- 1 Salinity-depending algae uptake and subsequent carbon and nitrogen
- 2 metabolisms of two intertidal foraminifera (Ammonia tepida and
- 3 Haynesina germanica)
- 4
- 5 Michael Lintner¹, Bianca Biedrawa¹, Julia Wukovits¹, Wolfgang Wanek² and Petra
- 6 Heinz¹
- 7 ¹University of Vienna, Department of Palaeontology, Vienna, Austria
- 8 ²University of Vienna, Department of Microbiology and Ecosystem Science, Terrestrial
- 9 Ecosystem Research, Vienna, Austria
- 10

11 Abstract

12 Benthic foraminifera are abundant marine protists which play an important role in the transfer 13 of energy in the form of organic matter and nutrients to higher trophic levels. Due to their 14 aquatic lifestyle, factors such as water temperature, salinity and pH are key drivers controlling 15 biomass turnover through foraminifera. In this study the influence of salinity on the feeding 16 activity of foraminifera was tested. Two species, Ammonia tepida and Haynesina germanica, 17 were collected from a mudflat in northern Germany (Friedrichskoog) and cultured in the 18 laboratory at 20 °C and a light / dark cycle of 16: 8 h. A lyophilized algal powder from 19 Dunaliella tertiolecta, which was isotopically enriched with ¹³C and ¹⁵N, was used as a food 20 source. The feeding experiments were carried out at salinity levels of 11, 24 and 37 practical 21 salinity units (PSU) and were terminated after 1, 5 and 14 days. The quantification of isotope 22 incorporation was carried out by isotope ratio mass spectrometry. Ammonia tepida exhibited a 23 10-fold higher food uptake compared to H. germanica. Furthermore, in A. tepida the food 24 uptake increased with increasing salinity but not in H. germanica. Over time (from 1-5 d to 14 25 d) food C retention increased relative to food N in A. tepida while the opposite was observed 26 for H. germanica. This shows, that if the salinity in the German Wadden Sea increases, A. 27 *tepida* is predicted to exhibit a higher C and N uptake and turnover than *H. germanica*, with 28 accompanying changes in C and N cycling through the foraminiferal community. The results 29 of this study show how complex and differently food C and N processing of foraminiferal 30 species respond to time and to environmental conditions such as salinity. 31 keywords: benthic foraminifera, feeding experiments, salinity, isotope tracing

- 32
- 33

34 **1. Introduction**

35 The intertidal zone is one of the most extreme habitats on earth. This ecotone, also known as 36 the foreshore or seashore, is determined by tidal activity. It is an important habitat for various 37 living organisms like starfishes, sea urchins, corals and foraminifera (Allen 2000). Due to the 38 alternating presence/absence of water, organisms living here must adapt to the specific 39 environmental conditions. Important factors shaping the intertidal environment are the 40 fluctuating water temperature and salinity, pH, available food sources, sediment organic matter 41 content and fresh water supply. These environmental factors significantly influence the activity

42 of foraminifera (e.g. Schafer et al. 1996, Caldeira and Wickett 2005, Keul et al. 2013, Wukovits

43 et al. 2017).

44 Foraminifera are unicellular organisms, which live predominantly in marine environments. A 45 recent field study showed that benthic foraminifera can account for up to 84% of total protozoan 46 biomass in mudflats (Lei et al. 2014). Many foraminifera feed on phytoplankton (algae, 47 diatoms) and thus play an important role in passing on energy in form of organic matter to 48 higher trophic levels (Azam et al. 1983, Beringer et al. 1991). Due to the large quantity of 49 for a minifera in the deep and shallow ocean waters and their large contribution to the uptake of 50 primary produced organic material, foraminifera significantly contribute to the global marine 51 carbon and nitrogen cycles (Altenbach 1992, Graf 1992, Gooday et al. 1992, Nomaki et al. 2008, 52 Glock et al. 2013).

53 Foraminifera can even change between active feeding and passive ingestion diets 54 depending on how much food is available (Sliter 1965). Some foraminifera can retain organelles 55 (chloroplasts) from certain food sources and integrate them into their own metabolic cycle. This 56 process is commonly referred to as kleptoplastidy. Currently nine benthic foraminiferal genera 57 are known to follow this lifestyle: Bulimina, Elphidium, Haynesina, Nonion, Nonionella, 58 Nonionellina, Reophax, Stainforthia und Virgulinella (Lopez 1979, Lee et al. 1988, Cedhagen 59 1991, Bernhard & Bowser 1999, Correia & Lee 2000, Grzymski et al. 2002, Goldstein et al 60 2004, Pillet et al. 2011, Lechliter 2014, Tsuchiya et al. 2015). In the temperate Wadden Sea, 61 being a part of the North Sea, two foraminifera species occur most frequently, Ammonia tepida 62 and *Haynesina germanica*, and have been relatively well studied in terms of trophic ecology. 63 While Ammonia does not seem to be able for kleptoplastidy (Jauffrais et al. 2016), H. germanica 64 possesses chloroplasts which are absorbed from food (microalgae) and are retained as organelles 65 (Lopez 1979). Cesborn et al. (2017) demonstrated that the plastids in H. germanica are 66 photosynthetically active, based on changes in O₂ consumption rates during dark-light 67 transitions. Haynesina germanica therefore follows a mixotrophic lifestyle, with autotrophic 68 and heterotrophic nutrition (Cesborn et al. 2017). While Ammonia can rapidly ingest organic 69 carbon (Moodley et al. 2000) and A. tepida has a higher potential to convert algal organic matter 70 into cellular biomass in a short time frame compared to *H. germanica* (Wukovits et al. 2018), 71 the latter species (H. germanica) can eventually reduce its dependency on external food due to 72 the presence of kleptoplasts.

