

**BGD** 

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "A decade of methane measurements at the Boknis Eck Time-series Station in the Eckernförde Bay (Southwestern Baltic Sea)" by Xiao Ma et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 15 April 2020

For characterising marine ecosystem shifts over time, especially in highly anthropogenically impacted regions, sustained time series data are invaluable, but such records are sparse. Their documentation is essential so papers of this type, in this case presenting decadal records of dissolved methane, dissolved oxygen and chlorophylla from the Boknis Eck time series site in the Baltic, are welcome. The Boknis Eck site is subject to severe eutrophication and is an active site of methane production so this paper has potential to provide important insights into methane temporal variability. As such this paper clearly falls within the scope of Biogeosciences. The authors represent a group that has a long experience of marine methane measurements and of working at the Boknis Eck site. Their methodology is well established and sound, and

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



## **BGD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



a different equation for calculating flux densities (Nightingale et al., 2000) to that used

in their earlier paper (Bange et al. (2010), i.e. Raymond and Cole (2001), which gives a lower gas transfer velocity. The authors point out that the two sets of results agree if the same equation is adopted but I was curious about their reasoning in selecting Nightingale et al (2000) for this study. I am not suggesting they are incorrect in this, rather I just wanted to know their reasoning.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-107, 2020.

## **BGD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

