Reply to the referees (see also the respective on-line Discussion for more detail)

Referee #1

1. The referee briefly remarks that the findings have little novelty. This may be the case with the conclusions, which emphasize the potential importance of acetate oxidation coupled either syntrophically to hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis or to the reduction of organic substances. Such conclusions have indeed been made before. However, to our knowledge they have so far not been supported by showing that the methyl group of acetate is indeed oxidized to CO_2 rather than reduced to CH_4. Here, we addressed this point by determining the fate of radioactively labeled acetate-methyl, and indeed found that in some of the lake sediments a large percentage of the acetate methyl was oxidized to CO_2. In our opinion, this is a crucial and novel experimental result.

2. The referee criticizes the presentation of the methods, in particular the determination of parameters. We have now presented the relevant methods in more detail including the equations used for calculation. We also better explain the determination of f_{H2} as done in our previous publication (Ji et al. 2016) versus the present one, which used ^{13}C versus ^{14}C methods, respectively. We have now also added more explicit statements which incubation was used for which determination. This concerns in particular the determination of f_{H2} and rates of CH_4 production on the one hand, and of RI and acetate turnover on the other hand. Finally, we have added to the Discussion by arguing about the use of different incubations and different incubation times for the individual parameters.

<u>Minor issues:</u>

General: We made several changes in the references as suggested by the referee.

Methods: The CH4 production rates without addition of radiotracers (Fig. 1A) are basically those from our previous study (Ji et al., 2016), analogously to the values of f_{H2} shown in Fig. 1B. This has now been explained in the Methods. It is also stated in the figure legend.

The addition of ^{14}C was almost carrier free, i.e., the specific radioactivitities of [2-^{14}C]acetate and ^{14}C-bicarbonate were on a range of about 50 Ci/mol. Thus, the addition of 1 µCi acetate-methyl was equivalent to an amount of about 20 nmol acetate. Hence, the addition of radioactive acetate was small (<5%) compared to the in-situ concentration of acetate. The same is true for bicarbonate. This information has been added to the Methods.

Fig. 2: The specific radioactivity is the relevant number for determining fH2. Therefore, we preferred showing these values instead of showing only Bq of CO_2 and CH_4 without reference to total CH_4 and CO_2.

L.32: ok

L.86: ok

L.106: There was a significant amount of radioactivity in the sediment carbonates, which has to be considered for the correct determination of the RI. In some of the sediments the released radioactive CO_2 more than doubled upon acidification. Actually, we also calculated RI values using the final radioactivity in CO_2 before acidification. These RI values were (of course) generally smaller than those after acidification, ranging between RI = 0.05-0.30 compared to RI = 0.06-0.48. The use of the non-acidified values of RI for calculation of acetate dependent CH_4 production would result in even higher rates than those given in our paper. Hence, the rates of acetate-dependent CH_4 production given in our paper were conservative. A brief statement has been added to the Discussion.

L.124: Averages were calculated since the values of f_{H2} were not constant (Fig. 2C) and we wanted to compare the values with those determined earlier using ^{13}C (comparison in Fig. 1B). No changes were made.

Referee #2

1. The referee criticizes that the comparison between different methods is confusing. The comment is similar to one of referee #1. See there for action taken.

2. The referee questions whether sulfate, which was initially present in the sediments, could have contributed to acetate oxidation. To avoid sulfate reduction, we preincubated the sediments. This information is given in the Methods. We have now also briefly mentioned it in the Results and have emphasized that CH_4 production started without lag phase indicating that suppressive concentrations of sulfate (or Fe(III)) were not available.

3. Acetate oxidation and hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis are indeed two independent processes, that are coupled syntrophically via H_2 (or perhaps other electron carriers). The turnover rate of radioactive acetate comprises also such syntrophic acetate oxidation. However, syntrophic acetate oxidation results in stoichiometric amounts of hydrogenotrophically formed CH_4. If turnover of radioactive acetate is larger than CH_4 production (as was the case for several sediments), the surplus cannot be due to syntrophically produced CH_4. Hence this amount of acetate oxidation must be caused by other oxidants, i.e., organic compounds if inorganic ones are not available. The conclusion is summarized in Fig. 5, to which we will make better reference in the revision. The oxidation of acetate can either be directly coupled to reduction orgC (reaction 4 in Fig.5) or acetate is oxidized to CO_2 plus H_2 followed by the oxidation of H2 with orgC (reactions 2 plus 5 in Fig. 5). However, acetate oxidation coupled to hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (reactions 2 plus 3 in Fig. 5) cannot be larger than CH_4 production. We have added a few sentences to the Discussion for clarity. We have also amended the references to Fig. 5 with the respective reactions shown in the scheme of pathways.

