High pH and anoxic conditions during soil organic matter extraction increases its electron exchange capacity and ability to stimulate microbial Fe(III) reduction by electron shuttling

Yuge Bai[1], Edisson Subdiaga[2], Stefan B. Haderlein[2], Heike Knicker[3], Andreas Kappler[1]

[1]Geomicrobiology, Center of Applied Geosciences, University of Tuebingen, Tuebingen, 72074, Germany
[2]Environmental Mineralogy and Chemistry, Center of Applied Geosciences, University of Tuebingen, Tuebingen, 72074, Germany
[3]Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (IRNAS-CSIC), Seville, 41012. Spain

Correspondence to: Andreas Kappler (andreas.kappler@uni-tuebingen.de)

Abstract. Soil organic matter (SOM) is redox-active, can be microbially reduced, and transfers electrons in an abiotic reaction to Fe(III) minerals thus serving as an electron shuttle. The standard procedure to isolate organic matter (OM) from soil involves the use of alkaline and acidic solutions and the separation of humic acids (HA) and fulvic acids (FA). This process potentially leads to unwanted changes in SOM chemical and redox properties. To determine the effects of extraction conditions on the redox and electron shuttling properties of SOM extracts, we prepared HA, FA and water-extractable organic matter (OM) extracts, applying either a combination of 0.1 M NaOH and 6 M HCl or ultrapure water (pH 7), from soil samples collected from the subsoil (0-15 cm, A horizon, pH 6.5-6.8) in Schönbuch forest, Baden-Wuerttemberg, Germany. Both chemical extractions (NaOH/HCl) and water extractions were done in separate experiments under either oxic or anoxic conditions. Furthermore, we applied the NaOH/HCl treatment to a subsample of the water-extractable OM to separate HA and FA from the water-extractable OM. When comparing the amount of carbon extracted from soil by different extraction methods, we found that FA and HA chemically extracted from the soil can make up to 34-40% of the soil organic carbon pool while the water-extractable OM only represents 0.41-2.74% of the total soil organic carbon. The higher extraction efficiency of the chemical extraction is probably due to the deprotonation of carboxyl and phenol functional groups under high pH. Anoxic extraction condition also led to more extracted carbon. For water-extractable OM, 7 times more C was extracted under anoxic condition compared to oxic condition. This difference was probably due to the occurrence of microbial reduction and dissolution of Fe(III) minerals in the soil during the anoxic water extraction thus the concomitant release of Fe(III) mineral-bound organic matter. To compare the redox activity of different SOM extracts, the electron exchange capacity (EEC) of all extracted HA, FA and water-extractable OM was analyzed and our results showed that, under anoxic extraction condition, the HA chemically isolated from the water-extractable OM had 2 times higher EEC values compare to the water-extractable OM itself, suggesting the potential formation of redox-active aromatic functional groups during the extraction with NaOH under anoxic conditions by condensation reactions between amino acids, aldehydes, hydroxyl-and catechol-containing molecules. We also performed a microbial Fe(III) reduction experiment with all extracts

and found that higher EEC of extracts in turn resulted in a higher stimulation of microbial Fe(III) mineral reduction by electron shuttling, i.e. faster initial Fe(III) reduction rates, and in most cases also in higher reduction extents. Our findings suggest that OM extracted with water at neutral pH should be used to better reflect environmental SOM redox processes in lab experiments and that potential artefacts of the chemical extraction method and anoxic extraction condition need to be considered when evaluating and comparing abiotic and microbial SOM redox processes.

1 Introduction

Soil organic matter (SOM) contains more organic carbon than the sum of the atmosphere and living plants (Fischlin, 2007) and can influence greenhouse gas emission, plant growth and water quality (Lal, 2004; Marin-Spiotta et al., 2014). Studying SOM is challenging because it needs to be separated from other soil components before doing laboratory experiments (Lehmann and Kleber, 2015). One of the most commonly used methods is a chemical extraction of humic substances (HS) at pH >12 (Achard, 1786). Although the concept of HS as large-molecular-weight molecules formed by degradation and repolymerization of biomolecules has been challenged by seeing SOM as a continuum of progressively decomposing organic compounds (Lehmann and Kleber, 2015), HS extraction is still applied by many laboratories and the extracted HS are still widely used as a proxy for SOM. Briefly, HS are extracted by adjusting the pH to >12 using NaOH, followed by acidification of the alkaline extract to pH <2 to separate humic acids (HA) from fulvic acids (FA) (Achard, 1786). Ion exchange resins, dialysis, and even hydrofluoric acid (HF) treatment are used to further purify the extracts (IHSS, 2017). Concerns regarding the effectiveness of this harsh chemical extraction method were already raised in 1888 (van Bemmelen, 1888) and last until today (Lehmann and Kleber, 2015; Kleber and Lehmann, 2019).

It has been shown that alkaline extraction influences the chemical composition and the content of redox-active quinoid moieties of the extracted SOM (Piccolo, 1988; Engebretson and Von Wandruszka, 1999). Participation in redox reactions is a key property of SOM and relevant for many biogeochemical processes in the environment (Murphy et al., 2014). For example, under anoxic conditions, SOM can accept electrons from microorganisms, transfer electrons to other electron acceptors such as Fe(III) minerals, and be reoxidized to accept electrons again from microorganisms (Lovley et al., 1996; Kappler et al., 2004; Bauer and Kappler, 2009; Wolf et al., 2009). This electron shuttling process, which is facilitated by SOM, can significantly increase microbial reduction rates of poorly soluble Fe(III) minerals (Lovley et al., 1996; Jiang and Kappler, 2008), enable microbial reduction of otherwise inaccessible Fe(III) minerals (Lovley et al., 1998), and stimulate indirect reduction of minerals that are spatially separated from the bacteria (Lies et al., 2005). Highly purified FA and HA are used in most electron shuttling studies to represent SOM (Lovley et al., 1998; Lovley and Blunt-Harris, 1999; Lies et al., 2005; Bauer and Kappler, 2009; Wolf et al., 2009; Klupfel et al., 2014). However, currently it is not known if and to which extent the SOM electron shuttling capacity is based on protocol-induced changes caused by the harsh chemical isolation

procedure. Therefore, studies that compare the stimulating effects of SOM extracted with either the traditional chemical extraction method or with water at neutral pH conditions on the microbial Fe(III) reduction are needed.

Piepenbrock and co-authors extracted SOM from a forest soil at circumneutral pH using water (Piepenbrock et al., 2014). Compared to chemically extracted Pahokee Peat humic acids (PPHA), the extracted SOM had a significantly lower reducing capacity ($\mu eq/g$ C), which was calculated from the concentration of reduced Fe(II) after the abiotic reaction of PPHA/SOM with Fe(III)-citrate. This potentially indicates different types and proportions of functional groups in these samples. However, due to the different origin of the extracted soils, it remains unclear whether and to which extent the differences in reducing capacities of the SOM extract and PPHA was caused by the chemical extraction methods. Furthermore, in this study the water extraction was conducted only under oxic conditions. Although it is known that the presence of O_2 causes oxidation of certain organic compunds under alkaline conditions and therefore chemical extraction with NaOH should be conducted under anoxic conditions (Bauer and Kappler, 2009; Maurer et al., 2010), it remains unclear whether and how the presence of O_2 influences the abundance of different (redox-active) functional groups and therefore the redox activity of the water-extracted organic compounds under neutral pH.