73 The uptake of food by foraminifera depends on several factors such as food quality and 74 quantity, temperature and salinity (Lee et al. 1966, Dissard et al. 2009, Wukovits et al. 2017). 75 Past experiments with A. tepida and H. germanica showed that increasing temperature had a 76 negative effect on food uptake of foraminifera (Wukovits et al. 2017). Highest food uptake rates 77 were recorded at 20 °C in comparison the other tested temperatures 25 and 30 °C. As the 78 temperature increased foraminifera of both species consumed less food (Wukovits et al. 2017). 79 Today not only increasing temperature but also salinity changes play an important role in the 80 oceans, mainly because of anthropogenic influence, however effects of salinity on food uptake 81 and digestion by foraminifera have not yet been studied. Based on the strong variability and 82 fluctuations in salinity levels in the intertidal systems we studied food uptake of A. tepida and

H. germanica at different salinity levels to provide a better understanding of the turnover of
phytoplankton by foraminifera with changing physical conditions (salinity).

85

86 2. Materials and Methods

87

88 <u>2.1. Sampling</u>

89 The sample material was collected in May 2018 during low tide at Friedrichskoog Spitze 90 (German Wadden Sea, at 54° 02' N, 8° 50' E). At that time the seawater had a salinity of 24.2 91 PSU and a temperature of 13 °C, and the air temperature was 11 °C. The collected sediment 92 was directly wet-sieved with seawater from the location at the site through a 125 and a 63 µm 93 sieve to remove larger meiofauna and smaller organic particles. In the laboratory, the sediments 94 (size class 63-125 µm) containing living benthic foraminifera were fed regularly with 95 Dunaliella tertiolecta (green algae) until the start of the experiment and were kept at a 96 temperature of 21 °C and a salinity of 24 PSU. 1 PSU (1 practical salinity unit) corresponds 97 approximately to 1 g salt per kg seawater.

98

99 <u>2.2. Preparation of ¹³C¹⁵N-labeled phytodetritus</u>

100 A f/2 medium (Guillard & Ryther 1962, Guillard 1975), enriched with ¹³C (1.5 mmol 101 $NaH^{13}CO_3/L$) and ^{15}N (0.44 mmol $Na^{15}NO_3/L$) was used as a nutrient solution for the cultivation 102 and production of isotopically labeled D. tertiolecta, a common food source in laboratory 103 experiments with benthic foraminifera (e.g. Heinz et al. 2002, Wukovits et al. 2017). It should 104 be noted that *H. germanica* prefers to eat diatoms (Austin et al. 2005), however significant 105 uptake of *D. tertiolecta* was also previously reported (Wukovits et al. 2017). The algal culture 106 was kept in an incubator at 20 °C with a light/dark cycle of 16:8 h. Once the algae had grown 107 to high density in the medium, they were collected by centrifugation at 800 xg for 10 minutes. 108 The algal pellet was washed three times with artificial seawater (Enge et al. 2011). After each 109 washing step the culture was centrifuged and the supernatant decanted. For the storage of the 110 labelled algae, the pellet was shock frozen in liquid nitrogen and then lyophilized for 4 days at 111 0.180 mbar. The labeled algal powder was isotopically enriched at about 3.3 at%¹³C and 32.3 112 at%¹⁵N (C:N ratio is about 5,58).

113

114 **3. Sample preparation and analysis**

115

116 <u>3.1. Sample preparation</u>

117 The experiment was run in triplicates. For each salinity level (11, 24 and 37 PSU) and each time 118 point of harvest (1, 5 and 14 days) three glass crystallization dishes were setup for A. tepida and 119 for H. germanica. The selected salinities correspond to a brackish milieu (11 PSU), to the 120 natural conditions in the North Sea (24 PSU) and to a highly saline basin (37 PSU). For A. 121 tepida 55 individuals and for H. germanica 60 individuals were prepared per replicate to obtain 122 a dry mass of cytoplasm between 1 and 2 mg. The crystallization dishes were filled with 280 ml 123 of filtered (pore size: 0.45 µm) natural seawater from the sampling site. The salinity was 124 previously adjusted to the desired PSU value by adding NaCl or distilled water. The

125 for aminifera were then placed in the dishes (without sediment) and acclimated at 20 $^\circ$ C and a

126 light/dark cycle of 16:8 h for three days in an incubator. The crystallization dishes were sealed

127 tightly with parafilm.

128 For our experiments, we only used for a minifera with densely filled cytoplasm. In addition, we 129 only used individuals with an intense yellowish color of the cytoplasm. The incubation time of 130 foraminifera in the crystallization dishes before feeding was used for the "crawling test". 131 Foraminifera were placed in the center of the crystallization dish immediately after removal 132 from the cultures. After 24 hours individuals could be identified that have moved away from 133 the center. Accordingly, these individuals have active pseudopodia and are alive. In all 134 experiments it was very rare (below 4%), that single individuals did not show colored cytoplasm 135 and they were therefore counted as "survive". Completely empty tests, which would clearly 136 stand for dead individuals, were not found.

In order not to disturb the experiments, no water change was carried out. O₂ and pH were also
not measured, since we did not expect a significant change due to the small amount of added
food.

Following the acclimation period, 5 mg lyophilized labelled algal powder was added as the only food source to each replicate and left in the incubator for the desired incubation time. The algae powder settled down on the bottom of the dish and was available for foraminifera. In addition, untreated (not fed) foraminifera were taken to obtain the natural abundance of ¹³C and ¹⁵N as a reference. At the end of the experiments a precipitate of the algal powder was still visible in the crystallization dishes, which confirms the continuous availability of food during the experiments. The salinity was checked daily and corrected when necessary.

147

148 <u>3.2. Sample preparation and processing</u>

149 Before the start of the experiments all glassware was cleaned by combusting at 500 °C for 5 h 150 in a muffle furnace. The "picking tools" and tin capsules were cleaned by rinsing with a 1:1 151 (v:v) mixture of dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) and methanol (CH₃OH). After the incubation period, 152 for aminifera were removed from the crystallization dishes, cleaned and washed three times with 153 distilled water. Then they were transferred into the tin capsules (Sn 99,9%, IVA 154 Analysentechnik GmbH & Co. KG) and excess water was removed. The samples were air dried 155 for three days (Enge et al. 2018) and then decarbonated with 4% HCl (3 x 5 µL for A. tepida 156 and $2 \times 5 \mu L$ for *H. germanica*). During the decarbonatization of foraminiferal tests, the samples 157 were kept at 60 °C for 24 h. Finally, the samples were dried for three days at 60 °C, before being 158 weighed to the nearest hundredth of a milligram.