<u>Specific comments:</u>

Line 4: we have used only the term aceticlastic.

Line 107-108: We have added the equations describing how the parameters were calculated.

Line 135: Thank you, we have corrected the figure numbers.

Acetate turnover and methanogenic pathways in Amazonian lake sediments

Ralf Conrad[a], Melanie Klose[a], Alex Enrich-Prast[b,c]

[a]Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Karl-von-Frisch-Str. 10, 35043 Marburg, Germany
[b]Department of Thematic Studies - Environmental Change, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden
[c] Departamento de Botânica, Instituto de Biologia, University Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Corresponding author:
Ralf Conrad, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Karl-von-Frisch-Str. 10, 35043 Marburg, Germany
Tel. +49-6421-178801; Fax: +49-6421-178809;
email: Conrad@mpi-marburg.mpg.de

Abstract

Lake sediments in Amazonia are a significant source of CH_4, a potential greenhouse gas. Previous studies of sediments using ^{13}C analysis found that the contribution of hydrogenotrophic versus aceticlastic methanogenesis to CH_4 production was relatively high. Here, we determined the methanogenic pathway in the same sediments (n = 6) by applying [^{14}C]bicarbonate or [2-^{14}C]acetate, and confirmed the high relative contribution (50-80%) of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. The respiratory index (RI) of [2-^{14}C]acetate, which is $^{14}CO_2$ relative to $^{14}CH_4 + {}^{14}CO_2$, divided the sediments into two categories, i.e., those with an RI < 0.2 being consistent with the operation of aceticlastic methanogenesis, and those with an RI > 0.4 showing that a large percentage of the acetate-methyl was oxidized to CO_2 rather than reduced to CH_4. Hence, part of the acetate was probably converted to CO_2 plus H_2 via syntrophic oxidation, thus enhancing hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. This happened despite the presence of potentially aceticlastic *Methanosaetaceae* in all the sediments. Alternatively, acetate may have been oxidized with a constituent of the sediment organic matter (humic acid) serving as oxidant. Indeed, apparent acetate turnover rates were larger than CH_4 production rates except in those sediments with a R < 0.2. Our study demonstrates that CH_4 production in Amazonian lake sediments was not simply caused by a combination of hydrogenotrophic and aceticlastic methanogenesis, but probably involved additional acetate turnover.

1. Introduction

Acetate is an important intermediate in the anoxic degradation of organic matter and is produced by fermentation processes and chemolithotrophic homoacetogenesis~~-~~. The contribution of these two processes to acetate production is difficult to determine, but seems to be quite different for different environments (Fu et al., 2018; Hädrich et al., 2012; Heuer et al., 2010; Lokshina et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2014). The degradation of acetate requires a suitable oxidant such as oxygen, nitrate, ferric iron or sulfate. If such oxidants are not or no longer available, such as in many freshwater environments (e.g., paddy fields, lake sediments, peat), acetate sometimes accumulates until suitable electron acceptors become again available. Temporal accumulation and subsequent oxidative consumption has for example been observed in peatlands during increase and decrease, respectively, of the water table (Duddleston et al., 2002). ~~Normally, h~~However, it is generally assumed that acetate degradation in the absence of inorganic electron acceptors is accomplished by aceticlastic methanogenesis (Zinder, 1993). If aceticlastic methanogenesis is operative, the methyl group of the acetate is converted to CH_4.

If methanogenesis is the exclusive final step in the anaerobic degradation of organic matter, polysaccharides (one of the most important compounds from primary production) will be dismutated to equal amounts of CH_4 and CO_2. Furthermore, acetate usually accounts for more than two third of total methane production, especially if polysaccharides are the predominant degradable organic matter (Conrad, 1999). However, CO_2 has often been found to be the main product in many anoxic environments despite the absence of inorganic electron acceptors (O_2, nitrate, ferric iron, sulfate) (Keller et al., 2009; Yavitt and Seidmann-Zager, 2006). Such results have been explained by the assumption that organic substances (e.g. humic acids) may also serve as electron acceptors (Gao et al., 2019; Keller et al., 2009; Klüpfel et al., 2014). Organic electron acceptors also allow the oxidation of acetate (Coates et al., 1998; Lovley et al., 1996). The role of organic electron acceptors during anaerobic degradation of organic matter is potentially important, but still not well known (Corbett et al., 2013)