To determine the effect of these chemicals on the SOM redox properties, we extracted OM from a forest soil using several methods (Fig. 1). The first was the traditional chemical extraction method (1 M NaOH followed by 6 M HCl) yielding HA and FA under either oxic or anoxic conditions. The second was OM extraction by ultrapure water at neutral pH (water-extractable OM) under either oxic or anoxic conditions. Additionally, we treated the water-extractable OM with NaOH and HCl to further separate HA and FA from the water-extractable OM (also under either oxic or anoxic conditions). We analyzed the electron accepting capacity (EAC, i.e. the number of electrons that can be accepted), the electron donating capacity (EDC, i.e. the number of electrons that can be donated by the OM) and the electron exchange capacity (the sum of EAC and EDC) of all extracted water-extractable OM, FA and HA fractions. To further compare their electron shuttling capacity, we performed a microbial Fe(III) mineral reduction experiment with all of the different extracts. The goals of this study were, first, to identify the effects of alkali and oxygen on the EEC values of the water-extractable OM, FA and HA samples, and second, to compare the rates and extents of microbial ferrihydrite reduction in the presence of the different extracts.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Soil organic matter (SOM) extraction

Top soil (0-15 cm) without leaf litter from A horizon was collected from the Schönbuch forest, Baden-Wuerttemberg, Germany. The forest is dominated by beech with populations of oak, spruce and bald cypress and the soil is qualified as vertic cambisol (WORLD REFERENCE BASE FOR SOIL, WRB) (WRB). Soil was dried (30°C), ground to pass through a

2 mm sieve by automatic soil grinder (ball mill, FRITSCH, Germany) and stored in the dark at 4°C. Chemical extraction of
FA/HA was modified from the IHSS protocol (IHSS, 2017) as follows. In the IHSS protocol, soil samples are incubated with
0.1 M HCl with a ratio of 10 mL liquid per g dry soil and after 1 h of extraction and XAD-8 resin separation, a fraction
called "FA_extract_1" is collected. In our experiment, we did not extract this fraction to avoid using XAD-8 separation. As
shown in Fig. 1, 100 g soil was incubated with 400 mL of 0.1 M NaOH (pH 12) for 4 h (overhead shaker, 90 rpm, 25°C).
The slurry was centrifuged (3528 ×g, 30 min) and the supernatant was acidified (pH 2) by 6 M HCl to separate FA
(dissolved in the supernatant) and HA (precipitated). Within the present study we define these extracts as FA (isolated from
soil) and HA (isolated from soil). Water-extractable OM was prepared following Piepenbrock et al. (Piepenbrock et al., 2014)
(Fig. 1). 100 g of soil were incubated with 400 mL of ultrapure water (resistivity=18.2 MΩ.cm, 25°C; Milli-Q, Millipore) at
pH 7 (pH was monitored during the extraction and it ranged between 7.02-7.33). The pH of the water extraction was
adjusted to 7 to avoid any possible artefacts resulting from further pH adjustment prior to analyses and experiments that
require the sample pH to be 7 such as the electrochemical analysis or the microbial $Fe(III)$ reduction. The slurry was
centrifuged after 24 h; we collected and defined the supernatant as water-extractable OM. A 200 mL aliquot of the
supernatant containing the water-extractable OM was amended with 0.1 M NaOH until pH 12. After 4 h of incubation, the
pH of the solution was adjusted to <2 by 6 M HCl to precipitate HA and to separate FA, this FA and HA are defined as FA
(isolated from water-extractable OM) and HA (isolated from water-extractable OM). Anoxic chemical extractions
(NaOH/HCl) and water extractions were performed in a N_2-filled anoxic glovebox; Filtration (0.45 μm, polyethersulfone
membrane (PES), Millipore, Germany) was used instead of centrifugation to remove remaining soil after the extraction. All
collected extracts were freeze-dried under oxic conditions and stored (4°C, dark) until use.

2.2 Total organic carbon (TOC) quantification

TOC of water-extractable OM and FA isolated from soil was quantified directly from their extracted solutions after dilution,
whereas the precipitated HA and FA isolated from the water-extractable OM were first freeze-dried and then re-dissolved in
Milli-Q water (pH 7, stirring at 300 rpm overnight). All solutions were analyzed for TOC (TOC analyzer, model 2100S,
Analytik Jena, Germany).

2.3 Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements

NMR analysis was conducted from freeze-dried water-extractable OM, HA and FA samples. Solid-state ^{13}C NMR spectra
were obtained with a Bruker Avance III HD 400 MHz Wideboard operating at a frequency of 100.63 MHz using zirconium
rotors of 4 mm OD with KEL-F-caps. The cross polarization magic angle spinning (CPMAS) technique was applied during
magic-angle spinning of the rotor at 14 kHz. A ramped [1]H-pulse was used during a contact time of in order to circumvent
spin modulation of Hartmann-Hahn conditions. A contact time of 1 ms and a 90° [1]H-pulse width of 2.2 μs were used for all

spectra. The ^{13}C-chemical shifts were calibrated to tetramethylsilane (0 ppm) and were calibrated with glycine (176.04 ppm). The aromaticity of samples were calculated following a previous study (Abelmann et al., 2005).

2.4 Specific UV absorbance at 254 (SUVA$_{254}$) analysis

SUVA$_{254}$ analysis was conducted from water-extractable OM, HA and FA solutions dissolved in Milli-Q water at concentrations of 10 mg C L^{-1}. All solutions were filtered with 0.45 mm syringe filter (polyethersulfone membrane (PES), Millipore, Germany) and the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration of all samples was analyzed prior to the SUVA$_{254}$ analysis (DOC analyzer, model 2100S, Analytik Jena, Germany). The SUVA$_{254}$ values of all samples were measured in a 1 cm rectangular quartz cuvette with a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Fluoromax-4, Jobin-Yvon-SPEX instruments, New Jersey, USA). The final SUVA$_{254}$ values of all extracts were calculated with equation (1):

$$SUVA254 = UV_{254}/DOC \tag{1}$$

where UV$_{254}$ is the absorbance at 254 nm and 1 cm optical path length.

2.5 Microwave Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometer (MP-AES) analysis

Metal contents were analyzed by Microwave Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometer (MP-AES) (4100, Agilent Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) in the extracted water-extractable OM, HA and FA samples. Prior to the MP-AES analysis, 0.5 g sample was digested with 10 mL 2% HNO$_3$ in microwave oven at 190ºC (800 w) for 10 min, after cooling down to room temperature, centrifuged for 10 min at 14000 rpm and the supernatant was used for the analysis. The data for FA (isolated from soil, oxic) and HA (isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic) are missing due to the lack of enough samples. The unit of all metal concentrations is mg kg^{-1}, blank means the concentration of the corresponding metal is too low to be detected.

2.6 Electrochemical analysis

Electrochemical analysis followed the method described by Aeschbacher et al (Aeschbacher et al., 2010). Freeze-dried extracts (powders) were dissolved in 100 mM of phosphate buffer (pH 7) at a concentration of 100 mg C L^{-1}. After overnight agitation at 300 rpm at room temperature, samples were filtered through 0.22 μm syringe filters (mixed cellulose ester (MCE), Millipore, Germany). All preparations and measurements were conducted in an anoxic glovebox. The number of electrons transferred to and from all extracts were quantified by integration of reductive and oxidative current responses after baseline correction in mediated electrochemical reduction (MER; at E_h=-0.49 V) and mediated electrochemical oxidation (MEO; E_h=+0.61 V) with 1'1-ethylene-2,2'-bipyridyldiylium di-bromide (DQ) and 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) as electron transfer mediators, respectively. To obtain the EAC and EDC values, the integrated current response was normalized to the measured DOC of all extracts prior to the EEC analysis (DOC$_{SOM/FA/HA}$ [mg C/L], DOC analyzer, model 2100S, Analytik Jena, Germany) as shown in Eq. 2 and 3:

$$EAC = I_{red}dt / (F \times DOC_{SOM/FA/HA}) \tag{2}$$

$$EDC = \int I_{ox}dt/F \times DOC_{SOM/FA/HA} \tag{3}$$

where I_{red} and I_{ox} ([A]) are baseline-corrected reductive and oxidative currents in MER and MOR, respectively (F=Faraday constant).

2.7 Microbial Fe(III) reduction experiment and calculation of microbial Fe(III) reduction rates

Solutions of organic matter extracts for the microbial Fe(III) reduction experiment were prepared by dissolving freeze-dried powders in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0-7.2) at 500 mg C L^{-1}, agitation overnight (300 rpm, room temperature), filtration and sterilization (0.22 μm syringe filters, mixed cellulose ester (MCE), Millipore, Germany), as described before (Jiang and Kappler, 2008). Although the chosen phosphate concentration is higher than typically observed in nature and can potentially lead to the formation of Fe(II) phosphate minerals (e.g., vivianite) during our microbial Fe(III) reduction experiment, this phosphate buffer was chosen to enable comparison of our study to previous studies (Jiang and Kappler, 2008; Bauer and Kappler, 2009; Klupfel et al., 2014; Piepenbrock et al., 2014). All solutions were deoxygenated 3 times (each time 3 min vacuum and 3 min N_2-flushing) and stored in dark bottles to avoid photochemical reactions. Ferrihydrite was prepared as described before (Amstaetter et al., 2012) and stored no more than 2 months (4°C) before use.

Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 cells from a frozen stock were streaked on oxic lysogeny broth (LB) agar plates (10 g/L peptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L NaCl and 15 g/L agar). Colonies were transferred to liquid LB medium and incubated at 30°C for 14 h, harvested by centrifugation (10 min, 8602 ×g) and then washed three times with anoxic SBM medium (0.225 g/L K_2HPO_4, 0.225 g/L KH_2PO_4, 0.46 g/L NaCl, 0.225 g/L $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 2.18 g/L Na-lactate, 0.117 g/L $MgSO_4 \bullet 7H_2O$, 2.38 g/L HEPES, pH 7.2-7.5). For the Fe(III) reduction experiments, washed cells were added at a final concentration of 10^7 cells mL^{-1} to solutions of water-extractable OM, FA, HA (50 mg C L^{-1}) and ferrihydrite (15 mM Fe(III)) in SBM medium (phosphate in the SBM medium was 5 mmol L^{-1}). AQDS, i.e. 2,6-anthraquinone disulphonate, a quinone model compound commonly used in electron shuttling studies that can significantly increase the rates of microbial Fe(III) reduction, was used as 100 μmol L^{-1} in our experiments as a reference for a significant stimulation of Fe(III) reduction by our extracted OM via electron shuttling. The headspace was flushed with N_2 and the bottles were incubated in the dark (30°C). At each sampling point, an 100 μl aliquot was taken from each bottle, acidified and incubated with 900 μl of 1 M HCl for 1 h to facilitate mineral dissolution, centrifuged (28649 ×g, 5 min), and the total Fe(II) concentration was quantified with the spectrophotometric ferrozine assay in a microtiterplate (Stookey, 1970;Hegler et al., 2008). The fastest reduction rates of the microbial Fe(III) reduction experiments were calculated as shown and explained in the supporting information (SI) (Fig. S3).

3 Results

3.1 Quantity of soil organic carbon extracted by different methods and characterization of extracted OM, HA and FA

Comparison of different extraction methods revealed that the amount of soil-extracted carbon varied depending on the presence/absence of O_2 during the extraction and on the type of extraction liquid (Tab. 1). Extraction with H_2O at neutral pH under oxic conditions followed by NaOH and HCl treatment to separate HA and FA in the water-extractable OM yielded 0.036, 0.021 and 0.014 g C in the water-extractable OM, FA and HA fractions, respectively, corresponding to 0.41, 0.24 and 0.15% of the total carbon present in the soil. In contrast, anoxic water extraction significantly increased the fraction of extracted carbon to 0.234, 0.146, and 0.079 g C in the water-extractable OM, FA and HA fractions, respectively, corresponding to 2.74, 1.69 and 0.90% of the total soil C. Chemical extraction directly from soil using NaOH and HCl under oxic conditions yielded 1.451 g in the extracted FA (17.0% of the total carbon present in the soil) and 1.450 g C in HA (17.0% of the total carbon present in the soil). Conducting the same chemical extraction from soil under anoxic conditions lead to a higher percentage of extracted carbon for FA (20.7%) and HA (22%) than under oxic conditions.

Specific UV absorbance at 254 nm ($SUVA_{254}$) indicates the aromaticity of the extracted OM, FA and HA (Tab. 1). Water-extractable OM showed a $SUVA_{254}$ value of 0.018 mg^{-1} C cm^{-1} under oxic conditions and the value increased to 0.027 mg^{-1} C cm^{-1} when extracted under anoxic conditions. Similarly, for both FA isolated from water-extractable OM and FA isolated from soil, the $SUVA_{254}$ values were higher under anoxic conditions than under oxic conditions. HA extracts isolated from the water-extractable OM under oxic conditions showed a $SUVA_{254}$ value of 0.068 mg^{-1} C cm^{-1}, higher than the 0.018 mg^{-1} C cm^{-1} of the water-extractable OM itself obtained under oxic conditions. A higher $SUVA_{254}$ value for the HA isolated from the water-extractable OM (0.207 mg^{-1} C cm^{-1}) than for the water-extractable OM itself (0.027 mg^{-1} C cm^{-1}) was also found under anoxic extraction conditions. A 2-way ANOVA statistical analysis revealed that both oxic versus anoxic conditions and the extraction method (neutral pH water versus chemical extraction) resulted in different $SUVA_{254}$ values at a significance level of $P < 0.05$ (Tab. S1). In general, anoxic conditions and the chemical extraction method led to higher $SUVA_{254}$ values of the extracts, suggesting that these extracts had higher degree of aromaticity (Korshin et al., 1997). ^{13}C-NMR analysis of extracted OM, FA and HA (Fig. 2) confirmed higher contents of aromatic carbon in samples subject to chemical extraction or anoxic conditions.

Furthermore, after 24 h water extraction of OM, we found a maximum Fe(II) concentration of 3 mmol L^{-1} in the water-extractable OM solution. Although, as shown in Tab. S3, more than 90% of the Fe was removed by filtration (0.22 μm, mixed cellulose ester (MCE), Millipore, Germany) and around 30% of the remaining Fe(II) was oxidized to Fe(III) during the oxic freeze drying process, there was still 15-123 μmol L^{-1} total Fe present in the water-extractable OM and FA/HA isolated from it. This Fe is potentially redox-active and can contribute to the redox properties of the extracted OM. For example, A previous study showed that 4 mg L^{-1} of Fe(III) yielded an absorbance value of 0.65 cm^{-1} at 254 nm wavelength (Weishaar et al., 2003). Therefore, we believe that the high $SUVA_{254}$ value of HA isolated from the water-extractable OM

compared to SUVA$_{254}$ values of HA shown in previous studies could be caused by the presence of Fe(II) and Fe(III) in the sample due to the microbial Fe(III) reduction that occurred under the anoxic extraction conditions. However, since this Fe is an integral part of the OM in the environment and we were interested in determining the role of environmentally relevant OM extracts in electron shuttling, we decided not to further purify the extracts (also because this probably would have changed the properties of the present redox-active organic matter).

3.2 Redox properties of extracted water-extractable OM, FA and HA

We used mediated electrochemical reduction and oxidation to quantify the EAC, EDC and thus the EEC of all water-extractable OM, FA, and HA extracts (Fig. 3). Based on the Fe content we calculated the contribution of the Fe to the redox properties of all extracts (Tab. S3). A 2-way ANOVA statistical analysis revealed that both the extraction condition (anoxic versus oxic) and the extraction method (neutral pH water versus NaOH) resulted in significantly different EEC values (P<0.05; Tab. S4). The EEC of water-extractable OM obtained under oxic conditions was 32 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} (with ca. 4 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} from Fe), whereas when extracted anoxically, it increased to 44 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} (with 14.8 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} from Fe). Higher EEC values under anoxic compared to oxic extraction conditions were also observed for all extracted FA: for FA isolated oxically from the water-extractable OM, the EEC was 13 µmol e- mmol C^{-1} (2.3 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} from Fe), while it increased to 24 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} (2.7 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} from Fe) when FA was isolated anoxically from the water-extractable OM. The EEC of FA isolated from soil under anoxic conditions was 33 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} higher than FA isolated from soil under oxic conditions. Similar to FA, for the HA isolated from water-extractable OM, the EEC values increased from 15 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} (1.9 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} from Fe) under oxic conditions to 83 µmol e$^-$ mmol C^{-1} (7.3 µmol e- mmol C^{-1} from Fe) under anoxic conditions. For HA isolated from soil, EEC values increased from 40 µmol e- mmol C^{-1} under oxic conditions to 127 µmol e- mmol C^{-1} under anoxic conditions.

The total number of electrons that can be exchanged (that means transferred from Fe(III)-reducing bacteria to the OM, or from the OM to Fe(III) minerals) by water-extractable OM before and after the chemical separation of FA and HA from this water-extractable OM was also calculated (the recovery of EEC) under both oxic and anoxic conditions (Fig. 2). For the extracts obtained under anoxic conditions, the sum of total exchangeable electrons values of the FA and HA isolated from water-extractable OM (786 µmol e$^-$) was almost identical to that of water-extractable OM itself (836 µmol e$^-$). In contrast, under oxic conditions, the sum of the EEC values of the FA and HA separated from the water-extractable OM was 324 µmol e$^-$, ca. 5-times higher than the EEC value of the water-extractable OM (64 µmol e$^-$). This confirms that the traditional chemical extraction procedure conducted under oxic conditions strongly enhances the redox capacity of the samples.

In addition to the EEC that represents the total amount of electrons that can be stored by the extracted organic compounds, the individual EAC and EDC values can be used to characterize the redox state of the water-extractable OM, HA and FA.

The EDC and EAC quantify how many electrons are already stored in the molecules (EDC) and how many electrons can still be taken up by functional groups that can be reduced (EAC) (Fig. 3). Surprisingly, the EAC values were larger for all FA and HA extracts obtained under anoxic extraction conditions than under oxic conditions (Fig. 3). The higher EAC under anoxic conditions suggests the presence of more functional groups that can be reduced in FA and HA extracted in the absence of oxygen, meaning that the additional amount of organic compounds that was extracted under anoxic conditions compared to oxic conditions contain more oxidized functional groups.

