



Vascular plants affect properties and decomposition of moss-dominated peat, particularly at elevated temperatures

Lilli Zeh¹, Theresa Marie Igel¹, Judith Schellekens², Juul Limpens³, Luca Bragazza⁴, Karsten Kalbitz¹

¹ Soil Resources and Land Use, Institute of Soil Science and Site Ecology, Technische Universität Dresden, Piennner Str. 19, 01737 Tharandt, Germany

² Departamento de Ciência do Solo, Escola Superior de Agricultura “Luiz de Queiroz” – ESALQ/USP, Av. Pádua Dias 11, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil

³ Plant Ecology and Nature Conservation, Wageningen University, Droevendaalse steeg 3a, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands

⁴ Agroscope, Plant Production Systems, Route de Duillier 50, P.O. Box 1012, 1260 Nyon, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Lilli Zeh (lilli.zeh@tu-dresden.de)

Abstract. Peatlands, storing significant amounts of carbon are extremely vulnerable to climate change. The effects of climate change are projected to lead to a vegetation shift from *Sphagnum* mosses to sedges and shrubs. Impacts on the present moss-dominated peat remain largely unknown. In this study, we used a multi proxy approach to investigate the influence of contrasting vascular plant types (sedges, shrubs) on peat chemistry and decomposition. Peat cores of 20 cm depth and plant material (*Sphagnum* spp., *Calluna vulgaris*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*) from two ombrotrophic peatlands in the Italian Alps with a mean annual temperature difference of 1.4 °C were analysed. Peat cores were taken under adjacent shrub and sedge plants growing at the same height above the water table. We used carbon, nitrogen and their stable isotopes to assess general patterns in the degree of decomposition across sampling locations and depths. In addition, analytical pyrolysis was applied to disentangle effects of vascular plants (sedge, shrub) on chemical properties and decomposition of the moss-dominated peat. Pyrolysis data confirmed that *Sphagnum* moss dominated the present peat irrespectively of depth. Nevertheless, vascular plants contributed to peat properties as revealed by e.g. pyrolysis products of lignin. The degree of peat decomposition increased with depth as shown by e.g. decreasing amounts of the pyrolysis product of sphagnum acid and increasing $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ with depth. Multiple parameters also revealed a higher degree of decomposition of *Sphagnum*-dominated peat collected under sedges than under shrubs, particularly at the high temperature site. Surprisingly, temperature effects on peat decomposition were less pronounced than those of sedges. Our results imply that vascular plants affect the decomposition of the existing peat formed by *Sphagnum*,



particularly at elevated temperature. These results suggest that changes in plant functional types may have a stronger impact on the soil carbon feedback in a warmer world than hitherto assumed.

30 1 Introduction

Peatland soils store about 550 Gt of carbon (C), which equals one third of all soil organic C while they only cover 3 % of the world's land area (Parish et al., 2008). In contrast to mineral soils, C sequestration in peatlands is not controlled by stabilisation processes related to soil minerals (Schmidt et al., 2011), but is environmentally constrained by low temperatures and prevalent anoxic conditions (high water tables). Climate change is expected to partly lift these environmental constraints on microbial decomposition (Karhu et al., 2014), threatening to release stored organic C as CO₂ to the atmosphere. Alterations in the environment will also initiate shifts in vegetation composition, generally favouring vascular plants (sedge, shrub) over *Sphagnum* (moss) (Berendse et al., 2001; Breeuwer et al., 2009; Heijmans et al., 2008; Malmer et al., 1994). A systematic change in composition of plant functional types (PFTs) towards vascular plants has a yet unknown potential to accelerate C losses from the peat originally dominated by mosses via changing plant-soil feedbacks (Bragazza et al., 2013; Gavazov et al., 2018; Robroek et al., 2015).

Vascular plants have a higher biomass production (Hobbie and Chapin, 1998) and consequently relatively higher litter inputs than mosses (Elmendorf et al., 2012). In addition to litter quantity, the chemical composition differs between PFTs with considerable consequences on decomposition dynamics. *Sphagnum* litter tends to decompose slower than vascular plant litter (Bragazza, 2006; Coulson and Butterfield, 1978; Verhoeven and Toth, 1995; Zhang et al., 2019) due to high carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratio and decay-inhibiting structural carbohydrates (Coulson and Butterfield, 1978; Hájek et al., 2011; Schellekens et al., 2015b; Turetsky et al., 2008). Within vascular plants, shrub litter differentiates from sedge litter by higher C/N ratio and lower decay rate (Huang et al., 1998; Kaštovská et al., 2018; Laiho et al., 2003; Limpens and Berendse, 2003). Analog to biomass production, shrubs and sedges have higher belowground input of fresh root litter (Schellekens et al., 2011) and C input of living roots in comparison to mosses (i.e. fresh photosynthates; Zeh et al., 2019). Growth and reproduction of microorganisms are supposed to increase with higher root activity (Bragazza et al., 2015; Ward et al., 2013) which is likely to stimulate peat decomposition. Translating the PFT properties outlined above into consequences for C storage of autochthonous



peats where inputs of all species are mixed together remains a challenge, particularly if the impact of recently changing vegetation on the previously formed peat is of interest. This challenge calls for a multi-proxy approach (Biester et al., 2014) for determining the impact of the varying properties of PFTs on peat decomposition *in situ*.

55 Various analytical approaches exist to assess peat properties and decomposition, each with its own advantages and drawbacks. Carbon to N ratios have been widely used to evaluate decomposition of peat (Biester et al., 2014; Broder et al., 2012; Kuhry and Vitt, 1996; Limpens and Berendse, 2003; Taylor et al., 1989). The microbial mineralisation of C-rich compounds and the subsequent respiration and emission as CO₂ decreases the abundance of C relative to N (Broder et al., 2012). In combination with a high immobilisation of N by microbial biomass, N will be further enriched in the remaining organic material (Damman, 60 1988). Consequently, C/N ratios are decreasing with increasing decomposition. However, PFTs influence the C/N ratio of peat, too, as their litter differ in C and N contents (Hornibrook et al., 2000).

The stable isotopes ¹³C and ¹⁵N and their vertical trends have been often used alongside C/N ratios (Biester et al., 2014; Broder et al., 2012; Coolen and Orsi, 2015; Krüger et al., 2014, 2015; Novák et al., 1999). Aerobic peat decomposition leads to an enrichment in δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N, due to a preferential use of the lighter isotopes by microorganisms and hence a relative enrichment 65 of ¹³C and ¹⁵N in the remaining organic matter (Alewell et al., 2011; Bragazza et al., 2010; Bragazza and Iacumin, 2009; Kalbitz et al., 2000; Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988). However, stable isotope patterns are also affected by hydrological conditions (Krüger et al., 2014) and the plant species forming the litter. Sedge leaves were found to be more enriched in ¹³C and ¹⁵N than shrub leaves (Gavazov et al., 2016: sedge: δ¹³C: -26.85 ‰, δ¹⁵N: -3.96 ‰ vs shrub: δ¹³C: -28.83 ‰, δ¹⁵N: -10.92 ‰), while *Sphagnum* samples vary in δ¹³C signatures between -25.0 and -29.6 ‰ (Bragazza and Iacumin, 2009; Preis et al., 2018; Price 70 et al., 1997; Proctor et al., 1992).

Pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (py-GC/MS) is a powerful but labour intensive tool to characterise the composition of peat, and disentangle the effects of source material from decomposition (Abbott et al., 2013; Huang et al., 1998; McClymont et al., 2011; Schellekens et al., 2009, 2012, 2015a, 2015b, 2015c). Plant specific pyrolysis products which have been used to distinguish vascular plants from *Sphagnum* in peat include lignin-phenols from lignin and 4- 75 isopropenylphenol from sphagnum acid, respectively (Van Der Heijden et al., 1997; McClymont et al., 2011; Schellekens et al., 2009, 2015b, 2015c).



