Point-by-point response to the issues raised by Referee 1 (Lukas Kohl)

We thank Lukas Kohl for the positive evaluation of our work and for the helpful comments to improve the manuscript. All comments and requested changes were taken into account. Please note that comments by the referee are in italics and that in the authors' answer the mentioned line numbers refer to the version of the revised manuscript including track changes.

Referee 1:

Schroll and co-authors studied the stable carbon isotope values of methane emitted during the aerobic decomposition of organic matter by two fungal species. Methane production by fungi during plant litter decomposition is a novel pathway of methane formation, that was recently documented by the authors and others. This manuscript, however, is the first study of the stable carbon isotope $(d^{13}C)$ values associated with this novel pathway and their relationship substrate $d^{13}C$ values.

This study addresses/closes a knowledge gap in the isotope systematics of atmospheric methane that is relevant to the Biogeosciences readership. The authors used state of the art methods, and their conclusions are well supported by their results. The manuscript is well structured and easy to follow.

The study's strength is that this is the first study of its kind and provides unique stable isotope fractionation factors between biomass and methane produced by fungi. The study also used very robust measurement methods (GC/IRMS with preconcentration) that exceeds the precision, accuracy, and specificity of laser-based analysers. The main limitations of the study are that the authors did not test for contaminations by other microbial species during this study (this was, however, tested by the authors in similar incubations in a previous study). Another limitation is that the authors were not able to identify controls over relatively large variations in methane isotope values beside differences between C3 and C4 plants. This, however, is understandable given that the biochemistry of aerobic methane production in fungi remains poorly understood, and the authors contribution will surely help elucidate these pathways in the future.

<u>Authors</u>: We thank the referee for the positive evaluation of our manuscript. The reviewer's concerns are addressed below.

Main comment:

The authors used two distinct fungal species, and state that these species include both white rot and brown rot fungi. However, I was unable to find where in the manuscript the authors identify which fungal species belongs to which group.

<u>Authors</u>: A description of which fungal species belongs to white and brown rot fungi was added to section '2.1 Selected fungi' (L77-78).

Minor comments:

1) L56. remove 'applications of' for easier sentence structure Authors: Change applied.

2) L57-58. clarify what 'they' refers to in 'they might be used..', also, avoid 'fingerprints' ('characteristic $d^{13}C$ values?)

<u>Authors</u>: 'they' was clarified as ' δ^{13} C-CH₄ values' and 'fingerprints' was changed to 'characteristic δ^{13} C values'.

- L61. 'global isotopic patters': Do you mean the d¹³C values of atmospheric CH₄?' <u>Authors</u>: Correct. For clarification purposes 'δ¹³C-CH₄' was added.
- 4) L66. 'isotope patterns': stable isotope values?

Authors: Change applied.

5) L77-78. clarify which fungi is the white rot and which one is the brown rot one.

<u>Authors</u>: A specification of which fungal species belongs to white and brown rot fungi was added to section '2.1 Selected fungi'.

6) L148. is 0.06mg correct? This seems a very low sample inweight for EA/IRMS, although not impossible. Also, did you analyse analytical replicates? A single 0.06mg inweight is likely associated with a significant subsampling error.

<u>Authors</u>: Yes, the sample weight is correct. Around 0.06 mg of sample was used for the EA/IRMS measurements. Three replicates of each substrate were measured (n=3). Standard deviations for δ^{13} C of the substrates were 0.5 ‰ for pine wood, 0.6 ‰ for grass and 0.1 ‰ for corn.

7) L170-173. You could add a note that the low R2 resulted from the lack of a change in $d^{13}C$ values (emission $d^{13}C$ was similar to background $d^{13}C$). In this case, a low R2 does not indicate a poor relation between concentration and $d^{13}C$ value.

Authors: Thank you for the very helpful comment. We added a note according to the reviewer's suggestion.

8) L176-177. 'The SDs are given with a confidence interval of 1 σ ': sentence not needed and meaningless. Authors: Change applied. 9) L182-188. not needed, can be removed.

<u>Authors</u>: Please note, that for better readability we would like to keep this paragraph as it clearly explains the structure of section '3 Results and Discussion' and makes this section easy to follow for the reader.

10) L194. 'where': use 'in which' instead

Authors: Change applied.