159

160 <u>3.3. Analyses</u>

161 The measurements of C and N contents as well as the isotope ratios of the samples were carried 162 out in the Stable Isotope Laboratory for Environmental Research (SILVER) laboratory of the 163 University of Vienna. The ratios of ¹³C/¹²C and ¹⁵N/¹⁴N were measured by an isotope ratio mass 164 spectrometry (IRMS, Delta^{PLUS}, coupled by a ConFlo III interface to an elemental analyzer EA 110, Thermo Finnigan). In the following calculations, X stands for the heavy isotopes of C and 166 N, i.e. ¹³C and ¹⁵N, respectively. The atomic percentage of heavy isotopes (at%¹³C and at%¹⁵N)

167 was calculated using the measured $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values and the international standards for C 168 (Vienna PeeDee Belemnite $R_{VPDB} = 0.0112372$) and N isotopes (atmospheric nitrogen $R_{atmN} =$ 169 0.0036765) according to the following equations: 170 171 $\delta X = (R_{sample}/R_{standard} - 1) \times 1000$ (1)172 173 where R depicts the ratio of heavy isotope to light isotope i.e. ¹³C:¹²C or ¹⁵N:¹⁴N in samples and 174 international standards, respectively. 175 at. % = $\frac{100 \times R_{\text{standard}} \times (\frac{\delta X_{\text{sample}}}{1000} + 1)}{1 + R_{\text{standard}} \times (\frac{\delta X_{\text{sample}}}{1000} + 1)}.$ 176 (2) 177 178 Subsequently, the values needed to be corrected for the at%X present in the natural 179 environment, i.e. in unlabeled foraminifera. The so-called isotope excess (E) was calculated 180 according to Middelburg et al. (2000): 181 $E = \frac{\operatorname{atom} X_{\operatorname{sample}} - \operatorname{atom} X_{\operatorname{background}}}{100}$ 182 (3) 183 184 In the next step, the isotope incorporation was determined according to the following equation: 185 186 $I_{iso} [\mu g m g^{-1}] \text{ or } [\mu g ind^{-1}] = E \times C (N) [\mu g m g^{-1}] \text{ or } [\mu g ind^{-1}] (4)$ 187 188 Depending on the biomass units used, I_{iso} results in the unit $\mu g mg^{-1}$ (based on dry matter of the 189 cytoplasm) or µg ind⁻¹ (based on the number of individuals). 190 191 Finally, the uptake of phytodetrital C (pC) and phytodetrital N (pN) was calculated for the 192 cytoplasm of foraminifera: $pX = \frac{I_{\rm iso}}{\frac{{\rm at.}\,\%X_{\rm phyto}}{100}}$ 193 (5) 194 195 where at%_{phyto} represents the isotopic enrichment in ¹³C and ¹⁵N of the labelled *D. tertiolecta* 196 food. All results were additionally converted to time-based food uptake rates ($\mu g m g^{-1} h^{-1}$). 197 198 3.4. Statistics 199 Regression analysis was applied to statistically test for time effects on food uptake, and linear 200 and curvilinear models were tested. The best models were selected based on the highest 201 coefficient of determination (R^2). Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to test 202 for main effects of species, salinity and time, and two-way ANOVA for salinity and time effects

203 on pC and pN within species, followed by Fisher's LSD post hoc tests. All statistical tests were 204 performed using R (R development Core Team, 2008).

205

206 4. Results

207

208 4.1. Carbon uptake

209 The isotope measurements showed that the offered labeled food source was utilized by both, A. 210 tepida and H. germanica. Three-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of species (A. 211 tepida > H. germanica, p < 0.001), time (p < 0.001) and salinity (p < 0.001) on pC. Moreover, two-212 way ANOVA highlighted a significant effect of time (p < 0,001) and salinity (p < 0,001) on pC 213 in A. tepida, and of time (p < 0.001) but not salinity (p = 0.0739) on pC in H. germanica. Salinity 214 had a major impact on food uptake (pC) only in A. tepida.

215 As shown in Fig. 1A, A. tepida had the highest pC value at a salinity level of 37 PSU 216 for the most dates, followed by 24 PSU. At lowest salinity (11 PSU) pC further decreased. It 217 should be noted that from day 1 to day 5 the uptake of C at 24 PSU and 37 PSU decreased 218 considerably before it increased again towards day 14. This intermediate minimum was not 219 recognizable at 11 PSU. At 11 PSU pC increased linearly with time (f(d) = 0.05163*d +220 0.06530, R²=0.9985, based of mean values of pC).

221 Time kinetics were different for *H. germanica*. After one feeding day the measured pC 222 values did not differ between salinity levels and were lowest. Food C uptake peaked after five 223 days and thereafter declined. However, salinity did not affect pC in this species.

224







227 Figure 1: Time kinetics of algal C and N uptake (pC, pN) by (A, B) A. tepida and (C, D) H. germanica. pC and pN were 228 measured at three salinity levels: 11, 24 and 37 PSU.

229

230 In addition to pC values, C uptake rates for the 1 day sample were also determined. Ammonia 231 *tepida* showed highest uptake rates at 24 (0,029 μ g/(mg*h)) and 37 PSU (0,036 μ g/(mg*h))

232 after one day of food supply. For 11 PSU, C uptake rates were much lower (0,004 µg/(mg*h). 233 For H. germanica, C uptake rates at salinities of 11 (0,002 µg/(mg*h)), 24 (0,003 234 $\mu g/(mg^*h)$) and 37 (0,002 $\mu g/(mg^*h)$) PSU are in the same area.