In this multi-proxy study, we combined the analytical approaches outlined above to explore the influence of vascular plants on chemical properties and degree of decomposition in moss-dominated peat at two peatlands contrasting in temperature. We hypothesized that: i) chemical properties of the moss-dominated peat differ under shrub and sedge coverage, (ii) the decomposition of the moss-dominated peat increases with depth and is higher under sedge than shrub coverage, and iii) increasing temperature is reflected in higher degree of decomposition of the moss-dominated peat, particularly under sedge coverage.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study sites

Two ombrotrophic peatlands at different altitude in the north-eastern Alps of Italy were chosen to simulate a climate warming scenario. The peatland at lower altitude, Lupicino, is located at 1290 m a.s.l. and is characterised by a mean annual temperature (MAT) of 6.3°C and a total annual precipitation of 810 mm. The peatland at higher altitude, Palù Tremole, is located at 1700 m a.s.l. with a MAT of 4.9°C and total annual precipitation of 825 mm. Lupicino will be referred to as High T site and Palù Tremole as Low T site. Mean water table depth in August 2015 - July 2016, was between 16.7 cm and 28.04 cm at the Low T site and between 14.8 cm and 66.7 cm at the High T site, measured at three gauges respectively (Zeh et al., 2019). Vegetation community on both sites is similar and dominated by *Sphagnum spp.*, with contributions from *Calluna vulgaris* and *Eriophorum vaginatum*, representing the three PFTs (bryophyte moss, ericoid shrubs, graminoid sedges). This experimental setup offers a unique opportunity to disentangle impacts of shrubs and sedges on properties and decomposition of a moss-dominated peat. Further detail in biotic and climatic conditions can be found in Zeh et al. (2019).

2.2 Sampling and preparation

During the first half of August 2015, we selected 20 hummocks per study site with a closed peat moss cover of at least 95 %, an equal proportion of shrub to sedge cover and a total vascular plant cover of 47 ± 2 % at Low T site and 77 ± 2 % at the High T site. The hummocks were located in five groups (blocks) of four hummocks, with maximally 5 m between the hummocks within a block. On each hummock, we took two peat cores: one directly under *C. vulgaris* (shrub-core) and one under *E. vaginatum* tussocks (sedge-core), yielding a total of 40 cores per peatland. Peat cores were sampled with a custom-made metal



peat corer with an inside diameter of 5 cm and sampling length of 20 cm. Additionally, photosynthetically active moss tissues from the top 2 cm and plant shoots from *E. vaginatum* and *C. vulgaris* were collected from each hummock. Furthermore, six separate peat cores with 10 cm diameter (three at each site) were randomly sampled for collection of living roots of shrubs and sedges. During the field campaign, peat cores were stored at 8°C within PVC tubes to prevent deformation. Afterwards, they were frozen to -20°C until further sample preparation.

Of the collected 80 peat cores, the 20 most representative cores were selected using three criteria: i) peat cores with a minimum length of 20 cm and without physical damages, ii) one shrub-core and one sedge-core from each block and peatland, and iii) peat cores with the smallest deviation from the mean weight of the respective block. From the active moss tissues, samples were selected corresponding to the chosen peat cores and mixed, if not sampled from the same hummock.

To assess which depth increments are appropriate to characterise changes in peat properties, four cores (one sedge and one shrub from each site) were randomly chosen from the selected 20. These cores were cut into depth increments of 1 cm, except for the topmost increment, which accounted for 2 cm. Carbon and N concentrations were measured as described in Sect. 2.3. The results of these analyses indicated changes in C and N concentrations at 2 cm, 5 cm and 12 cm. Considering these results, the remaining 16 peat cores were cut into four depth increments: 0-2 cm, 2-5 cm, 5-12 cm, 12-20 cm.

Plant and peat material was freeze-dried and then grinded (Fritsch pulverisette 23) before being analysed.


2.3 Total carbon and nitrogen concentration and stable isotope analysis (^{13}C , ^{15}N)

Carbon and N concentration were measured with a Vario El III elemental analyser (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany), following standard processing techniques. Carbon and N concentrations were calculated based on total sample weight. The C/N ratio represents the atomic relationship between C and N content of the peat material.

Isotope analysis was done with vario PYRO CUBE coupled to the visION IRMS (Isoprime, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany). Stable C isotope ratios are reported as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in [‰] relative to the V-PDB standard and stable N isotope ratios as $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in [‰] relative to air.



2.4 Pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass spectrometry

To identify characteristics of PFTs and decomposition, representative plant samples for each PFT and one shrub-core and one
 125 sedge-core from each peatland were selected to be additionally analysed by py-GC/MS. Plant samples comprised of one root
 and one shoot sample of shrub and sedge respectively, and one moss tissue sample from each peatland. In total 10 plant samples
 and four cores were cut into four increments as previously described. They were chosen based on the lowest deviation from
 the mean in C/N, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analyses. A Pyrolyser EGA/PY-3030D device (Frontier Laboratories, Fukushima, Japan) has
 been used for analysis. The pyrolysis temperature was set at 600°C, held for 10 s. The pyrolyser was connected with a GC
 130 7890B and MS 5977 (Agilent Technologies, St. Clara, United States). Inlet temperature of the GC was 250°C (split 50:1). The
 GC oven had an initial temperature of 45°C (held for 4 min), was ~~that~~  heated to 240°C at 4°C min⁻¹ and afterwards heated to
 300°C at 39°C min⁻¹ (held for 15 min). The GC column, a ZB-5ms (Zebron, Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, United States), had
 a length of 30 m, a film thickness 0.25 µm, and a diameter of 0.25 mm. The MS was scanning in the range of 50-600 *m/z*.
 Pyrolysis product identification and peak integration in pyrograms were performed with Masslab. Based on intensity and
 135 frequency on the total ion current (TIC), 57 pyrolysis products were selected for quantification in all 26 samples (A1).
 Quantification was based on the peak area of characteristic fragment ions (*m/z*) for each product (A1). The relative proportion
 of each product was expressed as a percentage of the total quantified peak area in one sample (TIC: 100 %). The products were
 grouped according to chemical similarity and their source into: *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes, lignin-derived products, phenols,
 benzenes and polysaccharides.

140 2.5 Selection of molecular parameters

Based on the results of previous pyrolysis studies from peatlands a number of pyrolytic parameters reflecting plant species and
 the degree of decomposition were extracted (Table 1). A pyrolysis product specific for sphagnum acid (4-isopropenylphenol;
 Van Der Heijden et al., 1997) has been found to very sensitively reflect aerobic decomposition of *Sphagnum* tissue in
Sphagnum-dominated peat (Abbott et al., 2013; Schellekens et al., 2015a). Methoxyphenols are unique to lignin, thereby
 145 providing a measure for the contribution from vascular plants in peat dominated by *Sphagnum*, because *Sphagnum* contains
 no lignin (Abbott et al., 2013; Kracht and Gleixner, 2000). Since both shrubs and sedges contain lignin, additional parameters
 were included to distinguish between them. Sedges have large contributions from *p*-coumaric and ferulic acid (Lu and Ralph,



2010) with typical pyrolysis products 4-vinylphenol (Lg1) and 4-vinylguaiacol (Lg4), respectively (Boon et al., 1982; van der Hage et al., 1993). Because 4-vinylphenol is also abundant in *Sphagnum* tissue, the ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the summed guaiacyl products (G) will therefore be used to reflect sedges (Schellekens et al., 2012). The ratio of C₃-guaiacol to G is indicative for shrubs (Schellekens et al., 2012, 2015a). *n*-Alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al) originate from cutan and suberan present in roots and bark (Hatfield and Chaptman, 2009; Nierop, 1998; Tegelaar et al., 1995) and leaf waxes (Eglinton and Hamilton, 1967), depending on their chain length, all of which are associated with shrubs in *Sphagnum*-dominated peat (Schellekens and Buurman, 2011).

155 2.6 Statistics

All data analysis and visualisation were performed with R, Version 3.6 (R Core Team, 2019). The preliminary four peat cores which were cut into 1 cm increments were integrated in statistical analysis. For this purpose, the means of C [%], N [%], C/N ratio, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ [‰] and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ [‰] were calculated for each depth increment (2-5 cm, 5-12 cm and 12-20 cm). Linear mixed-effects models (LMM) were applied to results of C/N ratio, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis to consider the nested structure of peat cores in blocks using packages “lme4” (Bates et al., 2015). Vascular plant effect (shrub vs. sedge), site (Low T vs. High T) and depth increments were analysed as fixed effect factors, whereby the peat cores nested in blocks were accounted for as random factor with random intercept structure. If the assumptions of normality in the data and their residuals were met (checked with Shapiro-Wilk test, histograms and Q-Q plots), a subsequent analysis of variance type II with a Kenward-Roger approximation of degree of freedom was applied on the linear-mixed models for hypothesis testing using package “lmerTest” (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). Post-hoc test was accomplished with a pairwise Tukey test applying package “emmeans” (Lenth, 2019). Since data and residuals of C/N ratio, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in shoot materials were not normally distributed, single effects were tested with Wilcoxon test. Due to limited root sample size, hypothesis tests were neglected.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to the py-GC/MS data. The aim was to reduce the data to a set of uncorrelated, meaningful components. Each principal component is determined by the largest variance (or largest remaining variance) of all quantified pyrolysis products and therefore explains a specific percentage of the total variance. They may represent a single effect on peat chemistry, while the abundance of individual pyrolysis products (or other variables) may be influenced by several environmental factors. Scores indicate to which extend each principal component contributes to a sample. Loadings



demonstrate which individual pyrolysis products are responsible for the patterns in scores. Prior to PCA, the number of pyrolysis products (variables) was adapted. First, the *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes were pooled to a single variable since these products were large in number (10 and 9, respectively) but in sum only contributed less than 1 % to total abundance. Accordingly, it was avoided that this large set of correlated variables with small abundance was allocated to the first principal component (Schellekens et al., 2017). Second, key molecular parameters (Sect. 2.5, Table 1) were included as variables to check their interpretation within the studied plant samples and peat cores. This resulted in a total number of 41 variables for PCA. To unravel the effects of vascular plants and temperature on peat decomposition in detail, regression analysis and depth records of these molecular parameters are discussed (Sect. 4.1.2, 4.2).

