

Hello,

the transfer of these définitions in the material & methods section are welcome. However, the modified sentence above these définitions has ne more sense. Could you please ask to supervisors or co-author senior scientists to re-read your manuscript?

Regards

Sébastien

Dear Dr. Fontaine,

We re-discussed the most appropriate position to define peat and changed it accordingly as identified by track changes in the pdf file (section 2.2., fourth sentence, “Peat was defined as all organic bulk material accumulating underneath the peatlands surface, comprised of a matrix of mostly dead *Sphagnum* material with embedded living and dead stems and roots of *C. vulgaris* and *E. vaginatum*”). We also adapted the sentence before we define peat decomposition (section 2.4. first sentence: “To identify chemical properties of PFTs, peat and peat decomposition, representative plant samples for each PFT and one shrub-core and one sedge-core from each peatland were selected to be additionally analysed by py-GC/MS”). Further, we carefully re-read the manuscript and did some minor corrections. We hope that our manuscript is now suitable for publication.

Best Regards,  
Lilli Zeh

# Vascular plants affect properties and decomposition of moss-dominated peat, particularly at elevated temperatures

Lilli Zeh<sup>1</sup>, Marie Theresa Igel<sup>1</sup>, Judith Schellekens<sup>2</sup>, Juul Limpens<sup>3</sup>, Luca Bragazza<sup>4</sup>, Karsten Kalbitz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Soil Resources and Land Use, Institute of Soil Science and Site Ecology, Technische Universität Dresden, Piennner Str. 19, 01737 Tharandt, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Ciência do Solo, Escola Superior de Agricultura “Luiz de Queiroz” – ESALQ/USP, Av. Pádua Dias 11, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Plant Ecology and Nature Conservation, Wageningen University, Droevendaalse steeg 3a, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup> Agroscope, Plant Production Systems, Route de Duillier 50, P.O. Box 1012, 1260 Nyon, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Lilli Zeh (lilli.zeh@tu-dresden.de)

**Abstract.** Peatlands, storing significant amounts of carbon are extremely vulnerable to climate change. The effects of climate change are projected to lead to a vegetation shift from *Sphagnum* mosses to sedges and shrubs. Impacts on the present moss-dominated peat remain largely unknown. In this study, we used a multi proxy approach to investigate the influence of contrasting vascular plant types (sedges, shrubs) on peat chemistry and decomposition. Peat cores of 20 cm depth and plant material (*Sphagnum* spp., *Calluna vulgaris*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*) from two ombrotrophic peatlands in the Italian Alps with a mean annual temperature difference of 1.4 °C were analysed. Peat cores were taken under adjacent shrub and sedge plants growing at the same height above the water table. We used carbon, nitrogen and their stable isotopes to assess general patterns in the degree of decomposition across sampling locations and depths. In addition, analytical pyrolysis was applied to disentangle effects of vascular plants (sedge, shrub) on chemical properties and decomposition of the moss-dominated peat. Pyrolysis data confirmed that *Sphagnum* moss dominated the present peat irrespective of depth. Nevertheless, vascular plants contributed to peat properties as revealed by e.g. pyrolysis products of lignin. The degree of peat decomposition increased with depth as shown by e.g. decreasing amounts of the pyrolysis product of sphagnum acid and increasing  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  with depth. Multiple parameters also revealed a higher degree of decomposition of *Sphagnum*-dominated peat collected under sedges than under shrubs, particularly at the high temperature site. Surprisingly, temperature effects on peat decomposition were less pronounced than those of sedges. Our results imply that vascular plants affect the decomposition of the existing peat formed by *Sphagnum*,

particularly at elevated temperature. These results suggest that changes in plant functional types may have a stronger impact on the soil carbon feedback in a warmer world than hitherto assumed.

## 1 Introduction

Peatland soils store about 550 Gt of carbon (C), which equals one third of all soil organic C while they only cover 3 % of the world's land area (Parish et al., 2008). In contrast to mineral soils, C sequestration in peatlands is not controlled by stabilisation processes related to soil minerals (Schmidt et al., 2011), but is environmentally constrained by low temperatures and prevalent anoxic conditions (high water tables). Climate change is expected to partly lift these environmental constraints on microbial decomposition by warmer (Karhu et al., 2014) and drier conditions, threatening to release stored organic C as CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere. Alterations in the environment will also initiate shifts in vegetation composition, generally favouring vascular plants (sedge, shrub) over *Sphagnum* (moss) (Berendse et al., 2001; Breeuwer et al., 2009; Heijmans et al., 2008; Malmer et al., 1994). A systematic change in composition of plant functional types (PFTs) towards vascular plants has a yet unknown potential to accelerate C losses from the stored peat originally dominated by mosses due to increased C input via roots from vascular plants (Bragazza et al., 2013; Gavazov et al., 2018; Robroek et al., 2015) and litter mixing effects (Zhang et al., 2019).

Vascular plants in alpine peatlands were shown to have up to twice as high net biomass production as mosses (Gerdol et al., 2010) and consequently relatively higher litter inputs than mosses. In addition to litter quantity, the chemical composition differs between PFTs with considerable consequences on decomposition dynamics. *Sphagnum* litter tends to decompose slower than vascular plant litter (Bragazza, 2006; Coulson and Butterfield, 1978; Verhoeven and Toth, 1995; Zhang et al., 2019) due to high carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratio and decay-inhibiting structural carbohydrates (Coulson and Butterfield, 1978; Hájek et al., 2011; Schellekens et al., 2015b; Turetsky et al., 2008). Within vascular plants, shrub litter differentiates from sedge litter by higher C/N ratio and lower decay rate (Huang et al., 1998; Kaštovská et al., 2018; Laiho et al., 2003; Limpens and Berendse, 2003). Analog to biomass production, shrubs and sedges have higher belowground input of fresh root litter (Schellekens et al., 2011) and C input of living roots in comparison to mosses (i.e. fresh photosynthates; Zeh et al., 2019). Growth and reproduction of microorganisms are supposed to increase with higher root activity (Bragazza et al., 2015; Ward et al., 2013) which is likely to stimulate peat decomposition. Translating the PFT properties outlined above into consequences for C storage of

Formatiert: Abstand Nach: 12 Pt.

autochthonous peats where inputs of all species are mixed together remains a challenge, particularly if the impact of recently changing vegetation on the previously formed peat is of interest. This challenge calls for a multi-proxy approach (Biester et al., 2014) for determining the impact of the varying properties of PFTs on peat decomposition *in situ*.

55 Various analytical approaches exist to assess peat properties and decomposition, each with its own advantages and drawbacks. Carbon to N ratios have been widely used to evaluate decomposition of peat (Biester et al., 2014; Broder et al., 2012; Kuhry and Vitt, 1996; Limpens and Berendse, 2003; Taylor et al., 1989). The microbial mineralisation of C-rich compounds and the subsequent respiration and emission as CO<sub>2</sub> decreases the abundance of C relative to N (Broder et al., 2012). In combination with a high immobilisation of N by microbial biomass, N will be further enriched in the remaining organic material (Damman, 60 1988). Consequently, C/N ratios are decreasing with increasing decomposition. However, PFTs influence the C/N ratio of peat, too, as their litter differ in C and N contents (Hornibrook et al., 2000).

The stable isotopes <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N and their vertical trends have been often used alongside C/N ratios to reflect changes in decomposition (Biester et al., 2014; Broder et al., 2012; Coolen and Orsi, 2015; Krüger et al., 2014, 2015; Novák et al., 1999). Aerobic peat decomposition leads to an enrichment in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ , due to a preferential use of the lighter isotopes by 65 microorganisms and hence a relative enrichment of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N in the remaining organic matter (Alewell et al., 2011; Bragazza et al., 2010; Bragazza and Iacumin, 2009; Kalbitz et al., 2000; Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988). However, stable isotope patterns are also affected by the water table limiting aerobic decomposition and thus isotopic discrimination in the remaining peat (Krüger et al., 2015) and the plant species forming the litter. Sedge leaves were found to be more enriched in <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N than shrub leaves. The isotopic ratios for living plant parts found in this study are consistent with the ranges reported in previous 70 studies. Sedge leaves were found to vary in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signature between -27.0 to -26.85 ‰ and in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  between -3.96 to -0.9 ‰; reported ranges for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in shrub leaves are -29.2 to -28.83 ‰ and for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  -10.92 to -9.7 ‰ (Biester et al., 2014; Gavazov et al., 2016; Ménot and Burns, 2001; Nordbakken et al., 2003). *Sphagnum* samples were found to vary in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signature between -30.4 and -25.0 ‰ (Bragazza and Iacumin, 2009; Loisel et al., 2009; Preis et al., 2018; Price et al., 1997; Proctor et al., 1992) and in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  signatures between -7.5 and 2.5 ‰ (Asada et al., 2005; Biester et al., 2014; Bragazza et al., 2005; Kohzu et al., 75 2003; Ménot and Burns, 2001; Nordbakken et al., 2003).

Pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (py-GC/MS) is a powerful but labour intensive tool to characterise the composition of peat, and disentangle the effects of source material from decomposition (Abbott et al., 2013; Huang et al., 1998; McClymont et al., 2011; Schellekens et al., 2012, 2015a, 2015b). Plant specific pyrolysis products which have been used to distinguish vascular plants from *Sphagnum* in peat include lignin-phenols from lignin and 4-isopropenylphenol from sphagnum acid, respectively (Van Der Heijden et al., 1997; McClymont et al., 2011; Schellekens et al., 2009, 2015b, 2015c).

