In this response letter the *referee comments are listed in blue italic font*, followed by the authors response.

Dear colleagues,

The efforts made to introduce more nuance to their statements regarding uncertainties of flux calculations from different methods used here is appreciable. However, I think some more work should be done.

For instance, suggestions made by Michael Staubwasser to show better pore water profiles by zooming around the interface and try to fit more than two points are crucial here. The arguments advanced to stick on 2 points modelling are, in my opinion, not really convincing.

argument 1. I am not sure that saying "many others did, we should do" is very scientific.

In addition, looking back to papers from Sundby and collaborators (Sundby et al, 1986 and Westerlund et al 1986), it seems that they do not calculate fluxes out of porewaters. In the second one, they compare overlying water and the first layer of porewater to predict flux direction, they do not go further.

argument 2

the authors say that "advanced curve fitting methods would fail to capture sharp gradients..." in order to justify why they do a simple 2 points fitting to calculate diffusive flux. I agree that there is no problem using linear regressions with pour-resolved vertical profiles. The problem here is that between a 2-points and a 3-points regression, there is a big gap in terms of modelling validity.

argument 3

Indeed, if bottom water concentration is low so it does not affect the gradient, however the first porewater concentration does. The latter concentration is the result of a certain volume of porewater mixed altogether during sampling and extraction. We can imagine that some of it had oxygen, some of it sulphide and the final concentration is the result of thermodynamic equilibium, not only due to mixing porewaters of different concentrations.

This is better said by Warnken et al., 2001 as follows:

"The diffusive fluxes of Fe agreed with measured fluxes at station 1, but diffusive flux estimates of Fe at stations 2 and 3 were greater than the measured chamber fluxes, possibly indicating that pore water Fe concentration gradients did not accurately represent actual interfacial concentration gradients at these stations. This could be due to less steep gradients in the upper mm of sediment suggesting that the 1 cm sampling resolution used here was not adequate. Accounting for the reduced slope very near

the sediment–water interface would result in lower calculated diffusive fluxes that would possibly agree more closely with measured fluxes. Additionally, rapid oxidative processes at or near the sediment–water interface could also reduce the amount of Fe released from the sediments, as concentration increases during the incubation experiments conducted at stations 2 and 3 were reduced when compared to station 1."

Having a third point, helps to show that the trend is maintained despite interface processes.

I would suggests the authors to simply explain that linear regressions were made because of scarcity of data due to sharpness of gradients at a centimetre resolution profiling. In addition, I would put a warning about the precision of numbers obtained.

We would like to thank the reviewer for providing us with additional referee comments and we appreciate the reviewer's remaining concerns regarding methodology.

He rightly pointed out that a three-point regression would be preferable for the calculation of benthic diffusive fluxes because of a lower statistical uncertainty. He also acknowledged problems related to the coarse vertical resolution of our pore water profiles and the sharpness of the concentration gradients within the surface sediment.

Due to the soft texture of the sediment, it was not possible to sample the pore water at a higher resolution within the first centimeter of the sediment. Furthermore, as stated in the first response to the reviewer, Fe concentrations in pore water drop sharply shortly below the sediment surface because of high rates of bacterial sulfate reduction and Fe sulfide precipitation within the surface sediment. Consequently, choosing an additional concentration point, that would be located below this drop in concentration, would simply lead to artificially low fluxes. Although, the uncertainty of a three-point approach would be lower from a statistical point of view, it would clearly lead to erroneous results. To illustrate this and following the reviewers' recommendation, we added close-up profiles of pore water concentrations within the upper 5 cm of the surface sediment to the supplement (Fig. S1 and S2). Furthermore, we added a more detailed explanation, including the reviewers' suggestions, to state why we applied the two-point gradient in lines 323 – 330 in the revised manuscript "Due to the coarse resolution of our pore water profiles and the steep gradients between the uppermost pore water and bottom water sample (see close-up profiles, Fig. S1 and S2, in the supplement), we chose to follow previous studies (e.g., Noffke et al., 2012; Lenstra et al., 2019; Scholz et al., 2019) and calculate diffusive benthic fluxes based on a two point concentration gradient. Including deeper samples into a linear regression or applying more advanced curve fitting methods would reduce the statistical uncertainty but fail to capture the sharp concentration gradients at the sediment surface, thus, leading to erroneous flux estimates (cf. Shibamoto and Harada, 2010). " We hope that this will clarify, why we have to choose the applied method. A more detailed discussion of the early diagenetic processes leading to steep and variable gradients within the surface sediment (bacterial sulfate reduction, precipitation of Fe monosulfides, etc.) would be inappropriate in the method section.

Another point that was not fully addressed is the uncertainties on incubation calculations. You must have errors over 100 % with a R2 of 0.5. You should look in detail what are uncertainties and which regression has statistical significance.

Following the reviewer's recommendation, we calculated the uncertainty of our in-situ benthic fluxes by propagating the errors of the linear regressions of the concentration change over time (see Tables 2 and 3 in the revised version). Furthermore, following previous studies (e.g., Friedrich et al. (2002); Lenstra et al. (2019)), only fluxes with a linear regressions with a $R^2 > 0.3$ are reported in Tables 2 and 3 (See lines 338 - 339 in the revised manuscript).

All this should lead to a discussion about how we can do better. As it is, it gives me the idea that since 1986 no analytical progress was made to improve spatial resolution and to limit incubation time and increase incubation replicates. Maybe that is the case, but it has to be said somewhere

We agree that the advantages and disadvantages of the various methods and approaches for the determination of benthic fluxes is an exciting topic. However, the focus of our study is the benthic biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Cd in oxygen minimum zones and not the technical aspects of the methods applied. Therefore, we feel that providing an extended discussion about technical limitations and potential improvements to the methods is beyond the scope of our work.

All the methods and approaches applied in our study are commonly applied in benthic biogeochemical studies by various research groups around the world. We agree that improvements to these methods are possible. On the other hand, for a comparison of benthic fluxes from different locations or seasons (note that we compare our Fe flux data to those determined in the same area a couple of years earlier), it is quite useful to stick to methodologies that have been applied before. For this reason, we refer to previous studies in the description of our methodology.

The control of hydrogen sulfide on benthic iron and cadmium fluxes in the oxygen minimum zone off Peru

Anna Plass[1*], Christian Schlosser[1], Stefan Sommer[1], Andrew W. Dale[1], Eric P. Achterberg[1], Florian Scholz[1*]

[1]GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstraße 1-3, 24148 Kiel, Germany

[*]Correspondence to: Anna Plass (aplass@geomar.de), Florian Scholz (fscholz@geomar.de)

Abstract

Sediments in oxygen-depleted marine environments can be an important sink or source of bio-essential trace metals in the ocean. However, the key mechanisms controlling the release from or burial of trace metals in sediments are not exactly understood. Here, we investigate the benthic biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Cd in the oxygen minimum zone off Peru. We combine bottom water and pore water concentrations, as well as benthic fluxes determined from pore water profiles and in-situ from benthic chamber incubations, along a depth transect at 12° S. In agreement with previous studies, both concentration-depth profiles and in-situ benthic fluxes indicate a release of Fe from sediments to the bottom water. Diffusive Fe fluxes and Fe fluxes from benthic chamber incubations (-0.3 – -17.5 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) are broadly consistent at most stations, indicating that diffusion is the main transport mechanism of dissolved Fe across the sediment-water interface. The occurrence of mats of sulfur oxidizing bacteria on the seafloor represents an important control on the spatial distribution of Fe fluxes by regulating hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) concentrations and, potentially, Fe sulfide precipitation within the surface sediment. Rapid removal of dissolved Fe after its release to anoxic bottom waters hints to oxidative removal by nitrite and interactions with particles in the near-bottom water column. Benthic flux estimates of Cd suggest a flux into the sediment within the oxygen minimum zone. Fluxes from benthic chamber incubations (up to 22.6 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) exceed diffusive fluxes (< 1 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) by a factor > 25, indicating that downward diffusion of Cd across the sediment-water interface is of subordinate importance for Cd removal from benthic chambers. As Cd removal in benthic chambers co-varies with H$_2$S concentrations in the pore water of surface sediments, we argue that Cd removal is mediated by precipitation of CdS within the chamber water or directly at the sediment-water interface. A mass balance approach, taking into account the contributions of diffusive and chamber fluxes as well as Cd delivery with organic material, suggests that CdS precipitation in the near-bottom water could make an important contribution to the overall Cd mass accumulation in the sediment solid phase. According to our results, the solubility of trace metal sulfide minerals (Cd << Fe) is a key-factor controlling trace metal removal and consequently the magnitude as well as the temporal and spatial heterogeneity of sedimentary fluxes. We argue that depending on their sulfide solubility, sedimentary source or sink fluxes of trace metals will change differentially as a result of declining oxygen concentrations and an associated

2

45 expansion of sulfidic surface sediments. Such a trend could cause a change in the

46 trace metal stoichiometry of upwelling water masses with potential consequences for

47 marine ecosystems in the surface ocean.

48

49

50 **1. Introduction**

51

52 **1.1 Scientific rationale**

53   The world´s oceans are losing oxygen (e.g. Keeling et al. 2010; Stramma et al.

54 2010; Helm et al. 2011). In total around 2 % of oxygen has been lost over the past five

55 decades (Schmidtko et al., 2017) and an expansion of oxygen minimum zones (OMZs)

56 in the tropical oceans has been documented over the same timespan (Stramma et al.,

57 2008). The biogeochemical cycling of several nutrient-type trace metals (TMs) is likely

58 to be particularly susceptible to changing oxygen concentrations as they occur in

59 different oxidation states (e.g. Fe, Mn, Co) and/or are precipitated as sulfide mineral in

60 anoxic-sulfidic environments (e.g. Fe, Zn, Cd; listed in the order of decreasing sulfide

61 solubility). However, with the exception of Fe (Dale et al., 2015a; Lohan and Bruland,

62 2008; Rapp et al., 2018; Schlosser et al., 2018; Scholz et al., 2014a), little information

63 is available on how other TM fluxes will respond to ocean deoxygenation. As certain

64 TMs are essential for the growth of marine organisms (e.g. Fe, Mn, Co, Ni, Zn, Cd),

65 TM availability can (co-)limit primary productivity and therefore affect oceanic carbon

66 sequestration through the biological pump (Saito et al., 2008; Moore et al., 2013; Morel

67 et al., 2014). As a consequence, a better understanding of how TMs respond to low

68 oxygen conditions is essential for predicting how marine ecosystems and the carbon

69 cycle will evolve in the future ocean, with modelling scenarios predicting a continuation

70 of ocean deoxygenation (Bopp et al., 2002; Oschlies et al., 2008; Keeling et al., 2010)

71   Marine sediments are an important source or sink of TMs to the ocean under

72 low oxygen conditions (Böning et al., 2004; Brumsack, 2006; Scor Working Group,

73 2007; Severmann et al., 2010; Noble et al., 2012; Biller and Bruland, 2013; Conway

74 and John, 2015b; Klar et al., 2018). In the OMZ off the coast of Peru, substantial fluxes

75 of reduced Fe and other TMs across the sediment-bottom water interface have been

76 documented (Noffke et al., 2012; Scholz et al., 2016) or inferred (Hawco et al., 2016).

77 While a number of studies have addressed biogeochemical processes that lead to

78 benthic Fe release, the key biogeochemical processes and conditions that control the

79 sedimentary release or burial of other TMs in open marine systems are still poorly

80 constrained. Moreover, a detailed picture of removal or stabilization processes and

81 rates that take place in the highly dynamic water layer overlying the seafloor is lacking.

82   In this article, we compare the benthic biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Cd. It

83 has been established that the Peruvian OMZ represents a source of dissolved Fe to

84 the ocean (Noffke et al., 2012; Fitzsimmons et al., 2016; John et al., 2018). In contrast,

85 earlier studies have demonstrated that OMZs represent a sink for Cd (Janssen et al.,

86 2014; Böning et al., 2004). Because of their contrasting tendency to form sulfide

87 minerals and different supply pathways to the sediment, Fe and Cd can serve as

88 prototypes to provide information about how sedimentary fluxes of different TMs may

89 respond to declining oxygen concentrations. Under more reducing conditions the

90 mobility of TMs can either be enhanced or diminished, e.g., through precipitation of

91 sulfide minerals that are buried in the sediments (e.g. Westerlund et al., 1986; Rigaud

92 et al., 2013; Olson et al., 2017). Increased burial or release of TMs at the seafloor can

93 have an impact on the amplitude of primary productivity, especially at the eastern

94 ocean boundaries where the near-bottom water column is connected to the surface

95 ocean via upwelling. Moreover, since the inventories of TMs in the ocean are generally

96 dependent on the respective input and output fluxes, changes in the balance between

97 trace metal recycling and burial can have an impact on oceanic TM reservoirs on longer

98 timescales. By comparing the benthic biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Cd across

99 spatial and temporal redox gradients, we aim to provide general constraints on how

100 the stoichiometry of bio-essential TMs in seawater may be affected by ocean

101 deoxygenation.

