Light availability modulates the effects of warming in a marine N₂ fixer

Xiangqi Yi¹, Fei–Xue Fu², David A. Hutchins², Kunshan Gao^{1,3}

¹State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China
 ²Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
 ³Co-Innovation Center of Jiangsu Marine Bio-industry Technology, Jiangsu Ocean University, Lianyungang 222005, China
 Correspondence to: Kunshan Gao (ksgao@xmu.edu.cn)

- 10 **Abstract.** *Trichodesmium* species, as a group of photosynthetic N₂ fixers (diazotrophs), play an important role in the marine biogeochemical cycles of nitrogen and carbon, especially in oligotrophic waters. How ongoing ocean warming may interact with light availability to affect *Trichodesmium* is not yet clear. We grew *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS 101 at three temperature levels of 23, 27 and 31 °C under growth limiting and saturating light levels of 50 and 160 µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively, for at least 10 generations, and then measured physiological performances, including specific growth rate, N₂
- 15 fixation rate and photosynthesis. Light availability significantly modulated the growth response of *Trichodesmium* to temperature, with the specific growth rate peaking at ~27 °C under the light–saturating conditions, while growth of light– limited cultures was non–responsive across the tested temperatures (23, 27 and 31 °C). Short-term thermal responses for N₂ fixation indicated that both high growth temperature and light intensity increased the optimum temperature (T_{opt}) for N₂ fixation and decreased its susceptibility to supra–optimal temperatures (deactivation energy, E_h). Simultaneously, all light–
- 20 limited cultures with low T_{opt} and high E_h were unable to sustain N₂ fixation during short-term exposure to high temperatures (33–34 °C) that are not lethal for the cells grown under light-saturating conditions. Our results imply that *Trichodesmium* spp. growing under low light levels while distributed deep in the euphotic zone or under cloudy weather conditions might be less sensitive to long-term temperature changes that occur on the time scale of multi-generation but more susceptible to abrupt (less than one generation time span) temperature changes, such as those induced by cyclone and

25 heat waves.

1. Introduction

In vast areas of the oceans, primary production is usually limited by availability of nitrogen (Moore et al., 2013). In addition to recycling within the euphotic zone, biologically available nitrogen sources can be supplied to phytoplankton from upwelling, aerosol deposition and N₂ fixation by diazotrophic prokaryotes, supporting new primary production (Dugdale and

- 30 Goering, 1967). *Trichodesmium* is one of the major diazotrophic organisms occurring in the pelagic oceans (Zehr, 2011). *Trichodesmium* is a genus of filamentous cyanobacteria that exists as both single filaments and colonies consisting of tens to hundreds of trichomes, and that is broadly distributed in oligotrophic tropical and subtropical oceans (Capone et al., 1997). Its contribution to local new production can even be more important than that of nitrate diffusion in some regions (Capone et al., 2005; LaRoche and Breitbarth, 2005; Mahaffey et al., 2005), and it thus plays a significant role in marine ecosystems and
- 35 biogeochemical cycles of nitrogen and carbon (Sohm et al., 2011; Zehr, 2011).

Trichodesmium has attracted tremendous research interest since its discovery as diazotroph in the early 1960s (Dugdale et al., 1961; Dugdale et al., 1964). Recently, considerable research attention has been focused on evaluating effects of the ongoing ocean climate changes, including sea surface warming associated with global warming, on this keystone organism (Fu et al., 2014; Hutchins and Fu, 2017; Jiang et al., 2018). The IPCC RCP 8.5 scenario predicts that upper ocean

- 40 temperature will increase by about 3 °C on average by the end of the 21st century, and the strongest ocean warming will happen in tropical and subtropical regions (Collins et al., 2013). Because of its important role in marine biogeochemical cycles and marine ecosystems, understanding the responses of *Trichodesmium* to ocean warming and their underlying mechanisms will be critical to evaluating the potential implications of climate changes on marine primary productivity, food web dynamics and biogeochemical cycles.
- 45 Previous studies demonstrate that without resource limitation the growth versus temperature curve is unimodal in *Trichodesmium* with lower and upper tolerance limits separately at 18-20 °C and 32-34 °C and optimum temperature at 26-28 °C (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Chappell and Webb, 2010; Fu et al., 2014). Based on these findings and the spatial heterogeneity of present temperatures and projected warming of *Trichodesmium* 's habitat (Capone et al., 1997; Collins et al., 2013), the effects of ocean warming on *Trichodesmium* can be spatially diverse, generally benefiting those occurring in 50 relatively high latitude but being harmful to those occurring near to the equator (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2014)

Thomas et al., 2012). However, this pattern can be distorted and complicated by resource limitations. For example, it is shown that iron limitation, which is commonly experienced by *Trichodesmium* in nature (Hutchins and Boyd, 2016; Sohm et al., 2011), substantially increases the optimum temperature in *Trichodesmium* (Jiang et al., 2018).

Similar to iron availability, light is also among the key environmental drivers for Trichodesmium (Cai and Gao, 2015; Cai

- et al., 2015; Breitbarth et al., 2008). *Trichodesmium* can be distributed from the sea surface down to 150 m depth where light intensity at noon ranges from > 2000 μ mol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ to < 10 μ mol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ (Davis and McGillicuddy, 2006; Olson et al., 2015). Moreover, *Trichodesmium* is known to be able to partially regulate its vertical position in water column by buoyancy adjustment (Villareal et al. 2003). Currently, ocean warming effects on *Trichodesmium* have been widely examined under single, saturating light conditions, providing important knowledge on this diazotroph's physiological
- 60 responses to temperature changes (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2018; Levitan et al., 2010). However, how it responds to warming under both light–limiting and saturating conditions is also of general significance, but has been rarely studied (Boatman et al., 2017).

The growth of phytoplankton is a holistic result of many biochemical and physiological activities, so its responses to environmental changes are dependent on species-specific physiology. Normally, warming increases enzyme activities,

65 accelerating biochemical reactions (Gillooly et al., 2001). For phytoplankton, reduced growth at high temperature might be the result of less carbon availability due to the higher thermal sensitivity of respiration compared to that of photosynthesis (Padfield et al., 2015). This negative effect of high temperature might be exacerbated by directly reduction of photosynthesis under light limitation. In the diazotroph *Trichodesmium*, besides photosynthesis and respiration, N₂ fixation process might also play a critical role in its growth response to environmental changes. Little has been documented on this aspect (Jiang et 70 al., 2018).

In this study, we explored the combined effects of temperature and light in *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS 101. We measured the specific growth rate, photosystem functions and N_2 fixation rate in *Trichodesmium* cultures acclimated to two light levels and three temperatures. Moreover, we measured their short-term thermal responses for N_2 fixation. In this paper, "acclimation" and "acclimated" indicate that cultures were given enough time (several weeks) to respond to the

refers to acute processes and changes so that balanced growth was achieved, and "short-term" refers to acute processes and changes

occurring within hours. Although adaptation (over several hundreds of generations) has been demonstrated to be critical in evaluating the responses in phytoplankton to environmental changes (Hutchins et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017; Padfield et al., 2015; Schaum et al., 2018; Tong et al., 2018), it is beyond the scope of this study.