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

There are also many reports that methane production in lake sediments is dominated by hydrogenotrophic rather than aceticlastic methanogenesis (Conrad, 1999; Conrad et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2016). Such observations were explained (1) by incomplete degradation of organic matter producing predominantly H_2 and CO_2 without concomitant acetate production (Conrad et al., 2010; Hodgkins et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017), (2) by acetate oxidation coupled to the reduction of organic substances (see above), or (3) by syntrophic acetate oxidation coupled with hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (Lee and Zinder, 1988; Vavilin et al., 2017). If ~~syntrophic~~ acetate oxidation is operative, the methyl group of the acetate is converted to CO_2~~, similarly as found during acetate oxidation with external inorganic or organic electron acceptors~~. However, if ~~syntrophic~~ acetate oxidation is syntrophic, it does not require a chemical compound (other than H^+) as electron acceptors, since it is the hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis that eventually accepts the electrons released during acetate oxidation.

Syntrophic acetate oxidation can replace aceticlastic methanogenesis and thus, has been found when aceticlastic methanogenic archaea were not present in the microbial community of lake sediment (Nüsslein et al., 2001). This may also happen in other anoxic environments when conditions are not suitable for aceticlastic methanogens, e.g., at elevated temperatures (Conrad et al., 2009; Liu and Conrad, 2010; Liu et al., 2018), in the presence of high concentrations of ammonium (Müller et al., 2016; Schnürer et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2014), or phosphate (Conrad et al., 2000). However, syntrophic acetate oxidation has also been found in lake sediments that contained populations of putatively aceticlastic methanogens (Vavilin et al., 2017). It is presently unkown under which conditions syntrophic acetate oxidizers can successfully compete with aceticlastic methanogens and co-occur with acetate oxidation that is coupled to the reduction of organic substances.

As a further step in understanding the ecology of ~~syntrophic~~ acetate oxidizers (syntrophic or non-syntrophic ones) versus aceticlastic methanogens, we attempted to document their coexistence by studying lake sediments, which had been reported containing 16S rRNA genes of putatively aceticlastic *Methanosaetaceae* (*Methanotrichaceae* (Oren, 2014)) (Ji et al., 2016). We used these sediments and measured the fractions of hydrogenotrophic

Formatted: Font color: Red

methanogenesis and of the methyl group of acetate being oxidized to CO_2 rather than reduced to CH_4, and compared the turnover of acetate to the production rate of CH_4.

2. Materials and Methods

The sediment samples were obtained from floodplain lakes in the Amazon region and have already been used for a study on structure and function of methanogenic microbial communities (Ji et al., 2016). In particular, these sediment have been assayed for the percentage of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis ~~using values of $\delta^{13}C$ of CH_4, CO_2 and acetate-methyl,~~ and for the percentage contribution of putatively aceticlastic methanogens to the total archaeal community (Ji et al., 2016). Here, we used six of these sediments for incubation experiments with radioactive tracers. These are the same sediment~~s~~ samples as ~~are identical to~~ those listed in our previous publication (Ji et al., 2016). The identity of the lake sediments and the percentage content of putatively aceticlastic methanogens is summarized in Table 1.

The experiments were carried out at the same time as those in our previous publication (Ji et al., 2016) and were basically using the same incubation techniques. However, the experimental approach to determine the fractions of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (f_{H2}) were different. In our previous experiment, values of f_{H2} were determined from the $\delta^{13}C$ of CH_4 in the presence ($\delta^{13}C_{CH4\text{-}mc}$) and absence ($\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$) of methyl fluoride, an inhibitor of aceticlastic methanogenesis, and from the $\delta^{13}C$ of the methyl group of acetate ($\delta^{13}C_{ac\text{-}methyl}$):

$$f_{H2} = (\delta^{13}C_{CH4} - \delta^{13}C_{ac\text{-}methyl})/(\delta^{13}C_{CH4\text{-}mc} - \delta^{13}C_{ac\text{-}methyl}) \quad (1),$$

The CH_4 production rates and f_{H2} values from this experiment are shown in Fig. 1 for comparison.