102

103 **1.2. Marine biogeochemistry of iron**

104   Iron is the most abundant TM in phytoplankton and part of a range of

105 metalloenzymes that are involved in important biological functions, such as

106 photosynthesis or nitrogen fixation (Twining and Baines, 2013). Despite Fe being

107 highly abundant in the continental crust, its low availability limits primary productivity in

108 up to 30 % of the surface ocean area (Moore et al., 2013). This limitation arises from

109   the low solubility of its thermodynamically stable form in oxic waters, Fe(III).

110   Concentrations can reach up to ~ 1 nM when Fe(III) is kept in solution through

111   complexation with organic ligands (Rue and Bruland, 1997; Liu and Millero, 2002; Boyd

112   and Ellwood, 2010; Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). The thermodynamically stable form

113   of Fe under anoxic conditions, Fe(II), is more soluble and therefore anoxic waters are

114   typically characterized by higher dissolved Fe concentrations (up to tens of nM)

115   (Conway and John, 2014; Vedamati et al., 2014; Fitzsimmons et al., 2016; Schlosser

116   et al., 2018).

117   Sediments within OMZs are considered an important source of dissolved Fe and

118   some of the highest sedimentary Fe fluxes have been observed in these regions

119   (Severmann et al., 2010; Noffke et al., 2012). Under anoxic conditions, Fe(II) can be

120   liberated from the sediments into pore waters from Fe-(oxyhydr)oxides through

121   reductive dissolution by microbes or abiotic reduction with H_2S (Canfield, 1989). In the

122   absence of oxygen, dissolved Fe(II) escapes the rapid re-oxidation and subsequent

123   (oxyhydr)oxide precipitation and can, therefore, diffuse from pore waters into bottom

124   waters. However, in anoxic OMZs, where denitrification takes place, Fe(II) can also be

125   re-oxidized with nitrate as a terminal electron acceptor, either mediated by nitrate-

126   reducing microbes or abiotically through reaction with nitrite (Straub et al., 1996;

127   Carlson et al., 2013; Scholz et al., 2016; Heller et al., 2017). The solubility of Fe in

128   sulfidic (i.e. NO_3^- and NO_2^- depleted) water is relatively high (Rickard et al., 2006) and

129   during sulfidic events dissolved Fe can accumulate in the water column (up to

130   hundreds of nM) because of decreased Fe oxidation (Scholz et al., 2016) and

131   stabilization as aqueous Fe sulfide complexes and clusters (Schlosser et al., 2018).

132   However, Fe fluxes across the benthic boundary have also been hypothesized to

133   decrease under strongly sulfidic conditions in the surface sediments, when pore waters

134   become oversaturated with respect to Fe monosulfide (Scholz et al., 2014), which is

135   the precursor for pyrite (FeS_2) (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012).

136

1.3. Marine biogeochemistry of cadmium

138   Cd is abundant in phytoplankton despite concentrations that are one order of

139   magnitude lower than Fe (Moore et al., 2013; Twining and Baines, 2013). A function

140   for Cd as a catalytic metal atom in the carbonic anhydrase protein has been found in

141   diatoms (Lane and Morel, 2000) and it can also substitute Zn and enhance

phytoplankton growth under Zn limitation in different phytoplankton species (Price and Morel, 1990; Lee and Morel, 1995; Sunda and Huntsman, 2000; Xu et al., 2008). In marine sediments Cd can be released from the solid phase to the pore waters through the remineralization of organic matter (Klinkhammer et al., 1982; Collier and Edmond, 1984; Gendron et al., 1986; Gerringa, 1990; Audry et al., 2006; Scholz and Neumann, 2007). After its release to the pore water, Cd can diffuse across the sediment-water interface. Under anoxic and sulfidic conditions, Cd is thought to be precipitated as CdS (Greenockite) and retained in the sediment (Westerlund et al., 1986; Gobeil et al., 1987; Rosenthal et al., 1995; Audry et al., 2006). Due to its low sulfide solubility, CdS can precipitate at much lower H_2S concentrations than FeS (mackinawite) (Morse and Luther, 1999).

Most previous studies have focused on the benthic cycling of Cd in near- and in-shore environments such as estuaries and lagoons (e.g. Westerlund et al., 1986; Colbert et al., 2001; Audry et al., 2006b; Metzger et al., 2007; Point et al., 2007; Scholz and Neumann, 2007). By contrast, little is known about Cd cycling in open-marine sedimentary environments, where the redox- and sediment-dynamics are different. Previous studies on sedimentary Cd cycling generally concluded that the flux of organic material and the presence of H_2S are the most important factors controlling the balance between Cd recycling versus precipitation and burial (e.g. Westerlund et al., 1986; Colbert et al., 2001; Audry et al., 2006; Metzger et al., 2007; Scholz and Neumann, 2007). Low oxygen regions in the ocean are considered an important sink for Cd (Janssen et al., 2014; Conway and John, 2015a; Xie et al., 2019) and sediments below OMZs are highly enriched in Cd (Ragueneau et al., 2000; Böning et al., 2004; Borchers et al., 2005; Muñoz et al., 2012; Little et al., 2015). However, the respective contributions of different Cd removal mechanisms to Cd accumulation in the sediment have not been quantified.

1.4. Study area

Seasonal upwelling of nutrient-rich waters off the Peruvian coast in austral winter leads to high rates of primary productivity in the photic zone (~ 300 mmol C m^{-3} d^{-1}) (Pennington et al., 2006). The combination of oxygen consumption through the respiration of this organic matter and low oxygen concentrations in water masses that supply upwelling regions, leads to the formation of one of the world's most intense

OMZs, with complete oxygen consumption in the OMZ core between ~ 100 m – 300 m water depth (Karstensen et al., 2008; Thamdrup et al., 2012). Upon oxygen depletion, NO_3^- can serve as an electron acceptor for respiration. Therefore, denitrification, dissimilatory reduction of NO_3^- to ammonium (DNRA) and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) with NO_2^- are important biogeochemical processes within the anoxic and nitrogenous water column (Lam et al., 2009; Lam and Kuypers, 2011; Dalsgaard et al., 2012). The OMZ overlying the Peruvian shelf is a temporally and spatially dynamic system where biogeochemical conditions can range from fully oxic to anoxic and sulfidic. Occasional shelf oxygenation events occur mostly during El Niño events and are linked to the propagation of coastal trapped waves (Gutiérrez et al., 2008). During such events, oxygenated water can be found on the upper slope to 200 m – 300 m water depth (Levin et al., 2002). By contrast, sulfidic events can occur during periods of stagnation, when oxygen, NO_3^- and NO_2^- become depleted in the water column due to sluggish ventilation. Once NO_3^- and NO_2^- are depleted, chemolithoautotrophic H_2S oxidation is impeded. Hydrogen sulfide produced by bacterial sulfate reduction in sediments can then be released to the water column (Schunck et al., 2013) at rates reaching several mmol m^{-2} d^{-1} (Sommer et al., 2016).

Our sampling campaign (cruises M136 and M137) took place in April and May 2017, during the decline of a coastal El Niño event. A coastal El Niño is a local phenomenon that refers to reduced upwelling and increased sea surface temperatures off the coasts of Peru and Ecuador, with typically heavy rainfall on land. During this event in austral summer, coastal waters off Peru showed a strong positive sea surface temperature anomaly of up to 2 to 4 °C (Echevin et al., 2018; Garreaud, 2018). The warming is proposed to be a result of strong local alongshore wind anomalies and equatorial Kelvin waves propagating towards the Peruvian coast (Echevin et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2019).

2. Methods

2.1 Sampling and sample handling

In this study, data from three different types of samples were combined: (1) pore waters for the determination of benthic diffusive fluxes and to study TM cycling in sediments; (2) Benthic chamber incubations, to determine in-situ fluxes across the sediment-water interface; (3) Bottom water concentration-depth profiles to determine the fate of TMs in the particle-rich and dynamic near-bottom water column.

The sampling took place during RV Meteor cruises M136 and M137 in austral autumn between April and May 2017. We also compared our data to benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes from RV Meteor cruise M92 that took place in austral summer during January 2013. Our sampling stations covered the entire Peruvian shelf and slope across a transect at 12°S (Fig. 1) with water depths from 75 – to 950 m, thus including stations above, inside and below the permanent OMZ. Our sampling of pore waters and sample collection from benthic chamber incubations generally followed the methodology described in Noffke et al. (2012).

Short sediment cores of 30 – 40 cm length were retrieved with a multiple corer (MUC). Upon recovery, the cores were directly transferred into the ship's cool room (4°C). The supernatant bottom water was instantly sampled and filtered through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius) and acidified to pH < 1 with subboiled distilled HNO_3. The sediment cores were subsequently sampled in vertical sections in a glove bag under Ar atmosphere to prevent any contact with oxygen. The sediment samples were centrifuged to separate the pore waters from the sediment solid phase. Pore waters were then filtered in another Ar-filled glove bag through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius). An 8 ml aliquot was acidified to pH < 1 with subboiled distilled HNO_3 and stored in acid cleaned low-density polyethylene (LDPE) bottles for TM analysis. Another aliquot was taken for analysis of H_2S concentrations. Additional sediment subsamples were collected in pre-weighed cups for water content and porosity determination as well as for Cd and organic C concentrations measurements in the solid phase.

Benthic landers, constructed from titanium frames, containing two circular benthic chambers for in-situ incubations, were deployed on the seafloor (see Sommer et al. (2009) for details). After placement of the lander on the seafloor, the benthic chambers (internal diameter of 28.8 cm) were partially driven into the sediment, covering a sediment area of 651.4 cm^2. A volume between 12 – 18 l, overlying the first 20 – 30 cm of the seafloor, was enclosed in the chamber, depending on the insertion

depth of the chamber into the sediment. Prior to the incubation, the seawater contained in the chamber was repeatedly replaced with ambient seawater to replace solutes and flush out particles that might have been mobilized during the insertion of the chamber into the sediment. Over the incubation time of around 32 hours, 8 consecutive samples of 12 ml were filtered in-situ through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius) via peristaltic pumps and collected in quartz glass tubes. All sampling tubes were acid cleaned prior to use to guarantee a TM clean sampling. After recovery of the lander, the quartz glass tubes were transferred to the laboratory and samples were stored in acid cleaned LDPE bottles and acidified to pH < 2 with subboiled distilled HNO_3. Other samples were collected simultaneously for analysis of nitrogen species. The incubated sediments within the benthic chamber were sampled after recovery of the lander and pore waters were extracted to analyze H_2S concentrations for comparison with pore water profiles from parallel MUCs.

To determine TM concentrations across the near-bottom water column, water samples were collected at 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 m above the seafloor using sampling apparatus attached to the landers. Filter holders with 0.2 µm polyether sulfone filters (Supor) were attached at the various depths and connected to sampling tubes that went through peristaltic pumps into gas sampling bags (Tedlar). Sampling at 3.0 m and 4.0 m above the seafloor was realized by attaching the filter holders and tubing to an arm that was automatically unfolded upon placement of the lander at the seafloor. The peristaltic pumps transferred the seawater from the sampling depths into the sampling bags over the same time period as the lander incubations of around 32 hours. This resulted in an average sample volume of 1.5 l per depth. All filters, tubing and sampling bags were acid cleaned prior to deployment to guarantee a TM clean sampling. Directly after sample retrieval, an 60 ml aliquot was stored in acid cleaned LDPE bottles and acidified to pH < 2 for TM analysis. Another aliquot was taken for analysis of silicic acid ($Si(OH)_4$).

2.2 Analytical methods

Concentrations of Fe(II) in pore waters were measured on board directly after sample retrieval by photometry using the ferrozine method (Stookey, 1970). Other geochemical parameters in our different samples were also determined photometrical (U-2001 Hitachi spectrometer) using standard techniques (Grasshoff et al., 1999).

Hydrogen sulfide concentrations were determined using the methylene blue method and $Si(OH)_4$ concentrations were determined using a heptamolybdate solution as reagent. Concentrations of nitrogen species were determined by an auto-analyzer (QuAAtro, SEAL Analytical) using sulfanilamide as reagent (Hydes et al., 2010).