3.3 Effects of different organic matter extracts on rate and extent of microbial ferrihydrite reduction and mineral transformation during reduction

To determine the effects of water-extractable OM, FA and HA extracts on microbial Fe(III) reduction, the Fe(III) mineral-ferrihydrite was incubated with the Fe(III)-reducing bacterium *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 in experiments amended with our extracts and total Fe(II) concentration was monitored over time (Fig. 4). The highest initial microbial Fe(III) reduction rates were determined as shown in the supporting information (Fig. S3). The presence of AQDS stimulated ferrihydrite reduction to Fe(II) with a maximum reduction rate of 3.12 ± 0.38 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1} compared to experiments without electron shuttle with a rate of 0.79 ± 0.31 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1} (Fig. 4(a), Fig. S3). The observed decrease of total Fe(II) after 5 days of incubation (from 14.67 mM to 6.87 mM) in the AQDS-amended setup was caused by Fe(II) loss due to sorption of Fe(II) or precipitation of Fe(II) (e.g. as Fe(II)-phosphate mineral due to the presence of phosphate buffer) at the wall of the glass bottles (Fig. S1). After the addition of oxically and anoxically water-extractable OM (Fig. 4(d)), Fe(III) was reduced at maximum rates of 1.53 ± 0.20 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1} and 2.07 ± 0.43 mmol Fe (II) d^{-1}, respectively, suggesting higher reduction rates than without any electron shuttle (0.79 ± 0.31 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1}).

When comparing Fe(III) reduction in the presence of the different HA extracts (Fig. 4(e), 3(f)), we found that amendment with HA isolated from soil under anoxic conditions showed the fastest reduction rate (1.83 ± 0.03 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1}) followed by HA isolated from water-extractable OM anoxically (1.70 ± 0.25 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1}) and HA isolated from soil oxically (1.55 ± 0.08 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1}). The reduction rate of the experiment amended with HA isolated oxically from the water-extractable OM was 0.82 ± 0.27 showing slight stimulation effect compare to the setup without electron shuttle (0.79 ± 0.31mmol Fe(II) d^{-1}). Addition of FA increased Fe(III) reduction rates significantly in all cases (Fig. 4(b), 3(c)). In the presence of FA isolated oxically and anoxically from water-extractable OM, the fastest rates were 2.03 ± 0.54 and 2.22 ± 0.36 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1}, respectively. After addition of FA isolated from soil under oxic and anoxic conditions, the maximum reduction rates were even faster with 2.31 ± 0.15 and 3.05 ± 0.07 mmol Fe(II) d^{-1}. Control samples with only OM and ferrihydrite (without bacteria) did not show any ferrihydrite reduction (Fig. S2).

In addition to differences in reduction rates depending on the identity of the added organic extract, we also found differences in reduction extents. In most cases, the reduction extent was higher in the presence of OM compared to OM-free experiments

(3.87 mM of Fe(II) after 15 days). Specifically, experiments amended with FA showed higher microbial Fe(III) reduction extents than with HA. After 25 days of incubation, experiments with FA extracted chemically from soil under anoxic conditions reduced 10.87 mmol L^{-1} Fe(III) to Fe(II), while the maximum Fe(III) reduction extent in the presence of added HA (chemically isolated from anoxically water-extracted SOM) was about 7.08 mmol L^{-1} Fe(II) (Fig. 4(c) and (e)).

80   Since the used OM extracts contained some Fe(II) and Fe(III), we evaluated the contribution of these ions to the observed Fe(III) reduction (Tab. S3). First, the Fe(II) present in the water-extractable OM, FA and HA ranged from 7.2 (FA isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic) to 79.2 μmol L^{-1} (water-extractable OM, anoxic) (Tab. S3) and made up between 1-17.6% of the measured Fe(II) concentration after 30 minutes of incubation. With the increase of Fe(II) concentration over time, the percentage of Fe(II) present in the extracts to the measured Fe(II) concentration decreased to less than 0.1% and is therefore

85   negligible. Second, the influence of Fe(III) initially present in the water-extracted SOM, FA and HA (Tab. S3) can be neglected as well, because the Fe(III) concentration of the extracted organic matter fractions ranged from 8.7-43.9 μmol L^{-1} (Tab. S3), but the ferrihydrite concentration used in the experiments was 15 mmol L^{-1}.

4 Discussion

90   **4.1 Effects of the presence of oxygen on the amount and properties of SOM extracts**

The presence and absence of oxygen impacted the amount of water-extractable OM. Under anoxic conditions, water at neutral pH extracted about 6.7 times more organic carbon than under oxic conditions (Tab. 1). The presence of Fe(II) at the end of extraction in all anoxic extracts suggested that the higher amount of extracted OM is probably related to microbial Fe(III) mineral reduction and the release of mineral-bound OM during mineral dissolution. A correlation between the

95   dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration and the amount of Fe(II) in pore water was reported before for sediment samples that were incubated in the dark under anoxic conditions for 14 days (Dadi et al., 2017). In-situ monitoring of the DOC flux in pore water of marine sediment or freshwater wetland also suggested an increase in DOC with increasing microbial iron(III) mineral reduction (Burdige et al., 1992; Burdige et al., 1999; Chin et al., 1998). Other studies also suggested an increase in DOC under anoxic conditions due to the microbial iron(III) mineral reduction and dissolution and

00   the concomitant release of organic carbon (OC) that was co-precipitated with and adsorbed to the iron(III) minerals(Gu et al., 1994; Riedel et al., 2013; Shimizu et al., 2013).

In addition to differences in the amount of extracted OM, the presence or absence of oxygen also influenced the aromaticity of the extracted SOM, as shown by the $SUVA_{254}$ values (Tab. 1) and ^{13}C -NMR data (Fig. 2). Water-extractable OM extracted under anoxic conditions showed a higher aromaticity, suggesting that the additional organic matter mobilized by

05   reductive dissolution of iron minerals possesses a higher degree of aromaticity. This is in line with findings described by other studies (Gu et al., 1994; Lv et al., 2016; Avneri-Katz et al., 2017; Coward et al., 2019). Kothawala and co-authors

(Kothawala et al., 2012) incubated oxically-extracted soil solution with soils with different mineral composition. SUVA and fluorescence index analysis of the remaining non-sorbed organic matter showed that regardless of the soil type, the aromatic functional groups were preferentially adsorbed to the soil minerals.

4.2 Effect of extraction pH on the amount and properties of extracted organic matter

The practice of extracting and isolating HA and FA using NaOH and HCl under anoxic conditions has been the established standard protocol (IHSS, 2017). As early as in 1972, Swift and Posner (Swift and Posner, 1972) showed that by incubating a peat HA with 1 M NaOH under oxic conditions for 30 days, more than half of the HA was degraded to low-molecular-weight molecules and amino acid N was lost from the HA. Later studies also reported the hydrolysis of esters in NOM to carboxylic acid groups when exposing NOM to NaOH under oxic conditions (Ritchie and Perdue, 2008). Consistent with previous studies, our $SUVA_{254}$ (Tab. 1), ^{13}C-NMR (Fig. 2) and EEC (Fig. 3) results showed that FA and HA isolated from soil under oxic conditions had lower aromaticity and EEC compared to the FA and HA isolated from soil under anoxic conditions. This indicates that degradation of aromatic structures and functional groups in the OM to smaller molecules occurs in the presence of O_2 under higher pH conditions.

However, we found that even under anoxic conditions, the chemical extraction extracted up to 100 times more carbon than the water extraction at neutral pH (Tab. 1), consistent with previous studies (Aiken, 1985). This higher extraction efficiency at high pH could be due to the deprotonation of carboxyl and phenol functional groups leading to both higher aqueous solubility and electrostatic repulsion of OM from negatively charged soil minerals (Kleber et al., 2015) or due to the hydrolysis of plant material and the formation of smaller oligo- and monomers (Sparks, 2003). Not only the amount of C extracted but also the properties of the extracted FA and HA are affected by the chemical extraction under anoxic conditions. Our results indicate that the HA isolated from soil have higher aromaticity than water-extractable OM under anoxic extraction conditions. On the one hand, the higher aromaticity in HA isolated from soil can probably be explained by the extra amount of C extracted from soil by the chemical extraction method. On the other hand, this cannot be the only explanation, since the HA isolated from the water-extractable OM also had higher aromaticity than the water-extractable OM itself. This suggests the formation of aromatic functional groups during the extraction with NaOH under anoxic conditions by condensation reactions between amino acids, aldehydes, hydroxyl-and catechol-containing molecules. Such condensation reactions could result in larger molecules with a higher degree of aromaticity (Gieseking, 1975; Golchin et al., 1994; Kappler and Brune, 1999; Kappler and Haderlein, 2003). A recent study comparing OM extraction from a freshwater sediment using water (acidified to pH 2 with 1 M HCl), with an extraction using 0.1 M sodium pyrophosphate (pH 10) and 0.5 M NaOH (pH 12) also revealed a higher aromaticity in the alkali-extracted OM (Fox et al., 2017). Using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and electrospray ionization Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance spectrometry (ESI-FTICR-MS), these authors showed that OM extracted by sodium pyrophosphate and NaOH had more condensed aromatic compounds.