235

236 <u>4.2. Nitrogen uptake</u>

Two-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of salinity (p < 0,001) and time (p < 0,001) on nitrogen uptake (pN) for *A. tepida*. For *H. germanica*, as with pC, pN was only affected by time (p=0,0027) but not by salinity (p=0,0690).

Nitrogen uptake of *A. tepida* showed a highly comparable pattern to C uptake (Figure 1). Minimum N uptake was always recorded at the lowest salinity level. However, the uptake of N after 5 days was approximately the same at 24 and 37 PSU, and reached here a minimum at both salinities. The development of pN at 11 PSU could be described by a straight line (f(d) = 0.02354*d + 0.02011) with a very high coefficient of determination (R² = 0.9978).

245Haynesina germanica exhibited lower values of pN compared to A. tepida (Figure 1D).246The highest N uptake after 5 and 14 days was at the moderate salinity level (24 PSU), though247this was not significant. Again, food N uptake increased linearly with time (f(d) = 0.00185*d + 0.03522, $R^2 = 0.9317$) at the lowest salinity level, but showed a saturating behavior at 24 and24937 PSU.

Food N uptake rates are also calculated. For *A. tepida* the N uptake rates is similar to the C uptake rates and C uptake rates were approximately twice as high as N uptake rates. For *H. germanica* the average N uptake rates were very close at all three salinity levels, suggesting similar N uptake rates independent of salinity in *H. germanica*.

254

255 <u>4.3. Relations between food C and N incorporation</u>

256

257 All data of C and N uptake obtained in this study were plotted as pC to pN relationships in

258 Figure 2.



- Fig. 2: Relationship between food N uptake (pN) and food C uptake (pC) for *A. tepida* (A) and *H. germanica* (B) for the time windows day 1 to 5, and day 14. Regressions were run separately for these time windows. The triangles correspond to the values at 11 PSU, the circles to those at 24 PSU, and the squares to the values at 37 PSU.
- 266

Ammonia tepida showed a continuous increase in pC with pN (Fig. 2). The 1-day and 5-day samples were all plotting on a straight line, with the lowest salinity samples having the lowest pC and pN values. At later stages (day 14) the slope and therefore the pC:pN ratios increased markedly (from 2.1 to 7.4). *Haynesina germanica* also showed a general increase in pC with pN. However, the slope between both of them decreased over time in contrast to *A. tepida*, indicating a decrease in pC:pN (from 4.5 at day 1 and 5 to 2.0 at day 14) and thereby an increased relative retention of food N compared to food C over time.

274

275 **5. Discussion**

276

277 <u>5.1. Influence of salinity on food uptake</u>

278 Both examined foraminifera species showed different responses to salinity variations in terms 279 of food uptake and food uptake rates. The time course of pC and pN in A tepida showed a 280 noticeably minimum after five days. This partial decrease in pC and pN was already reported in 281 experiments testing the effects of temperature on food uptake in the same species (Wukovits et 282 al. 2017). In the latter study food uptake was highest on day one and then decreased sharply (5 283 days) and remained nearly constant thereafter (14 days). These data suggest that A. tepida was 284 "starved" due to the 3-day acclimatization period and immediately responded with rapid food 285 uptake, when food was added. The pseudopodia of A. tepida are particularly stimulated by the 286 green algae Dunaliella (Lee et al 1961). Excessive food uptake in the short time (1 day) can 287 lead to longer lasting saturation, which explains the significantly lower uptake rates at the 288 intermediate time points.

- 289 There are some points, which could lead to the low (0,1%) uptake of food in comparison to
- 290 the biomass of the foraminifera. An important aspect to consider is the method used when
- 291 processing the samples. Foraminiferal tests are dissolved with hydrochloric acid and due to
- that carbonate is lost, but also new mineral phases are formed which influence the total weight
- 293 of the sample. This step is needed to remove the ¹³C, which may be bound in the test.
- 294 Comparing with other studies like Wukovits et al. (2017), it can be seen, that the uptake
- values of our experiments lay in the same order of magitude.

296 Another aspect is, that foraminifera are stressed during experimental conditions and therefore

- 297 may have a lower turnover. It should be noted, that the food uptake is determined by the
- isotope content in the cytoplasm, which can also vary over time.
- 299 Since these experiments were all carried out under laboratory conditions, we would not
- 300 consider pC and pN as absolute values, due to seasonal and environmental fluctuations.
- 301 The time course of food uptake at the lowest salinity level was different in *A. tepida*,
- 302 starting slow but then pC and pN increased continuously over time. This might be caused by
- 303 lowest salinity levels being suboptimal in the short term and that therefore metabolic
- 304 activation takes longer, causing the linear increase in pC and pN. This explanation supported

305 by the observation that after five days food uptake was similar across all three salinity levels. 306 Haynesina germanica showed a different pattern than A. tepida in terms of time-dependency 307 of pC and pN. In the former species the presence of kleptoplasts may have attenuated the 308 "starvation effect", with the result that only a small amount of ingested C and N can be 309 measured after one day. This low initial C and N uptake can be related to the results of 310 Cesborn (2017), which show that kleptoplasts are potential C or N sources for foraminifera in 311 starvation periods. However, it should be considered that H. germanica less readily absorbed 312 the offered food compared to A. tepida. Although there was a greater increase in pN between 313 day 1 and 5 than between day 5 and 14, the C and N uptake rates were much lower than those 314 of A. tepida.

315 It must be noted that while food C and N uptake are related through the C:N of the food 316 source, internal foraminiferal metabolism and release processes can cause a decoupling of C 317 and N metabolism and of isotope labeling patterns. Carbon is incorporated into organic 318 molecules as well as into the calcareous shells or simply released during cellular respiration 319 (e.g. Hannah et al 1994). The latter leads to a release of carbon into the environment, whereby 320 the measured values of C isotope incorporation are influenced. Nitrogen is also utilized for the 321 production of organic molecules such as DNA or proteins (DeLaca 1982, Nomaki et al 2014). 322 Again the release of nitrogen-rich excretion products into the environment has an impact on the 323 nitrogen isotope incorporation patterns.