- 11) L201-239 and Table1. stating CH₄: CO₂ ratios in µmol/mol instead of nmol/mmol would improve clarity.
 <u>Authors</u>: Thank you for your suggestion. The units of the CH₄ : CO₂ ratios were changed accordingly throughout the whole manuscript.
- 12) L314-315. 'distinct differences in the patterns': redundant structure, could be simplified. Authors: We reworded the sentence.
- 13) L318-324. This section could use some language editing for better flow. e.g. L306: 'the used growth substrates':
- The growth substrates used for this study... or similar.

Authors: This section was revised for a better flow.

- 14) L319-320. 'consist of various amounts': contain distinct amount of cellulose, [..], and other compounds. Authors: Changes applied.
- 15) L320-321. structure in parenthesis: grammar

Authors: Change applied.

16) L321-322. ... source signatures might _depend_ on the metabolic pathways _used by_ the fungal species _as well as_ the chemical composition of the substrate (or similar)

Authors: Change applied.

- 17) L323. Therefore, we suggest: remove this phrase. "The selected ..." Authors: Change applied.
- 18) L326. Figure 5 _compares_ _the_ d¹³C-CH₄ values.
 Authors: Change applied.
- 19) L334. 'depending on the photosynthetic pathway (C3, C4, or CAM)' Authors: Change applied.

Point-by-point response to the issues raised by Referee 2

We thank Referee 2 for the positive evaluation of our work and for the helpful comments to improve the manuscript. All comments and requested changes were taken into account. Please note that comments by the referee are in italics and that in the authors' answer the mentioned line numbers refer to the version of the revised manuscript including track changes.

Referee 2:

General comments: Methane is the second important anthropogenic greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide. Recent studies have shown that this gas can be produced under aerobic conditions by plants, algae, fungi and animals. In this manuscript, Schroll et al. cultivated two saprotrophic fungi on three different substrates and measured the stable carbon isotope values of methane. This study is the first to report the analysis of stable carbon isotope values of methane emitted from saprotrophic fungi. The authors found that the source values of δ 13CH4, emitted by the fungi, were dependent on the fungal species and the metabolized substrate. Although this paper has some limitations in terms fungal species and substrates, it certainly opens the door for new and exciting work in the area of aerobic methane emissions. Overall, this is a well-written manuscript and deserves to be published in Biogeosciences after minor revisions.

<u>Authors</u>: We thank the referee for the positive evaluation of our manuscript. The reviewer's concerns are addressed below.

Specific comments:

1) L16. eukaryotes,

Authors: Change applied.

2) L17-18. ecosystems via decomposition of plant litter

Authors: Change applied.

3) L18. Although the methane

Authors: Change applied.

4) L19. In this study,

Authors: Change applied.

5) L20-21. The common names of fungi must be mentioned here

Authors: The common names of the fungi have been added to the revised manuscript.

- L21., cultivated... (pine...), reflecting <u>Authors</u>: Change applied.
- L21-22. Which grass? It is better to provide the Latin names of pine, grass (species name) and corn Authors: The Latin names of the pine, grass and corn species have been added to the revised manuscript.
- 8) L23. Keeling; K must be uppercase here and in other places Authors: Change applied.
- 9) L27. 'Whilst' should be replaced; it is mentioned in the previous sentence Authors: Change applied.
- 10) L29. We found that the values of δ 13CH4 emitted

Authors: Change applied.

11) L30. What is 'They' in 'They cover'?

Authors: Change applied.

12) L34. Fossil fuel burning indicates a process but not source; source is fossil fuel, biomass, and...

Authors: Change applied.

13) L37. microorganisms,

Authors: Change applied.

14) L40. discovered,

Authors: Change applied.

15) L45. It is better to delete 'therefore'

Authors: Change applied.

- 16) L46-47. White rot fungi (e.g., Latin name)... brown rot fungi (e.g., Latin name)
 <u>Authors</u>: Examples for white rot fungi and brown rot fungi are now included in the manuscript.
- 17) L49. in the synthesis of CH4

Authors: Change applied.

18) L51. archaea with essential substrate... in fungus-infected wood stem <u>Authors</u>: Change applied.

19) L55. might be an underestimated

Authors: Change applied.

- 20) L56. It is better to delete 'Applications of'; It is better to start the sentence with Stable isotope procedures <u>Authors</u>: 'Applications of' has been deleted. Please note, that we would like to write 'Stable carbon isotopes', as in this context it refers to stable isotopes in a general meaning.
- 21) L57-58. 'they' is referred to what?

Authors: Change applied.

22) L64. have been identified

Authors: Change applied.

- 23) L67-68. plant-derived CH4..., and UV-induced CH4... Authors: Changes applied.
- 24) L69. In this study, we...