In this multi-proxy study, we combined the analytical approaches outlined above to explore the influence of vascular plants on chemical properties and degree of peat decomposition in two moss-dominated peatlands contrasting in temperature. We hypothesized that: i) chemical properties of the moss-dominated peat differ under shrub and sedge coverage, (ii) the decomposition of the moss-dominated peat increases with depth and is higher under sedge than shrub coverage, and iii) increasing temperature is reflected in higher degree of decomposition of the moss-dominated peat, particularly under sedge coverage.

## 2 Material and Methods

### 2.1 Study sites

Two ombrotrophic peatlands at different altitude in the north-eastern Alps of Italy were chosen to simulate a climate warming scenario. The peatland at lower altitude, Lupicino, is located at 1290 m a.s.l. and is characterised by a mean annual temperature (MAT) of 6.3°C and a total annual precipitation of 810 mm. The peatland at higher altitude, Palù Tremole, is located at 1700 m a.s.l. with a MAT of -4.9°C and total annual precipitation of 825 mm. Soil temperature in 10 cm depth between August 2015 and July 2016 was 7.1°C at Lupicino and 5.9°C at Palù Tremole. Lupicino will be referred to as High T site and Palù Tremole as Low T site. The time during which the top 20 cm of the peat was above the water table was determined with water table measurements between August 2015 and July 2016 at three gauges on each site. At the Low T site, the water table remained below 20 cm for 137, 138 and 284 days of the year; at the High T site, this was 117, 360 and 366 days of the year respectively.. Furthermore, in peatlands with similar vegetation cover, situated at 1030 m a.s.l. and 1880 m a.s.l. in Switzerland, the age of peat in 15-20 depth was found to be 40 years and 26 year, respectively (Gavazov et al., 2018), meaning that the potential age difference between the peat sampled at our sites is likely less than 15 years. Vegetation community on both sites is similar and

100 dominated by *Sphagnum spp.*, with contributions from *Calluna vulgaris* and *Eriophorum vaginatum*, representing the three  
PFTs (bryophyte mosses, ericoid shrubs, graminoid sedges). This experimental setup offers a unique opportunity to disentangle  
impacts of shrubs and sedges on properties and decomposition of a moss-dominated peat. Further detail in biotic and climatic  
conditions can be found in Zeh et al. (2019).

**2.2 Sampling and preparation**

105 During the first half of August 2015, we selected 20 hummocks per study site with a closed peat moss cover of at least 95 %,  
an equal proportion of shrub to sedge cover and a total vascular plant cover of  $47 \pm 2$  % at Low T site and  $77 \pm 2$  % at the High  
T site. The hummocks were located in five groups (blocks) of four hummocks, with maximally 5 m between the hummocks  
within a block. On each hummock, we took two peat cores: one directly under *C. vulgaris* (shrub-core) and one under *E.*  
*vaginatum* tussocks (sedge-core), yielding a total of 40 cores per peatland. Peat was defined as all organic bulk material  
110 accumulating underneath the peatlands surface, comprised of a matrix of mostly dead *Sphagnum* material with embedded  
living and dead stems and roots of *C. vulgaris* and *E. vaginatum*. Peat cores were sampled with a custom-made metal peat  
corer with an inside diameter of 5 cm and sampling length of 20 cm. Additionally, photosynthetically active moss tissues from  
the top 2 cm and plant shoots from *E. vaginatum* and *C. vulgaris* were collected from each hummock. Furthermore, six separate  
peat cores with 10 cm diameter (three at each site) were randomly sampled for collection of living roots of shrubs and sedges.  
115 During the field campaign, peat cores were stored at 8°C within PVC tubes to prevent deformation. Afterwards, they were  
frozen to -20°C until further sample preparation.

Of the collected 80 peat cores, the 20 most representative cores were selected using three criteria: i) peat cores with a minimum  
length of 20 cm and without physical damages, ii) one shrub-core and one sedge-core from each block and peatland, and iii)  
peat cores with the smallest deviation from the mean weight of the respective block. From the active moss tissues, samples  
120 were selected corresponding to the chosen peat cores and mixed, if not sampled from the same hummock.

To assess which depth increments are appropriate to characterise changes in peat properties, four cores (one sedge and one  
shrub from each site) were randomly chosen from the selected 20. These cores were cut into depth increments of 1 cm, except  
for the topmost increment, which accounted for 2 cm. Carbon and N concentrations were measured as described in Sect. 2.3.

The results of these analyses indicated changes in C and N concentrations at 2 cm, 5 cm and 12 cm. Considering these results,  
125 the remaining 16 peat cores were cut into four depth increments: 0-2 cm, 2-5 cm, 5-12 cm, 12-20 cm.  
Plant and peat material was freeze-dried and then grinded (Fritsch pulverisette 23) before being analysed.

**2.3 Total carbon and nitrogen concentration and stable isotope analysis (<sup>13</sup>C, <sup>15</sup>N)**

Carbon and N concentration were measured with a Vario El III elemental analyser (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH,  
Langenselbold, Germany), following standard processing techniques. Carbon and N concentrations were calculated based on  
130 total sample weight. The C/N ratio represents the atomic relationship between C and N content of the peat material.  
Isotope analysis was done with vario PYRO CUBE coupled to the visION IRMS (Isoprime, Elementar Analysensysteme  
GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany). Stable C isotope ratios are reported as  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in [‰] relative to the V-PDB standard and  
stable N isotope ratios as  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  in [‰] relative to air.

**2.4 Pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass spectrometry**

135 To identify ~~chemical characteristics-properties~~ of PFTs, peat and peat decomposition, representative plant samples for each  
PFT and one shrub-core and one sedge-core from each peatland were selected to be additionally analysed by py-GC/MS. ~~Peat~~  
~~was defined as any organic material that accumulates underneath the peatlands surface, including living stems of *C. vulgaris*,  
*E. vaginatum* and *Sphagnum* spp.~~ We defined ~~peat~~ decomposition as any changes in properties of the ~~bulk~~ peat relative to its  
source material, e.g. plant material from *C. vulgaris*, *E. vaginatum*, *Sphagnum* spp. directly after deposition.  
140 Plant samples comprised of one root and one shoot sample of shrub and sedge respectively, and one moss tissue sample from  
each peatland. In total ~~40-ten~~ plant samples and four cores were cut into four increments as previously described. They were  
chosen based on the lowest deviation from the mean in C/N,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  analyses. A Pyrolyser EGA/PY-3030D device  
(Frontier Laboratories, Fukushima, Japan) has been used for analysis. The pyrolysis temperature was set at 600°C, held for 10  
s. The pyrolyser was connected with a GC 7890B and MS 5977 (Agilent Technologies, St. Clara, United States). Inlet  
145 temperature of the GC was 250°C (split 50:1). The GC oven had an initial temperature of 45°C (held for 4 min), was than  
heated to 240°C at 4°C min<sup>-1</sup> and afterwards heated to 300°C at 39°C min<sup>-1</sup> (held for 15 min). The GC column, a ZB-5ms

(Zebron, Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, United States), had a length of 30 m, a film thickness 0.25 µm, and a diameter of 0.25 mm. The MS was scanning in the range of 50-600  $m/z$ .

Pyrolysis product identification and peak integration in pyrograms were performed with Masslab. Based on intensity and frequency on the total ion current (TIC), 57 pyrolysis products were selected for quantification in all 26 samples (A1). Quantification was based on the peak area of characteristic fragment ions ( $m/z$ ) for each product (A1). The relative proportion of each product was expressed as a percentage of the total quantified peak area in one sample (TIC: 100 %). The products were grouped according to chemical similarity and their source into: *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes, lignin-derived products, phenols, benzenes and polysaccharides.

## 2.5 Selection of molecular parameters

Based on the results of previous pyrolysis studies from peatlands a number of pyrolytic parameters reflecting plant species and the degree of peat decomposition were extracted (Table 1). A pyrolysis product specific for sphagnum acid (4-isopropenylphenol; Van Der Heijden et al., 1997) has been found to very sensitively reflect aerobic decomposition of *Sphagnum* tissue in *Sphagnum*-dominated peat (Schellekens et al., 2015b). Methoxyphenols are unique to lignin, thereby providing a measure for the contribution from vascular plants in peat dominated by *Sphagnum*, because *Sphagnum* contains no lignin (Abbott et al., 2013; Kracht and Gleixner, 2000; Schellekens et al., 2015c; van Smeerdijk and Boon, 1987). Since both shrubs and sedges contain lignin, additional parameters were included to distinguish between them. Sedges have large contributions from p-coumaric and ferulic acid (Lu and Ralph, 1999) with typical pyrolysis products 4-vinylphenol (Lg1) and 4-vinylguaiacol (Lg4), respectively (Van Der Hage et al., 1993). Because 4-vinylphenol is also abundant in *Sphagnum* tissue (van Smeerdijk and Boon, 1987), the ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the summed guaiacyl products (G) can therefore be used to reflect sedges (Schellekens et al., 2012). The ratio of C<sub>3</sub>-guaiacol to G usually reflects intact lignin in soils but has been found indicative for shrubs in peat (Schellekens et al., 2012, 2015a). *n*-Alkenes and *n*-alkanes (A1) originate from cutan and suberan present in roots and bark (Nierop, 1998; Tegelaar et al., 1995) and leaf waxes (Eglinton and Hamilton, 1967), depending on their chain length, all of which are associated with shrubs in *Sphagnum*-dominated peat (Schellekens and Buurman, 2011; van Smeerdijk and Boon, 1987).