It should be mentioned, that the processing method could result in loss of the cytoplasm. After the experiment, foraminifera were washed with distilled water to remove any salts around their tests, which could influence the mass. This washing process should be carried out carefully, because the tests could burst. In general, all samples were always treated the same way, which means they were all washed with the same volume of distilled water. This way any impact that may have arisen from using the distilled water has the same effect on all samples.

330 According to Stouff et al. (1999) A. tepida shows hardly any shell deformations at 331 normal marine conditions of 37 PSU. This observation is consistent with the results of this 332 study, as A. tepida had a higher uptake and turnover of organic matter at higher salinities (24 – 333 37 PSU) and therefore its optimal living conditions at higher salinity levels. Yet, in the 334 hypersaline environment (50 PSU) this species generates a high number of deformed juvenile 335 individuals (Stouff et al. 1999). The German Wadden Sea is subject to seasonal salinity 336 fluctuations and has a mean salinity of 30.7 - 32.5 PSU (Postma 1983). Depending on the 337 supply of fresh water and evaporation rates, the water in this region can drop to salinities of 25 338 and reach up to 37 PSU (Maywald 1991). Our experiments showed that the change in salinity 339 from 24 to 33 PSU had a smaller impact on food uptake than that between 11 and 24 PSU. 340 This shows once again that the two commonly occurring species, A. tepida and H. germanica, 341 have adapted very well to these fluctuations. The lowest salinity (11 PSU) in our experiments 342 represents the transition from brackish to a marine milieu. It turned out that at this salinity 343 level the food uptake tended to be the lowest for both species. From the literature it is known 344 that such brackish marshes are mainly inhabited by agglutinated foraminifera (Sen Gupta 345 1999). Considering the uptake of C and N by A. tepida and H. germanica in our experiments

- 346 (Fig. 1), it can be seen that the low salinities do not correspond to the optimum conditions of
- these foraminifera.
- 348

349 <u>5.2. Effect of salinity on cytoplasmic C:N ratios and δ^{13} C values</u>

350 For a miniferal C:N ratios and δ^{13} C signatures in the cytoplasm have been applied as a salinity 351 proxy for marine systems for some time (e.g. Scott and Medioli 1986. Chmura and Aharon 352 1995. Mackie et al. 2005). According to Mackie et al. (2005) δ^{13} C values in the range of -16 to 353 -22‰ represent organic matter and organisms of marine origin. Brackish and freshwater 354 organisms have lighter δ^{13} C values (-22 to -25‰ and -25 to -30‰ respectively) (Mackie et al. 355 2005). The foraminiferal species studied here showed background δ^{13} C values of -13.9‰ (*H*. 356 germanica) and -15.9% (A. tepida). These values clearly point towards marine isotope 357 signatures, concordant with a salinity of 24.2 PSU measured during the sampling of the 358 foraminifera.

359 A change in cytoplasmic C:N ratio of foraminifera in intertidal habitats is fundamentally 360 influenced by two factors: on the one hand by the composition of the local fauna and flora (food) 361 (Stelzer and Lamberti 2001, Bowman et al 2005, LeKieffre 2018) and on the other hand by 362 changes in the physiological processes in the organisms themselves (Frost and Elser 2002, Cross 363 et al 2005). Both benthic foraminifera species showed divergent changes in C versus N 364 metabolism of ingested food over time. Ammonia tepida showed an increase in pC:pN with 365 feeding time, resulting from a combination of altered N metabolism (storage of N in form of 366 proteins or DNA versus N excretions) and/or changes in C metabolism (investment of C into 367 cellular components versus losses by cellular respiration). The observed increase in pC:pN may 368 therefore represent either an increase in C incorporation relative to N incorporation due to lower 369 stress (less cellular respiration) or a decrease in N retention (increased N excretion) in the 370 foraminifera after a prolonged feeding time. Haynesina germanica also showed a general 371 increase in pC with pN. However, the slope between pC and pN decreased over time, indicating 372 a decrease in pC:pN and thereby an increased relative retention of food N compared to food C. 373 In our experiments the change in salinity did not affect the pC:pN ratios. In other words the 374 salinity did not cause a change in relative C versus N metabolism in both species. Investigating 375 the behavior of other nutrients such as P or Mg alongside C and N might provide further 376 interesting insights into the intake and metabolism of food and its biochemical constituents. 377 Phosphorus serves as an important building block in nucleic acids and phospholipids and might 378 be an indicator for cellular energy status because it is used for the formation of energy storage 379 molecules such as ATP. The behavior of P at changing environmental conditions may therefore 380 indirectly indicate the stress behavior of foraminifera. Magnesium is an important component 381 of chlorophyll. Based on the Mg content of foraminifera it is possible to reconstruct the amount 382 of chlorophyll and therefore the presence of chloroplasts. It is also possible to quantify 383 chlorophyll directly, for example via spectroscopy. However, this is only possible if the pure 384 cytoplasm is examined without the residues of the shells.

An important point is the different affinity of foraminifera to food. As *H. germanica* possesses kleptoplasts, which are absent in *A. tepida*, the two species have different metabolisms
 and food dependencies. *Ammonia tepida* showed an approximately 10-fold higher food uptake

as *H. germanica*, partially explained by the preference of *A. tepida* for the green algae *Dunaliella sp.* (Lee et al 1961) which served as the food source here while *H. germanica* prefers
to eat diatoms due to kleptoplastidy.

391 Furthermore, the alteration and aging of food sources can play an important role 392 affecting feeding and food metabolism, as indicated by the preference for "fresh" or 393 "younger" phytodetritus (Lee et al 1966). In the experiments here food from the same 394 lyophilized algal batch was always used to avoid this effect. Moreover, selective food uptake of 395 different species of foraminifera needs to be considered, and this was clearly demonstrated in a 396 study where a total of 28 different diatom and chlorophyte species were fed to three littoral 397 benthic foraminifera species but only 4-5 of these food sources were consumed at significant 398 rates (Lee and Müller 1973). Ultimately one needs to be aware that contamination by bacteria 399 or other microbes cannot be ruled out, particularly in longer-term experiments, as these 400 organisms also use the food offered as a C or N source (Murray et al 1986. Dobbs et al 1989. 401 Middelburg et al. 2000. Gihring et al 2009).