Authors: Change applied.

- 25) L76. Pleurotaceae and Polyporacaeae are the family names and should not be italicized. Authors: Changes applied.
- 26) L81. Both common and Latin names should be provided for pine, grass (specific plant species) and corn Authors: Both names have been added to the revised manuscript.
- 27) L97-98. It is better to provide the temperature for autoclave
 <u>Authors</u>: A more detailed description of the autoclave method was added to this section.
- 28) L114. What are those five different gases?

<u>Authors</u>: The five reference gases were certified gas mixtures of CH_4 and CO_2 with five different concentrations by Deuste Steininger GmbH. The name of the company was added to the manuscript to clarify the origin of the reference gases.

29) L141-143. Is 'the working reference gas' the standard reference gas?

<u>Authors</u>: We modified 'working reference gas' to read 'working standard'. We also corrected an error (L142) were the two reference standards are CH_4 and not CO_2 . Those two CH_4 reference standards are calibrated and certified and are used for the normalization of the samples. According to the 'Principle of identical treatment' the CH_4 reference gases were measured exactly in the same way as the samples.

30) L149. substrate was put... the resulting gases were separated...

Authors: Change applied.

31) L151. 27.5 m ... then reached Authors: Change applied.

32) L153. Keeling

Authors: Change applied.

33) L159. Keeling

Authors: Change applied.

34) L161. Keeling...Keeling

Authors: Change applied.

- 35) L163. It is better to delete the first 'grown on pine' Authors: Change applied.
- 36) L167. Keeling

Authors: Change applied.

37) L178. Was there a reason for using Fisher test instead of a robust test, such as Tukey's test?

Authors: The statistical evaluation with two way ANOVAs was chosen to conclude if there is a general effect of the fungi and substrates on CH₄ and CO₂ mixing-ratios, δ^{13} CH₄ and δ^{13} CO₂ values and the CH₄ : CO₂ emission ratios. The results of the post-hoc tests (Fisher least significance difference and Tukey) are attached in the supplement to this comment. Please note, that the post-hoc tests only have a limited value as there are only three repeated measurements for each parameter (n=3) and post-hoc tests are generally designed for a greater number of repeated measurements. Therefore, we prefer not to show the post-hoc tests in this manuscript and keep the general effects that are expressed by the two-way ANOVAs. Nevertheless, for the δ^{13} C-CH₄ and δ^{13} C-CO₂ isotope values p-values calculated with the Fisher LSD and Tukey test are similar and produce only minor differences. Please note that p-values (> 0.05) for CH₄ and CO₂ mixing-ratios might occur because either the quantity of emitted CH₄/CO₂ by the fungi is similar and/or the biomass of the fungi within the flasks varies. The manuscript was changed accordingly (L177-178) to clarify that the statistical methods applied in the manuscript refer to the results of two-way ANOVAs.

38) L185. Keeling

Authors: Change applied.

- 39) L187. The second 'source' can be deleted. Authors: Change applied.
- 40) L193. 'the' should be deleted. Authors: Change applied.
- 41) L197. The second 'grown' should not be italicized.

Authors: Change applied.

- 42) L203-205. Most of the controls? It is better to be specific.<u>Authors</u>: The sentence was modified to be more specific.
- 43) L205. respectively were observed

Authors: This part of the sentence was replaced because of the changes made to the previous comment 42).

44) L215. was present

Authors: Change applied.

- 45) L229. thereby. both...; the 'both' after substrate should be deleted. <u>Authors</u>: Change applied.
- 46) L230. Is it P <0.001?; a comma should be added after sapidus
 <u>Authors</u>: Yes. it is p<0.001! The comma was added after P. sapidus.
- 47) L237. 'in a good accordance' is not clear. it needs to be rewritten.

<u>Authors</u>: 'in a good accordance' was replaced by 'in the same order of magnitude' to make this sentence clearer.

48) L238. It should be noted that CH4

Authors: Change applied.

- 49) L272-274. It is better to rewrite this sentence. like: ...Keeling plot analysis that range ... are presented.
 <u>Authors</u>: Change applied.
- 50) L276 and L280. P <0.001 (number should not be italicized) Authors: Change applied.

51) L289. Keeling; one of the 'values' should be deleted.

Authors: Change applied.

52) L295. 'as so far' is not clear

Authors: 'As so far' was replaced by 'as up to the present' to make the sentence clearer.

- 53) L297-298. The values of... that range from... are presented in Table 2 Authors: Change applied.
- 54) L300. 'more' should be deleted.