3 Results

3.1 Carbon to nitrogen ratios and isotopic composition of PFTs and peat

Carbon to N ratios and isotopic composition of plant shoots were different between PFTs and to a lesser extent between sites (Fig. 1). Sedge shoots had significantly lower C/N ratios than moss or shrub shoots ($p < 0.001$, Fig. 1a) and were significantly enriched in ^{13}C and ^{15}N (both $p < 0.001$, Fig. 1b, c) compared to moss and shrub shoots. Site effects were generally small, but moss and sedge shoots from the High T site were both significantly depleted compared to Low T (both $p < 0.01$). Plant shoots had consistently lower C/N ratios than plant roots irrespective of vascular plants (Fig. 1).

Values of C/N ratios and stable isotopes in the upper 0-2 cm peat layer (Fig. 2) corresponded with values observed for moss (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). Carbon to N ratios of peat increments were significantly affected by depth and site, with increasing C/N ratio with depth and higher C/N at the High T site compared to the Low T site (Fig. 2a, Table 2). Depth and vascular plants significantly affected isotopic composition of peat increments (Table 2). $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of peat increased with depth (Fig. 2b, 2c) and the enrichment in ^{13}C with depth was stronger for sedge-cores than for shrub-cores (i.e. 2.7 ± 0.4 ‰ for sedge-cores and 1.6 ± 0.4 ‰ for shrub cores, Tukey Test). The largest enrichment in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between the uppermost and lowest depth increment appeared in sedge-cores at the High T site (Fig. 2b; i.e., 3.5 ± 0.5 ‰, Tukey Test).



195 3.2 Chemical composition of vascular plants and moss-dominated peat analysed by py-GC/MS

Groups of pyrolysis products are given in Table 3. In the peat samples, polysaccharides (Ps) contributed 56 % - 86 %, and phenols (Ph) 7 % - 30 % to all identified pyrolysis products, while the contribution from lignin-derived products (Lg) was < 15 %. The PCA (Fig. 3) clearly showed differences in the chemical composition of the PFT tissues and peat.

Principal components (PC) 1 to 3 explained 75.6 % and hence the major part of the variance in py-GC/MS data. Plotting the scores of PC1 versus PC2 (Fig. 3a) revealed that plant materials of the PFTs as well as peat from different depths clustered based on their pyrolysis products and thus on chemical composition. PC1 separated both shoot and root samples of shrubs and sedges with exclusively positive scores from moss samples with exclusively negative scores. Thus, PC1 distinguished vascular plant samples from moss samples. Peat samples were arranged in between reflecting the contribution of both vascular plants and mosses. The pyrolysis products responsible for the separation of PFTs are reflected in the factor loadings. Most phenols, including the parameter for sphagnum acid (4-isopropenylphenol, Ph6), and some polysaccharides (Ps1, 2, 4, 8, 9) had negative loadings on PC1, corresponding to moss samples (Fig. 3b). Lignin-derived products (sum of guaiacyl and syringyl lignin products, GS) had positive loadings and are indicative for shoot and root tissues of vascular plants (Fig. 3b).

Scores of PC2 separate aboveground shoot tissues of the three PFTs and the surface peat (negative scores) from root tissues and the deeper peat increments (positive scores; Fig. 3a); within the peat samples, the deepest increments had the highest positive scores. Levoglucosan (Ps16) and the ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharides (L/Ps) had the largest positive loadings, which indicates its relative enrichment with depth.

PC3 separates samples based on the two vascular plants, i.e. shrubs and sedges (Fig. 3c). Shrub shoot and root samples had exclusively negative scores. In contrast, all sedge shoot and root samples had positive scores. The peat samples clustered in-between (i.e. low scores), but maintained the separation according to vascular plants. Likewise, the parameters selected to reflect the contribution from shrub, i.e. sum of *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al, Table 1) and the ratio of C₃-guaiacol to the sum of guaiacyl products (C₃G/G, Table 1) had high negative loading on PC3. The parameter for sedge (the ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the sum of guaiacyl products (4VG/G), Table 1), showed positive loading on PC3 (Fig. 3b). The loadings furthermore suggest that both benzenes and levomannosan (Ps15) were associated to shrub as well, while 2,3-dihydro-5-methylfuran-2-one (Ps3) and guaiacol (Lg2) showed high positive loading and are thus indicative for sedge in this context.



220 4 Discussion

4.1 Properties of a moss-dominated peat influenced by vascular plants

Testing our hypotheses requires the identification of properties being typical of the different vascular plants. In our study, we were able to apply a set of properties with a different degree of specificity to reveal how shrubs and sedges affect chemical properties of moss-dominated peat.

225 4.1.1 Different chemical properties of plant materials

Properties as C/N ratios, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ showed differences between different plant materials (Fig. 1). C/N ratios of sedge shoots were significantly lower than those of shrubs or mosses and thus likely reflect that sedge shoots were more easily decomposable than litter of shrubs or mosses. Carbon isotope signature of plant tissue tends to be depleted in ^{13}C under warmer and drier conditions (Broder et al., 2012; Gavazov et al., 2016; Skrzypek et al., 2007) explaining the observed $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ depletion of sedge and moss tissues at the High T site compared to Low T site. The rather small differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between the plant material in combination with the observed differences between the two sites complicate the use of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ratios as a vegetation proxy.

By applying PCA to pyrolysates of plant and peat samples, we were able to clearly separate mosses from vascular plants and shrubs from sedges. The pyrolysis product specific for *Sphagnum* (4-isopropenylphenol) and the sum of lignin-derived products indicating vascular plants were particularly useful (Fig. 3a, 3b). Furthermore, the ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the summed guaiacyl products (4VG/G) indeed could be used to reflect sedges (Fig. 3c, 3d). Additionally, the ratio of C_3 -guaiacol to the summed guaiacyl products ($\text{C}_3\text{G}/\text{G}$) and the sum of *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al) could be confirmed as parameters indicative for shrubs (Fig. 3c, 3d).

4.1.2 Effects of vascular plants on chemical properties of the moss-dominated peat

240 The high contribution of polysaccharides and phenols to peat pyrolysates (Table 3) and a strong positive correlation of the marker for sphagnum acid (4-isopropenylphenol) with the summed phenols (adj. $R^2 = 0.98$; Fig. 5a) indicates that the peat is dominated by *Sphagnum* tissue. The close positions of both peat samples and living *Sphagnum* shoots along PC1 visualise the dominance of *Sphagnum* in the peat. Furthermore, the similarity of C/N ratios, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the uppermost peat increment



to those of moss are indicative for moss-dominated peat (Schaub and Alewell, 2009) and have been measured likewise in
 245 *Sphagnum* peatlands by Kracht and Gleixner (2000). Thus, *Sphagnum* tissues dominate the peat of our two sites. Nevertheless,
 py-GC/MS indicates that the moss-dominated peat has a contribution from vascular plants as lignin-derived products
 contributed up to 15 % to pyrolysates, particularly in the deeper increments under sedge coverage (Fig. 4a). The parameters
 for sedge and shrub indeed showed generally values in the corresponding peat cores (Fig 4b, 4c, 4d), which indicates that peat
 composition under shrub and sedge is influenced by these species in the studied peat (0-20 cm). The sedge parameter (i.e. the
 250 ratio of 4-vinylguaiacol to the sum of guaiacols; Table 1) was higher in sedge-core samples than in shrub-core samples (Fig.
 4b) illustrating a noticeable contribution from sedge to the peat. The correlation between the sedge parameter and the sum of
 lignin-derived products (adj. $R^2 = 0.52$, Fig. 5c) indicates a strong contribution from sedges on lignin in sedge-cores. The
 contribution of shrubs to peat composition, as indicated by the suggested shrub parameter (i.e. the sum of *n*-alkenes and *n*-
 alkanes; Table 1), seems to be particularly high in the upper three peat increments as this parameter was mostly higher for
 255 shrub-core samples than for sedge-core samples in those increments (Fig. 4d). The increasing C/N ratios with depth at both
 sites could indicate a larger contribution of roots to the moss-dominated peat, since roots had much higher C/N ratios than
 shoots and moss (Fig. 1, 2a).