## 2.6 Statistics

All data analysis and visualisation were performed with R, Version 3.6 (R Core Team, 2019). The preliminary four peat cores which were cut into 1 cm increments were integrated in statistical analysis. For this purpose, the means of C [%], N [%], C/N ratio,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  [‰] and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  [‰] were calculated for each depth increment (2-5 cm, 5-12 cm and 12-20 cm). Linear mixed-effects models (LMM) were applied to results of C/N ratio,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  analysis to consider the nested structure of peat cores in blocks using packages “lme4” (Bates et al., 2015). Vascular plant effect (shrub vs. sedge), site (Low T vs. High T) and depth increments were analysed as fixed effect factors, whereby the peat cores nested in blocks were accounted for as random factor with random intercept structure. If the assumptions of normality in the data and their residuals were met (checked with Shapiro-Wilk test, histograms and Q-Q plots), a subsequent analysis of variance type II with a Kenward-Roger approximation of degree of freedom was applied on the linear-mixed models for hypothesis testing using package “lmerTest” (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). Post-hoc test was accomplished with a pairwise Tukey test applying package “emmeans” (Lenth, 2019). Since data and residuals of C/N ratio,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  in shoot materials were not normally distributed, single effects were tested with Wilcoxon test. Due to limited root sample size, hypothesis tests were neglected.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to the py-GC/MS data. The aim was to reduce the data to a set of uncorrelated, meaningful components. Each principal component is determined by the largest variance (or largest remaining variance) of all quantified pyrolysis products and therefore explains a specific percentage of the total variance. They may represent a single effect on peat chemistry, while the abundance of individual pyrolysis products (or other variables) may be influenced by several environmental factors. Scores indicate to which extend each principal component contributes to a sample. Loadings demonstrate which individual pyrolysis products are responsible for the patterns in scores. Prior to PCA, the number of pyrolysis products (variables) was adapted. First, the *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes were pooled to a single variable since these products were large in number (10 and 9, respectively) but in sum only contributed less than 1 % to total abundance. Accordingly, it was avoided that this large set of correlated variables with small abundance was allocated to the first principal component (Schellekens et al., 2017). Second, key molecular parameters (Sect. 2.5, Table 1) were included as variables to check their interpretation within the studied plant samples and peat cores. This resulted in a total number of 41 variables for

195 PCA. To unravel the effects of vascular plants and temperature on peat decomposition in detail, regression analysis and depth records of these molecular parameters are discussed (Sect. 4.1.2, 4.2).

**3 Results**

**3.1 Carbon to nitrogen ratios and isotopic composition of PFTs and peat**

Carbon to N ratios and isotopic composition of plant shoots were different between plant tissues, PFTs and to a lesser extent between sites (Fig. 1). Living plant shoots had consistently lower C/N ratios than plant roots irrespective of site or PFT (Fig. 1a). Sedge shoots had significantly lower C/N ratios ( $p < 0.001$ , Fig. 1a) and were significantly enriched in  $^{13}\text{C}$  ( $p < 0.001$ , Fig. 1b) compared to moss and shrub shoots. Shrub shoots were significantly depleted in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  compared to sedge and moss shoots ( $p < 0.001$ , Fig. 1c). Sedge shoots and moss from the High T site were both significantly depleted in  $^{13}\text{C}$  compared to Low T site (both  $p < 0.01$ , Fig. 1b).

205 Peat C/N ratio and isotopic composition was significantly affected by depth, site and to a lesser extent by PFTs (Fig. 2a, 2b). Carbon to N ratio increased with depth and was higher at the High T site compared to the Low T site (Fig. 2a, Table 2). In the upper 0-2 cm peat layer, C/N ratios and stable isotopes corresponded with values observed for moss (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). Isotopic composition of peat increments was significantly different for depths and PFTs (Table 2).  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of peat increased with depth (Fig. 2b, 2c) and the enrichment in  $^{13}\text{C}$  with depth was stronger for sedge-cores than for shrub-cores (i.e.  $2.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ ‰}$  for sedge-cores and  $1.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ ‰}$  for shrub cores). The largest enrichment in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  between the uppermost and lowest depth increment appeared in sedge-cores at the High T site (Fig. 2b; i.e.,  $3.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ ‰}$ ).

**3.2 Chemical composition of vascular plants and moss-dominated peat analysed by py-GC/MS**

Groups of pyrolysis products are given in Table 3. In the peat samples, polysaccharides (Ps) contributed 56 % - 86 %, and phenols (Ph) 7 % - 30 % to all identified pyrolysis products, while the contribution from lignin-derived products (Lg) was < 15 %. The PCA (Fig. 3) clearly showed differences in the chemical composition of the PFT tissues and peat.

Principal components (PC) 1 to 3 explained 75.6 % and hence the major part of the variance in py-GC/MS data. Plotting the scores of PC1 versus PC2 (Fig. 3a) revealed that plant materials of the PFTs as well as peat from different depths clustered based on their pyrolysis products and thus on chemical composition. PC1 separated both shoot and root samples of shrubs and

sedges with exclusively positive scores from moss samples with exclusively negative scores. Thus, PC1 distinguished vascular plant samples from moss samples. Peat samples were arranged in between reflecting the contribution of both vascular plants and mosses. The pyrolysis products responsible for the separation of PFTs are reflected in the factor loadings. Most phenols, including the parameter for sphagnum acid (4-isopropenylphenol, Ph6), and some polysaccharides (Ps1, 2, 4, 8, 9) had negative loadings on PC1, corresponding to moss samples (Fig. 3b). Lignin-derived products (sum of guaiacyl and syringyl lignin products, GS) had positive loadings and are indicative for shoot and root tissues of vascular plants (Fig. 3b).

Scores of PC2 separate aboveground shoot tissues of the three PFTs and the surface peat (negative scores) from root tissues and the deeper peat increments (positive scores; Fig. 3a); within the peat samples, the deepest increments had the highest positive scores. Levoglucosan (Ps16) and the ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharides (L/Ps) had the largest positive loadings, which indicates its relative enrichment with depth.

PC3 separates samples based on the two vascular plants, i.e. shrubs and sedges (Fig. 3c). Shrub shoot and root samples had exclusively negative scores. In contrast, all sedge shoot and root samples had positive scores. The peat samples clustered in-between (i.e. low scores), but maintained the separation according to vascular plants. Likewise, the parameters selected to reflect the contribution from shrub, i.e. sum of *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al, Table 1) and the ratio of C<sub>3</sub>-guaiacol to the sum of guaiacyl products (C<sub>3</sub>G/G, Table 1) had high negative loading on PC3. The parameter for sedge (the ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the sum of guaiacyl products (4VG/G), Table 1), showed positive loading on PC3 (Fig. 3b). The loadings furthermore suggest that both benzenes and levomannosan (Ps15) were associated to shrub as well, while 2,3-dihydro-5-methylfuran-2-one (Ps3) and guaiacol (Lg2) showed high positive loading and are thus indicative for sedge in this context.

#### 4 Discussion

##### 4.1 Properties of a moss-dominated peat influenced by vascular plants

Testing our hypotheses requires the identification of properties being typical of the different vascular plants. In our study, we were able to apply a set of properties with a different degree of specificity to reveal how shrubs and sedges affect chemical properties of moss-dominated peat.

#### 4.1.1 Different chemical properties of plant materials

Properties as C/N ratios,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of living plant parts showed significant differences between different PFTs (Fig. 1).

C/N ratios of sedge shoots were significantly lower than those of shrubs or mosses which is in line with its higher decomposability (Kaštovská et al., 2018; Limpens and Berendse, 2003).  $^{13}\text{C}$  signature of plant tissue tends to be depleted under warmer and drier conditions (Broder et al., 2012; Gavazov et al., 2016; Skrzypek et al., 2007) explaining the observed  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  depletion of sedge and moss tissues at the High T site compared to Low T site. Shrub shoots were significantly depleted in  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  compared to sedge and moss shoots ( $p < 0.001$ , Fig. 1c). The depletion of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  in living *C. vulgaris* shoots compared to shoots of *E. vaginatum* and *Sphagnum* spp. is likely related to the symbiotic relationship between *C. vulgaris* and ericoid mycorrhizal fungi (Aerts et al., 2009; Bragazza et al., 2010; Emmerton et al., 2001). The transferred N from mycorrhiza to shrubs occurs to be depleted relative to soil N (Gebauer and Dietrich, 1993). Nevertheless, though differences in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  between PFT existed, unknown variables influencing  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  in peat, such as various plant species growing in the peat, N deposition history etc. complicated the use of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  as vegetation proxy.

By applying PCA to pyrolysates of plant and peat samples, we were able to clearly separate mosses from vascular plants and shrubs from sedges. The pyrolysis product specific for *Sphagnum* (4-isopropenylphenol) and the sum of lignin-derived products indicating vascular plants were particularly useful (Fig. 3a, 3b). Furthermore, the ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the summed guaiacyl products (4VG/G) indeed could be used to reflect sedges (Fig. 3c, 3d). Additionally, the ratio of  $\text{C}_3$ -guaiacol to the summed guaiacyl products ( $\text{C}_3\text{G/G}$ ) and the sum of *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al) could be confirmed as parameters indicative for shrubs (Fig. 3c, 3d).