2. Material and methods

80 2.1 Culture conditions

Triplicate cultures of *Trichodesmium erythraeum* (strain IMS101, originally isolated from the North Atlantic Ocean by (Prufert–Bebout et al. 1993)) were established under six different culture conditions. These included factorial combinations of three temperatures (23 ± 1 , 27 ± 1 and 31 ± 1 °C) and two light intensities (saturating light, 160 ± 20 µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ and limiting light, 50 ± 6 µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹). These three growth temperatures are representatives of present and future

- 85 temperatures of *Trichodesmium* habitats (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2014). The limiting and saturating light levels were established based on a pilot experiment (Supplementary Fig. S1(a)) and previous studies (Cai et al., 2015; Breitbarth et al., 2008; Garcia et al., 2011). All the cultures were run semi–continuously by continual dilutions (every 2–4 days) in the artificial seawater medium YBCII without combined nitrogen (Chen et al., 1996) in 1–L glass flasks maintained in plant growth chambers (HP300G–C, Ruihua, China). Light was provided by LED tubes (FSL, China) with a 12:12 Light:Dark
- 90 cycle. Different levels of light intensity were achieved using neutral density filters. Cultures were continuously bubbled with air (outdoor) so that the cyanobacteria floated as single filaments. The cells were allowed to acclimate to each condition for at least 10 generations. Acclimation was confirmed by balanced growth with stable specific growth rate. Then, we started the sampling and data collection.

2.2 Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) concentration and specific growth rate

95 Chl–a concentration was spectrophotometrically quantified by gently filtering the cells onto glass–fiber filters (GF/F, Whatman), followed by extraction in pure methanol at 4 °C for 24 h and centrifugation at 6000g for 10 minutes. The absorbance spectrum of the supernatant was determined from 400 nm to 700 nm using a spectrophotometer (DU800, Beckman, USA). Chl–a concentration was calculated as: [Chl–a] (µg mL⁻¹) =12.9447*(A₆₆₅–A₇₅₀), where A₆₆₅ and A₇₅₀ were respectively the absorbances at 665 and 750 nm (Ritchie, 2006).

100 Because Chl-a concentration is a good proxy for biomass in *Trichodesmium* (Breitbarth et al. 2007), Chl-a concentrations measured at different days were analysed using Eq. (1) to obtain the specific growth rate:

$$\ln(\text{Chl-a}(t)) = \mu^* t + b \tag{1}$$

where Chl-a(t) is the Chl-a concentration at time t (d), μ is the specific growth rate (d⁻¹), and b is interpreted as the natural logarithm of Chl-a concentration at day 0. Because the cultures were semi–continuously maintained, Chl–a concentrations at
each time point was corrected by the dilution ratios with the assumption of no dilutions.

2.3 Short-term thermal response for N₂ fixation

 N_2 fixation rates were determined using the acetylene reduction assay assuming a ratio of 4:1 to convert ethylene production to N_2 fixation (Capone, 1993). To examine the responses of N_2 fixation in the cells grown at different temperatures and light levels to short-term temperature changes, we simultaneously measured N_2 fixation at five temperatures ranging from 19 to

- 110 35 °C. For each replicate, a 25ml aliquot of the culture was taken and dispensed into five vials. Five vials each of which contained 5ml culture were separately placed in five different zones of two multi–zone culture chambers (HP100–2 and HP100–3, Ruihua, China) and allowed to equilibrate to different target temperatures for 30 minutes. Pilot experiments had showed that 30 minutes was enough for temperature equilibrium. After temperature equilibration, each vial was spiked with 1 ml (12.5% of headspace volume) pure acetylene and incubated for another 30 minutes under the growth light level. The
- quantity of ethylene produced was determined using a gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector (Clarus 580, PerkinElmer, USA).

Typically, the short-term thermal response curves for N_2 fixation were unimodal and negatively skewed, which could be accommodated to a modified version (Padfield et al., 2015; Schaume et al. 2018) of the Sharpe–Schoolfield model (Schoolfield et al., 1981; Sharpe and DeMichele, 1977):

120
$$N(T) = N(T_c) * \exp\left(E_a * \left(\frac{1}{kT_c} - \frac{1}{kT}\right)\right) / (1 + \exp\left(E_h \left(\frac{1}{kT_h} - \frac{1}{kT}\right)\right)$$
(2)

where N(T) is the N₂ fixation rate (μ mol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹) at temperature T (Kelvin, K), E_a is the activation energy (electron volt, eV) for N₂ fixation, being indicative of the steepness of the slope leading to a thermal optimum, E_h is the deactivation energy (electron volt, eV) characterizing high temperature induced inactivation above deactivation temperature T_h (K), and N(T_c) is the N₂ fixation rate at an arbitrary reference temperature T_c (here, T_c = 25 °C) used for normalization.

125 According to Eq. (2), the optimum temperature (T_{opt} , K) corresponding to the maximal N₂ fixation rate (N_{max}, µmol N₂ mg Chl-a⁻¹ h⁻¹) is:

$$T_{opt} = E_h * T_h / (E_h + \mathbf{k} * T_h * \ln(E_h / E_a))$$
⁽³⁾

Additionally, we also obtained the N_2 fixation rate at growth temperature (N_{growth}) by bring corresponding growth temperatures to Eq. (2).

130 2.4 Chl–a fluorometry

Photosystem II (PSII) effective quantum yield (Φ_{PSII}) and photosynthetic relative electron transport rate (rETR) were measured by using the Multiple Excitation Wavelength Chlorophyll Fluorescence Analyzer (MULTI–COLOR–PAM, Walz, German) equipped with the US–T temperature control unit (Walz, Germany). Aliquots of 1.5 ml of the culture were taken to determine effective quantum yield (Φ_{PSII}) under actinic light levels that were the same as those of the growth conditions.

135 Then, $\Phi_{PSII}(E)$ values were successively measured at seven levels of light intensity (E) ranging from 0 to 1064 µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹. Samples were allowed to acclimate to each light level for 3 minutes before $\Phi_{PSII}(E)$ measurements (Suggett et a., 2007). Relative electron transport rate (rETR) at each light level was calculated as: rETR = E * $\Phi_{PSII}(E)$ (Ralph and Gademann, 2005). The light response curve of rETR was analysed according to the model of (Eilers and Peeters, 1988):

$$rETR = \frac{E}{a*E^2 + b*E + c} \tag{4}$$

140 Photosynthetic parameters including photosynthetic light harvesting efficiency (α), rETR maximum (rETR_{max}) and light saturation point (E_k) can be calculated as:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{c} \tag{5}$$

$$rETR_{max} = \frac{1}{b + 2*\sqrt{a*c}} \tag{6}$$

$$E_k = \frac{c}{b+2*\sqrt{a*c}} \tag{7}$$

145 During the measurements, sample temperature was maintained at the corresponding growth temperatures using the US–T temperature control unit (Walz, Germany).

