Response to comments on the submitted manuscript: Greaves et al. - The Southern Annular Mode (SAM) influences phytoplankton communities in the seasonal ice zone of the Southern Ocean

29 April 2020

.....

We thank the reviewer for their valuable feedback on this manuscript. These have identified several areas for improvement of the manuscript, which we have addressed below:

RC2 - Damiano Righetti

Second review for: Biogeosciences Discussions

Title: The Southern Annular Mode (SAM) influences phytoplankton communities in the seasonal ice zone of the Southern Ocean

Authors: Greaves et al.

Reviewer: Damiano Righetti, 12 March 2020

Summary of changes implemented:

A substantial effort has been made towards improvement of the ms. Several remaining points may further improve the quality of the ms and can be fixed. One corroborating statistical robustness test is encouraged.

Major remaining point:

It needs to become clear to the reader in the paper's discussion section whether the data shown support a likely or potential impact of SAM on phytoplankton community composition or whether they actually demonstrate such an effect. I think the former is the case, not the latter. The data at hand (i.e., 52 samples, spanning a relatively narrow geographic area of the total sea ice zone of Antarctica) and the methods used (correlational inference) cannot demonstrate any direct effect of SAM on phytoplankton composition in the Southern Ocean yet. To achieve this, future studies need to be based on wider data coverage and experimental incubations. On the other hand, I believe that the study establishes a valid hypothesis, i.e. that a SAM-phytoplankton linkage exists in the ice zone of the southern ocean, and this hypothesis is statistically supported by the (still rather limited) evidence for 12 out of 22 taxa.

The level of support or demonstration with regards to the main conclusions in the paper should be carefully re-checked, e.g.:

(1) Line 475 ff: "The present study demonstrates, for the first time, that variation in the SAM influences the community composition of phytoplankton in the SIZ (...)". (2) Line 480ff: "We found that the Southern Annular Mode influenced phytoplankton community composition in the SIZ (...)". And, finally, in the same paragraph (3), 485ff: "These observations suggest that the phytoplankton of the SIZ are indeed susceptible to changes in the SAM". While the first two statements are rather optimistic on the basis of the relatively sparse data at hand (and hence might be clarified to: "This study demonstrates a statistical association between a large fraction of taxa and SAM... or "This study found statistical support/evidence for the influence of ... Or ."SAM

explained phytoplankton composition better than..."), the last statement appears very valid. In sum, I suggest being a bit more careful with any statements about what the study demonstrates. Note that the SAM itself is a proxy for several drivers that, in turn, influence the composition of phytoplankton. Also, SAM explained 13.3% of variation in composition (as stated in the abstract), while 86.7% remain unexplained.

- We have recrafted the conclusion to be more specific to the results
- We have modified instances where we claimed "SAM was influencing phytoplankton" or similar to "variation in SAM is related to variation in phytoplankton" or similar
- **"86.7% remain unexplained"** this is incorrect: 62.5% of variation in composition is unexplained (Table 1b)

I recommend checking/adjusting the strength of the conclusion made in line 303ff to the strength of conclusion made with regards to community composition statements (examples above), as exactly the same number of samples (data power) was used there (but measuring chlorophyll).

 We have recrafted the supporting conclusion made here in respect of chlorophyll to be more speculative

Minor points of critique and suggestions:

- The authors mention several times that it is the first study to show an influence of SAM on phytoplankton composition in the SIZ of the SO. This does not need to be stated more than once. The value of the study is emerging from a careful presentation and interpretation of results, rather than the claim of novelty (and the study's novelty, ideally, already emerges from the literature review in the introduction).
 - We have removed all but one reference to this being the first study to have observed the relationship between SAM and phytoplankton community composition
- I think a remarkable piece of evidence is that the study finds a statistical association between community composition and SAM, but only for "SAM August" and "SAM Spring", unlike not for "SAM winter" (when there is sea ice cover). This point may deserve more space in the paper's discussion section. Second, if I understood correctly, a similar pattern emerges from the independent, remotely sensed ChI data, providing additional support to the ineffectiveness of "SAM winter".
 - We have included mention of SAM winter in the discussion
- I have criticized during the first Review that there was a lack of clarity in several instances, and that the argumentation lines or paragraph structures were partially broken. While it is the task of the authors to make sure that these points are fixed, I provide a few more inputs herein that were apparent during the revisit of the ms:
- Clarity of SAM definition line 71 ff: i.e.: "The SAM is estimated either from station measurements as the difference in normalized zonal mean atmospheric sea-level pressure between 40° S and 65° S (Gong and Wang, 1999; Marshall, 2003), or from Principal Component analysis of gridded data of atmospheric pressure or temperature, at sea-level or at a geopotential height (Ho et al., 2012)."

For the reader, it is

(a) unclear if air pressure at 40° S is subtracted from the air pressure at 65°S - or vice versa (or if the absolute difference is taken) - and

(b) unclear, if the Principal Component method involves a comparison of the same latitudinal positions or not. Please clarify.

- Re: (a). Neither is used here we use NOAA's daily product which calculates the projection of the 700 hPa GPH anomaly onto the loading pattern of the first EOF in the southern hemisphere. However we have clarified to say that the difference is "40 minus 65" Ref: Gong and Wang, 1999
- Re (b). We apologise the confusing way this was written! While we outlined the two common methods of calculating SAM, we neglected to highlight which approach we chose. We edited the text in two places to resolve this.
- Edits at around line 58 and line 140
- Clarity of community composition definition: Phytoplankton community composition (as used currently in the paper) both denotes the number of taxa found and their relative cell counts/contribution to the total cell counts in a sample. Hence, species identities and their abundance are both included in the definition. I hence, advise against using "taxonomic composition" in line 233 or "community taxonomic composition" in line 241 as synonyms, as they mean something else (e.g., contribution of (larger) taxa to the community). Please be consistent in use of terms.
 - All instances of "community taxonomic composition" and "taxonomic composition" have been removed in favour of "phytoplankton community composition"
- I acknowledge the authors' effort to split the 22 taxa investigated under the microscopes into test groups. Obviously the information available was insufficient to perform such a test. I hence, do not insist to include lines 391-395 in the ms. (Yet, I agree that it is a noteworthy point that a significant fraction of the taxa in the study are endemic to the region and/or lack observation records in OBIS from other regions).
 - Some of the text has been removed, the reference to the number of taxa represented in OBIS has been retained.
- I proposed to put primary productivity in the SIZ into the context of global primary productivity to give the reader a sense of the importance of the study region. This has not been implemented. The sentence now reads as follows, line 34 ff: "Total productivity within the SIZ of the SO has been estimated at 68–107 Tg C yr-1 from 1997 to 2005 (Arrigo et al., 2008), corresponding to roughly one third/fourth... of the Tg C yr produced globally, and consequently SO phytoplankton play a role in mitigating the accumulation of anthropogenic greenhouse gases in the word's atmosphere (...). Could the authors include a statement (see my red textual edits) to provide global context? Only this would enable the reader to grasp the global relevance of the SIZ.
 - The requested information has been added to the manuscript
- Caption of Figure 2, second line. Taxa codes listed in Table 3 not Table 2, I guess.
 - The error in Figure 2 caption has been corrected
- CAP method explanation: It would be helpful at an appropriate position in the paper –to briefly explain to the reader how community composition was related to environmental covariates in CAP. The reader does not intuitively comprehend, if (a) community composition is reduced to a single expression and then related to the environmental covariate, or if (b) the abundance values of each species are related to the covariate, simultaneously, in such CAP analysis. Please clarify.

- The text has been modified address this point
- Comment: I wondered why the clustering of community samples was used as a strategy to test the hypothesis of SAM influence on composition. If I understand now correctly, it served to provide additional context to the data shown in Figure 6 and hence served as a complementary analysis.
 - The text has been modified address this point
- Results section: Line 223: This sentence interprets the results. In the results section, I suggest to present the (main) results first, without interpretation. Also slightly confusing: Cluster analysis is introduced here as a method.
 - The text has been modified address this point
- Statistics: I acknowledge that the authors present p values in many instances. In Figure 5, I suggest to present r-squared values inside each panel, rather than r values, as r-squared values reflect the variance explained, which is often referred to elsewhere in the paper.
 - r2 has now replaced r in Figure 5

(Please also stick to "variance", or "variation", in the ms).

- Some instances of the word "variation" have been changed to "variance" to be consistent
- Replicates per sample: Does figure 5 show sample means (of the three replicates per sample)? If so, could standard deviations be added to each dot to depict how much variability was involved between replicates per sample? The reader gets no sense at the moment about the within-sample variability/uncertainty between replicates.
 - The individual "SEM fields" ... "assessed for each sample" (line 134) were not considered to be sampling replicates, but rather incremental increases in the area of each sample analysed, and thus statistical confidence limits cannot be calculated we have added the words: "— these were considered as incremental increases in the sample area covered and not sampling replicates"
- Line 41ff: Indeed, it has been estimated that productivity declines by 1%. Yet the paper has been disputed. I hence suggest using e.g. past tense, not present, and to refer to a criticizing paper (e.g. brief communication by David Mackas: DOI 10.1038/nature09951) as well.
 - The text has been amended and further information cited
- Line 21vs line 61. Contradictory.
 - They are not contradictory Line 21 is referring to "extreme seasonal variability" and line 61 is referring to "phytoplankton bloom development" whilst we consider this to be clear we have switched "the" to "a" in the sentence that was line 61
- Line 153: Has a parallel analysis using absolute abundances instead of relative abundances, been attempted as a robustness test? (I.e., How many taxa do show positive associations with SAM in this case?). I strongly recommend running such a parallel test in order to demonstrate the statistical robustness/sensitivity of results.

- Parallel analyses undertaken with absolute abundances showed similar but slightly weaker results. It has now been reported in Supplementary Material, and a paragraph summarising the similarities has been added to the RESULTS section
- Line 221: Figure 3. Could the same x-axis scales be used in both panels? Slightly confusing why panel a) uses months and days while panel b) uses days only.
 - We would prefer to keep the axis as they are, being different because they represent quite different ways of expressing the timing of the SAM "window". The seasonal same maxima are more easily interpreted with a month scale rather than with a days-in-the-year scale, and the time-before-sampling SAM prior maxima has no monthly meaning as the samples are collected over a 3-month window.
- Line 224: These (plural) vs. other analysis (singular). Mismatch.
 - This has been corrected.
- Line 265ff: The sentence is not clear to me, "most variation due to seasonal succession due to". Perhaps: Most variation in the seasonal succession of..?
 - We have reworded the sentence to improve clarity
- Line 278ff: I suggest to replace SIMPROF by "similarity profile analysis" or similar, as the reader does not remember what SIMPROF stands for.
 - "SIMPROF" has been replaced with "similarity profile (SIMPROF) permutation analysis" in the sentence
- Line 281ff: This is a methodological argument, could this be introduced earlier on? E.g. in the methods section.
 - We have moved this explanation to the methods section
- Line 296ff: I do not think that indicators were derived. I argue that relationships were examined or tested by the data. I agree, however, that this methodological statement may help the reader (else it should be omitted in the results section).
 - We have replaced the word "derived" with the word "obtained"
- Line 314: I suggest. It is not the abundance of taxa/species, but the abundance of cells per species or taxon-group that matters.
 - deleted "taxonomic" from section heading
- Line 324ff: I do not fully comprehend this point. Why should a demonstration of separation of samples into clusters support the conclusion that SAM affects community composition (stated in line 322)?
 - We have added more explanation ".....if clustering had revealed few or no clusters it would have been indicative of levels of community variance (either high or low) unlikely to be systematically explainable with the environmental variables."
- Line 356ff: Please specify: Was a statistical association between SAM indices and phytoplankton composition found (?).

- We have added the statistics to paragraph
- Line 372: Comment: Why not including this expectation (SAM winter has no effect vs. other SAM have effect) in the main hypothesis stated upfront in the paper? If this expectation is stated here, a chance to incept this fascinating idea earlier on to the reader is being missed. The conclusion in line 384 (potentially adjust, see main comment above) may then actually be expected (adjust if needed).
 - We have modified the text
- Line 388ff: This sentence is rather long. I do not get the key point / essence of it.
 - We have removed the paragraph as the reviewer did not grasp the point we were making and it adds little to the story
- Line 404ff paragraph: What does this paragraph mean/suggest? Can a final sentence or statement summarize the message to the reader?
 - We have removed this paragraph as not contributing to the main theme of the manuscript
- Line 432: "that" is missing in this sentence.
 - "that" added
- Line 435ff: The first phrase (i.e., "The maxima in the variance in total chlorophyll explained by the SAM ..." is hard to understand. (Rephrasing possible?).
 - We have modified the sentence to improve clarity
- 4.4. Implications. Line 446ff This first paragraph provides an excellent embedment of the study's result in the literature. However, this seems to be a key aspect of the discussion, rather than an implication of the study. Also the paragraph in line 463ff seems to be rather a discussion point than an implication.
- We have moved and edited these discussion paragraphs out of the "Implications" section and into the "Discussion" section
- Line 463: "It is not surprising that climate in both autumn and spring influence (...)" Climate or weather mode? The sentence treats the hypothesis that is established in the paper as granted. Please see my point above on the degree of the study's conclusion. Line 468ff: "The surprise is that (...)". Wasn't this expected based on the hypothesis of the paper?
 - We have taken reference to "surprise" out of the paragraph

The Southern Annular Mode (SAM) influences phytoplankton communities in the seasonal ice zone of the Southern Ocean

Bruce L. Greaves¹, Andrew T. Davidson², Alexander D. Fraser^{3,1}, John P. McKinlay², Andrew Martin¹, Andrew McMinn¹, and Simon W. Wright^{1,2}

Correspondence: Bruce Greaves (bruce.on.aria@gmail.com)

Abstract.