In the present experiment, however, values of f_{H2} were determined by addition of $NaH^{14}CO_3$ and measurement of the specific radioactivities in CH_4 and CO_2. Briefly, ~~for determination of CH_4 production rates and the fractions of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (f_{H2})~~ about 10-15 ml of each replicate (n =3) were filled into 27-ml sterile tubes, flushed with N_2, closed with butyl rubber stoppers, and incubated at 25ºC. After preincubation for 12 days (in order to deplete eventually present inorganic oxidants), 0.5 ml of a solution of carrier-free

Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States), Subscript
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States), Superscript
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States), Subscript
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States), Subscript
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted ...
Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript

$NaH^{14}CO_3$ (about 1 µCi; 50 Ci mol^{-1}) was added, the tubes flushed again with N_2, and incubation was continued at 25ºC for about 100 days. Partial pressures of CH_4 and CO_2 as well as their contents of ^{14}C were measured at different time points after mixing the slurries by heavy manual shaking. The gas partial pressures were measured by gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (Ji et al., 2016), the radioactivities were analyzed with a radiodetector (RAGA) (Conrad et al., 1989). The data were used to calculate the fractions of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (f_{H2}) from the specific radioactivites of gaseous CH_4 (SR_{CH4}) and CO_2 (SR_{CO2}):

$$f_{H2} = SR_{CH4}/ SR_{CO2} \quad (2).$$

For determination of acetate turnover, the same conditions were used, except that preincubation was for 25 days, 0.5 ml of a solution of carrier-free Na[2-^{14}C]acetate (about 2 µCi; 50 Ci mol^{-1}), equivalent to about 20 nmol acetate, was added, and incubation was continued for about 8 h. During this time gas samples were repeatedly taken and the radioactivities in CH_4 and CO_2 were analyzed in a gas chromatograph with a radiodetector (RAGA) (Conrad et al., 1989). In the end, the sediment samples were acidified with 1 ml of 1M H_2SO_4 to liberate CO_2 from carbonates, and the radioactivities in CH_4 and CO_2 were analyzed again. The data were used to calculate the ~~fractions of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (f_{H2})~~, the acetate turnover rate constants (k_{ac}) and the respiratory index (RI) values from the radioactivities of gaseous CH_4 and CO_2 as described by Schütz et al. (1989). The RI is defined as:

$$RI = {}^{14}CO_2/({}^{14}CO_2 + {}^{14}CH_4) \quad (3).$$

Both $^{14}CH_4$ and $^{14}CO_2$ were measured at the end of the incubation after acidification. ~~These data were aslo~~The acetate turnover rate constants ~~was~~were determined from the change of $^{14}CH_4$ and $^{14}CO_2$ with incubation time (t) and the maximal values of $^{14}CH_4$ and $^{14}CO_2$ at the end of the incubation before acidification:

$$k_{ac} = [\ln(1 - ({}^{14}CH_4 + {}^{14}CO_2)/({}^{14}CH_{4\text{-max}} + {}^{14}CO_{2\text{-max}})]/t \quad (4).$$

The acetate turnover rates (v_{ac}) were calculated by ~~as the product of k_{ac} time the acetate concentration (ac), which was analyzed in the sediments at the end of the incubation using high pressure liquid chromatography~~:

Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Indent: First line: 0,5 cm
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
Formatted: Subscript

$v_{ac} = k_{ac} \cdot ac$ (5).

The acetate concentration (ac) was analyzed in the sediments at the end of the incubation using high pressure liquid chromatography. The acetate concentrations are summarized in Table 1. The rates of acetate-dependent CH_4 production (P_{ac}) were calculated from the acetate turnover rates and the RI:

$P_{ac} = v_{ac} \cdot (1- RI)$ (6).

3. Results

Six different lake sediments from Amazonia were incubated in the ~~absence and the~~ presence of $H^{14}CO_3$. Methane production started without lag phase indicating that the inorganic electron acceptors, which were present in the original sediment (Ji et al., 2016) had been depleted during the anaerobic preincubation and did not suppress methanogenesis. The CH_4 production rates were compared to those obtained in our previous experiments without addition of $H^{14}CO_3$ (Ji et al., 2016). Although the rates of CH_4 production were different in the two different incubations, the orders of magnitude were similar for the different lake sediments (Fig. 1A). The incubations in the presence of $H^{14}CO_3$ were used to follow the specific radioactivities of CH_4 (Fig. 2A) and CO_2 (Fig. 2B) over the incubation time. The specific radioactivities of CH_4 changed only little but were slightly different for the different lake sediments. The specific radioactivities of CO_2 decreased with time as expected due to the production of non-radioactive CO_2. Both specific radioactivities were used to calculate the fractions of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (f_{H2}), which increased with incubation time and eventually reached a plateau. The values of f_{H2} averaged between 30 and 60 d of incubation are summarized in Fig. 1B. Only the incubations of sediment "Grande" did not reach a plateau but still increased after 260 d of incubation due to the continuously decreasing specific radioactivities of CO_2 (data not shown). Averaging these values over the 4 data points between 160 and 260 d resulted in f_{H2} of about 60% (Fig. 1B). The thus determined values of f_{H2} were comparable to those determined in the absence of $H^{14}CO_3$ using values of $\delta^{13}C$, which have already been published (Ji et al. 2016) (Fig.1B).