402

403 <u>5.3. Effects of salinity on the foraminiferal community</u>

404 The foraminifera of the mudflats of Friedrichskoog have been investigated for their 405 responses to environmental parameters such as temperature and organic matter flux (Llobret-406 Brossa et al. 1998. Brasse et al. 1999. Tillmann et al. 2000). In this study we could show that A. 407 tepida and H. germanica reacts with a lower food uptake compared to a decreasing salinity. At 408 low tide the benthic organisms are strongly exposed to the ambient weather conditions such as 409 wind, rain or sunlight. Due to the geographic location the growth of organisms is strongly linked 410 to the spring and summer months. Past data from Tillmann et al. (2000) showed that growth of 411 phytoplankton in winter is limited or almost zero. During spring local phytoplankton blooms 412 may occur with a daily water column particulate gross production up to 2200 mg C m⁻² day⁻¹ 413 (Tillmann et al. 2000). Over this period food availability is not a limiting factor for foraminifera 414 and this situation corresponds to the conditions in our experiments.

415 The composition of the foraminiferal community in the German Wadden Sea changes 416 within small areas (subzones) (Müller-Navarra et al. 2016). The specific microhabitats are 417 formed by natural parameters such as sediment grain size, pH or food source availability but 418 also by anthropogenic influences such as diking, ditching or sheep grazing (Müller-Navarra et 419 al. 2016). This leads to changes in the hydrological situation, and in combination with natural 420 factors such as precipitation or seepage of ground water, the salinity in mudflats varies 421 significantly in relation to the open ocean (De Rijk 1995). It seems that the assemblage of 422 foraminifera in such human-influenced salt marshes is controlled mainly by changes in salinity 423 (De Rijk 1995). De Rijk (1995) showed that in areas with widely varying salinity only few 424 different types of foraminifera occur. Moreover, it was shown that in years with high 425 precipitation the salinity in areas such as the Wadden Sea or in salt marshes is reduced, causing 426 the density of foraminifera to decrease sharply. (Murray 1968). So the tidal habitats in the region 427 around Friedrichskoog are characterized by multiple environmental factors. This leads to the 428 formation of subzones, where particularly physical influences such as pH, salinity, temperature 429 or tides play an important role. This area is also of particular interest for the future as the

430	anthropogenic impact on fluctuating ecosystems can be monitored very well here. Changes in
431	salinity therefore are a major factor shaping the composition and activity of foraminiferal
432	communities. In this study we could show that the two tested foraminiferal species, A. tepida
433	and H. germanica, responded very differently to salinity in terms of food intake and C and N
434	metabolism. Moreover, a former study demonstrated that the temperature response and
435	temperature optima also differ between these two most abundant foraminifera species of the
436	German Wadden Sea (Wukovits et al. 2017). Therefore environmental and climate change can
437	strongly affect the composition of the foraminiferal community, thereby causing changes in the
438	feeding rates and in the C-N metabolism of the foraminiferal community, and ultimately altering
439	the C-N cycling of these intertidal ecosystems.
440	
441	
442	6. Literature
443	Allen J.: Morphodynamics of Holocene salt marshes: a review sketch from the Atlantic and
444	southern North Sea coast of Europe; Quaternary Science Reviews. v. 19. pp: 1155-
445	1231, 2000.
446	Altenbach A.: Short-term processes and patterns in the foraminiferal response to organic flux
447	rates. Mar Micropaleontol 19:119–129, 1992.
448	Austin H., Austin W., Paterson D.: Extracellular cracking and content removal of benthic
449	diatom Pleurosigma angulatum (Quekett) by the benthic foraminifera Haynesina
450	germanica (Ehrenberg). Mar. Micropaleontol 57. 68-73, 2005.
451	Azam F., Fenchel T., Field J., Gray J., Meyer-Reil L. and Thingstad F.: The ecological role of
452	water-column microbes in the sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 10:257-263, 1983.
453	Beringer U., Caron D., Sanders R., and Finaly B.: Heterotrophic flagellates of planktonic
454	communities. their characteristics and methods of study. In: Patterson D. J. & Larsen.
455	J. (ed.). The Biology of Free-Living Heterotrophic Flagellates. Vol. 45. Clarendon
456	Press. Oxford. p. 39-56, 1991.
457	Bernhard J. and Bowser S.: Benthic foraminifera of dysoxic sediments: chloroplast
458	sequestration and functional morphology: Earth-Science Reviews. v. 46. p. 149–165,
459	1999.
460	Brassea S., Reimerb A., Seiferta R., Michaelis W., The influence of intertidal mudflats on the
461	dissolved inorganic carbon and total alkalinity distribution in the German Bight.
462	southeastern North Sea; ElSEVIER. Volume 42. Issue 2. pp:93-103, 1999.
463	Bowmann M., Chambers P., Schindler D.: Changes in stoichiometric constraints on epilithon
464	and benthic macroinvertebrates in response to slight nutrient enrichment of mountain
465	rivers; Freshwater Biology 50. doi 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01457.x., 2005.
466	Caldeira K Wickett M.: Ocean model predictions of chemistry changes from carbon dioxide
467	emissions to the atmosphere and ocean. J. Geophys. Re., 110. C09S04.
468	doi:10.1029/2004JC002671, 2005.
469	Cedhagen T.: Retention of chloroplasts and bathymetric distribution in the Sublittoral
470	Foraminiferan Nonionellina labradorica: Ophelia. v. 33. p. 17-30, 1991.