By using py-GC/MS we could show that the peat was dominated by *Sphagnum*, and had a contribution from vascular plants;
 furthermore, we could validate the selected parameters described in the literature to reflect the contribution from sedge and
 260 shrub at the two sites.

4.2 Decomposition of the moss-dominated peat

Most of the studied parameters changed with depth in the peat cores and might be indicative for the assumed increase in peat
 decomposition with depth, i.e. with increasing time of exposure to oxygen after peat formation. In all peat cores, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
 increased with depth. Since $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ showed a positive correlation (adj. $R^2 = 0.48$, Fig. 5b), their increases with depth
 265 may be caused by the same processes. The preferential uptake of lighter isotopes (^{12}C , ^{14}N) for respiration by aerobic
 decomposers during decomposition causes a relative enrichment of heavier isotopes (^{13}C , ^{15}N) in the remaining organic matter
 (Krüger et al., 2014, 2015; Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988; Schaub and Alewell, 2009), suggesting that ^{13}C and ^{15}N depth trends
 are due to decomposition. Because $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ trends may also be superimposed by N deposition (Novák et al., 2014) and



fractionation processes during N fixation (Novák et al., 2016), $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ seems to be a better indicator for peat decomposition in
 270 our study. Nevertheless, also $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ peat records might be superimposed by differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between shoots and roots, plant
 species, or site specific differences in ^{13}C discrimination (Sect. 4.1.1). Differences in the C/N ratios did not provide any
 consistent picture regarding changes in peat decomposition except for the assumed increased contribution of roots for peat
 formation with increasing depth (Sect. 4.1.2).

In addition to changes in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ reflecting the decomposition of the bulk peat (i.e. cumulative effects on all peat
 275 components), we examined changes in compounds being indicative for the decomposition of specific plant tissues, i.e.,
Sphagnum-derived peat (4-isopropenylphenol and, to a certain extent, the ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharide-
 derived products). *Sphagnum* acid and its pyrolysis product 4-isopropenylphenol have been found to very sensitively reflect
 aerobic decomposition of *Sphagnum* tissue (Abbott et al., 2013; Schellekens et al., 2015a). The observed decrease of 4-
 isopropenylphenol with depth occurred in all four peat cores (Fig. 4e) and its negative correlation with ^{13}C (adj. $R^2=0.27$, Fig.
 280 5d), confirms the increase in peat decomposition with depth as indicated by ^{13}C .

The detected increase in polysaccharides with depth (Table 3) likely reflects the relative accumulation of rather resistant
 polysaccharides of *Sphagnum* cell-walls during aerobic decomposition (Hájek et al., 2011) and the preferential decomposition
 of *Sphagnum* phenols (Schellekens et al., 2015b). However, the polysaccharide products from the peat samples can have
 multiple sources, too (e.g. *Sphagnum* cell-walls, or ligno-cellulose from vascular plants; Sarkar et al., 2009). Similarly, the
 285 increase with depth of the ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharide-derived products (L/Ps) may reflect the relative
 preservation of *Sphagnum* polysaccharides during aerobic decomposition (Table 1, Fig. 4f). This is confirmed by a strong
 negative correlation between this ratio and 4-isopropenylphenol (adj. $R^2=0.79$, Fig. 5e), and further by the positive loading of
 L/Ps on PC2.

4.2.1 Effects of vascular plants on decomposition of moss-dominated peat

290 We found three indicators that decomposition of moss-dominated peat with depth is boosted by sedge coverage compared to
 shrub coverage. Increases in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ with depth were higher for sedge-cores than for shrub-cores (Fig. 2b) and the decrease with
 depth of 4-isopropenylphenol was mostly stronger in sedge-cores than shrub-cores (Fig. 4e). In general, the L/Ps ratio increased
 with depth (Fig. 4f). Only in the deepest increment of sedge-cores this ratio decreased. This decrease could indicate that



decomposition under sedge coverage in the deepest peat increment is so high that even less decomposable polysaccharides
 295 have been decomposed. It could also indicate that the composition of the polysaccharides changed because more sedges would
 mean a higher contribution of sedge-derived polysaccharides. Such a shift towards sedge-derived cell wall components should
 result in a higher decomposability of the polysaccharides.

The observed patterns were detected by a parameter describing the whole peat ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$), but were also reflected by compounds
 indicative for *Sphagnum* material (4-isopropenylphenol). The latter suggests that (changes in) vascular plant composition may
 300 affect the decomposition of the existing *Sphagnum* peat by changing plant-soil feedbacks (Bragazza et al., 2013; Gavazov et
 al., 2018; Robroek et al., 2015). The observed higher degree of degradation of peat under sedges than under shrubs may be
 explained by differences in litter quality or root traits. Sedge litter is likely to be more readily decomposable compared to shrub
 litter, caused by its lower C/N ratios (Fig. 1; Huang et al., 1998; Kaštovská et al., 2018; Laiho et al., 2003; Limpens and
 Berendse, 2003). Furthermore, additional oxygenation by the aerenchym of *E. vaginatum* might trigger further decomposition
 305 of moss-dominated peat (Armstrong, 1964; Holzapfel-Pschorn et al., 1986; Roura-Carol and Freeman, 1999).

4.2.2 Temperature effects on decomposition of the moss-dominated peat – interactions with vascular plant effects

The altitudinal gradient has been used to reveal potential effects of increasing temperature on peat decomposition by comparing
 the suggested decomposition parameters (Table 1) between the High and Low T sites. Increases in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ with depth were higher
 at the High T site than at the Low T site (Fig. 2). Therefore, decomposition of the moss-dominated peat is likely to be increased
 310 at the High T site compared to the Low T site independent of the vascular plant species. Depth trends of the *Sphagnum*-specific
 decomposition parameter (4-isopropenylphenol) do not align, however. 4-isopropenylphenol decreased more strongly at the
 Low T site compared to the High T site (Fig. 4e), and the ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharides (Fig. 4f) did not
 show consistent trends related to temperature again indicating no temperature enhanced degradation of the moss-dominated
 peat. There are several alternative explanations for the contrasting trends observed for the whole peat ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and the more
 315 *Sphagnum*-derived fraction of the peat: i) decomposition of the *Sphagnum*-derived peat is not enhanced at higher temperatures,
 and ii) the parameter for *Sphagnum* decomposition may not reflect temperature effects on *Sphagnum*-derived peat. The latter
 may apply to the ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharides (Huang et al., 1998; Schellekens et al., 2015c) because
 vascular plants and particularly sedges might increasingly contribute to polysaccharides with higher temperatures (see section



4.2.1). These changes from more *Sphagnum*-derived polysaccharides to more sedge-derived polysaccharides could change decomposition dynamics of polysaccharides, since cell walls constituents from *Sphagnum* were found to be less easily decomposable (Hájek et al., 2011). A higher contribution from sedges could therefore superimpose a potential enrichment of *Sphagnum*-derived polysaccharides with depth. On the other hand, it seems unlikely that the observed depth trends for the *Sphagnum* specific 4-isopropenylphenol has been affected in a similar way as the less specific ratio of levoglucosan to the sum of polysaccharides. Given the above the higher degree of peat decomposition at the High T site picked up by $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ is probably mediated by higher input rates of easily decomposable vascular plant litter, notably sedges, combined with the warmer and drier conditions favouring aerobic decomposition processes (Biester et al., 2014). This combined effect of sedges and temperature on peat decomposition has implications for the long-term C storage in *Sphagnum*-dominated peatlands because of projected shifts from sedges to shrubs with climate change (Breeuwer et al., 2009). That change towards less sedges may partly offset temperature driven decomposition processes because of the observed enhancing effect of sedges on peat decomposition. Less sedges (i.e. more shrubs) should result in less peat degradation because (i) the decomposability of available litter (higher contribution of shrub litter) is reduced as its chemical composition indicates less decomposability (Kristensen and McCarty, 1999; Ward et al., 2015), (ii) an increasing presence of shrubs (*C. vulgaris*) suppresses belowground biota activity and nutrient cycling (Fenner and Freeman, 2011), (iii) *C. vulgaris* associates with mycorrhizal fungi which increase the uptake of organic nutrients leading to an increase of C/N ratio (Read et al., 2004) and thus a decrease in peat decomposition (Ward et al., 2015), (iv) the input of labile C into peat via sedge roots is lower (Crow and Wieder, 2005; Robroek et al., 2015), (v) the transport of oxygen into peat via aerenchym of *E. vaginatus* is lower. On the other hand, Zeh et al. (2019) could show that shrubs translocated more C into the peat at higher temperatures than sedges, which should result in reinforcing effect on peat decomposition with increasing temperature. Obviously, the enhanced C input by shrubs into peat did not coincide with enhanced decomposition of the moss-dominated peat on these sites.

