouth of the fjord near
Tadoussac averages 4.0 m and increases slightly toward the head of the fjord (4.3 m near Port Alfred). Spring tides may reach an amplitude of 6 m.

The overflow and the intrusion of marine waters from the St. Lawrence Estuary generate a sharp halocline, leading to a simplified two-layer stratification in the fjord (Fig 1.b). The tidally-modulated intrusion of marine waters from the St. Lawrence Estuary into the Saguenay Fjord, as well as the outflow of the fjord into the estuary, have a major influence on the water column stratification and circulation in the Saguenay Fjord and at its mouth (Belzile et al., 2016; Mucci et al., 2017). In other words, the properties of the uppermost 100 m of the water column in the

80

105

adjacent estuary are critical in determining the water stratification in the Saguenay Fjord, since salinity and temperature control the density of waters that spill over the sill and fill the food's deep basins (Belzile et al., 2016). During most of the ice-free season, the St. Lawrence Estuary is characterized by three distinct layers: (1) a relatively

- warm and salty bottom layer (LSLE, $4^{\circ}C < T < 6^{\circ}C$, $34 < S_P < 34.6$; where T stands for temperature and S_P refers to 110 practical salinity) that originates from mixing, on the continental shelf, of northwestern Atlantic and Labrador Current waters, (2) a cold intermediate layer (CIL, 30 - 150 m deep; $-1^{\circ}C < T < 2^{\circ}C$, $31.5 < S_{P} < 33$) that forms in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in the winter and flows landward, and (3) a warm brackish surface layer (0 - 30 m deep, $-0.6^{\circ}C < T < 10^{\circ}$ 12° C, $25 < S_P < 32$) that results from the mixture of freshwater from various tributaries (mostly the St. Lawrence and
- Saguenay Rivers, but also north shore rivers such as the Betsiamites, Romaine and Manicouagan) and seawater and 115 flows seaward to ultimately form the Gaspé Current (Dickie and Trites, 1983; El-Sabh and Silverberg, 1990; Gilbert and Pettigrew, 1997). Seasonal variations greatly affect the properties of the surface layer which merges with the intermediate layer during winter, as temperature and salinity change with atmospheric and buoyancy forcing and the contribution from tributaries decreases during winter months (Galbraith, 2006).
- 120 Likewise, the Saguenay Fjord is characterized by a strongly stratified water column that includes at least two water masses: (1) a warm, shallow layer, the Saguenay Shallow Water (SSW; $0^{\circ}C < T < 16.8^{\circ}C$, $0.2 < S_P < 26.9$), that lies above (2) the Saguenay Deep Water (SDW; $0.9^{\circ}C < T < 4.0^{\circ}C$, $27.3 < S_P < 29.8$). The SDW most likely forms from a mixture of surface fiord water, St. Lawrence River waters and the St. Lawrence Estuary Cold Intermediate Layer (CIL), when the latter spills over the entrance sill at the mouth of the fjord (Bourgault et al., 2012; Belzile et 125 al., 2016). Nonetheless, our study shows that, because the Saguenay Fjord is a relatively deep fjord with multiple sills, the vertical structure of the water column is far more complex than described above.

2.2 Water-column sampling

The data presented in this paper were gathered on five cruises, between the years 2014 and 2018 aboard the R/V Coriolis II, in late spring (May 2016 and May 2018) and early summer (June 2017), as well as early and late fall 130 (September 2014 and November 2017). Sampling of the water column was carried out with a rosette system along the central axis of the Saguenay Fjord, between St. Fulgence and the mouth of the fjord, including the Baie des Ha! Ha!. Stations in the St. Lawrence Estuary, near the mouth of the fjord, were also sampled. The sampling locations are identified in Fig. 1.a. The surface water of the Saguenay River was sampled, with a rope and bucket in 2013 and 2017, from the Dubuc Bridge that joins Chicoutimi and Chicoutimi-Nord, to determine the chemical characteristics of the freshwater Saguenay River end-member.

135

The rosette system (12 x 12-L Niskin bottles) was equipped with a Seabird 911Plus conductivitytemperature-depth (CTD) probe, a Seabird® SEB-43 oxygen probe, a WETLabs® C-Star transmissometer and a Seapoint® fluorometer. The Niskin bottles were closed at discrete depths as the rosette was raised from the bottom, typically at the surface (2-3 m), 25 m, 50 m, 75 m, 100 m, and at 50 m intervals to the bottom (or within 10 m of the

140 bottom). Samples were taken directly from the bottles for dissolved oxygen (DO), pH_{NBS} and/or pH_T, total alkalinity (TA), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), dissolved silicate (DSi), practical salinity (S_P), and the stable oxygen isotopic composition of the water ($\delta^{18}O_{water}$). Water samples destined for pH measurements were transferred to 125 mL plastic

bottles without headspace whereas TA and TA/DIC samples were stored in, respectively, 250 mL and 500 mL glass bottles. TA and TA/DIC samples were poisoned with a few crystals of mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) and bottles were

145 sealed using a ground-glass stopper and Apiezon® Type-M high-vacuum grease. $\delta^{18}O_{water}$ and S_P samples were stored in 13 mL plastic screw-cap test tubes.

Direct measurements of surface water (~ 2 m) pCO₂ were carried out using a CO₂-Pro CV (Pro-Oceanus, Bridgewater, NS) probe in May 2018. The CO₂-Pro CV probe operates through rapid diffusion of gases through a supported semi-permeable membrane to a thermostated cell in which the CO₂ mole fraction is quantified by a nondispersive infrared detector (NDIR) that was factory calibrated using standard trace gas mixtures. The instrument was operated in continuous mode, with measurements taken nearly every 7 seconds. Stable pCO₂ values were achieved after a 15-minute equilibration period and averaged over the next 20 minutes. Relative standard deviations over this period were typically on the order of 0.2 to 6% but were on the order of 0.1% in a stable water mass at 220 m depth,

155 ship drifted with the current. The manufacturer claims a 1% accuracy, but the performance of the instrument may be even better (Hunt et al., 2017).

Total freshwater discharge data of the Saguenay River were provided by Rio Tinto Alcan (a multinational aluminium smelter/producer that manages its own hydroelectric dam on the Saguenay River) from their bank stabilization programme. Data for the relevant sampling days in September 2014, May 2016, June 2017, November 2017 and May 2018 were taken from the Shipshaw and Chute-à-Caron monitoring stations.

implying that deviations recorded at the surface likely reflected natural variations over the period of sampling as the

2.3 Analytical procedures

150

160

165

170

T and S_P were determined in-situ using the CTD probe. The conductivity probe was calibrated by the manufacturer over the winter prior to the cruises. In addition, the S_P of surface waters was determined by potentiometric argentometric titration at McGill University and calibration of the AgNO₃ titrant with IAPSO standard seawater. The reproducibility of these measurements is typically better than $\pm 0.5\%$.

 pH_T was determined spectrophotometrically on board, on the total hydrogen ion concentration scale for saline waters (S_P > 5), using phenol red and purified m-cresol purple as indicators and a Hewlett-Packard UV-visible diode array spectrophotometer (HP-8453A) with a 5-cm quartz cell, after thermal equilibration of the sample in a constant temperature bath at 25°C ± 0.1. The salinity-dependence of the dissociation constants and molar absorptivities of the indicators were taken from Robert-Baldo et al. (1985) for phenol red and from Clayton and Byrne (1993) for m-cresol

- purple. The salinity-dependence of the phenol red indicator dissociation constant and molar absorptivities was extended (from $S_P = 5$ to 35; Bellis, 2002) to encompass the range of salinities encountered in this study, but computed pH_T values from the revised fit were not significantly different from those obtained with the relationship provided by Robert-Baldo et al. (1985). Results computed from these parameters yielded results that were more similar to each
- other as well as to potentiometric glass electrode measurements than the revised equation for the purified m-cresol purple provided by Douglas and Byrne (2017). The pH of low-salinity waters ($S_P < 5$) was determined potentiometrically on board at 25°C, on the NIST (formerly NBS) scale (pH_{NBS}), using a Radiometer Analytical® (GK2401C) combination glass electrode connected to a Radiometer Analytical® pH/millivoltmeter (PHM84). A

calibration of the electrode was completed prior to and after each measurement, using three NIST-traceable buffer

- solutions: pH-4.00, pH-7.00 and pH-10.00, at 25°C. The Nernstian slope was then obtained from the least-squares fit of the electrode response to the NIST buffer values. For waters with S_P comprised between 5 and 35, pH_{NBS} was converted to pH_T according to the electrode response to TRIS buffer solutions prepared at $S_P = 5$, 15, 25 and 35 and for which the pH_T was assigned at 25°C (Millero, 1986). Reproducibility of pH measurements based on replicate analyses of the same sample or at least two of the three methods used was typically better than ± 0.005 .
- 185 Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations were determined on board by Winkler titration on distinct water samples recovered directly from the Niskin bottles, following the method described by Grasshoff et al. (1999). The relative standard deviation, based on replicate analyses of samples recovered from the same Niskin bottle, was 0.5 %. These measurements served to calibrate the SBE-43 oxygen probe mounted on the rosette sampler.
- The stable oxygen isotopic composition of the water samples (δ¹⁸O_{water}) was determined using the CO₂
 equilibration method of Epstein and Mayeda (1953). Aliquots (200 µL) of the water samples and three laboratory internal reference waters were transferred into 3 mL vials stoppered with a septum cap. The vials were then placed in a heated rack maintained at 40°C. Commercially available 99.998% pure CO₂ gas (Research Grade) was introduced in all the vials using a Micromass AquaPrep and allowed to equilibrate for 7 hours. The headspace CO₂ was then sampled by the Micromass AquaPrep, dried on a -80°C water trap, and analyzed on a Micromass Isoprime universal
 triple collector isotope ratio mass spectrometer in dual inlet mode at the GEOTOP-UQAM Stable Isotope Laboratory. Data were normalized against the three internal reference waters, themselves calibrated against Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW) and Vienna Standard Light Arctic Precipitation (V-SLAP). The results are reported on the δ-scale in ‰ relative to V-SMOW:

200
$$\delta^{18}O = \left(\frac{({}^{18}O/{}^{16}O)_{sample}}{({}^{18}O/{}^{16}O)_{standard}} - 1\right) \times 1000$$
(1)

Based on replicate analyses of the samples, the average standard deviation of the measurements was better than 0.05‰.