#### 4.1.2 Effects of vascular plants on chemical properties of the moss-dominated peat

The high contribution of polysaccharides and phenols to peat pyrolysates (Table 3) and a strong positive correlation of the marker for sphagnum acid (4-isopropenylphenol) with the summed phenols (adj.  $R^2 = 0.98$ ; Fig. 5a) indicates that the peat is dominated by *Sphagnum* tissue. The close positions of both peat samples and living *Sphagnum* shoots along PC1 visualise the dominance of *Sphagnum* in the peat. Furthermore, the similarity of C/N ratios,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of the uppermost peat increment to those of moss are indicative for moss-dominated peat (Schaub and Alewell, 2009) and has been measured likewise in *Sphagnum* peatlands by Kracht and Gleixner (2000). Thus, *Sphagnum* tissues dominate the peat of our two sites. Nevertheless,

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

py-GC/MS indicates that the moss-dominated peat has a contribution from vascular plants as lignin-derived products contributed up to 15 % to pyrolysates, particularly in the deeper increments under sedge coverage (Fig. 4a). Peat composition under shrubs and sedges is influenced by these species in the studied peat (0-20 cm) as indicated by the molecular parameters for sedge and shrub in the corresponding peat cores (Fig 4b, 4c, 4d). The sedge parameter (i.e. the ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the sum of guaiacols; Table 1) was higher in sedge-core samples than in shrub-core samples (Fig. 4b) illustrating a noticeable contribution from sedges to the peat. The correlation between the sedge parameter and the sum of lignin-derived products (adj.  $R^2 = 0.52$ , Fig. 5c) indicates a strong contribution from sedges on lignin in sedge-cores. The contribution of shrubs to peat composition, as indicated by the suggested shrub parameter (i.e. the sum of *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes; Table 1), seems to be particularly high in the upper three peat increments as this parameter was mostly higher for shrub-core samples than for sedge-core samples in those increments (Fig. 4d). The increasing C/N ratios with depth at both sites could indicate a larger contribution of roots to the moss-dominated peat, since roots had much higher C/N ratios than shoots and moss (Fig. 1, 2a). By using py-GC/MS we could show that the peat was dominated by *Sphagnum*, and had a contribution from vascular plants; furthermore, we could validate the selected parameters described in the literature to reflect the contribution from sedge and shrub at the two sites.

#### 4.2 Decomposition of the moss-dominated peat

Most of the studied parameters changed with depth in the peat cores and might be indicative for the assumed increase in peat decomposition with depth, i.e. with increasing time of exposure to oxygen after peat formation. In all peat cores,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  increased with depth. Since  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  showed a positive correlation (adj.  $R^2 = 0.48$ , Fig. 5b), their increases with depth may be caused by the same processes. The preferential uptake of lighter isotopes ( $^{12}\text{C}$ ,  $^{14}\text{N}$ ) for respiration by aerobic decomposers during decomposition causes a relative enrichment of heavier isotopes ( $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{15}\text{N}$ ) in the remaining organic matter (Krüger et al., 2014, 2015; Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988; Schaub and Alewell, 2009), suggesting that  $^{13}\text{C}$  and  $^{15}\text{N}$  depth trends are due to decomposition. Because  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  trends may also be superimposed by N deposition (Novák et al., 2014) and fractionation processes during N fixation (Novák et al., 2016),  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  seems to be a better indicator for peat decomposition in our study. Nevertheless, also  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  peat records might be superimposed by differences in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  between shoots and roots, plant species, or site specific differences in  $^{13}\text{C}$  discrimination (Sect. 4.1.1). Differences in the C/N ratios did not provide a consistent

picture regarding changes in peat decomposition. We speculate that the observed increasing C/N ratio with depth might reflect an increasing N deposition in the past decades (Galloway et al., 2008) and an increased contribution of roots (high C/N ratios, Fig. 1a) to peat formation with increasing depth (Sect. 4.1.2). N deposition at the High T site were reported to be 8.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Bragazza et al., 2005) and for peatlands in norther Italy between 4.2 and > 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Bragazza et al., 2003, 2005).

In addition to changes in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  reflecting the decomposition of the bulk peat (i.e. cumulative effects on all peat components), we examined changes in compounds being indicative for the decomposition of specific plant tissues, i.e., *Sphagnum*-derived peat (4-isopropenylphenol). -Sphagnum acid and its pyrolysis product 4-isopropenylphenol have been found to very sensitively reflect aerobic decomposition of *Sphagnum* tissue (Abbott et al., 2013; Schellekens et al., 2015b).

The observed decrease of 4-isopropenylphenol with depth occurred in all four peat cores (Fig. 4e) and its negative correlation with  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  (adj.  $R^2=0.27$ , Fig. 5d), confirms the increase in peat decomposition with depth as indicated by  $^{13}\text{C}$ .

The detected increase in polysaccharides with depth (Table 3) likely reflects the relative accumulation of rather resistant polysaccharides of *Sphagnum* cell-walls during aerobic decomposition (Hájek et al., 2011) and the preferential decomposition of *Sphagnum* phenols (Schellekens et al., 2015b). However, the polysaccharide products from the peat samples can have multiple sources, too (e.g. *Sphagnum* cell-walls, or ligno-cellulose from vascular plants; Sarkar et al., 2009). Similarly, the increase with depth of the ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharide-derived products (L/Ps) may reflect the relative preservation of *Sphagnum* polysaccharides during aerobic decomposition (Table 1, Fig. 4f). This is confirmed by a strong negative correlation between this ratio and 4-isopropenylphenol (adj.  $R^2=0.79$ , Fig. 5e), and further by the positive loading of L/Ps on PC2.

#### 4.2.1 Effects of vascular plants on decomposition of moss-dominated peat

We found three indicators that decomposition of moss-dominated peat with depth is boosted by sedge coverage compared to shrub coverage. Increases in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  with depth were higher for sedge-cores than for shrub-cores (Fig. 2b) and the decrease with depth of 4-isopropenylphenol was mostly stronger in sedge-cores than shrub-cores (Fig. 4e). In general, the L/Ps ratio increased with depth (Fig. 4f). Only in the deepest increment of sedge-cores this ratio decreased. This decrease could indicate that decomposition under sedge coverage in the deepest peat increment is so high that even less decomposable polysaccharides

have been decomposed. Because this is not evident from the 4-isopropenylphenol record, it probably reflects a higher contribution of sedge-derived polysaccharides at these depths.

The observed decomposition patterns were detected by a parameter describing the whole peat ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ), but were also reflected by compounds indicative for *Sphagnum* material (4-isopropenylphenol). The latter suggests that (changes in) vascular plant composition may affect the decomposition of the existing *Sphagnum* peat by changing plant-soil feedbacks (Bragazza et al., 2013; Gavazov et al., 2018; Robroek et al., 2015). The observed higher degree of degradation of peat under sedges than under shrubs may be explained by differences in litter quality or root traits. Sedge litter is likely to be more readily decomposable compared to shrub litter, caused by its lower C/N ratios (Fig. 1a; Huang et al., 1998; Kaštovská et al., 2018; Laiho et al., 2003; Limpens and Berendse, 2003). Furthermore, additional oxygenation by the aerenchym of *E. vaginatum* might trigger further decomposition of moss-dominated peat (Armstrong, 1964; Holzapfel-Pschorn et al., 1986; Roura-Carol and Freeman, 1999). This process is particularly relevant at the Low T site, where the uppermost 20 cm of the peat remained water saturated much longer than at the High T site.

#### 4.2.2 Temperature effects on decomposition of the moss-dominated peat – interactions with vascular plant effects

The altitudinal gradient has been used to reveal potential effects of increasing temperature and associated lower water table on peat decomposition by comparing the suggested decomposition parameters (Table 1) between the High T and Low T site. Increases in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  with depth were higher at the High T site than at the Low T site (Fig. 2). Therefore, decomposition of the moss-dominated peat is likely to be increased at the High T site compared to the Low T site independent of the vascular plant species.

~~However, d~~Depth trends of the *Sphagnum*-specific decomposition parameter (4-isopropenylphenol) do not reflect, ~~however,~~ this increased peat decomposition at higher temperatures. 4-Isopropenylphenol decreased less at the High T site compared to the Low T site (Fig. 4e). We might speculate a difference in temperature sensitivity between decomposition of *Sphagnum* and the whole peat, but such a hypothesis needs to be tested by e.g. temperature controlled incubation experiments.

The ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharides (Fig. 4f) did not show consistent trends related to temperature again indicating no temperature enhanced degradation of the moss-dominated peat. Vascular plants and particularly sedges might increasingly contribute to polysaccharides with higher temperatures (see section 4.2.1). These changes from more *Sphagnum*-

derived polysaccharides to more sedge-derived polysaccharides could change decomposition dynamics of polysaccharides, since cell walls constituents from *Sphagnum* were found to be less easily decomposable (Hájek et al., 2011). A higher contribution from sedges could therefore superimpose a potential enrichment of *Sphagnum*-derived polysaccharides with depth. On the other hand, it seems unlikely that the observed depth trends for the *Sphagnum* specific 4-isopropenylphenol has  
345 been affected in a similar way as the less specific ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharides. Given the above, the higher degree of peat decomposition at the High T site picked up by  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  is probably mediated by higher input rates of easily decomposable vascular plant litter, notably sedges, combined with the warmer and drier conditions favouring aerobic decomposition processes (Biester et al., 2014).

