We appreciate the reviewer's comments and did our best to address them. Our response and modifications to the manuscript are appended below (*Reviewer comments in blue italic*/response in regular font):

The point about best not to do flux calculations between just two points was not made - as the authors' appear to argue about - out of concerns regarding statistical precision, but of accuracy. Even if the pore water profile only permits to use one data point, a two-point line approach is never satisfactory. It does not look to me like the authors followed the editor's simple and well meaning suggestion of a way forward.

The reviewer criticized again that we follow a two-point approach to calculate diffusive benthic fluxes across the sediment-water interface and recommends instead to interpolate between multiple points to derive the concentration gradient. We are unaware of any specific suggestions from the editor regarding the best way to proceed with this point of criticism and it is clearly not our goal to recklessly decline well-intentioned comments from reviewers or editors.

While we would love to follow the reviewer's recommendation (to add an additional point to our diffusive flux calculations) in order to get our study accepted, we cannot do this because following this approach would yield benthic flux estimates that are incorrect. We would like to explain this and defend our view with a sketch illustrating the scientific rationale behind our flux calculations (see Figure 1 below). Peru margin sediments are characterized by high iron concentrations within the surface sediments (~1 cm) but the concentrations decline shortly below because dissolved iron is precipitated with hydrogen sulfide that is generated by bacterial sulfate reduction (Scholz et al., 2011; Noffke et al., 2012). Therefore, dissolved iron is transported by diffusion both in an upward direction across the sediment-water interface and in a downward direction into the sulfidic zone of the sediments. Lumping samples from both the upward- and downward-directed concentration gradients (light and dark gray arrays in Figure 1) into one single flux calculation may be statistically desirable but is mechanistically wrong.



Figure 1. Sketch illustrating the scientific rationale of benthic flux calculations.

The accuracy of flux calculations based on curve-fitting exercise depends on the choice of the fitting function and the precision of the measured data. In the ideal case, a perfect fit function that considers each source and sink would pass through all the measured data points, and result in the same flux that we had calculated. Unfortunately, there is no single fitting function for dissolved iron that we are aware of. The largest uncertainty in our calculations lies not with the goodness of fit below the upper centimeters (which plays no role in the driving the Fe flux across the sediment surface) but in the resolution of the measured data close to the sediment-water interface. The only way to get a statistically better estimate of the dissolved iron flux across the sediment-water interface is to collect multiple samples within the uppermost 1 cm of the sediment. Given the fluffy character of surface sediments on the Peruvian margin, such an approach is not feasible using conventional approaches for pore water recovery. The other reviewer noted that more elaborate sampling techniques are available. That is true and we added a paragraph to the manuscript (lines 322 – 330) noting that those methods were not available during our research expedition " Due to the coarse resolution of our pore water profiles and the steep gradients between the uppermost pore water and bottom water sample (see close-up profiles, Fig. S1 and S2 in the supplement), we chose to follow previous studies (Pakhomova et al., 2007; Noffke et al., 2012; Scholz et al., 2016, 2019; Lenstra et al., 2019) and calculate diffusive benthic fluxes based on a two point concentration gradient. Including deeper samples into a linear regression or applying more advanced curve fitting methods would reduce the statistical uncertainty but fail to capture the sharp concentration gradients at the sediment surface and thus lead to erroneous flux estimates (cf. Shibamoto and Harada, 2010)." We note, however, that those methods are typically applied in coastal research and not utilized on a routine basis on sea-going expeditions during which multiple cores are collected within a short time period. Moreover, our group (Scholz et al., 2011, 2016, 2019; Noffke et al., 2012; Dale et al., 2015) and multiple other research groups around the world (Sundby et al., 1986; Warnken et al., 2001; Pakhomova et al., 2007; Covelli et al., 2008; Shibamoto and Harada, 2010; Lenstra et al., 2019) have been following this approach of using two concentration points to calculate benthic fluxes for years demonstrating that it is widely accepted by the scientific community.

We discuss and acknowledge all the shortcomings of our approach in the manuscript and expanded on this aspect even further in the revised version. However, there is no scientifically sound alternative to this approach and we sincerely hope that we could clarify this with our letter.

Between line 393 and 396 the authors claim that the two methods of flux calculations are "largely consistent in direction and slope". I still don't see that. There is some agreement, but almost as much disagreement. Table 2 has three entries where both methods' numbers are given. Two agree in direction, one doesn't. Only one of the two is reasonably close regarding the slope, the other is a factor of two different. In Fig. 5, we have got the two matches in direction (Sta 4 and 6), but three mismatches (Sta 5 and 9 are going in opposite directions, 10 shows no flux vs outward flux; with Sta 1 I don't understand, why the benthic flux line does not go through the data). I cannot help, but to me, the claim that there "largely" is an agreement looks a bit preconceived. My conclusion is, that either the methodology is limitied under the given circumstances, or there are internal dynamics in the Fe cycle that are not revealed by the study.

The reviewer correctly mentioned that there is not an agreement in direction and slope between diffusive fluxes and fluxes from benthic chamber incubations at all stations. To make clear that the agreement, between the fluxes derived from the two different methods, is in particular the case for Station 4 and 6, located inside the OMZ, we realized the following modifications to the manuscript.

Lines 20 – 24 “Diffusive Fe fluxes and Fe fluxes from benthic chamber incubations (-0.3 – -17.5 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) are broadly consistent at stations within the oxygen minimum zone, where the flux magnitude is highest, indicating that diffusion is the main transport mechanism of dissolved Fe across the sediment-water interface.”

Lines 393 – 397 “At some stations the incubation data were consistent in direction and slope with the diffusive fluxes. In particular at Station 4 and 6 inside the OMZ, where the highest diffusive fluxes of -17.5 and -8.0 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} were observed, expected and observed concentration gradients were in good agreement”

Lines 440 – 444 “Consistent with this notion, the slope calculated from benthic diffusive fluxes is in good agreement with the concentration gradients observed within the benthic chambers at two stations within the OMZ (Station 4 and 6) (Fig. 5). Moreover, our fluxes from benthic chamber incubations and diffusive fluxes are of similar magnitude at these stations (Table 2).”

Regarding the reviewer’s concerns that either the methodology is limited or that there are internal dynamics in the Fe cycle, that are not revealed by our study, leading to the variations between the two flux estimates we can refer to chapter 4.1.1 in our manuscript. In this chapter we discuss different aspects that can exert an important control on the benthic Fe cycle, in particular during benthic chamber incubation, and that can modify Fe fluxes and thus leading to variations between the two flux estimates. Further, in lines 447 – 451 we mention that scatter in data from benthic chamber incubations is a common observation “Some of the concentration gradients in benthic chambers are non-linear, indicating that the Fe flux was not constant during the incubations. This is a common observation in Fe flux data from benthic chamber incubations and higher Fe fluxes generally have higher R^2 values for the linear regressions (Friedrich et al., 2002; Turetta et al., 2005; Severmann et al., 2010; Lenstra et al., 2019).“ This may be a potential limitation of the method when fluxes are low and lead to mismatches between different flux estimates (Station 1, 9 and 10). However, the similarity of benthic fluxes within the oxygen minimum zone (Station 4 and 6) still supports our conclusion, that diffusion is the main transport mechanisms of dissolved Fe from pore water into incubated bottom water. At the same time, the agreement in flux magnitude between the two different methods, also suggests that our diffusive flux estimates from a two-point concentration gradient are correct.

References

Covelli, S., Faganeli, J., De Vittor, C., Predonzani, S., Acquavita, A. and Horvat, M.: Benthic fluxes of mercury species in a lagoon environment (Grado Lagoon, Northern Adriatic Sea, Italy), Appl. Geochemistry, 23(3), 529–546, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.12.011, 2008.

Dale, A. W., Nickelsen, L., Scholz, F., Hensen, C., Oschlies, A. and Wallmann, K.: A revised global estimate of dissolved iron fluxes from marine sediments, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(5), 691–707, doi:10.1002/2014GB005017, 2015.

Friedrich, J., Dinkel, C., Friedl, G., Pimenov, N., Wijsman, J., Gomoiu, M.-T., Cociasu, A., Popa, L. and Wehrli, B.: Benthic Nutrient Cycling and Diagenetic Pathways in the North-western Black Sea, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 54(3), 369–383, doi:10.1006/ecss.2000.0653, 2002.

Lenstra, W. K., Hermans, M., Séguret, M. J. M., Witbaard, R., Behrends, T., Dijkstra, N., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Kraal, P., Laan, P., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Severmann, S., Teacă, A. and Slomp, C. P.: The shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Black Sea revisited, Chem. Geol., 511(April), 314–341, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.10.024, 2019.

Noffke, A., Hensen, C., Sommer, S., Scholz, F., Bohlen, L., Mosch, T., Graco, M. and Wallmann, K.: Benthic iron and phosphorus fluxes across the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone, Limnol. Oceanogr., 57(3), 851–867, doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.3.0851, 2012.

Pakhomova, S. V., Hall, P. O. J., Kononets, M. Y., Rozanov, A. G., Tengberg, A. and Vershinin, A. V.: Fluxes of iron and manganese across the sediment–water interface under various redox conditions, Mar. Chem., 107(3), 319–331, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.001, 2007.

Scholz, F., Hensen, C., Noffke, A., Rohde, A., Liebetrau, V. and Wallmann, K.: Early diagenesis of redox-sensitive trace metals in the Peru upwelling area - response to ENSO-related oxygen fluctuations in the water column, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75(22), 7257–7276, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.08.007, 2011.

Scholz, F., Löscher, C. R., Fiskal, A., Sommer, S., Hensen, C., Lomnitz, U., Wuttig, K., Göttlicher, J., Kossel, E., Steininger, R. and Canfield, D. E.: Nitrate-dependent iron oxidation limits iron transport in anoxic ocean regions, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 454, 272–281, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.09.025, 2016.

Scholz, F., Schmidt, M., Hensen, C., Eroglu, S., Geilert, S., Gutjahr, M. and Liebetrau, V.: Shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 261, 76–92, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2019.07.006, 2019.

Severmann, S., McManus, J., Berelson, W. M. and Hammond, D. E.: The continental shelf benthic iron flux and its isotope composition, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 74(14), 3984–4004, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.04.022, 2010.

Shibamoto, Y. and Harada, K.: Silicon flux and distribution of biogenic silica in deep-sea sediments in the western North Pacific Ocean, Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 57(2), 163–174, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2009.10.009, 2010.

Sundby, B., Anderson, L. G., Hall, P. O. J., Iverfeldt, Å., van der Loeff, M. M. R. and Westerlund, S. F. G.: The effect of oxygen on release and uptake of cobalt, manganese, iron and phosphate at the sediment-water interface, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 50(6), 1281–1288, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(86)90411-4, 1986.

Turetta, C., Capodaglio, G., Cairns, W., Rabar, S. and Cescon, P.: Benthic fluxes of trace metals in the lagoon of Venice, Microchem. J., 79(1–2), 149–158, doi:10.1016/j.microc.2004.06.003, 2005.

Warnken, K. W., Gill, G. A., Griffin, L. L. and Santschi, P. H.: Sediment-water exchange of mn, fe, ni and zn in galveston bay, texas, Mar. Chem., 73(3–4), 215–231, doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00108-0, 2001.

The control of hydrogen sulfide on benthic iron and cadmium fluxes in the oxygen minimum zone off Peru

Anna Plass[1*], Christian Schlosser[1], Stefan Sommer[1], Andrew W. Dale[1], Eric P. Achterberg[1], Florian Scholz[1*]

[1]GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstraße 1-3, 24148 Kiel, Germany

[*]Correspondence to: Anna Plass (aplass@geomar.de), Florian Scholz (fscholz@geomar.de)

Abstract

Sediments in oxygen-depleted marine environments can be an important sink or source of bio-essential trace metals in the ocean. However, the key mechanisms controlling the release from or burial of trace metals in sediments are not exactly understood. Here, we investigate the benthic biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Cd in the oxygen minimum zone off Peru. We combine bottom water and pore water concentrations, as well as benthic fluxes determined from pore water profiles and in-situ from benthic chamber incubations, along a depth transect at 12° S. In agreement with previous studies, both concentration-depth profiles and in-situ benthic fluxes indicate a release of Fe from sediments to the bottom water. Diffusive Fe fluxes and Fe fluxes from benthic chamber incubations (-0.3 – -17.5 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) are broadly consistent at ~~most~~ stations within the oxygen minimum zone, where the flux magnitude is highest, indicating that diffusion is the main transport mechanism of dissolved Fe across the sediment-water interface. The occurrence of mats of sulfur oxidizing bacteria on the seafloor represents an important control on the spatial distribution of Fe fluxes by regulating hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) concentrations and, potentially, Fe sulfide precipitation within the surface sediment. Rapid removal of dissolved Fe after its release to anoxic bottom waters hints to oxidative removal by nitrite and interactions with particles in the near-bottom water column. Benthic flux estimates of Cd suggest a flux into the sediment within the oxygen minimum zone. Fluxes from benthic chamber incubations (up to 22.6 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) exceed diffusive fluxes (< 1 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1}) by a factor > 25, indicating that downward diffusion of Cd across the sediment-water interface is of subordinate importance for Cd removal from benthic chambers. As Cd removal in benthic chambers co-varies with H_2S concentrations in the pore water of surface sediments, we argue that Cd removal is mediated by precipitation of CdS within the chamber water or directly at the sediment-water interface. A mass balance approach, taking into account the contributions of diffusive and chamber fluxes as well as Cd delivery with organic material, suggests that CdS precipitation in the near-bottom water could make an important contribution to the overall Cd mass accumulation in the sediment solid phase. According to our results, the solubility of trace metal sulfide minerals (Cd << Fe) is a key-factor controlling trace metal removal and consequently the magnitude as well as the temporal and spatial heterogeneity of sedimentary fluxes. We argue that depending on their sulfide solubility, sedimentary source or sink fluxes of trace metals will change differentially as a result of declining oxygen concentrations

and an associated expansion of sulfidic surface sediments. Such a trend could cause a change in the trace metal stoichiometry of upwelling water masses with potential consequences for marine ecosystems in the surface ocean.