205

TA was measured using an automated Radiometer (TitraLab865®) potentiometric titrator and a Red Rod® combination pH electrode (pHC2001) at McGill University. The dilute HCl titrant was calibrated prior, during and after each titration session using certified reference materials (CRM) provided by Andrew Dickson (Scripps Institution of Oceanography). Raw titration data were processed with a proprietary algorithm designed for shallow end-point detection. Surface water samples from the Saguenay Fjord and the Upper St. Lawrence Estuary were also analyzed at Dalhousie University using a VINDTA 3C® (Versatile Instrument for the Determination of Titration Alkalinity, by Marianda) following the method described in Dickson et al. (2007). A calibration of the instrument was performed against CRMs and the reproducibility of the measurements was better than 0.1%.

210

The DIC concentration of samples, recovered in 2016, 2017 and 2018 in the Saguenay Fjord and surface waters of the Upper and Lower St-Lawrence Estuary, were determined at Dalhousie University using the VINDTA 3C®. In 2014, DIC was determined on board using a SciTech Apollo DIC analyzer. Once thermally equilibrated at 25°C, 1-1.5 mL of the sample was acidified with 10% H₃PO₄ after being injected into the instrument's reactor. The

215 evolved CO₂ was carried to a LI-COR infrared analyzer by a stream of pure nitrogen. A calibration curve was constructed using gravimetrically prepared Na₂CO₃ solutions, and the accuracy of the measurements was verified using a CRM. Reproducibility was typically on the order of 0.2%.

2.4 Calculations

245

2.4.1 Water mass distribution analysis

A combination of transport processes associated with ocean circulation and biogeochemical cycles generally controls the distribution of tracers in the ocean (Chester, 1990). Resolving the effects of mixing and biogeochemical cycling is imperative if one is to evaluate the movement of nutrients and tracers in a water body. An Optimum Multi-Parameter (OMP) analysis allows for the determination of the relative contributions of pre-defined source-water types (SWT), representing the parameter values of the unmixed water masses in one specific geographic location, by optimizing the hydrographic data gathered in a given system (Tomczak, 1981). The original OMP algorithm is a linear inverse model that assumes all hydrographic tracers are conservative. The algorithm has since been modified to handle non-conservative properties such as DIC and nutrients by taking into consideration the stoichiometry of microbial respiration and photosynthesis (Dinauer and Mucci, 2018; Karstensen and Tomczak, 1998).

OMP calculates the SWT fractions, x_i , for each data point by finding the best linear mixing combination defined by parameters such as T, S_P, $\delta^{18}O_{water}$, DO, TA, and DIC. The contributions from all SWT must add-up to 100% and cannot be negative. Assuming that four SWT (a, b, c, and d) are sufficient to characterize the water column structure, and six parameters (T, S, $\delta^{18}O_{water}$, DO, TA, and DIC) characterize each of these, the following set of linear equations is solved in the classical OMP analysis (MATLAB - version 1.2.0.0; Karstensen, 2013):

235
$$x_a T_a + x_b T_b + x_c T_c + x_d T_d = T_{obs} + R_T$$
 (2.a)

$$x_a S_a + x_b S_b + x_c S_c + x_d S_d = S_{obs} + R_s$$
(2.b)

$$x_a \delta^{18} O_a + x_b \delta^{18} O_b + x_c \delta^{18} O_c + x_d \delta^{18} O_d = \delta^{18} O_{obs} + R_{\delta^{18} O_a}$$
(2.c)

$$x_a DO_a + x_b DO_b + x_c DO_c + x_d DO_d = DO_{obs} + R_{DO}$$
(2.d)

$$x_a T A_a + x_b T A_b + x_c T A_c + x_d T A_d = T A_{obs} + R_{TA}$$

$$(2.e)$$

240 $x_a DIC_a + x_b DIC_b + x_c DIC_c + x_d DIC_d = DIC_{obs} + R_{DIC}$ (2.f)

$$x_a + x_b + x_c + x_d = 1 + R_{\Sigma}$$
 (2.g)

where T_{obs} , S_{obs} , $\delta^{18}O_{obs}$, DO_{obs} , TA_{obs} , and DIC_{obs} are the observed values in any given parcel of water and R are their respective associated fitting residuals. T_i , S_i , $\delta^{18}O_i$, DO_i , TA_i , and DIC_i (i= a, ..., d) are the characteristic values of each SWT (Lansard et al., 2012; Tomczak and Large, 1989; Mackas et al., 1987). Mass conservation is expressed in Eq. (2.g).

To account for potential environmental variability, measurement inaccuracies, and allow for the comparison of parameters with incommensurable units, a weighting procedure based on covariances between tracers is applied. In this study, weights were assigned arbitrarily based on their conservative behaviors and variability (Lansard et al.,

- 250 2012). Conservative tracers (i.e. S_P , TA, $\delta^{18}O_{water}$) were assigned heavy weights, while non-conservative tracers (i.e. T, DO, DIC) were given low weights according to their seasonal variability. For instance, temperatures in the surface waters of the Saguenay River range from 3.1°C in the winter to 21°C in the summer. Dissolved oxygen was also considered a non-conservative tracer as it is heavily reliant on temperature and salinity, as well as biological activity. DIC was given an intermediate weight given that it is relatively conservative except in the surface waters, where
- 255 photosynthesis and air-sea gas exchange take place. Several OMP analyses were carried out using different weights for each parameter, while weighing their conservative behaviour appropriately (i.e., highly conservative vs. lightly conservative). Results were not affected significantly.

2.4.2 Source-Water Type definitions

- A water mass is, by definition, a body of water having its origin in a particular source region (Tomczak, 1999). An OMP analysis requires the user to define the major water masses contributing to the structure of the water 260 column in the study area. In the context of biogeochemical cycles, a SWT should be defined where the water mass enters the basin, before it enters the mixing region (Karstensen, 2013). Parameter values are preferably extrapolated from hydrographic observations in the water mass formation region or can be found in the literature.
- In this study, source-water type definitions were derived from property-property diagrams (See Appendix, 265 Fig. A) of an observational dataset relevant to the Saguenay Fjord: the Saguenay River (SWR), the St. Lawrence Estuary summertime Cold Intermediate Layer (CIL), the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary bottom waters (LSLE) and the St. Lawrence River (SLRW). Each definition was captured relative to the fjord, i.e. each source-water type is only appropriate for the fjord and for the period of study. Definitions and weights are reported in Table 1. A seasonality analysis was carried out to ensure SWT definitions were appropriate for the period of study. Insignificant variations 270 were observed in tracers such as δ^{18} O, DIC, TA, DO and S_P. The only highly variable tracer was T, which was given the lowest possible weight in the OMP analysis.

2.4.3 CO₂ partial pressures

The CO₂ partial pressure in seawater ($pCO_{2(SW)}$) is defined as the pCO_2 in water-saturated air ($pCO_{2(air)}$) in equilibrium with the water sample or the ratio of the CO₂ concentration in solution to the equilibrium concentration 275 at T, P and S_P, multiplied by the actual $pCO_{2(air)}$. As direct measurements of the surface mixed layer pCO_2 were not available in September 2014, May 2016, June 2017 and November 2017, it was calculated (pCO_{2(SW-calc})) using CO2SYS (Excel v2.1; Pierrot et al., 2006) and the measured pH (total or NBS/NIST scale; see Appendix, Fig. B.1 and B.2), DIC (µmol·kg⁻¹), in-situ temperature (°C), practical salinity (S_P) and pressure (dbar) as input parameters. When available, soluble reactive phosphate (SRP) and dissolved silicate (DSi) concentrations were also included in 280 the calculations, but their inclusion did not affect the results significantly because their concentrations are relatively low in surface waters (0.49 µM and 37.0 µM, respectively) and introduce an insignificant error. DIC rather than TA was used as an input parameter to CO2SYS since the food surface waters are enriched in colored dissolved organic carbon (> 4 mg/L) delivered by the Saguenay River, and are characterized by a negative organic alkalinity (positive organic acidity) (see below). The carbonic acid dissociation constants (K_1^* and K_2^*) of Cai and Wang (1998) were

- used for the calculations, as the latter were found to be more suitable for the low-salinity waters encountered in estuarine environments such as the Saguenay Fjord ($S_P < 20$) (Dinauer and Mucci, 2017). pCO_{2(SW-calc)} values were computed for the surface mixed layer located above the sharp pycnocline (~10 m) where most physical and chemical properties are directly impacted by biological activity (photosynthesis and respiration) as well as heat and gas exchange across the air-sea interface (Table 2). Direct measurements of pCO₂ (pCO_{2(SW-meas)}) were acquired in May
- 290

2018, and pCO_{2(SW-calc)} were also calculated from pH and DIC for this sampling month for comparison purposes, following the aforementioned procedure.