4.3 Electron exchange capacity (EEC) of soil extracts determines their ability to stimulate microbial Fe(III) reduction

Our data showed that the rates of microbial ferrihydrite reduction differed in the presence of different OM extracts. The observed differences in Fe(III) reduction rates can either be a result of the differences in OM redox activity (e.g. number and redox potentials of redox-active functional groups) and the resulting function of the OM as electron shuttle or due to different secondary mineral phases that can form during ferrihydrite reduction. However, a previous microbial Fe(III) mineral reduction study of ferrihydrite (5 mM Fe(III)) in the presence of 50 mg C L^{-1} OM, 0.8 mM phosphate buffer and 2×10^5 cells mL^{-1} *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 showed no goethite or magnetite (based on ^{57}Fe-Moessbauer and XRD analysis) but vivianite as the major mineral phase produced (Amstaetter et al., 2012). The transformation of ferrihydrite to vivianite instead of goethite or magnetite in presence of phosphate buffer was also reported in other studies using similar concentration of OM, buffer, cells and ferrihydrite (Chen et al., 2003a; Piepenbrock et al., 2011; Shimizu et al., 2013). The formation of more crystalline secondary mineral phases such as goethite was only observed during ferrihydrite reduction in the absence of phosphate (Hansel et al., 2003; Borch et al., 2007). Abiotic experiments showed that phosphate inhibits the transformation of ferrihydrite to magnetite or goethite by blocking of surface sites of ferrihydrite, therefore prevents the sorption of the produced Fe(II) on the Fe(III) mineral, thus lowering the number of surface sites where conversion of ferrihydrite to magnetite or goethite can take place (Galvez et al., 1999). Therefore, the transformation of ferrihydrite to magnetite or goethite is not expected to happen in our experiments and the following discussion will focus on the influence of the redox activity of the extracted OM on the rate and extent of the microbial Fe(III) reduction.

As measures for the redox activity of the different extracted OM fractions, we determined their potential for accepting electrons (EAC) and for accepting and donating electrons (EEC). Correlating the EAC values of our different OM extracts and the maximum microbial ferrihydrite reduction rates showed that the higher the EAC values of the extracted OM, FA and HA, the faster the microbial Fe(III) reduction rates are (Fig. 5). As shown before (Aeschbacher et al., 2012), (hydro)quinone functional groups contribute mainly to the measured EAC values in OM and these quinone moieties are thought to be the major functional group responsible for electron transfer between Fe(III)-reducing bacteria and Fe(III) minerals during electron shuttling. Scott et al. (1998) reported a direct correlation between OM oxidation capacity and the stable free-radical content in the OM, stemming from semiquinone radicals (Lovley et al., 1996; Scott et al., 1998). However, we also found a correlation between EEC values and the maximum microbial ferrihydrite reduction rates in the presence of water-extractable OM, HA and FA. Since higher EEC values reflects higher contents of aromatic/polycondensed aromatic compounds in the OM (Aeschbacher et al., 2012), our results also indicate that, apart from quinones, also other aromatic functional groups were involved in the microbial Fe(III) reduction with OM as electron shuttles and these functional groups also influence the electron transfer efficiency between the Fe(III)-reducing bacteria, the OM and the Fe(III) minerals. Support for the

70   participation of non-quinone groups in such OM electron transfer studies also comes from previous analyses of redox properties and stable free-radical concentrations in OM (Struyk and Sposito, 2001; Chen et al., 2003a).

Faster Fe(III) mineral reduction rates in the presence of more aromatic functional groups (including quinones) was demonstrated previously in experiments with increasing concentrations of AQDS or HA (Jiang and Kappler, 2008; Wolf et al., 2009; Glasser et al., 2017). It was suggested that the microbial turnover of substrate (lactate as electron source) is limited

75   by the availability of the electron acceptor, i.e. either by the Fe(III) in the absence of shuttles or by the OM when OM serves as electron shuttling compound (Jiang and Kappler, 2008; Poggenburg et al., 2018). Thus, with the same concentration of OM electron shuttle, the OM with more redox-active functional groups can accept more electrons per time from the microorganisms, therefore resulting in higher Fe(III) reduction rates. Additionally, when more quinone or other redox-active functional groups are present per shuttle molecule, the distance between redox-active functional groups is smaller, therefore,

80   electron transfer within the shuttle molecule and between the shuttle molecules can occur faster, thus further increasing the electron transfer rate from the microbial cells to the shuttle molecules and further to the Fe(III) minerals (Boyd et al., 2015;Glasser et al., 2017). The different types and proportions of functional groups in the different OM extracts may also influence their adsorption onto the ferrihydrite surface, and therefore also impact the rates of microbial ferrihydrite reduction amended with different OM. However, due to the high concentration of lactate and HEPES buffer in our experiment, we

85   could not quantify the amount of adsorbed OM vs. dissolved OM. It has to be noted, however, that in our extracted OM (Fig. 2) different amounts of redox-active Fe ions were present and that the redox-active OM-bound Fe can potentially also influence the rates of Fe(III) mineral reduction. The OM-bound Fe(III) can also be reduced by the Fe(III)-reducing bacteria or by reduced organic functional groups in the OM to Fe(II), which can then transfer electrons further to the ferrihydrite. The OM-bound Fe is subsequently reoxidized to Fe(III), and therefore contributes to electron shuttling between Fe(III)-reducing

90   bacteria and ferrihydrite.

In addition to the differences in the reduction rates, we also observed that the extent of ferrihydrite reduction was influenced by the presence of different OM. Specifically, amendments with HA lead to lower extents of Fe(III) reduction than FA amendments. This difference could be caused by the higher content of aromatic functional groups in HA than in FA and the resulting differences in sorption properties. OM with higher aromaticity and larger molecular weight was shown to have a

95   higher adsorption affinity to ferrihydrite (Lv et al., 2016; Coward et al., 2019). Since our HA extracted under all conditions were more aromatic than the FA, the HA were probably preferentially adsorbed to ferrihydrite. On the one hand, the sorbed HA can block surface sites on the minerals and restrict the accessibility for bacteria. On the other hand, HA adsorption changes the net surface charge of ferrihydrite from positive to (partially) negative and thus leads to repulsion of negatively charged cells (Aeschbacher et al., 2012).

00

5 Conclusions

In summary, our results clearly show that the extraction method determines the concentration of redox-active (aromatic) functional groups and the EEC of the soil extracts and the EEC is a key factor for the electron shuttling capacity of soil extracts in microbial Fe(III) mineral reduction. Therefore, it has to be carefully decided which SOM extraction method to apply and which SOM fraction to use in biogeochemical experiments to obtain soil extracts that can represent natural SOM. Representative SOM is necessary to obtain meaningful results that will prevent overestimating the reactivity of SOM in redox processes in the environment. Based on our experimental results we suggest that firstly, the NaOH extraction method should be avoided in general because it alters the chemical and redox properties of SOM. Additionally, soil pH values typically range from 3.5-8.5 (Sparks, 2003), therefore the organic matter that is soluble only at pH>12 will not be dissolved under in-situ soil conditions and might react differently in biogeochemical processes compared to solid-phase soil OM (Roden et al., 2010; Kappler et al., 2014). Secondly, when extracting SOM with water at neutral pH, the redox milieu (oxic or anoxic) during extraction needs to be carefully controlled. When targeting oxic environmental systems with the goal of obtaining relevant OM matter that participates in biogeochemical processes under such redox conditions, short extraction times (<24 h), small batches, aeration, and thorough stirring is recommended for the OM extraction. Thus, anoxic conditions during the OM extraction should be avoided that would lead to reductive dissolution of iron minerals with concomitant mobilization of OM that would not be available under oxic conditions (in the absence of microbial Fe(III) reduction). However, in case the target environmental systems undergo redox fluctuations or even permanent reducing conditions, yielding anoxic conditions with microbial Fe(III) mineral reduction during the OM extraction is appropriate.

Data availability. Raw data of all results presented in this study are available. DOI: 10.1594/PANGAEA.904416.