1. Introduction

1.1 Scientific rationale

The world´s oceans are losing oxygen (e.g. Keeling et al. 2010; Stramma et al. 2010; Helm et al. 2011). In total around 2 % of oxygen has been lost over the past five decades (Schmidtko et al., 2017) and an expansion of oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) in the tropical oceans has been documented over the same timespan (Stramma et al., 2008). The biogeochemical cycling of several nutrient-type trace metals (TMs) is likely to be particularly susceptible to changing oxygen concentrations as they occur in different oxidation states (e.g. Fe, Mn, Co) and/or are ~~precipitated~~precipitate as sulfide mineral in anoxic-sulfidic environments (e.g. Fe, Zn, Cd; listed in the order of decreasing sulfide solubility). However, with the exception of Fe (Dale et al., 2015a; Lohan and Bruland, 2008; Rapp et al., 2018; Schlosser et al., 2018; Scholz et al., 2014a), little information is available on how other TM fluxes will respond to ocean deoxygenation. As certain TMs are essential for the growth of marine organisms (e.g. Fe, Mn, Co, Ni, Zn, Cd), TM availability can (co-)limit primary productivity and therefore affect oceanic carbon sequestration through the biological pump (Saito et al., 2008; Moore et al., 2013; Morel et al., 2014). As a consequence, a better understanding of how TMs respond to low oxygen conditions is essential for predicting how marine ecosystems and the carbon cycle will evolve in the future ocean, with modelling scenarios predicting a continuation of ocean deoxygenation (Bopp et al., 2002; Oschlies et al., 2008; Keeling et al., 2010)

Marine sediments are an important source or sink of TMs to the ocean under low oxygen conditions (Böning et al., 2004; Brumsack, 2006; Scor Working Group, 2007; Severmann et al., 2010; Noble et al., 2012; Biller and Bruland, 2013; Conway and John, 2015b; Klar et al., 2018). In the OMZ off the coast of Peru, substantial fluxes

of reduced Fe and other TMs across the sediment-bottom water interface have been documented (Noffke et al., 2012; Scholz et al., 2016) or inferred (Hawco et al., 2016). While a number of studies have addressed biogeochemical processes that lead to benthic Fe release, the key biogeochemical processes and conditions that control the sedimentary release or burial of other TMs in open marine systems are still poorly constrained. Moreover, a detailed picture of removal or stabilization processes and rates that take place in the highly dynamic water layer overlying the seafloor is lacking.

In this article, we compare the benthic biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Cd. It has been established that the Peruvian OMZ represents a source of dissolved Fe to the ocean (Noffke et al., 2012; Fitzsimmons et al., 2016; John et al., 2018). In contrast, earlier studies have demonstrated that OMZs represent a sink for Cd (Janssen et al., 2014; Böning et al., 2004). Because of their contrasting tendency to form sulfide minerals and different supply pathways to the sediment, Fe and Cd can serve as prototypes to provide information about how sedimentary fluxes of different TMs may respond to declining oxygen concentrations. Under more reducing conditions the mobility of TMs can either be enhanced or diminished, e.g., through precipitation of sulfide minerals that are buried in the sediments (e.g. Westerlund et al., 1986; Rigaud et al., 2013; Olson et al., 2017). Increased burial or release of TMs at the seafloor can have an impact on the amplitude of primary productivity, especially at the eastern ocean boundaries where the near-bottom water column is connected to the surface ocean via upwelling. Moreover, since the inventories of TMs in the ocean are generally dependent on the respective input and output fluxes, changes in the balance between trace metal recycling and burial can have an impact on oceanic TM reservoirs on longer timescales. By comparing the benthic biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Cd across spatial and temporal redox gradients, we aim to provide general constraints on how the stoichiometry of bio-essential TMs in seawater may be affected by ocean deoxygenation.

1.2. Marine biogeochemistry of iron

Iron is the most abundant TM in phytoplankton and part of a range of metalloenzymes that are involved in important biological functions, such as photosynthesis or nitrogen fixation (Twining and Baines, 2013). Despite Fe being highly abundant in the continental crust, its low availability limits primary productivity in

up to 30 % of the surface ocean area (Moore et al., 2013). This limitation arises from the low solubility of its thermodynamically stable form in oxic waters, Fe(III). Concentrations can reach up to ~ 1 nM when Fe(III) is kept in solution through complexation with organic ligands (Rue and Bruland, 1997; Liu and Millero, 2002; Boyd and Ellwood, 2010; Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). The thermodynamically stable form of Fe under anoxic conditions, Fe(II), is more soluble and therefore anoxic waters are typically characterized by higher dissolved Fe concentrations (up to tens of nM) (Conway and John, 2014; Vedamati et al., 2014; Fitzsimmons et al., 2016; Schlosser et al., 2018).

Sediments within OMZs are considered an important source of dissolved Fe and some of the highest sedimentary Fe fluxes have been observed in these regions (Severmann et al., 2010; Noffke et al., 2012). Under anoxic conditions, Fe(II) can be liberated from the sediments into pore waters from Fe-(oxyhydr)oxides through reductive dissolution by microbes or abiotic reduction with H_2S (Canfield, 1989). In the absence of oxygen, dissolved Fe(II) escapes the rapid re-oxidation and subsequent (oxyhydr)oxide precipitation and can, therefore, diffuse from pore waters into bottom waters. However, in anoxic OMZs, where denitrification takes place, Fe(II) can also be re-oxidized with nitrate as a terminal electron acceptor, either mediated by nitrate-reducing microbes or abiotically through reaction with nitrite (Straub et al., 1996; Carlson et al., 2013; Scholz et al., 2016; Heller et al., 2017). The solubility of Fe in sulfidic (i.e. NO_3^- and NO_2^- depleted) water is relatively high (Rickard et al., 2006) and during sulfidic events dissolved Fe can accumulate in the water column (up to hundreds of nM) because of decreased Fe oxidation (Scholz et al., 2016) and stabilization as aqueous Fe sulfide complexes and clusters (Schlosser et al., 2018). However, Fe fluxes across the benthic boundary have also been hypothesized to decrease under strongly sulfidic conditions in the surface sediments, when pore waters become oversaturated with respect to Fe monosulfide (Scholz et al., 2014), which is the precursor for pyrite (FeS_2) (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012).

1.3. Marine biogeochemistry of cadmium

Cd is abundant in phytoplankton despite concentrations that are one order of magnitude lower than Fe (Moore et al., 2013; Twining and Baines, 2013). A function for Cd as a catalytic metal atom in the carbonic anhydrase protein has been found in

diatoms (Lane and Morel, 2000) and it can also substitute Zn and enhance phytoplankton growth under Zn limitation in different phytoplankton species (Price and Morel, 1990; Lee and Morel, 1995; Sunda and Huntsman, 2000; Xu et al., 2008). In marine sediments Cd can be released from the solid phase to the pore waters through the remineralization of organic matter (Klinkhammer et al., 1982; Collier and Edmond, 1984; Gendron et al., 1986; Gerringa, 1990; Audry et al., 2006; Scholz and Neumann, 2007). After its release to the pore water, Cd can diffuse across the sediment-water interface. Under anoxic and sulfidic conditions, Cd is thought to be precipitated as CdS (Greenockite) and retained in the sediment (Westerlund et al., 1986; Gobeil et al., 1987; Rosenthal et al., 1995; Audry et al., 2006). Due to its low sulfide solubility, CdS can precipitate at much lower H_2S concentrations than FeS (mackinawite) (Morse and Luther, 1999).

Most previous studies have focused on the benthic cycling of Cd in near- and in-shore environments such as estuaries and lagoons (e.g. Westerlund et al., 1986; Colbert et al., 2001; Audry et al., 2006b; Metzger et al., 2007; Point et al., 2007; Scholz and Neumann, 2007). By contrast, little is known about Cd cycling in open-marine sedimentary environments, where the redox- and sediment-dynamics are different. Previous studies on sedimentary Cd cycling generally concluded that the flux of organic material and the presence of H_2S are the most important factors controlling the balance between Cd recycling versus precipitation and burial (e.g. Westerlund et al., 1986; Colbert et al., 2001; Audry et al., 2006; Metzger et al., 2007; Scholz and Neumann, 2007). Low oxygen regions in the ocean are considered an important sink for Cd (Janssen et al., 2014; Conway and John, 2015a; Xie et al., 2019) and sediments below OMZs are highly enriched in Cd (Ragueneau et al., 2000; Böning et al., 2004; Borchers et al., 2005; Muñoz et al., 2012; Little et al., 2015). However, the respective contributions of different Cd removal mechanisms to Cd accumulation in the sediment have not been quantified.

1.4. Study area

Seasonal upwelling of nutrient-rich waters off the Peruvian coast in austral winter leads to high rates of primary productivity in the photic zone (~ 300 mmol C m^{-3} d^{-1}) (Pennington et al., 2006). The combination of oxygen consumption through the respiration of this organic matter and low oxygen concentrations in water masses that

supply upwelling regions, leads to the formation of one of the world's most intense OMZs, with complete oxygen consumption in the OMZ core between ~ 100 m – 300 m water depth (Karstensen et al., 2008; Thamdrup et al., 2012). Upon oxygen depletion, NO_3^- can serve as an electron acceptor for respiration. Therefore, denitrification, dissimilatory reduction of NO_3^- to ammonium (DNRA) and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) with NO_2^- are important biogeochemical processes within the anoxic and nitrogenous water column (Lam et al., 2009; Lam and Kuypers, 2011; Dalsgaard et al., 2012). The OMZ overlying the Peruvian shelf is a temporally and spatially dynamic system where biogeochemical conditions can range from fully oxic to anoxic and sulfidic. Occasional shelf oxygenation events occur mostly during El Niño events and are linked to the propagation of coastal trapped waves (Gutiérrez et al., 2008). During such events, oxygenated water can be found on the upper slope to 200 ~~m~~ – 300 m water depth (Levin et al., 2002). By contrast, sulfidic events can occur during periods of stagnation, when oxygen, NO_3^- and NO_2^- become depleted in the water column due to sluggish ventilation. Once NO_3^- and NO_2^- are depleted, chemolithoautotrophic H_2S oxidation is impeded. Hydrogen sulfide produced by bacterial sulfate reduction in sediments can then be released to the water column (Schunck et al., 2013) at rates reaching several mmol m^{-2} d^{-1} (Sommer et al., 2016).

Our sampling campaign (cruises M136 and M137) took place in April and May 2017, during the decline of a coastal El Niño event. A coastal El Niño is a local phenomenon that refers to reduced upwelling and increased sea surface temperatures off the coasts of Peru and Ecuador, with typically heavy rainfall on land. During this event in austral summer, coastal waters off Peru showed a strong positive sea surface temperature anomaly of up to 2 ~~to~~ – 4 °C (Echevin et al., 2018; Garreaud, 2018). The warming is proposed to be a result of strong local alongshore wind anomalies and equatorial Kelvin waves propagating towards the Peruvian coast (Echevin et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2019).

2. Methods

2.1 Sampling and sample handling

In this study, data from three different types of samples were combined: (1) pore waters for the determination of benthic diffusive fluxes and to study TM cycling in sediments; (2) Benthic chamber incubations, to determine in-situ fluxes across the sediment-water interface; (3) Bottom water concentration-depth profiles to determine the fate of TMs in the particle-rich and dynamic near-bottom water column.

The sampling took place during RV Meteor cruises M136 and M137 in austral autumn between April and May 2017. We also compared our data to benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes from RV Meteor cruise M92 that took place in austral summer during January 2013. Our sampling stations covered the entire Peruvian shelf and slope across a transect at 12°S (Fig. 1) with water depths from 75 – to 950 m, thus including stations above, inside and below the permanent OMZ. Our sampling of pore waters and sample collection from benthic chamber incubations generally followed the methodology described in Noffke et al. (2012).