2.4.4 CO₂ flux across the air-sea interface

The difference between the air and sea-surface pCO_2 values ($\Delta pCO_2 = pCO_{2(SW)} - pCO_{2(air)}$) determines the direction of gas exchange and whether the surface mixed layer of a body of water is a source or a sink of CO₂ for the atmosphere. The air-sea CO₂ gas exchange, or CO₂ flux, can be estimated at each station using the following relationship:

$$FCO_2 = k \cdot K_0 \cdot (\Delta pCO_2) \tag{3.a}$$

where *F* is the flux of CO₂ across the air-sea interface in mmol·m⁻²·d⁻¹, *k* is the gas transfer velocity of CO₂ in cm·h⁻¹ (Wanninkhof, 1992), *K*₀ is the solubility of CO₂ in mol·kg⁻¹·atm⁻¹ at the in-situ temperature and salinity of the surface waters (Weiss, 1974), and Δ*pCO*₂ is the difference between the air and sea-surface pCO₂ values in µatm. Whereas, formally, Fick's first law of diffusion should be written as *F* = −*D* δ*C*/δ*x* (where *F* is the diffusion flux in mole sec⁻¹ m⁻², *D* is the diffusion coefficient in m² sec⁻¹, *C* is the concentration of CO₂ in mole m⁻³ and *x* is the distance in m), as commonly expressed by Eq. (3.a), positive values of *F* indicate the release of CO₂ to the atmosphere by surface waters, whereas negative values imply that surface waters serve as a sink of atmospheric CO₂. The flux of CO₂ was computed for each sampling month, using the pCO_{2(air)} for each sampling date (395 µatm for September 2014, 407 µatm for May 2016, 408 µatm for June and November 2017, and 411 µatm for May 2018 – see below for details).

The gas transfer velocity of CO_2 was calculated using the revised relationship of Wanninkhof (2014):

310

$$k = 0.215u^2 \left(\frac{Sc}{660}\right)^{-1/2} \tag{3.b}$$

where u is the wind speed (m s⁻¹) and Sc is the Schmidt number (Wanninkhof, 2014). Wind speed was estimated using

315

the hourly station wind speed data from Environment Canada at the La Baie weather station (Fig. 1.a), for each sampling month. The Schmidt number is defined as the kinematic viscosity of water divided by the diffusion coefficient of CO₂. *Sc* was corrected for the temperature dependence of CO₂ in freshwater (S_P = 0), assuming that *k* is proportional to $Sc^{-1/2}$ (Wanninkhof, 1992). In the case of CO₂, the increase in $Sc^{-1/2}$ (and *k*) with increasing temperature is compensated for by a decrease in solubility, therefore *k* was considered nearly temperature independent (Wanninkhof, 1992). *Sc* was computed using:

$$Sc = A + Bt + Ct^2 + Dt^3 + Et^4$$
 (3.c)

where t is the temperature (degrees Celcius) and A, B, C, D and E are fitting coefficients for seawater ($S_P = 35$) and freshwater (S_P = 0), for water temperatures ranging from -2°C to 40°C (Wanninkhof, 2014). The uncertainty in Sc

325

ranges from 3 to 10% and is mainly due to the imprecision of diffusion coefficients (Wanninkhof, 2014). Estimates of k, calculated at each sampling point using the equation of Wanninkhof (2014), ranged from 0.36 to 3.38 cm \cdot h⁻¹ for the fjord, compared to 1.6 to 4.5 cm h^{-1} in the St. Lawrence Estuary (Dinauer and Mucci, 2017).

Atmospheric pCO_2 values ($pCO_{2(air)}$) were computed using the daily averages of measured mole fractions of CO₂ in dry air, obtained at the La Baie weather station and retrieved from the Climate Research Division at 330 Environment and Climate Change Canada. The mean pCO_{2(air)} was then calculated for each year using the following equation:

$$pCO_{2(air)} = xCO_2 \cdot (P_b - P_w) \tag{4}$$

- where xCO_2 is the measured mole fraction of CO₂ in dry air in ppm, P_b is the barometric pressure at the sea surface 335 in atm, and Pw is the saturation water vapor pressure at in-situ temperature and salinity, in atm. Pb was obtained using the conversion formula of Tim Brice and Todd Hall (from NOAA's National Weather Service https://www.weather.gov/epz/wxcalc_wxcalc2go), using the La Baie weather station's elevation (152 m). Pw was calculated using the Rivière-à-Mars properties (i.e. closest body of water to the weather station) and the P_w calculated 340 from its relationship to T and S_P provided by Weiss and Price (1980).

The area-averaged CO2 flux (Farea-avg) was computed for the whole fjord, following the procedure described by Jiang et al. (2008):

$$F_{area-avg} = \frac{\sum F_i \times S_i}{\sum S_i}$$
(5)

345

where F_i is the average of all the fluxes within segment i, and S_i is the surface area of segment i. The fjord was divided into two segments, one including the inner basin and the other encompassing the two outer basins, as each segment often displays distinct behaviors. Segments are identified in Fig. 1.b. The fjord's surface area (~290 km²) was computed using a land mask in MATLAB.

350 2.4.5 Water Mixing Model

A two end-member mixing model was constructed based on the chemical properties of the freshwater delivered to the fjord (Saguenay River) and marine bottom waters entering the fjord from the St. Lawrence Estuary (Fig. 2.a). As shown in the results of the OMP analysis (Sect. 3.1), the LSLE and SLRW have a negligible influence on the fjord's water structure, and thus were not included in the model. Given that the carbonate chemistries of the CIL and

355 LSLE waters are similar, the bottom waters were assumed to be well mixed and constitute a single end-member. This is illustrated in Fig. (2), as the high S_P end-member alkalinity extends linearly beyond that of the CIL end-member

member properties were obtained by extrapolating the surface water (above the pycnocline) data to $S_P = 0$ and bottomwater data to the highest measured salinity (Fig. 2.a). The extrapolated TA_(meas) (Fig 2.b; 154 µmol·kg⁻¹) is in good agreement with the average TA(meas) of samples taken directly from the Saguenay River in 2013 and 2017 (157 μ mol·kg⁻¹). The organic alkalinity of the fjord waters was estimated from the difference between the measured and calculated TA (TA_(calc); Fig. 2.b). The latter was calculated using CO2SYS (Excel v2.1; Pierrot et al., 2006) and pH and DIC as input parameters. The end-member source waters were then mixed, assuming that TA(calc) and DIC behave conservatively. Hence, the salinity, total alkalinity (TA(mix)) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC(mix)) of the mixed solutions were calculated using the following equations:

(Table 1). The measured surface TAs were strongly correlated to $S_P (R^2 = 0.99)$ in the fjord waters. Therefore, end-

365

$$S_{P(mix)} = \frac{m_1 S_{P1} + m_2 S_{P2}}{(m_1 + m_2)} \tag{6a}$$

$$TA_{(mix)} = \frac{m_1 TA_{(calc)-1} + m_2 TA_{(calc)-2}}{(m_1 + m_2)}$$
(6b)

$$DIC_{(mix)} = \frac{m_1 DIC_1 + m_2 DIC_2}{(m_1 + m_2)}$$
(6c)

370

375

where m_i are the mass contributions of each end-member to the mixture.

pCO_{2(SW-mix)} was then computed from TA_(mix) and DIC_(mix) for practical salinities ranging from 0 to 33, at four different temperatures (0°C, 5°C, 10°C and 15°C) using CO2SYS. Results of the model (Fig. 8) show that, at the lower and higher salinities, the $pCO_{2(SW-mix)}$ is elevated, and the fjord serves as a net source of CO₂ to the atmosphere, but at intermediate salinities ($5 \le S_P \le 15$) or mixing ratios, the fjord may serve as a net sink of atmospheric CO₂ when surface water temperatures are close to freezing. The data from the various cruises are superimposed on the model results, after correction for the organic alkalinity.