For TM analysis of bottom water samples we followed the procedure described by Rapp et al. (2017), whereby the TMs were pre-concentrated by a fully automated device (SeaFAST). After raising the sample pH to 6.4 with an ammonium acetate buffer (1.5 M), 15 ml of sample was loaded onto a chelating resin column, where the seawater matrix was rinsed off, before the TMs were collected into 1ml elution acid (1 M subboiled HNO_3). Due to the smaller size of pore water samples and samples from benthic lander incubations, a half-automated device (Preblab) with a smaller sample loop and thus dead volume was used. On this device, sample loading and collection as well as the addition of buffer was done manually. For samples from benthic lander incubations and pore waters, an amount of 3 ml and 1 ml, respectively, was needed for pre-concentration. The samples were diluted with de-ionised water (MilliQ, Millipore) to increase the sample volume to 5 ml for samples from benthic chamber incubations and to 3 ml for pore waters. The pre-concentrated samples were measured by ICP-MS (HR-ICP-MS; Thermo Fisher Element XR) and TM concentrations were quantified by isotope dilution. The detection limits were 28.8 pM for Fe and 0.8 pM for Cd (Rapp et al., 2017). Accuracies for replicate measurements of reference seawater certified for TMs are listed in Table 1.

For the calculation of sedimentary Cd enrichments (Cd_{xs}), Cd and Al contents in sediments were determined following total digestions of freeze dried and ground sediment samples. The sediment was digested in 40 % HF (suprapure), 65 % HNO3 (suprapure) and 60 % $HClO_4$ (suprapure). Concentrations were measured by ICP-OES (VARIAN 720-ES). The reference standard MESS was used to check the digestion procedure. The accuracy was ± 0.3 % for Cd and ± 1.3 % for Al (MESS-3 Cd: 0.24 ± 0.01 µg g^{-1}, recommended value 0.24 ± 0.01 µg g^{-1}, MESS-3 Al: 8.59 ± 0.11 µg g^{-1}, recommended value 8.59 ± 0.23 µg g^{-1}).

Organic carbon content in the sediment was determined using an Elemental Analyzer (Euro EA) after removal of inorganic carbon with 0.25 mM HCl. Precision of the measurement was ± 1 %.

2.3 Diffusive flux calculations

Benthic diffusive fluxes (F_D) were determined using Fick's first law of diffusion using concentration gradients between the uppermost pore water sample (0 – 1 cm) and the overlying bottom water (dC/dx) (Boudreau, 1997):

$$F_D = -\Phi D_{sed}(\mathrm{dC/dx}) \quad (1)$$

The effective molecular diffusion coefficients of Fe and Cd for sediments (D_{sed}) were calculated from the molecular diffusion coefficient in seawater (D_{sw}) under standard conditions (Li and Gregory, 1974) by adjusting it to in-situ temperature, pressure and salinity applying the Stokes-Einstein Equation. We determined the diffusion coefficients for sediments as follows:

$$D_{sed} = D_{sw}/\theta^2 \quad (2)$$

Tortuosity (θ) was calculated from porosity (Φ) as follows (Boudreau, 1997):

$$\theta^2 = 1 - \ln(\phi^2) \quad (3)$$

Positive values represent a flux from the bottom water into the sediment pore water, negative values a flux from the sediment pore water into the bottom water. All input values for the diffusive flux calculations are listed in Tables S1 and S2 in the supplement.

~~We chose to use the commonly applied approach of a two point concentration gradient for the determination of diffusive fluxes, as more advanced curve fitting methods would fail to capture sharp concentration gradients at the sediment surface and, thus, lead to erroneous flux estimates (Shibamoto and Harada, 2010).~~

~~The fluxes from benthic lander incubations were calculated from the slopes of linear regressions from the concentration-time data. The relevant equations are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R^2) in Table S4 in the supplement. Fluxes were determined using the water volume enclosed in the benthic chamber, estimated for each deployment from the insertion depth of the benthic chamber into the sediment.~~

Due to the coarse resolution of our pore water profiles and the steep gradients between the uppermost pore water and bottom water sample (see close-up profiles, Fig. S1 and S2 in the supplement), we chose to follow previous studies (e.g. Noffke et

11

al., 2012; Lenstra et al., 2019; Scholz et al., 2019) and calculate diffusive benthic fluxes based on a two point concentration gradient. Including deeper samples into a linear regression or applying more advanced curve fitting methods would reduce the statistical uncertainty but fail to capture the sharp concentration gradients at the sediment surface, thus, leading to erroneous flux estimates (cf. Shibamoto and Harada, 2010).

The fluxes from benthic lander incubations were calculated by fitting a linear regression to the concentration change over time. The relevant equations are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R^2) in Table S4 in the supplement. Concentration changes over time were converted to fluxes by taking into account the water volume enclosed in the benthic chamber, estimated for each deployment from the insertion depth of the benthic chamber into the sediment. The uncertainties of fluxes were estimated by propagating the uncertainties of the linear regressions. Following previous studies (e.g. Friedrich et al. (2002); Lenstra et al. (2019)), only fluxes where the linear regression has an $R^2 > 0.3$ are reported in Tables 2 and 3.

3. Results

3.1 Biogeochemical conditions in the water column

Due to the particular atmospheric and oceanographic conditions, the decline of a coastal El Niño during our sampling campaign (cruises M136 and M137), the water column overlying the Peruvian shelf was oxygenated. Oxygen concentrations were > 20 µM in the water column down to around 100 m water depth. However, bottom water oxygen concentrations directly above the seafloor, measured using optodes attached to lander, were below the detection limit (> 1 µM) at the shallowest station (Station 1). The OMZ, with O_2 concentrations < 5 µM, extended from around 120 to 400 m water depth. The water column within the OMZ was nitrogenous (i.e. NO_3^- reducing) as indicated by the presence of NO_2^- (≥ 4 µM), an intermediate product of denitrification (Zumft, 1997). Oxygen gradually increased to > 50 µM below 400 m towards 950 m water depth (Fig. 2). As we will compare some of our data to those of an earlier cruise

(M92), the corresponding oxygen distribution across the Peruvian continental margin is shown for comparison (Fig. 2).

3.2 Bottom water, pore water and benthic flux data

3.2.1 Iron

Iron concentrations in near-bottom waters decreased from near-shore to off-shore stations, from > 100 nM at the shallowest shelf station at 75 m water depth (Station 1) to 6 nM at 750 m water depth (Station 9) (Fig. 3). At a number of stations within the OMZ (Stations 3 and 4), vertical concentration gradients were observed. Here Fe concentrations decreased by 15 – 20 nM from 0.5 to 4 m above the seafloor. Multiple sampling at the shallowest shelf station (Station 1) revealed that Fe concentrations were temporally variable and ranged from ~ 100 nM at the end of April to < 60 nM at the end of May 2017.

Concentrations of Fe(II) in pore waters were highest (up to a few µM) in the upper 5 – 10 cm of the sediment cores. Deeper in the sediment cores, concentrations decreased to > 0.2 µM (Fig. 4). At all stations, sharp concentration gradients between the uppermost pore water and bottom water sample were observed, with higher concentrations in pore waters at the sediment surface (µM) than in the overlying bottom water (nM). This observation implies a diffusive flux from pore waters into bottom waters. The steepest concentration gradients across the sediment-water interface were observed within the OMZ. The highest Fe(II) concentrations at the sediment surface (> 6 µM) were observed at Station 4 (145 m water depth). At this station, the benthic diffusive flux into the bottom waters was also highest at -17.5 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1}. The lowest diffusive fluxes of 0.0 (due to concentrations below the detection limit) and -0.3 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} were observed on the upper slope below the OMZ at Stations 9 and 10 respectively (Table 2). An accumulation of H_2S in pore waters coincided with a depletion of Fe(II) concentrations (Fig. 4). At Station 1, we observed the highest H_2S concentrations throughout the core and in particular at the sediment surface, with maximum concentrations reaching > 4 mM. At Stations below the OMZ (Stations 9 and 10), no H_2S was detected within pore waters (Fig. 4).

Iron concentrations inside the benthic chambers reached maximum values > 300 nM. At Stations 4 and 6, located inside the OMZ, concentrations in the chambers increased in a linear way during the incubation. At stations above and below the OMZ, we did not observe a similar trend over time. For comparison with diffusive fluxes, we estimated benthic Fe fluxes from linear regressions of Fe concentrations versus time (Table 2). We also calculated the theoretical concentration gradients over time in the benthic chambers based on our diffusive flux estimates (Fig. 5). The incubation data were largely consistent in direction and slope with the diffusive fluxes. In particular, the projected and observed concentration gradients were in good agreement at stations inside the OMZ (Station 4 and 6), where the highest diffusive fluxes of -17.5 and -8.0 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} were observed. At these stations also the highest R^2 for the linear regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time were calculated (Station 4: $R^2 = 0.7$, Station 6: $R^2 = 0.5$) (Table S4). At stations below the OMZ, diffusive fluxes of < 1 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} were too low to be detected over the incubation time of 32 hours.

3.2.2 Cadmium

In near-bottom waters Cd concentrations increased with distance from the coast, from 0.4 nM at the shallowest station at 75 m water depth (Station 1) to 1.1 nM below the OMZ at 750 m water depth (Station 9). Cadmium concentrations were constant at each station between 0.5 and 4 m above the seafloor (Fig. 3).

Cadmium concentrations in pore waters ranged between 0.1 – 2 nM (Fig. 6). Within the OMZ, bottom water concentrations were higher than concentrations in pore water at the sediment surface (0 - 1 cm), indicating a downward diffusive flux into the sediments. The benthic diffusive fluxes inside the OMZ were on the order of 0.6 – 0.8 μmol m^{-2} y^{-1} (Table 3). In contrast, at Stations 1 and 9 an upward-directed concentration gradient was observed, indicating a diffusive flux from the sediments into bottom waters. The upward diffusive flux was -1.9 μmol m^{-2} y^{-1} above the permanent OMZ and -0.2 μmol m^{-2} y^{-1} below the OMZ (Table 3). Pore water Cd concentrations at greater sediment depths were mostly higher than bottom water concentrations. In some cases (Stations 3 and 4), elevated pore water Cd concentrations (up to 2 nM) coincided with elevated H_2S concentrations (few hundred μM).

429   In the benthic chambers three different Cd trends were observed (Fig. 7). Above

430   the permanent OMZ (Station 1), Cd concentrations in the chambers were low (< 0.2

431   nM) throughout the incubation period, indicating no Cd flux. At sites within the OMZ

432   (Stations 4, 5 and 6), concentrations decreased from ~ 0.6 – 0.3 nM over the course

433   of the incubation. Below the OMZ (Stations 9 and 10), Cd concentrations in the

434   chamber were high (~ 1 nM) and remained constant or increased slightly during the

435   incubation. At sites within the OMZ, Cd removal within the chamber was near-linear

436   (Stations 4, 5 and 6: $R^2 = \geq 0.9$) (Table S4), which translates to a removal flux of 13 –

437   23 μmol m^{-2} y^{-1}. The Cd removal fluxes in benthic chambers were more than one order

438   of magnitude higher than diffusive benthic fluxes (0.6 – 0.8 μmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) (Table 3).

439

440

441   **4. Discussion**

442

443   **4.1 Benthic iron cycling**

444   **4.1.1 Comparison of diffusive and in-situ benthic chamber iron fluxes**

445   Concentrations of Fe in bottom waters from benthic chamber incubations are

446   mostly higher than in ambient bottom waters because of Fe release from the sediment

447   and an accumulation in the enclosed water volume inside the benthic chamber. In the

448   absence of oxygen and, thus, bottom-dwelling macrofauna at stations within the OMZ,

449   bioturbation and bioirrigation are unlikely to exert an important control on sedimentary

450   Fe release. Consistent with this notion, the slope calculated from benthic diffusive

451   fluxes is largely consistent with the concentration gradients observed within the benthic

452   chambers (Fig. 5). Moreover, our fluxes from benthic chamber incubations and

453   diffusive fluxes are generally of the same order of magnitude (Table 2). Therefore,

454   diffusive transport of dissolved Fe from the sediment into the bottom water seems to

455   be the main control on the concentration evolution observed within the benthic

456   chamber.