2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with the R language (version 3.5.3). [Chl-a] versus time in each of the triplicate cultures for each treatment was fitted to Eq. (1) using function "lm" in package "stats" to get the specific growth rate (μ). The

- significance of differences in specific growth rate (μ) between treatments was tested using two-way analyses of variance 150 (ANOVA) (function "aov" in package "stats"), followed by Tukey's test for pairwise comparison (function "TukeyHSD" in package 'stats'). The homogeneity of variance assumption and the residuals normality assumption were separately checked by Levene's test (function "leveneTest" in package "stats") and Shapiro-Wilk test (function "shapiro.test" in package "stats"). The significance level was set to 0.05.
- 155 To test whether parameters N(T_c), E_a, E_h, T_h and T_{opt} differ between different treatments, we fitted short-term thermal responses for N₂ fixation to Eq.(2) using nonlinear mixed effects models ("nlme" package). Models included random effects on each of the parameters of Eq.(2) by replicate. The structure of the fixed effects of the nonlinear mixed effects model was determined by trying all possible models (625 models) and selecting the one with the lowest small sample-size corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) (see Supplementary Table S3 for all tested models' AICc). AICc was calculated using 160
- function "AICc" in package "MuMIn".

Light curve of rETR in each of the triplicate cultures for each treatment was fitted to Eq. (4) using function "nls" in package "stats". The significance of differences in photosynthetic light harvesting efficiency (α), rETR maximum (rETR_{max}), light saturation point (E_k) and effective quantum yield (Φ_{PSII}) between treatments was tested using the same statistical methods as those for μ . The significance level was set to 0.05.

3. Results 165

170

3.1 Specific growth rate and N₂ fixation rate

Specific growth rates of Trichodesmium IMS 101 were significantly affected by growth light intensity (two-way ANOVA, $F_{1,12} = 662.7$, P < 0.001), growth temperature (two-way ANOVA, $F_{2,12} = 22.0$, P < 0.001) and the interaction between these two drivers (two-way ANOVA, $F_{2,12} = 18.0$, P < 0.001) (Table 1). High growth light intensity increased specific growth rates of Trichodesmium IMS 101 by 63% at 23 °C (Tukey's test comparing light-saturated and light-limited growth rates at 23°C,

P < 0.001), 111% at 27 °C (Tukey's test comparing light-saturated and light-limited growth rates at 27 °C, P < 0.001) and 88% at 31 °C (Tukey's test comparing light-saturated and light-limited growth rates 31 °C, P < 0.001), respectively (Fig. 1(a)). The interaction between growth light intensity and temperature on specific growth rate was indicated by the totally different temperature effects between light-saturated and light-limited cultures. Light–saturated growth rates of

- 175 *Trichodesmium* IMS 101 were maximal at 27 °C with a value of $0.52 \pm 0.02 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (±SD), being higher by 29.5% (Tukey's test comparing growth rates between light-saturated cultures grown at 27 °C and 23 °C, P < 0.001) and 21.3% (Tukey's test comparing growth rates between light-saturated cultures grown at 27 °C and 31 °C, P < 0.001) than those at 23 °C and 31 °C, respectively. However, light–limited growth rates ranged from $0.23 \pm 0.02 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (±SD) to $0.25 \pm 0.01 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (±SD), with no significant differences between the tested temperatures (Tukey's test comparing growth rates among light-limited cultures
- 180 grown at three temperatures, P > 0.05 for all three comparisons).

Overall, N₂ fixation rates at growth temperature (N_{growth}) (Fig. 1(b)) were significantly higher in cultures grown under high light intensity compared to those grown under low light intensity (two-way ANOVA, F_{1,12} = 149.9, P < 0.001). Also, growth temperature significantly affected N_{growth} (two-way ANOVA, F_{1,12} = 3912.3, P < 0.001). Different thermal effects between light-saturated and light-limited cultures indicated a significant interaction between light and temperature on N_{growth} (two-way ANOVA, F_{2,12} = 112.7, P < 0.001). For light-saturated cultures, the N_{growth} peaked at 27 °C with a value of 17.1 ± 0.5 µmol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹ (±SD), which was higher by 39% and 17% than those at 23 °C (Tukey's test comparing N_{growth} between light-saturated cultures grown at 27 °C and 23 °C, P < 0.001) and 31 °C (Tukey's test comparing N_{growth} between light-saturated cultures grown at 27 °C and 23 °C, P < 0.001), respectively. However, for light-limited cultures, the value of N_{growth} at 27 °C (6.8±0.2 µmol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹(±SD)) was similar to that at 23 °C (6.3±0.1 µmol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹(±SD))
(Tukey's test comparing N_{growth} between light-limited cultures grown at 27 °C and 23 °C, P = 0.54) but significantly higher than that at 31 °C (3.8±0.4 µmol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹(±SD)) (Tukey's test comparing N_{growth} between light-limited cultures

grown at 27 °C and 31 °C, P < 0.001).

3.2 PSII effective quantum yield (Φ_{PSII}) and rETR light response curves

Compared to light–saturated cells, light–limited cells had higher values of Φ_{PSII} (Fig. 1(c); two-way ANOVA, $F_{1,12} = 233.2$,

195 P < 0.001). Meanwhile, under both light regimes, Φ_{PSII} in *Trichodesmium* cultures grown at 31 °C was significantly higher

than that in cultures grown at 23 °C and 27 °C (two-way ANOVA, $F_{2,12} = 22.1$, P < 0.001). No interaction between growth light intensity and temperature on Φ_{PSII} was found (two-way ANOVA, $F_{2,12} = 1.8$, P = 0.211).

The rETR light response curve of *Trichodesmium* IMS 101 was influenced by growth temperature in both light–saturated (Fig. 2(a)) and light–limited (Fig. 2(b)) treatments. This thermal impact was mainly reflected in the rETR_{max}(two-way ANOVA, $F_{2,12} = 31.2$, P < 0.001), which tended to be higher in cultures acclimated to 31 °C than those in cultures acclimated to 23 °C or 27 °C (Table 2; Fig. 2). Additionally, high growth light intensity tended to decreased the rETR_{max} (two-way ANOVA, $F_{1,12} = 31.2$, P < 0.001), especially for cultures grown at 27 °C (Tukey's test comparing rETR_{max} between light-saturated and light-limited cultures grown at 27 °C, P < 0.001). Both high light intensity (two-way ANOVA, $F_{1,12} = 6.0$, P < 0.05) and high temperature (two-way ANOVA, $F_{2,12} = 5.0$, P < 0.05) significantly increased the E_k (Table 2).

