

**BGD** 

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Reviews and syntheses: Anthropogenically breaking macro-ecospatial "chains"? – case review of HU Line" by Yi Lin and Martin Herold

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 22 December 2019

General comments: Very interesting paper synthesising knowledge about the HU line and how people have tried to deal with it or break it in the past and predict its changes in the future using models. I have no major concerns about the paper, just a few comments. I think the abstract should be modified slightly in order to improve clarity for non-expert readers. I had some troubles understanding it. I would suggest working a bit on that. The concept of the H-U line is probably not enough widely known so that all readers understand what you mean when you refer to it. Just slight modifications on the text can solve this issue. I'm not sure if I'm checking a previous version of the paper, but I can still see a few typos and, I think, some mistakes in the use of language (see some of them below). Please, check the text thoroughly. I see you have

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



now changed to "integrative data analysis". I'm not entirely comfortable with that term because, unless there's a clear definition somewhere that I'm not aware of, it seems to be a bit broad (you can integrate plenty of different types of data in a data analysis). I suggest elaborating a bit more on the type of analysis you're actually performing. Try to answer the question of what you are integrating in your analysis. To me it just looks like a review paper using data already available somewhere else.

Minor comments: L. 20: change "human" for "humans" L. 37: "extensive interest is whether people can better the macroecosystem-related ecological" change better for improve? L. 43: Try to define or explain what you mean by "chains" the first time you mention them in the text. After reading the paper a few times, I think I now understand what it means, but it wasn't obvious to me the first time I saw it. This term also contributes a bit towards making the abstract a bit confusing. L. 82-84: That's a very interesting. In my mind, that relates to some sort of geoengineering, at least at a regional scale, but the term does not appear at all in the text. Is it just that these kinds of actions to break macro-ecospatial chains are not actually geoengineering? Consider adding something about it in the text, it may solve someone else's questions as well. L. 90: Precipitation richness? I've never seen that term before. I suggest changing that for spatially heterogeneous precipitation patterns or something similar. L. 93: "some ecosystems are preferred by life": This sentence strikes me as a bit awkward. An ecosystem has to be "alive" by definition (without living beings you would just have an abiotic habitat, not an ecosystem), so stating that some ecosystems are preferred by life I think it does not really make much sense. I suggest rephrasing the sentence towards something like: "some habitats are able to sustain more organisms, or more biomass... than others". L. 94: what do you mean with transfers? I suggest rephrasing that sentence. L. 118: "rich rainfalls": I suggest changing that for large amounts of precipitation or similar. L. 131: "thru": typo L. 195-196: Indeed! L. 259-268: So, the western side has increased its population more than the right, is that correct? I think the authors infer that the change has been, at least, partially caused by GGP-kind programs. Could you elaborate a bit more on that? If conditions are so much worse

## **BGD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



in the west than in the east sounds counter-intuitive that population growth has been larger there in spite of GGP-programs. L. 289-303: Here the authors seem to imply that demographic changes occur mainly because of changes in regional climate and that deforestation strengthen the HU line. I think it's possible climate changes drove these changes, but what about historical events and successive governments with different agendas? Can you provide some information about geopolitics of the region for that period of time? They may also be relevant in order to understand why the HU line emerged during this period. No remarkable comments thereafter.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-418, 2019.

## **BGD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