12

471	Cesborn F., Geslin E., Kieffre E., Jauffrais T., Nardelli M., Langlet D., Mabilleau G., Jorissen
472	F., Jezequel D. and Metzger E.: Sequestered Chloroplasts in the benthic Foraminifer
473	Haynesina germanica: Cellular organization. oxygen fluxes and potential ecological
474	implications. Journal of Foraminiferal Research v. 47. no. 3. p. 268-278, 2017.
475	Chmura G., Ahron P.: Stable carbon isotope signatures of sedimentary carbon in coastal
476	wetlands as indicators of salinity regime. Journal of Coastal Research. 11: 124-135,
477	1995.
478	Cross W., Benstead J., Frost P. and Thomas S.: Ecological stoichiometry in freshwater benthic
479	systems: recent progress and perspectives; Freshwater Biology 50. pp: 1895-1912,
480	2005.
481	Correia M. and Lee J.: Chloroplast retention by Elphidium excavatum (Terquem). Is it a
482	selective process?: Symbiosis. v. 29. p.343-355, 2000.
483	DeLaca T.: Use of dissolved amino acids by the foraminifer Notodendrodes antarcticos; Amer.
484	Zool. 22:683-690, 1982.
485	De Rijk S.: Salinity control on the distribution of salt marsh foraminifers (Great Marshes.
486	Massachusetts). Journal of Foraminiferal Research v. 25. pp: 156-166), 1995.
487	Dissard D., Nehrke G., Reichart G. and Bijma J.: The impact of salinity on the Mg/Ca and
488	Sr/Ca ratio in the benthic foraminifera Ammonia tepida: Results from culture
489	experiments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74 (2010) 928-940, 2009.
490	Dobbs F., Guckert B. and Carmin K.: Comparison of three techniques for administering
491	radiolabeled substrates to sediments for trophic studies: Incorporation by microbes;
492	Microbiol. Ecol. 17. 237-250, 1989.
493	Enge A., Nomaki N., Ogawa N., Witte U., Moeseneder M., Lavik G., Ohkouchi N., Kitazato
494	H., Kucera M. and Heinz P.: Response of the benthic foraminiferal community to a
495	simulated short-term phytodetritus pulse in the abyssal North Pacific. Mar. Ecol
496	Prog. Ser. 438. 129-142, 2011.
497	Enge A., Wanek W. and Heinz P.; Preservation effects on isotopic signatures in benthic
498	foraminiferal biomass; Marine Micropaleontology; Volume 144, Pages 50-59, 2018.
499	Frost P. and Elser J.: Effect of light and nutrients on the net accumulation and element
500	composition of epilithon in boreal lakes; Freshwater Biology 47. pp: 173-183, 2002.
501	Glock N., Schönfeld J., Eisenhauer A., Hensen C., Mallon J. and Sommer S.: The role of
502	benthic foraminiferain the benthic nitrogen cycle of the Peruvian oxygen minimum
503	zone; Biogeoscience 10, 4767-4783, 2013.
504	Goldstein S., Bernhard J. and Richardson E.: Chloroplast Sequestration in the Foraminifer
505	Haynesina germanica: Application of High Pressure Freezing and Freeze
506	Substitution: Microscopy and Microanalysis. v. 10. p. 1458-1459, 2004.
507	Gooday A.: The role of benthic foraminifera in deep-sea food webs and carbon cycling. In:
508	Rowe GT. Pariente V (eds) Deep-sea food chains and the global carbon cycle.
509	Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht. p 63-91, 1992.
510	Graf G.: Benthic-pelagic coupling: a benthic review. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 30:149-
511	190, 1992.

512	Grzymski J., Schönfield O., Falkowski P. and Bernhard J.: The function of plastids in the
513	deep-sea benthic foraminifer. Nonionella stella. Limnology and Oceanography. v. 47.
514	p. 1569–1580, 2002.
515	Gihring T., Humphrys M., Mills H., Huet M. and J. Kostka: Identification of phytodetritus-
516	degrading microbial communities in sublittoral Gulf of Mexico sands; Limnology and
517	Oceanography 54. 1073-1083, 2009.
518	Guillard R.: Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marina Invertebrates. In: Culture of marine
519	invertebrates animals. Springer 29-60, 1975.
520	Guillard R. and Ryther J.: Studies of marina planktonic diatoms: I Cyclotella nana Hustedt.
521	and Detonula confervacea (CLEVE) Gran. Can. J. Microbiol. 8. 229-239, 1962.
522	Hannah F., Rogerson R. and Laybourn-Parry J.: Respiration rates and biovolumes of common
523	benthic Foraminifera (Protozoa); Cambridge University Press. Volume 72. Issue 2. pp
524	301-312, 1994.
525	Heinz P., Hemleben C. and Kitazato H.: Time-response of cultured deep-sea benthic
526	foraminifera to different algal diets; Oceanographic Research Papers Vol. 49, Issue 3,
527	pp: 517-537, 2002.
528	Jauffrais T., Jesus B., Metzger E., Mouget J., Jorissen F. and Geslin E.; Effect of light on
529	photosynthetic efficiency of sequestered chloroplasts in intertidal benthic
530	foraminifera (Haynesina germanica and Ammonia tepida); Biogeosciences. 13. 2715-
531	2726, 2016.
532	Keul N., Langer G., Nooijer L. and Bijma J.: Effect of ocean acidification on the benthic
533	foraminifera Ammonia sp. is caused by a decrease in carbonate ion concentration.
534	2013.
535	Lechliter S.: Preliminary study of kleptoplastidy in foraminifera of South Carolina: Bridges. v.
536	8. p. 44, 2014.
537	Lee J., Price S., Tentchoff M. and McLaughin J.: Growth and Physiology of Foraminifera in
538	the Laboratory: Part 1: Collection and Maintenance – Micropaleontology Vol. 7. No.
539	4 pp. 461-466, 1961.
540	Lee J., McEnery M., Pierce S., Freudenthal H. and Müller W.: Tracer experiments in feeding
541	littoral foraminifera; J. Protozool. 13, 657-670, 1966.
542	Lee J., Lanners E. and Kuile B.: The retention of chloroplasts by the foraminifer <i>Elphidium</i>
543	crispum: Symbiosis. v. 5. p. 45-59, 1988.
544	Lee J. and Müller W.: Trophic dynamics and niches of salt marsh foraminifera; Am. Zool. 13.
545	215-223, 1973.
546	Lei Y., Stumm K., Wickham S. and Beringer U.: Distributions and Biomass of Benthic
547	Ciliates. Foraminifera and Amoeboid Protists in Marine. Brackish. and Freshwater
548	Sediments. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 61. 493-508, 2014.
549	LeKieffre C., Jauffrais T., Geslin E., Jesus B., Bernhard J. M., Giovani M. and Meibom
550	A.; Inorganic carbon and nitrogen assimilation in cellular compartments of a
551	benthic kleptoplastic foraminifer; Scientific Reports volume 8.
552	Article number: 10140, 2018.