457   Some of the concentration gradients in benthic chambers are non-linear,

458   indicating that the Fe flux was not constant during the incubations. This is a common

459   observation in Fe flux data from benthic chamber incubations and higher Fe fluxes

generally have higher R^2 values for the linear regressions (Friedrich et al., 2002; Turetta et al., 2005; Severmann et al., 2010; Lenstra et al., 2019). However, the non-linearity can be used to identify additional processes affecting Fe concentrations and fluxes within the benthic chamber, which may also affect fluxes under natural conditions. One possible process that can remove dissolved Fe(II) under anoxic conditions is Fe oxidation with NO_3^- as the terminal electron acceptor or oxidation with NO_2^- (Straub et al., 1996; Carlson et al., 2013; Klueglein and Kappler, 2013). The oxidation of reduced Fe in the absence of oxygen, either microbially mediated with NO_3^- or abiotically with NO_2^-, has been hypothesized to be important in the water column of the Peruvian OMZ (Scholz et al., 2016; Heller et al., 2017). During our incubation at Station 4 (Fig. 8), we observed a decline in Fe concentrations during the first ten hours of the incubation time. Concurrently, NO_3^- concentrations were decreasing, while NO_2^- accumulated, presumably due to progressive denitrification and release from the sediments. Once NO_3^- and NO_2^- were depleted, Fe concentrations started to rise again, resulting in the highest in-situ Fe flux observed throughout our sampling campaign (Table 2). The coincidence in timing of Fe accumulation and NO_2^- decrease suggest that depletion of Fe at the beginning of the incubation was most likely caused by Fe oxidation with NO_2^-. The incubation at Station 4 was the only one where NO_3^- and NO_2^- were substantially removed during the incubation. However, the high Fe flux cannot be interpreted as a natural flux estimate at steady state. In general, we argue that bottom water NO_2^- concentrations exert a first order control on the intensity of Fe efflux at the absence of oxygen and, therefore, need to be considered in the evaluation of sedimentary Fe mobility in anoxic-nitrogenous OMZs.

During the incubations at Stations 1, 9 and 10, Fe concentrations did not continuously increase but fluctuated between high and low values. This observation could be explained by a combination of bioirrigation and bioturbation at stations where oxygen was present (stations 9 and 10), as well as rapid Fe oxidation and precipitation processes. Under oxic conditions, bottom-dwelling macrofauna is likely to increase the transfer of dissolved Fe from the sediments into the bottom water (Elrod et al., 2004; Lenstra et al., 2019). During episodes of oxygenation a population of macrofauna that can enhance bioturbation and bioirrigation was observed on the Peruvian shelf (Gutiérrez et al., 2008). However, under oxic conditions, any Fe delivered to the chamber is prone to rapid oxidative removal. Moreover, ex-situ experiments have demonstrated a fast and efficient removal of up to 90% of dissolved Fe in incubated

494 bottom waters due to particle resuspension (Homoky et al., 2012). Bioturbation and
495 bioirrigation could also contribute to particle resuspension at oxic stations, thus leading
496 to removal of dissolved Fe.

497 Furthermore, colloidal Fe could modify Fe concentrations within our samples
498 and explain some of the fluctuations observed during the incubations. Colloids are
499 quite reactive, they are much more soluble than larger particles and can be rapidly
500 reduced and dissolved in anoxic environments, but they can also aggregate into larger
501 particles (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). The transfer of Fe between dissolved, colloidal
502 and particulate pools is likely to affect the balance between Fe transport and re-
503 precipitation and -deposition to some extent. However, since did not differentiate
504 between colloidal and truly dissolved fractions during our sampling, we cannot discuss
505 this aspect further based on our data.

506 Oxidation processes and interactions with particles can efficiently remove Fe
507 shortly after its transfer to bottom waters and this process is likely to be most intense
508 close to the seafloor where the highest particle concentrations prevail. We argue that
509 the same processes are reflected by declining Fe concentrations away from the
510 seafloor in some of the bottom water profiles (at Stations 3 and 4) (Fig. 3).

511

512 **4.1.2 Removal rates of dissolved iron in the near-bottom water column**

513 We observed declining Fe concentrations in the first 4 m away from the seafloor
514 at Stations 3 and 4, which hints at removal of dissolved Fe in the near bottom waters
515 after its release from the sediments. To differentiate between dilution with ambient
516 bottom water (by currents) from Fe removal from the dissolved phase, Fe
517 concentrations were normalized by $Si(OH)_4$ measured in the same samples (Fig. 3).
518 Due to opal dissolution within Peru margin sediments, $Si(OH)_4$ is released into bottom
519 waters (Ehlert et al., 2016). In contrast to Fe, we assume that $Si(OH)_4$ behaves
520 conservatively and precipitation reactions within the bottom waters are of subordinate
521 importance. The decreasing Fe to $Si(OH)_4$ ratios at Station 3 and 4 with distance from
522 the seafloor indicate that there is Fe removal within the near-bottom water column that
523 must be related to precipitation processes or scavenging.

524 We further constrained rates of dissolved Fe removal at stations with a
525 discernable Fe to $Si(OH)_4$ gradient within the first 4 m distance from the seafloor. To

526 this end, we first determined an eddy diffusion coefficient (K_y) using $Si(OH)_4$ fluxes

527 from benthic chamber incubations (F_{Si}) (see chapter 2.3 for methodology) and the

528 known concentration gradient of dissolved $Si(OH)_4$ within the bottom water (d_{Si}/d_x),

529 where x is the height above the seafloor. At the seafloor, the flux of $Si(OH)_4$ from the

530 sediment is equal to the flux in the water column.

531 $$F_{Si} = -K_y(d_{Si}/d_x) \qquad (4)$$

532 This equation can be solved for the eddy diffusion coefficient.

533 Dissolved Fe in the bottom water (DFe) can be described by the solving the

534 diffusion-reaction equation for DFe (ignoring advection and assuming a steady-state

535 first-order consumption of dissolved Fe):

536 $$DFe = C_{BW} * exp.\,(-\sqrt{k_{Feox}}/\sqrt{K_y}) \qquad (5)$$

537 The equation can be fitted to the measured DFe concentrations in the bottom water by

538 adjusting the Fe concentration directly above the seafloor (C_{BW}) and the Fe oxidation

539 constant (k_{Feox}). From the fitted first-order rate constant $k_{Feox,}$ the half-life for dissolved

540 Fe in bottom waters can be calculated.

541 The half-lifes of dissolved Fe in the first 4 m away from the seafloor are 2.5 min

542 and 0.3 min at Stations 3 and 4, respectively (Table 4). Another study reported a

543 dissolved Fe half-life of 17 hours under nitrogenous conditions in the first 10 – 20 m

544 above the seafloor in the Peruvian OMZ (Scholz et al., 2016). Our calculations suggest

545 that Fe removal in near-bottom waters is much faster. The approach assumes that

546 $Si(OH)_4$ is transported vertically by eddy diffusion and eddy diffusion and oxidation

547 control the half-life of Fe in the first 4 m above the seafloor. It is possible that our

548 assumption of solute transport by eddy diffusion is not correct. Alternatively,

549 decreasing Fe and $Si(OH)_4$ concentration above the seafloor could be due to super-

550 imposed water layers with different Fe and $Si(OH)_4$ concentrations but little vertical

551 exchange. In this case our calculated half-life would be an underestimation.

552 As mentioned above (chapter 4.1.1), in the absence of oxygen, removal

553 processes of dissolved Fe could be related to oxidation of dissolved Fe with NO_2^- or to

554 interactions with suspended particles, which are likely to be most abundant directly

555 above the seafloor. Further research on dissolved-particulate interactions, including

the role of colloidal Fe, in bottom waters is needed to better constrain how sedimentary

Fe fluxes are modified in the near-bottom water column.

4.1.3 Controls on the temporal variability of benthic iron fluxes

The Peruvian OMZ is known to experience high-amplitude fluctuations in upwelling intensity as well as variability in bottom water oxygen, NO_3^-, NO_2^- and H_2S concentrations (Pennington et al., 2006; Gutiérrez et al., 2008; Graco et al., 2017; Ohde, 2018). To get an insight into how different biogeochemical conditions control benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes, we compared the fluxes from our recent cruise with fluxes from our earlier cruise M92 (Fig. 9). Cruise M92 took place in austral autumn 2013 following the main upwelling season and during a period of intense primary productivity. Due to reduced upwelling and stable density stratification, the water column on the shallow shelf was not only depleted in oxygen but also in NO_3^- and NO_2^- during cruise M92 (Sommer et al., 2016). Under such conditions, chemolithoautotrophic H_2S oxidation with NO_3^- or NO_2^- was impeded so that pore water H_2S could be released from the sediment into the water column. As a result, the water column during M92 was sulfidic between around 50 – 150 m water depth with the highest H_2S concentration of 13 µM observed at 50 m depth (Fig. 2). While the biogeochemical conditions on the shallow shelf were fundamentally different to those during M136 and M137, below 150 m water depth the conditions were largely comparable (oxygen-depleted, NO_3^-: 20 – 30 µM, NO_2^- up to 9 µM between 150 – 300 m). At the stations with similar biogeochemical water column conditions, the Fe(II) fluxes during both sampling campaigns were remarkably similar (Fig. 9). However, similar to the temporal variability of Fe concentrations in bottom waters at Station 1 (Fig. 3), we observed a pronounced difference in the diffusive flux magnitude on the shallow shelf where the biogeochemical conditions differed between both cruises. The highest diffusive flux during M92 in 2013 of -22.7 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} was measured at Station 1. By contrast, during M136/137 in 2017 we determined a much lower flux of -2.6 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} at this station. During M136 and M137 the highest flux of -17.5 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} was measured at Station 4 at 145 m water depth.

Diffusive fluxes are a function of the concentration gradient between pore water and bottom water (Eq. (1)). As dissolved Fe concentrations in bottom waters are generally much lower (nM) compared to those observed in pore waters (µM), the flux

magnitude is chiefly determined by differences in pore water Fe concentrations. During M92, pore waters at the sediment surface were characterized by high dissolved Fe concentrations (4.8 µM in the upper pore water sample), which resulted in a steep gradient and a comparably high Fe flux. Under the slightly sulfidic conditions that prevailed in the water column during M92, oxidative removal of dissolved Fe(II) with NO_3^- or NO_2^- was impeded (Scholz et al., 2016) and dissolved Fe(II) could be stabilized as aqueous iron sulfide (Schlosser et al., 2018). Therefore, the bottom water was characterized by high dissolved Fe concentrations (up to 0.7 µM in the supernatant bottom water of MUCs).

Despite oxic conditions in the water column during M136 and M137, we observed much higher H_2S concentrations in surface sediments at Station 1 compared to M92 (4100 µM during M136 and M137 versus 1800 µM during M92 within the first 8 cm of the core) (Fig. 4). Because of higher H_2S concentrations, Fe concentrations were controlled by the solubility of Fe monosulfide minerals (FeS). It may seem counterintuitive that the surface sediment was highly sulfidic, while the overlying water column was oxygenated. In order to explain this observation, we need to consider the role of mats of filamentous sulfur oxidizing bacteria in controlling H_2S concentrations in surface sediments. (Gutiérrez et al., 2008; Noffke et al., 2012; Yücel et al., 2017). During M92 these mats were generally abundant on the shelf and upper slope (Sommer et al., 2016), thus limiting the extent of H_2S accumulation within surface sediments. Previous studies demonstrated that mats of sulfur oxidizing bacteria can disappear during periods of oxygenation (Gutiérrez et al., 2008). Consistent with this previous finding, visual inspection of the seafloor using the video-guided MUC revealed that the abundance of bacterial mats on the seafloor seemed greatly reduced, which is most probably related to oxic bottom water conditions on the shallow shelf during the coastal El Niño event. As these microaerophilic organisms tend to avoid high oxygen concentrations they probably started to die off or withdraw into the sediment once oxygen levels raised. We suggest that the disappearance of sulfide-oxidizing bacteria under oxic conditions created a situation where H_2S accumulation in the surface sediment and FeS precipitation limited the extent of Fe release into the bottom water.