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

The same sediments were used to determine the turnover of [2-^{14}C]acetate by measuring the increase of radioactive CH_4 (Fig. 3A) and CO_2 (Fig. 3B). These data were used to determine the rate constants of acetate turnover (Fig. 3C), which ranged between 0.02 and 1.7 h^{-1}. The respiratory indices (RI) were generally larger than 0.2 except those of the sediments Tapari and Verde, which were smaller than 0.2 (Fig. 4BA). The RI values and the acetate turnover rate constants were used to calculate the rates of CH_4 production from acetate in comparison to the rates of total CH_4 production (Fig. 4AB). Interestingly, acetate-dependent CH_4 production was always larger than total CH_4 production, except in those sediments exhibiting a RI <0.2.

4. Discussion

The RI value quantifies the fraction of the methyl group of acetate that is oxidized to CO_2 rather than reduced to CH_4. Since some oxidation of acetate methyl is also happening in pure cultures of aceticlastic methanogens (Weimer and Zeikus, 1978), and since a RI of around 0.2 has often been found in environments where acetate turnover was dominated by aceticlastic methanogenesis (Phelps and Zeikus, 1984; Rothfuss and Conrad, 1993; Winfrey and Zeikus, 1979), an RI value of 0.2 may in practice be used as the threshold for the change of methanogenic to oxidative acetate turnover. Based on this criterion, i.e. RI < 0.2, the lake sediments of Tapari and Verde behaved as when acetate turnover was exclusively caused by aceticlastic methanogenesis. The percentage of acetate-dependent CH_4 production was fairly consistent with the fraction of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, which made up the remainder of total CH_4 production. In conclusion, the acetate turnover and CH_4 production in these lake sediments behaved as expected as when aceticlastic methanogenesis was the sole process of acetate consumption (reaction 1 in Fig. 5).

However, the sediments of Jua and in particular those of Jupinda, Cataldo, and Grande exhibited RI values >0.2, showing that a substantial fraction of the acetate-methyl was oxidized to CO_2. Hence, acetate was not exclusively consumed by aceticlastic methanogenesis, but it was oxidized, for example by syntrophic acetate oxidation producing H_2 and CO_2. The H_2 and CO_2 may subsequently have been used as methanogenic substrates,

thus supporting CH_4 production (reactions 2 and 3 in Fig. 5). Such support would be consistent with the relatively high fractions (f_{H2}) of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis observed in these sediments. However, it would not explain why acetate turnover rates were higher than necessary for supporting the observed rates of total CH_4 production. A possible conclusion is that acetate was converted to CO_2 without concomitant production of H_2. Possibly, electrons from acetate were transferred to organic electron acceptors (reaction 4 in Fig. 5), such as suggested in the literature (Coates et al., 1998; Lovley et al., 1996). Alternatively, acetate may have first been converted to H_2 plus CO_2 followed by the oxidation of H_2 with organic electron acceptors (reactions 2 and 5 in Fig. 5) rather than syntrophic formation of CH_4 from H_2 plus CO_2 (reactions 2 and 3 in Fig. 5). In conclusion, these lake sediments behaved as when acetate consumption was accomplished not only by ~~aceticlastic~~ acetate-dependent methanogenesis, but also by oxidative consumption.