Supplement. Supporting information includes the statistical analysis of the specific UV absorbance at 254 nm (SUVA$_{254}$) data, the ^{13}C-NMR analysis, the Fe(II) and Fe(III) content and their contribution to the electron exchange capacity (EEC) of the OM extracts, the statistical analysis of the EEC data, the fluorescence excitation-emission (EEM), the picture showing the sorption and precipitation of Fe(II) on the wall of glass bottles of the microbial Fe(III) reduction experiments containing AQDS, the results of the abiotic reduction of Fe(III) minerals with the addition of OM extracts, and the calculation of the rates of microbial Fe(III) reduction amended with different OM extracts. The supporting information is available online at XXX.

Author contribution. YB, AK, SH designed the experiment, YB conducted the experiments and analyzed the data of OM extraction, fluorescence spectra and microbial Fe(III) reduction together with AK. ES performed and analyzed data of the electron chemical analysis and helped with the fluorescence spectra. HK conducted the NMR analysis and processed the data. YB and AK prepared the paper, with great help from SH.

Acknowledgement. We acknowledge Prof. Scholten (Univ. Tuebingen) for his help with soil sampling. We thank Ellen Röhm, Lars Grimm, Franziska Schaedler for technical support, Alison Enright, Zhe Zhou and Zhen Yang for their help on improving the manuscript.

Financial support. This study was supported by a grant from the German Research Foundation (DFG) to AK (KA1736/37-1)

35

References

40   Abelmann, K., Kleineidam, S., Knicker, H., Grathwohl, P., and Koegel-Knabner, I.: Sorption of HOC in soils with carbonaceous contamination: Influence of organic-matter composition, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 168, 293-306, https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200421622, 2005.

Achard, F. K.: Chemische Untersuchung des Torfs, Chem. Ann. Freunde Naturlehre, Arzneigel. Hausalt. Manufact, 2, 391-403, 1786.

45   Aeschbacher, M., Sander, M., and Schwarzenbach, R. P.: Novel electrochemical approach to assess the redox properties of humic substances, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 87-93, https://doi.org/10.1021/es902627p, 2010.

Aeschbacher, M., Graf, C., Schwarzenbach, R. P., and Sander, M.: Antioxidant properties of humic substances, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 4916-4925, https://doi.org/10.1021/es300039h, 2012.

Aiken, G. R.: Humic substances in soil, sediment, and water : geochemistry, isolation, and characterization, Wiley, New

50   York, 1985.

Amstaetter, K., Borch, T., and Kappler, A.: Influence of humic acid imposed changes of ferrihydrite aggregation on microbial Fe(III) reduction, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 85, 326-341, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.02.003, 2012.

Avneri-Katz, S., Young, R. B., McKenna, A. M., Chen, H., Corilo, Y. E., Polubesova, T., Borch, T., and Chefetz, B.: Adsorptive fractionation of dissolved organic matter (DOM) by mineral soil: Macroscale approach and molecular insight,

55   Org. Geochem., 103, 113-124, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2016.11.004, 2017.

Bauer, I., and Kappler, A.: Rates and extent of reduction of Fe(III) compounds and O_2 by humic substances, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 4902-4908, https://doi.org/10.1021/es900179s, 2009.

Borch, T., Masue, Y., Kukkadapu, R. K., and Fendorf, S.: Phosphate imposed limitations on biological reduction and alteration of ferrihydrite, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 166-172, https://doi.org/10.1021/es060695p, 2007.

60   Boyd, D. A., Snider, R. M., Erickson, J. S., Roy, J. N., Strycharz-Glaven, S. M., and Tender, L. M.: Theory of redox conduction and the measurement of electron transport rates through electrochemically active biofilms, edited by: Beyenal, H. and Babauta, J., Wiley, New Jersey, 177-210, https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119097426.ch6, 2015.

Burdige, D. J., Alperin, M. J., Homstead, J., and Martens, C. S.:The role of Benthic fluxes of dissolved organic-carbon in oceanic and sedimentray carbon cycling, Goephys. Res., 19, 1851-1854, http://doi.org/10.1029/92GL02159, 1992.

65   Burdige, D. J., Berelson, W. M., Coale, K. H., McManus, J., and Johnson, K. S.: Fluxes of dissolved organic carbon from California continental margin sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 63, 1507-1515, http://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00066-6, 1999.

Chen, J., Gu, B. H., Royer, R. A., and Burgos, W. D.: The roles of natural organic matter in chemical and microbial reduction of ferric iron, Sci. Total Environ., 307, 167-178, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00538-7, 2003a.

70   Chen, J., LeBoef, E. J., Dai, S., and Gu, B. H.: Fluorescence spectroscopic studies of natural organic matter fractions, Chemosphere, 50, 639-647, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00616-1, 2003b.

Chin, Y. P., Traina, S. J., Swank, C. R., and Backhus, D.: Abundance and properties of dissolved organic matter in pore waters of a freshwater wetland, Limno. Oceanogr., 43, 1287-1296, http://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.6.1287, 2003.

Coward, E. K., Ohno, T., and Sparks, D. L.: Direct evidence for temporal molecular fractionation of dissolved organic matter

75   at the iron oxyhydroxide interface, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 642-650, https://doi.org/acs.est.8b04678, 2019.

Dadi, T., Harir, M., Hertkorn, N., Koschorreck, M., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., and Herzsprung, P.: Redox conditions affect dissolved organic carbon quality in stratified freshwaters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 13705-13713, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04194, 2017.

Engebretson, R. R., and Von Wandruszka, R.: Effects of humic acid purification on interactions with hydrophobic organic

80   matter: Evidence from fluorescence behavior, Environ. Sci. Technol. 33, 4299-4303, https://doi.org/10.1021/es990386h, 1999.

Fischlin, A. M., Price, J., Leemans, R., Gopal, B., Turley, C., Rounsevell, M. D. A., Dube, P., Tarazona, J., and Velichko, A. A.: Ecosystems, their properties, goods and services, in: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II on the Fourth Assessment Respot of the intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,

85   edited by: Parry, M. L., Canziani, O. F., Palutikof, J. P., van der Linden, P. J., and Hanson, C. E., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and Newyork, USA, 211-272, 2007.

Fox, P. M., Nico, P. S., Tfaily, M. M., Heckman, K., and Davis, J. A.: Characterization of natural organic matter in low-carbon sediments: Extraction and analytical approaches, Org. Geochem., 114, 12-22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.08.009, 2017.

90   Gieseking, J. E.: Soil components. Volume 1, organic components, Springer, Heidelberg, West Germany, 1975.

Glasser, N. R., Saunders, S. H., and Newman, D. K.: The colorful world of extracellular electron shuttles, Annu. Rev. Microbiol.71, 731-751, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093913, 2017.

Golchin, A., Oades, J. M., Skjemstad, J. O., and Clarke, P.: Soil structure and carbon cycling, Aust. J. Soil. Res, 32., 1043-1068, https://doi.org/10.1071/Sr9941043, 1994.

95   Gu, B. H., Schmitt, J., Chen, Z. H., Liang, L. Y., and McCarthy, J. F.: Adsorption and desorption of natural organic-matter on iron-oxide - Mechanisms and models, Environ. Sci. Technol., 28, 38-46, https://doi.org/10.1021/es00050a007, 1994.

Hansel, C. M., Benner, S. G., Neiss, J., Dohnalkova, A., Kukkadapu, R. K., and Fendorf, S.: Secondary mineralization pathways induced by dissimilatory iron reduction of ferrihydrite under advective flow, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 67, 2977-2992, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(03)00276-X, 2003.

00   Hegler, F., Posth, N. R., Jiang, J., and Kappler, A.: Physiology of phototrophic iron(II)-oxidizing bacteria: implications for modern and ancient environments, Fems. Microbiol. Ecol., 66, 250-260, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00592.x, 2008.

IHSS: Isolation of IHSS samples, http://humic-substances.org/isolation-of-ihss-samples/, last access: 29th, July, 2019.

Jiang, J., and Kappler, A.: Kinetics of microbial and chemical reduction of humic substances: Implications for electron
05   shuttling, Environ.Sci. Technol., 42, 3563-3569, https://doi.org/10.1021/es7023803, 2008.

Kappler, A., and Brune, A.: Influence of gut alkalinity and oxygen status on mobilization and size-class distribution of humic acids in the hindgut of soil-feeding termites, Appl. Soil. Ecol., 13, 219-229, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(99)00035-9, 1999.

Kappler, A., and Haderlein, S. B.: Natural organic matter as reductant for chlorinated aliphatic pollutants, Environ. Sci.
10   Technol., 37, 2714-2719, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0201808, 2003.