205 3.3 Short-term thermal response for N₂ fixation

Optimum temperature (T_{opt}) for N₂ fixation in *Trichodesmium* IMS 101 was affected by both growth temperature and light intensity (Table 3). Generally, T_{opt} for N₂ fixation in light-saturated cultures were higher than that in light-limited cultures, whereas warming effects on N₂ fixation T_{opt} differed between light-saturated and light-limited cultures. For light-saturated cultures, elevations of growth temperature raised the T_{opt} for N₂ fixation. A 4 °C warming was accompanied by 0.5-0.8 °C

- 210 increase of T_{opt} , which was 28.7 ± 0.2 °C (±S.E.M), 29.5 ± 0.2 °C (±S.E.M) and 30.0 ± 0.3 °C (±S.E.M)) for the cells grown at at 23 °C, 27 °C and 31 °C, respectively. Under limiting light level, T_{opt} in cultures grown at 27 °C (T_{opt} = 28.6 ± 0.2 °C (±S.E.M)) was higher than that in cultures grown at 23 °C (T_{opt} = 28.2± 0.2 °C (±S.E.M)), but T_{opt} in cultures grown at 31 °C (T_{opt} = 27.8± 0.2 °C (±S.E.M)) was the lowest among all treatments. As expected, the maximal N₂ fixation rate (N_{max}) in light-saturated cultures was higher than that in light-limited culture (Table 3). The temperature effect on N_{max} was also
- 215 dependent on the light availability. Light-saturated N_{max} was highest in cultures grown at 27 °C (N_{max} = 19.3 ± 0.4 µmol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹ (±S.E.M)), being higher by 21% and 32% than those grown at 23 °C (N_{max} = 16.0 ± 0.3 µmol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹,(±S.E.M)) and 31 °C (N_{max} = 14.6 ± 0.4 µmol N₂ mg Chl–a⁻¹ h⁻¹,(±S.E.M)), respectively. However, N_{max} for light-limited cultures was similar among different temperature treatments (Table 3).

The value of deactivation energy (E_h) for N₂ fixation, reflecting the thermal susceptibility to supra–optimal temperatures, was affected by both light availability and growth temperature, but not by their interaction (Table 3). E_h tended to be lower in *Trichodesmium* cultures grown under high temperature and high light intensity. With the highest T_{opt} (30.0 ± 0.3 °C (±S.E.M)) and the lowest E_h (1.47 ± 0.14 eV(±S.E.M)) among all treatments, light–saturated cultures acclimated to 31°C were the only cultures that were able to maintained considerable N₂ fixation rates at assay temperatures as high as 34 °C (Fig. 3). In addition, both light availability and growth temperature affected the deactivation temperature (T_h) for N₂ fixation

in *Trichodesmium* and no interaction between these two drivers was found on T_h (Table 3). T_h in light-saturated cultures tended to be higher than that in light-limited cultures regardless of the growth temperature. T_h was lower in cultures grown at 31 °C compared to that in cultures grown at 23 or 27 °C under both light levels. The activation energy (E_a) for N₂ fixation was affected by growth temperature but not by growth light intensity (Table 3). The values of E_a for N₂ fixation increased from 0.49 ± 0.04 eV (±S.E.M) to 0.91± 0.05 (±S.E.M) and 1.07± 0.04 eV(±S.E.M) as growth temperatures increased from 230 °C to 27 °C and 31 °C.

4 Discussion

In this study, light availability not only affected growth rate and N_2 fixation directly, but also modulated their responses to temperature changes in *Trichodesmium* IMS 101. Reduced energy supply due to light limitation leads to lowered nitrogen fixation and thus reduced growth in the diazotroph. The specific growth rate were maximal at 27 °C for saturating light–

grown cells, but were virtually insensitive to temperature changes across the tested temperature (23, 27 and 31 °C) for light– limited cultures.

The interactions between temperature and light on *Trichodesmium* demonstrated in this work are relevant to natural light and temperature variations and to *Trichodesmium* global change physiology and biogeography. Light supplies energy for photosynthesis, growth and other key activities, such as N₂ fixation in cyanobacterial diazotrophs. The observed

240 phenomenon that the growth rate becomes less sensitive to temperature changes (Fig 1(a) and Fig. 4) in *Trichodesmium* IMS 101 under limiting light levels can be attributed to insufficient energy supply for the cells to respond to temperature changes. While thermal biological responses are mainly based on enzymatic performance, light limitation suppresses syntheses of enzymes (Raven and Geider, 1988), and thus subsequently limits thermal responses. Although light–limited phytoplankton cells typically allocate more resources to light–harvesting systems to compensate for light shortages, at very low irradiances

- this compensation cannot prevent light harvesting capacity from being a limiting factor for enzyme synthesis and growth (Raven and Geider, 1988). Field investigations show that vertical distributions of *Trichodesmium* can reach to depths greater than 100 m, where light is absolutely limiting and temperature is lower compared to surface temperature (Olson et al., 2015; Rouco et al., 2016). According to the typical values of surface solar irradiances and vertical extinction coefficient in tropical and subtropical oceans (Olson et al., 2015), the daily light dose received by the light–limited cultures in our study
- 250 corresponds to that received by *Trichodesmium* at a depth of 50–60 m. The contribution of biomass and N₂ fixation by *Trichodesmium* at depths greater than 50 m might range from 7% to 28% (Davis and McGillicuddy, 2006; Olson et al., 2015). Therefore, the evaluation of potential warming effects on *Trichodesmium* should not be constrained to the populations inhabiting light–saturated environments (upper tens of meters) (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2018), making 3– Dimensional models indispensable. In existing 3–Dimensional model studies involving *Trichodesmium* (Boyd and Doney,
- 255 2002; J. K. Moore et al., 2001), the combined effects of temperature and light on *Trichodesmium* biological activities are simply assumed to be additive, which is proven to be inappropriate in this work. While the absolute values of N₂ fixation rate under light limiting and saturating levels cannot be directly compared on the basis of Chl–a content, since lower light level resulted in more cellular Chl–a content (Supplementary Fig. S1(b)), comparison of the thermal response patterns can generate useful information for improving model predictions of diazotrophic responses to ocean climate changes.
- 260 Thermal responses for organisms are known to be useful in evaluating thermal acclimation potential and probing low and high temperature tolerances (Gunderson et al, 2010; Somero, 2010; Way and Yamori, 2014). In this work, the shape of the short–term thermal response curves for N₂ fixation is normalization–independent because cells were exposed to different assay temperatures for only one hour, hardly changing the elemental stoichiometry or cellular pigments component. When exposed to abrupt temperature gradients, the light-saturated cells acclimated to higher temperature exhibited higher T_{opt}
- 265 values (Table 3) and lower thermal susceptibility to supra-optimal temperatures (E_h ; Table 3). This indicates an increased capability for the diazotroph to tolerate short-term warming impacts. However, light limitation made the cells more susceptible to warming due to decreased T_{opt} and increased E_h for N₂ fixation (Table 3). Moreover, with light limitation, acclimation to high temperature did not help *Trichodesmium* cells tolerate short-term supral-optimal temperature. On the other hand, Chl–a fluorescence data shown that the PSII in light–limited cultures was as healthy as that in cells grown under