5 Conclusions

The studied plant functional types differed in the chemical composition of their biomass, and therefore in litter quality. Although both peatlands were moss-dominated, the application of several complementary parameters revealed clear influences from sedge and shrub litter. Combining data obtained by py-GC/MS and isotopic analysis enabled separating the effects of



PFT and temperature on peat decomposition under field conditions. Whereas changes in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ depth records reflected the state of peat degradation, its application to disentangle the effects of source material, decomposition processes, and environmental factors is incomplete. The C/N ratio and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were not specific enough to represent vascular plant effects on decomposition of the moss-dominated peat. Combining records of molecular parameters and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ indicated that moss-dominated peat was more decomposed under sedge than under shrub coverage, particularly under high temperatures. The most important and also surprising result of our study was that vascular plants had a more pronounced impact on peat decomposition than temperature. Considering that climate change can lead to a shift from *Sphagnum* mosses to vascular plants and from sedges to shrubs (Breeuwer et al., 2009), an increase of sedge coverage may enhance the decomposition of *Sphagnum* peat in surface layers at elevated temperature. To what extent this increased decomposition may be partly compensated by growing dominance of shrubs over sedges with climate change deserves further studies in order to link belowground decomposition to aboveground production.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Ufficio Ecologia del Paesaggio - Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano and the Servizio Sviluppo Sostenibile e Aree Protette - Provincia Autonoma di Trento for access to the Italian sites; Manuela Unger and Gisela Ciesielski for sample preparation and analyses of C, N and stable isotopes in the laboratory; Josephine Hillig for carrying out the py-GC/MS measurements. For financial support we thank TU Dresden, Wageningen University and University of Ferrara (FAR 2014), FAPESP projects 2013/03953-9 and 2016/03337-4. The py-GC/MS system was funded by DFG project INST 269/575-1.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Abbott, G. D., Swain, E. Y., Muhammad, A. B., Allton, K., Belyea, L. R., Laing, C. G. and Cowie, G. L.: Effect of water-table fluctuations on the degradation of *Sphagnum* phenols in surficial peats, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 106, 177–191, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.12.013, 2013.



- Alewel, C., Giesler, R., Klaminder, J., Leifeld, J. and Rollog, M.: Stable carbon isotopes as indicators for environmental change in peatlands, *Biogeosciences*, 8(7), 1769–1778, doi:10.5194/bg-8-1769-2011, 2011.
- Armstrong, W.: Oxygen diffusion from the roots of some british bog plants, *Nature*, 204, 801–802, 1964.
- 370 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S.: Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4, *J. Stat. Softw.*, 67(1), 1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01, 2015
- Berendse, F., Van Breemen, N., Rydin, H., Buttler, A., Heijmans, M., Hoosbeek, M. R., Lee, J. A., Mitchell, E., Saarinen, T., Vasander, H. and Wallen, B.: Raised atmospheric CO₂ levels and increased N deposition cause shifts in plant species composition and production in *Sphagnum* bogs, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 7(5), 591–598, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00433.x, 2001.
- 375 Biester, H., Knorr, K. H., Schellekens, J., Basler, A. and Hermanns, Y.-M.: Comparison of different methods to determine the degree of peat decomposition in peat bogs, *Biogeosciences*, 11(10), 2691–2707, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2691-2014, 2014a.
- ~~Biester, H., Knorr, K. H., Schellekens, J., Basler, A. and Hermanns, Y.-M.: Comparison of different methods to determine the~~
 380 ~~degree of peat decomposition in peat bogs, *Biogeosciences*, 11(10), 2691–2707, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2691-2014, 2014b.~~
- Boon, J. J., Wetzel, R. G. and Godshalk, G. L.: Pyrolysis mass spectrometry of some *Scirpus* species and their decomposition products, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 27(5), 839–848, doi:10.4319/lo.1982.27.5.0839, 1982.
- Bragazza, L.: A decade of plant species changes on a mire in the Italian Alps: Vegetation-controlled or climate-driven mechanisms?, *Clim. Change*, 77(3–4), 415–429, doi:10.1007/s10584-005-9034-x, 2006.
- 385 Bragazza, L. and Iacumin, P.: Seasonal variation in carbon isotopic composition of bog plant litter during 3 years of field decomposition, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 46(1), 73–77, doi:10.1007/s00374-009-0406-7, 2009.
- Bragazza, L., Iacumin, P., Siffi, C. and Gerdol, R.: Seasonal variation in nitrogen isotopic composition of bog plant litter during 3 years of field decomposition, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 46(8), 877–881, doi:10.1007/s00374-010-0483-7, 2010.
- 390 Bragazza, L., Parisod, J., Buttler, A. and Bardgett, R. D.: Biogeochemical plant–soil microbe feedback in response to climate warming in peatlands, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 3(3), 273–277, doi:10.1038/nclimate1781, 2013.
- Bragazza, L., Bardgett, R. D., Mitchell, E. A. and Buttler, A.: Linking soil microbial communities to vascular plant abundance along a climate gradient, *New Phytol.*, 205(3), 1175–1182, doi:10.1111/nph.13116, 2015.
- Breeuwer, A., Robroek, B. J. M., Limpens, J., Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Schouten, M. G. C. and Berendse, F.: Decreased summer water table depth affects peatland vegetation, *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 10(4), 330–339, doi:10.1016/j.baae.2008.05.005, 2009.
- 395 Broder, T., Blodau, C., Biester, H. and Knorr, K. H.: Peat decomposition records in three pristine ombrotrophic bogs in southern Patagonia, *Biogeosciences*, 9(4), 1479–1491, doi:10.5194/bg-9-1479-2012, 2012.
- Coolen, M. J. L. and Orsi, W. D.: The transcriptional response of microbial communities in thawing Alaskan permafrost soils, *Front. Microbiol.*, 6(MAR), 1–14, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00197, 2015.
- 400



- Coulson, J. C. and Butterfield, J.: An Investigation of the Biotic Factors Determining the Rates of Plant Decomposition on Blanket Bog, *J. Ecol.*, 66(2), 631–650, 1978.
- Crow, S. E. and Wieder, R. K.: Sources of CO₂ emission from a northern peatland: Root respiration, exudation, and decomposition, *Ecology*, 86(7), 1825–1834, doi:10.1890/04-1575, 2005.
- 405 Damman, A. W. H.: Regulation of Nitrogen Removal and Retention in Sphagnum bogs and Other Peatlands, *Oikos*, 51(3), 291, doi:10.2307/3565310, 1988.
- Eglinton, G. and Hamilton, R. J.: Leaf Epicuticular Waxes, *Science*, 156(3780), 1322–1335, doi:10.1126/science.156.3780.1322, 1967.
- Elmendorf, S. C., Henry, G. H. R., Hollister, R. D., Björk, R. G., Boulanger-Lapointe, N., Cooper, E. J., Cornelissen, J. H. C.,
 410 Day, T. A., Dorrepaal, E., Elumeeva, T. G., Gill, M., Gould, W. A., Harte, J., Hik, D. S., Hofgaard, A., Johnson, D. R., Johnstone, J. F., Jónsdóttir, I. S., Jorgenson, J. C., Klanderud, K., Klein, J. A., Koh, S., Kudo, G., Lara, M., Lévesque, E., Magnússon, B., May, J. L., Mercado-Díaz, J. A., Michelsen, A., Molau, U., Myers-Smith, I. H., Oberbauer, S. F., Onipchenko, V. G., Rixen, C., Martin Schmidt, N., Shaver, G. R., Spasojevic, M. J., Þórhallsdóttir, Þ. E., Tolvanen, A., Troxler, T., Tweedie, C. E., Villareal, S., Wahren, C.-H., Walker, X., Webber, P. J., Welker, J.
 415 M. and Wipf, S.: Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent summer warming, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 2(6), 453–457, doi:10.1038/nclimate1465, 2012.
- Fenner, N. and Freeman, C.: Drought-induced carbon loss in peatlands, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4(12), 895–900, doi:10.1038/ngeo1323, 2011.
- Gavazov, K., Hagedorn, F., Buttler, A., Siegwolf, R. and Bragazza, L.: Environmental drivers of carbon and nitrogen isotopic
 420 signatures in peatland vascular plants along an altitude gradient, *Oecologia*, 180(1), 257–264, doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3458-4, 2016.
- Gavazov, K., Albrecht, R. J. H., Buttler, A., Dorrepaal, E., Garnett, M. H., Gogo, S., Hagedorn, F., Mills, R. T. E., Robroek, B. J. M. and Bragazza, L.: Vascular plant-mediated controls on atmospheric carbon assimilation and peat carbon decomposition under climate change, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 24(9), doi:10.1111/gcb.14140, 2018.
- 425 van der Hage, E. R. E., Mulder, M. M. and Boon, J. J.: Structural characterization of lignin polymers by temperature-resolved in-source pyrolysis-mass spectrometry and Curie-point pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 25(C), 149–183, doi:10.1016/0165-2370(93)80038-2, 1993.
- Hájek, T., Ballance, S., Limpens, J., Zijlstra, M. and Verhoeven, J. T. A.: Cell-wall polysaccharides play an important role in decay resistance of Sphagnum and actively depressed decomposition in vitro, *Biogeochemistry*, 103(1–3), 45–57,
 430 doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9444-3, 2011.
- Hatfield, R. D. and Chaptman, A. K.: Comparing corn types for differences in cell wall characteristics and p-coumaroylation of lignin, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 57(10), 4243–4249, doi:10.1021/jf900360z, 2009.
- Van Der Heijden, E., Boon, J. J., Rasmussen, S. and Rudolph, H.: Sphagnum acid and its decarboxylation product isopropenylphenol as biomarkers for fossilised Sphagnum in peats, *Anc. Biomol.*, 1(2), 93–107, 1997.