4.2 Benthic cadmium cycling

4.2.1 Comparison of diffusive and in-situ benthic chamber cadmium fluxes

At stations above and below the permanent OMZ (Stations 1, 9 and 10), ~~diffusive~~ the slopes of Cd ~~fluxes and fluxes in~~concentrations versus time during benthic ~~chambers~~chamber incubations were largely consistent (~~Table 3~~with theoretical Cd concentration gradients over time based on our diffusive flux estimates (Fig. 7). In contrast, the fluxes determined with benthic chambers at stations within the OMZ (Station 4, 5 and 6) were 25 to 40 times higher than the diffusive flux (Table 3). This discrepancy demonstrates that diffusion cannot be the dominant process leading to the continuous decrease of dissolved Cd during benthic chamber incubations. Alternatively, Cd could be precipitated within the benthic chamber and removed through downward sinking of Cd-rich particles. Cadmium sulfide (greenockite) has a relatively low solubility compared to sulfide minerals of other TMs ($CdS \ll FeS$). It is generally agreed that CdS precipitation can take place at trace amounts of H_2S (H_2S < 1 µM, i.e., below the detection limit of the method applied in this study) (Davies-Colley et al., 1985; Rosenthal et al., 1995). Previous studies using in-situ benthic flux chambers have concluded that production of H_2S in the sediment or the accumulation of H_2S in benthic chambers during incubations can switch the direction of the Cd flux or intensify Cd removal through CdS precipitation (Westerlund et al., 1986; Colbert et al., 2001). Precipitation of CdS during the incubation is, therefore, a viable explanation for the discrepancy between diffusive Cd flux and Cd fluxes in benthic chambers observed in our study. Furthermore, the three different trends of Cd concentrations observed in benthic chamber incubations can be related to H_2S concentrations in the surface sediment below the benthic chambers (Table 3). At stations within the OMZ (Stations 4,5 and 6), pore water H_2S concentrations in surface sediments were moderate (few µM). It is likely that there was a continuous leakage of trace amounts of H_2S from the pore water into the bottom waters during the incubation, thus leading to CdS precipitation and declining Cd concentrations. On the shallowest shelf station (Station 1), where pore water H_2S concentrations in the surface sediment were high (hundreds of µM), a potentially large amount could have been released at the beginning of the incubation, thus explaining pronounced Cd depletion in the chamber compared to the surrounding bottom water (0.1 nM within the chamber compared to 0.4 nM outside the chamber). Below the OMZ (Stations 9 and 10), where there was no H_2S present in surface sediments, there was no Cd depletion in the chamber during the incubation and, consistent with previous studies in oxic settings (Westerlund et al.,

656 1986; Ciceri et al., 1992; Zago et al., 2000; Turetta et al., 2005), both diffusive and

657 benthic chamber flux data were indicative of an upward-directed flux out of the

658 sediment. Due to the absence of H_2S, dissolved Cd released from biogenic particles in

659 the surface sediment could accumulate in the pore water thus driving a diffusive flux

660 out of the sediment.

661

662 **4.2.2 Quantification of the sedimentary cadmium sink**

663 Consistent with our Cd flux data there is general consent that OMZs are a sink

664 for Cd. Several water column studies have observed Cd depletion in water masses

665 within the Peruvian and other OMZs, which was mostly attributed to Cd removal via

666 CdS precipitation in sulfidic micro-niches within particles in the water column (Janssen

667 et al., 2014; Conway and John, 2015b). Sedimentary studies showed that Cd is highly

668 enriched in OMZ sediments, which has mostly been attributed to the delivery of Cd

669 with organic material and subsequent fixation as CdS within sulfidic sediments

670 (Ragueneau et al., 2000; Böning et al., 2004; Borchers et al., 2005; Muñoz et al., 2012;

671 Little et al., 2015). Based on our data, we can quantify the delivery of Cd to the

672 sediments via three different pathways: (1) diffusion across the sediment-water

673 interface and CdS precipitation within the sediment; (2) Cd incorporation by

674 phytoplankton and delivery to the sediment with organic matter; (3) CdS precipitation

675 in the water column and particulate delivery to the sediment (Table 3).

676 The enrichment of Cd in the sediment relative to the lithogenic background

677 (expressed as excess Cd concentration; Cd_{xs}) was calculated using the following

678 equation (Brumsack, 2006):

679 $$Cd_{xs} = Cd_{sample} - Al_{sample} * (Cd/Al)_{crust} \quad (6)$$

680 The Cd/Al ratio of the upper continental crust ($1.22*10^{-6}$) was used as lithogenic

681 background reference (Taylor and McLennan, 2009). To calculate the flux of Cd to the

682 sediment, Cd_{xs} was multiplied with the mass accumulation rate (MAR) from published

683 data for each individual site (Dale et al., 2015b). To approximate the amount of Cd

684 delivered to the sediment with organic material, the average concentration ratio of Cd

685 to C in phytoplankton (Moore et al., 2013) was multiplied by published particulate

686 organic carbon rain rates (maximum estimate) or burial rates (minimum estimate) for

687 each individual site (Dale et al., 2015b). The Cd delivery via precipitation in the water

688 column was determined as the remainder of Cd_{xs} * MAR after subtraction of the two

689 other sources (i.e., diffusive flux and minimum/maximum delivery by organic material).

690   Sediments at all stations on the Peruvian shelf and slope are enriched in Cd

691 relative to the lithogenic background. The accumulation rate of Cd decreases with

692 distance from the coast from 250 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1} at Station 1 to 4 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1} at Station 9

693 (Table 3). These fluxes generally exceed the amount of Cd delivered to the sediments

694 via diffusion and associated with organic material. Together these mechanisms of Cd

695 delivery can only account for ~ 20 % of the Cd enrichment at stations above and inside

696 the permanent OMZ, with the delivery with organic material being of greater

697 importance. The remaining Cd enrichment in the sediment (~ 80 %), after subtraction

698 of diffusive and minimum/maximum organic Cd sources, must be related to CdS

699 precipitation in the water column and delivery of Cd-rich particles to the sediment. This

700 removal process can be a combination of CdS precipitation in sulfidic micro-niches

701 around sinking particles (Janssen et al., 2014; Bianchi et al., 2018), CdS precipitation

702 in sulfide plumes (Xie et al., 2019) when sedimentary H_2S can spread throughout the

703 water column (Schunck et al., 2013; Ohde, 2018), and precipitation of CdS in the near-

704 bottom water (this study). Our estimated CdS precipitation in the water column within

705 the OMZ agrees with the Cd fluxes determined from benthic chamber incubations,

706 where dissolved Cd removal takes place in the 20 – 30 cm of overlying water above

707 the seafloor. These Cd removal fluxes from benthic chambers alone are sufficient to

708 account for 41 % – 68 % of the estimated particulate Cd removal from the water column

709 and 38 % – 60 % of total Cd enrichment in the sediment within the OMZ (Table 3).

710 Considering that Cd precipitation in near-bottom water is unlikely to be restricted to the

711 20 – 30 cm above the seafloor, covered by our benthic chambers, the removal flux

712 associated with this process is likely to be even higher. At Station 1, where the surface

713 sediment below the benthic chamber was highly sulfidic, the particulate Cd removal

714 calculated from the concentration difference between the bottom water (0.5 m) and the

715 first sample from the benthic chamber incubation (taken after 0.25 h) was high enough

716 to explain the total Cd enrichment in the sediment.

717 Below the OMZ, at Station 9, where the smallest Cd enrichment was observed, the

718 relative contribution of Cd delivery with organic material increases. About half of the

719 Cd enrichment can be attributed to organic material at this station.

720   Once Cd is delivered to the sediment, it can either stay fixed in the solid phase
721   or be released to the pore waters. Cadmium concentrations in pore waters of
722   subsurface sediments (> 10 cm sediment depth) were mostly higher than bottom water
723   concentrations (Fig. 6), indicating a transfer of Cd from the solid phase into pore waters
724   during early diagenesis. Cadmium sulfides are considered highly insoluble and stable
725   within sediments (Elderfield et al., 1981), even upon re-oxygenation (Rosenthal et al.,
726   1995). Therefore, Cd release through re-dissolution of CdS is ruled out as a potential
727   source of dissolved Cd. Alternatively, Cd liberation upon remineralization of organic
728   material could explain elevated Cd concentrations in the pore water. Elevated Cd
729   concentrations in sulfidic pore waters have been observed in previous studies and
730   attributed to Cd stabilization through formation of organic and inorganic complexes
731   (Gobeil et al., 1987; Sundby et al., 2004). Experimental data gave evidence for the
732   presence of dissolved Cd bisulfide and polysulfide complexes in pore waters. An
733   increase of electrochemically active Cd after UV irradiation, was explained by the
734   destruction of electrochemically inactive bisulfide and polysulfide complexes (Gobeil et
735   al., 1987). At very high H_2S concentrations (> 10^{-3} M) the solubility of Cd may increase
736   due to an increase in these bisulfide and polysulfide complexes. Under such highly
737   sulfidic conditions, Cd solubility may even exceed the solubility in oxygenated waters
738   and highly sulfidic sediment can eventually lead to a diffusive source of Cd to the
739   bottom water (Davies-Colley et al., 1985). Such a scenario may explain the negative
740   (i.e., upward-directed) diffusive Cd flux at Station 1, where the pore waters of surface
741   sediments are highly sulfidic.

742

743

744   **5. Conclusions and implications for trace metal sources and sinks in the future**
745   **ocean**

746   Consistent with earlier work, our results demonstrate that that OMZ sediments
747   are a source for Fe and a sink for Cd. Moreover, based on our findings, biogeochemical
748   conditions and processes that control the benthic fluxes of these TM across the
749   Peruvian OMZ can be further constrained.

750   Within the OMZ, where bottom dwelling macrofauna is absent, diffusion is the
751   main process that transports Fe from the sediment pore water into the bottom water.

The accumulation of high levels of H_2S in pore waters, modulated by the abundance of sulfur oxidizing bacteria, can reduce diffusive Fe release through sulfide precipitation within pore waters. In anoxic bottom waters Fe can be rapidly removed, likely via oxidation with NO_2^- and/or interaction with particles. Benthic Cd fluxes are directed from the bottom water into the sediment within the OMZ. Diffusive fluxes and delivery of Cd via organic material cannot account for the sedimentary Cd enrichment. Instead CdS precipitation in near-bottom waters could be the most important pathway that delivers Cd to the sediments.

According to our results, H_2S concentrations in surface sediments exert a first order control on the magnitude and direction of Fe and Cd fluxes across the sediment-water interface. With generally decreasing oxygen concentrations in the ocean and an expansion of OMZs (Stramma et al., 2008; Schmidtko et al., 2017), sulfidic surface sediments will likely also expand. With regard to the solubility of their sulfide minerals, Fe and Cd represent two opposite end members. The solubility of sulfide minerals of other important nutrient-type TMs, such as Ni and Zn, is intermediate between those of Fe and Cd (Fe > Ni > Zn > Cd). An expansion of sulfidic surface sediments is thus likely to affect sedimentary TM fluxes in a differing manner. This notion is illustrated in Fig. 10, showing saturation indices calculated based on the range of TM concentrations observed in the ocean and typical H_2S concentrations observed in anoxic marine environments (nM – μM concentrations represent sulfidic events in the water column; μM – mM concentrations are typical for pore waters). Cadmium sulfide minerals become oversaturated at nM to μM H_2S concentrations, which explains why Cd removal can take place in the bottom water in OMZs. By contrast, FeS is highly undersaturated under the typical biogeochemical conditions in the water column. Therefore, FeS precipitation is unlikely to take place in the water column, even under somewhat more reducing conditions. Other sulfide-forming TMs have an intermediate sulfide solubility (e.g. Zn, Ni), which could imply that the direction and magnitude of their sedimentary fluxes is susceptible to expanding ocean anoxia. The differing response of TMs to an expansion of sulfidic conditions may cause a change in the TM stoichiometry of upwelling water masses with potential consequences for TM-dependent marine ecosystems in surface waters.

Data availability

The data will be made available at Pangaea upon publication of the article.

Author contribution

AP and FS conceived the study. AP, FS, AD, SS conducted the sampling at sea. AP analyzed the trace metal concentrations. AP and FS prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

References

Audry, S., Blanc, G., Schäfer, J., Chaillou, G. and Robert, S.: Early diagenesis of trace metals (Cd, Cu, Co, Ni, U, Mo, and V) in the freshwater reaches of a macrotidal estuary, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 70(9), 2264–2282, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2006.02.001, 2006.

Ball, J. W. and Nordstrom, D. K.: WATEQ4F -- User's manual with revised thermodynamic data base and test cases for calculating speciation of major, trace and redox elements in natural waters, US Geol. Surv., (Open-File Rep.), 91–183, doi:10.3133/ofr90129, 1991.

Bianchi, D., Weber, T. S., Kiko, R. and Deutsch, C.: Global niche of marine anaerobic metabolisms expanded by particle microenvironments, Nat. Geosci., 11(April), 1–6, doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0081-0, 2018.

Biller, D. V. and Bruland, K. W.: Sources and distributions of Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd relative to macronutrients along the central California coast during the spring and summer upwelling season, Mar. Chem., 155, 50–70, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2013.06.003, 2013.

Böning, P., Brumsack, H. J., Böttcher, M. E., Schnetger, B., Kriete, C., Kallmeyer, J. and Borchers, S. L.: Geochemistry of Peruvian near-surface sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 68(21), 4429–4451, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2004.04.027, 2004.

Bopp, L., Le Quéré, C., Heimann, M., Manning, A. C. and Monfray, P.: Climate-induced oceanic oxygen fluxes: Implications for the contemporary carbon budget, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 16(2), 6-1-6–13, doi:10.1029/2001GB001445, 2002.

Borchers, S. L., Schnetger, B., Böning, P. and Brumsack, H.-J.: Geochemical signatures of the Namibian diatom belt: Perennial upwelling and intermittent anoxia, Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 6(6), doi:10.1029/2004GC000886, 2005.

Boudreau, B. P.: Diagenetic Models and Their Implementation, Springer., 1997.