Ozone depletion and climate change are causing the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) to become increasingly positive, driving stronger winds southward in the Southern Ocean (SO), with likely effects on phytoplankton habitat due to possible changes in ocean mixing, nutrient upwelling, and sea ice characteristics. This study examined the effect of the SAM and 12 other environmental variables on the abundance of siliceous and calcareous phytoplankton in the seasonal ice zone (SIZ) of the SO. Fifty-two surface-water samples were collected during repeat resupply voyages between Hobart, Australia, and Dumont d'Urville, Antarctica, centred around longitude 142° E, over 11 consecutive austral spring-summers (2002 - 2012), and spanning 131 days in the spring-summer from 20th October to 28th February. Twenty-two taxa-groups, comprised of individual species, groups of species, genera or higher taxonomic groups, were analysed using CAP analysis (constrained analysis of principal coordinates), cluster analysis and correlation. Overall, satellite-derived estimates of total chlorophyll and measured depletion of macronutrients both indicated more positive SAM was associated with greater productivity in the SIZ. The greatest effect of SAM on phytoplankton communities was the average value of SAM across 57 days in the previous austral autumn centred around 11th of March, which explained 13.3 % of the variance in community composition in the following spring/summer. This autumn SAM index was significantly pair-wise correlated (p<0.05) with the relative abundance of 12 of the 22 taxa-groups resolved. More positive SAM favoured increases in the relative-abundance of large *Chaetoceros* spp. that predominated later in the spring-summer and reductions in small diatom taxa and siliceous and calcareous flagellates that predominated earlier in the spring-summer. Individual species belonging to the abundant *Fragilariopsis* genera responded differently to the SAM, indicating the importance of species-level observation in detecting SAM-induced changes in phytoplankton communities. The day through the spring-summer on which a sample was collected explained a significant and larger proportion (15.4 %) of the variance in the phytoplankton community composition than the SAM, yet this covariate was a proxy for such environmental factors as ice-cover and sea surface temperature; factors that are regarded as primary drivers of the extreme seasonal variability in phytoplankton communities in Antarctic waters. The impacts of SAM on phytoplankton, which are the pasture of the SO

¹Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 129, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia ²Australian Antarctic Division, Department of the Environment and Energy, 203 Channel Highway, Kingston, Tasmania 7050, Australia

³Antarctic Climate & Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre (ACE CRC), University of Tasmania, Private Bag 80, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

and principal energy source for Antarctic life, would have ramifications for both carbon export and food availability for higher trophic levels in the SIZ of the SO.

Copyright statement. TEXT

1 Introduction

25

Phytoplankton are the primary producers that feed almost all life in the oceans. In the Southern Ocean (SO), defined as the southern portions of the Atlantic Ocean, Indian Ocean, and Pacific Ocean south of 60°S (Arndt et al., 2013), springsummer phytoplankton blooms in the seasonal ice zone (SIZ) feed swarms of krill which, in turn, are key food for seabirds, fish, whales and almost all Antarctic life (Smetacek, 2008; Cavicchioli et al., 2019). Phytoplankton also play a critical role in ameliorating global climate change by capturing carbon through photosynthesis. Around one third of the carbon fixed by phytoplankton in SIZ of the SO sinks out of the surface ocean (Henson et al., 2015), more than the global ocean average of around 20 % (Boyd and Trull, 2007; Ciais et al., 2013; Henson et al., 2015). This sequestration of carbon (Boyd and Trull, 2007; Ciais et al., 2013; Henson et al., 2015). With total productivity within the SIZ of the SO estimated at 68-107 Tg C yr⁻¹ (Arrigo et al., 2008), this equates to 23 - 36 Tg C yr⁻¹, around 0.2 - 0.3 % of the estimated annual global marine biota export of 13 Pg (Ciais et al., 2013), being sequestered to the deeper ocean is thought to last for climatically significant periods of time, likely hundreds to thousands of years (Lampitt and Antia, 1997). Total productivity within the SIZ of the SO has been estimated at 68-107 Tg C yr 1 from 1997 to 2005 (Arrigo et al., 2008), and consequently SO phytoplankton play a role in mitigating the accumulation of anthropogenic greenhouse gasses in the world's atmosphere (Boyd and Trull, 2007; Deppeler and Davidson, 2017). Even so, the SIZ of the SQ shows a net release of CO₂ from the ocean to the atmosphere due to off-gassing of carbon-rich deep-ocean water upwelling at the Antarctic Divergence (Takahashi et al., 2009). Any Thus any changes in the composition and abundance of phytoplankton in the SIZ are likely to influence both the trophodynamics of the SO and the contribution of the region to ocean-atmospheric carbon flux.

Global standing stocks of phytoplankton are estimated to be declining at around have been declining by as much as 1 % per year, a decline largely attributed to rising surface ocean temperature (Boyce et al., 2010) (Boyce et al., 2010; Mackas, 2011; Boyce et al., 20 Furthermore, global phytoplankton productivity is predicted to drop by as much as 9 % from years 1990 to 2090 (RCP8.5 *Business As Usual*), with a decline across most of the Earth's ocean area (Bopp et al., 2013). In contrast, higher latitudes, including the SIZ of the SO, are predicted to experience an increase in phytoplankton productivity due to changes to seasonal ice extent and duration (Parkinson, 2019; Turner et al., 2013) and/or increased upwelling of nutrient-rich deep ocean water at the Antarctic Divergence (Steinacher et al., 2010; Bopp et al., 2013; Carranza and Gille, 2015).

1.1 Importance of the SIZ phytoplankton bloom

The Antarctic SIZ is one of the most productive parts of the SO (Carranza and Gille, 2015). It is also a significant component of the global carbon cycle by virtue of both carbon sequestration by phytoplankton (Henson et al., 2015) as well as upwelling and off-gassing of carbon-rich deep ocean water (Takahashi et al., 2009). It is one of the largest and most variable biomes on Earth, with sea ice extent varying from around 20 million km² during winter to only 4 million km² in summer (Turner et al., 2015; Massom and Stammerjohn, 2010; Parkinson, 2019). The most macronutrient-rich surface waters of the SIZ occur over the Antarctic Divergence, a circumpolar region of the SO located at around 63°S where carbon- and nutrient-rich water upwells to the surface, supplying the nutrients that drive much of the phytoplankton production in the SO (Lovenduski and Gruber, 2005; Carranza and Gille, 2015).

In winter, phytoplankton growth is limited by light availability and temperature. In spring and summer, phytoplankton can proliferate in the high light, high nutrient waters that trail the southward retreat of sea ice (Fig 1a,b) (Wilson et al., 1986; Smetacek and Nicol, 2005; Lannuzel et al., 2007; Saenz and Arrigo, 2014; Rigual-Hernández et al., 2015). The SIZ supports high phytoplankton standing stocks and productivity, and phytoplankton abundance in blooms can double every few days (Wilson et al., 1986; Sarthou et al., 2005). Wind speed is the a primary determinant of phytoplankton bloom development in the SIZ, with calmer conditions fostering shallow mixed depths that maintain phytoplankton cells in a high light environment and maximise productivity (Savidge et al., 1996; Fitch and Moore, 2007). Phytoplankton populations are characterised by large-scale spatial and temporal variability (Martin et al., 2012) with only 17-24 % of ice edge waters experiencing phytoplankton blooms in any spring-summer period (Fitch and Moore, 2007).

1.2 The Southern Annular Mode

The Southern Annular Mode (SAM), which is also variously also called the High-Latitude Mode and the Antarctic Oscillation, is well-represented by two alternative definitions; a) the normalised zonal mean sea-level pressure at 40° S minus that at 65° S (Gong and Wang, 1999; Marshall, 2003); or b) the principal mode of atmospheric variability over the SO (Gong and Wang, 1999; Marshall, at high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. The SAM reflects the position and intensity of a zonally symmetric structure of atmospheric circulation in the southern hemisphere, circling the earth (annular) at around 50° southS, and it has been defined as the alternating pattern of strengthening and weakening westerly winds in conjunction with high to low pressure bands (Ho et al., 2012). The SAM is estimated either from station measurements as the difference in normalised zonal mean atmospheric sea-level pressure between 40° S and 65° S (Gong and Wang, 1999; Marshall, 2003), or from Principal Component analysis of gridded data of atmospheric pressure or temperature, at sea-level or at a geopotential height (Ho et al., 2012) Variation in the SAM typically describes around 35 % of total Southern Hemisphere climate variability (Marshall, 2007), and the SAM is currently the dominant large-scale mode through which climate change is expressed at SO latitudes (Thompson and Solomon, 2002; Lenton

Between 1979 and 2017 the value of daily SAM averaged 0.04 index points, ranged from -5.13 to 4.64 and had a standard de-

viation of 1.38 (NOAA, 2017) (after data by NOAA (2017)). Average monthly SAM varied from -2.7 to 2.5 index points over the 11 years studied (Fig. 1c).

There is was a trend toward more positive SAM from 1979 to 2017 of 0.011 index points per year (NOAA, 2017), attributed to both ozone-depletion (Thompson and Solomon, 2002; Arblaster and Meehl, 2006; Gillett and Fyfe, 2013; Jones et al., 2016) and to increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations (Thompson et al., 2011). The long-term average SAM is now at its most positive level for at least the past 1,000 years (Abram et al., 2014). Continuing increases in atmospheric greenhouse gasses are expected to drive further positive increase in the SAM in all seasons (Arblaster and Meehl, 2006; Swart and Fyfe, 2012; Gillett and Fyfe, 2013), despite the expected recovery in stratospheric ozone concentrations to pre-ozone hole values by around 2065 (Son et al., 2009; Schiermeier, 2009; Thompson et al., 2011; Solomon et al., 2016).

A more positive SAM indicates the occurrence of a strengthening circumpolar vortex (Marshall, 2003; Ho et al., 2012) leading to stronger westerly winds and increased storminess at high latitudes (Hall and Visbeck, 2002; Kwok and Comiso, 2002; Lovenduski and Gruber, 2005; Arblaster and Meehl, 2006). These changes are particularly marked south of 60°S in the atmospheric Southern Circumpolar Trough (Hines et al., 2000; Mackintosh et al., 2017), a region characterised by strong winds with variable direction (Taljaard, 1967). Stronger winds associated with more positive SAM may result in increased transport of surface water northward from the Antarctic Divergence by Ekman drift (Lovenduski and Gruber, 2005; DiFiore et al., 2006), potentially driving increased upwelling of nutrient- and carbon-rich deep ocean water at the Antarctic Divergence (Hall and Visbeck, 2002). More positive SAM is also associated with reduced near-surface air temperature over the SIZ due to an increased frequency of strong southerly winds and increased cloud cover (Lefebvre et al., 2004; Sen Gupta and England, 2006; Marshall, 2007). Sea ice extent around the Antarctic continent shows zonal relationships with the SAM, with positive relationships between the SAM and sea ice extent in the Western Pacific and Indian sectors of the SO and negative or nonexistent relationships in other sectors (Kohyama and Hartmann, 2016). Wind also affects the nature of the sea ice, breaking up floes via wave interactions, increasing flooding, changing pack ice density (compressing or opening up the pack) and contributing to ice formation by generating frazzil ice (Massom and Stammerjohn, 2010; Squire, 2020). Lower sea-surface temperatures have been observed to lag positive SAM events by one to four months (Lefebvre et al., 2004; Meredith et al., 2008), and changes in the SAM may take weeks to months to be manifested in phytoplankton communities (Sen Gupta and England, 2006; Meredith et al., 2008). Extreme SAM events might also impact phytoplankton communities for multiple years (Ottersen et al., 2001).

100

105

By modulating upwelling, ocean mixed depth, air temperature, and sea ice characteristics and duration, it is likely that a more positive SAM will affect the composition and abundance of phytoplankton in the SIZ of the SO. Lovenduski and Gruber (2005) predicted that more positive SAM would support higher phytoplankton productivity, and subsequent analyses by Arrigo et al. (2008), Boyce et al. (2010), and Soppa et al. (2016) have confirmed a positive relationship between the SAM and phytoplankton standing stocks and productivity south of 60°S in the SIZ.

1.3 The Hypothesis

Based on the predicted and observed positive relationships between the SAM and phytoplankton productivity and biomass standing stocks and productivity in the SIZ of the SO, we hypothesised that changes in the SAM could also elicit changes in the composition of the phytoplankton community. To test this hypothesis, we conducted a scanning electron microscopic survey of hard-shelled phytoplankton in surface waters of the Antarctic SIZ using samples collected between October and February each spring-summer over 11 consecutive years (2002/03 - 2012/13). We then related the composition of these communities to environmental variables including the SAM.

2 METHODS

Fifty-two surface-water samples were collected from the seasonal ice zone (SIZ) of the Southern Ocean (SO) across 11 consecutive austral spring-summers from 2002/03 to 2012/13. The samples were collected aboard the French re-supply vessel MV L'Astrolabe during resupply voyages between Hobart, Australia, and Dumont d'Urville, Antarctica, between the 20th October and the 28th February. Most samples were collected from ice-free water, although some were collected south of the receding ice-edge (Fig. 1a).

The sampled area was in the Indian sector of the SO, spanning 270 km of latitude between 62° S and 64.5° S, and 625 km of longitude between 136° E and 148° E (Fig. 2 inset). The area lies >100 km north of the Antarctic continental shelf break, in waters >3,000 m depth.