- 435 Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Mauquoy, D., van Geel, B. and Berendse, F.: Long-term effects of climate change on vegetation and carbon dynamics in peat bogs, *J. Veg. Sci.*, 19(3), 307–320, doi:10.3170/2008-8-18368, 2008.
- Hobbie, S. E. and Chapin, F. S.: Response of tundra plant biomass, aboveground production, nitrogen, and CO₂ flux to experimental warming, *Ecology*, 79(5), 1526–1544, doi:10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[1526:TROTPB]2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- 440 Holzapfel-Pschorn, A., Conrad, R. and Seiler, W.: Effects of vegetation on the emission of methane from submerged paddy soil, *Plant Soil*, 92, 223–233, 1986.
- Hornibrook, E. R. C., Longstaffe, F. J., Fyfe, W. S. and Bloom, Y.: Carbon-isotope ratios and carbon, nitrogen and sulfur abundances in flora and soil organic matter from a temperate-zone bog and marsh, *Geochem. J.*, 34(3), 237–245, doi:10.2343/geochemj.34.237, 2000.
- 445 Huang, Y., Stankiewicz, B. A., Eglinton, G., Snape, C. E., Evans, B., Latter, P. M. and Ineson, P.: monitoring Biomacromolecular degradation of *Calluna Vulgaris* in a 23year field experiment using solid state ¹³C-NMR and pyrolysis-GC/MS, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 30(12), 1517–1528, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00234-4, 1998.
- Kalbitz, K., Geyer, S. and Gehre, M.: Land use impacts on the isotopic signature (¹³C, ¹⁴C, ¹⁵N) of water-soluble fulvic acids in a German fen area, *Soil Sci.*, 165(9), 728–736, doi:10.1097/00010694-200009000-00006, 2000.
- 450 Karhu, K., Auffret, M. D., Dungait, J. a J., Hopkins, D. W., Prosser, J. I., Singh, B. K., Subke, J. A., Wookey, P. a, Agren, G. I., Sebastià, M.-T., Gouriveau, F., Bergkvist, G., Meir, P., Nottingham, A. T., Salinas, N. and Hartley, I. P.: Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration rates enhanced by microbial community response., *Nature*, 513(7516), 81–84, doi:10.1038/nature13604, 2014.
- Kaštovská, E., Straková, P., Edwards, K., Urbanová, Z., Bárta, J., Mastný, J., Šantrůčková, H. and Pícek, T.: Cotton-Grass and Blueberry have Opposite Effect on Peat Characteristics and Nutrient Transformation in Peatland, *Ecosystems*, 21(3), 443–458, doi:10.1007/s10021-017-0159-3, 2018.
- 455 Kracht, O. and Gleixner, G.: Isotope analysis of pyrolysis products from Sphagnum peat and dissolved organic matter from bog water, *Org. Geochem.*, 31(7–8), 645–654, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00041-3, 2000.
- Kristensen, H. L. and McCarty, G. W.: Mineralization and immobilization of nitrogen in heath soil under intact *Calluna*, after heather beetle infestation and nitrogen fertilization, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 13(3), 187–198, doi:10.1016/S0929-1393(99)00036-0, 1999.
- 460 Krüger, J. P., Leifeld, J. and Alewell, C.: Degradation changes stable carbon isotope depth profiles in peatlands, *Biogeosciences*, 11(12), 3369–3380, doi:10.5194/bg-11-3369-2014, 2014.
- Krüger, J. P., Leifeld, J., Glatzel, S., Szidat, S. and Alewell, C.: Biogeochemical indicators of peatland degradation - A case study of a temperate bog in northern Germany, *Biogeosciences*, 12(10), 2861–2871, doi:10.5194/bg-12-2861-2015, 2015.
- 465 Kuhry, P. and Vitt, D. H.: Fossil Carbon/Nitrogen Ratios as a Measure of Peat Decomposition, *Ecology*, 77(1), 271–275, doi:10.2307/2265676, 1996.



- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., Christensen, R. H. B.: lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models, *J. Stat. Softw.*, 82(13), 1-26. doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13, 2017
- Laiho, R., Vasander, H., Penttilä, T. and Laine, J.: Dynamics of plant-mediated organic matter and nutrient cycling following water-level drawdown in boreal peatlands, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 17(2), 22/1-22/11, doi:10.1029/2002GB002015, 2003.
- Lenth, R.: emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package version 1.3.4., <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans>, 2019
- Limpens, J. and Berendse, F.: How litter quality affects mass loss and N loss from decomposing *Sphagnum*, *Oikos*, 103(3), 537–547, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12707.x, 2003.
- Lu, F. and Ralph, J.: Detection and Determination of p -Coumaroylated Units in Lignins, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 47(5), 1988–1992, doi:10.1021/jf981140j, 1999.
- Malmer, N., Svensson, B. M. and Wallén, B.: Interactions between *Sphagnum* mosses and field layer vascular plants in the development of peat-forming systems, *Folia Geobot. Phytotaxon.*, 29(4), 483–496, doi:10.1007/BF02883146, 1994.
- McClymont, E. L., Bingham, E. M., Nott, C. J., Chambers, F. M., Pancost, R. D. and Evershed, R. P.: Pyrolysis GC-MS as a rapid screening tool for determination of peat-forming plant composition in cores from ombrotrophic peat, *Org. Geochem.*, 42(11), 1420–1435, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.07.004, 2011.
- Nadelhoffer, K. J. and Fry, B.: Controls on natural nitrogen-15 and carbon-13 abundances in forest soil organic matter, *Soil Sci. Am. J.*, 52(6), 1633–1640, doi:10.2136/sssaj1988.03615995005200060024x, 1988.
- Nierop, K. G. J.: Origin of aliphatic compounds in a forest soil, *Org. Geochem.*, 29(4), 1009–1016, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(98)00165-X, 1998.
- Novák, M., Stepanova, M., Jackova, I., Vile, M. A., Wieder, R. K., Buzek, F., Adamova, M., Erbanova, L., Fottova, D. and Komarek, A.: Isotopic evidence for nitrogen mobility in peat bogs, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 133, 351–361, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.021, 2014.
- Novák, M., Jackova, I., Curik, J., Stepanova, M., Veselovsky, F., Buzek, F., Vile, M. A., Bufkova, I., Valkova, I., Adamova, M., Bohdalkova, L. and Komarek, A.: Contrasting $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ Values of Atmospheric Deposition and *Sphagnum* Peat Bogs: N Fixation as a Possible Cause, *Ecosystems*, 19(6), 1037–1050, doi:10.1007/s10021-016-9985-y, 2016.
- Novák, M., Buzek, F. and Adamová, M.: Vertical trends in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ ratios in bulk *Sphagnum* peat, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 31(9), 1343–1346, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00040-1, 1999.
- Parish, F., Sirin, A., Charman, D., Joosten, H., Minayeva, T., Silvius, M. and Stringer, L., Eds.: Assessment on Peatlands, Biodiversity and Climate change: Main Report, Global Environment Centre, Kuala Lumpur and Wetlands International, Wageningen, 2008.
- Preis, Y. I., Simonova, G. V., Voropay, N. N. and Dyukarev, E. A.: Estimation of the influence of hydrothermal conditions on the carbon isotope composition in *Sphagnum* mosses of bogs of Western Siberia, *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, 211, 012031, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/211/1/012031, 2018.