Authors: Change applied.

- 55) L304-305. 'Although... substrate' is not a sentence and should be rewritten. Authors: The sentence was rewritten.
- 56) L309. 'usually' should be deleted from here and added after 'are' <u>Authors</u>: Change applied.
- 57) L311. 'slightly more' should be reworded.

Authors: Change applied.

58) L318. CH4 and CO2 are derived

Authors: Change applied.

59) L331. a wide range

Authors: Change applied.

60) L351. sources. such as methanogenic archaea and eukaryotes.

<u>Authors</u>: Thanks for the note. We changed 'abiotic processes' to 'abiotic CH_4 sources' because the term 'abiotic processes' might be misleading. Nevertheless. we would like to keep the 'abiotic CH_4 sources' in this sentence.

- 61) L351. 'and from abiotic processes' should be deleted or modified in such a way to show sources Authors: Please see response to previous comment 60).
- 62) L353. processes. resulting

Authors: Change applied.

63) L354-357. The sentence that starts with 'Thus. studying' is not clear and should be rewritten.

Authors: Change applied.

- 64) L358. research. stable Authors: Change applied.
- 65) L376. Grant Numbers Authors: Change applied.
- 66) L401. In CO2. 2 should be subscript.

Authors: Change applied.

- 67) L408. The title of this paper should be written in correct format. Authors: Change applied.
- 68) L458. The Latin name should be italicized. Authors: Change applied.
- 69) L464. In CH4. 4 should be subscript.

Authors: Change applied.

70) L465-L466. CH4 and 13C/12C should be written in correct format.

Authors: Changes applied.

71) L468-470. In CH4. 4 should be subscript; In 13C/12C. 13 and 12 should be superscript.

Authors: Changes applied.

- 72) L529. The Latin name should be italicized. Authors: Change applied.
- 73) L550. Plant Cell Environ.

Authors: Change applied.

The stable carbon isotope signature of methane produced by saprotrophic fungi

Moritz Schroll¹*, Frank Keppler^{1,2}, Markus Greule¹, Christian Eckhardt³, Holger Zorn⁴, Katharina 5 Lenhart^{5,6}*

¹Institute of Earth Sciences, Heidelberg University, Im Neuenheimer Feld 236, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany ²Heidelberg Center for the Environment (HCE), Heidelberg University, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany ³Departement of Plant Ecology, Justus Liebig University Giessen, IFZ, 26 - 32, 35392 Giessen, Germany ⁴Institute of Food Chemistry & Food Biotechnology, Justus Liebig University Giessen, IFZ, 58, 35392 Giessen, Germany

⁵Centre for Organismal Studies (COS), Im Neuenheimer Feld 230, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany ⁶Bingen University of Applied Sciences, Berlinstraße 109, Bingen 55411, Germany

Correspondence to: Moritz Schroll (Moritz.Schroll@geow.uni-heidelberg.de) and Katharina Lenhart (k.lenhart@th-bingen.de)

- 15 Abstract. Methane (CH₄) is the most abundant organic compound in the atmosphere with emissions from many biotic and abiotic sources. Recent studies have shown that CH₄ production occurs under aerobic conditions in eukaryotes₂ such as plants, animals, algae and saprotrophic fungi. Saprotrophic fungi play an important role in nutrient recycling in terrestrial ecosystems by their ability toxia decompositione of plant litter. Even Although the CH₄ production by saprotrophic fungi has been reported, so far, no data for stable carbon isotope values of the emitted CH₄ (δ¹³C-CH₄ values) is available. In this study₂ we measured
- 20 the δ¹³C values of CH₄ and carbon dioxide (δ¹³C-CO₂ values) emitted by the two saprotrophic fungi *Pleurotus sapidus* (oyster <u>mushroom</u>) and *Laetiporus sulphureus* (sulphur shelf) cultivated, on three different substrates pine wood (*Pinus sylvestris*), grass (mixture of *Lolium perenne*, *Poa pratensis*, *Festuca rubra*) and corn (*Zea mays*), reflecting both C₃ and C₄ plants with distinguished bulk δ¹³C values. Applying keeling Keeling plots, we found that the δ¹³C source values of CH₄ emitted from fungi cover a wide range from -40 mUr to -69 mUr depending on the growth substrate and fungal species. Whilst little apparent
- 25 carbon isotopic fractionation (in the range of -0.3 mUr to 4.6 mUr) was calculated for δ¹³C values of CO₂ released from *P*. *sapidus* and *L. sulphureus* relative to the bulk δ¹³C values of the growth substrates, much larger carbon isotopic fractionations (ranging from -22 mUr to -42 mUr) were observed for the formation of CH₄. WhilstAlthough the two fungal species showed similar δ¹³CH₄ source values when grown on pine wood, δ¹³CH₄ source values differed substantially between the two fungal species when grown on grass or corn. We found that the source values of δ¹³CH₄ source values of δ¹³CH₄ source values of are highly dependent on the fungal species and the metabolized substrate. The source values of δ¹³CH₄ the cover a broad
- 30 are highly dependent on the fungal species and the metabolized substrate. The source values of δ^{13} CH₄They cover a broad range of δ^{13} CH₄ values and overlap with values reported for methanogenic archaea, thermogenic degradation of organic matter and other eukaryotes.