2.4.6 Salinity normalization of DIC in surface waters

To quantitatively evaluate the impact of biological activity on the DIC budget in the surface waters of the fjord, 380 DIC and $TA_{(calc)}$ were normalized to the average surface salinity of each sampling month (S_P = 12.4 for September 2014, $S_P = 2.58$ for May 2016, $S_P = 7.61$ for June 2017, $S_P = 10.9$ for November 2017 and $S_P = 5.9$ for May 2018) following the procedure of Friis et al. (2003):

$$NDIC = \frac{DIC^{meas} - DIC^{S=0}}{S^{meas}} \cdot S^{ref} + DIC^{S=0}$$
(7)

385

where DIC^{meas} is the measured DIC, $DIC^{S=0}$ is the DIC extrapolated to $S_P = 0$, S^{meas} is the measured practical salinity and S^{ref} is the average measured practical salinity per sampling month (Friis et al., 2003). The change in NDIC (i.e. Δ NDIC) along the fjord, relative to the waters at the head of the fjord, was then computed for each sampling month. These values reveal how DIC evolves along the fjord beyond what is expected based on conservative mixing.

390 2.4.7 Oxygen saturation and apparent oxygen utilization in the surface waters

To further account for the biological activity in the surface waters, the oxygen saturation index was calculated for each sampling month in the surface waters of the fjord using:

$$\% \, sat = ([O_2]_{meas} / [O_2]_{equil}) \times 100 \tag{8}$$

395

400

420

where $[O_2]_{meas}$ is the dissolved oxygen concentration measured in the fjord waters, and $[O_2]_{equil}$ is the equilibrium dissolved oxygen concentration (or solubility) at in-situ conditions (i.e. temperature and salinity) for each sample.

The oxygen saturation index indicates if the system is autotrophic (i.e. production of oxygen, dominated by photosynthesis) or heterotrophic (consumption of oxygen, dominated by microbial respiration). The oxygen saturation remains a qualitative proxy as O₂ exchange at the air-sea interface is about 9 times faster than CO₂ exchange (Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001). The apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) was also computed from the difference between $[O_2]_{equil}$ and $[O_2]_{meas}$.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Water mass analysis

- Relative contributions (mixing ratios, f) of the Saguenay River (SRW), the St. Lawrence Estuary summertime Cold Intermediate Layer (CIL) and Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE) bottom waters throughout the Saguenay Fjord's water column for the sampling month of June 2017 are shown in Fig. (3). As expected, the SRW and CIL are dominant contributors, with the SRW forming a brackish surface layer (f = 1 in surface waters), and the CIL replenishing the bottom waters of the fjord (0.7 < f < 1). According to the OMP analysis, the LSLE bottom waters
 have a small contribution to the fjord's bottom waters (f = 0.2), adding to the complexity of the water structure.
 - Although somewhat unexpected, this can readily be explained by tidal upwelling, internal waves and intense turbulent mixing of the water column resulting from the rapid shoaling at the head of the Laurentian Channel (Gratton et al., 1988; Saucier and Chassé, 2000). The relative contribution of the LSLE bottom waters in the deep waters of the fjord is small and could only be detected because of the suite of geochemical and isotopic tracers used in the OMP analysis,
 especially the difference in the δ¹⁸O_{water} signature of the CIL and LSLE waters. The contribution from the St. Lawrence
 - River Water (SLRW) is negligible, as it intrudes slightly at the surface at the mouth of the fjord and is thus not shown here. Although the water column structure is similar throughout the year, seasonal variations do occur and will be addressed in a forthcoming paper.

3.2 Aqueous pCO2 and CO2 flux

Variations of the inorganic carbon chemistry in the Saguenay Fjord water column are described using field data acquired in September 2014, May 2016, June 2017, November 2017 and May 2018. The organic alkalinity (acidity) accounted, on average, for 2.2% to 11.9% of the total alkalinity of the Saguenay River and varied annually and seasonally (-21 µmol·kg⁻¹ in September 2014, -39 µmol·kg⁻¹ in May 2016, -49 µmol·kg⁻¹ in June 2017, -22

 μ mol·kg⁻¹ in November 2017 and -18 μmol·kg⁻¹ in May 2018). It was inversely proportional to the salinity of the surface waters of the fjord and became positive yet a negligible fraction (< 0.1%) of TA_(corr) at S_P > 25, like in the St. Lawrence Estuary. The negative organic alkalinity of the Saguenay River water most likely originates from soil humic acids that are flushed by percolation with groundwaters that drain the metamorphic and igneous rocks of the Canadian Shield. Surface-water pCO₂ (pCO_{2(SW-calc)}) values were higher at the head of the fjord (i.e. near the Saguenay River mouth) and lower at the mouth of the fjord, although large variations (315 μatm to 740 μatm – average 503 μatm)

- were observed on a seasonal and yearly basis (Table 2). Values of pCO_{2(SW)} were higher in May 2018 (623 μatm), June 2017 (506 μatm) and May 2016 (563 μatm) than in November 2017 (418 μatm) and September 2014 (406 μatm). This can be explained by the larger freshwater discharge from the Saguenay River in the spring (i.e. spring freshet, average of 1856 ± 21 m³ s⁻¹ for spring periods of 1998 2018), compared to the fall (1470 ± 10 m³ s⁻¹ for fall periods of 1998 2018). As atmospheric pCO_{2(air)} varied marginally between September 2014 (395 μatm) and May 2018 (411 μatm), the fjord was generally a source of CO₂ to the atmosphere near its head (i.e. surface pCO₂ values above
- atmospheric level), while the zone near its mouth was most often a sink (i.e. surface pCO_2 values below atmospheric level) (Fig. 4). An anomaly was observed in November 2017, with a high $pCO_{2(SW-calc)}$ value (> 550 µatm) near the mouth of the fjord. Given the statistics of the box plot presented in Fig. (7), this value appears to be erroneous.
- Air-sea CO₂ fluxes within the fjord ranged from -2.4 mmol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ to 10.0 mmol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ (Fig. 6). Near the
 head of the fjord, fluxes were mostly positive, while values decreased when approaching its mouth. Overall, the total area-averaged degassing flux of the fjord adds up to 2.14 ± 0.43 mmol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ or 0.78 ± 0.16 mol·m⁻²·yr⁻¹. In comparison, the degassing flux in the adjacent St. Lawrence Estuary was estimated at between 0.36 and 0.74 mol·m⁻²·yr⁻¹ during the late spring and early summer (Dinauer and Mucci, 2017). This discrepancy can be explained by the low carbonate alkalinity (and buffer capacity) of the Saguenay River waters that flow through the Grenvillian metamorphic and igneous rocks of the Canadian Shield (Piper et al., 1990), as with most rivers on the north shore of
- the St. Lawrence Estuary (e.g., Betsiamites, Manicouagan, Romaine; Paul del Giorgio, pers. comm.), and the low productivity of the fjord surface waters because of very limited light penetration due to their high chromotrophic dissolved organic matter (CDOM) content (Tremblay and Gagné, 2009; Xie et al., 2012). In contrast, waters of the St. Lawrence River have an elevated carbonate alkalinity (~1200 µM), inherited from the Ottawa River that drains through
- 450 limestone deposits (Telmer and Veizer, 1999). Furthermore, the Estuary is host to multiple seasonal phytoplankton blooms (Levasseur and Therriault, 1987; Zakardjian et al., 2000; Annane et al., 2015) that strongly modulate its trophic status (Dinauer and Mucci, 2018).

The correlation between $pCO_{2(SW-meas)}$ and $pCO_{2(SW-calc)}$ is presented in Fig. (5). The average difference between $pCO_{2(SW-meas)}$ and $pCO_{2(SW-calc)}$ is 48 µatm, implying that calculations underestimate $pCO_{2(SW)}$ values by approximately 7% and thus contribute to the uncertainty associated with CO₂ fluxes. This discrepancy most likely originates from

- 455 7% and thus contribute to the uncertainty associated with CO₂ fluxes. This discrepancy most likely originates from uncertainties associated with the carbonic acid dissociation constants (K*₁ and K*₂) in low salinity estuarine environments, particularly those affected by strong organic alkalinities or acidities such as in the Saguenay Fjord (Cai et al., 1998; Ko et al., 2016). This concurs with the results of Lueker et al. (2000) who showed that, depending on the choice of K*₁ and K*₂, computed pCO_{2(SW)} values from other carbonate system parameters (TA, DIC, pH) can be up
- to 10% lower than those of direct measurements. Consequently, although the constants of Cai and Wang (1998) are

the most suitable for this study, direct measurements of the $pCO_{2(SW)}$ should preferentially be carried out whenever possible.

3.3 Water Mixing Model approach

As results of the OMP analysis reveal, LSLE and SLRW have a negligible influence on the water properties in 465 the fjord, except for the latter near the mouth. Additionally, given the relatively small contribution of the LSLE deep waters and their similarity to the carbonate chemistry of the CIL, their influence is considered inconsequential on the properties of the mixture. Hence, a conservative mixing model was constructed based on the chemical properties of the two main source-water masses in the fjord (i.e., SRW and the CIL mixture for bottom waters), and the relationship between practical salinity and TA_(corr)/DIC, respectively (Fig. 8). pCO_{2(SW-calc}) were normalized at each station to the average surface water temperature per sampling month (i.e., $T = 10.4^{\circ}C$ for September 2014, $T = 5.04^{\circ}C$ for May 470 2016, T = 11.9°C for June 2017, T = 7.13°C for November 2017 and T = 5.08°C for May 2018) to account for the effects of temperature on the CO₂ solubility in water, following the procedure described in Jiang et al. (2008). The temperature-normalized pCO_{2(SW-calc)} values, pCO_{2(SW-SST)}, from the various cruises were superimposed on the model results in Fig. (8).