- Price, G. D., McKenzie, J. E., Pilcher, J. R. and Hoper, S. T.: Carbon-isotope variation in Sphagnum from hummock-hollow
 complexes: Implications for Holocene climate reconstruction, *Holocene*, 7(2), 229–233,
 505 doi:10.1177/095968369700700211, 1997.
- Proctor, M. C. F., Raven, J. A. and Rice, S. K.: Stable carbon isotope discrimination measurements in Sphagnum and other
 bryophytes: physiological and ecological implications, *J. Bryol.*, 17(2), 193–202, doi:10.1179/jbr.1992.17.2.193,
 1992.
- R Core Team.: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,
 510 Austria. URL:https://www.R-project.org/, 2019
- Read, D. J., Leake, J. R. and Perez-Moreno, J.: Mycorrhizal fungi as drivers of ecosystem processes in heathland and boreal
 forest biomes, *Can. J. Bot.*, 82(8), 1243–1263, doi:10.1139/B04-123, 2004.
- Robroek, B. J. M., Albrecht, R. J. H., Hamard, S., Pulgarin, A., Bragazza, L., Buttler, A. and Jassey, V. E. J.: Peatland vascular
 plant functional types affect dissolved organic matter chemistry, *Plant Soil*, 103(4), doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2710-3,
 515 2015.
- Roura-Carol, M. and Freeman, C.: Methane release from peat soils: Effects of Sphagnum and Juncus, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*,
 31(2), 323–325, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00125-4, 1999.
- Sarkar, P., Bosneaga, E. and Auer, M.: Plant cell walls throughout evolution: towards a molecular understanding of their design
 principles, *J. Exp. Bot.*, 60(13), 3615–3635, doi:10.1093/jxb/erp245, 2009.
- 520 Schaub, M. and Alewell, C.: Stable carbon isotopes as an indicator for soil degradation in an alpine environment (Urseren
 Valley, Switzerland), *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 23(10), 1499–1507, doi:10.1002/rcm.4030, 2009.
- Schellekens, J. and Buurman, P.: N-Alkane distributions as palaeoclimatic proxies in ombrotrophic peat: The role of
 decomposition and dominant vegetation, *Geoderma*, 164(3–4), 112–121, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.05.012, 2011.
- Schellekens, J., Buurman, P. and Pontevedra-Pombal, X.: Selecting parameters for the environmental interpretation of peat
 525 molecular chemistry - A pyrolysis-GC/MS study, *Org. Geochem.*, 40(6), 678–691,
 doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.03.006, 2009.
- Schellekens, J., Buurman, P., Fraga, I. and Martínez-Cortizas, A.: Holocene vegetation and hydrologic changes inferred from
 molecular vegetation markers in peat, Penido Vello (Galicia, Spain), *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*,
 299(1–2), 56–69, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2010.10.034, 2011.
- 530 Schellekens, J., Buurman, P. and Kuyper, T. W.: Source and transformations of lignin in Carex-dominated peat, *Soil Biol.*
Biochem., 53, 32–42, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.030, 2012.
- Schellekens, J., Buurman, P., Kuyper, T. W., Abbott, G. D., Pontevedra-Pombal, X. and Martínez-Cortizas, A.: Influence of
 source vegetation and redox conditions on lignin-based decomposition proxies in graminoid-dominated ombrotrophic
 peat (Penido Vello, NW Spain), *Geoderma*, 237–238, 270–282, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.09.012, 2015a.
- 535 Schellekens, J., Bindler, R., Martínez-Cortizas, A., McClymont, E. L., Abbott, G. D., Biester, H., Pontevedra-Pombal, X. and
 Buurman, P.: Preferential degradation of polyphenols from Sphagnum – 4-Isopropenylphenol as a proxy for past



- hydrological conditions in Sphagnum-dominated peat, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 150, 74–89, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.12.003, 2015b.
- Schellekens, J., Bradley, J. a., Kuyper, T. W., Fraga, I., Pontevedra-Pombal, X., Vidal-Torrado, P., Abbott, G. D. and Buurman, P.: The use of plant-specific pyrolysis products as biomarkers in peat deposits, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 123, 254–264, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.06.028, 2015c.
- Schellekens, J., Buurman, P., Kalbitz, K., Zomeren, A. Van, Vidal-Torrado, P., Cerli, C. and Comans, R. N. J.: Molecular Features of Humic Acids and Fulvic Acids from Contrasting Environments, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51(3), 1330–1339, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b03925, 2017.
- Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A., Kleber, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P., Weiner, S. and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property, *Nature*, 478(7367), 49–56, doi:10.1038/nature10386, 2011.
- Skrzypek, G., Kałużny, A., Wojtuń, B. and Jędrysek, M.-O.: The carbon stable isotopic composition of mosses: a record of temperature variation, *Org. Geochem.*, 38(10), 1770–1781, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2007.05.002, 2007.
- Taylor, B. R., Parkinson, D. and Parsons, W. F. J.: Nitrogen and lignin content as predictors of litter decay rates: a microcosm test, *Ecology*, 70(1), 97–104, doi:10.2307/1938416, 1989.
- Tegelaar, E. W., Hollman, G., Van Der Vegt, P., De Leeuw, J. W. and Holloway, P. J.: Chemical characterization of the periderm tissue of some angiosperm species: recognition of an insoluble, non-hydrolyzable, aliphatic biomacromolecule (Suberan), *Org. Geochem.*, 23(3), 239–251, doi:10.1016/0146-6380(94)00123-I, 1995.
- Turetsky, M. R., Crow, S. E., Evans, R. J., Vitt, D. H. and Wieder, R. K.: Trade-offs in resource allocation among moss species control decomposition in boreal peatlands, *J. Ecol.*, 96(6), 1297–1305, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01438.x, 2008.
- Verhoeven, J. T. A. and Toth, E.: Decomposition of *Carex* and *Sphagnum* litter in fens: Effect of litter quality and inhibition by living tissue homogenates, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 27(3), 271–275, doi:10.1016/0038-0717(94)00183-2, 1995.
- Ward, S. E., Ostle, N. J., Oakley, S., Quirk, H., Henrys, P. A. and Bardgett, R. D.: Warming effects on greenhouse gas fluxes in peatlands are modulated by vegetation composition, edited by W. van der Putten, *Plant Soil*, 16(10), 1285–1293, doi:10.1111/ele.12167, 2013.
- Ward, S. E., Orwin, K. H., Ostle, N. J., Briones, M. J. I., Thomson, B. C., Griffiths, R. I., Oakley, S., Quirk, H. and Bardgett, R. D.: Vegetation exerts a greater control on litter decomposition than climate warming in peatlands, *Ecology*, 96(1), 113–123, doi:10.1890/14-0292.1, 2015.
- Zeh, L., Limpens, J., Erhagen, B., Bragazza, L. and Kalbitz, K.: Plant functional types and temperature control carbon input via roots in peatland soils, *Plant Soil*, 438(1–2), 19–38, doi:10.1007/s11104-019-03958-6, 2019.
- Zhang, X., Wang, X., Finnegan, P. M., Tan, W. and Mao, R.: Effects of litter mixtures on aerobic decomposition rate and its temperature sensitivity in a boreal peatland, *Geoderma*, 354(1101), 113890, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.113890, 2019.




Figure 1: Carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ [‰] and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ [‰] of shoot and root tissues from three plant functional types (PFTs; i.e. mosses, shrubs and sedges) and from two peatlands differing in temperature (high and low temperature indicated by High T and Low T, respectively). Symbols for shoots represent mean values with standard error ($n = 5$), whereas symbols for roots give means of two or a single value (High T sedge root).




Figure 2: Carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ [‰] and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ [‰] of peat core increments from two peatlands differing in temperature (high and low temperature indicated by High T and Low T, respectively) and covered by two different vascular plants (shrub and sedge). Symbols represent mean values with standard error ($n = 5$).