553	Lopez E.: Algal chloroplasts in the protoplasm of three species of benthic foraminifera:
554	taxonomic affinity. viability and persistence. Marine Biology. v. 53. p. 201-211,
555	1979.
556	Lobet-Brossa E., Rossello – Mora R. and Aman A.: Microbial Community Composition of
557	Wadden Sea Sediments as Revealed by Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization;
558	Environmental Microbiology Vol. 64. No. 7, 1998.
559	Maywald A.: Das Watt. 1. Aufl. Ravensburg: Maier, 1991.
560	Mackie E., Leng M., Lloyd J. and Arrowsmith C.: Bulk organic d13C. C/N ratios as
561	paleosalinity indicators within a Scottish isolation basin; Journal of Quaternary
562	Science 20: 303–312, 2005.
563	Middelburg J., Barranguet C., Boschker H., Herman P., Moens T. and Heip C.: The fate of
564	intertidal microphytobenthos carbon: An in situ ¹³ C-labeling study. Limnol. Oceanogr.
565	45. 1224-1234, 2000.
566	Moodley L., Boschker H., Middelburg J., Pel R., Herman P. and De Deckere E.: Ecological
567	significance of benthic foraminifera ¹³ C labelling experiments. Mar Ecol Prog Ser
568	Vol. 202:289-295, 2000.
569	Murray J.: The living Foraminifera of Christchurch Harbour, England: Micropal. V. 14, no.4,
570	p:83-96, 1968.
5/1	Murray R., Cooksley K. and Priscu J.: Stimulation of bacterial DNA synthesis by algal
572	exudates in attached algal-bacterial consortia; Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 52. 1177-
5/3	1182, 1986.
574	Müller-Navara K., Milker Y. and Schmiedl G.: Natural and anthropogenic influence on the
575	distribution of salt marsh foraminifera in the bay of Tümlau. German North Sea;
576	Journal of Foraminiferal Research 46. pp 61-74, 2016.
577	Nomaki H., Ogawa N., Ohkouchi N., Suga H., Toyofuku T., Shimanaga M., Nakatsuka T. and
578	Kitazato H.: Benthic foraminifera as trophic links between phytodetritus and benthic
579	metazoans: carbon and nitrogen isotopic evidence; MEPS 357:153-164, 2008.
580	Nomaki H., Chikaraishi Y., Tsuchiya M., Ohkouchi N., Uematsu K., Tame A. and Kitazato H.:
581	Nitrate uptake by foraminifera and use in conjunction with endobionts under anoxic
582	conditions; Limnology and Oceanography Volume 59. Issue 6. pages 1879-1888,
583	2014.
584	Pillet L., Vargas C. and Pawlowski J.: Molecular identification of sequestered diatom
585	chloroplasts and kleptoplastidy in foraminifera: Protist. v. 162. p. 394–404. 2011
586	Postma H.: Hydrography of the Wadden Sea: Movements and properties of water and
587	particulate matter. In: WOLFF, W. J. (Hrsg.): Ecology of the Wadden Sea. A. A.
588	Balkema, Rotterdam: 2/1-2/75, 1983.
589	Sen Gupta B.: Foraminifera in marginal marine environments. Modern Foraminifera 141 – 159,
590	1999.
591	Schafer C., Cole F., Frobel D., Rice N. and Buzas M.: An in situ experiment on temperature
592	sensitivity of nearshore temperate benthic foraminifera. Oceanographic Literature
593	Review. 9. 913, 1996.

594	Scott F. and Medioli D.: Foraminifera as sea-level indicators. Sea Level Research. pp: 435-
595	456, 1986.
596	Stelzer R. and Lamberti G.: Effect of N:P ratio and total nutrient concentration on stream
597	periphyton community structure. biomass and element composition; Limnology and
598	Oceanography 46. pp:356-367, 2001.
599	Stouff V., Geslin E., Debenaj J. and Lesourd M.: Origin of morphological abnormalities in
600	Ammonia (Foraminifera): studies in laboratory and natural environments. Journal of
601	Foraminiferal Research 29 (2). 152-170, 1999.
602	Sliter W.: Laboratory Experiments on the Life Cycle and Ecological Controls of Rosalina
603	globularis d'Orbigny. Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology Volume 12. Issue 2.
604	pages 210-215, 1965.
605	Tillmann U., Hesse K. and Colijn F.: Planktonic primary production in the German Wadden
606	Sea; Journal of Plankton Research. Volume 22. Issue 7. Pages 1253–1276, 2000.
607	Tsuchiya M., Toyofuko T., Uematsu K., Bruchert V., Collen J., Yamamoto H. and Kitazato
608	H.: Cytologic and genetic characteristics of endobiotic bacteria and kleptoplasts of
609	Virgulinella fragilis (Foraminifera): Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology. v. 62. p.
610	454–469, 2015.
611	Wukovits J., Enge A., Wanek W., Watzka M. and Heinz P.: Increased temperature causes
612	different carbon and nitrogen processing patterns in two common intertidal
613	foraminifera (Ammonia tepida and Haynesina germanica). Biogeosciences 14. 2815-
614	2829, 2017.
615	Wukovits J., Oberrauch M., Enge A. and Heinz P.; The distinct roles of two intertidal
616	foraminiferal species in phytodetrital carbon and nitrogen fluxes - results from
617	laboratory feeding experiments; Biogeoscience. 15. 6185-6198, 2018.