Our conclusions are mainly based on radiotracer measurements, which may be biased. For example, acetate turnover rate constants are calculated from acetate concentrations and turnover rate constants. Acetate concentrations were only measured at the end of incubation and thus, may not have been representative for ~~much of~~ the entire incubation time. Furthermore, acetate in the sediment may occur in several pools with different turnover (Christensen and Blackburn, 1982). Therefore, acetate turnover rates and acetate-dependent CH_4 production rates may be overestimated, if the actual acetate turnover depends on a pool size that is smaller than that analyzed. Overestimation may also result from too low RI values, such as when carbonate-bound radioactivity is neglected. However, such bias was avoided by acidification prior to determination of the RI. ~~Such overestimation in sediments of Jua, Jupinda, Cataldo and Grande cannot be completely excluded, although rates in sediments of Tapari and Verde were in a realistic range.~~ Finally, a potential bias may arise from the fact that the rates of CH_4 production and the acetate turnover rates were measured in two different sets of incubation, with different incubation times. While CH_4 production (and f_{H2}) was measured over tens of days (Fig. 2), acetate turnover was determined within 8 h (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, the data in the lake sediments of Tapari and Verde resulted in CH_4 production and acetate turnover consistent with the operation of aceticlastic methanogenesis, which is the

Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript

canonical acetate consumption pathway for methanogenic sediments. Therefore, we are confident that our results obtained from ~~Such overestimation in~~ the sediments of Jua, Jupinda, Cataldo and Grande ~~cannot be completely excluded, although rates in sediments of Tapari and Verde~~ were also in a realistic range.

The determination of fractions of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (f_{H2}) depends on the specific radioactivity of the dissolved CO_2 pool that is involved in CH_4 production. However, it is the pool of gaseous CO_2 that is analyzed in the assay, assuming that its specific radioactivity is identical to that of the active dissolved pool. Since non-radioactive CO_2 is permanently produced from oxidation of organic matter, there may be disequilibrium. Nevertheless, determinations of f_{H2} using radioactive bicarbonate exhibited the same tendencies as those based on $\delta^{13}C$ values, and thus are probably quite reliable. Furthermore, the f_{H2} values were fairly consistent with the fractions of acetate-dependent methanogenesis determined from the turnover of radioactive acetate.

Formatted: Subscript

Despite these reservations, our results collectively demonstrated that acetate turnover in tropical lake sediments did not necessarily follow a canonical pattern with aceticlastic methanogenesis as sole or predominant process of acetate turnover, despite the fact that all these sediments contained populations of putative aceticlastic methanogenic archaea. Acetate consumption in *Methanosaeta* species is known to have a relatively high affinity and a low threshold for acetate (Jetten et al., 1992). Therefore, the question arises why oxidative processes, including syntrophic acetate oxidation, could successfully compete with aceticlastic methanogenesis.

5. Author contribution

RC designed the experiments, evaluated the data and wrote the manuscript; MK did the experiments; AEP provided the samples and contributed to the discussion of the data.

6. Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

7. Acknowledgements

AEP acknowledges funding from the Swedish Research Council Vinnova and Linköping University and for funding from the Brazilian Research Council FAPERJ.

8. References

Christensen, D. and Blackburn, T. H.: Turnover of ^{14}C-labelled acetate in marine sediments, Mar. Biol., 71, 113-119, 1982.

Coates, J. D., Ellis, D. J., Blunt-Harris, E. L., Gaw, C. V., Roden, E. E., Lovley, D. R.: Recovery of humic-reducing bacteria from a diversity of environments, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 64, 1504-1509, 1998.

Conrad, R.: Contribution of hydrogen to methane production and control of hydrogen concentrations in methanogenic soils and sediments [review], FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 28, 193-202, 1999.

Conrad, R., Claus, P., Casper, P.: Stable isotope fractionation during the methanogenic degradation of organic matter in the sediment of an acidic bog lake, Lake Grosse Fuchskuhle, Limnol. Oceanogr., 55, 1932-1942, 2010.

Conrad, R., Klose, M., Claus, P.: Phosphate inhibits acetotrophic methanogenesis on rice roots, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66, 828-831, 2000.

Conrad, R., Klose, M., Noll, M.: Functional and structural response of the methanogenic microbial community in rice field soil to temperature change, Environ. Microbiol., 11, 1844-1853, 2009.

Conrad, R., Mayer, H. P., Wüst, M.: Temporal change of gas metabolism by hydrogen-syntrophic methanogenic bacterial associations in anoxic paddy soil, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 62, 265-274, 1989.

Conrad, R., Noll, M., Claus, P., Klose, M., Bastos, W. R., Enrich-Prast, A.: Stable carbon isotope discrimination and microbiology of methane formation in tropical anoxic lake sediments, Biogeosciences, 8, 795-814, 2011.

Corbett, J., Tfaily, M. M., Burdige, D. J., Cooper, W. T., Glaser, P. H., Chanton, J. P.: Partitioning pathways of CO2 production in peatlands with stable carbon isotopes, Biogeochem., 114, 327-340, 2013.