Short sediment cores of 30 – 40 cm length were retrieved with a multiple corer (MUC). Upon recovery, the cores were directly transferred into the ship's cool room (4°C). The supernatant bottom water was instantly sampled and filtered through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius) and acidified to pH < 1 with subboiled distilled HNO_3. The sediment cores were subsequently sampled in vertical sections in a glove bag under Ar atmosphere to prevent any contact with oxygen. The sediment samples were centrifuged to separate the pore waters from the sediment solid phase. Pore waters were then filtered in another Ar-filled glove bag through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius). An 8 ml aliquot was acidified to pH < 1 with subboiled distilled HNO_3 and stored in acid cleaned low-density polyethylene (LDPE) bottles for TM analysis. Another aliquot was taken for analysis of H_2S concentrations. Additional sediment subsamples were collected in pre-weighed cups for water content and porosity determination as well as for Cd and organic C concentrations measurements in the solid phase.

Benthic landers, constructed from titanium frames, containing two circular benthic chambers for in-situ incubations, were deployed on the seafloor (see Sommer et al. (2009) for details). After placement of the lander on the seafloor, the benthic chambers (internal diameter of 28.8 cm) were partially driven into the sediment, covering a sediment area of 651.4 cm^2. A volume between 12 – 18 l, overlying the first 20 – 30 cm of the seafloor, was enclosed in the chamber, depending on the insertion

depth of the chamber into the sediment. Prior to the incubation, the seawater contained in the chamber was repeatedly replaced with ambient seawater to replace solutes and flush out particles that might have been mobilized during the insertion of the chamber into the sediment. Over the incubation time of around 32 hours, 8 consecutive samples of 12 ml were filtered in-situ through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius) via peristaltic pumps and collected in quartz glass tubes. All sampling tubes were acid cleaned prior to use to guarantee a TM clean sampling. After recovery of the lander, the quartz glass tubes were transferred to the laboratory and samples were stored in acid cleaned LDPE bottles and acidified to pH < 2 with subboiled distilled HNO_3. Other samples were collected simultaneously for analysis of nitrogen species. The incubated sediments within the benthic chamber were sampled after recovery of the lander and pore waters were extracted to analyze H_2S concentrations for comparison with pore water profiles from parallel MUCs.

To determine TM concentrations across the near-bottom water column, water samples were collected at 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 m above the seafloor using sampling apparatus attached to the landers. Filter holders with 0.2 µm polyether sulfone filters (Supor) were attached at the various depths and connected to sampling tubes that went through peristaltic pumps into gas sampling bags (Tedlar). Sampling at 3.0 m and 4.0 m above the seafloor was realized by attaching the filter holders and tubing to an arm that was automatically unfolded upon placement of the lander at the seafloor. The peristaltic pumps transferred the seawater from the sampling depths into the sampling bags over the same time period as the lander incubations of around 32 hours. This resulted in an average sample volume of 1.5 l per depth. All filters, tubing and sampling bags were acid cleaned prior to deployment to guarantee a TM clean sampling. Directly after sample retrieval, ~~an~~a 60 ml aliquot was stored in acid cleaned LDPE bottles and acidified to pH < 2 for TM analysis. Another aliquot was taken for analysis of silicic acid ($Si(OH)_4$).

2.2 Analytical methods

Concentrations of Fe(II) in pore waters were measured on board directly after sample retrieval by photometry using the ferrozine method (Stookey, 1970). Other geochemical parameters in our different samples were also determined photometrical (U-2001 Hitachi spectrometer) using standard techniques (Grasshoff et al., 1999).

Hydrogen sulfide concentrations were determined using the methylene blue method and $Si(OH)_4$ concentrations were determined using a heptamolybdate solution as reagent. Concentrations of nitrogen species were determined by an auto-analyzer (QuAAtro, SEAL Analytical) using sulfanilamide as reagent (Hydes et al., 2010).

For TM analysis of bottom water samples we followed the procedure described by Rapp et al. (2017), whereby the TMs were pre-concentrated by a fully automated device (SeaFAST). After raising the sample pH to 6.4 with an ammonium acetate buffer (1.5 M), 15 ml of sample was loaded onto a chelating resin column, where the seawater matrix was rinsed off, before the TMs were collected into 1ml elution acid (1 M subboiled HNO_3). Due to the smaller size of pore water samples and samples from benthic lander incubations, a half-automated device (Preblab) with a smaller sample loop and thus dead volume was used. On this device, sample loading and collection as well as the addition of buffer was done manually. For samples from benthic lander incubations and pore waters, an amount of 3 ml and 1 ml, respectively, was needed for pre-concentration. The samples were diluted with de-ionised water (MilliQ, Millipore) to increase the sample volume to 5 ml for samples from benthic chamber incubations and to 3 ml for pore waters. The pre-concentrated samples were measured by ICP-MS (HR-ICP-MS; Thermo Fisher Element XR) and TM concentrations were quantified by isotope dilution. The detection limits were 28.8 pM for Fe and 0.8 pM for Cd (Rapp et al., 2017). Accuracies for replicate measurements of reference seawater certified for TMs are listed in Table 1.

For the calculation of sedimentary Cd enrichments (Cd_{xs}), Cd and Al contents in sediments were determined following total digestions of freeze dried and ground sediment samples. The sediment was digested in 40 % HF (suprapure), 65 % HNO3 (suprapure) and 60 % $HClO_4$ (suprapure). Concentrations were measured by ICP-OES (VARIAN 720-ES). The reference standard MESS was used to check the digestion procedure. The accuracy was ± 0.3 % for Cd and ± 1.3 % for Al (MESS-3 Cd: 0.24 ± 0.01 µg g^{-1}, recommended value 0.24 ± 0.01 µg g^{-1}, MESS-3 Al: 8.59 ± 0.11 µg g^{-1}, recommended value 8.59 ± 0.23 µg g^{-1}).

Organic carbon content in the sediment was determined using an Elemental Analyzer (Euro EA) after removal of inorganic carbon with 0.25 mM HCl. Precision of the measurement was ± 1 %.

2.3 Diffusive flux calculations

Benthic diffusive fluxes (F_D) were determined using Fick's first law of diffusion using concentration gradients between the uppermost pore water sample (0 – 1 cm) and the overlying bottom water (dC/dx) (Boudreau, 1997):

$$F_D = -\Phi D_{sed}(\mathrm{dC/dx}) \quad (1)$$

The effective molecular diffusion coefficients of Fe and Cd for sediments (D_{sed}) were calculated from the molecular diffusion coefficient in seawater (D_{sw}) under standard conditions (Li and Gregory, 1974) by adjusting it to in-situ temperature, pressure and salinity applying the Stokes-Einstein Equation. We determined the diffusion coefficients for sediments as follows:

$$D_{sed} = D_{sw}/\theta^2 \quad (2)$$

Tortuosity (θ) was calculated from porosity (Φ) as follows (Boudreau, 1997):

$$\theta^2 = 1 - \ln(\phi^2) \quad (3)$$

Positive values represent a flux from the bottom water into the sediment pore water, negative values a flux from the sediment pore water into the bottom water. All input values for the diffusive flux calculations are listed in Tables S1 and S2 in the supplement.

Due to the coarse resolution of our pore water profiles and the steep gradients between the uppermost pore water and bottom water sample (see close-up profiles, Fig. S1 and S2 in the supplement), we chose to follow previous studies ~~(e.g. Noffke et al., 2012; Lenstra et al., 2019; Scholz et al., 2019)~~(Pakhomova et al., 2007; Noffke et al., 2012; Scholz et al., 2016, 2019; Lenstra et al., 2019) and calculate diffusive benthic fluxes based on a two point concentration gradient. Including deeper samples into a linear regression or applying more advanced curve fitting methods would reduce the statistical uncertainty, but fail to capture the sharp concentration gradients at the sediment surface~~,~~ and thus~~, leading~~ lead to erroneous flux estimates (cf. Shibamoto and Harada, 2010).

The fluxes from benthic lander incubations were calculated by fitting a linear regression to the concentration change over time. The relevant equations are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R^2) in Table S4 in the supplement. Concentration changes over time were converted to fluxes by taking into account the

water volume enclosed in the benthic chamber, estimated for each deployment from the insertion depth of the benthic chamber into the sediment. The uncertainties of fluxes were estimated by propagating the uncertainties of the linear regressions. Following previous studies (e.g. Friedrich et al. (2002); Lenstra et al. (2019)), only fluxes where the linear regression has an $R^2 > 0.3$ are reported in Tables 2 and 3.

3. Results

3.1 Biogeochemical conditions in the water column

Due to the particular atmospheric and oceanographic conditions, the decline of a coastal El Niño during our sampling campaign (cruises M136 and M137), the water column overlying the Peruvian shelf was oxygenated. Oxygen concentrations were > 20 µM in the water column down to around 100 m water depth. However, bottom water oxygen concentrations directly above the seafloor, measured using optodes attached to lander, were below the detection limit (> 1 µM) at the shallowest station (Station 1). The OMZ, with O_2 concentrations < 5 µM, extended from around 120 to 400 m water depth. The water column within the OMZ was nitrogenous (i.e. NO_3^- reducing) as indicated by the presence of NO_2^- (≥ 4 µM), an intermediate product of denitrification (Zumft, 1997). Oxygen gradually increased to > 50 µM below 400 m towards 950 m water depth (Fig. 2). As we will compare some of our data to those of an earlier cruise (M92), the corresponding oxygen distribution across the Peruvian continental margin is shown for comparison (Fig. 2).

3.2 Bottom water, pore water and benthic flux data

3.2.1 Iron

Iron concentrations in near-bottom waters decreased from near-shore to off-shore stations, from > 100 nM at the shallowest shelf station at 75 m water depth (Station 1) to 6 nM at 750 m water depth (Station 9) (Fig. 3). At a number of stations

within the OMZ (~~Stations~~Station 3 and 4), vertical concentration gradients were observed. Here Fe concentrations decreased by 15 – 20 nM from 0.5 to 4 m above the seafloor. Multiple sampling at the shallowest shelf station (Station 1) revealed that Fe concentrations were temporally variable and ranged from ~ 100 nM at the end of April to < 60 nM at the end of May 2017.

Concentrations of Fe(II) in pore waters were highest (up to a few µM) in the upper 5 – 10 cm of the sediment cores. Deeper in the sediment cores, concentrations decreased to > 0.2 µM (Fig. 4). At all stations, sharp concentration gradients between the uppermost pore water and bottom water sample were observed, with higher concentrations in pore waters at the sediment surface (µM) than in the overlying bottom water (nM). This observation implies a diffusive flux from pore waters into bottom waters. The steepest concentration gradients across the sediment-water interface were observed within the OMZ. The highest Fe(II) concentrations at the sediment surface (> 6 µM) were observed at Station 4 (145 m water depth). At this station, the benthic diffusive flux into the bottom waters was also highest at -17.5 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1}. The lowest diffusive fluxes of 0.0 (due to concentrations below the detection limit) and -0.3 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} were observed on the upper slope below the OMZ at Stations 9 and 10 respectively (Table 2). An accumulation of H_2S in pore waters coincided with a depletion of Fe(II) concentrations (Fig. 4). At Station 1, we observed the highest H_2S concentrations throughout the core and in particular at the sediment surface, with maximum concentrations reaching > 4 mM. At Stations below the OMZ (~~Stations~~Station 9 and 10), no H_2S was detected within pore waters (Fig. 4).

Iron concentrations inside the benthic chambers reached maximum values > 300 nM. At ~~Stations~~Station 4 and 6, located inside the OMZ, concentrations in the chambers increased in a linear way during the incubation. At stations above and below the OMZ, we did not observe a similar trend over time. For comparison with diffusive fluxes, we estimated benthic Fe fluxes from linear regressions of Fe concentrations versus time (Table 2). We also calculated the theoretical concentration gradients over time in the benthic chambers based on our diffusive flux estimates (Fig. 5). ~~The~~At some stations the incubation data were largely consistent in direction and slope with the diffusive fluxes. In particular~~, the projected and observed concentration gradients were in good agreement~~ at ~~stations~~Station 4 and 6 inside the OMZ ~~(Station 4 and 6),~~, where the highest diffusive fluxes of -17.5 and -8.0 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} were

observed, expected and observed concentration gradients were in good agreement. At these stations also the highest R^2 for the linear regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time were calculated (Station 4: R^2 = 0.7, Station 6: R^2 = 0.5) (Table S4). At stations below the OMZ, diffusive fluxes of < 1 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} were too low to be detected over the incubation time of 32 hours.

3.2.2 Cadmium

In near-bottom waters Cd concentrations increased with distance from the coast, from 0.4 nM at the shallowest station at 75 m water depth (Station 1) to 1.1 nM below the OMZ at 750 m water depth (Station 9). Cadmium concentrations were constant at each station between 0.5 and 4 m above the seafloor (Fig. 3).

Cadmium concentrations in pore waters ranged between 0.1 – 2 nM (Fig. 6). Within the OMZ, bottom water concentrations were higher than concentrations in pore water at the sediment surface (0 - 1 cm), indicating a downward diffusive flux into the sediments. The benthic diffusive fluxes inside the OMZ were on the order of 0.6 – 0.8 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1} (Table 3). In contrast, at Stations 1 and 9 an upward-directed concentration gradient was observed, indicating a diffusive flux from the sediments into bottom waters. The upward diffusive flux was -1.9 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1} above the permanent OMZ and -0.2 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1} below the OMZ (Table 3). Pore water Cd concentrations at greater sediment depths were mostly higher than bottom water concentrations. In some cases (~~Stations~~Station 3 and 4), elevated pore water Cd concentrations (up to 2 nM) coincided with elevated H_2S concentrations (few hundred µM).