This combined effect of sedges and temperature on peat decomposition has implications for the long-term C storage in  
350 *Sphagnum*-dominated peatlands because of projected shifts from sedges to shrubs with climate change (Breeuwer et al., 2009). That change towards less sedges may partly offset temperature driven decomposition processes because of the observed enhancing effect of sedges on peat decomposition. Less sedges (i.e. more shrubs) should result in less peat degradation because (i) the decomposability of available litter (higher contribution of shrub litter) is reduced as its chemical composition indicates less decomposability (Kristensen and McCarty, 1999; Ward et al., 2015), (ii) an increasing presence of shrubs (*C. vulgaris*)  
355 suppresses belowground biota activity and nutrient cycling (Fenner and Freeman, 2011), (iii) *C. vulgaris* associates with mycorrhizal fungi which increase the uptake of organic nutrients leading to an increase of C/N ratio (Read et al., 2004) and thus a decrease in peat decomposition (Ward et al., 2015), (iv) the input of labile C into peat via sedge roots is lower (Crow and Wieder, 2005; Robroek et al., 2015), (v) the transport of oxygen into peat via aerenchym of *E. vaginatum* is lower. On the other hand, Zeh et al. (2019) could show that shrubs translocated more C into the peat at higher temperatures than sedges,  
360 which could result in reinforcing effect on peat decomposition with increasing temperature. Obviously, the enhanced C input by shrubs into peat did not coincide with enhanced decomposition of the moss-dominated peat on these sites.

## 5 Conclusions

The studied plant functional types differed in the chemical composition of their biomass, and therefore in litter quality. Although both peatlands were moss-dominated, the application of several complementary parameters revealed clear influences  
365 from sedge and shrub litter. Combining data obtained by py-GC/MS and isotopic analysis enabled separating the effects of



PFT and temperature on peat decomposition under field conditions. Whereas changes in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  depth records reflected the state of peat degradation, its application to disentangle the effects of source material, decomposition processes, and environmental factors is incomplete. The C/N ratio and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  were not specific enough to represent vascular plant effects on decomposition of the moss-dominated peat. Combining records of molecular parameters and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  indicated that moss-dominated peat was more decomposed under sedge than under shrub coverage, particularly under high temperatures. The most important and also surprising result of our study was that vascular plants had a more pronounced impact on peat decomposition than temperature and associated lower water tables together. Potential  $\text{O}_2$  transport by the aerenchym of sedges did probably not contribute to enhanced peat decomposition at the High T site, as the top 20 cm peat layer sampled remained above the water table, and thus aerated, for most of the year. Considering that climate change can lead to a shift from *Sphagnum* mosses to vascular plants and from sedges to shrubs (Breeuwer et al., 2009), an increase of sedge coverage may enhance the decomposition of *Sphagnum* peat in surface layers at elevated temperature. To what extent this increased decomposition may be partly compensated by growing dominance of shrubs over sedges with climate change deserves further studies in order to link belowground decomposition to aboveground production.

#### Data availability

The underlying py-GCMS data can be accessed via <http://dx.doi.org/10.25532/OPARA-77>. Carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  [‰] and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  [‰] of shoot and root tissues from the three peat forming plants and of peat increments are available via <http://dx.doi.org/10.25532/OPARA-78> and <http://dx.doi.org/10.25532/OPARA-79>, respectively.

#### Author contributions

JL, LB and KK designed the study and MTI, LZ, JL, LB and KK collected the samples and data in the field. MTI and LZ processed the samples and did the analyses. JS contributed to the py-GCMS part of the article, including analysis of the data and editing on the paper. LZ took the lead in preparing the manuscript, with contributions from all co-authors.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Acknowledgement**

390 We thank the Ufficio Ecologia del Paesaggio - Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano and the Servizio Sviluppo Sostenibile e Aree  
Protette - Provincia Autonoma di Trento for access to the Italian sites; Manuela Unger and Gisela Ciesielski for sample  
preparation and analyses of C, N and stable isotopes in the laboratory; Josephine Hillig for carrying out the py-GC/MS  
measurements. For financial support we thank TU Dresden, Wageningen University and University of Ferrara (FAR 2014),  
FAPESP projects 2013/03953-9 and 2016/03337-4. The py-GC/MS system was funded by DFG project INST 269/575-1.

395 **Review statement**

This paper was edited by Sébastien Fontaine and reviewed by Tim Moore and an anonymous referee.

**References**

Abbott, G. D., Swain, E. Y., Muhammad, A. B., Allton, K., Belyea, L. R., Laing, C. G. and Cowie, G. L.: Effect of water-table  
fluctuations on the degradation of Sphagnum phenols in surficial peats, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 106, 177–191,  
400 doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.12.013, 2013.

Aerts, R., Callaghan, T. V., Dorrepaal, E., Van Logtestijn, R. S. P. and Cornelissen, J. H. C.: Seasonal climate manipulations  
result in species-specific changes in leaf nutrient levels and isotopic composition in a sub-arctic bog, *Funct. Ecol.*,  
23(4), 680–688, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01566.x, 2009.

Alewell, C., Giesler, R., Klaminder, J., Leifeld, J. and Rollog, M.: Stable carbon isotopes as indicators for environmental  
change in peatlands, *Biogeosciences*, 8(7), 1769–1778, doi:10.5194/bg-8-1769-2011, 2011.

405 Armstrong, W.: Oxygen diffusion from the roots of some british bog plants, *Nature*, 204, 801–802, 1964.

Asada, T., Warner, B. G. and Aravena, R.: Nitrogen isotope signature variability in plant species from open peatland, *Aquat.*  
*Bot.*, 82(4), 297–307, doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2005.05.005, 2005.

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S.: Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4, *J. Stat. Softw.*, 67(1), 1–48.  
410 doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01, 2015

Berendse, F., Van Breemen, N., Rydin, H., Buttler, A., Heijmans, M., Hoosbeek, M. R., Lee, J. A., Mitchell, E., Saarinen, T.,  
Vasander, H. and Wallen, B.: Raised atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels and increased N deposition cause shifts in plant species

composition and production in Sphagnum bogs, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 7(5), 591–598, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00433.x, 2001.

- 415 Biester, H., Knorr, K. H., Schellekens, J., Basler, A. and Hermanns, Y.-M.: Comparison of different methods to determine the degree of peat decomposition in peat bogs, *Biogeosciences*, 11(10), 2691–2707, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2691-2014, 2014.
- Bragazza, L., Gerdol, R. and Rydin, H.: Effects of mineral and nutrient input on mire bio-geochemistry in two geographical regions, *J. Ecol.*, 91(3), 417–426, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2745.2003.00773.x, 2003.
- 420 Bragazza, L., Limpens, J., Gerdol, R., Grosvernier, P., Hájek, M., Hájek, T., Hajkova, P., Hansen, I., Iacumin, P., Kutnar, L., Rydin, H. and Tahvanainen, T.: Nitrogen concentration and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  signature of ombrotrophic Sphagnum mosses at different N deposition levels in Europe, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 11(1), 106–114, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00886.x, 2005.
- Bragazza, L.: A decade of plant species changes on a mire in the Italian Alps: Vegetation-controlled or climate-driven mechanisms?, *Clim. Change*, 77(3–4), 415–429, doi:10.1007/s10584-005-9034-x, 2006.
- 425 Bragazza, L. and Iacumin, P.: Seasonal variation in carbon isotopic composition of bog plant litter during 3 years of field decomposition, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 46(1), 73–77, doi:10.1007/s00374-009-0406-7, 2009.
- Bragazza, L., Iacumin, P., Siffi, C. and Gerdol, R.: Seasonal variation in nitrogen isotopic composition of bog plant litter during 3 years of field decomposition, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 46(8), 877–881, doi:10.1007/s00374-010-0483-7, 2010.
- 430 Bragazza, L., Parisod, J., Buttler, A. and Bardgett, R. D.: Biogeochemical plant–soil microbe feedback in response to climate warming in peatlands, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 3(3), 273–277, doi:10.1038/nclimate1781, 2013.
- Bragazza, L., Bardgett, R. D., Mitchell, E. A. and Buttler, A.: Linking soil microbial communities to vascular plant abundance along a climate gradient, *New Phytol.*, 205(3), 1175–1182, doi:10.1111/nph.13116, 2015.
- 435 Breeuwer, A., Robroek, B. J. M., Limpens, J., Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Schouten, M. G. C. and Berendse, F.: Decreased summer water table depth affects peatland vegetation, *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 10(4), 330–339, doi:10.1016/j.baae.2008.05.005, 2009.
- Broder, T., Blodau, C., Biester, H. and Knorr, K. H.: Peat decomposition records in three pristine ombrotrophic bogs in southern Patagonia, *Biogeosciences*, 9(4), 1479–1491, doi:10.5194/bg-9-1479-2012, 2012.
- Coolen, M. J. L. and Orsi, W. D.: The transcriptional response of microbial communities in thawing Alaskan permafrost soils, *Front. Microbiol.*, 6(MAR), 1–14, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00197, 2015.
- 440 Coulson, J. C. and Butterfield, J.: An Investigation of the Biotic Factors Determining the Rates of Plant Decomposition on Blanket Bog, *J. Ecol.*, 66(2), 631–650, 1978.
- Crow, S. E. and Wieder, R. K.: Sources of  $\text{CO}_2$  emission from a northern peatland: Root respiration, exudation, and decomposition, *Ecology*, 86(7), 1825–1834, doi:10.1890/04-1575, 2005.
- 445 Damman, A. W. H.: Regulation of Nitrogen Removal and Retention in Sphagnum bogs and Other Peatlands, *Oikos*, 51(3), 291, doi:10.2307/3565310, 1988.