Boyd, P. W. and Ellwood, M. J.: The biogeochemical cycle of iron in the ocean, Nat. Geosci., 3(10), 675–682, doi:10.1038/ngeo964, 2010.

Bruland, K. W. and Lohan, M. C.: Controls of Trace Metals in Seawater, in Treatise

on Geochemistry, pp. 23–47, Elsevier., 2003.

Brumsack, H. J.: The trace metal content of recent organic carbon-rich sediments: Implications for Cretaceous black shale formation, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 232(2–4), 344–361, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.05.011, 2006.

Canfield, D. E.: Reactive iron in marine sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 53(3), 619–632, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(89)90005-7, 1989.

Carlson, H. K., Clark, I. C., Blazewicz, S. J., Iavarone, A. T. and Coates, J. D.: Fe(II) Oxidation Is an Innate Capability of Nitrate-Reducing Bacteria That Involves Abiotic and Biotic Reactions, J. Bacteriol., 195(14), 3260–3268, doi:10.1128/JB.00058-13, 2013.

Ciceri, G., Maran, C., Martinotti, W. and Queirazza, G.: Geochemical cycling of heavy metals in a marine coastal area: benthic flux determination from pore water profiles and in situ measurements using benthic chambers, Hydrobiologia, 235–236(1), 501–517, doi:10.1007/BF00026238, 1992.

Colbert, D., Coale, K. ., Berelson, W. . and Johnson, K. .: Cadmium Flux in Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbours and at Sites along the California Continental Margin, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 53(2), 169–180, doi:10.1006/ecss.2001.0802, 2001.

Collier, R. and Edmond, J.: The trace element geochemistry of marine biogenic particulate matter, Prog. Oceanogr., 13(2), 113–199, doi:10.1016/0079-6611(84)90008-9, 1984.

Conway, T. M. and John, S. G.: Quantification of dissolved iron sources to the North Atlantic Ocean, Nature, 511(7508), 212–215, doi:10.1038/nature13482, 2014.

Conway, T. M. and John, S. G.: Biogeochemical cycling of cadmium isotopes along a high-resolution section through the North Atlantic Ocean, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 148, 269–283, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.09.032, 2015a.

Conway, T. M. and John, S. G.: The cycling of iron, zinc and cadmium in the North East Pacific Ocean - Insights from stable isotopes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 164, 262–283, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.023, 2015b.

Dale, A. W., Nickelsen, L., Scholz, F., Hensen, C., Oschlies, A. and Wallmann, K.: A revised global estimate of dissolved iron fluxes from marine sediments, Global

869   Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(5), 691–707, doi:10.1002/2014GB005017, 2015a.

870   Dale, A. W., Sommer, S., Lomnitz, U., Montes, I., Treude, T., Liebetrau, V., Gier, J.,

871   Hensen, C., Dengler, M., Stolpovsky, K., Bryant, L. D. and Wallmann, K.: Organic

872   carbon production, mineralisation and preservation on the Peruvian margin,

873   Biogeosciences, 12(5), 1537–1559, doi:10.5194/bg-12-1537-2015, 2015b.

874   Dalsgaard, T., Thamdrup, B., Farías, L. and Revsbech, N. P.: Anammox and

875   denitrification in the oxygen minimum zone of the eastern South Pacific, Limnol.

876   Oceanogr., 57(5), 1331–1346, doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.5.1331, 2012.

877   Davies-Colley, R. J., Nelson, P. O. and Williamson, K. J.: Sulfide control of cadmium

878   and copper concentrations in anaerobic estuarine sediments, Mar. Chem., 16(2),

879   173–186, doi:10.1016/0304-4203(85)90021-0, 1985.

880   Echevin, V., Colas, F., Espinoza-Morriberon, D., Vasquez, L., Anculle, T. and

881   Gutierrez, D.: Forcings and Evolution of the 2017 Coastal El Niño Off Northern Peru

882   and Ecuador, Front. Mar. Sci., 5(October), 1–16, doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00367,

883   2018.

884   Ehlert, C., Doering, K., Wallmann, K., Scholz, F., Sommer, S., Grasse, P., Geilert, S.

885   and Frank, M.: Stable silicon isotope signatures of marine pore waters – Biogenic

886   opal dissolution versus authigenic clay mineral formation, Geochim. Cosmochim.

887   Acta, 191, 102–117, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2016.07.022, 2016.

888   Elderfield, H., McCaffrey, R. J., Luedtke, N., Bender, M. and Truesdale, V. W.:

889   Chemical diagenesis in Narragansett Bay sediments, Am. J. Sci., 281(8), 1021–1055,

890   doi:10.2475/ajs.281.8.1021, 1981.

891   Elrod, V. A., Berelson, W. M., Coale, K. H. and Johnson, K. S.: The flux of iron from

892   continental shelf sediments: A missing source for global budgets, Geophys. Res.

893   Lett., 31(12), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2004GL020216, 2004.

894   Fitzsimmons, J. N., Conway, T. M., Lee, J.-M., Kayser, R., Thyng, K. M., John, S. G.

895   and Boyle, E. A.: Dissolved iron and iron isotopes in the southeastern Pacific Ocean,

896   Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 30(10), 1372–1395, doi:10.1002/2015GB005357, 2016.

897   Friedrich, J., Dinkel, C., Friedl, G., Pimenov, N., Wijsman, J., Gomoiu, M.-T.,

898   Cociasu, A., Popa, L. and Wehrli, B.: Benthic Nutrient Cycling and Diagenetic

899   Pathways in the North-western Black Sea, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 54(3), 369–383,

900   doi:10.1006/ecss.2000.0653, 2002.

901   Garreaud, R. D.: A plausible atmospheric trigger for the 2017 coastal El Niño, Int. J.

902   Climatol., 38(January 2017), e1296–e1302, doi:10.1002/joc.5426, 2018.

903   Gendron, A., Silverberg, N., Sundby, B. and Lebel, J.: Early diagenesis of cadmium

904   and cobalt in sediments of the Laurentian Trough, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta,

905   50(5), 741–747, doi:10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2007.10.013, 1986.

906   Gerringa, L. J. A.: Aerobic degradation of organic matter and the mobility of Cu, Cd,

907   Ni, Pb, Zn, Fe and Mn in marine sediment slurries, Mar. Chem., 29(C), 355–374,

908   doi:10.1016/0304-4203(90)90023-6, 1990.

909   Gobeil, C., Silverberg, N., Sundby, B. and Cossa, D.: Cadmium diagenesis in

910   Laurentian Trough sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 51(3), 589–596,

911   doi:10.1016/0016-7037(87)90071-8, 1987.

912   Graco, M. I., Purca, S., Dewitte, B., Castro, C. G., Morón, O., Ledesma, J., Flores, G.

913   and Gutiérrez, D.: The OMZ and nutrient features as a signature of interannual and

914   low-frequency variability in the Peruvian upwelling system, Biogeosciences, 14(20),

915   4601–4617, doi:10.5194/bg-14-4601-2017, 2017.

916   Grasshoff, M., Erhardt, M. and Kremling, K.: Methods of seawater analysis., Wiley-

917   VCH, Weinheim, doi:10.1002/ange.19770890738, 1999.

918   Gutiérrez, D., Enríquez, E., Purca, S., Quipúzcoa, L., Marquina, R., Flores, G. and

919   Graco, M.: Oxygenation episodes on the continental shelf of central Peru: Remote

920   forcing and benthic ecosystem response, Prog. Oceanogr., 79(2–4), 177–189,

921   doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2008.10.025, 2008.

922   Hawco, N. J., Ohnemus, D. C., Resing, J. A., Twining, B. S. and Saito, M. A.: A

923   dissolved cobalt plume in the oxygen minimum zone of the eastern tropical South

924   Pacific, Biogeosciences, 13(20), 5697–5717, doi:10.5194/bg-13-5697-2016, 2016.

925   Heller, M. I., Lam, P. J., Moffett, J. W., Till, C. P., Lee, J. M., Toner, B. M. and

926   Marcus, M. A.: Accumulation of Fe oxyhydroxides in the Peruvian oxygen deficient

927   zone implies non-oxygen dependent Fe oxidation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 211,

928   174–193, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2017.05.019, 2017.

929   Helm, K. P., Bindoff, N. L. and Church, J. A.: Observed decreases in oxygen content

930 of the global ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(23), 1–6, doi:10.1029/2011GL049513,

931 2011.

932 Homoky, W. B., Severmann, S., McManus, J., Berelson, W. M., Riedel, T. E.,

933 Statham, P. J. and Mills, R. A.: Dissolved oxygen and suspended particles regulate

934 the benthic flux of iron from continental margins, Mar. Chem., 134–135, 59–70,

935 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2012.03.003, 2012.

936 Hydes, D., Aoyama, M., Aminot, A., Bakker, K., Becker, S., Coverly, S., Daniel, A.,

937 Dickson, A. G., Grosso, O., Kerouel, R., van Ooijen, J., Sato, K., Tanhua, T.,

938 Woodward, E. M. S. and Zhang, J. Z.: Determination of dissolved nutrients (N, P, Si)

939 in seawater with high precision and inter-comparability using gas-segmented

940 continuous flow analysers, Go-sh. Repeat Hydrogr. Man. IOCCP Rep. A Collect.

941 Expert Reports Guidel., 134(14), 1–87 [online] Available from:

942 http://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00020/13141/, 2010.

943 Janssen, D. J., Conway, T. M., John, S. G., Christian, J. R., Kramer, D. I., Pedersen,

944 T. F. and Cullen, J. T.: Undocumented water column sink for cadmium in open ocean

945 oxygen-deficient zones, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 111(19), 6888–6893,

946 doi:10.1073/pnas.1402388111, 2014.

947 John, S. G., Helgoe, J., Townsend, E., Weber, T., DeVries, T., Tagliabue, A., Moore,

948 K., Lam, P., Marsay, C. M. and Till, C.: Biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Fe stable

949 isotopes in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific, Mar. Chem., 201(March), 66–76,

950 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2017.06.003, 2018.

951 Karstensen, J., Stramma, L. and Visbeck, M.: Oxygen minimum zones in the eastern

952 tropical Atlantic and Pacific oceans, Prog. Oceanogr., 77(4), 331–350,

953 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.05.009, 2008.

954 Keeling, R. F., Körtzinger, A. and Gruber, N.: Ocean Deoxygenation in a Warming

955 World, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 2(1), 199–229,

956 doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163855, 2010.

957 Klar, J. K., Schlosser, C., Milton, J. A., Woodward, E. M. S., Lacan, F., Parkinson, I.

958 J., Achterberg, E. P. and James, R. H.: Sources of dissolved iron to oxygen minimum

959 zone waters on the Senegalese continental margin in the tropical North Atlantic

960 Ocean: Insights from iron isotopes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 236, 60–78,

961   doi:10.1016/j.gca.2018.02.031, 2018.

962   Klinkhammer, G., Heggie, D. T. and Graham, D. W.: Metal diagenesis in oxic marine

963   sediments, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 61(2), 211–219, doi:10.1016/0012-

964   821X(82)90054-1, 1982.

965   Klueglein, N. and Kappler, A.: Abiotic oxidation of Fe(II) by reactive nitrogen species

966   in cultures of the nitrate-reducing Fe(II) oxidizer Acidovorax sp. BoFeN1 - questioning

967   the existence of enzymatic Fe(II) oxidation, Geobiology, 11(2), 180–190,

968   doi:10.1111/gbi.12019, 2013.

969   Lam, P. and Kuypers, M. M. M.: Microbial Nitrogen Cycling Processes in Oxygen

970   Minimum Zones, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 3(1), 317–345, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-

971   120709-142814, 2011.

972   Lam, P., Lavik, G., Jensen, M. M., van de Vossenberg, J., Schmid, M., Woebken, D.,

973   Gutierrez, D., Amann, R., Jetten, M. S. M. and Kuypers, M. M. M.: Revising the

974   nitrogen cycle in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 106(12),

975   4752–4757, doi:10.1073/pnas.0812444106, 2009.

976   Lane, T. W. and Morel, F. M. M.: A biological function for cadmium in marine diatoms,

977   Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 97(9), 4627–4631, doi:10.1073/pnas.090091397, 2000.

978   Lee, J. and Morel, F.: Replacement of zinc by cadmium in marine phytoplankton,

979   Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 127(1–3), 305–309, doi:10.3354/meps127305, 1995.

980   Lenstra, W. K., Hermans, M., Séguret, M. J. M., Witbaard, R., Behrends, T., Dijkstra,

981   N., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Kraal, P., Laan, P., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Severmann,

982   S., Teacă, A. and Slomp, C. P.: The shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Black Sea

983   revisited, Chem. Geol., 511(April), 314–341, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.10.024,

984   2019.