In the benthic chambers three different Cd trends were observed (Fig. 7). Above the permanent OMZ (Station 1), Cd concentrations in the chambers were low (< 0.2 nM) throughout the incubation period, indicating no Cd flux. At sites within the OMZ (~~Stations~~Station 4, 5 and 6), concentrations decreased from ~ 0.6 – 0.3 nM over the course of the incubation. Below the OMZ (Stations 9 and 10), Cd concentrations in the chamber were high (~ 1 nM) and remained constant or increased slightly during the incubation. At sites within the OMZ, Cd removal within the chamber was near-linear (~~Stations~~Station 4, 5 and 6: R^2 = ≥ 0.9) (Table S4), which translates to a removal flux of 13 – 23 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1}. The Cd removal fluxes in benthic chambers were more than

462 one order of magnitude higher than diffusive benthic fluxes (0.6 – 0.8 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1})
463 (Table 3).

464

465

466 **4. Discussion**

467

468 **4.1 Benthic iron cycling**

469 **4.1.1 Comparison of diffusive and in-situ benthic chamber iron fluxes**

470 Concentrations of Fe in bottom waters from benthic chamber incubations are
471 mostly higher than in ambient bottom waters because of Fe release from the sediment
472 and an accumulation in the enclosed water volume inside the benthic chamber. In the
473 absence of oxygen and, thus, bottom-dwelling macrofauna at stations within the OMZ,
474 bioturbation and bioirrigation are unlikely to exert an important control on sedimentary
475 Fe release. Consistent with this notion, the slope calculated from benthic diffusive
476 fluxes is ~~largely consistent~~in good agreement with the concentration gradients
477 observed within the benthic chambers ~~(Fig.~~at two stations within the OMZ (Station 4
478 and 6) (Fig. 5). Moreover, our fluxes from benthic chamber incubations and diffusive
479 fluxes are ~~generally of the same order of~~of similar magnitude at these stations (Table
480 2). Therefore, diffusive transport of dissolved Fe from the sediment into the bottom
481 water seems to be the main control on the concentration evolution observed within the
482 benthic chamber.

483 Some of the concentration gradients in benthic chambers are non-linear,
484 indicating that the Fe flux was not constant during the incubations. This is a common
485 observation in Fe flux data from benthic chamber incubations and higher Fe fluxes
486 generally have higher R^2 values for the linear regressions (Friedrich et al., 2002;
487 Turetta et al., 2005; Severmann et al., 2010; Lenstra et al., 2019). However, the non-
488 linearity can be used to identify additional processes affecting Fe concentrations and
489 fluxes within the benthic chamber, which may also affect fluxes under natural
490 conditions. One possible process that can remove dissolved Fe(II) under anoxic
491 conditions is Fe oxidation with NO_3^- as the terminal electron acceptor or oxidation with
492 NO_2^- (Straub et al., 1996; Carlson et al., 2013; Klueglein and Kappler, 2013). The

oxidation of reduced Fe in the absence of oxygen, either microbially mediated with NO_3^- or abiotically with NO_2^-, has been hypothesized to be important in the water column of the Peruvian OMZ (Scholz et al., 2016; Heller et al., 2017). During our incubation at Station 4 (Fig. 8), we observed a decline in Fe concentrations during the first ten hours of the incubation time. Concurrently, NO_3^- concentrations were decreasing, while NO_2^- accumulated, presumably due to progressive denitrification and release from the sediments. Once NO_3^- and NO_2^- were depleted, Fe concentrations started to rise again, resulting in the highest in-situ Fe flux observed throughout our sampling campaign (Table 2). The coincidence in timing of Fe accumulation and NO_2^- decrease suggest that depletion of Fe at the beginning of the incubation was most likely caused by Fe oxidation with NO_2^-. The incubation at Station 4 was the only one where NO_3^- and NO_2^- were substantially removed during the incubation. However, the high Fe flux cannot be interpreted as a natural flux estimate at steady state. In general, we argue that bottom water NO_2^- concentrations exert a first order control on the intensity of Fe efflux at the absence of oxygen and, therefore, need to be considered in the evaluation of sedimentary Fe mobility in anoxic-nitrogenous OMZs.

During the incubations at ~~Stations~~Station 1, 9 and 10, Fe concentrations did not continuously increase but fluctuated between high and low values. This observation could be explained by a combination of bioirrigation and bioturbation at stations where oxygen was present (~~stations~~Station 9 and 10), as well as rapid Fe oxidation and precipitation processes. Under oxic conditions, bottom-dwelling macrofauna is likely to increase the transfer of dissolved Fe from the sediments into the bottom water (Elrod et al., 2004; Lenstra et al., 2019). During episodes of oxygenation a population of macrofauna that can enhance bioturbation and bioirrigation was observed on the Peruvian shelf (Gutiérrez et al., 2008). However, under oxic conditions, any Fe delivered to the chamber is prone to rapid oxidative removal. Moreover, ex-situ experiments have demonstrated a fast and efficient removal of up to 90% of dissolved Fe in incubated bottom waters due to particle resuspension (Homoky et al., 2012). Bioturbation and bioirrigation could also contribute to particle resuspension at oxic stations, thus leading to removal of dissolved Fe.

Furthermore, colloidal Fe could modify Fe concentrations within our samples and explain some of the fluctuations observed during the incubations. Colloids are quite reactive~~, they are~~ and much more soluble than larger particles ~~and~~. Therefore,

they can be rapidly reduced and dissolved in anoxic environments, but they can also aggregate into larger particles (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). The transfer of Fe between dissolved, colloidal and particulate pools is likely to affect the balance between Fe transport and re-precipitation and -deposition to some extent. However, since we did not differentiate between colloidal and truly dissolved fractions during our sampling, we cannot discuss this aspect further based on our data.

Oxidation processes and interactions with particles can efficiently remove Fe shortly after its transfer to bottom waters and this process is likely to be most intense close to the seafloor where the highest particle concentrations prevail. We argue that the same processes are reflected by declining Fe concentrations away from the seafloor in some of the bottom water profiles (~~at Stations~~Station 3 and 4) (Fig. 3).

4.1.2 Removal rates of dissolved iron in the near-bottom water column

We observed declining Fe concentrations in the first 4 m away from the seafloor at ~~Stations~~Station 3 and 4, which hints at removal of dissolved Fe in the near bottom waters after its release from the sediments. To differentiate between dilution with ambient bottom water (by currents) from Fe removal from the dissolved phase, Fe concentrations were normalized by $Si(OH)_4$ measured in the same samples (Fig. 3). Due to opal dissolution within Peru margin sediments, $Si(OH)_4$ is released into bottom waters (Ehlert et al., 2016). In contrast to Fe, we assume that $Si(OH)_4$ behaves conservatively and precipitation reactions within the bottom waters are of subordinate importance. The decreasing Fe to $Si(OH)_4$ ratios at Station 3 and 4 with distance from the seafloor indicate that there is Fe removal within the near-bottom water column that must be related to precipitation processes or scavenging.

We further constrained rates of dissolved Fe removal at stations with a discernable Fe to $Si(OH)_4$ gradient within the first 4 m distance from the seafloor. To this end, we first determined an eddy diffusion coefficient (K_y) using $Si(OH)_4$ fluxes from benthic chamber incubations (F_{Si}) (see chapter 2.3 for methodology) and the known concentration gradient of dissolved $Si(OH)_4$ within the bottom water (d_{Si}/d_x), where x is the height above the seafloor. At the seafloor, the flux of $Si(OH)_4$ from the sediment is equal to the flux in the water column.

$$F_{Si} = -K_y(d_{Si}/d_x) \qquad (4)$$

This equation can be solved for the eddy diffusion coefficient.

Dissolved Fe in the bottom water (DFe) can be described by the solving the diffusion-reaction equation for DFe (ignoring advection and assuming a steady-state first-order consumption of dissolved Fe):

$$DFe = C_{BW} * exp.(-\sqrt{k_{Feox}}/\sqrt{K_y}) \quad (5)$$

The equation can be fitted to the measured DFe concentrations in the bottom water by adjusting the Fe concentration directly above the seafloor (C_{BW}) and the Fe oxidation constant (k_{Feox}). From the fitted first-order rate constant $k_{Feox,}$ the half-life for dissolved Fe in bottom waters can be calculated.

The half-lifes of dissolved Fe in the first 4 m away from the seafloor are 2.5 min and 0.3 min at ~~Stations~~Station 3 and 4, respectively (Table 4). Another study reported a dissolved Fe half-life of 17 hours under nitrogenous conditions in the first 10 – 20 m above the seafloor in the Peruvian OMZ (Scholz et al., 2016). Our calculations suggest that Fe removal in near-bottom waters is much faster. The approach assumes that $Si(OH)_4$ is transported vertically by eddy diffusion and ~~eddy diffusion and~~ oxidation ~~control~~controls the half-life of Fe in the first 4 m above the seafloor. It is possible that our assumption of solute transport by eddy diffusion is not correct. Alternatively, decreasing Fe and $Si(OH)_4$ concentration above the seafloor could be due to super-imposed water layers with different Fe and $Si(OH)_4$ concentrations but little vertical exchange. In this case our calculated half-life would be an underestimation.

As mentioned above (chapter 4.1.1), in the absence of oxygen, removal processes of dissolved Fe could be related to oxidation of dissolved Fe with NO_2^- or to interactions with suspended particles, which are likely to be most abundant directly above the seafloor. Further research on dissolved-particulate interactions, including the role of colloidal Fe, in bottom waters is needed to better constrain how sedimentary Fe fluxes are modified in the near-bottom water column.

4.1.3 Controls on the temporal variability of benthic iron fluxes

The Peruvian OMZ is known to experience high-amplitude fluctuations in upwelling intensity as well as variability in bottom water oxygen, NO_3^-, NO_2^- and H_2S concentrations (Pennington et al., 2006; Gutiérrez et al., 2008; Graco et al., 2017;

589 Ohde, 2018). To get an insight into how different biogeochemical conditions control
590 benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes, we compared the fluxes from our recent cruise with
591 fluxes from our earlier cruise M92 (Fig. 9). Cruise M92 took place in austral autumn
592 2013 following the main upwelling season and during a period of intense primary
593 productivity. Due to reduced upwelling and stable density stratification, the water
594 column on the shallow shelf was not only depleted in oxygen but also in NO_3^- and NO_2^-
595 during cruise M92 (Sommer et al., 2016). Under such conditions,
596 chemolithoautotrophic H_2S oxidation with NO_3^- or NO_2^- was impeded so that pore water
597 H_2S could be released from the sediment into the water column. As a result, the water
598 column during M92 was sulfidic between around 50 – 150 m water depth with the
599 highest H_2S concentration of 13 µM observed at 50 m depth (Fig. 2). While the
600 biogeochemical conditions on the shallow shelf were fundamentally different to those
601 during M136 and M137, below 150 m water depth the conditions were largely
602 comparable (oxygen-depleted, NO_3^-: 20 – 30 µM, NO_2^- up to 9 µM between 150 – 300
603 m). At the stations with similar biogeochemical water column conditions, the Fe(II)
604 fluxes during both sampling campaigns were remarkably similar (Fig. 9). However,
605 similar to the temporal variability of Fe concentrations in bottom waters at Station 1
606 (Fig. 3), we observed a pronounced difference in the diffusive flux magnitude on the
607 shallow shelf where the biogeochemical conditions differed between both cruises. The
608 highest diffusive flux during M92 in 2013 of -22.7 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} was measured at Station
609 1. By contrast, during M136/137 in 2017 we determined a much lower flux of -2.6 mmol
610 m^{-2} y^{-1} at this station. During M136 and M137 the highest flux of -17.5 mmol m^{-2} y^{-1} was
611 measured at Station 4 at 145 m water depth.

612 Diffusive fluxes are a function of the concentration gradient between pore water
613 and bottom water (Eq. (1)). As dissolved Fe concentrations in bottom waters are
614 generally much lower (nM) compared to those observed in pore waters (µM), the flux
615 magnitude is chiefly determined by differences in pore water Fe concentrations. During
616 M92, pore waters at the sediment surface were characterized by high dissolved Fe
617 concentrations (4.8 µM in the upper pore water sample), which resulted in a steep
618 gradient and a comparably high Fe flux. Under the slightly sulfidic conditions that
619 prevailed in the water column during M92, oxidative removal of dissolved Fe(II) with
620 NO_3^- or NO_2^- was impeded (Scholz et al., 2016) and dissolved Fe(II) could be stabilized
621 as aqueous iron sulfide (Schlosser et al., 2018). Therefore, the bottom water was

characterized by high dissolved Fe concentrations (up to 0.7 µM in the supernatant bottom water of MUCs).