Samples were obtained from the clean seawater line of the re-supply vessel from around 3 m depth. Each sample represented 250 ml of seawater filtered through a 25 mm diameter polycarbonate-membrane filter with 0.8 μ m pores (Poretics). The filter was then rinsed with two additions of approximately 2 ml of MilliQ water to remove salt, then air dried and stored in a sealed container containing silica gel desiccant. Samples were prepared for scanning electron microscope (SEM) survey by mounting each filter onto a metal stub and sputter coating with 15 nm gold or platinum. Only organisms possessing hard siliceous or calcareous shells were sufficiently well preserved through the sample preparation technique that they could be identified by SEM, and included diatoms, coccolithophores, silicoflagellates, Pterosperma, parmales, radiolarians, and armoured dinoflagellates.

2.1 Phytoplankton relative abundance

The composition of the phytoplankton community of each sample was determined from x400 magnification images captured using a JEOL JSM 840 Field Emission SEM. Cell numbers for each phytoplankton taxon were counted in a random selection of captured images taken of each sample. Each captured image (Fig. 2) represented an area of 301 x 227 μ m (area 0.068 mm²) of each sample filter, which was captured at a resolution 8.5 pixels per μ m. A minimum of three SEM fields images were assessed for each sample, with more fields images assessed when cell densities were lower - individual images were considered as incremental increases in the area of a sample covered and not sampling replicates. On average, 387 cells were

counted for each sample. Taxa were classified with the aid of Scott and Marchant (2005), Tomas (1997), and expert opinion. Cell counts per image sample were converted to volume-specific abundances (cells per ml) by dividing total counts by the number of images assessed multiplied by 0.0348 ml of sea-water represented by each captured image.

A total of 48 phytoplankton taxa were identified, many to species level. Because the diatoms *Fragilariopsis curta* and *F. cylindrus* could not be reliably discriminated at the microscope resolution employed, they were pooled into a single taxagroup. Other taxa were also grouped, namely *Nitzschia acicularis* with *N. decipiens* to a single group, and discoid centric diatoms of the genera *Thalassiosira*, *Actinocyclus* and *Porosira* to another. Rare species, with maximum relative abundance <2 %, were removed from the data prior to analysis as they were not considered to be sufficiently abundant to warrant further analysis (Webb and Bryson, 1972; Taylor and Sjunneskog, 2002; Świło et al., 2016). After pooling taxa and deleting rare taxa, twenty-two taxa and taxonomic-groups (species, groups of species and families) remained to describe the composition of the phytoplankton community. A total of 19,608-499 phytoplankton organisms were identified and counted: 18,989-878 diatoms, 322 Parmales, 477-173 coccolithophores, 81 silicoflagellates, and 45 Petasaria.

Phytoplankton abundance data were converted to relative abundance by dividing each value by the total abundance of the 22 taxa-groups in the sample. This alleviated was to alleviate any variation among samples as a result of resulting from dilution, a phenomenon whereby the abundance of cells in surface waters can be reduced in a matter of hours by an abrupt increase in wind speed and associated increase in the mixed layer depth (Carranza and Gille, 2015), diluting near-surface cells into a greater water volume. However, relative abundance has the disadvantage that blooming of one species will cause a reduction in relative abundance of other present species, when their absolute abundances may not have changed.

2.2 Environmental covariates

160

Phytoplankton abundances were related to a range of environmental covariates available at the time of sampling. These included the SAM, sea surface temperature (SST), Salinity, time since sea ice cover (DaysSinceSealce, defined below), minimum latitude of sea ice in the preceding winter, latitude and longitude of sample collection, the days since 1st October that a sample was collected (DaysAfter1Oct), the year of sampling (year, being the year that each spring-summer sampling season began), the time of day that a sample was collected, and satellite-derived total chlorophyll content. Macronutrient concentrations, phosphate (PO_4), silicate (SiO_4) and nitrate + nitrite (hereafter nitrate, NO_x), were included as indicators of nutrient drawdown as a proxy for phytoplankton productivity (Arrigo et al., 1999).

Daily estimates of the SAM were obtained from the United States National Weather Service. We obtained daily estimates of SAM from the US NWS Climate Prediction Center 's website and were the NOAA Antarctic Oscillation Index values based on 700-hPa geopotential height anomalies (Ho et al., 2012; NOAA, 2017) - we (NOAA, 2017). This dataset uses the principal component method definition of the SAM (Mo, 2000), rather than the simple zonal-mean normalised pressure difference technique (Gong and Wang, 1999). We used these estimates principally because daily values were readily available, other available estimates were largely seasonal averages only (Ho et al., 2012). Geopotential height anomalies are the difference

in altitude of a specified atmospheric pressure from the average height of that pressure, in this case 700-hPa, or about 3 km altitude. Water samples for dissolved macronutrients were collected, frozen on ship, and later analysed at the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation in Hobart, Australia, using standard spectrophotometric methods (Hydes et al., 2010). The variable *DaysSinceSeaIce* was defined as the time since sea ice had melted to 20 % cover, after Wright et al. (2010), as determined from daily Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) sea ice concentration data distributed by the University of Hamburg (Spreen et al., 2008). Total chlorophyll content was estimated for each sample location by estimating the total chlorophyll content over a 20 x 20 km area centred at each sample location, for all available times from 31 August to 1 May in the year of sampling (monthly observations) (Acker and Leptoukh, 2007; GMAO, 2017), and interploating between observations to estimate total chlorophyll content on the date sampled (some examples are reproduced in Supplementary Material - Figure S3). By this method total ehlorophyl chlorophyll was estimated for 49 of the 52 samples, the remainder of samples having a paucity of data which precluded estimation.

2.3 Statistical analysis

190

200

205

Three statistical analysis were undertaken to explore the hypothesis: (i) constrained analysis of principal coordinates (CAP, (Anderson and Willis, 2003), also known as distance-based redundancy analysis (Legendre and Anderson, 1999)) was used to estimate the influence of multiple environmental covariates in simutaneously simultaneously explaining community composition; (ii) clustering techniques were used to explore similarities in phytoplankton community composition among samples and, independently of environmental information, to define significantly different sample grouping based on similarities in their phytoplankton community structure groups of samples with similar phytoplankton community composition; and (iii) correlation analysis was used to support observed relationships between phytoplankton community structure composition and environmental covariates.

For CAP and cluster analysis, relative abundance data were square-root-transformed to reduce possible dominance of the analysis by a few abundant taxa. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index (Bray and Curtis, 1957) was used to calculate the resemblance of samples based on their community structure. The advantage of this index for the cell count data was that similarity among samples was not strongly affected by the absence of taxa.

CAP distance-based redundancy analysis (Legendre and Anderson, 1999) used was applied to the Bray-Curtis resemblance matrix to partition total variance in community composition into unconstrained and constrained components, with the latter representing the variation due to the environmental covariates. CAP is an example of a constrained ordination method in which the typical sample x species matrix of abundances (as used in redundancy analysis) is replaced with a symmetric matrix of pairwise sample similarities. The advantage of this distance-based approach to redundancy analysis is that any ecologically relevant distance measure may be used; here we use the Bray-Curtis metric because it discounts joint absences between samples when determining similarity. A forward selection strategy was used to choose the optimum model containing the minimum subset of constraints required to explain the most variation in phytoplankton community structure (Legendre et al., 2011). Linear

projections of significant covariates were plotted as arrows in the ordination diagram, indicating the direction and magnitude of effects environmental gradients that were correlated with changes in the phytoplankton community (Davidson et al., 2016).

The variance in phytoplankton community structure (as determined from the ordination) explained by each environmental covariate was calculated according the procedure outlined in Ter Braak and Verdonschot (1995) and attributed to Dargie (1984). Taxa were added to the CAP plots as weighted site-averages for each species, thereby indicating the relative influence of the fitted environmental constraints on each phytoplankton taxa-group.

Hierarchical agglomerative clustering based on average linkage was performed on the Bray-Curtis resemblance matrix. Significant differences among sample clusters were determined according to the similarity profile (SIMPROF) permutation method of Clarke et al. (2008), based on α =0.05 and 1,000 permutations. Clustering can identify the presence of significant differences between the community composition of the samples, but clustering cannot identify an effect of the SAM, at least not directly, since environmental covariates are not included in the cluster analysis.

215

225

Pair-wise correlation analyses were performed using Pearson's correlation coefficient r to explore the relationships among environmental variables, and between these environmental variables and the relative abundances of phytoplankton taxa (Rodgers and Nicew 1988). Given the large number of pair-wise correlations considered, we applied a Bonferroni correction to give consideration to family-wise error rate by setting alpha, which is usually α =0.05 (Gibbons and Pratt, 1975; Cohen, 1990), to α /m where m is the total number of correlations considered. Recognising that α /m may be conservative (Nakagawa, 2004), we indicated when calculated correlations were significant at both α <0.05 and at Bonferroni corrected α <0.05/m.

Response surfaces were used to display the variance explained from individual CAP analyses according to the number of days averaged, and the mid-point (or lagged mid-point) of the range of days averaged, for each aggregated SAM index. These allowed identification of maxima in correlation between the SAM and phytoplankton community structure. Response surfaces were derived by evaluating separate CAP analyses for each combination of (i) the temporal positioning of the daily-SAM averaging range and (ii) the length of the daily-SAM averaging range. In constructing the response surfaces, the range of averaged daily-SAM was centred on (i) each calendar day individually (1 Jan - 31 Dec) through the year associated with each sample, and alternatively (ii) relative to the time of sampling and lagged from 1 to 365 days prior to each sample collection date, in one day increments. The length of the SAM averaging range was varied in one-two day increments from zero to plus and minus 182 days from the centre of the range. Similar response surfaces were constructed relating the correlation between averaged daily-SAM and (i) total chlorophyll, and (ii) [PO₄].

Data management and manipulation, summary statistics, correlation analysis analyses, and scatter plots were undertaken in Microsoft Excel (2016) and R (R Core Team, 2016). Cluster analysis and SIMPROF were undertaken using the R package *clustsig* (Whitaker and Christman, 2014). CAP analyses were conducted using the capscale function in the R package *vegan* (Dixon, 2003).

Table 1. Variance in the community composition of 22 phytoplankton taxa-groups attributable to constraining environmental covariables in the CAP analysis.

CAP analysis	variance category	covariate	variance	fraction of total variance	р
[44cm(a) Variables	fit individually as the only constraining covariate	DaysAfter1Oct	0.61	15.4 %	<0.0
]		SST	0.57	14.6 %	< 0.0
		SAM autumn	0.52	13.3 %	< 0.0
		Long.E	0.47	11.9 %	<0.0
		SAM spring	0.41	10.3 %	< 0.0
		SAM prior	0.39	9.9 %	<0.0
		DaysSinceSeaIce	0.23	5.9%	0.00
		Salinity	0.18	4.7 %	0.0
		Year	0.13	3.4 %	0.0
		Lat.S	0.10	2.5 %	0.22
		Minimum latitude of sea ice the previous winter	0.06	1.6 %	0.53
[42.5cm(b) Op- tilmum multi- covariate model	• • •	iles	1.48	37.5 %	<0.0
	[\$2.5cmindividual constraining covariables	DaysAfter1Oct	0.61	15.4 %	<0.0
		SAM autumn	0.50	12.6 %	<0.0
		Long.E	0.21	5.2 %	< 0.0
		SAM prior	0.17	4.3 %	0.0
	Unexplained residual		2.46	62.5 %	
	Total variance in taxa-composition between sar	mples	3.94	100 %	

3 RESULTS

240 3.1 The influence of SAM on phytoplankton community composition

CAP analysis and pairwise correlation analysis both indicated that variation in the presence of a relationship between the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) significantly influenced and phytoplankton community composition. Corroborating these other analysis, clustering analysis grouped samples based upon community composition, indicating that there was significant variation between groups of samples that might be explained by known environmental variables such as the SAMClustering

245 analysis showed there to be sufficient and systematic variation in phytoplankton community composition between samples that samples could be grouped.

Empirical identification of the time between variation in the SAM and the manifestation of this variation in the phytoplankton community structure revealed three maxima in phytoplankton community composition explained by the SAM. The first of the maxima was an autumn seasonal SAM index (*SAM autumn*), which was determined to be the average of 57 daily SAM estimates centred on the preceding 11th March (11th Feb - 8th Apr). *SAM autumn* explained up to 13.3 % of the variance in phytoplankton community composition estimated through CAP analysis (Fig. 3a, Table 1a). The second of the maxima was a spring seasonal index (*SAM spring*), which was determined to be the average of 75 daily SAM estimates centred on 25th October (20th Sep - 3rd Dec). *SAM spring* explained up to 10.3 % of variance in taxonomic phytoplankton community composition (Fig. 3a, Table 1a). Unlike the other maxima that were related to the time of year, the third of the maxima was timed relative to the date of sample collection for each sample and comprised the average of the 97 daily SAM estimates centred 102 days prior to each sample collection date. It explained 9.9 % of the variance in phytoplankton composition (*SAM prior*, Fig. 3b, Table 1a). Note that *SAM prior* and *SAM spring* temporally overlapped to varying extents across the 52 samples (Fig. 4) and so were not entirely independent covariates: for example, a sample collected in the summer had previous days contributing to both *SAM prior* and *SAM spring*.