- Eglinton, G. and Hamilton, R. J.: Leaf Epicuticular Waxes, *Science*, 156(3780), 1322–1335, doi:10.1126/science.156.3780.1322, 1967.
- Emmerton, K. S., Callaghan, T. V., Jones, H. E., Leake, J. R., Michelsen, A. and Read, D. J.: Assimilation and isotopic fractionation of nitrogen by mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal subarctic plants, *New Phytol.*, 151(2), 513–524, doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.2001.00179.x, 2001.
- Fenner, N. and Freeman, C.: Drought-induced carbon loss in peatlands, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4(12), 895–900, doi:10.1038/ngeo1323, 2011.
- Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erismann, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P. and Sutton, M. A.: Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle: Recent Trends, Questions, and Potential Solutions, *Science* (80-. ), 320(5878), 889–892, doi:10.1126/science.1136674, 2008.
- Gavazov, K., Hagedorn, F., Buttler, A., Siegwolf, R. and Bragazza, L.: Environmental drivers of carbon and nitrogen isotopic signatures in peatland vascular plants along an altitude gradient, *Oecologia*, 180(1), 257–264, doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3458-4, 2016.
- Gavazov, K., Albrecht, R. J. H., Buttler, A., Dorrepaal, E., Garnett, M. H., Gogo, S., Hagedorn, F., Mills, R. T. E., Robroek, B. J. M. and Bragazza, L.: Vascular plant-mediated controls on atmospheric carbon assimilation and peat carbon decomposition under climate change, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 24(9), doi:10.1111/gcb.14140, 2018.
- Gebauer, G. and Dietrich, P.: Nitrogen Isotope Ratios in Different Compartments of a Mixed Stand of Spruce, Larch and Beech Trees and of Understorey Vegetation Including Fungi, *Isot. Environ. Heal. Stud.*, 29(1–2), 35–44, doi:10.1080/10256019308046133, 1993.
- Gerdol, R., Siffi, C. and Bombonato, L.: Aboveground production and nutrient status of the vegetation of different mire types in the South-eastern Alps (Italy), *Bot. Helv.*, 120(2), 85–93, doi:10.1007/s00035-010-0077-x, 2010.
- Van Der Hage, E. R. E., Mulder, M. M. and Boon, J. J.: Structural characterization of lignin polymers by temperature-resolved in-source pyrolysis-mass spectrometry and Curie-point pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 25(C), 149–183, doi:10.1016/0165-2370(93)80038-2, 1993.
- Hájek, T., Ballance, S., Limpens, J., Zijlstra, M. and Verhoeven, J. T. A.: Cell-wall polysaccharides play an important role in decay resistance of Sphagnum and actively depressed decomposition in vitro, *Biogeochemistry*, 103(1–3), 45–57, doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9444-3, 2011.
- Van Der Heijden, E., Boon, J. J., Rasmussen, S. and Rudolph, H.: Sphagnum acid and its decarboxylation product isopropenylphenol as biomarkers for fossilised Sphagnum in peats, *Anc. Biomol.*, 1(2), 93–107, 1997.
- Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Mauquoy, D., van Geel, B. and Berendse, F.: Long-term effects of climate change on vegetation and carbon dynamics in peat bogs, *J. Veg. Sci.*, 19(3), 307–320, doi:10.3170/2008-8-18368, 2008.
- Holzappel-Pschorn, A., Conrad, R. and Seiler, W.: Effects of vegetation on the emission of methane from submerged paddy soil, *Plant Soil*, 92, 223–233, 1986.

- 480 Hornibrook, E. R. C., Longstaffe, F. J., Fyfe, W. S. and Bloom, Y.: Carbon-isotope ratios and carbon, nitrogen and sulfur abundances in flora and soil organic matter from a temperate-zone bog and marsh, *Geochem. J.*, 34(3), 237–245, doi:10.2343/geochemj.34.237, 2000.
- Huang, Y., Stankiewicz, B. A., Eglinton, G., Snape, C. E., Evans, B., Latter, P. M. and Ineson, P.: monitoring Biomacromolecular degradation of *Calluna Vulgaris* in a 23year field experiment using solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR and  
485 pyrolysis-GC/MS, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 30(12), 1517–1528, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00234-4, 1998.
- Kalbitz, K., Geyer, S. and Gehre, M.: Land use impacts on the isotopic signature ( $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{14}\text{C}$ ,  $^{15}\text{N}$ ) of water-soluble fulvic acids in a German fen area, *Soil Sci.*, 165(9), 728–736, doi:10.1097/00010694-200009000-00006, 2000.
- Karhu, K., Auffret, M. D., Dungait, J. a J., Hopkins, D. W., Prosser, J. I., Singh, B. K., Subke, J. A., Wookey, P. a, Agren, G. I., Sebastià, M.-T., Gouriveau, F., Bergkvist, G., Meir, P., Nottingham, A. T., Salinas, N. and Hartley, I. P.:  
490 Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration rates enhanced by microbial community response., *Nature*, 513(7516), 81–84, doi:10.1038/nature13604, 2014.
- Kaštovská, E., Straková, P., Edwards, K., Urbanová, Z., Bárta, J., Mastný, J., Šantrůčková, H. and Pícek, T.: Cotton-Grass and Blueberry have Opposite Effect on Peat Characteristics and Nutrient Transformation in Peatland, *Ecosystems*, 21(3), 443–458, doi:10.1007/s10021-017-0159-3, 2018.
- 495 Kohzu, A., Matsui, K., Yamada, T., Sugimoto, A. and Fujita, N.: Significance of rooting depth in mire plants: Evidence from natural  $^{15}\text{N}$  abundance, *Ecol. Res.*, 18(3), 257–266, doi:10.1046/j.1440-1703.2003.00552.x, 2003.
- Kracht, O. and Gleixner, G.: Isotope analysis of pyrolysis products from *Sphagnum* peat and dissolved organic matter from bog water, *Org. Geochem.*, 31(7–8), 645–654, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00041-3, 2000.
- Kristensen, H. L. and McCarty, G. W.: Mineralization and immobilization of nitrogen in heath soil under intact *Calluna*, after  
500 heather beetle infestation and nitrogen fertilization, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 13(3), 187–198, doi:10.1016/S0929-1393(99)00036-0, 1999.
- Krüger, J. P., Leifeld, J. and Alewell, C.: Degradation changes stable carbon isotope depth profiles in peatlands, *Biogeosciences*, 11(12), 3369–3380, doi:10.5194/bg-11-3369-2014, 2014.
- Krüger, J. P., Leifeld, J., Glatzel, S., Szidat, S. and Alewell, C.: Biogeochemical indicators of peatland degradation - A case  
505 study of a temperate bog in northern Germany, *Biogeosciences*, 12(10), 2861–2871, doi:10.5194/bg-12-2861-2015, 2015.
- Kuhry, P. and Vitt, D. H.: Fossil Carbon/Nitrogen Ratios as a Measure of Peat Decomposition, *Ecology*, 77(1), 271–275, doi:10.2307/2265676, 1996.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., Christensen, R. H. B.: lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models, *J. Stat. Softw.*, 82(13), 1-26. doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13, 2017  
510
- Laiho, R., Vasander, H., Penttilä, T. and Laine, J.: Dynamics of plant-mediated organic matter and nutrient cycling following water-level drawdown in boreal peatlands, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 17(2), 22/1-22/11, doi:10.1029/2002GB002015, 2003.

- Lenth, R.: emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package version 1.3.4., <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans>, 2019
- 515 Limpens, J. and Berendse, F.: How litter quality affects mass loss and N loss from decomposing Sphagnum, *Oikos*, 103(3), 537–547, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12707.x, 2003.
- Lu, F. and Ralph, J.: Detection and Determination of p -Coumaroylated Units in Lignins, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 47(5), 1988–1992, doi:10.1021/jf981140j, 1999.
- 520 Malmer, N., Svensson, B. M. and Wallén, B.: Interactions between Sphagnum mosses and field layer vascular plants in the development of peat-forming systems, *Folia Geobot. Phytotaxon.*, 29(4), 483–496, doi:10.1007/BF02883146, 1994.
- McClymont, E. L., Bingham, E. M., Nott, C. J., Chambers, F. M., Pancost, R. D. and Evershed, R. P.: Pyrolysis GC-MS as a rapid screening tool for determination of peat-forming plant composition in cores from ombrotrophic peat, *Org. Geochem.*, 42(11), 1420–1435, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.07.004, 2011.
- 525 Ménot, G. and Burns, S. J.: Carbon isotopes in ombrogenic peat bog plants as climatic indicators: Calibration from an altitudinal transect in Switzerland, *Org. Geochem.*, 32(2), 233–245, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00170-4, 2001.
- Nadelhoffer, K. J. and Fry, B.: Controls on natural nitrogen-15 and carbon-13 abundances in forest soil organic matter, *Soil Sci. Am. J.*, 52(6), 1633–1640, doi:10.2136/sssaj1988.03615995005200060024x, 1988.
- Nierop, K. G. J.: Origin of aliphatic compounds in a forest soil, *Org. Geochem.*, 29(4), 1009–1016, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(98)00165-X, 1998.
- 530 Nordbakken, J. F., Ohlson, M. and Högberg, P.: Boreal bog plants: nitrogen sources and uptake of recently deposited nitrogen, *Environ. Pollut.*, 126(2), 191–200, doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(03)00194-5, 2003.
- Novák, M., Buzek, F. and Adamová, M.: Vertical trends in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  ratios in bulk Sphagnum peat, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 31(9), 1343–1346, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00040-1, 1999.
- 535 Novák, M., Stepanova, M., Jackova, I., Vile, M. A., Wieder, R. K., Buzek, F., Adamova, M., Erbanova, L., Fottova, D. and Komarek, A.: Isotopic evidence for nitrogen mobility in peat bogs, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 133, 351–361, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.021, 2014.
- Novák, M., Jackova, I., Curik, J., Stepanova, M., Veselovsky, F., Buzek, F., Vile, M. A., Bufkova, I., Valkova, I., Adamova, M., Bohdalkova, L. and Komarek, A.: Contrasting  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  Values of Atmospheric Deposition and Sphagnum Peat Bogs: N Fixation as a Possible Cause, *Ecosystems*, 19(6), 1037–1050, doi:10.1007/s10021-016-9985-y, 2016.
- 540 Parish, F., Sirin, A., Charman, D., Joosten, H., Minayeva, T., Silvius, M. and Stringer, L., Eds.: Assessment on Peatlands, Biodiversity and Climate change: Main Report, Global Environment Centre, Kuala Lumpur and Wetlands International, Wageningen, 2008.
- Preis, Y. I., Simonova, G. V., Voropay, N. N. and Dyukarev, E. A.: Estimation of the influence of hydrothermal conditions on the carbon isotope composition in Sphagnum mosses of bogs of Western Siberia, *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, 211, 012031, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/211/1/012031, 2018.
- 545