Despite oxic conditions in the water column during M136 and M137, we observed much higher H_2S concentrations in surface sediments at Station 1 compared to M92 (4100 µM during M136 and M137 versus 1800 µM during M92 within the first 8 cm of the core) (Fig. 4). Because of higher H_2S concentrations, Fe concentrations were controlled by the solubility of Fe monosulfide minerals (FeS). It may seem counterintuitive that the surface sediment was highly sulfidic, while the overlying water column was oxygenated. In order to explain this observation, we need to consider the role of mats of filamentous sulfur oxidizing bacteria in controlling H_2S concentrations in surface sediments. (Gutiérrez et al., 2008; Noffke et al., 2012; Yücel et al., 2017). During M92 these mats were generally abundant on the shelf and upper slope (Sommer et al., 2016), thus limiting the extent of H_2S accumulation within surface sediments. Previous studies demonstrated that mats of sulfur oxidizing bacteria can disappear during periods of oxygenation (Gutiérrez et al., 2008). Consistent with this previous finding, visual inspection of the seafloor using the video-guided MUC revealed that the abundance of bacterial mats on the seafloor seemed greatly reduced, which is most probably related to oxic bottom water conditions on the shallow shelf during the coastal El Niño event. As these microaerophilic organisms tend to avoid high oxygen concentrations they probably started to die off or withdraw into the sediment once oxygen levels raised. We suggest that the disappearance of sulfide-oxidizing bacteria under oxic conditions created a situation where H_2S accumulation in the surface sediment and FeS precipitation limited the extent of Fe release into the bottom water.

4.2 Benthic cadmium cycling

4.2.1 Comparison of diffusive and in-situ benthic chamber cadmium fluxes

At stations above and below the permanent OMZ (~~Stations~~Station 1, 9 and 10), the slopes of Cd concentrations versus time during benthic chamber incubations were largely consistent with theoretical Cd concentration gradients over time based on our diffusive flux estimates (Fig. 7). In contrast, the fluxes determined with benthic chambers at stations within the OMZ (Station 4, 5 and 6) were 25 to 40 times higher

than the diffusive flux (Table 3). This discrepancy demonstrates that diffusion cannot be the dominant process leading to the continuous decrease of dissolved Cd during benthic chamber incubations. Alternatively, Cd could be precipitated within the benthic chamber and removed through downward sinking of Cd-rich particles. Cadmium sulfide (greenockite) has a relatively low solubility compared to sulfide minerals of other TMs (CdS << FeS). It is generally agreed that CdS precipitation can take place at trace amounts of H_2S (H_2S < 1 µM, i.e., below the detection limit of the method applied in this study) (Davies-Colley et al., 1985; Rosenthal et al., 1995). Previous studies using in-situ benthic flux chambers have concluded that production of H_2S in the sediment or the accumulation of H_2S in benthic chambers during incubations can switch the direction of the Cd flux or intensify Cd removal through CdS precipitation (Westerlund et al., 1986; Colbert et al., 2001). Precipitation of CdS during the incubation is, therefore, a viable explanation for the discrepancy between diffusive Cd flux and Cd fluxes in benthic chambers observed in our study. Furthermore, the three different trends of Cd concentrations observed in benthic chamber incubations can be related to H_2S concentrations in the surface sediment below the benthic chambers (Table 3). At stations within the OMZ (~~Stations~~Station 4, 5 and 6), pore water H_2S concentrations in surface sediments were moderate (few µM). It is likely that there was a continuous leakage of trace amounts of H_2S from the pore water into the bottom waters during the incubation, thus leading to CdS precipitation and declining Cd concentrations. On the shallowest shelf station (Station 1), where pore water H_2S concentrations in the surface sediment were high (hundreds of µM), a potentially large amount could have been released at the beginning of the incubation, thus explaining pronounced Cd depletion in the chamber compared to the surrounding bottom water (0.1 nM within the chamber compared to 0.4 nM outside the chamber). Below the OMZ (~~Stations~~Station 9 and 10), where there was no H_2S present in surface sediments, there was no Cd depletion in the chamber during the incubation and, consistent with previous studies in oxic settings (Westerlund et al., 1986; Ciceri et al., 1992; Zago et al., 2000; Turetta et al., 2005), both diffusive and benthic chamber flux data were indicative of an upward-directed flux out of the sediment. Due to the absence of H_2S, dissolved Cd released from biogenic particles in the surface sediment could accumulate in the pore water thus driving a diffusive flux out of the sediment.

4.2.2 Quantification of the sedimentary cadmium sink

Consistent with our Cd flux data there is general consent that OMZs are a sink for Cd. Several water column studies have observed Cd depletion in water masses within the Peruvian and other OMZs, which was mostly attributed to Cd removal via CdS precipitation in sulfidic micro-niches within particles in the water column (Janssen et al., 2014; Conway and John, 2015b). Sedimentary studies showed that Cd is highly enriched in OMZ sediments, which has mostly been attributed to the delivery of Cd with organic material and subsequent fixation as CdS within sulfidic sediments (Ragueneau et al., 2000; Böning et al., 2004; Borchers et al., 2005; Muñoz et al., 2012; Little et al., 2015). Based on our data, we can quantify the delivery of Cd to the sediments via three different pathways: (1) diffusion across the sediment-water interface and CdS precipitation within the sediment; (2) Cd incorporation by phytoplankton and delivery to the sediment with organic matter; (3) CdS precipitation in the water column and particulate delivery to the sediment (Table 3).

The enrichment of Cd in the sediment relative to the lithogenic background (expressed as excess Cd concentration; Cd_{xs}) was calculated using the following equation (Brumsack, 2006):

$$Cd_{xs} = Cd_{sample} - Al_{sample} * (Cd/Al)_{crust} \quad (6)$$

The Cd/Al ratio of the upper continental crust ($1.22*10^{-6}$) was used as lithogenic background reference (Taylor and McLennan, 2009). To calculate the flux of Cd to the sediment, Cd_{xs} was multiplied with the mass accumulation rate (MAR) from published data for each individual site (Dale et al., 2015b). To approximate the amount of Cd delivered to the sediment with organic material, the average concentration ratio of Cd to C in phytoplankton (Moore et al., 2013) was multiplied by published particulate organic carbon rain rates (maximum estimate) or burial rates (minimum estimate) for each individual site (Dale et al., 2015b). The Cd delivery via precipitation in the water column was determined as the remainder of Cd_{xs} * MAR after subtraction of the two other sources (i.e., diffusive flux and minimum/maximum delivery by organic material).

Sediments at all stations on the Peruvian shelf and slope are enriched in Cd relative to the lithogenic background. The accumulation rate of Cd decreases with distance from the coast from 250 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1} at Station 1 to 4 µmol m^{-2} y^{-1} at Station 9 (Table 3). These fluxes generally exceed the amount of Cd delivered to the sediments

via diffusion and associated with organic material. Together these mechanisms of Cd delivery can only account for ~ 20 % of the Cd enrichment at stations above and inside the permanent OMZ, with the delivery with organic material being of greater importance. The remaining Cd enrichment in the sediment (~ 80 %), after subtraction of diffusive and minimum/maximum organic Cd sources, must be related to CdS precipitation in the water column and delivery of Cd-rich particles to the sediment. This removal process can be a combination of CdS precipitation in sulfidic micro-niches around sinking particles (Janssen et al., 2014; Bianchi et al., 2018), CdS precipitation in sulfide plumes (Xie et al., 2019) when sedimentary H_2S can spread throughout the water column (Schunck et al., 2013; Ohde, 2018), and precipitation of CdS in the near-bottom water (this study). Our estimated CdS precipitation in the water column within the OMZ agrees with the Cd fluxes determined from benthic chamber incubations, where dissolved Cd removal takes place in the 20 – 30 cm of overlying water above the seafloor. These Cd removal fluxes from benthic chambers alone are sufficient to account for 41 % – 68 % of the estimated particulate Cd removal from the water column and 38 % – 60 % of total Cd enrichment in the sediment within the OMZ (Table 3). Considering that Cd precipitation in near-bottom water is unlikely to be restricted to the 20 – 30 cm above the seafloor, covered by our benthic chambers, the removal flux associated with this process is likely to be even higher. At Station 1, where the surface sediment below the benthic chamber was highly sulfidic, the particulate Cd removal calculated from the concentration difference between the bottom water (0.5 m) and the first sample from the benthic chamber incubation (taken after 0.25 h) was high enough to explain the total Cd enrichment in the sediment.

Below the OMZ, at Station 9, where the smallest Cd enrichment was observed, the relative contribution of Cd delivery with organic material increases. About half of the Cd enrichment can be attributed to organic material at this station.

Once Cd is delivered to the sediment, it can either stay fixed in the solid phase or be released to the pore waters. Cadmium concentrations in pore waters of subsurface sediments (> 10 cm sediment depth) were mostly higher than bottom water concentrations (Fig. 6), indicating a transfer of Cd from the solid phase into pore waters during early diagenesis. Cadmium sulfides are considered highly insoluble and stable within sediments (Elderfield et al., 1981), even upon re-oxygenation (Rosenthal et al., 1995). Therefore, Cd release through re-dissolution of CdS is ruled out as a potential

source of dissolved Cd. Alternatively, Cd liberation upon remineralization of organic material could explain elevated Cd concentrations in the pore water. Elevated Cd concentrations in sulfidic pore waters have been observed in previous studies and attributed to Cd stabilization through formation of organic and inorganic complexes (Gobeil et al., 1987; Sundby et al., 2004). Experimental data gave evidence for the presence of dissolved Cd bisulfide and polysulfide complexes in pore waters. An increase of electrochemically active Cd after UV irradiation, was explained by the destruction of electrochemically inactive bisulfide and polysulfide complexes (Gobeil et al., 1987). At very high H_2S concentrations (> 10^{-3} M) the solubility of Cd may increase due to an increase in these bisulfide and polysulfide complexes. Under such highly sulfidic conditions, Cd solubility may even exceed the solubility in oxygenated waters and highly sulfidic sediment can eventually lead to a diffusive source of Cd to the bottom water (Davies-Colley et al., 1985). Such a scenario may explain the negative (i.e., upward-directed) diffusive Cd flux at Station 1, where the pore waters of surface sediments are highly sulfidic.

5. Conclusions and implications for trace metal sources and sinks in the future ocean

Consistent with earlier work, our results demonstrate that that OMZ sediments are a source for Fe and a sink for Cd. Moreover, based on our findings, biogeochemical conditions and processes that control the benthic fluxes of these ~~TM~~TMs across the Peruvian OMZ can be further constrained.

Within the OMZ, where bottom dwelling macrofauna is absent, diffusion is the main process that transports Fe from the sediment pore water into the bottom water. The accumulation of high levels of H_2S in pore waters, modulated by the abundance of sulfur oxidizing bacteria, can reduce diffusive Fe release through sulfide precipitation within pore waters. In anoxic bottom waters Fe can be rapidly removed, likely via oxidation with NO_2^- and/or interaction with particles. Benthic Cd fluxes are directed from the bottom water into the sediment within the OMZ. Diffusive fluxes and delivery of Cd via organic material cannot account for the sedimentary Cd enrichment. Instead

CdS precipitation in near-bottom waters could be the most important pathway that delivers Cd to the sediments.

According to our results, H_2S concentrations in surface sediments exert a first order control on the magnitude and direction of Fe and Cd fluxes across the sediment-water interface. With generally decreasing oxygen concentrations in the ocean and an expansion of OMZs (Stramma et al., 2008; Schmidtko et al., 2017), sulfidic surface sediments will likely also expand. With regard to the solubility of their sulfide minerals, Fe and Cd represent two opposite end members. The solubility of sulfide minerals of other important nutrient-type TMs, such as Ni and Zn, is intermediate between those of Fe and Cd (Fe > Ni > Zn > Cd). An expansion of sulfidic surface sediments is thus likely to affect sedimentary TM fluxes in a differing manner. This notion is illustrated in Fig. 10, showing saturation indices calculated based on the range of TM concentrations observed in the ocean and typical H_2S concentrations observed in anoxic marine environments (nM – µM concentrations represent sulfidic events in the water column; µM – mM concentrations are typical for pore waters). Cadmium sulfide minerals become oversaturated at nM to µM H_2S concentrations, which explains why Cd removal can take place in the bottom water in OMZs. By contrast, FeS is highly undersaturated under the typical biogeochemical conditions in the water column. Therefore, FeS precipitation is unlikely to take place in the water column, even under somewhat more reducing conditions. Other sulfide-forming TMs have an intermediate sulfide solubility (e.g. Zn, Ni), which could imply that the direction and magnitude of their sedimentary fluxes is susceptible to expanding ocean anoxia. The differing response of TMs to an expansion of sulfidic conditions may cause a change in the TM stoichiometry of upwelling water masses with potential consequences for TM-dependent marine ecosystems in surface waters.

Data availability

The data will be made available at Pangaea upon publication of the article.

Author contribution

AP and FS conceived the study. AP, FS, AD, SS conducted the sampling at sea. AP analyzed the trace metal concentrations. AP and FS prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the support of the crew of RV Meteor during the fieldwork. For their technical and analytical assistance we thank A. Beck, A. Bleyer, B. Domeyer, D. Jasinski, A. Petersen, T. Steffens, R. Surberg and M. Türk. This study was supported by the German Research Foundation through the Emmy Noether Nachwuchsforschergruppe ICONOX (Iron Cycling in Continental Margin Sediments and the Nutrient and Oxygen Balance of the Ocean) and Sonderforschungsbereich 754 (Climate-Biogeochemistry Interactions in the Tropical Ocean). We also would like to thank Edouard Metzger and Michael Staubwasser for their constructive reviews, as well as S. Wajih A. Naqvi for the editorial handling.