Duddleston, K. N., Kinney, M. A., Kiene, R. P., Hines, M. E.: Anaerobic microbial biogeochemistry in a northern bog: Acetate as a dominant metabolic end product, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 16, 1063-doi:10.1029/2001GB001402, 2002.

Fu, B., Conrad, R., Blaser, M.: Potential contribution of acetogenesis to anaerobic degradation in methanogenic rice field soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 119, 1-10, 2018.

Gao, C., Sander, M., Agethen, S., Knorr, K. H.: Electron accepting capacity of dissolved and particulate organic matter control CO2 and CH4 formation in peat soils, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 245, 266-277, 2019.

Hädrich, A., Heuer, V. B., Herrmann, M., Hinrichs, K. U., Küsel, K.: Origin and fate of acetate in an acidic fen, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 81, 339-354, 2012.

Heuer, V. B., Krüger, M., Elvert, M., Hinrichs, K. U.: Experimental studies on the stable carbon isotope biogeochemistry of acetate in lake sediments, Org. Geochem., 41, 22-30, 2010.

Hodgkins, S. B., Tfaily, M. M., McCalley, C. K., Logan, T. A., Crill, P. M., Saleska, S. R., Rich, V. I., Chanton, J. P.: Changes in peat chemistry associated with permafrost thaw increase greenhouse gas production, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 5819-5824, 2014.

Jetten, M. S. M., Stams, A. J. M., Zehnder, A. J. B.: Methanogenesis from acetate - A comparison of the acetate metabolism in *Methanothrix soehngenii* and *Methanosarcina* spp., FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 88, 181-197, 1992.

Ji, Y., Angel, R., Klose, M., Claus, P., Marotta, H., Pinho, L., Enrich-Prast, A., Conrad, R.: Structure and function of methanogenic microbial communities in sediments of Amazonian lakes with different water types, Environ. Microbiol., 18, 5082-5100, 2016.

Keller, J. K., Weisenhorn, P. B., Megonigal, J. P.: Humic acids as electron acceptors in wetland decomposition, Soil Biol. Biochem., 41, 1518-1522, 2009.

Klüpfel, L., Piepenbrock, A., Kappler, A., Sander, M.: Humic substances as fully regenerable electron acceptors in recurrently anoxic environments, Nature Geoscience, 7, 195-200, 2014.

Lee, M. J. and Zinder, S. H.: Isolation and characterization of a thermophilic bacterium which oxidizes acetate in syntrophic association with a methanogen and which grows acetogenically on H_2-CO_2, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 54, 124-129, 1988.

Liu, F. H. and Conrad, R.: *Thermoanaerobacteriaceae* oxidize acetate in methanogenic rice field soil at 50°C, Environ. Microbiol., 12, 2341-2354, 2010.

Liu, P. F., Klose, M., Conrad, R.: Temperature effects on structure and function of the methanogenic microbial communities in two paddy soils and one desert soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 124, 236-244, 2018.

Liu, Y., Conrad, R., Yao, T., Gleixner, G., Claus, P.: Change of methane production pathway with sediment depth in a lake on the Tibetan plateau, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 474, 279-286, 2017.

Lokshina, L., Vavilin, V., Litti, Y., Glagolev, M., Sabrekov, A., Kotsyurbenko, O., Kozlova, M.: Methane production in a West Siberian eutrophic fen is much higher than carbon dioxide production: incubation of peat samples,

stoichiometry, stable isotope dynamics, modeling, Water Resources, 46, S110-S125, 2019.

Lovley, D. R., Coates, J. D., Blunt-Harris, E. L., Phillips, E. J. P., Woodward, J. C.: Humic substances as electron acceptors for microbial respiration, Nature, 382, 445-448, 1996.

Müller, B., Sun, L., Westerholm, M., Schnürer, A.: Bacterial community composition and fhs profiles of low- and high-ammonia biogas digesters reveal novel syntrophic acetate-oxidising bacteria, Biotechnol. Biofuels, 9, 48-doi:10.1186/s13068-016-0454-9, 2016.

Nüsslein, B., Chin, K. J., Eckert, W., Conrad, R.: Evidence for anaerobic syntrophic acetate oxidation during methane production in the profundal sediment of subtropical Lake Kinneret (Israel), Environ. Microbiol., 3, 460-470, 2001.