- saturating light (Fig. 1(c), 2), and it has been shown that damage to PSII usually occurs at temperatures above 45 °C (Yamori et al., 2014). Therefore, the collapse of N_2 fixation at high temperature was not likely caused by the dysfunction of the photosystems, but might be caused by the uncoupling of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthesis to electron transport, since proton leakiness of the thylakoid membrane has been frequently proposed as a problem at high temperature (Yamori et al., 2014). This is consistent with the observation that supra–optimal temperature inhibition of N_2 fixation was aggravated by
- 275 light limitation (Fig. 3). In addition, damage to nitrogenase at high temperatures might also be one of the reasons responsible for the faster drop of N₂ fixation at high temperature in light–limited cultures (Gallon et a., 1993). This is because the extra investment of resources in repair of damaged nitrogenase could not be supported under light–limiting conditions (Fig. 3(b)). Therefore, light availability exerts critical control on the acclimation potential of N₂ fixation in *Trichodesmium* to warming. Acclimation to different temperatures also affected the activation energy (E_a) for N₂ fixation in *Trichodesmium* IMS 101
- (Table 3). For *Trichodesmium* species, N₂ fixation can be controlled by supply of ATP/reducing equivalents, mainly coming from photosynthesis, and the inherent catalytic capacity of the nitrogenase. These two processes may exhibit different temperature dependence, i.e. different E_a. The E_a of the controlling process determines the N₂ fixation E_a (Hikosaka, et al., 2006; Staal et al., 2003). Therefore, the differences in N₂ fixation E_a between cultures grown at different temperatures may reflect that N₂ fixation was primarily controlled by different processes in cultures acclimated to different temperatures.
- Preliminary evidence supporting this hypothesis came from the various effects of assay light intensity on the values of E_a for N₂ fixation between light–limited cultures grown at 23 °C and 27 °C (Supplementary Table S1, Fig. S2). For *Trichodesmium* grown under limiting light level, the lower E_a values in cultures acclimated to 23 °C was significantly elevated by the increased assay light intensity which can provide more ATP/reducing equivalents (Supplementary Table S1; Fig. S2(a)). This suggests the constraint should be the supply of ATP/reducing equivalents. The higher E_a values in cultures acclimated
- 290 to 27 °C were insensitive to the assay light intensity changes, suggesting N₂ fixation should not be controlled by the supply of ATP/reducing equivalents at this optimal temperature, but may possibly be controlled by inherent catalytic capacity of the nitrogenase (Supplementary Table S1; Fig. S2(b)).

The short–term thermal responses for N_2 fixation mirror thermal shock responses. If cells are exposed to the thermal changes for longer time, acclimation will definitely change the thermal responses for N_2 fixation in *Trichodesmium*

- (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2014; Staal et al., 2003). To compare the short–term and acclimated thermal responses for N₂ fixation, we calculated the corresponding values of E_a , E_h and T_{opt} , being respectively $0.93 \pm 0.64 \text{ eV}(\pm \text{S.E.M})$, $1.86 \pm 1.19 \text{ eV}(\pm \text{S.E.M})$ and $27.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ °C}(\pm \text{S.E.M})$, for fully–acclimated N₂ fixation within the range of 20–34 °C growth temperatures in *Trichodesmium* IMS 101 (Breitbarth et al., 2007). These values of E_a and E_h are comparable to those derived from short–term thermal response for N₂ fixation in the same strain grown under light–saturating condition and 31 °C in our
- 300 study (Table 3), but the T_{opt} value is lower than that from short–term thermal response. On the other hand, we have tried to derive values of E_a , E_h and T_{opt} for acclimated N_2 fixation rates in another three *Trichodesmium erythraeum* strains (strains RLI, KO4-20 and 21-75) (Fu et al., 2014), but the model fitting failed to converge. Instead of been negatively skewed, the thermal response curves of acclimated N_2 fixation in these three *Trichodesmium* strains are nearly symmetrical. These comparisons show that thermal response for N_2 fixation in *Trichodesmium* are strains–specific, and are affected on the time
- 305 scale of acclimation process.

In the oceans, *Trichodesmium* and other pelagic phytoplankton are often exposed to abrupt temperature changes due to strongly disturbed weather conditions, such as tropical cyclones, and marine heat waves. Global warming has been predicted to increase both tropical cyclone intensities, and the frequency of the most intense tropical cyclones (Elsner et al. 2008; Knutson et al., 2010; Wehner et al., 2018). Upper ocean temperature declines prior and during cyclone event, and then

- 310 increases abruptly afterwards (Li et al., 2009), accompanied by strong variations of surface solar radiation and stratification (Sriver and Huber, 2007). These abrupt temperature changes occurring in nature are not as acute as those in our experiment. For example, temperature changes caused by cyclone and heat waves are on the scale of 0.5 1 °C per day (Babin et al., 2004; Beca-Carretero et al., 2018). Nonetheless, these temperature changes occur within one generation of *Trichodesmium* because of its low growth rate, leaving not enough time for full acclimation. Therefore, the values of E_a, E_h, T_h and T_{opt}
- 315 provided in this study can likely serve as proxies for some types of abrupt natural temperature increases.

Code/Data availability

All data obtained in this study are in Supplement.

Author contribution

KG and XY designed the experiment. XY carried out the experiment. XY, FXF, DH and KG analysed the data and wrote the 320 manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Key R & D Program of China (2016YFA0601400), National Natural Science

Foundation of China (41720104005, 41721005), and by U.S. National Science Foundation grants OCE 1538525, OCE
 1657757, OCE 1638804 and OCE 1851222. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Babin, S. M., Carton, J. A., Dickey, T. D., Wiggert, J. D.: Satellite Evidence of Hurricane-Induced Phytoplankton Blooms in an Oceanic Desert, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109(C3). doi:10.1029/2003jc001938, 2004
- 330 Beca-Carretero, P., Guihéneuf, F., Marín-Guirao, L., Bernardeau-Esteller, J., García-Muñoz, R., Stengel, D. B., Ruiz, J. M.: Effects of an Experimental Heat Wave on Fatty Acid Composition in Two Mediterranean Seagrass Species. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 134, 27-37. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.12.057, 2018
 - Boatman, T. G., Lawson, T., and Geider, R. J.: A key marine diazotroph in a changing ocean: The interacting effects of temperature, CO2 and light on the growth of *Trichodesmium Erythraeum* IMS101, Plos ONE, 12(1), e0168796. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168796, 2017
 - Boyd, P. W., and Doney, S. C.: Modelling regional responses by marine pelagic ecosystems to global climate change, Geophysical Research Letters, 29(16), doi:10.1029/2001GL014130, 2002
 - Breitbarth, E., Oschlies, A., and LaRoche, J.: Physiological constraints on the global distribution of *Trichodesmium* effect of temperature on diazotrophy, Biogeosciences, *4*(1), 53–61. doi:10.5194/bg-4–53–2007, 2007
- 340 Breitbarth, E., Wohlers, J., Kläs, J., LaRoche, J., and Peeken, I.: Nitrogen fixation and growth rates of *Trichodesmium* IMS– 101 as a function of light intensity, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 359, 25–36. doi:10.3354/meps07241, 2008
 - Cai, X., and Gao, K.: Levels of daily light doses under changed day-night cycles regulate temporal segregation of photosynthesis and N₂ fixation in the cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS101, Plos ONE, 10(8), e0135401. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135401, 2015