References

Audry, S., Blanc, G., Schäfer, J., Chaillou, G. and Robert, S.: Early diagenesis of trace metals (Cd, Cu, Co, Ni, U, Mo, and V) in the freshwater reaches of a macrotidal estuary, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 70(9), 2264–2282, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2006.02.001, 2006.

Ball, J. W. and Nordstrom, D. K.: WATEQ4F -- User's manual with revised thermodynamic data base and test cases for calculating speciation of major, trace and redox elements in natural waters, US Geol. Surv., (Open-File Rep.), 91–183, doi:10.3133/ofr90129, 1991.

Bianchi, D., Weber, T. S., Kiko, R. and Deutsch, C.: Global niche of marine anaerobic metabolisms expanded by particle microenvironments, Nat. Geosci., 11(April), 1–6, doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0081-0, 2018.

Biller, D. V. and Bruland, K. W.: Sources and distributions of Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd relative to macronutrients along the central California coast during the spring and summer upwelling season, Mar. Chem., 155, 50–70, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2013.06.003, 2013.

Böning, P., Brumsack, H. J., Böttcher, M. E., Schnetger, B., Kriete, C., Kallmeyer, J. and Borchers, S. L.: Geochemistry of Peruvian near-surface sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 68(21), 4429–4451, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2004.04.027, 2004.

Bopp, L., Le Quéré, C., Heimann, M., Manning, A. C. and Monfray, P.: Climate-induced oceanic oxygen fluxes: Implications for the contemporary carbon budget, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 16(2), 6-1-6–13, doi:10.1029/2001GB001445, 2002.

Borchers, S. L., Schnetger, B., Böning, P. and Brumsack, H.-J.: Geochemical signatures of the Namibian diatom belt: Perennial upwelling and intermittent anoxia, Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 6(6), doi:10.1029/2004GC000886, 2005.

Boudreau, B. P.: Diagenetic Models and Their Implementation, Springer., 1997.

Boyd, P. W. and Ellwood, M. J.: The biogeochemical cycle of iron in the ocean, Nat. Geosci., 3(10), 675–682, doi:10.1038/ngeo964, 2010.

Bruland, K. W. and Lohan, M. C.: Controls of Trace Metals in Seawater, in Treatise

on Geochemistry, pp. 23–47, Elsevier., 2003.

Brumsack, H. J.: The trace metal content of recent organic carbon-rich sediments: Implications for Cretaceous black shale formation, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 232(2–4), 344–361, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.05.011, 2006.

Canfield, D. E.: Reactive iron in marine sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 53(3), 619–632, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(89)90005-7, 1989.

Carlson, H. K., Clark, I. C., Blazewicz, S. J., Iavarone, A. T. and Coates, J. D.: Fe(II) Oxidation Is an Innate Capability of Nitrate-Reducing Bacteria That Involves Abiotic and Biotic Reactions, J. Bacteriol., 195(14), 3260–3268, doi:10.1128/JB.00058-13, 2013.

Ciceri, G., Maran, C., Martinotti, W. and Queirazza, G.: Geochemical cycling of heavy metals in a marine coastal area: benthic flux determination from pore water profiles and in situ measurements using benthic chambers, Hydrobiologia, 235–236(1), 501–517, doi:10.1007/BF00026238, 1992.

Colbert, D., Coale, K. ., Berelson, W. . and Johnson, K. .: Cadmium Flux in Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbours and at Sites along the California Continental Margin, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 53(2), 169–180, doi:10.1006/ecss.2001.0802, 2001.

Collier, R. and Edmond, J.: The trace element geochemistry of marine biogenic particulate matter, Prog. Oceanogr., 13(2), 113–199, doi:10.1016/0079-6611(84)90008-9, 1984.

Conway, T. M. and John, S. G.: Quantification of dissolved iron sources to the North Atlantic Ocean, Nature, 511(7508), 212–215, doi:10.1038/nature13482, 2014.

Conway, T. M. and John, S. G.: Biogeochemical cycling of cadmium isotopes along a high-resolution section through the North Atlantic Ocean, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 148, 269–283, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.09.032, 2015a.

Conway, T. M. and John, S. G.: The cycling of iron, zinc and cadmium in the North East Pacific Ocean - Insights from stable isotopes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 164, 262–283, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.023, 2015b.

Dale, A. W., Nickelsen, L., Scholz, F., Hensen, C., Oschlies, A. and Wallmann, K.: A revised global estimate of dissolved iron fluxes from marine sediments, Global

894 Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(5), 691–707, doi:10.1002/2014GB005017, 2015a.

895 Dale, A. W., Sommer, S., Lomnitz, U., Montes, I., Treude, T., Liebetrau, V., Gier, J.,
896 Hensen, C., Dengler, M., Stolpovsky, K., Bryant, L. D. and Wallmann, K.: Organic
897 carbon production, mineralisation and preservation on the Peruvian margin,
898 Biogeosciences, 12(5), 1537–1559, doi:10.5194/bg-12-1537-2015, 2015b.

899 Dalsgaard, T., Thamdrup, B., Farías, L. and Revsbech, N. P.: Anammox and
900 denitrification in the oxygen minimum zone of the eastern South Pacific, Limnol.
901 Oceanogr., 57(5), 1331–1346, doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.5.1331, 2012.

902 Davies-Colley, R. J., Nelson, P. O. and Williamson, K. J.: Sulfide control of cadmium
903 and copper concentrations in anaerobic estuarine sediments, Mar. Chem., 16(2),
904 173–186, doi:10.1016/0304-4203(85)90021-0, 1985.

905 Echevin, V., Colas, F., Espinoza-Morriberon, D., Vasquez, L., Anculle, T. and
906 Gutierrez, D.: Forcings and Evolution of the 2017 Coastal El Niño Off Northern Peru
907 and Ecuador, Front. Mar. Sci., 5(October), 1–16, doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00367,
908 2018.

909 Ehlert, C., Doering, K., Wallmann, K., Scholz, F., Sommer, S., Grasse, P., Geilert, S.
910 and Frank, M.: Stable silicon isotope signatures of marine pore waters – Biogenic
911 opal dissolution versus authigenic clay mineral formation, Geochim. Cosmochim.
912 Acta, 191, 102–117, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2016.07.022, 2016.

913 Elderfield, H., McCaffrey, R. J., Luedtke, N., Bender, M. and Truesdale, V. W.:
914 Chemical diagenesis in Narragansett Bay sediments, Am. J. Sci., 281(8), 1021–1055,
915 doi:10.2475/ajs.281.8.1021, 1981.

916 Elrod, V. A., Berelson, W. M., Coale, K. H. and Johnson, K. S.: The flux of iron from
917 continental shelf sediments: A missing source for global budgets, Geophys. Res.
918 Lett., 31(12), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2004GL020216, 2004.

919 Fitzsimmons, J. N., Conway, T. M., Lee, J.-M., Kayser, R., Thyng, K. M., John, S. G.
920 and Boyle, E. A.: Dissolved iron and iron isotopes in the southeastern Pacific Ocean,
921 Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 30(10), 1372–1395, doi:10.1002/2015GB005357, 2016.

922 Friedrich, J., Dinkel, C., Friedl, G., Pimenov, N., Wijsman, J., Gomoiu, M.-T.,
923 Cociasu, A., Popa, L. and Wehrli, B.: Benthic Nutrient Cycling and Diagenetic
924 Pathways in the North-western Black Sea, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 54(3), 369–383,

925 doi:10.1006/ecss.2000.0653, 2002.

926 Garreaud, R. D.: A plausible atmospheric trigger for the 2017 coastal El Niño, Int. J.
927 Climatol., 38(January 2017), e1296–e1302, doi:10.1002/joc.5426, 2018.

928 Gendron, A., Silverberg, N., Sundby, B. and Lebel, J.: Early diagenesis of cadmium
929 and cobalt in sediments of the Laurentian Trough, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta,
930 50(5), 741–747, doi:10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2007.10.013, 1986.

931 Gerringa, L. J. A.: Aerobic degradation of organic matter and the mobility of Cu, Cd,
932 Ni, Pb, Zn, Fe and Mn in marine sediment slurries, Mar. Chem., 29(C), 355–374,
933 doi:10.1016/0304-4203(90)90023-6, 1990.

934 Gobeil, C., Silverberg, N., Sundby, B. and Cossa, D.: Cadmium diagenesis in
935 Laurentian Trough sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 51(3), 589–596,
936 doi:10.1016/0016-7037(87)90071-8, 1987.

937 Graco, M. I., Purca, S., Dewitte, B., Castro, C. G., Morón, O., Ledesma, J., Flores, G.
938 and Gutiérrez, D.: The OMZ and nutrient features as a signature of interannual and
939 low-frequency variability in the Peruvian upwelling system, Biogeosciences, 14(20),
940 4601–4617, doi:10.5194/bg-14-4601-2017, 2017.

941 Grasshoff, M., Erhardt, M. and Kremling, K.: Methods of seawater analysis., Wiley-
942 VCH, Weinheim, doi:10.1002/ange.19770890738, 1999.

943 Gutiérrez, D., Enríquez, E., Purca, S., Quipúzcoa, L., Marquina, R., Flores, G. and
944 Graco, M.: Oxygenation episodes on the continental shelf of central Peru: Remote
945 forcing and benthic ecosystem response, Prog. Oceanogr., 79(2–4), 177–189,
946 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2008.10.025, 2008.

947 Hawco, N. J., Ohnemus, D. C., Resing, J. A., Twining, B. S. and Saito, M. A.: A
948 dissolved cobalt plume in the oxygen minimum zone of the eastern tropical South
949 Pacific, Biogeosciences, 13(20), 5697–5717, doi:10.5194/bg-13-5697-2016, 2016.

950 Heller, M. I., Lam, P. J., Moffett, J. W., Till, C. P., Lee, J. M., Toner, B. M. and
951 Marcus, M. A.: Accumulation of Fe oxyhydroxides in the Peruvian oxygen deficient
952 zone implies non-oxygen dependent Fe oxidation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 211,
953 174–193, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2017.05.019, 2017.

954 Helm, K. P., Bindoff, N. L. and Church, J. A.: Observed decreases in oxygen content

of the global ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(23), 1–6, doi:10.1029/2011GL049513, 2011.

Homoky, W. B., Severmann, S., McManus, J., Berelson, W. M., Riedel, T. E., Statham, P. J. and Mills, R. A.: Dissolved oxygen and suspended particles regulate the benthic flux of iron from continental margins, Mar. Chem., 134–135, 59–70, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2012.03.003, 2012.

Hydes, D., Aoyama, M., Aminot, A., Bakker, K., Becker, S., Coverly, S., Daniel, A., Dickson, A. G., Grosso, O., Kerouel, R., van Ooijen, J., Sato, K., Tanhua, T., Woodward, E. M. S. and Zhang, J. Z.: Determination of dissolved nutrients (N, P, Si) in seawater with high precision and inter-comparability using gas-segmented continuous flow analysers, Go-sh. Repeat Hydrogr. Man. IOCCP Rep. A Collect. Expert Reports Guidel., 134(14), 1–87 [online] Available from: http://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00020/13141/, 2010.

Janssen, D. J., Conway, T. M., John, S. G., Christian, J. R., Kramer, D. I., Pedersen, T. F. and Cullen, J. T.: Undocumented water column sink for cadmium in open ocean oxygen-deficient zones, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 111(19), 6888–6893, doi:10.1073/pnas.1402388111, 2014.

John, S. G., Helgoe, J., Townsend, E., Weber, T., DeVries, T., Tagliabue, A., Moore, K., Lam, P., Marsay, C. M. and Till, C.: Biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Fe stable isotopes in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific, Mar. Chem., 201(March), 66–76, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2017.06.003, 2018.

Karstensen, J., Stramma, L. and Visbeck, M.: Oxygen minimum zones in the eastern tropical Atlantic and Pacific oceans, Prog. Oceanogr., 77(4), 331–350, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.05.009, 2008.

Keeling, R. F., Körtzinger, A. and Gruber, N.: Ocean Deoxygenation in a Warming World, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 2(1), 199–229, doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163855, 2010.

Klar, J. K., Schlosser, C., Milton, J. A., Woodward, E. M. S., Lacan, F., Parkinson, I. J., Achterberg, E. P. and James, R. H.: Sources of dissolved iron to oxygen minimum zone waters on the Senegalese continental margin in the tropical North Atlantic Ocean: Insights from iron isotopes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 236, 60–78,

986 doi:10.1016/j.gca.2018.02.031, 2018.

987 Klinkhammer, G., Heggie, D. T. and Graham, D. W.: Metal diagenesis in oxic marine
988 sediments, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 61(2), 211–219, doi:10.1016/0012-
989 821X(82)90054-1, 1982.

990 Klueglein, N. and Kappler, A.: Abiotic oxidation of Fe(II) by reactive nitrogen species
991 in cultures of the nitrate-reducing Fe(II) oxidizer Acidovorax sp. BoFeN1 - questioning
992 the existence of enzymatic Fe(II) oxidation, Geobiology, 11(2), 180–190,
993 doi:10.1111/gbi.12019, 2013.