985   Levin, L., Gutiérrez, D., Rathburn, A., Neira, C., Sellanes, J., Muñoz, P., Gallardo, V.

986   and Salamanca, M.: Benthic processes on the Peru margin: a transect across the

987   oxygen minimum zone during the 1997–98 El Niño, Prog. Oceanogr., 53(1), 1–27,

988   doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(02)00022-8, 2002.

989   Li, Y.-H. and Gregory, S.: Diffusion of ions in sea water and in deep-sea sediments,

990   Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 38(5), 703–714, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(74)90145-8,

991   1974.

992 Little, S. H., Vance, D., Lyons, T. W. and McManus, J.: Controls on trace metal

993 authigenic enrichment in reducing sediments: Insights from modern oxygen-deficient

994 settings, Am. J. Sci., 315(2), 77–119, doi:10.2475/02.2015.01, 2015.

995 Liu, X. and Millero, F. J.: The solubility of iron in seawater, Mar. Chem., 77(1), 43–54,

996 doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(01)00074-3, 2002.

997 Lohan, M. C. and Bruland, K. W.: Elevated Fe(II) and dissolved Fe in hypoxic shelf

998 waters off Oregon and Washington: An enhanced source of iron to coastal upwelling

999 regimes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(17), 6462–6468, doi:10.1021/es800144j, 2008.

1000 Metzger, E., Simonucci, C., Viollier, E., Sarazin, G., Prévot, F., Elbaz-Poulichet, F.,

1001 Seidel, J.-L. and Jézéquel, D.: Influence of diagenetic processes in Thau lagoon on

1002 cadmium behavior and benthic fluxes, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 72(3), 497–510,

1003 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.11.016, 2007.

1004 Moore, C. M., Mills, M. M., Arrigo, K. R., Berman-Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd, P. W.,

1005 Galbraith, E. D., Geider, R. J., Guieu, C., Jaccard, S. L., Jickells, T. D., La Roche, J.,

1006 Lenton, T. M., Mahowald, N. M., Marañón, E., Marinov, I., Moore, J. K., Nakatsuka,

1007 T., Oschlies, A., Saito, M. A., Thingstad, T. F., Tsuda, A. and Ulloa, O.: Processes

1008 and patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation, Nat. Geosci., 6(9), 701–710,

1009 doi:10.1038/ngeo1765, 2013.

1010 Morel, F. M. M., Milligan, A. J. and Saito, M. A.: Marine Bioinorganic Chemistry: The

1011 Role of Trace Metals in the Oceanic Cycles of Major Nutrients, in Treatise on

1012 Geochemistry, vol. 197, pp. 123–150, Elsevier., 2014.

1013 Morse, J. W. and Luther, G. W.: Chemical influences on trace metal-sulfide

1014 interactions in anoxic sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 63(19–20), 3373–

1015 3378, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00258-6, 1999.

1016 Muñoz, P., Dezileau, L., Cardenas, L., Sellanes, J., Lange, C. B., Inostroza, J.,

1017 Muratli, J. and Salamanca, M. A.: Geochemistry of trace metals in shelf sediments

1018 affected by seasonal and permanent low oxygen conditions off central Chile, SE

1019 Pacific (~36°S), Cont. Shelf Res., 33, 51–68, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2011.11.006, 2012.

1020 Noble, A. E., Lamborg, C. H., Ohnemus, D. C., Lam, P. J., Goepfert, T. J., Measures,

1021 C. I., Frame, C. H., Casciotti, K. L., DiTullio, G. R., Jennings, J. and Saito, M. A.:

1022 Basin-scale inputs of cobalt, iron, and manganese from the Benguela-Angola front to

the South Atlantic Ocean, Limnol. Oceanogr., 57(4), 989–1010, doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.4.0989, 2012.

Noffke, A., Hensen, C., Sommer, S., Scholz, F., Bohlen, L., Mosch, T., Graco, M. and Wallmann, K.: Benthic iron and phosphorus fluxes across the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone, Limnol. Oceanogr., 57(3), 851–867, doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.3.0851, 2012.

Ohde, T.: Coastal Sulfur Plumes off Peru During El Niño, La Niña, and Neutral Phases, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(14), 7075–7083, doi:10.1029/2018GL077618, 2018.

Olson, L., Quinn, K. A., Siebecker, M. G., Luther, G. W., Hastings, D. and Morford, J. L.: Trace metal diagenesis in sulfidic sediments: Insights from Chesapeake Bay, Chem. Geol., 452, 47–59, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.01.018, 2017.

Oschlies, A., Schulz, K. G., Riebesell, U. and Schmittner, A.: Simulated 21st century's increase in oceanic suboxia by CO 2-enhanced biotic carbon export, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 22(4), 1–10, doi:10.1029/2007GB003147, 2008.

Peng, Q., Xie, S.-P., Wang, D., Zheng, X.-T. and Zhang, H.: Coupled ocean-atmosphere dynamics of the 2017 extreme coastal El Niño, Nat. Commun., 10(1), 298, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-08258-8, 2019.

Pennington, J. T., Mahoney, K. L., Kuwahara, V. S., Kolber, D. D., Calienes, R. and Chavez, F. P.: Primary production in the eastern tropical Pacific: A review, Prog. Oceanogr., 69(2–4), 285–317, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2006.03.012, 2006.

Point, D., Monperrus, M., Tessier, E., Amouroux, D., Chauvaud, L., Thouzeau, G., Jean, F., Amice, E., Grall, J., Leynaert, A., Clavier, J. and Donard, O. F. X.: Biological control of trace metal and organometal benthic fluxes in a eutrophic lagoon (Thau Lagoon, Mediterranean Sea, France), Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 72(3), 457–471, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.11.013, 2007.

Price, N. M. and Morel, F. M. M.: Cadmium and cobalt substitution for zinc in a marine diatom, Nature, 344(6267), 658–660, doi:10.1038/344658a0, 1990.

Ragueneau, O., Tréguer, P., Leynaert, A., Anderson, R. F., Brzezinski, M. A., DeMaster, D. J., Dugdale, R. C., Dymond, J., Fischer, G., François, R., Heinze, C., Maier-Reimer, E., Martin-Jézéquel, V., Nelson, D. M. and Quéguiner, B.: A review of the Si cycle in the modern ocean: Recent progress and missing gaps in the

1054   application of biogenic opal as a paleoproductivity proxy, Glob. Planet. Change,

1055   26(4), 317–365, doi:10.1016/S0921-8181(00)00052-7, 2000.

1056   Raiswell, R. and Canfield, D. E.: The Iron Biogeochemical Cycle Past and Present,

1057   Geochemical Perspect., 1(1), 1–220, doi:10.7185/geochempersp.1.1, 2012.

1058   Rapp, I., Schlosser, C., Rusiecka, D., Gledhill, M. and Achterberg, E. P.: Automated

1059   preconcentration of Fe, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd, Pb, Co, and Mn in seawater with analysis

1060   using high-resolution sector field inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry,

1061   Anal. Chim. Acta, 976, 1–13, doi:10.1016/j.aca.2017.05.008, 2017.

1062   Rapp, I., Schlosser, C., Menzel Barraqueta, J.-L., Wenzel, B., Lüdke, J., Scholten, J.,

1063   Gasser, B., Reichert, P., Gledhill, M., Dengler, M. and Achterberg, E. P.: Controls on

1064   redox-sensitive trace metals in the Mauritanian oxygen minimum zone,

1065   Biogeosciences Discuss., (November), 1–49, doi:10.5194/bg-2018-472, 2018.

1066   Rickard, D., Griffith, A., Oldroyd, A., Butler, I. B., Lopez-Capel, E., Manning, D. A. C.

1067   and Apperley, D. C.: The composition of nanoparticulate mackinawite, tetragonal

1068   iron(II) monosulfide, Chem. Geol., 235(3–4), 286–298,

1069   doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2006.07.004, 2006.

1070   Rigaud, S., Radakovitch, O., Couture, R. M., Deflandre, B., Cossa, D., Garnier, C.

1071   and Garnier, J. M.: Mobility and fluxes of trace elements and nutrients at the

1072   sediment-water interface of a lagoon under contrasting water column oxygenation

1073   conditions, Appl. Geochemistry, 31(April 2013), 35–51,

1074   doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.12.003, 2013.

1075   Rosenthal, Y., Lam, P., Boyle, E. A. and Thomson, J.: Precipitation and

1076   postdepositional mobility, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 132, 99–111, doi:10.1016/0012-

1077   821X(95)00056-I, 1995.

1078   Rue, E. L. and Bruland, K. W.: The role of organic complexation on ambient iron

1079   chemistry in the equatorial Pacific Ocean and the response of a mesoscale iron

1080   addition experiment, Limnol. Oceanogr., 42(5), 901–910,

1081   doi:10.4319/lo.1997.42.5.0901, 1997.

1082   Saito, M. A., Goepfert, T. J. and Ritt, J. T.: Some thoughts on the concept of

1083   colimitation: Three definitions and the importance of bioavailability, Limnol.

1084   Oceanogr., 53(1), 276–290, doi:10.4319/lo.2008.53.1.0276, 2008.

Schlosser, C., Streu, P., Frank, M., Lavik, G., Croot, P. L., Dengler, M. and Achterberg, E. P.: H2S events in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone facilitate enhanced dissolved Fe concentrations, Sci. Rep., 8(1), 1–8, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-30580-w, 2018.

Schmidtko, S., Stramma, L. and Visbeck, M.: Decline in global oceanic oxygen content during the past five decades, Nature, 542(7641), 335–339, doi:10.1038/nature21399, 2017.

Scholz, F. and Neumann, T.: Trace element diagenesis in pyrite-rich sediments of the Achterwasser lagoon, SW Baltic Sea, Mar. Chem., 107(4), 516–532, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.08.005, 2007.

Scholz, F., Mcmanus, J., Mix, A. C., Hensen, C. and Schneider, R. R.: The impact of ocean deoxygenation on iron release from continental margin sediments, Nat. Geosci., 7(6), 433–437, doi:10.1038/ngeo2162, 2014.

Scholz, F., Löscher, C. R., Fiskal, A., Sommer, S., Hensen, C., Lomnitz, U., Wuttig, K., Göttlicher, J., Kossel, E., Steininger, R. and Canfield, D. E.: Nitrate-dependent iron oxidation limits iron transport in anoxic ocean regions, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 454, 272–281, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.09.025, 2016.

Scholz, F., Schmidt, M., Hensen, C., Eroglu, S., Geilert, S., Gutjahr, M. and Liebetrau, V.: Shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 261, 76–92, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2019.07.006, 2019.

Schunck, H., Lavik, G., Desai, D. K., Großkopf, T., Kalvelage, T., Löscher, C. R., Paulmier, A., Contreras, S., Siegel, H., Holtappels, M., Rosenstiel, P., Schilhabel, M. B., Graco, M., Schmitz, R. A., Kuypers, M. M. M. and LaRoche, J.: Giant Hydrogen Sulfide Plume in the Oxygen Minimum Zone off Peru Supports Chemolithoautotrophy, PLoS One, 8(8), doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068661, 2013.

Scor Working Group: GEOTRACES – An international study of the global marine biogeochemical cycles of trace elements and their isotopes, Geochemistry, 67(2), 85–131, doi:10.1016/j.chemer.2007.02.001, 2007.

Severmann, S., McManus, J., Berelson, W. M. and Hammond, D. E.: The continental shelf benthic iron flux and its isotope composition, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 74(14), 3984–4004, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.04.022, 2010.

Shibamoto, Y. and Harada, K.: Silicon flux and distribution of biogenic silica in deep-sea sediments in the western North Pacific Ocean, Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 57(2), 163–174, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2009.10.009, 2010.

Sommer, S., Linke, P., Pfannkuche, O., Schleicher, T., Schneider v. D, D., Reitz, A., Haeckel, M., Flögel, S. and Hensen, C.: Seabed methane emissions and the habitat of frenulate tubeworms on the Captain Arutyunov mud volcano (Gulf of Cadiz), Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 382, 69–86, doi:10.3354/meps07956, 2009.

Sommer, S., Gier, J., Treude, T., Lomnitz, U., Dengler, M., Cardich, J. and Dale, A. W.: Depletion of oxygen, nitrate and nitrite in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone cause an imbalance of benthic nitrogen fluxes, Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 112(3), 113–122, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2016.03.001, 2016.

Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozine---a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron, Anal. Chem., 42(7), 779–781, doi:10.1021/ac60289a016, 1970.

Stramma, L., Johnson, G. C., Sprintall, J. and Mohrholz, V.: Expanding Oxygen-Minimum Zones in the Tropical Oceans, Science (80-.)., 320(5876), 655–658, doi:10.1126/science.1153847, 2008.