- 345 Cai, X., Gao, K., Fu, F., Campbell, D. A., Beardall, J., Hutchins, D. A.: Electron Transport Kinetics in the Diazotrophic Cyanobacterium Trichodesmium Spp. Grown across a Range of Light Levels. Photosynthesis Research, 124(1), 45-56. doi:10.1007/s11120-015-0081-5, 2015
 - Capone, D. G.: Determination of nitrogenase activity in aquatic samples using the acetylene reduction procedure, in: Current Methods in Aquatic Microbiology, edited by: Kemp, P. F., Sherr, B. F., Sherr, E. B., and Cole, J. J., Lewis Publishers, New York, United States of America, 621–631, 1993
 - Capone, D. G., Burns, J. A., Montoya, J. P., Subramaniam, A., Mahaffey, C., Gunderson, T., . . . Carpenter, E. J.: Nitrogen fixation by *Trichodesmium* spp.: An important source of new nitrogen to the tropical and subtropical North Atlantic Ocean, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 19(2). doi:10.1029/2004GB002331, 2005
- Capone, D. G., Zehr, J. P., Paerl, H. W., Bergman, B., and Carpenter, E. J.: *Trichodesmium*, a globally significant marine cyanobacterium, Science, 276(5316), 1221–1229. doi:10.1126/science.276.5316.1221, 1997
 - Chappell, P. D., and Webb, E. A.: A molecular assessment of the iron stress response in the two phylogenetic clades of *Trichodesmium*, Environmental Microbiology, 12(1), 13–27. doi:10.1111/j.1462–2920.2009.02026.x, 2010
 - Chen, Y.-B., Zehr, J. P., and Mellon, M.: Growth and nitrogen fixation of the diazotrophic filamentous nonheterocystous cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium* sp. IMS 101 in defined media: Evidence for a circadian rhythm, Journal of Phycology, 32(6), 916–923. doi:10.1111/j.0022–3646.1996.00916.x, 1996
 - Collins, M., Knutti R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J.–L., Fichefet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X., Gutowski, W. J., Johns, T., Krinner, G., Shongwe, M., Tebaldi, C., Weaver, A.J. and Wehner, M.: Long–term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments and Irreversibility, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner,
- G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. and Midgley, P. M, Cambridge University
 Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013
 - Davis, C. S., and McGillicuddy, D. J.: Transatlantic abundance of the N₂-fixing colonial cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium*, Science, 312(5779), 1517–1520. doi:10.1126/science.1123570, 2006
 - Dugdale, R. C., and Goering, J. J.: Uptake of new and regenerated forms of nitrogen in primary productivity, Limnology and
- 370 Oceanography, 12(2), 196–206. doi:10.4319/lo.1967.12.2.0196, 1967

350

- Dugdale, R. C., Goering, J. J., and Ryther, J. H.: High Nitrogen Fixation Rates in the Sargasso Sea and the Arabian Sea. Limnology and Oceanography, 9(4), 507-510. doi:10.4319/lo.1964.9.4.0507, 1964
- Dugdale, R. C., Menzel, D. W., and Ryther, J. H.: Nitrogen Fixation in the Sargasso Sea. Deep Sea Research (1953), 7(4), 297-300. doi:10.1016/0146-6313(61)90051-X, 1961
- 375 Eilers, P. H. C., and Peeters, J. C. H.: A model for the relationship between light intensity and the rate of photosynthesis in phytoplankton, Ecological Modelling, 42(3), 199–215. doi:10.1016/0304-3800(88)90057-9, 1988
 - Elsner, J. B., Kossin, J. P., and Jagger, T. H.: The increasing intensity of the strongest tropical cyclones, Nature, 455, 92–95. doi:10.1038/nature07234, 2008

- Fu, F. X., Yu, E., Garcia, N. S., Gale, J., Luo, Y., Webb, E. A., and Hutchins, D. A.: Differing responses of marine N₂ fixers to
 warming and consequences for future diazotroph community structure, Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 72(1), 33–46.
 doi:10.3354/ame01683, 2014
 - Garcia, N. S., Fu, F. X., Breene, C. L., Bernhardt, P. W., Mulholland, M. R., Sohm, J. A., Hutchins, D. A.: Interactive Effects of Irradiance and CO₂ on CO₂ Fixation and N₂ Fixation in the Diazotroph Trichodesmium Erythraeum (Cyanobacteria). Journal of Phycology, 47(6), 1292-1303, 2011
- 385 Gillooly, J. F., Brown, J. H., West, G. B., Savage, V. M. and Charnov, E. L.: Effects of Size and Temperature on Metabolic Rate. Science, 293(5538), 2248-2251. doi:10.1126/science.1061967, 2001
 - Gunderson, C. A., O'hara, K. H., Campion, C. M., Walker, A. V., and Edwards, N. T.: Thermal plasticity of photosynthesis: the role of acclimation in forest responses to a warming climate, Global Change Biology, 16(8), 2272–2286. doi:10.1111/j.1365–2486.2009.02090.x, 2010
- 390 Hikosaka, K., Ishikawa, K., Borjigidai, A., Muller, O., and Onoda, Y.: Temperature acclimation of photosynthesis: mechanisms involved in the changes in temperature dependence of photosynthetic rate. Journal of Experimental Botany, 57(2), 291–302. doi:10.1093/jxb/erj049, 2006
 - Hutchins, D. A., and Boyd, P. W.: Marine Phytoplankton and the Changing Ocean Iron Cycle. Nature Climate Change, 6(12), 1072-1079. doi:10.1038/nclimate3147, 2016
- 395 Hutchins, D. A., and Fu, F.: Microorganisms and ocean global change, Nature Microbiology, 2, 17058. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.58, 2017
 - Hutchins, D. A., Fu, F. X., Zhang, Y., Warner, M. E., Feng, Y., Portune, K., . . . Mulholland, M. R.: CO2 control of *Trichodesmium* N₂ fixation, photosynthesis, growth rates, and elemental ratios: Implications for past, present, and future ocean biogeochemistry, Limnology and Oceanography, 52(4), 1293–1304. doi:10.4319/lo.2007.52.4.1293, 2007
- 400

- Hutchins, D. A., Walworth, N. G., Webb, E. A., Saito, M. A. and Moran, D., Mcllvin, M. R., Gale, J. and Fu, F.: Irreversibly Increased Nitrogen Fixation in *Trichodesmium* Experimentally Adapted to Elevated Carbon Dioxide. Nature Communications, 6, 8155. doi:10.1038/ncomms9155, 2015
- Jiang, H.-B., Fu, F.-X., Rivero-Calle, S., Levine, N. M., Sañudo-Wilhelmy, S. A., Qu, P.-P., . . . Hutchins, D. A.: Ocean warming alleviates iron limitation of marine nitrogen fixation, Nature Climate Change, 8(8), 709–712. doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0216-8, 2018
 - Knutson, T. R., McBride, J. L., Chan, J., Emanuel, K., Holland, G., Landsea, C., . . . Sugi, M.: Tropical cyclones and climate change, Nature Geoscience, 3, 157–163. doi:10.1038/ngeo779, 2010
 - LaRoche, J., and Breitbarth, E.: Importance of the diazotrophs as a source of new nitrogen in the ocean, Journal of Sea Research, 53(1–2), 67–91. doi:10.1016/j.seares.2004.05.005, 2005
 - Levitan, O., Brown, C. M., Sudhaus, S., Campbell, D., LaRoche, J., and Berman–Frank, I.: Regulation of nitrogen metabolism in the marine diazotroph *Trichodesmium* IMS101 under varying temperatures and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations,

