Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-97-RC1, 2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



BGD

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Ocean acidification and high irradiance stimulate growth of the Antarctic cryptophyte *Geminigera cryophila*" by Scarlett Trimborn et al.

## John Beardall (Referee)

john.beardall@monash.edu

Received and published: 16 April 2019

This is an excellent and novel contribution reporting on experiments of the interaction between light intensity and ocean acidification on aspects of the growth and physiology of an Antarctic cryptophyte. Sine information on Southern Ocean cryptophytes is limited, especially with regard to the effects of elevated CO2, the current contribution is especially welcome. The main take home message is that elevated CO2 allows growth at high light, which under present day CO2 levels would be inhibitory (non-permissive) to growth i.e. increased CO2 alleviates photoinhibition.

The data presented are thorough and well-presented. The reasoning put forward to

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



explain the data is carefully thought through and although I was surprised that the effects of OA were not apparent under medium high levels, I was persuaded by the arguments of the authors that this might be related to costs of increased N metabolism under those conditions.

I have no major criticisms to offer. One minor point is on the last line of the results (page 7 line 9) - where the authors state "...much higher NPQ values were determined in the ambient pCO2 relative to the OA treatment." should this be followed by "in the LL treatment"?

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-97, 2019.

## BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

**Discussion paper** 