255

260

265

270

The optimum CAP model contained four covariates that explained the variation variance in phytoplankton community composition among samples (Table 1b). While four CAP axes were statistically significant (p<0.05), the first two axes together explained a total of 31.1 % of the variation in community taxonomic variance in phytoplankton community composition, and the third and fourth axes together only explained a further 6.4 % (not tabulated). Thus Fig. 6 illustrates most of the variance explained by the CAP analysis. *SAM autumn* explained the most variance in community composition (12.6 %) and *SAM prior* explained a further 4.3 % of variation variance (Table 1b). These two SAM indices were moderately and significantly positively correlated (r 0.51, Table 2c, p<0.001). Both showed similar negative correlations (Table 2b) with the relative abundances of the small diatoms *Fragilariopsis rhombica* (Fig. 5a) and *Nitzschia acicularis/decipiens*, and the coccolithophorid *Emiliana huxleyi*, and similar positive correlations with the abundances of larger diatoms *Chaetoceros atlanticus*, *Chaetoceros dichaeta* and *Dactyliosolen antarcticus*. A further six taxa showed a correlation with *SAM autumn* but not *SAM prior*, namely positive correlations with *Chaetoceros concavicornis/curvatus*, *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* (Fig. 5b), *Pseudo-nitzschia line-ola*, and *Thalassiothrix antarctica*, and negative correlations with *Dactyliosolen tenuijunctus* and the *Parmales*. Three taxa showed correlations with *SAM prior* but not *SAM autumn*, namely positive correlations with *Chaetoceros neglectus* and the silicoflagellate *Dictyocha speculum*, and a negative correlation with *Petasaria heterolepis*.

Fifteen of the 22 taxa-groups showed significant pairwise correlations (p<0.05) pairwise correlations with one or more of the SAM indices, with *SAM autumn* being the most influential (Table 2b) showing significant correlation with 12 of the 22 taxa-groups. When applying the conservative Bonferroni-adjusted α =0.0025, seven taxa-groups showed significant correlation (p<0.05) with any SAM index and four with *SAM autumn*.

SAM prior and *SAM spring* represented a similar time span in the spring immediately prior to sampling (Fig. 4) and were strongly and significantly correlated (r 0.83, Table 2c, p<0.001). Samples were collected over a calendar range of 140 days (20 Oct. - 28 Feb., Table 2a) and thus the 97-day period represented by *SAM prior* varied in its position in the calendar across the 140-day spread of the 52 samples (Fig. 4). *SAM prior* and *SAM spring* also showed similar correlation-sign with taxa-group relative abundances (Table 2b). It was not possible, however, to determine whether the pre-season SAM influence was a spring effect or a prior-to-sampling effect, and whilst both appear to be important explanatory terms, only *SAM prior* was retained in the optimum CAP model (Table 1b).

280

295

300

In the optimum multi-covariate CAP model, *DaysAfter1Oct* explained the greatest proportion of the observed variance in phytoplankton community composition (Table 1b). *DaysAfter1Oct* was significantly correlated (p<0.0025) with sea surface temperature (*SST*), *salinity*, and *DaysSinceSeaIce*, and the variable singly captured the most variation due to seasonal succession of the phytoplankton community in phytoplankton community composition associated with seasonal succession. Alone it explained 15.4 % of the total variation variance (Table 1b) with its effect on the phytoplankton community being approximately orthogonal to that of the SAM (Fig. 6a). A weak positive relationship detected between *SAM autumn* and *DaysAfter1Oct* indicated a weak trend of sampling later in the spring-summer period in years with higher autumn SAM (*r* 0.32, Table 2c, p=0.02), but otherwise the SAM indices and *DaysAfter1Oct* were un-related.

Ten taxa-groups showed significant correlation (p<0.05) correlation—between their relative abundance and *DaysAfter1Oct* (Table 2b): *Chaetoceros castracanei*, *C. neglectus*, *D. speculum*, *E. huxleyi*, *N. acicularis/decipiens*, *Parmales*, *P. lineola*, and the discoid centric diatoms showed negative relative-abundance correlations with *DaysAfter1Oct* indicating greatest relative abundance early in the spring-summer, while *C. concavicornis/curvatus* and *C. dichaeta* showed greater relative abundance later in the spring-summer. A negative correlation (-0.63, p<0.001) was detected between the longitude of individual sample collection (*Long.E*) and *DaysAfter1Oct*, indicating that samples collected later in the spring-summer were more likely to have been collected towards the west in the sampled region (Table 2c, Fig. 5c).

Following cluster analysis, SIMPROF similarity profile (SIMPROF) permutation analysis identified seven significantly different groups (p<0.05), with samples loosely grouped on the basis of their within-season successional maturity (*DaysAfter1Oct*) and the SAM (Fig. 6b). Clustering showed, and demonstrating that there were significant differences between the community composition of the samples, but clustering did not identify an effect of the SAM, at least not directly, since environmental covariates were not included in the cluster analysis. The group structure determined by cluster analysis was displayed in the CAP ordination (using colour) to demonstrate that samples that clustered together were indeed close to one another in the two-dimension (2D) ordination (Fig. 6a), with their positioning further indicating the influences of *DaysAfter1Oct* and the SAM on cluster groupings. This lended confidence that the 2D ordination was a reasonable approximation to the full, high-dimensional structure. As we knew the values for the environmental covariates for each sample, it was possible to determine the correlation between the 2D CAP solution and each environmental covariate. We displayed these correlations as a projected

vector (arrow) where direction indicates the sign and length indicates strength. This showed samples in clusters 3 and 4 (Fig. 6b) were commonly associated with more positive SAM, while those in clusters 5, 6 and 7 were commonly associated with more negative SAM values. Samples in clusters 2 and 5 were commonly collected earlier in the spring-summer period (lower *DaysAfter10ct*) while those in clusters 1, 4, 6 and 7 were commonly collected later (Fig. 6).

Other considered environmental covariates that did not significantly influence community composition were the time though the day that a sample was collected, and the minimum latitude reached by sea ice cover in the previous winter (Supplementary material Table S1).

These analyses were also undertaken using phytoplankton absolute abundances rather than with relative abundances as reported above. The analysis of absolute abundance showed similar temporal peaks in variance explained (Supplementary Material Figure S4), although explaining less variance (*SAM autumn* explaining 10.9 %, *SAM spring* 9.1 % and *SAM prior* 9.2 %) (Supplementary Material Table S3). Individual taxa correlations with SAM indices (Supplementary Material Table S4) showed a similar pattern as those estimated using relative abundances (Table 2b).

3.2 Influence of the SAM on phytoplankton productivity

320

325

330

Two indicators of the influence of the SAM on phytoplankton productivity were derived obtained: (i) the influence of the SAM on satellite-derived total chlorophyll; and (ii) the influence of the SAM on macronutrient concentrations, indicating nutrient drawdown associated with productivity. Satellite-derived Using the times and locations of the 52 samples over the 11 years of our study, satellite-derived total chlorophyll showed positive correlation with all SAM indices: r=0.50 (p<0.001) with SAM autumn, r=0.72 (p<0.001) with SAM prior, and r=0.69 (p<0.001) with SAM spring (Table 2c). Peaks in correlation of total chlorophyll with the SAM were evident in the preceding autumn, spring, and prior-to-sampling in response surfaces for NASA satellite total chlorophyll, along with a peak in early winter (Supplementary Material Fig. S1). However, it is noteworthy that our comparisons between satellite-derived total chlorophyll and the SAM have been limited here to estimates associated with only the 52 samples—While further data is required to confirm this correlation, the results obtained in this study. Results supported the presence of a positive relationship between productivity and the SAM, but the limited number of comparisons mean the results are only indicative.

The observed concentrations of the macronutrients NO_x, PO₄, and SiO₄ showed significant negative correlations with *SAM* autumn (r= -0.39, -0.56, -0.42 respectively, Table 2d, p: 0.005, <0.001, 0.002 respectively). The concentrations of these nutrients showed stronger negative correlations with *SAM autumn* when the 50 % of samples collected latest in the spring-summer season were considered. (r -0.58, -0.74, -0.51, Table 2e, p: 0.002, <0.001, 0.008 respectively). Macronutrient concentrations were unrelated to either *SAM prior* or *SAM spring* (Table 2d). Peaks in negative correlation of the SAM on [PO₄] were evident in the preceding autumn and spring prior to sampling in response surfaces, with the peaks being more negative when only the 50 % of samples collected later in the spring-summer were considered (Supplementary Material Fig. S2). The concentrations

of macronutrients also showed expected decline through the spring-summer: correlations between $[NO_x]$, $[PO_4]$, and $[SiO_4]$, with *DaysAfter1Oct* were -0.77, -0.73, -0.56 respectively (Table 2d, p: <0.001, <0.001, <0.001 respectively).

3.3 Observed taxonomic abundance and occurrence and abundance

Abundance of individual taxa-groups averaged 133 cells per ml and ranged to a maximum of 8,796 cells per ml (Table 3). Individual cell volume ranged from 8 μ m³ for the Parmales to >60,000 μ m³ for the diatoms *Dactyliosolen antarcticus* and *Thalassiothrix antarctica*. Average relative abundance ranged from 0.2 % for the diatom *Fragilariopsis ritscheri*, to 17 % for the combined taxa-group *Fragilariopsis cylindrus/curta*. Of the 22 taxa-groups resolved in this study, four taxa-groups were identified in all 52 samples and 11 taxa-groups were identified in more than 90 % of samples (Table 3).

4 DISCUSSION

350

355

360

365

370

4.1 SAM and phytoplankton community composition

Our results show that the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) does indeed affect shows relationship with the community composition of phytoplankton in the seasonal ice zone (SIZ) of the Southern Ocean (SO). This conclusion was supported by a combination of three analyses. (i) Permutation-based analyses of cluster structure demonstrated that the 52 samples were separable into seven statistically different groups on the basis of community abundance composition of the 22 taxa-groups (Fig. 6b), and thus that there was variation between samples that might be explainable with known environmental variables; if clustering had revealed few or no clusters it would have been indicative of levels of community variance (either high or low) unlikely to be systematically explainable with the environmental variables. (ii) CAP analysis identified the SAM as a significant explanatory variable on the structure of the phytoplankton community (Table 1b) and showed that groups identified in cluster analysis were generally distinguished by the SAM and the *DaysAfter1Oct* that a sample was collected (Fig. 6). (iii) 15 of the 22 taxa-groups resolved showed significant pairwise correlations (p<0.05) pairwise correlations between relative abundance and at least one of the three derived SAM indices (Table 2b).

The derived SAM index with greatest influence on phytoplankton community composition, *SAM autumn* (Figs. 3, 4) explained 12.6 % of the variance of phytoplankton community composition in the optimum multi-variable CAP model (Table 1b). *SAM autumn* represented the average SAM around the time that sea ice was extending northward through the SIZ (Fig. 1a). At this time, phytoplankton productivity in the SIZ would have declined to around 30 % of its mid-summer maximum (Moore and Abbott, 2000; Arrigo et al., 2008; Constable et al., 2014), and phytoplankton would be preparing for winter by variously producing energy storage products, producing resting spores or cysts, reducing metabolic rate, and engaging in heterotrophic consumption for energy (Fryxell, 1989; McMinn and Martin, 2013). The formation of sea ice reduces available light by as much as 99.9 % (McMinn et al., 1999), severely limiting light for phytoplankton for around half of each year: at the range of longitude sampled, latitude 64° S was sea ice covered for half the time across the sampled years (Fig. 1a).

Windier conditions associated with more positive SAM in autumn may delay the consolidation of sea ice into larger floes (Roach et al., 2018), extending the phytoplankton growing season, and possibly increasing the relative abundance of taxa that occur later in the spring-summer season. The quantity of phytoplankton that survive the Antarctic winter is extremely low (McMinn and Martin, 2013), and the abundance of taxa present and their metabolic condition when the autumn sea ice forms may strongly influence their viability, relative vigour and availability to seed the subsequent post-winter bloom. This possibility was supported by the observation that the only two taxa-groups observed to have significantly (p<0.05) higher relative abundance later in the spring-summer, the *Chaetoceros* species *C. dichaeta* and *C. concavicornis/curvatus*, were both observed to also show significantly higher relative abundances when the preceding *SAM autumn* was more positive (Table 2b). Thus SAM induced effects on phytoplankton in the autumn could well influence the phytoplankton community structure in the following yearpost-winter productive season.

375

380

385

390

395

400

Extending the spring-summer productive season by delaying the autumn consolidation of sea ice may result in mpre-more prolonged declines in relative abundance of taxa that are more prolific earlier in the spring-summer, and may thus reduce the population from which the following post-winter bloom is initiated. Of the eight taxa-groups showing statistically higher (p<0.05) relative abundance earlier in the spring-summer (p<0.05), three showed corresponding statistically lower relative abundances with higher preceding *SAM autumn* (*Emiliana huxleyi*, *Nitzschia acicularis/decipiens*, and *Parmales* spp., p<0.05. Table 2b), supporting this conjecture. Of the remaining five taxa-groups of the eight, four showed no detectable relationship with *SAM autumn*, and one (*Pseudonitzschia lineola*) showed a positive relationship.