- Price, G. D., McKenzie, J. E., Pilcher, J. R. and Hoper, S. T.: Carbon-isotope variation in Sphagnum from hummock-hollow complexes: Implications for Holocene climate reconstruction, *Holocene*, 7(2), 229–233, doi:10.1177/095968369700700211, 1997.
- 550 Proctor, M. C. F., Raven, J. A. and Rice, S. K.: Stable carbon isotope discrimination measurements in Sphagnum and other bryophytes: physiological and ecological implications, *J. Bryol.*, 17(2), 193–202, doi:10.1179/jbr.1992.17.2.193, 1992.
- R Core Team.: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL:https://www.R-project.org/, 2019
- 555 Read, D. J., Leake, J. R. and Perez-Moreno, J.: Mycorrhizal fungi as drivers of ecosystem processes in heathland and boreal forest biomes, *Can. J. Bot.*, 82(8), 1243–1263, doi:10.1139/B04-123, 2004.
- Robroek, B. J. M., Albrecht, R. J. H., Hamard, S., Pulgarin, A., Bragazza, L., Buttler, A. and Jassey, V. E. J.: Peatland vascular plant functional types affect dissolved organic matter chemistry, *Plant Soil*, 103(4), doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2710-3, 2015.
- 560 Roura-Carol, M. and Freeman, C.: Methane release from peat soils: Effects of Sphagnum and Juncus, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 31(2), 323–325, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00125-4, 1999.
- Sarkar, P., Bosneaga, E. and Auer, M.: Plant cell walls throughout evolution: towards a molecular understanding of their design principles, *J. Exp. Bot.*, 60(13), 3615–3635, doi:10.1093/jxb/erp245, 2009.
- Schaub, M. and Alewell, C.: Stable carbon isotopes as an indicator for soil degradation in an alpine environment (Urseren Valley, Switzerland), *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 23(10), 1499–1507, doi:10.1002/rcm.4030, 2009.
- 565 Schellekens, J. and Buurman, P.: N-Alkane distributions as palaeoclimatic proxies in ombrotrophic peat: The role of decomposition and dominant vegetation, *Geoderma*, 164(3–4), 112–121, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.05.012, 2011.
- Schellekens, J., Buurman, P. and Pontevedra-Pombal, X.: Selecting parameters for the environmental interpretation of peat molecular chemistry - A pyrolysis-GC/MS study, *Org. Geochem.*, 40(6), 678–691, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.03.006, 2009.
- 570 Schellekens, J., Buurman, P., Fraga, I. and Martínez-Cortizas, A.: Holocene vegetation and hydrologic changes inferred from molecular vegetation markers in peat, Penido Vello (Galicia, Spain), *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 299(1–2), 56–69, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2010.10.034, 2011.
- Schellekens, J., Buurman, P. and Kuyper, T. W.: Source and transformations of lignin in Carex-dominated peat, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 53, 32–42, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.030, 2012.
- 575 Schellekens, J., Buurman, P., Kuyper, T. W., Abbott, G. D., Pontevedra-Pombal, X. and Martínez-Cortizas, A.: Influence of source vegetation and redox conditions on lignin-based decomposition proxies in graminoid-dominated ombrotrophic peat (Penido Vello, NW Spain), *Geoderma*, 237–238, 270–282, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.09.012, 2015a.
- Schellekens, J., Bindler, R., Martínez-Cortizas, A., McClymont, E. L., Abbott, G. D., Biester, H., Pontevedra-Pombal, X. and Buurman, P.: Preferential degradation of polyphenols from Sphagnum – 4-Isopropenylphenol as a proxy for past
- 580

hydrological conditions in Sphagnum-dominated peat, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 150, 74–89, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.12.003, 2015b.

Schellekens, J., Bradley, J. a., Kuyper, T. W., Fraga, I., Pontevedra-Pombal, X., Vidal-Torrado, P., Abbott, G. D. and Buurman, P.: The use of plant-specific pyrolysis products as biomarkers in peat deposits, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 123, 254–264, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.06.028, 2015c.

Schellekens, J., Buurman, P., Kalbitz, K., Zomerem, A. Van, Vidal-Torrado, P., Cerli, C. and Comans, R. N. J.: Molecular Features of Humic Acids and Fulvic Acids from Contrasting Environments, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51(3), 1330–1339, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b03925, 2017.

Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A., Kleber, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P., Weiner, S. and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property, *Nature*, 478(7367), 49–56, doi:10.1038/nature10386, 2011.

Skrzypek, G., Kałużny, A., Wojtuś, B. and Jędrysek, M.-O.: The carbon stable isotopic composition of mosses: a record of temperature variation, *Org. Geochem.*, 38(10), 1770–1781, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2007.05.002, 2007.

Van Smeerdijk, D. G. and Boon, J. J.: Characterisation of subfossil Sphagnum leaves, rootlets of ericaceae and their peat by pyrolysis-high-resolution gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 11(C), 377–402, doi:10.1016/0165-2370(87)85043-X, 1987.

Taylor, B. R., Parkinson, D. and Parsons, W. F. J.: Nitrogen and lignin content as predictors of litter decay rates: a microcosm test, *Ecology*, 70(1), 97–104, doi:10.2307/1938416, 1989.

Tegelaar, E. W., Hollman, G., Van Der Vegt, P., De Leeuw, J. W. and Holloway, P. J.: Chemical characterization of the periderm tissue of some angiosperm species: recognition of an insoluble, non-hydrolyzable, aliphatic biomacromolecule (Suberan), *Org. Geochem.*, 23(3), 239–251, doi:10.1016/0146-6380(94)00123-I, 1995.

Turetsky, M. R., Crow, S. E., Evans, R. J., Vitt, D. H. and Wieder, R. K.: Trade-offs in resource allocation among moss species control decomposition in boreal peatlands, *J. Ecol.*, 96(6), 1297–1305, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01438.x, 2008.

Verhoeven, J. T. A. and Toth, E.: Decomposition of Carex and Sphagnum litter in fens: Effect of litter quality and inhibition by living tissue homogenates, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 27(3), 271–275, doi:10.1016/0038-0717(94)00183-2, 1995.


Ward, S. E., Ostle, N. J., Oakley, S., Quirk, H., Henrys, P. A. and Bardgett, R. D.: Warming effects on greenhouse gas fluxes in peatlands are modulated by vegetation composition, *Ecol. Lett.*, 16(10), 1285–1293, doi:10.1111/ele.12167, 2013.

Ward, S. E., Orwin, K. H., Ostle, N. J., Briones, M. J. I., Thomson, B. C., Griffiths, R. I., Oakley, S., Quirk, H. and Bardgett, R. D.: Vegetation exerts a greater control on litter decomposition than climate warming in peatlands, *Ecology*, 96(1), 113–123, doi:10.1890/14-0292.1, 2015.


Zeh, L., Limpens, J., Erhagen, B., Bragazza, L. and Kalbitz, K.: Plant functional types and temperature control carbon input via roots in peatland soils, *Plant Soil*, 438(1–2), 19–38, doi:10.1007/s11104-019-03958-6, 2019.




Zhang, X., Wang, X., Finnegan, P. M., Tan, W. and Mao, R.: Effects of litter mixtures on aerobic decomposition rate and its temperature sensitivity in a boreal peatland, *Geoderma*, 354(1101), 113890, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.113890, 2019.




**Figure 1:** Carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  [‰] and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  [‰] of shoot and root tissues from three plant functional types (PFTs; i.e. mosses, shrubs and sedges) and from two peatlands differing in temperature (high and low temperature indicated by High T and Low T, respectively). Symbols for shoots represent mean values with standard error ( $n = 5$ ), whereas symbols for roots give means of two or a single value (High T sedge root).




**Figure 2:** Carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  [‰] and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  [‰] of peat core increments from two peatlands differing in temperature (high and low temperature indicated by High T and Low T, respectively) and covered by two different vascular plants (shrub and sedge). Symbols represent mean values with standard error ( $n = 5$ ).