994 Lam, P. and Kuypers, M. M. M.: Microbial Nitrogen Cycling Processes in Oxygen
995 Minimum Zones, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 3(1), 317–345, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-
996 120709-142814, 2011.

997 Lam, P., Lavik, G., Jensen, M. M., van de Vossenberg, J., Schmid, M., Woebken, D.,
998 Gutierrez, D., Amann, R., Jetten, M. S. M. and Kuypers, M. M. M.: Revising the
999 nitrogen cycle in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 106(12),
1000 4752–4757, doi:10.1073/pnas.0812444106, 2009.

1001 Lane, T. W. and Morel, F. M. M.: A biological function for cadmium in marine diatoms,
1002 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 97(9), 4627–4631, doi:10.1073/pnas.090091397, 2000.

1003 Lee, J. and Morel, F.: Replacement of zinc by cadmium in marine phytoplankton,
1004 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 127(1–3), 305–309, doi:10.3354/meps127305, 1995.

1005 Lenstra, W. K., Hermans, M., Séguret, M. J. M., Witbaard, R., Behrends, T., Dijkstra,
1006 N., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Kraal, P., Laan, P., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Severmann,
1007 S., Teacă, A. and Slomp, C. P.: The shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Black Sea
1008 revisited, Chem. Geol., 511(April), 314–341, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.10.024,
1009 2019.

1010 Levin, L., Gutiérrez, D., Rathburn, A., Neira, C., Sellanes, J., Muñoz, P., Gallardo, V.
1011 and Salamanca, M.: Benthic processes on the Peru margin: a transect across the
1012 oxygen minimum zone during the 1997–98 El Niño, Prog. Oceanogr., 53(1), 1–27,
1013 doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(02)00022-8, 2002.

1014 Li, Y.-H. and Gregory, S.: Diffusion of ions in sea water and in deep-sea sediments,
1015 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 38(5), 703–714, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(74)90145-8,
1016 1974.

1017 Little, S. H., Vance, D., Lyons, T. W. and McManus, J.: Controls on trace metal
1018 authigenic enrichment in reducing sediments: Insights from modern oxygen-deficient
1019 settings, Am. J. Sci., 315(2), 77–119, doi:10.2475/02.2015.01, 2015.

1020 Liu, X. and Millero, F. J.: The solubility of iron in seawater, Mar. Chem., 77(1), 43–54,
1021 doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(01)00074-3, 2002.

1022 Lohan, M. C. and Bruland, K. W.: Elevated Fe(II) and dissolved Fe in hypoxic shelf
1023 waters off Oregon and Washington: An enhanced source of iron to coastal upwelling
1024 regimes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(17), 6462–6468, doi:10.1021/es800144j, 2008.

1025 Metzger, E., Simonucci, C., Viollier, E., Sarazin, G., Prévot, F., Elbaz-Poulichet, F.,
1026 Seidel, J.-L. and Jézéquel, D.: Influence of diagenetic processes in Thau lagoon on
1027 cadmium behavior and benthic fluxes, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 72(3), 497–510,
1028 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.11.016, 2007.

1029 Moore, C. M., Mills, M. M., Arrigo, K. R., Berman-Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd, P. W.,
1030 Galbraith, E. D., Geider, R. J., Guieu, C., Jaccard, S. L., Jickells, T. D., La Roche, J.,
1031 Lenton, T. M., Mahowald, N. M., Marañón, E., Marinov, I., Moore, J. K., Nakatsuka,
1032 T., Oschlies, A., Saito, M. A., Thingstad, T. F., Tsuda, A. and Ulloa, O.: Processes
1033 and patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation, Nat. Geosci., 6(9), 701–710,
1034 doi:10.1038/ngeo1765, 2013.

1035 Morel, F. M. M., Milligan, A. J. and Saito, M. A.: Marine Bioinorganic Chemistry: The
1036 Role of Trace Metals in the Oceanic Cycles of Major Nutrients, in Treatise on
1037 Geochemistry, vol. 197, pp. 123–150, Elsevier., 2014.

1038 Morse, J. W. and Luther, G. W.: Chemical influences on trace metal-sulfide
1039 interactions in anoxic sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 63(19–20), 3373–
1040 3378, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00258-6, 1999.

1041 Muñoz, P., Dezileau, L., Cardenas, L., Sellanes, J., Lange, C. B., Inostroza, J.,
1042 Muratli, J. and Salamanca, M. A.: Geochemistry of trace metals in shelf sediments
1043 affected by seasonal and permanent low oxygen conditions off central Chile, SE
1044 Pacific (~36°S), Cont. Shelf Res., 33, 51–68, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2011.11.006, 2012.

1045 Noble, A. E., Lamborg, C. H., Ohnemus, D. C., Lam, P. J., Goepfert, T. J., Measures,
1046 C. I., Frame, C. H., Casciotti, K. L., DiTullio, G. R., Jennings, J. and Saito, M. A.:
1047 Basin-scale inputs of cobalt, iron, and manganese from the Benguela-Angola front to

1048 the South Atlantic Ocean, Limnol. Oceanogr., 57(4), 989–1010,
1049 doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.4.0989, 2012.

1050 Noffke, A., Hensen, C., Sommer, S., Scholz, F., Bohlen, L., Mosch, T., Graco, M. and
1051 Wallmann, K.: Benthic iron and phosphorus fluxes across the Peruvian oxygen
1052 minimum zone, Limnol. Oceanogr., 57(3), 851–867, doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.3.0851,
1053 2012.

1054 Ohde, T.: Coastal Sulfur Plumes off Peru During El Niño, La Niña, and Neutral
1055 Phases, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(14), 7075–7083, doi:10.1029/2018GL077618, 2018.

1056 Olson, L., Quinn, K. A., Siebecker, M. G., Luther, G. W., Hastings, D. and Morford, J.
1057 L.: Trace metal diagenesis in sulfidic sediments: Insights from Chesapeake Bay,
1058 Chem. Geol., 452, 47–59, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.01.018, 2017.

1059 Oschlies, A., Schulz, K. G., Riebesell, U. and Schmittner, A.: Simulated 21st
1060 century's increase in oceanic suboxia by CO 2-enhanced biotic carbon export, Global
1061 Biogeochem. Cycles, 22(4), 1–10, doi:10.1029/2007GB003147, 2008.

1062 Pakhomova, S. V., Hall, P. O. J., Kononets, M. Y., Rozanov, A. G., Tengberg, A. and
1063 Vershinin, A. V.: Fluxes of iron and manganese across the sediment–water interface
1064 under various redox conditions, Mar. Chem., 107(3), 319–331,
1065 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.001, 2007.

1066 Peng, Q., Xie, S.-P., Wang, D., Zheng, X.-T. and Zhang, H.: Coupled ocean-
1067 atmosphere dynamics of the 2017 extreme coastal El Niño, Nat. Commun., 10(1),
1068 298, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-08258-8, 2019.

1069 Pennington, J. T., Mahoney, K. L., Kuwahara, V. S., Kolber, D. D., Calienes, R. and
1070 Chavez, F. P.: Primary production in the eastern tropical Pacific: A review, Prog.
1071 Oceanogr., 69(2–4), 285–317, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2006.03.012, 2006.

1072 Point, D., Monperrus, M., Tessier, E., Amouroux, D., Chauvaud, L., Thouzeau, G.,
1073 Jean, F., Amice, E., Grall, J., Leynaert, A., Clavier, J. and Donard, O. F. X.: Biological
1074 control of trace metal and organometal benthic fluxes in a eutrophic lagoon (Thau
1075 Lagoon, Mediterranean Sea, France), Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 72(3), 457–471,
1076 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.11.013, 2007.

1077 Price, N. M. and Morel, F. M. M.: Cadmium and cobalt substitution for zinc in a
1078 marine diatom, Nature, 344(6267), 658–660, doi:10.1038/344658a0, 1990.

Ragueneau, O., Tréguer, P., Leynaert, A., Anderson, R. F., Brzezinski, M. A., DeMaster, D. J., Dugdale, R. C., Dymond, J., Fischer, G., François, R., Heinze, C., Maier-Reimer, E., Martin-Jézéquel, V., Nelson, D. M. and Quéguiner, B.: A review of the Si cycle in the modern ocean: Recent progress and missing gaps in the application of biogenic opal as a paleoproductivity proxy, Glob. Planet. Change, 26(4), 317–365, doi:10.1016/S0921-8181(00)00052-7, 2000.

Raiswell, R. and Canfield, D. E.: The Iron Biogeochemical Cycle Past and Present, Geochemical Perspect., 1(1), 1–220, doi:10.7185/geochempersp.1.1, 2012.

Rapp, I., Schlosser, C., Rusiecka, D., Gledhill, M. and Achterberg, E. P.: Automated preconcentration of Fe, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd, Pb, Co, and Mn in seawater with analysis using high-resolution sector field inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Anal. Chim. Acta, 976, 1–13, doi:10.1016/j.aca.2017.05.008, 2017.

Rapp, I., Schlosser, C., Menzel Barraqueta, J.-L., Wenzel, B., Lüdke, J., Scholten, J., Gasser, B., Reichert, P., Gledhill, M., Dengler, M. and Achterberg, E. P.: Controls on redox-sensitive trace metals in the Mauritanian oxygen minimum zone, Biogeosciences Discuss., (November), 1–49, doi:10.5194/bg-2018-472, 2018.

Rickard, D., Griffith, A., Oldroyd, A., Butler, I. B., Lopez-Capel, E., Manning, D. A. C. and Apperley, D. C.: The composition of nanoparticulate mackinawite, tetragonal iron(II) monosulfide, Chem. Geol., 235(3–4), 286–298, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2006.07.004, 2006.

Rigaud, S., Radakovitch, O., Couture, R. M., Deflandre, B., Cossa, D., Garnier, C. and Garnier, J. M.: Mobility and fluxes of trace elements and nutrients at the sediment-water interface of a lagoon under contrasting water column oxygenation conditions, Appl. Geochemistry, 31(April 2013), 35–51, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.12.003, 2013.

Rosenthal, Y., Lam, P., Boyle, E. A. and Thomson, J.: Precipitation and postdepositional mobility, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 132, 99–111, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(95)00056-I, 1995.

Rue, E. L. and Bruland, K. W.: The role of organic complexation on ambient iron chemistry in the equatorial Pacific Ocean and the response of a mesoscale iron addition experiment, Limnol. Oceanogr., 42(5), 901–910,

doi:10.4319/lo.1997.42.5.0901, 1997.

Saito, M. A., Goepfert, T. J. and Ritt, J. T.: Some thoughts on the concept of colimitation: Three definitions and the importance of bioavailability, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53(1), 276–290, doi:10.4319/lo.2008.53.1.0276, 2008.

Schlosser, C., Streu, P., Frank, M., Lavik, G., Croot, P. L., Dengler, M. and Achterberg, E. P.: H2S events in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone facilitate enhanced dissolved Fe concentrations, Sci. Rep., 8(1), 1–8, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-30580-w, 2018.

Schmidtko, S., Stramma, L. and Visbeck, M.: Decline in global oceanic oxygen content during the past five decades, Nature, 542(7641), 335–339, doi:10.1038/nature21399, 2017.

Scholz, F. and Neumann, T.: Trace element diagenesis in pyrite-rich sediments of the Achterwasser lagoon, SW Baltic Sea, Mar. Chem., 107(4), 516–532, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.08.005, 2007.

Scholz, F., Mcmanus, J., Mix, A. C., Hensen, C. and Schneider, R. R.: The impact of ocean deoxygenation on iron release from continental margin sediments, Nat. Geosci., 7(6), 433–437, doi:10.1038/ngeo2162, 2014.

Scholz, F., Löscher, C. R., Fiskal, A., Sommer, S., Hensen, C., Lomnitz, U., Wuttig, K., Göttlicher, J., Kossel, E., Steininger, R. and Canfield, D. E.: Nitrate-dependent iron oxidation limits iron transport in anoxic ocean regions, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 454, 272–281, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.09.025, 2016.

Scholz, F., Schmidt, M., Hensen, C., Eroglu, S., Geilert, S., Gutjahr, M. and Liebetrau, V.: Shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 261, 76–92, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2019.07.006, 2019.

Schunck, H., Lavik, G., Desai, D. K., Großkopf, T., Kalvelage, T., Löscher, C. R., Paulmier, A., Contreras, S., Siegel, H., Holtappels, M., Rosenstiel, P., Schilhabel, M. B., Graco, M., Schmitz, R. A., Kuypers, M. M. M. and LaRoche, J.: Giant Hydrogen Sulfide Plume in the Oxygen Minimum Zone off Peru Supports Chemolithoautotrophy, PLoS One, 8(8), doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068661, 2013.

Scor Working Group: GEOTRACES – An international study of the global marine biogeochemical cycles of trace elements and their isotopes, Geochemistry, 67(2),

85–131, doi:10.1016/j.chemer.2007.02.001, 2007.