Environmental Microbiology, 12(7), 1899–1912. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02195.x, 2010

- Li, F., Beardall, J., Collins, S., and Gao, K.: Decreased Photosynthesis and Growth with Reduced Respiration in the Model
- 415 Diatom *Phaeodactylum Tricornutum* Grown under Elevated CO₂ over 1800 Generations, Global Change Biology, 23(1), 127-137. doi:doi:10.1111/gcb.13501, 2017
 - Li, G., Wu, Y., and Gao, K.: Effects of Typhoon Kaemi on coastal phytoplankton assemblages in the South China Sea, with special reference to the effects of solar UV radiation, Journal of Geophysical Research, 114(G04029). doi:10.1029/2008jg000896, 2009
- Mahaffey, C., Michaels, A. F., and Capone, D. G: The conundrum of marine N₂ fixation, American Journal of Science, 305(6–8), 546–595. doi:10.2475/ajs.305.6–8.546, 2005
 - Moore, C. M., Mills, M. M., Arrigo, K. R., Berman–Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd, P. W., . . . Ulloa, O.: Processes and patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation, Nature Geoscience, 6(9), 701–710. doi:10.1038/ngeo1765, 2013
 - Moore, J. K., Doney, S. C., Kleypas, J. A., Glover, D. M., and Fung, I. Y.: An intermediate complexity marine ecosystem model
- 425 for the global domain, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 49(1), 403–462. doi:10.1016/S0967–0645(01)00108–4, 2001
 - Olson, E. M., McGillicuddy Jr, D. J., Dyhrman, S. T., Waterbury, J. B., Davis, C. S., and Solow, A. R.: The depth–distribution of nitrogen fixation by *Trichodesmium* spp. colonies in the tropical–subtropical North Atlantic, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 104, 72–91. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.06.012, 2015
- 430 Padfield, D., Yvon–Durocher, G., Buckling, A., Jennings, S., and Yvon–Durocher, G.: Rapid evolution of metabolic traits explains thermal adaptation in phytoplankton, Ecology Letters, 19(2), 133–142. doi:10.1111/ele.12545, 2015
 - Prufert-Bebout, L., Paerl, H. W., and Lassen, C.: Growth, nitrogen fixation, and spectral attenuation in cultivated *Trichodesmium* species, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 59(5), 1367–1375, 1993

Ralph, P. J., and Gademann, R.: Rapid Light Curves: A Powerful Tool to Assess Photosynthetic Activity. Aquatic Botany, 82(3),

- 435 222-237. doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2005.02.006, 2005
 - Raven, J. A., and Geider, R. J.: Temperature and algal growth, New Phytologist, 110(4), 441–461. doi:10.1111/j.1469–8137.1988.tb00282.x, 1988
 - Ritchie, R. J.: Consistent sets of spectrophotometric Chlorophyll equations for acetone, methanol and ethanol solvents, Photosynthesis Research, 89(1), 27–41. doi:10.1007/s11120–006–9065–9, 2006
- 440 Rouco, M., Haley, S. T., Alexander, H., Wilson, S. T., Karl, D. M., and Dyhrman, S. T.: Variable depth distribution of *Trichodesmium* clades in the North Pacific Ocean, Environmental Microbiology Reports, 8(6), 1058–1066. doi:10.1111/1758–2229.12488, 2016
- Schaum, C. E., Buckling, A., Smirnoff, N., Studholme, D. J. and Yvon-Durocher, G.: Environmental Fluctuations Accelerate Molecular Evolution of Thermal Tolerance in a Marine Diatom. Nature Communications, 9(1), 1719.
 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03906-5, 2018
 - Schoolfield, R. M., Sharpe, P. J. H., and Magnuson, C. E.: Non-linear regression of biological temperature-dependent rate

models based on absolute reaction-rate theory, Journal of Theoretical Biology, 88(4), 719-731. doi:10.1016/0022-5193(81)90246-0, 1981

- Sharpe, P. J. H., and DeMichele, D. W.: Reaction Kinetics of Poikilotherm Development. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 64(4),
 649-670. doi:10.1016/0022-5193(77)90265-X, 1977
 - Sohm, J. A., Webb, E. A., and Capone, D. G.: Emerging patterns of marine nitrogen fixation, Nature Reviews Microbiology, 9(7), 499–508. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2594, 2011
 - Somero, G. N.: The physiology of climate change: how potentials for acclimatization and genetic adaptation will determine 'winners' and 'losers', The Journal of Experimental Biology, 213(6), 912–920. doi:10.1242/jeb.037473, 2010
- Sriver, R. L., and Huber, M.: Observational evidence for an ocean heat pump induced by tropical cyclones, Nature, 447, 577– 580. doi:10.1038/nature05785, 2007
 - Staal, M., Meysman, F. J. R., and Stal, L. J.: Temperature excludes N₂-fixing heterocystous cyanobacteria in the tropical oceans. Nature, 425(6957), 504–507. doi:10.1038/nature01999, 2003
 - Suggett, D. J., Le Floc'H, E., Harris, G. N., Leonardos, N., and Geider, R. J.: Different strategies of photoacclimation by two
- 460 strains of *Emiliania huxleyi* (Haptophyta), Journal of Phycology, 43(6), 1209–1222. doi:10.1111/j.1529– 8817.2007.00406.x, 2007
 - Thomas, M. K., Kremer, C. T., Klausmeier, C. A., and Litchman, E.: A Global Pattern of Thermal Adaptation in Marine Phytoplankton, Science, 338(6110), 1085–1088. doi:10.1126/science.1224836, 2012
- Tong, S., Gao, K., and Hutchins, D. A.: Adaptive Evolution in the Coccolithophore Gephyrocapsa Oceanica Following 1,000
 Generations of Selection under Elevated Co2. Global Change Biology, 24(7), 3055-3064. doi:doi:10.1111/gcb.14065, 2018
 - Villareal, T., and Carpenter, E.: Buoyancy Regulation and the Potential for Vertical Migration in the Oceanic Cyanobacterium Trichodesmium. Microbial Ecology, 45(1), 1-10, 2003
 - Way, D. A., and Yamori, W.: Thermal acclimation of photosynthesis: on the importance of adjusting our definitions and accounting for thermal acclimation of respiration, Photosynthesis Research, 119(1), 89–100. doi:10.1007/s11120-
 - 013-9873-7, 2014
 - Wehner, M. F., Reed, K. A., Loring, B., Stone, D., and Krishnan, H.: Changes in tropical cyclones under stabilized 1.5 and 2.0 °C global warming scenarios as simulated by the Community Atmospheric Model under the HAPPI protocols, Earth Syst. Dynam., 9(1), 187–195. doi:10.5194/esd–9–187–2018, 2018
- 475 Yamori, W., Hikosaka, K., and Way, D. A.: Temperature response of photosynthesis in C3, C4, and CAM plants: temperature acclimation and temperature adaptation, Photosynthesis Research, 119(1), 101–117. doi:10.1007/s11120–013–9874–6, 2014
 - Zehr, J. P.: Nitrogen fixation by marine cyanobacteria, Trends in Microbiology, 19(4), 162–173. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2010.12.004, 2011

480

Table 1 Results of two-way ANOVA for specific growth rate, N_2 fixation rate, effective quantum yield and parameters derived from rETR light curve with interactions between "Temperature" and "Light". See Supplementary Table S2 for results of pairwise comparisons.