**Figure 3: Results of the principal component analysis (PCA) of the pyrolysis data to reveal molecular composition of peat and peat forming plants. PC1 to PC2 projections of scores (a) and loadings (b), and PC1 to PC3 projections of scores (c) and loadings (d). Plant samples are labelled by site (H = high temperature; L = low temperature) and plant tissue (shoot, root). Peat samples are named by site and vascular plants (Se = Sedge-peat; Sh = Shrub-peat). The four depth increments are distinguished by symbols. Pyrolysis products correspond to codes that are given in (A1). Abbreviations are according to chemical group: benzenes (Be), lignin products (Lg), phenols (Ph) and carbohydrates (Ps). Additional variables are included into PCA, namely summed *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al), 4-vinylguaiaicol to the summed guaiacyl products (4VG/G), C<sub>3</sub>-guaiaicol to the summed guaiacyl products (C<sub>3</sub>G/G), sum of guaiacyl and syringyl lignin products (GS), levoglucosan to summed polysaccharides (L/Ps).**



**Figure 4: Parameters that reflect vascular plant input in moss-dominated peat (a-d) and decomposition of the moss-dominated peat (e, f) in peat core increments from both sites and given peat cores. Data derived from pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass-spectrometry**



**Figure 5: Regression plots between parameters describing decomposition of the moss-dominated peat and the impact of vascular plants on peat properties.**

645

650

655

Table 1: Overview of all parameters used in this study to determine impacts of plant functional types (PFT) on peat properties and decomposition of the moss-dominated peat (for abbreviations of the parameter see legend of Fig. 3).

Parameter	Unit	Indication	Interpretation in <i>Sphagnum</i> -dominated peat
C/N	-	preferential decomposition of C over N	aerobic decomposition
$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	[‰]	preferential decomposition of $^{13}\text{C}$ over $^{12}\text{C}$ isotope	aerobic decomposition
$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	[‰]	preferential decomposition of $^{15}\text{N}$ over $^{14}\text{N}$ isotope	aerobic decomposition
sum of G and S lignin products	[% TIC <sup>a</sup> ]	lignin	vascular plants (van Smeedijk and Boon, 1987)
sum of <i>n</i> -alkenes and <i>n</i> -alkanes	[% TIC <sup>a</sup> ]	cutan, suberan, leaf waxes	ericoid shrubs (Schellekens and Buurman, 2011; van Smeedijk and Boon, 1987)
C <sub>6</sub> G/G	-	intact lignin	ericoid shrubs (Schellekens et al., 2012)
4v/G/G	-	ferulic acid	sedges (van Smeedijk and Boon, 1987; Schellekens et al., 2012)
4-isopropenylphenol (PI6)	[% TIC <sup>a</sup> ]	sphagnum acid	aerobic decomposition of <i>Sphagnum</i> tissues (preferential loss of polyphenols over polysaccharides; Schellekens et al., 2015b)
leoglucosan/sum of polysaccharides	-	cellulose	aerobic decomposition of <i>Sphagnum</i> tissues (preservation of <i>Sphagnum</i> polysaccharides; Schellekens et al., 2015b) <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> total ion current

<sup>b</sup> interpretation refers to relatively low values

Table 2: Type II analysis of variance with Kenward-Roger's approximation of degree of freedoms applied on carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of peat cores ( $n = 5$ ).

	C/N			$\delta^{13}\text{C}$			$\delta^{15}\text{N}$		
	DF	F-test	$p$	DF	F-test	$p$	DF	F-test	$p$
Vascular plants (VP)	1	0.15	0.69	1	27.05	< 0.001	1	25.79	< 0.001
Site	1	19.04	0.002	1	3.17	0.11	1	1.62	0.24
Depth	3	12.75	< 0.001	3	24.21	< 0.001	3	28.46	< 0.001
VP:Site	1	1.51	0.22	1	1.80	0.18	1	0.01	0.94
VP:Depth	3	0.97	0.41	3	1.90	0.13	3	0.94	0.43
Site:Depth	3	2.40	0.07	3	2.07	0.11	3	0.51	0.68
Site:Depth:VP	3	0.12	0.94	3	0.32	0.81	3	1.26	0.29

665

670

675

680

685

**Table 3: Groups of all identified pyrolysis products for all samples: *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al), benzenes (Be), lignin products (Lg), phenols (Ph) and polysaccharides (Ps). The contribution of the single products in groups to total ion current were cumulated for each of the respective group.**

Sample	PFT	Site	Al	Be	Lg	Ph	Ps
Plant tissue							
Shoot	Sedge	Low T	0.78	2.31	46.57	7.63	42.70
Shoot	Sedge	High T	1.11	4.87	48.89	15.42	29.71
Shoot	Shrub	Low T	3.98	6.63	26.40	21.87	41.12
Shoot	Shrub	High T	5.41	8.72	30.09	19.15	36.63
Shoot	Moss	Low T	1.13	2.83	6.25	25.37	64.42
Shoot	Moss	High T	1.02	3.37	8.76	33.39	53.46
Root	Sedge	Low T	0.77	1.85	32.23	5.50	59.65
Root	Sedge	High T	0.85	1.28	26.57	14.09	57.21
Root	Shrub	Low T	3.53	3.26	23.42	8.90	60.89
Root	Shrub	High T	2.19	1.97	24.71	7.35	63.78
Peat							
00-02 cm	Sedge	Low T	1.25	3.49	9.18	30.18	55.89
00-02 cm	Sedge	High T	0.73	2.63	14.98	24.04	57.62
00-02 cm	Shrub	Low T	2.52	5.64	13.65	29.06	49.13
00-02 cm	Shrub	High T	1.44	2.86	8.77	22.03	64.90
02-05 cm	Sedge	Low T	1.25	2.28	8.99	17.46	70.02
02-05 cm	Sedge	High T	0.91	1.89	18.10	12.46	66.65
02-05 cm	Shrub	Low T	1.04	1.94	4.60	10.03	82.39
02-05 cm	Shrub	High T	1.68	2.31	9.45	16.86	69.70
05-12 cm	Sedge	High T	1.05	1.63	21.69	8.11	67.52
05-12 cm	Sedge	Low T	1.37	2.00	12.27	8.03	76.33
05-12 cm	Shrub	Low T	2.35	3.07	12.72	20.62	61.24
05-12 cm	Shrub	High T	1.36	2.34	7.87	12.51	75.91
12-20 cm	Sedge	Low T	0.73	1.15	11.31	7.35	79.46
12-20 cm	Sedge	High T	0.48	0.85	20.23	6.52	71.92
12-20 cm	Shrub	Low T	0.95	1.59	2.65	8.28	86.53
12-20 cm	Shrub	High T	0.99	1.54	8.19	9.70	79.58

690

695 (A1) Quantified pyrolysis products, their codes, retention time (RT), and specific ion fragments (*m/z*) used for quantification.

Code	Pyrolysis product	<i>m/z</i>	RT <sup>c</sup>
-	C <sub>19</sub> -C <sub>28</sub> <i>n</i> -alkenes	55, 69	-
-	C <sub>19</sub> -C <sub>29</sub> <i>n</i> -alkanes	57, 71	-
Be1	benzene	78	2.365
Be2	toluene	91, 92	3.86
Lg1	4-vinylphenol	91, 120	20.117
Lg2	guaiacol	109, 124	15.232
Lg3	4-methylguaiacol	123, 138	19.092
Lg4	4-vinylguaiacol	135, 150	23.314
Lg5	C <sub>3</sub> guaiacol, <i>trans</i>	164	27.73
Lg6	4-acetylguaiacol	151, 166	28.9
Lg7	syringol	139, 154	24.621
Lg8	4-methylsyringol	153, 168	27.687
Lg9	4-vinylsyringol	165, 180	31.239
Lg10	C <sub>3</sub> syringol, <i>trans</i>	194	35.049
Lg11	4-acetylsyringol	181, 196	35.987
Ph1	phenol	66, 94	11.216
Ph2	C <sub>1</sub> phenol	107, 108	14.031
Ph3	C <sub>1</sub> phenol	107, 108	14.819
Ph4	C <sub>2</sub> phenol	107, 122	18.241
Ph5	catechol	110	19.536
Ph6	4-isopropenylphenol	119, 134	23.039
Ph7	<i>p</i> -hydroxybiphenyl	170	35.33
Ps1	(2 <i>H</i> )furan-3-one	54, 84	4.566
Ps2	2-furaldehyde	95, 96	5.605
Ps3	2,3-dihydro-5-methylfuran-2-one	98	8.826
Ps4	unidentified carbohydrate	55, 86	10.29
Ps5	5-methyl-2-furaldehyde	109, 110	10.328
Ps6	4-hydroxy-5,6-dihydro-(2 <i>H</i> )-pyran-2-one	114	11.648
Ps7	2-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one	55, 112	12.861
Ps8	dianhydrorhamnose	113, 128	13.324
Ps9	unidentified carbohydrate	128, 72	15.057
Ps10	unidentified carbohydrate	56, 114	16.52
Ps11	1,4:3,6-dianhydro- $\alpha$ -D-glucose	69, 57	19.617
Ps12	1,4-Anhydroxylofuranose	57	21.644
Ps13	1,4-Dideoxy-D-glycero-hex-1-enopyranose-3-ulose	87, 144	22.882
Ps14	levogalactosan	60	25.359
Ps15	levomannosan	60	27.862
Ps16	levoglucosan	60	30.045