Figure 3: Results of the principal component analysis (PCA) of the pyrolysis data to reveal molecular composition of peat and peat forming plants. PC1 to PC2 projections of scores (a) and loadings (b), and PC1 to PC3 projections of scores (c) and loadings (d). Plant samples are labelled by site (H = high temperature; L = low temperature) and plant tissue (shoot, root). Peat samples are named by site and vascular plants (Se = Sedge-peat; Sh = Shrub-peat). The four depth increments are distinguished by symbols. Pyrolysis products correspond to codes that are given in (A1). Abbreviations are according to chemical group: benzenes (Be), lignin products (Lg), phenols (Ph) and carbohydrates (Ps). Additional variables are included into PCA, namely summed *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al), 4-vinylguaiaicol to the summed guaiacyl products (4VG/G), C₃-guaiaicol to the summed guaiacyl products (C₃G/G), sum of guaiacyl and syringyl lignin products (GS), levoglucosan to summed polysaccharides (L/Ps).




Figure 4: Parameters that reflect vascular plant input in moss-dominated peat (a-d) and decomposition of the moss-dominated peat (e, f) in peat core increments from both sites and given peat cores. Data derived from pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass-spectrometry




Figure 5: Regression plots between parameters describing decomposition of the moss-dominated peat and the impact of vascular plants on peat properties.



610 **Table 1: Overview of all parameters used in this study to determine impacts of plant functional types (PFT) on peat properties and decomposition of the moss-dominated peat (for abbreviations of the parameter see legend of Fig. 3).**

Parameter	Unit	Indication	Interpretation
C/N	-	preferential decomposition of C over N	aerobic decomposition of organic matter
$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	[‰]	preferential decomposition of ^{12}C over ^{13}C isotope	aerobic decomposition of organic matter
$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	[‰]	preferential decomposition of ^{14}N over ^{15}N isotope	aerobic decomposition of organic matter
sum of G and S lignin products	[% TIC ^a]	lignin	vascular plants (Schellekens et al. 2015b)
sum of <i>n</i> -alkenes and <i>n</i> -alkanes	[% TIC ^a]	cutan, suberan, leaf waxes	ericoid shrubs (Schellekens et al., 2011)
C ₃ G/G	-	intact lignin	ericoid shrubs (Schellekens et al., 2011, 2015a)
4-VG/G	-	ferulic acid	graminoid sedges (Schellekens et al., 2012)
4-isopropenylphenol (Ph6)	[% TIC ^a]	sphagnum acid	aerobic decomposition of <i>Sphagnum</i> tissues (loss of sphagnum acid; Schellekens et al., 2015b)
levoglucosan/sum of polysaccharides	-	cellulose	aerobic decomposition of <i>Sphagnum</i> tissues (preservation of <i>Sphagnum</i> polysaccharides; Schellekens et al., 2015b)

^a total ion current



Table 2: Type II analysis of variance with Kenward-Roger's approximation of degree of freedoms applied on carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of peat cores ($n = 5$).

	C/N			$\delta^{13}\text{C}$			$\delta^{15}\text{N}$		
	DF	F-test	<i>p</i>	DF	F-test	<i>p</i>	DF	F-test	<i>p</i>
Vascular plants (VP)	1	0.15	0.69	1	27.05	< 0.001	1	25.79	< 0.001
Site	1	19.04	0.002	1	3.17	0.11	1	1.62	0.24
Depth	3	12.75	< 0.001	3	24.21	< 0.001	3	28.46	< 0.001
VP:Site	1	1.51	0.22	1	1.80	0.18	1	0.01	0.94
VP:Depth	3	0.97	0.41	3	1.90	0.13	3	0.94	0.43
Site:Depth	3	2.40	0.07	3	2.07	0.11	3	0.51	0.68
Site:Depth:VP	3	0.12	0.94	3	0.32	0.81	3	1.26	0.29

615

620

625

630



635 **Table 3: Groups of all identified pyrolysis products for all samples: *n*-alkenes and *n*-alkanes (Al), benzenes (Be), lignin products (Lg), phenols (Ph) and polysaccharides (Ps). The contribution of the single products in groups to total ion current were cumulated for each of the respective group.**

Sample	PFT	Site	Al	Be	Lg	Ph	Ps
Plant tissue							
Shoot	Sedge	Low T	0.78	2.31	46.57	7.63	42.70
Shoot	Sedge	High T	1.11	4.87	48.89	15.42	29.71
Shoot	Shrub	Low T	3.98	6.63	26.40	21.87	41.12
Shoot	Shrub	High T	5.41	8.72	30.09	19.15	36.63
Shoot	Moss	Low T	1.13	2.83	6.25	25.37	64.42
Shoot	Moss	High T	1.02	3.37	8.76	33.39	53.46
Root	Sedge	Low T	0.77	1.85	32.23	5.50	59.65
Root	Sedge	High T	0.85	1.28	26.57	14.09	57.21
Root	Shrub	Low T	3.53	3.26	23.42	8.90	60.89
Root	Shrub	High T	2.19	1.97	24.71	7.35	63.78
Peat							
00-02 cm	Sedge	Low T	1.25	3.49	9.18	30.18	55.89
00-02 cm	Sedge	High T	0.73	2.63	14.98	24.04	57.62
00-02 cm	Shrub	Low T	2.52	5.64	13.65	29.06	49.13
00-02 cm	Shrub	High T	1.44	2.86	8.77	22.03	64.90
02-05 cm	Sedge	Low T	1.25	2.28	8.99	17.46	70.02
02-05 cm	Sedge	High T	0.91	1.89	18.10	12.46	66.65
02-05 cm	Shrub	Low T	1.04	1.94	4.60	10.03	82.39
02-05 cm	Shrub	High T	1.68	2.31	9.45	16.86	69.70
05-12 cm	Sedge	High T	1.05	1.63	21.69	8.11	67.52
05-12 cm	Sedge	Low T	1.37	2.00	12.27	8.03	76.33
05-12 cm	Shrub	Low T	2.35	3.07	12.72	20.62	61.24
05-12 cm	Shrub	High T	1.36	2.34	7.87	12.51	75.91
12-20 cm	Sedge	Low T	0.73	1.15	11.31	7.35	79.46
12-20 cm	Sedge	High T	0.48	0.85	20.23	6.52	71.92
12-20 cm	Shrub	Low T	0.95	1.59	2.65	8.28	86.53
12-20 cm	Shrub	High T	0.99	1.54	8.19	9.70	79.58

640

645



(A1) Quantified pyrolysis products, their codes, retention time (RT), and specific ion fragments (m/z) used for quantification.

Code	Pyrolysis product	m/z	RT ^c
-	C ₁₉ -C ₂₈ <i>n</i> -alkenes	55, 69	-
-	C ₁₉ -C ₂₉ <i>n</i> -alkanes	57, 71	-
Be1	benzene	78	2.365
Be2	toluene	91, 92	3.86
Lg1	4-vinylphenol	91, 120	20.117
Lg2	guaiacol	109, 124	15.232
Lg3	4-methylguaiacol	123, 138	19.092
Lg4	4-vinylguaiacol	135, 150	23.314
Lg5	C ₃ guaiacol, <i>trans</i>	164	27.73
Lg6	4-acetylguaiacol	151, 166	28.9
Lg7	syringol	139, 154	24.621
Lg8	4-methylsyringol	153, 168	27.687
Lg9	4-vinylsyringol	165, 180	31.239
Lg10	C ₃ syringol, <i>trans</i>	194	35.049
Lg11	4-acetylsyringol	181, 196	35.987
Ph1	phenol	66, 94	11.216
Ph2	C ₁ phenol	107, 108	14.031
Ph3	C ₁ phenol	107, 108	14.819
Ph4	C ₂ phenol	107, 122	18.241
Ph5	catechol	110	19.536
Ph6	4-isopropenylphenol	119, 134	23.039
Ph7	<i>p</i> -hydroxybiphenyl	170	35.33
Ps1	(2 <i>H</i>)furan-3-one	54, 84	4.566
Ps2	2-furaldehyde	95, 96	5.605
Ps3	2,3-dihydro-5-methylfuran-2-one	98	8.826
Ps4	unidentified carbohydrate	55, 86	10.29
Ps5	5-methyl-2-furaldehyde	109, 110	10.328
Ps6	4-hydroxy-5,6-dihydro-(2 <i>H</i>)-pyran-2-one	114	11.648
Ps7	2-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one	55, 112	12.861
Ps8	dianhydrorhamnose	113, 128	13.324
Ps9	unidentified carbohydrate	128, 72	15.057
Ps10	unidentified carbohydrate	56, 114	16.52
Ps11	1,4:3,6-dianhydro- α -D-glucose	69, 57	19.617
Ps12	1,4-Anhydroxylofuranose	57	21.644
Ps13	1,4-Dideoxy-D-glycero-hex-1-enopyranose-3-ulose	87, 144	22.882
Ps14	levogalactosan	60	25.359
Ps15	levomannosan	60	27.862
Ps16	levoglucosan	60	30.045