Kappler, A., Benz, M., Schink, B., and Brune, A.: Electron shuttling via humic acids in microbial iron(III) reduction in a freshwater sediment, Fems. Microbiol. Ecol., 47, 85-92, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00245-9, 2004.

Kappler, A., Wuestner, M. L., Ruecker, A., Harter, J., Halama, M., and Behrens, S.: Biochar as an electron shuttle between bacteria and Fe(III) minerals, Environ. Sci. Tech. Let., 1, 339-344, https://doi.org/10.1021/ez5002209, 2014.

15   Kleber, M., Eusterhues, K., Keiluweit, M., Mikutta, C., Mikutta, R., and Nico, P. S.: Mineral-organic associations: Formation, properties, and relevance in soil environments, Adv. Agron., 130, 1-140, https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2014.10.005, 2015.

Kleber, M., and Lehmann, J.: Humic substances extracted by alkali are invalid proxies for the dynamics and functions of organic matter in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, J. Environ.Qual., 48, 207, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.01.0036,
20   2019.

Klupfel, L., Piepenbrock, A., Kappler, A., and Sander, M.: Humic substances as fully regenerable electron acceptors in recurrently anoxic environments, Nat. Geosci., 7, 195-200, https://doi.org/10.1038/Ngeo2084, 2014.

Korshin, G. V., Li, C. W., and Benjamin, M. M.: Monitoring the properties of natural organic matter through UV spectroscopy: A consistent theory, Water Res., 31, 1787-1795, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00006-7, 1997.

25   Kothawala, D. N., Roehm, C., Blodau, C., and Moore, T. R.: Selective adsorption of dissolved organic matter to mineral soils, Geoderma, 189, 334-342, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.07.001, 2012.

Lal, R.: Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security, Science, 304, 1623-1627, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097396, 2004.

Lehmann, J., and Kleber, M.: The contentious nature of soil organic matter, Nature, 528, 60-68,
30   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16069, 2015.

Li, Y., Harir, M., Lucio, M., Kanawati, B., Smirnov, K., Flerus, R., Koch, B. P., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., and Hertkorn, N.: Proposed guidelines for solid phase extraction of suwannee river dissolved organic matter, Anal. Chem., 88, 6680-6688, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04501, 2016.

35   Lies, D. P., Hernandez, M. E., Kappler, A., Mielke, R. E., Gralnick, J. A., and Newman, D. K.: *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 uses overlapping pathways for iron reduction at a distance and by direct contact under conditions relevant for biofilms, Appl. Environ. Microb., 71, 4414-4426, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.8.4414-4426.2005, 2005.

Lovley, D. R., Coates, J. D., BluntHarris, E. L., Phillips, E. J. P., and Woodward, J. C.: Humic substances as electron acceptors for microbial respiration, Nature, 382, 445-448, 1996.

Lovley, D. R., Fraga, J. L., Blunt-Harris, E. L., Hayes, L. A., Phillips, E. J. P., and Coates, J. D.: Humic substances as a

40   mediator for microbially catalyzed metal reduction, Acta. Hydroch. Hydrob., 26, 152-157, https://doi.org/10.1002/(Sici)1521-401x(199805)26:3<152::Aid-Aheh152>3.0.Co;2-D, 1998.

Lovley, D. R., and Blunt-Harris, E. L.: Role of humic-bound iron as an electron transfer agent in dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction, Appl. Environ. Microb., 65, 4252-4254, 1999.

Lv, J. T., Zhang, S. Z., Wang, S. S., Luo, L., Cao, D., and Christie, P.: Molecular-scale investigation with ESI-FT-ICR-MS

45   on fractionation of dissolved organic matter induced by adsorption on iron oxyhydroxides, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 2328-2336, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04996, 2016.

Marin-Spiotta, E., Gruley, K. E., Crawford, J., Atkinson, E. E., Miesel, J. R., Greene, S., Cardona-Correa, C., and Spencer, R. G. M.: Paradigm shifts in soil organic matter research affect interpretations of aquatic carbon cycling: transcending disciplinary and ecosystem boundaries, Biogeochemistry, 117, 279-297, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9949-7, 2014.

50   Murphy, B. W.: Soil organic matter and soil function - review of the literature and underlying data : effects of soil organic matter on functional soil properties, Department of Environment, Canberra, Austrilia, 155 pp., 2014.

Olk, C., Dancel, M. C., Moscoso, E., Jimenez, R. R., and Dayrit, F. M.: Accumulation of lignin residues in organic matter fractions of lowland rice soils: A pyrolysis-GC-MS study, Soil Sci., 167, 590-606, https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-200209000-00004, 2002.

55   Olk, D. C., Bloom, P. R., Perdue, E. M., McKnight, D. M., Chen, Y., Farenhorst, A., Senesi, N., Chin, Y. P., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Hertkorn, N., and Harir, M.: Environmental and agricultural relevance of humic fractions extracted by alkali from soils and natural Waters, J. Environ. Qual., 48, 217, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.02.0041, 2019.

Piccolo, A.: Characteristics of soil humic extracts obtained by some organic and inorganic solvents and purified by HCl-HF treatment, Soil Sci., 146, 418-426, https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-198812000-00003, 1988.

60   Piepenbrock, A., Dippon, U., Porsch, K., Appel, E., and Kappler, A.: Dependence of microbial magnetite formation on humic substance and ferrihydrite concentrations, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 75, 6844-6858, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.09.007, 2011.

Piepenbrock, A., Schroder, C., and Kappler, A.: Electron transfer from humic substances to biogenic and abiogenic Fe(III) oxyhydroxide minerals, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 1656-1664, https://doi.org/10.1021/es404497h, 2014.

65   Poggenburg, C., Mikutta, R., Schippers, A., Dohrmann, R., and Guggenberger, G.: Impact of natural organic matter coatings on the microbial reduction of iron oxides, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 224, 223-248, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.01.004, 2018.

Riedel, T., Zak, D., Biester, H., and Dittmar, T.: Iron traps terrestrially derived dissolved organic matter at redox interfaces, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 10101-10105, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1221487110, 2013.

70   Ritchie, J. D., and Perdue, E. M.: Analytical constraints on acidic functional groups in humic substances, Org. Geochem., 39, 783-799, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.03.003, 2008.

Roden, E. E., Kappler, A., Bauer, I., Jiang, J., Paul, A., Stoesser, R., Konishi, H., and Xu, H. F.: Extracellular electron transfer through microbial reduction of solid-phase humic substances, Nat. Geosci., 3, 417-421, https://doi.org/10.1038/Ngeo870, 2010.

75   Scott, D. T., McKnight, D. M., Blunt-Harris, E. L., Kolesar, S. E., and Lovley, D. R.: Quinone moieties act as electron acceptors in the reduction of humic substances by humics-reducing microorganisms, Environ. Sci. Technol., 32, 2984-2989, https://doi.org/10.1021/es980272q, 1998.

Shimizu, M., Zhou, J., Schröder, C., Obst, M., Kappler, A., and Borch, T.: Dissimilatory reduction and transformation of ferrihydrite-humic acid coprecipitates, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 13375-13384, https://doi.org/10.1021/es402812j, 2013a.

80   Sparks, D. L.: Environmental soil chemistry, Academic Press, Amsterdam; Boston, 2003.

Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozine - A new spectrophotometric reagent for iron, Anal. Chem., 42, 779-781, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60289a016, 1970.

Struyk, Z., and Sposito, G.: Redox properties of standard humic acids, Geoderma, 102, 329-346, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(01)00040-4, 2001.

85   Swift, R. S., and Posner, A. M.: Autoxidation of humic acid under alkaline conditions, J. Soil Sci., 23, 381-393, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1972.tb01669.x, 1972.

van Bemmelen, J. M.: Die Absorptionsverbindungen und das Absorptionsvermögen der Ackererde, Landwirtschaftlichen Versychs-Stationen, 35, 2228-2233, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01381567, 1888.

Weishaar, J. L., Aiken, G. R., Bergamaschi, B. A., Fram, M. S., Fujii, R., and Mopper, K.: Evaluation of specific ultraviolet
90   absorbance as an indicator of the chemical composition and reactivity of dissolved organic carbon, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37, 4702-4708, http://doi.org/10/1021/es030360x, 2003.

Wolf, M., Kappler, A., Jiang, J., and Meckenstock, R. U.: Effects of humic substances and quinones at low concentrations on ferrihydrite reduction by Geobacter metallireducens, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 5679-5685, https://doi.org/10.1021/es803647r, 2009.