Two other derived SAM indices were found to influence phytoplankton: SAM spring and SAM prior. These indices were difficult to distinguish due to their largely overlapping time periods (Fig. 4), and they were strongly correlated (r 0.83, p<0.05, Table 2c), with similar influence on taxonomic abundances (Table 2b). SAM prior was the preferred parameter for the multiparameter CAP model, in which it explained 4.3 % of total variance. Windier and stormier conditions associated with higher SAM in the months prior to sampling would increase nutrient input to the euphotic zone from deeper waters (Lovenduski and Gruber, 2005), promoting productivity, whilst at the same time episodically diluting surface phytoplankton through deeper mixing. More stormy conditions may also have brought about a faster break-up of winter sea ice, promoting earlier spring phytoplankton growth. Conversely, windier conditions would also restrict stratification of the surface ocean, precluding phytoplankton bloom formation, lessening productivity (Fitch and Moore, 2007) and reducing the abundance of early blooming taxa. This may explain the responses of *Emiliania huxleyi* and the combined *Nitzschia acicularis/decipiens* group which both showed early maximum abundances (r -0.28 and -0.47 respectively with **DaysSince1Oct**, p<0.05, Table 2a) and also negative correlations with SAM spring and SAM prior (Table 2br -0.27 to -0.45, p<0.05, Table 2a). Five other taxa-groups with early maximum abundance (negative correlation with *DaysAfter1Oct*, p<0.05) showed no detectable correlation with *SAM spring* and one (Pseudonitzschia lineola) showed a positive relationship, indicating that their abundances were determined by environmental factors that prevail early in season but not those factors altered by variations in the SAM. Historically, the variance in the SAM is lower in the spring quarter than in other quarters (NOAA, 2005), perhaps explaining why SAM spring and SAM *prior* explained less variation variance in community composition than *SAM autumn*.

405 We expected the SAM prior to sampling (SAM prior and SAM spring) would influence show relationship with phytoplankton composition, while we expected and a lesser relationship of SAM in the winter to have a lesser influence is plausible because the surface-ocean is insulated from atmospheric conditions by sea ice. These expected influences of SAM were observed (Fig. 3). The influence of The relationship with SAM the previous autumn was not expected but is considered a real influence also plausible as it coincides with the time when sea ice is forming and thus a critical time for phytoplankton preparing to hibernate the half-year of ice-coversea ice cover. We also observed similar correlation-relationship between SAM autumn and (i) 410 NASA satellite total chlorophyll and (ii) macronutrient concentrations across all samples, and (iii) as a stronger correlation with macronutrient concentrations when only the samples collected in the latter half of the season were considered (Table 2c, d, and e respectively). We also observed maxima in autumn SAM influence relationship in response surface analysis analyses of the correlation between SAM and (i) NASA satellite total chlorophyll, and (ii) [PO₄] in all samples, and (iii) as a stronger 415 maxima with [PO₄] when only the samples collected later in the season were considered (Supplementary Material Figs. S1 and S2). Both total chlorophyll and $[PO_4]$ were observationally independent of the taxonomic cell counts, and whilst $[PO_4]$ was estimated from parallel samples as the taxonomic analysis, NASA satellite total chlorophyll had no material connection with collected samples, being linked only geographically and temporally, and thus offers independent support for the unexpected conclusion observation that phytoplankton community composition in the spring-summer is influenced by related to SAM in 420 the previous autumn. The empirically defined **SAM autumn** also showed significant (p<0.05) pairwise correlations with 12 of the 22 taxa-groups resolved (Table 2b).

The SAM typically describes around 35 % of total observed Southern Hemisphere climate variability (Marshall, 2007). Hence only a third of any covariance between the atmosphere and phytoplankton community composition might be expressed as covariance between the SAM and community composition, and thus the variance in community composition due to variation in climate could well be greater than we detected with the SAM.

4.2 Effect of SAM on phytoplankton taxa

425

430

435

Nothing has been previously reported with respect to the climatic preferences of the majority of taxa identified in this study. Only—, and only 10 of the 22 taxa-groups considered in our research had data-records in the Ocean Biogeographic Information System (OBIS, 2020), and only four of the identified taxa were classified as R- or S-strategists in ?'s reproduction of ? classification: not sufficient to meaningfully group the 22 taxa-groups for analysis (OBIS, 2020). Some of the observed taxa have been reported showing various relationships with environmental factors, including sea-surface temperature, time through the season, and latitude, but often at the taxonomic level of genera rather than at a species level (?Chiba et al., 2000; Waters et al., 2000; Green and Sambrotto, 2006; Gomi et al., 2007). We, however, observed differing responses to environmental variables among closely related taxa. This was exemplified by the opposite correlations of *Chaetoceros* species *C. dicheata* and *C. neglectus* with *DaysAfter1Oct* (0.48 and -0.70 respectively, p<0.0025, Table 2b) and the opposite correlations of *Fragilariopsis* species *F. rhombica* and *F. kerguelensis* with *SAM autumn* (-0.39 and 0.52 respectively, p<0.05, Table 2b,

Fig. 5a,b). The strong and opposite response to these variables by species belonging to the same genus indicates the importance of species-level observation in detecting subtle changes in pelagic phytoplankton communities.

The abundance of *Emiliania huxleyi*, the dominant coccolithophorid in the world's oceans (?), showed a moderate negative relationship with all three identified SAM indices, and a weak negative relationship with *DaysAfter1Oct* (Table 2b). It also showed a moderate negative relationship with the year of sample collection, suggesting abundance declined in the SIZ over the study period. ? reported a near absence of coccolithophorids south of the Polar Front (latitude 55° S), and ? reported surface-water *E. huxleyi* abundances declining southward through the SIZ to near absence by 65° S. No variation in the relative abundance of this species with latitude was seen across the 62° S to 64.5° S latitudinal range sampled.

440

445

450

455

460

A third of analysed taxa, comprising 7 taxa and 23 % of all counted cells, showed no detectable relationship with the SAM. This could be due to large errors associated with low counts of rarer taxa, because unaccounted variation was masking any relationship, or because the taxa were insensitive to the SAM. There is less chance of detecting relationships between taxa and environment variables when fewer individuals are counted, however some less represented taxa did show relationships with SAM indices (e.g. *Emiliania huxleyi*) did show relationships with SAM indices, |r| >0.38, Table 2b). Five of the 22 taxa resolved showed no significant relationships with either the SAM or *DaysAfter1Oct*. All were comparatively scarce and together represented only 2 % of all cells counted. Assessing species compositions across a greater fraction of each sample, and thus counting more of the scarcer taxa, may have revealed relationships between these rarer taxa and environmental variables (Nakagawa and Cuthill, 2007). Yet it remains possible that these taxa are actually unaffected by seasonal succession and the SAM, instead responding to other environmental variables that were not measured as part of this study, or that they remain as a persistent but relatively rare background taxa with respect to the overall phytoplankton assemblage.

This is the first study to show a link between variation in the SAM and the composition of phytoplankton communities in the SO, although similar findings have been reported for other major climatic phenomena in other parts of the globe. The climatically similar Northern Hemisphere Annular Mode (NAM) causes increased westerly winds and deeper mixed layers at mid- to high northern latitudes in its positive phase (Nehring, 1998; Thompson et al., 2003; Kahru et al., 2011). The NAM has been related to the timing, abundance and biomass of phytoplankton taxa at high northern latitudes (Nehring, 1998; Belgrano et al., 1999; O and to delayed time of maximum chlorophyll in the North Atlantic Summer (Kahru et al., 2011). Similarly, the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) equatorial mode has been shown to influence the distribution and abundance of phytoplankton in the tropical oceans (Blanchot et al., 1992).

Phytoplankton are the pastures of the oceans and it is plausible that the climate in both autumn and spring influence the
phytoplankton community composition of phytoplankton and their ecological progression through the productive spring-summer
period in the SIZ. Climate change impacts have now been documented across every type of ecosystem on Earth (Scheffers et al., 2016; Harri
the distribution, abundance, phenology and productivity of phytoplankton communities throughout the world are changing in
response to warming, acidifying, and stratifying oceans (Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno, 2010). We have detected an association
between variation in phytoplankton community composition and variation in the SAM over a relatively brief eleven-year

470 monitoring period and despite all the other environmental factors that elicit variability in phytoplankton communities in the SIZ of the SO.

4.3 The effects of SAM on productivity and biomass

Positive SAM has previously been shown to be associated with increased standing stocks and productivity of phytoplankton in the SIZ of the SO (Arrigo et al., 2008; Boyce et al., 2010; Soppa et al., 2016). In the SIZ above the Antarctic Divergence, nutrients are replenished from the deeper ocean through the unproductive winter and the levels of nutrition remaining at the end of summer integrate the total draw-down of nutrients by phytoplankton production over the entire spring-summer growing season (Arrigo et al., 1999). We observed this nutrient drawdown through the spring-summer as the negative correlation between all macronutrient concentrations and *DaysAfter1Oct* (Table 2d). We also observed a negative relationship between all macronutrient concentrations in the spring-summer and the previous *SAM autumn* (Table 2d, Fig. 5d) suggesting that elevated SAM in autumn leads to greater productivity and thus greater nutrient drawdown during the following spring-summer. The nutrient concentrations at the end of the spring-summer productive season would be expected to best represent the total productivity over the season: we observed that the correlation between nutrient concentrations and *SAM autumn* were higher when only the 50 % of samples collected later in the spring-summer were considered (Table 2e), further supporting the conjecture that higher SAM in the autumn is linked with greater productivity through the following spring-summer.

The observed positive relationship between total chlorophyll and all the SAM indices (r 0.5 to 0.72, p<0.0025, Table 2c), and the presence of apparent spring and autumn maxima in the response surfaces of the variance in total chlorophyll explained by the SAM (Supplementary material Fig. S1), further support the conjecture that more positive SAM is linked with greater total chlorophyll, and thus greater total productivity in the SIZ. The total chlorophyll data considered was limited to the 52 samples collected, that is, estimated for the times and locations of each sample collection. Estimates were coarsely determined as interpolations of available monthly predictions (Supplementary material Fig. S3), and estimates could be thus obtained for only 49 of the 52 samples. Yet there are indicators of reliability in the sparse information: the diatom *Fragilariopsis rhombica* is always relatively small (Table 3), and when the relative abundance of this taxon was high, total chlorophyll was lower (r -0.59, p<0.0025, Table 2b), and when the relative abundance of larger diatoms were high, total chlorophyll was also often high (e.g. *Dactyliosolen antarcticus*, r 0.37, p<0.05, Table 2b).

4.4 Implications

485

490

495

500

This is the first study to show a link between variation in the SAM and the composition of phytoplankton communities in the SO, although similar findings have been reported for other major climatic phenomena in other parts of the globe. The elimatically similar Northern Hemisphere Annular Mode (NAM) causes increased westerly winds and deeper mixed layers at mid- to high northern latitudes in its positive phase (Nehring, 1998; Thompson et al., 2003; Kahru et al., 2011). The NAM has been related to the timing, abundance and biomass of phytoplankton taxa at high northern latitudes (Nehring, 1998; Belgrano et al., 1999; O

and to delayed time of maximum chlorophyll in the North Atlantic Summer (Kahru et al., 2011). Similarly, the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) equatorial mode has been shown to influence the distribution and abundance of phytoplankton in the tropical oceans (Blanchot et al., 1992).

The SIZ is a productive region of the SO (Moore and Abbott, 2000), and changes to the SIZ phytoplankton community have potentially far-reaching implications for the ecosystem services these organisms provide, including carbon export to the deep ocean and supporting the productivity of almost all Antarctic life. Increases in the relative abundance of the larger *Chaetoceros* spp. diatoms would favour grazing by large metazooplankton, especially krill (Boyd et al., 1984; Kawaguchi et al., 1999; Moline et al., 2004), which link phytoplankton to whales, seabirds, seals, and most higher Antarctic life forms (Smetacek, 2008). Such changes would also increase the efficiency of the biological pump as the larger phytoplankton sink more rapidly than small (Alldredge and Gotschalk, 1989), and increased grazing by krill would reparcel some phytoplankton biomass into faeces that would also sink more rapidly (Cadée et al., 1992). Such changes in carbon flux and trophodynamics would act as a negative feedback on climate change by speeding the sequestration of carbon in to the deep ocean.

Phytoplankton are the pastures of the oceans and it is not surprising that the climate in both autumn and spring influence the taxonomic composition of phytoplankton and their ecological progression through the productive spring-summer period in the SIZ. Climate change impacts have now been documented across every type of ecosystem on Earth (Scheffers et al., 2016; Harris et al., 2018 the distribution, abundance, phenology and productivity of phytoplankton communities throughout the world are changing in response to warming, acidifying, and stratifying oceans (Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno, 2010). The surprise is that changes in the taxonomic composition associated with the SAM were detectable over a relatively brief eleven-year monitoring period and despite all the other environmental factors that elicit variability in phytoplankton communities in the SIZ of the SO.

The SAM is predicted to become increasingly positive in the future (Arblaster and Meehl, 2006; Swart and Fyfe, 2012; Gillett and Fyfe, 2013; Abram et al., 2014; Solomon et al., 2016). Our results cannot necessarily be extrapolated to infer changes that will likely occur as the SAM continues to increase, as evolutionary responses can partly mitigate adverse effects on phytoplankton of longer-term climate change, and future elimate changes changes in climate are likely to impose other costressors on phytoplankton inhabiting these waters (Lohbeck et al., 2014; Schlüter et al., 2014; Deppeler and Davidson, 2017).

The present study demonstrates, for the first time, that Our study showed that some of the variation in the SAM influences the community composition of phytoplankton in the SIZ of the SO. The relationships between the SAM and community composition were complex but significant, and the degree of observed covariation warrants further investigation phytoplankton composition in the sea ice zone was significantly related to variation in SAM and that the sign and magnitude of the correlation with SAM differed among species.