P value	F value	d.f	Effect	Parameter	
< 0.001	22.0	2,12	Temperature	Specific growth rate	
< 0.001	662.7	1,12	Light		
< 0.001	18.0	2,12	Temperature*Light		
< 0.001	3912.3	2,12	Temperature	N ₂ fixation rate (N _{growth})	
< 0.001	149.9	1,12	Light		
< 0.001	112.7	2,12	Temperature*Light		
< 0.001	22.1	2,12	Temperature	Effective quantum yield	
< 0.001	233.2	1,12	Light		
0.211	1.8	2,12	Temperature*Light		
< 0.001	24.5	2,12	Temperature	alpha	
< 0.01	10.6	1,12	Light		
0.815	0.2	2,12	Temperature*Light		
< 0.05	5.0	2,12	Temperature	E_k	
< 0.05	6.0	1,12	Light		rETR light curve
0.394	1.0	2,12	Temperature*Light		
< 0.001	31.2	2,12	Temperature	rETR _{max}	
< 0.001	139.8	1,12	Light		
< 0.01	8.1	2,12	Temperature*Light		

Table 2 The light harvesting efficiency (α), relative election transport rate maximum (rETR_{max}) and light saturation point (E_k), derived from the light curves (Fig. 2), for *Trichodesmium* grown at different temperature and light intensity levels; values represent the means ± standard deviations of biological replicates (n=3); superscripts with different letters represent significant difference (Turkey's test, more details in Supplementary Table S2; p<0.05;) among the treatments. The units of

 E_k and rETR_{max} are µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ and arbitrary unit, respectively.

	Growth conditions							
	Light-saturating			Light-limiting				
	23 °C	27 °C	31 °C		23 °C	27 °C	31 °C	
α	0.25 ± 0.01^{ac}	0.24 ± 0.03^a	$0.28\pm0.01^{\text{c}}$	(0.30 ± 0.03^{bc}	$0.28\pm0.03^{\text{b}}$	0.35 ± 0.03^{b}	
E_k	316 ± 22^{ab}	322 ± 45^{ab}	371 ± 16^{a}		270 ± 17^{b}	319 ± 38^{ab}	329 ± 21^{ab}	
rETR _{max}	78 ± 3^{a}	72 ± 3^{a}	$105\pm2^{\text{b}}$		80 ± 6^a	90 ± 2^{c}	$115\pm4^{\text{b}}$	

Parameter	Light	Temperature(°C)	Estimate	S.E.M	CI(95%)
		23	38.3	1.0	[36.4, 40.3]
	Light-saturating	27	39.1	1.0	[37.1, 41.1]
$N(T_c)$		31	41.3	1.5	[38.2, 44.3]
$(\mu mol N_2 mg)$ Chl-a ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)		23	19.5	0.8	[18.0, 21.1]
	Light-limiting	27	15.0	0.8	[13.4, 16.6]
		31	20.3	1.1	[18.2, 22.5]
		23	0.49	0.04	[0.41, 0.57]
E _a (eV)	No Light effect	27	0.91	0.05	[0.80, 1.01]
		31	1.07	0.04	[0.98, 1.16]
		23	4.49	0.51	[3.47, 5.51]
	Light-saturating	27	3.99	0.31	[3.36, 4.61]
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{aV})$		31	1.47	0.14	[1.18, 1.75]
$\mathbf{L}_{h}(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{v})$		23	7.51	0.68	[6.15, 8.87]
	Light-limiting	27	7.01	0.60	[5.82, 8.21]
		31	4.49	0.49	[3.50, 5.48]
		23	32.6	0.1	[32.3, 32.9]
	Light-saturating	27	32.4	0.2	[32.1, 32.8]
\mathbf{T}_{1} (°C)		31	31.8	0.2	[31.3, 32.3]
$\Gamma_{\rm h}(C)$		23	31.1	0.1	[30.9, 31.4]
	Light-limiting	27	31.0	0.1	[30.7, 31.2]
		31	30.3	0.2	[29.9, 30.6]
		23	28.7	0.2	[28.2, 29.1]
	Light-saturating	27	29.5	0.2	[29.2, 29.8]
$\mathbf{T} = (^{0}\mathbf{C})$		31	30.0	0.3	[29.5, 30.6]
l opt (C)		23	28.2	0.2	[27.9, 28.6]
	Light-limiting	27	28.6	0.2	[28.3, 28.9]
		31	27.8	0.2	[27.4, 28.2]
		23	16.0	0.3	[15.3, 16.6]
N	Light-saturating	27	19.3	0.4	[18.5, 20.1]
$1N_{max}$		31	14.6	0.4	[13.9, 15.4]
Chl- a^{-1} h ⁻¹)		23	8.3	0.3	[7.6, 8.9]
	Light-limiting	27	7.4	0.4	[6.6, 8.2]
		31	8.6	0.4	[7.8, 9.4]

Table 3 Model parameters of thermal responses for N₂ fixation. The structure of the fixed effect is: N(T_c) ~ Temperature *
Light; E_a ~ Temperature; E_h ~ Light + Temperature; T_h ~ Light + Temperature. "+" and "*" represent additive and interactive effects, respectively.



Figure 1 *Trichodesmium* responses of (a) growth, (b) N_2 fixation rate and (c) effective quantum yield to temperature and light availability interactions; values represent the means \pm the standard deviations of biological replicates(n=3).



Figure 2 Light response curves of rETR in *Trichodesmium* populations grown under (a) light–saturating and (b) light–limiting conditions; values represent the means ± standard deviations of biological replicates(n=3); Solid lines illustrate the best fit to Eq. (4) with 95% confidence intervals as dashed lines.



Figure 3 Short–term thermal response curves for N_2 fixation rate in *Trichodesmium* cultures grown under (a) light–saturating and (b) light–limiting conditions; fitted lines are based on fixed effect coefficients of the nonlinear mixed effects model fitting to Eq. (2); vertical dotted lines mark the assay temperatures 23 °C, 27 °C and 31 °C.



Figure 4 The combined effects of temperature and light intensity on the specific growth rate in *Trichodesmium* IMS 101; data
from published literatures involving at least two growth temperatures and this study.