95   Schad, P., van Huysteen, C., and Micheli, E. (Eds.) : World reference base for soil rescouces 2014- International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps, FAO, Rome, Italy.

Table 1. Total dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration of the water-extractable OM and FA isolated from soil, total organic carbon in all extracted OM, HA and FA fractions, as well as the calculated percentage of carbon extracted from the soil. DOC of water-extractable OM and FA isolated from soil fractions was quantified directly from their extracted solutions, whereas the FA isolated from water-extractable OM and all of the HA extracts were first freeze-dried and then re-dissolved in Milli-Q water at pH 7 for analysis. The difference between total carbon (mg) of water-extractable SOM and the sum of total carbon (mg) of FA and HA isolated from the water-extractable OM (under oxic and anoxic conditions) is due to the loss of material during the isolation of FA and HA. Values are means±standard deviation (SD) of triplicates. An unpaired two-sided T-test was done to analyze the statistical significance of each pair of samples that was treated with the same extraction method but under different conditions (i.e., FA isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic, was compared to FA isolated from water-extractable OM, anoxic)

	Water-extractable OM		FA				[a]HA			
	Water-extracted, oxic	Water-extracted, anoxic**	[a]Isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic	[a]Isolated from water-extractable OM, anoxic**	Isolated from soil, oxic	Isolated from soil, anoxic**	Isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic	Isolated from water-extractable OM, anoxic**	Isolated from soil, oxic	Isolated from soil, anoxic**
DOC concentration in extract (mg C L^{-1})	0.149± 0.036	0.890± 0.041	–	–	5.800± 0.025	6.320± 0.071	–	–	–	–
[b]Total organic carbon in extract (g)	0.036± 0.012	0.234± 0.015	0.021± 0.002	0.146± 0.013	1.451± 0.008	1.770± 0.028	0.014± 0.003	0.079± 0.000	1.450± 0.002	1.881± 0.029
[c]Percentage of carbon extracted from soil (%)	0.41±0.14	2.74±0.18	0.24± 0.02	1.69± 0.15	17.0± 0.09	20.7± 0.32	0.15± 0.03	0.90± 0.00	17.0± 0.02	22.0± 0.34
[d]SUVA$_{254}$ (mg^{-1} C cm^{-1})	0.018	0.027	0.017	0.029	0.023	0.042	0.068	0.207	0.083	0.265

[a]TOC of all HA extracts and of the FA isolated from the water-extractable OM was directly quantified from the freeze-dried powders. The carbon content of all other liquid samples was determined as DOC, measured directly from the solutions after passing through 0.45 μm syringe filter

[b]Total organic carbon content in the extract was directly quantified form the freeze-dried samples of FA isolated from the water-extractable OM (oxic, anoxic) and of the HA extracts". For the other extract solutions, the total organic carbon was calculated by DOC (mg C L-1) × volume of the extracted solution (L).

[c]Percentage of carbon extracted from soil = Total carbon extracted (mg g^{-1})/soil carbon content (8.54 mg g^{-1})

[d]Specific UV absorbance 254 nm (SUVA $_{254}$) = UV254 × DOC (mg C L^{-1}), b is the optical path length in centimeter (1 cm in this experiment)

**The percentage of carbon extracted from soil of the samples are significantly different (n=2, two-sided t-test, P < 0.05)

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of soil organic matter (SOM) extraction process. Forest soil samples (Schönbuch forest, Baden-Wuerttemberg, Germany) were dried under 30˚C and ground to pass 2 mm sieve. To isolate FA and HA from soil, 100 g soil was incubated with 400 mL 0.1 M NaOH (pH 12) for 4 h, after centrifugation, the supernatant was acidified by HCl to pH<2, HA was then precipitated out to be separated from FA, we define these two extracts as HA (isolated from soil) and FA (isolated from soil). For water extraction, 100 g soil was incubated with 400 mL ultrapure water (<18.2 MΩ.cm; Milli-Q, Millipore) at pH 7. pH was monitored during the extraction and it remained stable (range between 7.02-7.33). The slurry was centrifuged after 24 h; we define the supernatant as water-extractable OM. A 200 mL aliquot of the supernatant containing the water-extractable OM was amended with 1 M NaOH until pH 12. After 4 h of incubation, the pH of the solution was adjusted to <2 by 6 M HCl to precipitate HA and to separate FA, and these two fractions are HA (isolated from water-extractable OM) and HA (isolated from water-extractable OM). All of the extractions were conducted under both oxic and anoxic conditions.

Water-extractable OM		FA		HA			
Water-extracted, oxic	Water-extracted, anoxic	Isolated from soil, oxic	Isolated from soil, anoxic	Isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic	Isolated from water-extractable OM, anoxic	Isolated from soil, oxic	Isolated from soil, anoxic
Aromaticity (%) 20	23	15	27	23	30	20	24

Aromaticity (%)= [Aromatic C peak area (110-160 ppm)]×100/[Total peak area (0-160 ppm)]

Figure 2. ¹³C-NMR spectra of water-extractable OM, FA and HA. All spectra were collected from freeze-dried extracts and the aromaticity of samples was calculated as the percentage of aromatic C peak area to the total peak area. The relative intensity distributions of specific chemical shifts are shown in the supporting information, Tab. S2. Spectra for FA isolated from water-extractable OM cannot be presented due to the lack of an adequate amount of sample for analysis.

	EEC (mmol e⁻ mmol C⁻¹)	*Carbon content (mmol)	Total number of electrons that can be exchanged (mmol e⁻)
FA (Isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic)	13	3	39
HA (Isolated from water-extractable OM, oxic)	15	19	285
SUM			324
Water-extractable OM, oxic	32	2	64
FA (Isolated from water-extractable OM, anoxic)	24	12	288
HA (Isolated from water-extractable OM, anoxic)	83	6	498
SUM			786
Water-extractable OM, anoxic	44	19	836

*carbon content was calculated from the total organic carbon content in extracts in Tab. 1

Figure 3. Electron exchange capacity (EEC), the sum of EAC (electron accepting capacity) and EDC (electron donating capacity) of water-extractable OM(a), FA (b) and HA (c) extracted from Schönbuch forest soil under oxic or anoxic conditions. Areas with white background represent FA and HA isolated from water-extractable OM, whereas orange and purple shaded areas represent FA and HA isolated from soil, respectively. Hashed areas represent the EAC or EDC contribution stemming from redox-active Fe(III) and Fe(II) ions in the samples. The integrated current response was normalized to the DOC of all extracts. Despite the contribution of Fe to the redox activity, we decided to normalize the EAC/EDC values to C content first because in most cases the Fe contribution was small and second because normalizing the EAC/EDC values to total weight of material would be misleading since the fraction of inorganic, non-redox active constituents (e.g. Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+} ions from salts present in the soil) varies significantly between the different extracts (Tab. S5). Error bars indicate the standard deviations of at least 4 replicates. 2-way ANOVA statistical analysis was conducted and the result suggested the measured EEC values of the extracts were significantly different from each other ($P<0.005$, Tab. S4). The table underneath the figure shows the recovery of total number of electrons that can be exchanged (that means transferred from Fe(III)-reducing bacteria to the OM, or from the OM to Fe(III) minerals) by water-extractable OM before and after the chemical isolation of HA and FA.

Figure 4. Microbial reduction of ferrihydrite (15 mmol L^{-1}) by *S. oneidensis* MR-1 (10^7 cells mL^{-1}) in the presence of 15 mmol L^{-1} lactate as electron donor and 50 mg C L^{-1} FA (b, c), water-extractable OM (d), and HA (e, f) compared to 100 μmol L^{-1} AQDS (a) presented as formation of total Fe(II) over time. The inserts in panels a-f show the data points for the first 2 days of incubation. All experiments were incubated in air-tight 100 mL glass serum bottles flushed with N$_2$ at 30°C in the dark. Control samples were incubated at the same condition in the absence of electron shuttles (ferrihydrite, lactate, and cells only). Error bars represent standard deviations of triplicate bottles.

Figure 5. Correlation of the electron exchange capacity (EEC) (bottom) and electron accepting capacity (EAC) (top) with the fastest microbial ferrihydrite reduction rates (*Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1) in the presence of oxically and anoxically prepared SOM (a), FA (b) and HA (c). EEC and EAC values are re-plotted from Fig. 2. Please note that the EEC and EAC values were determined by the electrochemical method described in the methods section and represent the contribution of both redox-active organic functional groups such as quinones and the redox-active Fe ions in the SOM, FA and HA extracts. Horizontal error bars represent standard deviations of the measured EEC values; vertical error bars are standard deviations of the reduction rates calculated as shown in Fig. S4.