- 475 Field measurements follow the trend displayed by the mixing model. The fjord appears to be a net source of CO_2 to the atmosphere during periods of high freshwater discharge (i.e. spring freshet) and a net sink at intermediate surface salinities ($5 < S_P < 15$). This is consistent with the weak buffer capacity of the freshwater. Given the short residence time of surface waters in the Saguenay Fjord (~ 1.5 days), the influence of gas exchange across the air-sea interface is negligible on the DIC pool. Likewise, Dinauer and Mucci (2017) reported that the surface waters in the St. Lawrence
- 480 Estuary near Tadoussac (at the mouth of the fjord) are highly supersaturated in CO_2 with respect to the atmosphere and only the highly productive waters of the Lower Estuary manage to draw down the surface pCO_2 to near atmospheric values. In other words, degassing of the metabolic CO₂ accumulated in the river and upper estuary is slow. Thus, changes in temperature-normalized pCO₂ primarily reflect changes in DIC by mixing and biological activity. Hence, discrepancies between results of the mixing model and field measurements can be ascribed to microbial respiration and photosynthesis.

485

490

In May 2016, the surface waters of the fjord were clearly supersaturated in oxygen (Fig. 9), implying that photosynthesis dominated over respiration. This would explain the rapid seaward (increasing S_P) decrease in pCO_{2(SW-} sstp, faster than the mixing model predicts (Fig. 8), and the strong negative Δ NDIC (i.e. change in NDIC relative to the saline waters at the head of the fjord) throughout the fjord (Fig. 10), as CO_2 (i.e. DIC) is taken up by photosynthesizing organisms - most likely diatoms (Chassé and Côté, 1991). In May 2018, surface waters were slightly undersaturated in oxygen, between 90% and 100% saturation, and Δ NDIC was positive over most of the fjord. Very similar trends were observed in June 2017, with near-saturation oxygen concentrations (between 95 and 101% saturation) and mostly positive Δ NDIC values throughout the transect. Thus, during these sampling periods, biological activity was dominated by microbial respiration (Fig. 10), elucidating the minor deviation between the pCO_{2(SW-SST)}

495 and the model results (Fig. 8), especially near the head of the fjord. Additionally, it is interesting to note that $\Delta NDIC$ is chronically negative for all sampling months near the 45 km mark.

The difference between the May 2016 and May 2018 biological responses to the spring freshet can potentially be explained by the difference in total freshwater discharge from the Saguenay River to the fjord. The freshwater discharge in May 2018 was approximately 20% larger than in May 2016. Whereas the surface salinities recorded both years throughout most of the fjord were nearly identical ($S_P = 0.5-4$ in 2016, $S_P = 0.7-5$ in 2018), the greater delivery of soil porewater and associated CDOM in May 2018 may have inhibited local productivity due to light absorption by CDOM (Lavoie et al., 2007). Consistent with this interpretation is the fact that the pCO_{2(SW-SST)} at the head of the fjord (St. Fulgence) in May 2016 was slightly higher than in May 2018 but was drawn down much faster downstream (Fig. 8).

505 There does not appear to be a clear biological signal in the November 2017 data, as little variation is observed between the measured and modeled pCO₂. Furthermore, Fig. (10) indicates that neither respiration nor photosynthesis dominated during this period as the ΔNDIC varies between weakly positive and negative values. Likewise, the September 2014 data reveal little biological activity, although a slight dominance of respiration (i.e. positive ΔNDIC with a drop in %O₂ saturation) can be observed near the mouth of the fjord (Fig. 10), hence explaining the slight

510 deviation from the mixing model.

500

515

530

These results highlight the importance of the freshwater plume from the Saguenay River in regulating the pCO₂ dynamics in the fjord. Winds, in addition to regulating the gas exchange coefficient, are also known to have a direct influence on air-sea CO₂ fluxes by driving upwelling of CO₂-rich waters along with the entrainment of nutrients in surface waters, thus increasing biological activity (Wanninkhof and Triñanes, 2017). However, wind speeds are relatively low in the studied system (1.89 m s⁻¹ < u < 4.2 m s⁻¹, Table 2), implying a calm sea state (Frankignoulle,

1998), and hence reinforcing that changes in $pCO_{2(SW-SST)}$ can mainly be attributed to microbial respiration and photosynthesis modulated by water renewals rather than winds.

4 Summary and conclusions

Results of the OMP analysis reveal that SRW and CIL are the dominant source-water types to the fjord and determine the structure of its water column. Mixing of marine waters with SRW at the head of the fjord leads to the formation of a brackish surface layer (wedge) while the CIL replenishes the bottom waters of the fjord. The analysis further unveiled a small contribution of the LSLE bottom water to the bottom waters of the fjord, adding to the complexity of the water column structure. The SLRW has a negligible influence on the water properties in the fjord, except near its mouth - sampling of the very turbulent waters directly over the fjord's first and shallowest sill would help refine the contribution of the SLRW to the fjord's surface waters.

The magnitude and sign of the ΔpCO_2 across the air-water interface in the Saguenay Fjord, mostly determined by the pCO_{2(SW)} as pCO_{2(air)} varied only slightly over the sampling period, are mostly modulated by the freshwater discharge and the salinity of the surface waters. The surface waters of the fjord are a source of CO₂ to the atmosphere at high freshwater discharge, and a sink of CO₂ at intermediate surface salinities (5 < S_P < 15), especially at nearfreezing temperatures. Direct measurements of surface-water pCO₂ acquired in May 2018 confirmed this trend.

Biological activity alters the surface-water pCO2, with both photosynthesis and respiration impacting the waters

depending on the sampling month. This conclusion is supported by the oxygen saturations observed in the surface waters of the fjord, as well as the downstream Δ NDIC trend along the fjord's main axis. Given the short residence time of surface waters in the Saguenay Fjord (~ 1.5 days), the influence of gas exchange on spatial variations of the

535

 ΔpCO_2 across the air-sea interface along the main axis of the fjord is negligible on the DIC pool. Overall, the fjord serves as a source of CO_2 to the atmosphere during the ice-free season, with an average yearly outgassing flux of 0.78 $\pm 0.16 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$.

540

A study of the dissolved inorganic carbon budget of fjords not only affords information on their carbon dioxide levels (i.e. source or sink of CO₂ with respect to the atmosphere) and surface-water chemistry, but also provides insights on the magnitude of gas exchange and the amount of biological activity it sustains. In addition to biological production, upwelling, water temperature, and the spreading of freshwater plumes all regulate pCO₂ in coastal systems. Wind speed is also critical as it impacts sea state and the efficiency of gas exchange at the air-sea interface (Chen et al., 2013). Hence, the importance of wind on controlling the CO_2 flux needs to be further investigated, especially in high latitude fjords where strong winds are often focussed along narrow channels between steep cliffs and narrow 545 inlets.

Anthropogenic activities, through climate change, are altering the continental water cycle, along with the flows of carbon, nutrients and sediment to the coastal oceans (Borges, 2005), and therefore, the sequestration of anthropogenic CO_2 by the oceans. In glacial fjords, freshwater discharge will be modified by accelerated glacier melting and their ultimate demise. Knowledge of the spatial and temporal variability of glacial discharge and seasonal

550 ice cover in high latitude fjords is essential to estimate their influence on circulation patterns and freshwater export, both of which impact local productivity, terrestrial carbon burial and export as well as CO₂ fluxes in these complex ecosystems. Finally, an improved understanding of the coastal carbon cycle will require a more comprehensive spatial and temporal coverage of surface mixed layer pCO₂ data in these environments, ideally from direct measurements.

555

560



Figure 1. a) Bathymetry and geographic location of the Saguenay Fjord. Red squares represent the hydrographic stations sampled during R/V Coriolis II cruises in September 2014, May 2016, June 2017 and May 2018. Green triangles represent the hydrographic stations sampled during a SECO.net cruise onboard the R/V Coriolis II in November 2017. The approximate locations of the following are shown: Tadoussac (TA), L'Anse de Roche (ASDR), Baie Sainte-Marguerite (BA), Anse-Saint-Jean (ASJ), Baie-Eternité (BE), St. Fulgence (SFG), Baie des Ha! Ha! (BHA). The main tributaries to the fjord are also shown, including the Saguenay River (a), Rivière-à-Mars (b), Rivière des Ha! Ha! (c), Éternité River (d) and Sainte-Marguerite River (e). The blue diamond identifies the location of the La Baie weather station. Letters (A to K) and numbers (18 to 25) in the inset indicate the location of sampling stations in the St. Lawrence Estuary where data were acquired to define the SLRW, LSLE and CIL end-members. b) Longitudinal section along the Saguenay Fjord, showing the strong halocline.