Severmann, S., McManus, J., Berelson, W. M. and Hammond, D. E.: The continental shelf benthic iron flux and its isotope composition, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 74(14), 3984–4004, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.04.022, 2010.

Sommer, S., Linke, P., Pfannkuche, O., Schleicher, T., Schneider v. D, D., Reitz, A., Haeckel, M., Flögel, S. and Hensen, C.: Seabed methane emissions and the habitat of frenulate tubeworms on the Captain Arutyunov mud volcano (Gulf of Cadiz), Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 382, 69–86, doi:10.3354/meps07956, 2009.

Sommer, S., Gier, J., Treude, T., Lomnitz, U., Dengler, M., Cardich, J. and Dale, A. W.: Depletion of oxygen, nitrate and nitrite in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone cause an imbalance of benthic nitrogen fluxes, Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 112(3), 113–122, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2016.03.001, 2016.

Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozine---a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron, Anal. Chem., 42(7), 779–781, doi:10.1021/ac60289a016, 1970.

Stramma, L., Johnson, G. C., Sprintall, J. and Mohrholz, V.: Expanding Oxygen-Minimum Zones in the Tropical Oceans, Science (80-.)., 320(5876), 655–658, doi:10.1126/science.1153847, 2008.

Stramma, L., Schmidtko, S., Levin, L. A. and Johnson, G. C.: Ocean oxygen minima expansions and their biological impacts, Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 57(4), 587–595, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2010.01.005, 2010.

Straub, K. L., Benz, M., Schink, B. and Widdel, F.: Anaerobic, nitrate-dependent microbial oxidation of ferrous iron. Appl Environ Microbiol, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 62(4), 1458–60, 1996.

Sunda, W. G. and Huntsman, S. A.: Effect of Zn, Mn, and Fe on Cd accumulation in phytoplankton: Implications for oceanic Cd cycling, Limnol. Oceanogr., 45(7), 1501–1516, doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.7.1501, 2000.

Sundby, B., Martinez, P. and Gobeil, C.: Comparative geochemistry of cadmium, rhenium, uranium, and molybdenum in continental margin sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 68(11), 2485–2493, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2003.08.011, 2004.

Taylor, S. R. and McLennan, S. M.: Planetary crusts: Their composition, origin and

1171 evolution , by Stuart Ross Taylor and Scott M. McLennan, Meteorit. Planet. Sci.,
1172 44(3), 465–466, doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2009.tb00744.x, 2009.

1173 Thamdrup, B., Dalsgaard, T. and Revsbech, N. P.: Widespread functional anoxia in
1174 the oxygen minimum zone of the Eastern South Pacific, Deep Sea Res. Part I
1175 Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 65, 36–45, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2012.03.001, 2012.

1176 Turetta, C., Capodaglio, G., Cairns, W., Rabar, S. and Cescon, P.: Benthic fluxes of
1177 trace metals in the lagoon of Venice, Microchem. J., 79(1–2), 149–158,
1178 doi:10.1016/j.microc.2004.06.003, 2005.

1179 Twining, B. S. and Baines, S. B.: The Trace Metal Composition of Marine
1180 Phytoplankton, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 5(1), 191–215, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-
1181 121211-172322, 2013.

1182 Vedamati, J., Goepfert, T. and Moffett, J. W.: Iron speciation in the eastern tropical
1183 south pacific oxygen minimum zone off peru, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59(6), 1945–1957,
1184 doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.6.1945, 2014.

1185 Westerlund, S. F. G., Anderson, L. G., Hall, P. O. J., Iverfeldt, Å., Van Der Loeff, M.
1186 M. R. and Sundby, B.: Benthic fluxes of cadmium, copper, nickel, zinc and lead in the
1187 coastal environment, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 50(6), 1289–1296,
1188 doi:10.1016/0016-7037(86)90412-6, 1986.

1189 Xie, R. C., Rehkämper, M., Grasse, P., van de Flierdt, T., Frank, M. and Xue, Z.:
1190 Isotopic evidence for complex biogeochemical cycling of Cd in the eastern tropical
1191 South Pacific, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 512, 134–146, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.02.001,
1192 2019.

1193 Xu, Y., Feng, L., Jeffrey, P. D., Shi, Y. and Morel, F. M. M.: Structure and metal
1194 exchange in the cadmium carbonic anhydrase of marine diatoms, Nature, 452(7183),
1195 56–61, doi:10.1038/nature06636, 2008.

1196 Yücel, M., Sommer, S., Dale, A. W. and Pfannkuche, O.: Microbial sulfide filter along
1197 a benthic redox gradient in the Eastern Gotland Basin, Baltic Sea, Front. Microbiol.,
1198 8(FEB), 1–16, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00169, 2017.

1199 Zago, C., Capodaglio, G., Ceradini, S., Ciceri, G., Abelmoschi, L., Soggia, F.,
1200 Cescon, P. and Scarponi, G.: Benthic fluxes of cadmium, lead, copper and nitrogen
1201 species in the northern Adriatic Sea in front of the River Po outflow, Italy, Sci. Total

1202 Environ., 246(2–3), 121–137, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00421-0, 2000.

1203 Zumft, W. G.: Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification., Microbiol. Mol. Biol.
1204 Rev., 61(4), 533–616 [online] Available from:
1205 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9409151%0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/
1206 articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC232623, 1997.

1207

Figure captions

Fig. 1: Sampling stations on the Peruvian continental margin during cruises M136 & M137 along a latitudinal depth transect at 12° S. The sampling stations for pore waters are depicted by white stars, for bottom waters by yellow dots and for benthic chamber incubations by red dots.

Fig. 2: Oxygen, nitrate, nitrite and hydrogen sulfide concentrations on the Peruvian slope (Station 10, 1000 m depth), crossing the oxygen minimum zone (upper panel), and the upper shelf (Station 1, 75 m depth) (lower panel) during cruises M136 & M137 and M92 along the 12° S transect.

Fig. 3: Near-bottom water concentrations of dissolved Fe and Cd and dissolved Fe to silicic acid ratios 0.5 m to 4 m above the seafloor across the 12° S transect. The red diamonds show results from a second sampling at Station 1 one month later. Concentrations of silicic acid are listed in Table S3 in the supplement.

Fig. 4: Pore water dissolved Fe(II) and hydrogen sulfide concentrations. Data from an earlier cruise, M92, at Station 1 (75 m water depth) are displayed for comparison. The uppermost sample represents the bottom water concentration. The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

Fig. 5: Dissolved Fe concentrations in incubated bottom waters from benthic chamber incubations. The black dashed line represents the linear regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time. The equations for these linear regressions are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R^2) in Table S4 in the supplement. The grey dashed line represents theoretical concentration gradients over the incubation time based on our benthic diffusive fluxes (Table 2). The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

Fig. 6: Pore water dissolved Cd and hydrogen sulfide concentrations. The uppermost sample represents the bottom water concentrations. The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

Fig. 7: Dissolved Cd concentrations in incubated bottom waters from benthic chamber incubations. The black dashed line represents the linear regressions of the concentration change over the incubation time. The equations for these linear

regressions are listed together with the coefficients of determination (R2) in Table S4 in the supplement. The grey dashed line represents theoretical concentration gradients over the incubation time based on our benthic diffusive fluxes (Table 3). The analytical error is smaller than the symbol size.

Fig. 8: Dissolved Fe, nitrate and nitrite concentrations in incubated bottom waters from the benthic chamber incubation at Station 4 (145 m water depth).

Fig. 9: Comparison of benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes between cruises M136 & M137 and M92 on the Peruvian shelf. Negative values represent fluxes from the sediment pore water into the bottom waters. Shaded bars on the upper panel display the geochemical conditions in the water column during the time of sampling.

Fig. 10: Schematic overview of the possible mobility of different trace metal to an expansion of sulfidic conditions. Saturation indices (SI) were calculated for different H_2S concentrations and reported minimum and maximum concentrations of trace metals in the water column (data from Bruland and Lohan 2003). Equilibrium constants (log K under standard conditions) for Fe (FeS ppt: -3.92), Ni (millerite: -8.04), Zn (sphalerite: -11.62) and Cd (greenokite: -15.93) were taken from the PHREEQC WATEQ$_4$F database (Ball and Nordstrom, 1991).The results are approximate since concentrations instead of activities were used for calculations. A positive SI is indicative of oversaturation whereas a negative SI is indicative of undersaturation.

Table 1: Accuracy of replicate concentration measurements (n = 7) of certified reference seawater for trace metals NASS-7 and CASS-6 by ICP-MS.

	NASS-7 certified value	NASS-7 measured value	CASS-6 certified value	CASS-6 measured value
Fe (µg/L)	0.351 ± 0.026	0.352 ± 0.017	1.56 ± 0.12	1.56 ± 0.03
Cd (µg/L)	0.0161 ± 0.0016	0.0162 ± 0,0024	0.0217 ± 0.0018	0.0216 ± 0.0016

Table 2: Comparison of benthic diffusive Fe(II) fluxes out of the sediment and geochemical bottom water conditions between M136 & M137 and M92 on the Peruvian shelf. Fluxes during M92 correspond to similar depth (see Fig. 9).

station	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M136 & M137	M92	M92
	water depth (m)	latitude (S)	longitude (W)	water column condition	Fe(II) flux diffusive (mmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	Fe flux benthic chamber (mmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	water column condition	Fe(II) flux diffusive (mmol m^{-2} y^{-1})
1	75	12°13.52	77°10.93	O_2 < 5 µM	-2.56	–	slightly sulfidic	-22.69
3	130	12°16.68	77°14.95	nitrogenous	-0.81	–	slightly sulfidic	-3.16
4	145	12°18.71	77°17.80	nitrogenous	-17.45	-8.57 ± 2.18	nitrogenous	-5.77
5	195	12°21.50	77°21.70	nitrogenous	-2.49	–	nitrogenous	-1.51
6	245	12°23.30	77°24.82	nitrogenous	-7.96	-5.43 ± 2.36	nitrogenous	-10.20
9	750	12°31.35	77°35.01	O_2 > 5 µM	0.00	-6.11 ± 3.12	O_2 > 5 µM	0.00
10	950	12°34.90	77°40.32	O_2 > 5 µM	-0.26	–	O_2 > 5 µM	-0.12

Table 3: Comparison of sedimentary Cd excess compared to the lithogenic background and the contribution of Cd delivery to the sediment via different pathways: (1) diffusion across the sediment-water interface and Cd sulfide precipitation within the sediment; (2) Cd incorporation by phytoplankton and delivery to the sediment with organic matter; (3) Cd sulfide precipitation in the water column and particulate delivery to the sediment.

station	water depth	Cd excess sediment[1]	(1) Cd flux diffusive	Cd flux benthic chamber	H_2S in surface sediment below benthic chamber	(2) Cd from organic matter[2]	(3) CdS precipitation in water column[3]
	(m)	(µmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(µmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(µmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(µM)	(µmol m^{-2} y^{-1})	(µmol m^{-2} y^{-1})
1	75	248.87	-1.85	– (3109.5)[4]	641.02	8.34 – 49.04	199.83 – 240.53
3	130	153.41	0.83	–	–	4.87 – 17.40	135.19 – 147.72
4	145	35.07	0.54	13.4 ± 1.05	1.30	1.55 – 6.48	28.07 – 32.99
5	195	44.76	0.63	22.6 ± 3.24	9.52	5.71 – 7.71	36.36 – 38.36
6	245	35.15	0.55	21.2 ± 3.31	0.40	3.60 – 6.54	28.06 – 31.00
9	750	4.44	-0.30	0.00 ± 0.02	0.00	1.48 – 3.21	1.23 – 2.96
10	950	–	–	–	0.00	–	–

[1] Calculated after Brumsack (2006) and multiplied by the mass accumulation rate for each site (Dale et al., 2015b).

[2] Determined by multiplication of Cd/C ratio in average phytoplankton (Moore et al., 2013) with particulate organic carbon rain rates (maximum values) and organic carbon accumulation rates (minimum values) for each individual site (data from Dale et al., 2015b).

[3] Remainder of Cd excess in sediment after subtraction of diffusive and minimum and maximum organic Cd sources.

[4] Flux calculated from the concentration difference between the bottom water (0.5 m) and the first sample from the benthic chamber incubation (taken after 0.25 h).

1289 Table 4: Modelled half-lifes ($t_{1/2}$) of dissolved Fe within the first 4 m distance from the
1290 seafloor at Stations 3 and 4 and data used for determination of $t_{1/2}$ using Eq. (4) and
1291 Eq. (5).

station	water depth	$Si(OH)_4$ flux benthic chamber (F_{Si})	$Si(OH)_4$ concentration gradient (d_{Si})	eddy diffusion coefficient (K_y)	modelled Fe at sediment surface (C_{BW})	Fe oxidation constant (k_{Feox})	half-life in near-bottom water column ($t_{1/2}$)
	(m)	(µmol cm^{-2} d^{-1})	(µmol cm^{-3} cm^{-1})	(m^2 s^{-1})	(nM)	(d^{-1})	(min)
3	130	0.73	$-4.05*10^{-6}$	$1.55*10^6$	70	400	2.5
4	145	0.33	$-1.44*10^{-6}$	$1.96*10^6$	81	3500	0.3

1292