1 Introduction

- Methane (CH4) is an important greenhouse gas that is emitted by several abiotic sources (e.g. fossil fuel-burning and use,
 biomass burning, geological processes) and biotic sources (e.g. wetlands, agriculture and waste, fresh waters) to the atmosphere (Kirschke et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2016, 2019). In the past, biotic CH4 production has been attributed exclusively to strictly anaerobic microorganisms, such as methanogens that are ubiquitous in wetlands, rice paddies, landfills and the intestines of termites and ruminants (Kirschke et al., 2013). The discovery of CH4 emissions from dead and living plants under oxic conditions (Keppler et al., 2006, 2009) paved the way for the search of new biogenic CH4 sources. Since then, several
 previously unknown CH4 sources were discovered, including endothelial cells of rat liver (Boros and Keppler, 2019; Ghyczy et al., 2008), plant cell cultures (Wishkerman et al., 2011), marine algae (Klintzsch et al., 2019; Lenhart et al., 2016), marine and terrestrial cyanobacteria (Bižić et al., 2020), humans (Keppler et al., 2016) and saprotrophic fungi (Lenhart et al., 2012). Fungi play a central role in ecosystems by decomposing organic matter and thereby recycling formerly bound carbon and nutrients (Grinhut et al., 2007). This process is especially important in forests where fungi are essential for wood decay and
- 45 therefore have a great impact on the carbon and nitrogen cycles in these environments (Ralph and Catcheside, 2002). White rot fungi (e.g. *Trametes versicolor or Pleurotus ostreatus*) are able to decompose the chemically complex structural component lignin, whereas brown rot fungi (e.g. *Serpula lacrymans or Gloeophyllum trabeum*) mainly metabolize cellulose and hemicellulose (Ten Have and Teunissen, 2001; Leonowicz et al., 1999; Valášková and Baldrian, 2006). Fungi have already been determined to be involved in the <u>synthesis of CH₄-synthesis</u> during wood decay (Beckmann et al., 2011; Mukhin and Catcheside).
- 50 Voronin, 2007, 2008) by breakdown of large macromolecules to smaller molecules, thereby providing bacteria and methanogenic archaea with-their essential substrate. Elevated levels of CH₄ were found in fungus-ally-infected wood stems with oxygen concentrations ranging from 1 to 14 % (Hietala et al., 2015). Here, CH₄ production was associated with anoxic microsites in the xylem, indicating that at least part of the CH₄ was produced by methanogenic archaea. Nevertheless, Lenhart et al., 2012 demonstrated that basidiomycetes are able to produce CH₄ under aerobic conditions without the presence of methanogenic archaea. Therefore, fungi might be an so far underestimated source of CH₄ in the global CH₄ cycle.
- Applications of Sstable carbon isotopes (expressed as δ¹³C values) have often been used to investigate sources and sinks of CH₄ on the global scale (Whiticar, 1993). As different CH₄ sources have distinct characteristic δ¹³C valuesfingerprints, they <u>δ¹³C-CH₄ values</u> might be used to quantify the individual contributions of various sources regionally and/or globally (Dlugokencky et al., 2011; Hein et al., 1997; Nisbet et al., 2016; Quay et al., 1999; Tyler, 1986; Whiticar, 1999). The short
- 60 lifetime of CH₄ in the atmosphere (range from 9.7 ± 1.5 to 11.2 ± 1.3 years) (Naik et al., 2013; Prather et al., 2012; Voulgarakis et al., 2013) assures that global isotopic δ^{13} C-CH₄-patterns represent the average of recent inputs by various sources and allows the quantification of respective source strengths (Mikaloff Fletcher et al., 2004b, 2004a).