Figure 2. a) Measured alkalinity (TA_(meas)) versus practical salinity (S_P) for SRW and CIL data points, for all sampling months (R² = 0.999). The triangle defines the properties of the SRW, and the square comprises the properties of the CIL. b) TA_(meas), TA_(calc) and Org Alk definitions for the Saguenay River (SRW), using surface-water data from all sampling months, with standard error. The Org Alk (positive) contribution to the TA of the CIL is not considered as it accounts for less than 0.1 % of its TA.



Figure 3. Vertical sections showing the relative contributions of the Saguenay River (SRW), the St. Lawrence Estuary Cold Intermediate Layer (CIL) and Lower St. Lawrence Estuary bottom waters (LSLE) to the water column structure of the Saguenay Fjord (June 2017). Fractions were estimated using an Optimum Multi-Parameter (OMP) algorithm (Tomczak and Large, 1989; Tomczak, 1981; Mackas and Harrison, 1997). A Variational Analysis (DIVA) interpolation was applied between field data points in Ocean Data View.



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of surface-water $pCO_{2(SW-calc)}$ in June 2017, November 2017, May 2016, September 2014 and May 2018. Dashed lines represent the $pCO_{2(air)}$ on the sampling months (respectively 396 ppm in September 2014, 407 ppm in May 2016, 408 ppm in June and November 2017, and 411 ppm in May 2018). Data points above the red line indicate that waters are sources of CO₂ to the atmosphere, whereas those below the red line identify waters that are sinks of atmospheric CO₂.



Figure 5. Correlation between $pCO_{2(SW-meas)}$ and $pCO_{2(SW-calc)}$ for May 2018. The black line shows the linear regression with a null intercept ($R^2 = 0.50$). Error bars, in red, are smaller than the symbol.



Figure 6. a) Spatial distribution of air-sea CO₂ flux (mmol·m⁻²·d⁻¹) in the Saguenay Fjord for all cruises. Data points above the dashed line indicate sources of CO₂ to the atmosphere, whereas those below the red line are sinks of atmospheric CO₂;
 b) Spatial interpolation of air-sea CO₂ fluxes (mmol·m⁻²·d⁻¹) in the Saguenay Fjord for all cruises. Red triangles identify sampling locations.



715 Figure 7. Box-plot of the air-sea CO₂ fluxes from all data in the two subsections of the study area (Inner basin and Outer basins). The red line is the median, the box spans the interquartile range (25-75 percentiles) and the whiskers show the extreme data points not considered outliers. One outlier is identified by the red + symbol.



Figure 8. Temperature-normalized field $pCO_{2(SW-SST)}$, and results of the conservative, two end-member mixing model for $pCO_{2(SW-SST)}$ in the Saguenay Fjord surface waters. $pCO_{2(SW-calc)}$ were normalized at each station to the average surface water temperature per sampling month (i.e., T = 10.4°C for September 2014, T = 5.04°C for

⁷²¹ May 2016, $T = 11.9^{\circ}C$ for June 2017, $T = 7.13^{\circ}C$ for November 2017 and $T = 5.08^{\circ}C$ for May 2018) to account for the effects of temperature on the CO₂ solubility in water,

following the procedure described in Jiang et al. (2008). Dashed lines represent the pCO_{2(air)} on the sampling months (396 ppm in September 2014, 407 ppm in May 2016, 408 ppm in June and November 2017, and 411 ppm in May 2018). Error bars show standard error of the mean for pCO_{2(sw-sst)} values – bars are smaller than the symbol

⁷²⁴ for September 2014.



Figure 9. Spatial distribution of surface-water dissolved O₂ saturation (%) in May 2018, June 2017, November 2017, May 2016 and September 2014. The dashed line represents equilibrium with the atmosphere (i.e., 100% saturation). Data points

above the line indicate that waters are supersaturated in O₂, whereas those below the line identify O₂-undersaturated waters

- 728 with respect to the atmosphere. Error bars show standard error of the mean for O₂ saturation values.

- ____

- . . .



Figure 10. a) △NDIC (i.e. change in NDIC relative to the saline waters at the head of the fjord) distribution in the Saguenay
 Fjord surface waters. Data were normalized to a common salinity (average for each sampling period) according to the
 method of Friis *et al.* (2003); Error bars show standard error of the mean for NDIC values. b) Apparent oxygen utilization
 (AOU) against △NDIC. Error bars show standard error of the mean for AOU values.

783 Tables

784

SWT	Salinity	Temperature	TA _(meas)	δ ¹⁸ Ο	DIC	DO
		(°C)	(µmol∙kg⁻¹)	(per mil)	(µmol∙kg⁻¹)	(µmol∙L⁻¹)
SRW	0.00 ± 0	6.19 ± 0.18	154 ± 13	-12.17 ± 0.21	230 ± 12	411 ± 6
CIL	32.52 ± 0.05	1.44 ± 0.08	2210 ± 2	-1.12 ± 0.03	2141 ± 3	256 ± 5
LSLE	34.31 ± 0.01	5.16 ± 0.18	2294 ± 2	-0.17 ± 0.02	2276 ± 3	76 ± 1
SLRW	0.00 ± 0	12.11 ± 0.13	1099 ± 16	-8.09 ± 0.13	1140 ± 15	329 ± 5
Weights	25	1	25	25	15	1

785

Table 1. Source-Water Type (SWT) definitions for the Saguenay River (SWR), the St. Lawrence Estuary summertime Cold
 Intermediate Layer (CIL), the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary bottom water (LSLE) and the St. Lawrence River (SLRW).
 Definitions and variances were derived from data taken in September 2014, May 2016, June 2017 and November 2017.

789 Data for SRW and SLRW were extrapolated to $S_P = 0$. The weights used in the OMP analysis are also shown.

790

791

Sampling Month	pCO _{2(SW-calc)} (µatm)	k (cm h⁻¹)	u (m s ⁻¹)	F (mmol⋅m⁻²⋅d⁻¹)
May 2018	623 ± 26 (511/740)	1.94 ± 0.01 (1.89/1.97)	3.91	6.2 ± 0.79 (2.9/10.0)
November 2017	418 ± 12 (353/530)	3.2 ± 0.04 (2.82/3.38)	4.2	0.40 ± 0.51 (-2.4/4.8)
June 2017	506 ± 35 (315/663)	0.37 ± 0.01 (0.36/0.42)	1.89	0.42 ± 0.15 (-0.4/1.1)
May 2016	563 ± 31 (349/724)	1.26 ± 0.01 (1.15/1.30)	3.17	3.04 ± 0.62 (-1.1/6.5)
September 2014	406 ± 6 (369/432)	1.43 ± 0.01 (1.39/1.49)	3.71	0.16 ± 0.10 (-0.43/0.56)

792

793 Table 2. Mean, standard error of the mean and range of pCO_{2(SW)}, k, u and F in the Saguenay Fjord surface waters.

Numbers in parentheses indicate the observed or calculated ranges. Overall, the total area-averaged degassing flux of the fjord adds up to 2.14 ± 0.43 mmol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ or 0.78 ± 0.16 mol·m⁻²·yr⁻¹.

Data availability

Data presented in this paper are available upon request from one of the authors (<u>louise.delaigue@mail.mcgill.ca</u>).



Figure A. a) Temperature, b) Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), c) Total Alkalinity (TA), d) δ¹⁸Owater, e) Dissolved Oxygen (DO), and f) Dissolved silicate (DSi) versus practical salinity (SP) for samples collected in September 2014, May 2016, June 2017, November 2017 and May 2018. Each large symbol (square, circle, triangle and star) identifies distinct source-water masses.

Sampling	Distance	Sept-14		May-16		June-17		May-18	
months Stations	from the mouth (km)	рН _{ивѕ}	pНт	рН _{№ВЅ}	рН⊤	рН _{NBS}	рН⊤	рН _{ивѕ}	рН⊤
St-Fulgence	98.7	-	-	7.348	-	7.782	-	7.171	
SAG-02 (BHA)	95.7	-	-	7.429	-	-	-	-	
SAG-05	95.7	-	7.598	7.126	-	7.478	-	7.219	
SAG-06	90.3	-	-	7.118	-	7.559	-	-	7.201
SAG-09 (BHA)	92.2	-	7.733	7.273	-	-	-	-	-
SAG-15	84.8	-	7.682	7.194	-	-	7.413	-	7.187
SAG-20	77.1	-	7.753	7.288	-	-	7.484	-	7.111
SAG-25	71.7	-	7.772	7.348	-	-	7.440	-	-
SAG-30	65.0	-	7.801	7.439	-	-	7.703	-	7.309
SAG-36	43.2	-	7.758	7.351	-	-	7.574	-	7.359
SAG-42	25.7	-	7.780	7.483	7.330	-	7.714	-	7.394
SAG-48	10.7	-	7.805	7.863	7.690	-	7.819	-	7.604

Figure B.1 Raw in-situ pH data for surface waters collected in September 2014, May 2016, June 2017 and May 2018. Where possible, pH is reported on the total scale, except for freshwater samples which are reported on the NBS scale. No pH data is available on the NBS scale for September 2014 as $10.0 < S_P < 12.4$. Few pH data are available on the total scale for May 2016 as $0.45 < S_P < 9.0$.