Stramma, L., Schmidtko, S., Levin, L. A. and Johnson, G. C.: Ocean oxygen minima expansions and their biological impacts, Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 57(4), 587–595, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2010.01.005, 2010.

Straub, K. L., Benz, M., Schink, B. and Widdel, F.: Anaerobic, nitrate-dependent microbial oxidation of ferrous iron. Appl Environ Microbiol, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 62(4), 1458–60, 1996.

Sunda, W. G. and Huntsman, S. A.: Effect of Zn, Mn, and Fe on Cd accumulation in phytoplankton: Implications for oceanic Cd cycling, Limnol. Oceanogr., 45(7), 1501–1516, doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.7.1501, 2000.

Sundby, B., Martinez, P. and Gobeil, C.: Comparative geochemistry of cadmium, rhenium, uranium, and molybdenum in continental margin sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 68(11), 2485–2493, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2003.08.011, 2004.

Taylor, S. R. and McLennan, S. M.: Planetary crusts: Their composition, origin and evolution , by Stuart Ross Taylor and Scott M. McLennan, Meteorit. Planet. Sci., 44(3), 465–466, doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2009.tb00744.x, 2009.

1147 Thamdrup, B., Dalsgaard, T. and Revsbech, N. P.: Widespread functional anoxia in

1148 the oxygen minimum zone of the Eastern South Pacific, Deep Sea Res. Part I

1149 Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 65, 36–45, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2012.03.001, 2012.

1150 Turetta, C., Capodaglio, G., Cairns, W., Rabar, S. and Cescon, P.: Benthic fluxes of

1151 trace metals in the lagoon of Venice, Microchem. J., 79(1–2), 149–158,

1152 doi:10.1016/j.microc.2004.06.003, 2005.

1153 Twining, B. S. and Baines, S. B.: The Trace Metal Composition of Marine

1154 Phytoplankton, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 5(1), 191–215, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-

1155 121211-172322, 2013.

1156 Vedamati, J., Goepfert, T. and Moffett, J. W.: Iron speciation in the eastern tropical

1157 south pacific oxygen minimum zone off peru, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59(6), 1945–1957,

1158 doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.6.1945, 2014.

1159 Westerlund, S. F. G., Anderson, L. G., Hall, P. O. J., Iverfeldt, Å., Van Der Loeff, M.

1160 M. R. and Sundby, B.: Benthic fluxes of cadmium, copper, nickel, zinc and lead in the

1161 coastal environment, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 50(6), 1289–1296,

1162 doi:10.1016/0016-7037(86)90412-6, 1986.

1163 Xie, R. C., Rehkämper, M., Grasse, P., van de Flierdt, T., Frank, M. and Xue, Z.:

1164 Isotopic evidence for complex biogeochemical cycling of Cd in the eastern tropical

1165 South Pacific, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 512, 134–146, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.02.001,

1166 2019.

1167 Xu, Y., Feng, L., Jeffrey, P. D., Shi, Y. and Morel, F. M. M.: Structure and metal

1168 exchange in the cadmium carbonic anhydrase of marine diatoms, Nature, 452(7183),

1169 56–61, doi:10.1038/nature06636, 2008.

1170 Yücel, M., Sommer, S., Dale, A. W. and Pfannkuche, O.: Microbial sulfide filter along

1171 a benthic redox gradient in the Eastern Gotland Basin, Baltic Sea, Front. Microbiol.,

1172 8(FEB), 1–16, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00169, 2017.

1173 Zago, C., Capodaglio, G., Ceradini, S., Ciceri, G., Abelmoschi, L., Soggia, F.,

1174 Cescon, P. and Scarponi, G.: Benthic fluxes of cadmium, lead, copper and nitrogen

1175 species in the northern Adriatic Sea in front of the River Po outflow, Italy, Sci. Total

1176 Environ., 246(2–3), 121–137, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00421-0, 2000.

1177 Zumft, W. G.: Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification., Microbiol. Mol. Biol.

Rev., 61(4), 533–616 [online] Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9409151%0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/ articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC232623, 1997.

Figure captions

Fig. 1: Sampling stations on the Peruvian continental margin during cruises M136 & M137 along a latitudinal depth transect at 12° S. The sampling stations for pore waters are depicted by white stars, for bottom waters by yellow dots and for benthic chamber incubations by red dots.

Fig. 2: Oxygen, nitrate, nitrite and hydrogen sulfide concentrations on the Peruvian slope (Station 10, 1000 m depth), crossing the oxygen minimum zone (upper panel), and the upper shelf (Station 1, 75 m depth) (lower panel) during cruises M136 & M137 and M92 along the 12° S transect.

Fig. 3: Near-bottom water concentrations of dissolved Fe and Cd and dissolved Fe to silicic acid ratios 0.5 m to 4 m above the seafloor across the 12° S transect. The red diamonds show results from a second sampling at Station 1 one month later. Concentrations of silicic acid are listed in Table S3 in the supplement.

Fig. 4: Pore water dissolved Fe(II) and hydrogen sulfide concentrations. Data from an earlier cruise, M92, at Station 1 (75 m water depth) are displayed for comparison. The uppermost sample represents the bottom water concentration. The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

Fig. 5: Dissolved Fe concentrations in incubated bottom waters from benthic chamber incubations. ~~The grey~~The black dashed line represents the linear regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time. The equations for these linear regressions are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R^2) in Table S4 in the supplement. The grey ~~dashed line represents the linear regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time. The equations for these linear regressions are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R2) in Table S4 in the supplement.~~ ~~The black~~ dashed line represents theoretical concentration gradients over the incubation time based on our benthic diffusive fluxes (Table 2). The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

Fig. 6: Pore water dissolved Cd and hydrogen sulfide concentrations. The uppermost sample represents the bottom water concentrations. The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

1270 Fig. 7: Dissolved Cd concentrations in incubated bottom waters from benthic

1271 chamber incubations. ~~The grey~~The black dashed line represents the linear

1272 regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time. The equations for

1273 these linear regressions are listed together with the coefficients of determination ($R2$)

1274 in Table S4 in the supplement. The grey ~~dashed line represents the linear~~

1275 ~~regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time. The equations for~~

1276 ~~these linear regressions are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R^2)~~

1277 ~~in Table S4 in the supplement.~~ The black dashed line represents theoretical

1278 concentration gradients over the incubation time based on our benthic diffusive fluxes

1279 (Table 3). The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

1280 Fig. 8: Dissolved Fe, nitrate and nitrite concentrations in incubated bottom waters

1281 from the benthic chamber incubation at Station 4 (145 m water depth).

1282 Fig. 9: Comparison of benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes between cruises M136 & M137

1283 and M92 on the Peruvian shelf. Negative values represent fluxes from the sediment

1284 pore water into the bottom waters. Shaded bars on the upper panel display the

1285 geochemical conditions in the water column during the time of sampling.

1286 Fig. 10: Schematic overview of the possible mobility of different trace metal to an

1287 expansion of sulfidic conditions. Saturation indices (SI) were calculated for different

1288 H_2S concentrations and reported minimum and maximum concentrations of trace

1289 metals in the water column (data from Bruland and Lohan 2003). Equilibrium

1290 constants (log K under standard conditions) for Fe (FeS ppt: -3.92), Ni (millerite: -

1291 8.04), Zn (sphalerite: -11.62) and Cd (greenokite: -15.93) were taken from the

1292 PHREEQC WATEQ$_4$F database (Ball and Nordstrom, 1991).The results are

1293 approximate since concentrations instead of activities were used for calculations. A

1294 positive SI is indicative of oversaturation whereas a negative SI is indicative of

1295 undersaturation.

1307 Table 1: Accuracy of replicate concentration measurements (n = 7) of certified
1308 reference seawater for trace metals NASS-7 and CASS-6 by ICP-MS.

	NASS-7 certified value	NASS-7 measured value	CASS-6 certified value	CASS-6 measured value
Fe (µg/L)	0.351 ± 0.026	0.352 ± 0.017	1.56 ± 0.12	1.56 ± 0.03
Cd (µg/L)	0.0161 ± 0.0016	0.0162 ± 0,0024	0.0217 ± 0.0018	0.0216 ± 0.0016

1309

1310

1311 Table 2: Comparison of benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes out of the sediment and
1312 geochemical bottom water conditions between M136 & M137 and M92 on the
1313 Peruvian shelf. Fluxes during M92 correspond to similar depth (see Fig. 9).

station	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M92	M92
	water depth	latitude	longitude	water column condition	Fe(II) flux diffusive	Fe flux benthic chamber	water column condition	Fe(II) flux diffusive
	(m)	(S)	(W)		(mmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(mmol m^{-2} y^{-1})		(mmol m^{-2} y^{-1})
1	75	12°13.52	77°10.93	O$_2$ < 5 µM	-2.56	~~-1.74~~	slightly sulfidic	-22.69
3	130	12°16.68	77°14.95	nitrogenous	-0.81	~~-~~	slightly sulfidic	-3.16
4	145	12°18.71	77°17.80	nitrogenous	-17.45	-8.~~7~~57 ± 2.18	nitrogenous	-5.77
5	195	12°21.50	77°21.70	nitrogenous	-2.49	~~2.01~~	nitrogenous	-1.51
6	245	12°23.30	77°24.82	nitrogenous	-7.96	-5.~~7~~43 ± 2.36	nitrogenous	-10.20
9	750	12°31.35	77°35.01	O$_2$ > 5 µM	0.00	-6.11 ± 3.12	O$_2$ > 5 µM	0.00
10	~~970~~950	12°34.90	77°40.32	O$_2$ > 5 µM	-0.26	~~-1.68~~	O$_2$ > 5 µM	-0.12

Formatie

1314

1315

1316

1317

42

Table 3: Comparison of sedimentary Cd excess compared to the lithogenic
background and the contribution of Cd delivery to the sediment via different
pathways: (1) diffusion across the sediment-water interface and Cd sulfide
precipitation within the sediment; (2) Cd incorporation by phytoplankton and delivery
to the sediment with organic matter; (3) Cd sulfide precipitation in the water column
and particulate delivery to the sediment.

station	water depth	Cd excess sediment[1]	(1) Cd flux diffusive	Cd flux benthic chamber	H_2S in surface sediment below benthic chamber	(2) Cd from organic matter[2]	(3) CdS precipitation in water column[3]
	(m)	(μmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(μmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(μmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(μM)	(μmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(μmol m^{-2} y^{-1})
1	75	248.87	-1.85	-1.6 (3109.5)[4]	641.02	8.34 – 49.04	199.83 – 240.53
3	130	153.41	0.83	–	–	4.87 – 17.40	135.19 – 147.72
4	145	35.07	0.54	13.4 ± 1.05	1.30	1.55 – 6.48	28.07 – 32.99
5	195	44.76	0.63	22.6 ± 3.24	9.52	5.71 – 7.71	36.36 – 38.36
6	245	35.15	0.55	21.2 ± 3.31	0.40	3.60 – 6.54	28.06 – 31.00
9	750	4.44	-0.30	0.00 ± 0.02	0.00	1.48 – 3.21	1.23 – 2.96
10	950	–	–	–	0.00	–	–

[1] Calculated after Brumsack (2006) and multiplied by the mass accumulation rate for
each site (Dale et al., 2015b).

[2] Determined by multiplication of Cd/C ratio in average phytoplankton (Moore et al.,
2013) with particulate organic carbon rain rates (maximum values) and organic
carbon accumulation rates (minimum values) for each individual site (data from Dale
et al., 2015b).

[3] Remainder of Cd excess in sediment after subtraction of diffusive and minimum and
maximum organic Cd sources.

[4] Flux calculated from the concentration difference between the bottom water (0.5 m)
and the first sample from the benthic chamber incubation (taken after 0.25 h).

1356 Table 4: Modelled half-lifes ($t_{1/2}$) of dissolved Fe within the first 4 m distance from the

1357 seafloor at Stations 3 and 4 and data used for determination of $t_{1/2}$ using Eq. (4) and

1358 Eq. (5).

station	water depth	Si(OH)$_4$ flux benthic chamber (F_{Si})	Si(OH)$_4$ concentration gradient (d_{Si})	eddy diffusion coefficient (K_y)	modelled Fe at sediment surface (C_{BW})	Fe oxidation constant (k_{Feox})	half-life in near-bottom water column ($t_{1/2}$)
	(m)	(μmol cm^{-2} d^{-1})	(μmol cm^{-3} cm^{-1})	(m^2 s^{-1})	(nM)	(d^{-1})	(min)
3	130	0.73	-4.05×10^{-6}	1.55×10^{6}	70	400	2.5
4	145	0.33	-1.44×10^{-6}	1.96×10^{6}	81	3500	0.3

1359