5 Conclusions

530

505

515

We found that the Southern Annular Mode influenced Statistical analyses indicated that, together, autumn and spring SAM explained a higher percentage (17.9 %) of the variation in phytoplankton community composition in the seasonal ice zone of the

Southern Ocean, second only to the influence of the seasonal succession variable (*PaysAfter10et*). SAM indices representing average daily SAM in the prior autumn and spring explained variation in the community composition of than any variable, mostly due to SAM autumn (up to 13.3 %). In total this exceeded the variance explained by any other variable, even that attributable to the time through the season that the sample was collected (15.4 %) or other critical physical variables such as temperature, salinity and latitude. Furthermore, 15 of the 22 phytoplankton taxa-groups (comprised of individual species, groups of species, genera or higher taxonomic groups). There phytoplankton taxa identified in this study showed significant correlation with the SAM and there were indications that more positive SAM was also-related to increased phytoplankton productive season. These observations suggest. While this study was limited in both timespan (11 austral spring-summers) and the overall variance in phytoplankton composition explained by all the constraining variables (37.5 %), it suggests that the phytoplankton of the SIZ are indeed susceptible sensitive to changes in the SAM and thus possibly responsive to climate change.

545 Data availability. https://data.aad.gov.au/metadata/records/SAM_influences_phytoplankton_community_composition doi:10.26179/5d9181f7308bd

Author contributions. Bruce L. Greaves: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Andrew T. Davidson: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. Alexander D. Fraser: Formal analysis, Methodology, Resources, Writing - review & editing. John P. McKinlay: Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing - review & editing. Andrew Martin: Project administration, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. Andrew McMinn: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing. Simon W. Wright: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

550

555

560

Acknowledgements. Sampling on Astrolabe was supported by a French-Australian research collaboration. The Institut Polaire Français Paul-Emile-Victor supported access to the ship and field operations. The underway biogeochemical data collection was coordinated by Prof Alain Poisson and Dr Nicolas Metzl, Sorbonne Université, and Dr Bronte Tilbrook, CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere. Steve Rintoul (CSIRO) and Rose Morrow (LEGOS) coordinated the collection of underway Salinity and temperature data. The Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems CRC and the Integrated Marine Observing System are thanked for supporting the operation of underway sensors, the collection of water samples and analysis of nutrient analyses reported in this study. Alan Poole, Matt Sherlock, John Akl, Kate Berry, Lesley Clementson, Brian Griffiths (CSIRO), Rick van den Enden and Rob Johnson (AAD) and the many dedicated volunteers and ships' officers and crew are thanked for

their important contributions to the field efforts and data management. We thank the University of Tasmania and the Australian Antarctic Division for the space and resources needed to undertake this work. Thanks to Prof. Nathaniel Bindoff and Dr Simon Wotherspoon for their consideration of parts of the manuscript. Thanks to the reviewers Damiano Righetti, for the valuable input he provided, in particular pointing out ambiguities and small errors and improving the clarity of the paper, and an anonymous reviewer for the structural and theoretical considerations. This work was supported by the Australian Government's Cooperative Research Centre program through the Antarctic Climate & Ecosystems CRC, the Australian Antarctic Division (Project 40 and 4107), and by the Australian Research Council's Special Research Initiative for Antarctic Gateway Partnership (Project ID SR140300001). Total chlorophyll data used in this paper were produced with the Giovanni online data system, developed and maintained by the NASA GES DISC.

References

585

- Abram, N. J., Mulvaney, R., Vimeux, F., Phipps, S. J., Turner, J., and England, M. H.: Evolution of the Southern Annular Mode during the past millennium, Nat. Clim. Change, 4, 564-569, doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2235, 2014.
 - Acker, J.G. and Leptoukh, G.: Online analysis enhances use of NASA earth science data. Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 88, 14-17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007EO020003, 2007.
- Alldredge, A. L., and Gotschalk, C. C.: Direct observations of the mass flocculation of diatom blooms: characteristics, settling velocities and formation of diatom aggregates, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 36, 159-171, doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(89)90131-3, 1989.
 - Anderson, M. J., and Willis, T. J.: Canonical analysis of principal coordinates: a useful method of constrained ordination for ecology, Ecology, 84, 511-525, doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2003)084[0511:CAOPCA]2.0.CO;2, 2003.
 - Arblaster, J. M., and Meehl, G. A.: Contributions of external forcings to southern annular mode trends, J. Climate, 19, 2896-2905, doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3774.1, 2006.
- Arndt, J.E., Schenke, H.W., Jakobsson, M., Nitsche, F.O., Buys, G., Goleby, B., Rebesco, M., Bohoyo, F., Hong, J., Black, J. and Greku, R.: The International Bathymetric Chart of the Southern Ocean (IBCSO) Version 1.0—A—A new bathymetric compilation covering circumAntarctic waters. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(12), pp.3111-3117. doi:10.1002/grl.50413, 2013.
 - Arrigo, K. R., Robinson, D. H., Worthen, D., Dunbar, R. B., DiTullio, G. R., VanWoert, M., and Lizotte, M. P.: Phytoplankton Community Structure and the Drawdown of Nutrients and CO2 in the Southern Ocean, Science, 283, 365-367. doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5400.365, 1999.
 - Arrigo, K. R., van Dijken, G. L., and Bushinsky, S.: Primary production in the Southern Ocean, 1997-2006. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 113, 1997-2006, doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004551, 2008.
 - Belgrano, A., Lindahl, O., and Hernroth, B.: North Atlantic Oscillation primary productivity and toxic phytoplankton in the Gullmar Fjord, Sweden (1985-1996), P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci., 266, 425-430, doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0655, 1999.
- Blanchot, J., Rodier, M., and Le Bouteiller, A.: Effect of el niño southern oscillation events on the distribution and abundance of phytoplankton in the Western Pacific Tropical Ocean along 165°E, J. Plankton Res., 14, 137-156, doi.org/10.1093/plankt/14.1.137, 1992.
 - Blenckner, T., and Hillebrand, H.: North Atlantic Oscillation signatures in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems A meta-analysis, Glob. Change Biol., 8, 203-212, doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00469.x, 2002.
 - Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J. C., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., ... Vichi, M.: Multiple stressors of ocean ecosystems in the 21st century: Projections with CMIP5 models, Biogeosciences, 10, 6225-6245, doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-6225-2013, 2013.
 - Boyce, D. G., Lewis, M. R., and Worm, B.: Global phytoplankton decline over the past century, Nature, 466, 591-596. doi.org/10.1038/nature09268, 2010.
 - Boyce, D., Lewis, M. & Worm, B.: Boyce et al. reply, Nature, 472, E8-E9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09953, 2011.
- Boyd, C. M., Heyraud, M., and Boyd, C. N.: Feeding of the Antarctic krill Euphausia superba, J. Crustacean Biol., 4, 123-141, doi.org/10.1163/1937240X84X00543, 1984.
 - Boyd, P. W., and Trull, T. W.: Understanding the export of biogenic particles in oceanic waters: Is there consensus?, Prog. Oceanogr., 72, 276-312, doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.007, 2007.
 - Bray, J. R., and Curtis, J. T.: An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern Wisconsin, Ecol. Monogr., 27, 325-349, doi.org/10.2307/1942268, 1957.

- Brun, P., Vogt, M., Payne, M.R., Gruber, N., O'brien, C.J., Buitenhuis, E.T., Le Quéré, C., Leblanc, K. and Luo, Y.W.: Ecological niches of open ocean phytoplankton taxa. Limnology and Oceanography, 60, pp.1020-1038, doi.org/10.1002/lno.10074, 2015.
 - Burckle, L. H., Jacobs, S. S., and McLaughlin, R. B.: Late austral spring diatom distribution between New Zealand and the Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica: Hydrographic and sediment correlations, Micropaleontol., 74-81, doi:10.2307/1485528, 1987.
- Cadée, G. C., González, H., and Schnack-Schiel, S. B.: Krill diet affects faecal string settling, in: Weddell Sea Ecology, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1992.
 - Cardinal, D., Savoye, N., Trull, T. W., Dehairs, F., Kopezynska, E. E., Fripiat, F., ... and André, L.: Silicon isotopes in spring Southern Ocean diatoms: Large zonal changes despite homogeneity among size fractions, Mar. Chem., 106, 46-62, doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.04.006, 2007.
- Carranza, M. M., and Gille, S. T.: Southern Ocean wind-driven winddriven entrainment enhances satellite chlorophylla through the summer,

 J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 120, 304-323, doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010203, 2015.
 - Cavicchioli, R., Ripple, W. J., Timmis, K. N., Azam, F., Bakken, L. R., Baylis, M., ... and Crowther, T. W.: Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 1, 2019.
 - Chiba, S., Hirawake, T., Ushio, S., Horimoto, N., Satoh, R., Nakajima, Y., ... Yamaguchi, Y.: An overview of the biological/oceanographic survey by the RTV Umitaka-Maru III off Adelie Land, Antarctica in January-February 1996, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 47, 2589-2613, doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00037-0, 2000.

- Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., ... and Jones, C.: Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press Cambridge United Kingdom and New York NY USA, 465-570, doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.015, 2013.
- 625 Clarke, K. R., Somerfield, P. J., and Gorley, R. N.: Testing of null hypotheses in exploratory community analyses: similarity profiles and biota-environment linkage, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 366(1-2), 56-69, doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2008.07.009, 2008.
 - Cohen, J.: Things I Have Learned (So Far) Some Things You Learn Aren 't So Less Is More. Am. Psychol., 45, 1304-1312. doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.45.12.1304, 1990.
- Constable, A. J., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Corney, S. P., Arrigo, K. R., Barbraud, C., Barnes, D. K. A., ... Ziegler, P.: Climate change and Southern Ocean ecosystems I: How changes in physical habitats directly affect marine biota, Glob. Change Biol., 20, 3004-3025, doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12623, 2014.
 - Cubillos, J. C., Wright, S. W., Nash, G., De Salas, M. F., Griffiths, B., Tilbrook, B., ... and Hallegraeff, G. M.: Calcification morphotypes of the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* in the Southern Ocean: changes in 2001 to 2006 compared to historical data, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 348, 47-54, doi.org/10.3354/meps07058, 2007.
- Dargie, T.C.D.: On the integrated interpretation of indirect site ordinations: a case study using semi-arid vegetation in southeastern Spain. Vegetatio, 55(1), pp.37-55. doi.org/10.1007/BF00039980, 1984.
 - Davidson, A. T., McKinlay, J., Westwood, K., Thomson, P. G., van den Enden, R., de Salas, M., ... and Berry, K.: Enhanced CO2 concentrations change the structure of Antarctic marine microbial communities, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 552, 93-113, doi.org/10.3354/meps11742, 2016.
- 640 Deppeler, S. L., and Davidson, A. T.: Southern Ocean phytoplankton in a changing climate, Front. Mar. Sci., 4, 40, doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00040, 2017.

- DiFiore, P. J., Sigman, D. M., Trull, T. W., Lourey, M. J., Karsh, K., Cane, G., and Ho, R.: Nitrogen isotope constraints on subantarctic biogeochemistry, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 111, 1-19, doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003216, 2006.
- Dixon, P.: VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of Vegetation Science, 14, doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x, 2003.
 - Fitch, D. T., and Moore, J. K.: Wind speed influence on phytoplankton bloom dynamics in the Southern Ocean Marginal Ice Zone, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 112, 1-13, doi.org/10.1029/2006JC004061, 2007.
 - Fryxell, G. A.: Marine phytoplankton at the Weddell Sea ice edge: Seasonal changes at the specific level. Polar Biol., 10(1), 6-7. doi.org/10.1007/BF00238285, 1989.
- 650 Gibbons, J. D., and Pratt, J. W.: P-values: interpretation and methodology, Am. Stat., 29, 20-25, doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1975.10479106, 1975.
 - Gillett, N. P., and Fyfe, J. C.: Annular mode changes in the CMIP5 simulations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 1189-1193, doi.org/10.1002/grl.50249, 2013.
- GMAO: NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model assimilating ESRID data global monthly 0.67x1.25 degrees VR2014, Greenbelt, MD, USA,
 Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), Goddard Modeling and Assimilation Office, Accessed 22
 March 2017.
 - Gomi, Y., Taniguchi, A., and Fukuchi, M.: Temporal and spatial variation of the phytoplankton assemblage in the eastern Indian sector of the Southern Ocean in summer 2001/2002, Polar Biol., 30, 817-827, doi.org/10.1007/s00300-006-0242-2, 2007.
 - Gong, D., and Wang, S.: Definition of Antarctic oscillation index, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 459-462, doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900003, 1999.
- Green, S. E., and Sambrotto, R. N.: Plankton community structure and export of C, N, P and Si in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 53, 620-643, doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.01.022, 2006.
 - Hall, A., and Visbeck, M.: Synchronous variability in the Southern Hemisphere atmosphere, sea ice, and ocean resulting from the annular mode, J. Climate, 15, 3043-3057, doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<3043:SVITSH>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- Harris, R. M. B., Beaumont, L. J., Vance, T. R., Tozer, C. R., Remenyi, T. A., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., ... Bowman, D. M. J. S.: Biological responses to the press and pulse of climate trends and extreme events, Nat. Clim. Change, 8, 579-587, doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0187-9, 2018.
 - Henson, S. A., Yool, A., and Sanders, R. Variability in efficiency of particulate organic carbon export: A model study, Global Biogeochem. Cv., 29, 33-45, doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004965, 2015.
- Hines, K. M., Bromwich, D. H., and Marshall, G. J.: Artificial surface pressure trends in the NCEP-NCAR reanalysis over the Southern Ocean and Antartica, J. Climate, 13(22), 3940-3952, doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<3940:ASPTIT>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
 - Ho, M., Kiem, A. S., and Verdon-Kidd, D. C.: The Southern Annular Mode: a comparison of indices, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 16, 967-982, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-967-2012, 2012.
 - Hoegh-Guldberg, O., and Bruno, J. F.: The impact of climate change on the world's marine ecosystems, Science, 328, 1523-1528, DOI: 10.1126/science.1189930, 2010.
- Hydes, D. J., Aoyama, M., Aminot, A., Bakker, K., Becker, S., Coverly, S., ... and Van Ooijen, J.: Determination of Dissolved Nutrients (N, P, SI) in Seawater With High Precision and Inter-Comparability Using Gas-Segmented Continuous Flow Analysers, In: Hood EM, Sabine CL, Sloyan BM (eds), The GOSHIP repeat hydrography manual: a collection of expert reports and guidelines, IOCCP report number 14, ICPO publication series number 134, UNESCO-IOC, Paris, France, http://www.go-ship.org/HydroMan.html, 2010.