		Nov-17			
Stations	Distance from the mouth (km)	рН _{NBS}	pΗ _T		
SAG-01	3.12	-	7.862		
SAG-02	7.00	-	7.784		
SAG-03	12.3	-	7.777		
SAG-04	16.5	-	7.755		
SAG-05	20.3	-	7.679		
SAG-06	24.2	-	7.632		
SAG-07	31.5	-	7.637		
SAG-08	45.9	-	7.616		
SAG-09	58.6	-	7.579		
SAG-10	78.3	-	7.589		
SAG-11	88.3	-	7.573		
SAG-12	95.3	-	7.251		
SAG-13	99.3	-	7.608		

Figure B.2 Raw in-situ pH data for surface waters collected in November 2017. pH was only reported on the total scale during this cruise, where 1.4 < S_P < 31.2.

Author contribution

A.M. and L.D. conceived the project. A.M. acquired and processed the data prior to 2016. L.D. conducted the data analysis
 and wrote the first draft of the paper whereas A.M. provided editorial and scientific recommendations. H.T. provided results of alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon analyses and scientific recommendations.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

500 We would like to give special thanks to Gilles Desmeules as well as the Captains and crew of the R/V Coriolis II without whom, over the years, this project would not have been possible. Most of the data presented in this study were acquired opportunistically on research cruises funded by Ship-Time Program grants to A.M. or Canadian colleagues by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). The work was funded by a Regroupement Stratégique grant from the Fonds Québécois de Recherche Nature et Technologies (FQRNT) to GEOTOP as well as NSERC Discovery and 505 Marine Environmental Observation, Prediction and Response Network (MEOPAR; Canadian Ocean Acidification Research partnership) grants to A.M and H.T. We would like to thank Dr. Jean-Francois Hélie at GEOTOP-UQAM for carrying out the δ¹⁸O_{water} analyses as well as Constance Guignard for cruise preparation and support in the laboratory. Finally, L.D. wishes to thank MEOPAR and the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences at McGill for financial support in the form of stipends, scholarships and assistantships. Bathymetric data of the fjord were graciously provided by Mélanie Belzile. Figure (3) in this study was created with the Ocean Data View Software (Schlitzer, 2002).

References

Annane, S., St-Amand, L., Starr, M., Pelletier, E., and Ferreyra, G. A.: Contribution of transparent exopolymeric particles (TEP) to estuarine particulate organic carbon pool, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 529, 17-34, 2015.

515 Bauer, J. E., Cai, W. J., Raymond, P. A., Bianchi, T. S., Hopkinson, C. S., and Regnier, P. A.: The changing carbon cycle of the coastal ocean, Nature, 504, 61-70, 2013.

Bélanger, C.: Observation and modelling of a renewal event in the Saguenay Fjord, Ph.D thesis, Université du Québec à Rimouski, Que., Canada, 2003.

Bellis, A.: Spectrophotometric determination of pH in estuarine waters using Phenol Red, B. Sc. thesis, McGill University, Montreal, Que., Canada, 53 pp., 2002.

Belzile, M., Galbraith, P. S., and Bourgault, D.: Water renewals in the Saguenay Fjord, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 121, 638-525 657, 2016.

Borges, A. V.: Do we have enough pieces of the jigsaw to integrate CO_2 fluxes in the coastal ocean?, Estuaries, 28(1), 3-27, 2005.

530 Bourgault, D., Galbraith, P. S., and Winkler, G.: Exploratory observations of winter oceanographic conditions in the Saguenay Fjord, Atmos. -Ocean, 50, 17-30, 2012.

Brewer, P. G., and Peltzer, E. T.: Limits to marine life, Science, 324, 347-348, 2009.

535 Cai, W. J.: Estuarine and coastal ocean carbon paradox: CO₂ sinks or sites of terrestrial carbon incineration?, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 3(1), 123-145, 2011.

Cai, W. J., and Wang, Y.: The chemistry, fluxes, and sources of carbon dioxide in the estuarine waters of the Satilla and Altamaha Rivers, Georgia, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 657-668, 1998.

540

Cai, W. J., Wang, Y., and Hodson, R. E.: Acid-base properties of dissolved organic matter in the estuarine waters of Georgia, USA, Geochim Cosmochim. Acta, 62, 473-483, 1998.

Caldeira, K., and Wickett, M. E.: Ocean model predictions of chemistry changes from carbon dioxide emissions to the 345 atmosphere and ocean, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 110, 2005.

Chassé, R., and Côté, R.: Aspects of winter primary production in the upstream section of Saguenay Fjord, Hydrobiologia, 215, 251-260, 1991.

550 Chen, C. T. A., and Borges, A. V.: Reconciling opposing views on carbon cycling in the coastal ocean: Continental shelves as sinks and near-shore ecosystems as sources of atmospheric CO₂, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 56(8-10), 578-590, 2009.

Chen, C. T., Huang, T. H., Chen, Y. C., Bai, Y., He, X., and Kang, Y.: Air-sea exchanges of CO₂ in the world's coastal seas, Biogeosciences, 10(10), 6509-6544, 2013.

Chester, R. (Eds.): Marine Geochemistry, Springer, Netherlands, 702 pp., 1990.

Clayton, T. D., and Byrne, R. H.: Spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements: total hydrogen ion concentration scale calibration of m-cresol purple and at-sea results, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 40, 2115-2129, 1993.

560

Dickie, L. M. and Trites, R. W.: The Gulf of St. Lawrence, in: Estuaries and Enclosed Seas, Ecosystems of the World 26, edited by: Ketchum, B. H., Elsevier, 403-425, 1983.

Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L., and Christian, J. R.: Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO₂ Measurements, PICES Special 565 Publication 3, 191 pp., Sidney, BC, Canada, 2007.

Dinauer, A., and Mucci, A.: Spatial variability in surface-water pCO₂ and gas exchange in the world's largest semi-enclosed estuarine system: St. Lawrence Estuary (Canada), Biogeosciences, 14, 3221-3237, 2017.

570 Dinauer, A., and Mucci, A.: Distinguishing between physical and biological controls on the spatial variability of pCO₂: A novel approach using OMP water mass analysis (St. Lawrence, Canada), Mar. Chem., 204, 107-120, 2018.

Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., and Kleypas, J. A.: Ocean acidification: The Other CO₂ Problem, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 1, 169–192, 2009.

575

Douglas, N. K., and Byrne, R. H.: Achieving accurate spectrophotometric pH measurements using unpurified meta-cresol purple, Mar. Chem., 190, 66-72, 2017.

El-Sabh, M. I., and Silverberg, N.: The St. Lawrence Estuary: Introduction, in: Oceanography of a Large-Scale Estuarine 580 System, edited by: El-Sabh, M. I. and Silverberg, N., Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1-9, 1990.

Epstein, S., and Mayeda, T.: Variation of O¹⁸ content of waters from natural sources, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 4, 213-224, 1953.

585 Feely, R. A.: Impact of Anthropogenic CO₂ on the CaCO₃ System in the Oceans, Science, 305, 362-366, 2004.

⁵⁵⁵

Friedlingstein, P., Jones, M. W., O'Sullivan, M., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le Quéré, C., Bakker, D. C. E., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Anthoni, P., Barbero, L., Bastos, A., Bastrikov, V.,
Becker, M., Bopp, L., Buitenhuis, E., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Currie, K. I., Feely, R. A., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Goll, D. S., Gruber, N., Gutekunst, S., Harris, I., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Hurtt, G., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzjer, E., Kaplan, J. O., Kato, E., Klein Goldewijk, K., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N.,

Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., McGuire, P. C., Melton, J. R., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Neill, C., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Peregon, A., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Resplandy, L., Robertson,

E., Rödenbeck, C., Séférian, R., Schwinger, J., Smith, N., Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Tubiello, F. N., van der Werf, G. R., Wiltshire, A. J., and Zaehle, S.: Global Carbon Budget 2019, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 1783–1838, 2019.

Friis, K., Körtzinger, A., and Wallace, D. W.: The salinity normalization of marine inorganic carbon chemistry data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 2003.

600

Frankignoulle, M.: Field measurements of air-sea CO₂ exchange 1, Limnol. Oceanogr., 33(3), 313-322, 1988.

Galbraith, P. S.: Winter water masses in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 111, C06022, 2006.

605 Gattuso, J.-P., Frankignoulle, M., and Wollast, R.: Carbon and carbonate metabolism in coastal aquatic ecosystems, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 29, 405-434, 1998.

Gilbert, D., and Pettigrew, B.: Interannual variability (1948-1994) of the CIL core temperature in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 54, 57-67, 1997.

610

Grasshoff, K., Kremling, K., and Ehrhardt, M. (Eds.): Methods of Seawater Analysis 3rd Edn., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 1999.

Gratton, Y., Mertz, G., and Gagné, J. A.: Satellite observations of tidal upwelling and mixing in the St. Lawrence Estuary, J.
Geophys. Res-Oceans, 93, 6947-6954, 1988.

Hönisch, B., Ridgwell, A., Schmidt, D.N., Thomas, E., Gibbs, S.J., Sluijs, A., Zeebe, R., Kump, L., Martindale, R.C., Greene,S.E. and Kiessling, W.: The geological record of ocean acidification, Science, 335, 1058-1063, 2012.