Oren, A.: The family *Methanotrichaceae*, in: The Prokaryotes, edited by: Rosenberg, E., DeLong, E. F., Lory, S., Stackebrandt, E., Thompson, F., Springer, Berlin, 298-306, 2014.

Phelps, T. J. and Zeikus, J. G.: Influence of pH on terminal carbon metabolism in anoxic sediments from a mildly acidic lake, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 48, 1088-1095, 1984.

Rothfuss, F. and Conrad, R.: Vertical profiles of CH_4 concentrations, dissolved substrates and processes involved in CH_4 production in a flooded Italian rice field, Biogeochem., 18, 137-152, 1993.

Schnürer, A., Zellner, G., Svensson, B. H.: Mesophilic syntrophic acetate oxidation during methane formation in biogas reactors, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 29, 249-261, 1999.

Schütz, H., Seiler, W., Conrad, R.: Processes involved in formation and emission of methane in rice paddies, Biogeochem., 7, 33-53, 1989.

Vavilin, V., Rytov, S., Conrad, R.: Modeling methane formation in sediments of tropical lakes, focusing on syntrophic acetate oxidation: dynamics and static isotope equations, Ecol. Modeling, 363, 81-95, 2017.

Weimer, P. J. and Zeikus, J. G.: Acetate metabolism in *Methanosarcina barkeri*, Arch. Microbiol., 119, 175-182, 1978.

Winfrey, M. R. and Zeikus, J. G.: Anaerobic metabolism of immediate methane precursors in Lake Mendota, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 37, 244-253, 1979.

Yavitt, J. B. and Seidmann-Zager, M.: Methanogenic conditions in northern peat soils, Geomicrobiol. J., 23, 119-127, 2006.

Ye, R., Jin, Q., Bohannan, B., Keller, J. K., Bridgham, S. D.: Homoacetogenesis: A potentially underappreciated carbon pathway in peatlands, Soil Biol. Biochem., 68, 385-391, 2014.

Zhang, C., Yuan, Q., Lu, Y.: Inhibitory effects of ammonia on methanogen *mcrA* transcripts in anaerobic digester sludge, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 87, 368-377, 2014.

Zinder, S. H.: Physiological ecology of methanogens, in: Methanogenesis. Ecology, Physiology, Biochemistry and Genetics, edited by: Ferry, J. G., Chapman & Hall, New York, 128-206, 1993.

392 Table 1: Identity of sediment samples (same as those in Ji et al. (2016)),
393 percentage content of putatively aceticlastic methanogens (*Methanosaetaceae*)
394 relative to total archaea, and concentrations of acetate; mean ± SE.
395

Lake #	Name	Type	*Methanosaetaceae* (%)	Acetate (nmol g^{-1} dry weight)
P1	Jua	clear water	21 ± 1	93 ± 5
P8	Tapari	clear water	19 ± 3	261 ± 39
P9	Verde	clear water	19 ± 11	126 ± 12
P10	Jupinda	clear water	27 ± 4	110 ± 6
A1	Cataldo	white water	42 ± 1	50 ± 3
A2	Grande	white water	36 ± 3	35 ± 1

396
397

Figure captions

Fig. 1: Methane production in sediments of different Amazonian lakes: (A) rates of CH_4 production, and (B) fractions of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, both determined in the absence and the presence of radioactive bicarbonate. The data in the absence of radioactive bicarbonate are the same as published in Ji et al. (2016), when f_{H2} was determined from values of $\delta^{13}C$; mean ±SE.

Fig. 2: Conversion of radioactive bicarbonate in sediments of different Amazonian lakes: (A) specific radioactivities in CH_4; (B) specific radioactivities in gaseous CO_2; and (C) fractions (f_{H2}) of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis; mean ±SE.

Fig. 3: Conversion of [2-^{14}C]acetate in sediments of different Amazonian lakes: (A) accumulation of radioactive CH_4; (B) accumulation of radioactive gaseous CO_2; and (C) acetate turnover rate constants; mean ±SE.

Fig. 4: (A) Rates of total and acetate-derived CH_4 production in sediments of different Amazonian lakes and (B) respiratory indices (RI) of the turned over [2-^{14}C]acetate; mean ±SE.

Fig. 5: Scheme of the pathways involved in acetate turnover in sediments of Amazonian lakes; (1) aceticlastic methanogenesis; (2) syntrophic acetate oxidation; (3) hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis; (4) acetate oxidation with organic electron acceptors.