- Jones, J. M., Gille, S. T., Goosse, H., Abram, N. J., Canziani, P. O., Charman, D. J., ... Vance, T. R.: Assessing recent trends in high-latitude Southern Hemisphere surface climate, Nat. Clim. Change, 6, 917-926, doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3103, 2016.
 - Kahru, M., Brotas, V., Manzano-Sarabia, M., and Mitchell, B. G.: Are phytoplankton blooms occurring earlier in the Arctic?, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 1733-1739, doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02312.x, 2011.
 - Kawaguchi, S., Ichii, T., and Naganobu, M.: Green krill, the indicator of micro-and nano-size phytoplankton availability to krill, Polar Biol., 22, 133-136, 1999.
- Kohyama, T., and Hartmann, D. L.: Antarctic sea ice response to weather and climate modes of variability, J. Climate, 29, 721-741. doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0301.1, 2016.
 - Kwok, R., and Comiso, J. C.: Southern Ocean climate and sea ice anomalies associated with the Southern Oscillation, J. Climate, 15, 487-501, doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<0487:SOCASI>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- Lampitt, R. S., and Antia, A. N.: Particle flux in deep seas: Regional characteristics and temporal variability, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 44, 1377-1403, doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(97)00020-4, 1997.
 - Lannuzel, D., Schoemann, V., de Jong, J., Tison, J. L., and Chou, L.: Distribution and biogeochemical behaviour of iron in the East Antarctic sea ice, Mar. Chem., 106(1-2 SPEC. ISS.), 18-32. doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.06.010, 2007.
 - Lefebvre, W., Goosse, H., Timmermann, R., and Fichefet, T.: Influence of the Southern Annular Mode on the sea ice-ocean system, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 109, doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002403, 2004.
- Legendre, P., and Anderson, M. J.: Distancebased redundancy analysis: testing multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments, Ecol. Monogr., 69, 1-24, doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(1999)069[0001:DBRATM]2.0.CO;2, 1999.
 - Legendre, P., Oksanen, J., and ter Braak, C. J.: Testing the significance of canonical axes in redundancy analysis. Methods Ecol. Evol., 2, 269-277, doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00078.x, 2011.
- Lenton, A., and Matear, R. J.: Role of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) in Southern Ocean CO2 uptake, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, 1-17, doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002714, 2007.
 - Lohbeck, K. T., Riebesell, U., and Reusch, T. B. H.: Gene expression changes in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* after 500 generations of selection to ocean acidification, P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci., 281(1786), doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0003, 2014.
 - Lovenduski, N. S., Gruber, N., Doney, S. C., and Lima, I. D.: Enhanced CO2 outgassing in the Southern Ocean from a positive phase of the Southern Annular Mode, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002900, 2007.
- Lovenduski, N. S., and Gruber, N.: Impact of the Southern Annular Mode on Southern Ocean circulation and biology, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 1-4, doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022727, 2005.
 - Mackas, D.L.: Does blending of chlorophyll data bias temporal trend?, Nature, 472, E4-E5, doi.org/10.1038/nature09951, 2011.
 - Mackintosh, A. N., Anderson, B. M., Lorrey, A. M., Renwick, J. A., Frei, P., and Dean, S. M.: Regional cooling caused recent New Zealand glacier advances in a period of global warming, Nat. Commun., 8, 1-13, doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14202, 2017.
- 710 Marshall, G. J.: Trends in the Southern Annular Mode from Observations and Reanalyses, J. Climate, 16, 4134-4143, doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<4134:TITSAM>2.0.CO;2, 2003.
 - Marshall, G. J.: Half-century seasonal relationships between the Southern Annular mode and Antarctic temperatures, Int. J. Climatol., 27, 373-383, doi.org/10.1002/joc.1407, 2007.
- Martin, A., McMinn, A., Heath, M., Hegseth, E. N., and Ryan, K. G.: The physiological response to increased temperature in over-wintering sea ice algae and phytoplankton in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica and Tromsø Sound, Norway, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 428, 57-66, doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2012.06.006, 2012.

- Massom, R. A., and Stammerjohn, S. E.: Antarctic sea ice change and variability Physical and ecological implications, Polar Sci., 4, 149-186, doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2010.05.001, 2010.
- McMinn, A., Ashworth, C., and Ryan, K.: Growth and Productivity of Antarctic Sea Ice Algae under PAR and UV Irradiances, Bot. Mar., 42, 401-407, doi.org/10.1515/BOT.1999.046, 1999.
 - McMinn, A., and Martin, A.: Dark survival in a warming world, P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci., 280, 20122909, doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2909, 2013.
 - Meredith, M. P., Murphy, E. J., Hawker, E. J., King, J. C., and Wallace, M. I.: On the interannual variability of ocean temperatures around South Georgia, Southern Ocean: Forcing by El Niño/Southern Oscillation and the Southern Annular Mode, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 55, 2007-2022, doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.05.020, 2008.

- Mo, K. C.: Relationships between low-frequency variability in the Southern Hemisphere and sea surface temperature anomalies. Journal of Climate, 13, 3599-3610. doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<3599:rblfvi>2.0.co;2, 2000.
- Moline, M. A., Claustre, H., Frazer, T. K., Schofield, O., and Vernet, M.: Alteration of the food web along the Antarctic Peninsula in response to a regional warming trend, Glob. Change Biol., 10, 1973-1980, doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00825.x, 2004.
- 730 Moore, J. K., and Abbott, M. R.: Phytoplankton chlorophyll distributions and primary production in the Southern Ocean, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 105, 28709-28722, doi.org/10.1029/1999JC000043, 2000.
 - Nakagawa, S.: A farewell to Bonferroni: the problems of low statistical power and publication bias, Behav. Ecol., 15, 1044-1045, doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arh107, 2004.
- Nakagawa, S., and Cuthill, I. C.: Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists, Biol. Rev., 82, 591-605, doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2007.00027.x, 2007.
 - Nehring, S.: Establishment of thermophilic phytoplankton species in the North Sea: biological indicators of climatic changes?, Short communication, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 55, 818-823, doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1998.0389, 1998.
 - NOAA: Teleconnection Pattern Calculation Procedures, Climate Prediction Center Internet Team, https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/CWlink/daily_ao_index/history/method.shtml#var, access: June 15 2017, 2005.
- 740 NOAA: NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2 data, provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA, ftphttps://ftpwww.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/ewlinksproducts/norm.dailyprecip/CWlink/ENSO/verf/new.aao.index.b790101.eurrent.aseiishtml, access: June 2017.
 - OBIS: Ocean Biogeographic Information System. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, www.iobis.org, 2020.
 - Ottersen, G., Planque, B., Belgrano, A., Post, E., Reid, P. C., and Stenseth, N. C.: Ecological effects of the North Atlantic Oscillation, Oecologia, 128, 1-14, doi.org/10.1007/s004420100655, 2001.
 - Parkinson, C. L.: A 40-y record reveals gradual Antarctic sea ice increases followed by decreases at rates far exceeding the rates seen in the Arctic, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 116, 14414-14423, doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906556116, 2019.
 - R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2016.

 Reynolds, C. S.: The ecology of phytoplankton. Cambridge Univ. Press. doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511542145, 2006.
- Rigual-Hernández, A. S., Trull, T. W., Bray, S. G., Closset, I., and Armand, L. K.: Seasonal dynamics in diatom and particulate export fluxes to the deep sea in the Australian sector of the southern Antarctic Zone, J. Marine Syst., 142, 62-74, doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.10.002, 2015.
 - Roach, L. A., Smith, M. M., and Dean, S. M.: Quantifying growth of pancake sea ice floes using images from drifting buoys, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 123, 2851-2866, doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013693, 2018.

- 755 Rodgers, J. L., and Nicewander, W. A.: Thirteen Ways to Look at the Correlation Coefficient, Am. Stat., 42, 59-66, doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1988.10475524.1988.
 - Saenz, B. T., and Arrigo, K. R.: Annual primary production in Antarctic sea ice during 2005-2006 from a sea ice state estimate, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 119, 3645-3678, doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009677, 2014.
- Sarthou, G., Timmermans, K. R., Blain, S., and Tréguer, P.: Growth physiology and fate of diatoms in the ocean: a review, J. Sea Res., 53, 25-42, doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2004.01.007, 2005.
 - Savidge, G., Priddle, J., Gilpin, L. C., Bathmann, U., Murphy, E. J., Owens, N. J. P., ... Boyd, P.: An assessment of the role of the marginal ice zone in the carbon cycle of the Southern Ocean, Antarct. Sci., 8, 349-358, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102096000521, 1996.
 - Scheffers, B. R., De Meester, L., Bridge, T. C. L., Hoffmann, A. A., Pandolfi, J. M., Corlett, R. T., ... Watson, J. E. M.: The broad footprint of climate change from genes to biomes to people, Science, 354, aaf7671, doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7671, 2016.
- 765 Schiermeier, Q.: Atmospheric science: fixing the sky, Nature, 460, 792-795, doi:10.1038/460792a, 2009.
 - Schlüter, L., Lohbeck, K. T., Gutowska, M. A., Gröger, J. P., Riebesell, U., and Reusch, T. B. H.: Adaptation of a globally important coccolithophore to ocean warming and acidification, Nat. Clim. Change, 4, 1024-1030, doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2379, 2014.
 - Scott, F. J. and Marchant, H. J. (Eds): Antarctic marine protists, Australian Biological Resources Study, Canberra and Hobart, Australia, pp.541, doi.org/10.1017/s0032247405244819, 2005.
- Sen Gupta, A., and England, M. H.: Coupled ocean-atmosphere-ice response to variations in the Southern Annular Mode, J. Climate, 19, 4457-4486, doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3843.1, 2006.
 - Smetacek, V., and Nicol, S.: Polar ocean ecosystems in a changing world, Nature, 437, 362-368, doi.org/10.1038/nature04161, 2005.
 - Smetacek, V.: Are declining krill stocks a result of global warming or of the decimation of the whales, In: Duarte CM (ed) Impacts of global warming on polar systems, Fundación BBVA, Bilbao, 47-83, 2008.
- Solomon, S., Ivy, D. J., Kinnison, D., Mills, M. J., Neely, R. R., and Schmidt, A.: Emergence of healing in the Antarctic ozone layer, Science, 353, 269-274, doi.org/10.1126/science.aae0061, 2016.
 - Son, S. W., Tandon, N. F., Polvani, L. M., and Waugh, D. W.: Ozone hole and Southern Hemisphere climate change, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, doi.org/10.1029/2009GL038671, 2009.
- Soppa, M., Völker, C., and Bracher, A.: Diatom Phenology in the Southern Ocean: Mean Patterns, Trends and the Role of Climate Oscillations, Remote Sens., 8, 420, doi.org/10.3390/rs8050420, 2016.
 - Spreen, G., Kaleschke, L., and Heygster, G.: Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89-GHz channels, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 113, C02S03, doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003384, 2008.
 - Squire, V.A.: Ocean wave interactions with sea ice: a reappraisal. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 52, doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-010719-060301, 2020.
- Steinacher, M., Joos, F., Frölicher, T. L., Bopp, L., Cadule, P., Cocco, V., ... Segschneider, J.: Projected 21st century decrease in marine productivity: a multi-model analysis, Biogeosciences, 7, 979-1005, doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-979-2010, 2010.
 - Swart, N. C., and Fyfe, J. C.: Observed and simulated changes in the Southern Hemisphere surface westerly wind-stress, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052810, 2012.
 - Swart, N. C., Fyfe, J. C., Gillett, N., and Marshall, G. J.: Comparing Trends in the Southern Annular Mode and Surface Westerly Jet, J. Climate, 28, 8840-8859, doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0334.1, 2015.

Swilo, M., Majewski, W., Minzoni, R. T., and Anderson, J. B.: Diatom assemblages from coastal settings of West Antarctica, Mar. Micropaleontol., 125, 95-109, doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2016.04.001, 2016.

- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Wanninkhof, R., Sweeney, C., Feely, R. A., Chipman, D. W., ... de Baar, H. J. W.: Climatological mean and decadal change in surface ocean pCO2, and net sea-air CO2 flux over the global oceans, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 56, 554-577, doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.009, 2009.
 - Taljaard, J. J.: Development, Distribution and Movement of Cyclones and Anticyclones in the Southern Hemisphere During the IGY, J. Appl. Meteorol., 6, 973-987, doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1967)006<0973:DDAMOC>2.0.CO;2, 1967.
 - Taylor, F., and Sjunneskog, C.: Postglacial marine diatom record of the Palmer Deep, Antarctic Peninsula (ODP Leg 178, Site 1098) 2. Diatom assemblages, Paleoceanography, 17, PAL 2-1-PAL 2-12, doi.org/10.1029/2000PA000564, 2002.
- 800 Ter Braak, C.J. and Verdonschot, P.F.: Canonical correspondence analysis and related multivariate methods in aquatic ecology. Aquatic sciences, 57(3), pp.255-289, doi.org/10.1007/BF00877430, 1995.
 - Thompson, D. W., Lee, S., and Baldwin, M. P.: Atmospheric processes governing the northern hemisphere annular mode/North Atlantic oscillation, Geoph. Monog. Series, 134, 81-112, 2003.
- Thompson, D. W., Solomon, S., Kushner, P. J., England, M. H., Grise, K. M., and Karoly, D. J.: Signatures of the Antarctic ozone hole in Southern Hemisphere surface climate change, Nat. Geosci., 4, 741-749, 2011.
 - Thompson, D. W. J., and Solomon, S.: Interpretation of Recent Southern Hemisphere Climate Change, Science, 296, 895-899, doi.org/10.1126/science.1069270, 2002.
 - Tomas, C. R. (ed.): Identifying marine phytoplankton, Academic press, San Diego, California, pp.858, 1997.
- Turner, J., Bracegirdle, T. J., Phillips, T., Marshall, G. J., and Hosking, J. S.: An initial assessment of Antarctic sea ice extent in the CMIP5 models, J. Climate, 26, 1473-1484, 2013.
 - Turner, J., Summerhayes, C., Sparrow, M., Mayewski, P., Convey, P., di Prisco, G., Gutt, J., Hodgson, D., Speich, S., Worby, T., Bo, S., and Klepikov, A.: Antarctic climate change and the environment-2015 update, Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting, Sofia, Bulgaria, June 2015, IP 92, 2015.
 - Waters, R. L., Van Den Enden, R., and Marchant, H. J.: Summer microbial ecology off East Antarctica (80-150 E): protistan community structure and bacterial abundance, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 47, 2401-2435, doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00030-8, 2000.
 - Webb, T., and Bryson, R. A.: Late-and postglacial climatic change in the northern Midwest, USA: quantitative estimates derived from fossil pollen spectra by multivariate statistical analysis, Quaternary Res., 2, 70-115, doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(72)90005-1, 1972.
 - Whitaker, D., and Christman, M.: clustsig: Significant Cluster Analysis. R package version 1.1., 2014.

- Wilson, D. L., Smith Jr, W. O., and Nelson, D. M.: Phytoplankton bloom dynamics of the western Ross Sea ice edge—Iedge-I. Primary productivity and species-specific production, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 33, 1375-1387, doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(86)90041-5, 1986.
 - Wright, S. W., van den Enden, R. L., Pearce, I., Davidson, A. T., Scott, F. J., and Westwood, K. J.: Phytoplankton community structure and stocks in the Southern Ocean (30-80 E) determined by CHEMTAX analysis of HPLC pigment signatures, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 57, 758-778, doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2009.06.015, 2010.







Table 2. (a) Summary statistics for environmental variables; (b) correlations between taxa-group relative abundances and environmental variables; (c) correlations amoung environmental variables; (d) correlations between macronutrient concentrations and environmental variables; (e) as (d) but involving only the 50 % of samples collected latest in the spring-summer. Correlations significant at α 0.05 are in bold italics, correlations significant after Bonferroni adjustment are also underlined (α <0.05/19 for correlations among environmental variables, α <0.05/20 for correlations with taxa-group relative abundance).

	Environmental variables									
	DaysAfter10ct	SAM autumn	SAM prior	SAM spring	Long.E	DaysSinceSeaIce	SST	Salinity	year	total chlorophyll
(a) Statistics for environmental covaria	bles									
unit	days	index	index	index	$^{\circ}\mathrm{E}$	days	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	PSU	year	mg m ⁻³
average	96	-0.2	0.1	0.4	142	65	0.6	33.7	-	0.29
min	20	-0.8	-1.3	-1.5	136	-26	-1.8	33.2	2002	0.07
max	151	0.6	2.0	10.0	148	>365	3.0	34.1	2012	0.70
n	52	11	52	11	52	52	5	52	11	49
average standard error of estimate	-	0.14	0.13	0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-
(b) Correlations with taxa-group relative	e abunda	ince								
Chaetoceros atlanticus	-0.15	<u>0.55</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>0.63</u>	0.20	-0.01	-0.20	0.22	0.13	0.37
Chaetoceros concavicornis/curvatus	0.37	0.36	0.27	0.35	-0.07	0.27	0.25	-0.14	0.11	0.25
Chaetoceros castracanei	-0.36	-0.02	0.26	0.20	0.41	-0.12	-0.36	-0.07	-0.07	0.20
Chaetoceros dichaeta	<u>0.48</u>	0.38	0.31	0.29	-0.13	0.37	0.35	-0.17	0.20	0.36
Chaetoceros neglectus	<u>-0.70</u>	-0.06	<u>0.42</u>	0.24	<u>0.48</u>	-0.40	<u>-0.69</u>	<u>0.56</u>	-0.04	0.33
Cylindrotheca closterium	0.13	0.09	-0.10	-0.03	0.02	0.32	0.12	0.02	-0.11	0.03
Dactyliosolen antarcticus	0.18	0.37	0.34	0.27	-0.06	0.18	0.13	-0.08	0.06	0.37
Dactyliosolen tenuijunctus	-0.18	<u>-0.44</u>	-0.08	-0.16	0.16	-0.19	-0.17	0.23	-0.02	-0.10
Dictyocha speculum (silicoflagellate)	<u>-0.78</u>	-0.17	0.30	0.14	<u>0.68</u>	<u>-0.41</u>	<u>-0.75</u>	0.36	-0.14	0.17
discoid centric diatoms	<u>-0.57</u>	0.15	0.06	0.24	<u>0.52</u>	-0.11	<u>-0.57</u>	0.21	-0.15	0.21
Emiliania huxleyi (haptophyte)	-0.28	-0.38	<u>-0.42</u>	-0.38	0.21	0.12	-0.25	-0.01	-0.37	-0.24
Fragilariopsis cylindrus/curta	0.26	-0.06	-0.08	-0.09	<u>-0.58</u>	-0.08	0.35	-0.12	0.24	-0.15
Fragilariopsis kerguelensis	0.23	<u>0.52</u>	0.16	0.25	-0.07	0.19	0.22	<u>-0.46</u>	-0.05	0.07
Fragilariopsis pseudonana	-0.13	0.22	-0.02	0.22	-0.10	-0.05	-0.03	0.12	0.22	0.02
Fragilariopsis rhombica	0.16	-0.39	<u>-0.58</u>	<u>-0.57</u>	-0.13	0.13	0.22	-0.12	-0.24	<u>-0.59</u>
Fragilariopsis ritscheri	0.11	-0.10	0.00	-0.03	-0.02	0.02	0.10	-0.03	0.03	-0.01
Guinardia cylindrus	0.09	0.12	-0.06	-0.06	0.05	0.17	0.10	-0.03	-0.02	0.12
Nitzschia acicularis/decipiens	<u>-0.47</u>	<u>-0.45</u>	-0.29	-0.31	<u>0.42</u>	-0.32	<u>-0.46</u>	0.09	-0.22	-0.19
Parmales spp. (chrysophyte)	<u>-0.60</u>	-0.29	0.15	-0.09	<u>0.42</u>	<u>-0.42</u>	<u>-0.65</u>	0.36	-0.28	0.16
Petasaria heterolepis	-0.25	-0.13	-0.27	-0.08	0.15	-0.17	-0.25	0.02	-0.02	-0.04
Pseudo-nitzschia lineola	-0.35	0.39	0.19	0.37	0.36	-0.09	-0.35	0.18	0.01	0.26
Thalassiothrix antarctica	-0.16	0.32	0.12	0.16	0.15	-0.11	-0.11	-0.19	-0.15	0.00

Table 2. Continued.

	Environmental variables										
	DaysAfter1Oct	SAM autumn	SAM prior	SAM spring	Long.E	DaysSinceSeaIce	SST	Salinity	year	total chlorophyll	
(c) Correlations amoung envi	ronmental variable	s									
SAM autumn	0.32										
SAM prior	-0.06	<u>0.51</u>									
SAM spring	0.04	0.56	<u>0.83</u>								
Long.E	<u>-0.63</u>	-0.17	0.10	0.05							
DaysSinceSeaIce	<u>0.56</u>	0.18	-0.03	0.07	-0.27						
SST	<u>0.92</u>	0.27	-0.14	-0.03	<u>-0.68</u>	<u>0.60</u>					
Salinity	<u>-0.43</u>	-0.14	0.31	0.21	0.23	-0.13	<u>-0.41</u>				
year	0.18	0.27	0.35	0.32	-0.24	0.02	0.27	-0.06			
total chlorophyll	-0.02	<u>0.50</u>	<u>0.72</u>	<u>0.69</u>	0.11	-0.08	-0.15	0.14	<u>0.43</u>		
(d) correlations with macronu	itrients (n=51)										
$[NO_x]$	<u>-0.77</u>	-0.39	0.23	0.04	<u>0.53</u>	<u>-0.43</u>	<u>-0.72</u>	<u>0.54</u>	-0.14	0.12	
$[PO_4]$	<u>-0.73</u>	<u>-0.56</u>	-0.07	-0.26	<u>0.62</u>	<u>-0.52</u>	<u>-0.70</u>	0.39	-0.13	-0.10	
$[SiO_4]$	<u>-0.56</u>	<u>-0.42</u>	0.26	-0.05	0.40	<u>-0.49</u>	<u>-0.63</u>	0.39	0.09	0.22	
(e) correlations with macronu	trients (n=26: later	-in-seaso	on 50% o	f samples	s)						
$[NO_x]$	-0.18	<u>-0.58</u>	-0.05	-0.25	-0.23	-0.19	0.02	0.27	-0.17	-	
$[PO_4]$	-0.13	<u>-0.74</u>	-0.51	<u>-0.68</u>	0.09	-0.31	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	-	
[SiO ₄]	-0.10	-0.51	-0.04	-0.31	-0.16	-0.35	-0.44	-0.05	0.34	-	

Table 3. Identified taxa-groups: taxa, taxa-code, cells counted, cells measured, average individual cell volume, abundance (average, minimum and maximum), average relative abundance, average total volume, average relative volume, and percentage of samples in which each taxa-group was identified.

[22.5cmtaxon	abundance											
]	[20.7cmthatemcells [21cmcells [21.1cmaver-							[2.7cmre[21.3cmaverage.7cmaver.8cmsam				
	dode	dount-	meas-] age				live	lotal cell	lage	$]_{les}$	
		ed	ured	indiv-				abun-	volume	fract-	with	
				idual				dance:		ion	taxon	
				cell						of	шлоп	
								aver-				
				volume				age		total		
										cell		
										vol-		
										ume		
						min	****	_				
					aver-	ШШ	max					
					age							
		number	number	$\mu\mathrm{m}^3$	cells	cells	cells		$\mu\mathrm{m}^3~\mathrm{ml}^{\text{-}1}$			
					ml^{-1}	ml ⁻¹	ml ⁻¹					
Chaetoceros atlanticus	ca	356	479	1,316	51	0	364	2.2%	81,382	1.4%	90%	
Chaetoceros castracanei	cca	48	34	940	6	0	38	0.3%	18,616	0.4%	48%	
Chaetoceros concavicornis/curvatus	cc	120	200	3,443	20	0	135	0.7%	78,443	1.4%	77%	
Chaetoceros dichaeta	cd	2,563	1943	491	423	0	2,503	13%	145,999	2.9%	94%	
Chaetoceros neglectus	cn	634	488	176	83	0	697	3.5%	11,906	0.2%	81%	
Cylindrotheca closterium	cyc	122	50	121	17	0	79	0.7%	4,106	0.1%	77%	
Dactyliosolen antarcticus	da	277	472	(61,899)	44	0	195	1.6%	1,860,680	27%	98%	
Dactyliosolen tenuijunctus	dt	1,981	1350	3,828	296	7	1,315	9.9%	895,367	16%	<u>100%</u>	
Dictyocha speculum (silicoflagellate)	ds	81	84	4,920	10	0	69	0.5%	99,301	1.5%	48%	
discoid centric diatoms	dcx	965	1280	8,572	133	12	696	5.2%	437,556	7.3%	<u>100%</u>	
Emiliania huxleyi (haptophyte)	ehu	173	70	65	24	0	192	0.8%	3,552	0.1%	58%	
Fragilariopsis cylindrus/curta	fcx	3,987	3013	70	632	0	8,796	17%	44,167	0.9%	98%	
Fragilariopsis kerguelensis	fk	1,031	4055	3,748	167	0	1,054	5.8%	369,492	6.5%	98%	
Fragilariopsis pseudonana	fps	170	115	355	26	0	201	0.9%	18,999	0.4%	69%	
Fragilariopsis rhombica	fr	4,542	3469	36	658	29	2,070	22%	23,359	0.6%	<u>100%</u>	
Fragilariopsis ritscheri	fri	46	19	572	7	0	86	0.2%	11,020	0.2%	35%	
Guinardia cylindrus	guc	110	81	10,405	15	0	79	0.6%	225,921	4.1%	67%	
Nitzschia acicularis/decipiens	nix	1,133	509	251	162	0	977	5.7%	46,705	1.0%	98%	
Parmales spp. (chrysophyte)	parm	322	2	8	38	0	668	1.7%	334	0.0%	27%	
Petasaria heterolepis (other)	pet	45	-	(65)	7	0	187	0.3%	2,667	0.1%	6%	
Pseudonitzschia lineola	psl	681	403	1,093	91	4	376	4.1%	84,460	1.5%	<u>100%</u>	
Thalassiothrix antarctica	ta	112	269	(63,000)	13	0	172	0.6%	314,424	4.8%	85%	