620 Hunt, C. W., Snyder, L., Salisbury, J. E., Vandemark, D., and McDowell, W. H.: SIPCO₂: A simple, inexpensive surface water pCO₂ sensor, Limnol. Oceanogr-Meth., 15, 291-301, 2017.

Jiang, L. Q., Cai, W. J., and Wang, Y.: A comparative study of carbon dioxide degassing in river-and marine dominated estuaries, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 2603-2615, 2008.

625

Juul-Pedersen, T., Arendt, K., Mortensen, J., Blicher, M., Søgaard, D., and Rysgaard, S.: Seasonal and interannual phytoplankton production in a sub-Arctic tidewater outlet glacier fjord, SW Greenland, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 524, 27-38, 2015.

630 Karstensen, J.: OMP (Optimum Multiparameter) analysis - USER GROUP. Retrieved from http://omp.geomar.de/, 2013.

Karstensen, J., and Tomczak, M.: Age determination of mixed water masses using CFC and oxygen data, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 103, 18599-18609, 1998.

635 Ko, Y. H., Lee, K., Eom, K. H., and Han, I. S.: Organic alkalinity produced by phytoplankton and its effect on the computation of ocean carbon parameters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 61, 1462-1471, 2016.

Lansard, B., Mucci, A., Miller, L. A., Macdonald, R. W., and Gratton, Y.: Seasonal variability of water mass distribution in the southeastern Beaufort Sea determined by total alkalinity and δ^{18} O, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 117, 2012.

640

Lavoie, D., Starr, M., Zakardjian, B., & Larouche, P.: Identification of ecologically and biologically significant areas (EBSA) in the Estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence: Primary production, Fisheries and Oceans, 2007.

Levasseur, M. E., and Therriault, J. C.: Phytoplankton biomass and nutrient dynamics in a tidally induced upwelling: the role of the NO3: SiO4 ratio, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser, 39, 87-97, 1987.

Lueker, T. J., Dickson, A. G., and Keeling, C. D.: Ocean pCO_2 calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K_1 and K_2 : validation based on laboratory measurements of CO_2 in gas and seawater at equilibrium, Mar. Chem., 70, 105-119, 2000.

Mackas, D. L., Denman, K. L., and Bennett, A. F.: Least squares multiple tracer analysis of water mass composition, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 92, 2907-2918, 1987.

⁶⁵⁰

Mackas, D. L., and Harrison, P. J.: Nitrogenous nutrient sources and sinks in the Juan de Fuca Strait/Strait of Georgia/Puget Sound estuarine system: assessing the potential for eutrophication, Estuar, Coast Shelf Sci., 44, 1-21, 1997.

Millero, F. J.: The pH of estuarine waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 31, 839-847, 1986.

Mucci, A., Levasseur, M., Gratton, Y., Martias, C., Scarratt, M., Gilbert, D., Tremblay, J.É., Ferreyra, G. and Lansard, B.: Tidally induced variations of pH at the head of the Laurentian Channel, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 75, 1128-1141, 2017.

Najjar R. G., Herrmann M., Alexander R., Boyer E. W., Burdige D. J., Butman D., Cai W. J., Canuel E. A., Chen R. F., Friedrichs M. A. M., Feagin R. A., Griffith P. C., Hinson A. L., Holmquist J. R., Hu X., Kemp W. M., Kroeger K. D., Mannino A., McCallister S. L., McGillis W. R., Mulholland M. R., Pilskaln C. H., Salisbury J., Signorini S. R., St-Laurent P., Tian H., Tzortziou M., Vlahos P., Wang Z. A. and Zimmerman R. C.: Carbon budget of tidal wetlands, estuaries, and shelf waters of

665 Tzortziou M., Vlahos P., Wang Z. A. and Zimmerman R. C.: Carbon budget of tidal wetlands, estuaries, and shelf waters of eastern North America, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 32, 389-416, 2018.

Orr, J. C.: Recent and future changes in ocean carbonate chemistry, in: Ocean acidification, edited by: Gattuso, J.-P. and Hansson, L., Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1, 25 pp., 2011.

670

Pierrot, D., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MS Excel Program Developed for CO₂ System Calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105a, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 2006.

675 Piper, D. J. W., Mudie, P. J., Fader, G. B., Josenhans, H. W., MacLean, B., and Vilks, G.: Quaternary Geology, Chapter 10, in: Geology of the Continental Margin of Eastern Canada (Vol. 2), Geological Survey of Canada, edited by: Keen, M. J. and William, G. L., Canada, 1990.

Rhein, M., Rintoul, S.R., Aoki, S., Campos, E., Chambers, D., Feely, R.A., Gulev, S., Johnson, G.C., Josey, S.A., Kostianoy,

- 680 A., Mauritzen, C., Roemmich, D., Talley, L.D., and Wang, F.: Observations: Ocean, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. and Midgley, P.M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- 685 Robert-Baldo, G. L., Morris, M. J., and Byrne, R. H.: Spectrophotometric determination of seawater pH using phenol red, Anal. Chem., 57, 2564-2567, 1985.

Rysgaard, S., Mortensen, J., Juul-Pedersen, T., Sørensen, L. L., Lennert, K., Søgaard, D. H., Arendt, K. E., Blicher, M. E., Sejr, M. K. and Bendtsen, J.: High air-sea CO₂ uptake rates in nearshore and shelf areas of Southern Greenland: Temporal and

690 spatial variability, Mar. Chem., 128-129, 26-33, 2012.

Sabine, C. L.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂, Science, 305(5682), 367-371, 2004.

Saucier, F. J., and Chassé, J.: Tidal circulation and buoyancy effects in the St. Lawrence Estuary, Atmos.Ocean, 38, 505-556, 2000.

Schlitzer, R.: Interactive analysis and visualization of geoscience data with Ocean Data View. Computers & geosciences, 28(10), 1211-1218, 2002.

Seibert, G. H., Trites, R. W., and Reid, S. J.: Deepwater exchange processes in the Saguenay Fjord, J. Fish. Board Can., 36(1), 42-53, 1979.

Smith, J. N., and Walton, A.: Sediment accumulation rates and geochronologies measured in the Saguenay Fjord using the Pb-210 dating method, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 44, 225-240, 1980.

705

Smith, R. W., Bianchi, T. S., Allison, M., Savage, C., and Galy, V.: High rates of organic carbon burial in fjord sediments globally, Nat. Geosci., 8(6), 450-453, 2015.

Stacey, M. W., and Gratton, Y.: The energetics and tidally induced reverse renewal in a two-silled fjord, J.Phys. Oceanogr., 31, 1599-1615, 2001.

Telmer, K., and Veizer, J.: Carbon fluxes, pCO_2 and substrate weathering in a large northern river basin, Canada: carbon isotope perspectives, Chem. Geol., 159, 61-86, 1999.

715 Therriault, J. C., and Lacroix, G.: Penetration of the deep layer of the Saguenay Fjord by surface waters of the St. Lawrence Estuary, Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada, 32, 2373-2377, 1975.

Tomczak Jr, M.: An analysis of mixing in the frontal zone of South and North Atlantic Central Water off North-West Africa, Prog. Oceanogr., 10, 173-192, 1981.

Tomczak, M.: Potential vorticity as a tracer in quantitative water mass analysis, International WOCE Newsletter, 36, 6-10, 1999.

Tomczak, M., and Large, D. G.: Optimum multiparameter analysis of mixing in the thermocline of the eastern Indian Ocean, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 94, 16141-16149, 1989.

Tremblay, L., and Gagné, J. P.: Organic matter distribution and reactivity in the waters of a large estuarine system, Mar. Chem., 116, 1-12, 2009.

730 Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res Oceans, 97, 7373-7382, 1992.

Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean revisited, Limnol. Oceanogr.-Meth., 12, 351-362, 2014.

735

Wanninkhof, R., and Triñanes, J.: The impact of changing wind speeds on gas transfer and its effect on global air-sea CO₂ fluxes, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 31(6), 961-974, 2017.

- Weiss, R.: Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas, Mar. Chem., 2, 203-215, 1974.
- 740

Weiss, R. F., and Price, B. A.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, Mar. Chem., 8, 347-359, 1980.

Willeit, M., Ganopolski, A., Calov, R., & Brovkin, V.: Mid-Pleistocene transition in glacial cycles explained by declining CO₂ and regolith removal, Science Advances, 5(4), eaav7337, 2019.

745

Xie, H., Aubry, C., Bélanger, S., and Song, G.: The dynamics of absorption coefficients of CDOM and particles in the St. Lawrence estuarine system: Biogeochemical and physical implications, Mar. Chem., 128, 44-56, 2012.

Zakardjian, B. A., Gratton, Y., and Vézina, A. F.: Late spring phytoplankton bloom in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary: the flushing hypothesis revisited, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 192, 31-48, 2000.

Zeebe, R. E., and Wolf-Gladrow, D. (Eds.): CO₂ in seawater: equilibrium, kinetics, isotopes, Elsevier Oceanography Series 65, Amsterdam, 2001.