Additionally, stable isotopes provide information about the formation processes of CH₄. Traditionally, three formation categories of δ¹³C-CH₄ values have been <u>identifiedelassified</u>: biogenic, with typical δ¹³C-CH₄ values ranging from ~-55 mUr
 to -70 mUr, thermogenic (ranging from ~-25 mUr to -55 mUr) and pyrogenic (ranging from ~-13 mUr to -25 mUr) (Kirschke

et al., 2013). However, isotopic patternsstable isotope values of recently identified CH_4 sources, i.e. human CH_4 emissions (-56 mUr to -95 mUr) (Keppler et al., 2016), plant_derived CH_4 (-52 mUr to -69 mUr) (Keppler et al., 2006), and abiotic UV_{\pm} induced CH_4 formation by plants (-52 mUr to -67 mUr) (Vigano et al., 2009) also need to be considered.

In this study, we investigated the stable carbon isotope source signatures of CH₄ and CO₂ released by the two basidiomycetes *Pleurotus sapidus* (white rot fungus) and *Laetiporus sulphureus* (brown rot fungus). Both fungi were cultivated under sterile conditions on three different substrates (pine wood, grass, and corn) with varying bulk δ¹³C values. We examined the influence of fungal species and growth substrate on δ¹³C-CH₄ and δ¹³C-CO₂ values and compared the δ¹³C-CH₄ values from the two fungal species with those of other known sources reported from the literature.

2 Material and Methods

75 2.1 Selected fungi

P. sapidus (Pleurotaceae, DSMZ 8266) and *L. sulphureus* (Polyporacaeae, DSMZ 1014) were chosen for this experiment because of their capability to emit CH₄ (Lenhart et al., 2012), their ecological and physiological characteristics (*P. sapidus* is <u>a</u> white <u>rot fungus</u> and <u>L. sulphureus</u> is <u>a</u> brown rot fungus) and well-established practical handling under laboratory conditions.

80 2.2 Cultivation of fungi and incubation experiments

Pine wood (*Pinus sylvestris*), grass (mixture of *Lolium perenne*, *Poa pratensis*, *Festuca rubra*) and corn (*Zea mays*) were selected as growth substrates. Pine wood was chosen to investigate if white rotbrown and brownwhite rot fungi differ in δ^{13} C-CH₄ and δ^{13} C-CO₂ values released during wood decay. Therefore, dead pine wood branches were collected from the forest floor and shredded to small wood chips with a length of about 5 cm (Natura 1800L; Glora, Witten, Germany). The wood chips

- 85 were dried at 60°C for 48h and stored in a flask (Weck, Hanau, Germany). Grass (C₃ plant) and corn (C₄ plant) were selected because of their different stable isotope values. As the metabolic pathway for carbon fixation is biochemically different in C₃ and C₄ plants, plant biomass differs in δ¹³C values, which in turn might lead to different δ¹³C values of CH₄ and CO₂ released by fungi. Therefore, typical garden lawn was manually cut, dried at 70 °C, and stored in a flask. The corn substrate consisted of conventional corn flour.
- 90 The substrates were autoclaved and filled into 2.7 l flasks (Weck, Hanau, Germany) and inoculated with pure fungal submerg ed cultures under sterile conditions according to Lenhart et al., 2012. After addition of the fungi, the flasks were closed with lids and a rubber band sealing. To allow gas exchange during the growth time of the fungi (about two weeks), a hole in the centre of every lid was fitted with a cotton stopper. Before the start of the incubation experiments, the flasks were aerated under sterile conditions in order to start the incubation at atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios. Additionally, to seal the flasks airtight the
- 95 cotton stoppers were replaced by sterile silicone stoppers (Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics, Charny, France).

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv hat formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv For the incubation experiments, P. sapidus und L. sulphureus were incubated on the three substrates, while substrates were incubated as control treatments. Before the incubation experiments, the substrates were sterilized by autoclaving at 121 °C and 2 bar pressure for 20 minutes. The incubation experiments were conducted as three replicates per treatment. The duration of the incubation accounted for up to 40 h. All incubations were conducted at room temperature (23 ± 1.5 °C). At every sampling

- 100 point, 40 ml air was taken from the flasks for gas concentration measurements and an additional 40 ml were taken for δ^{13} C-CH₄ stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) analysis. The gas samples were taken with airtight 60 ml PE syringes (Plastipak, BD, Franklin Lakes, USA) and transferred into 12 ml evacuated Exetainers (Labco, High Wycombe, UK). Subsequently a volume of atmospheric air equivalent to the volume of the removed sample was added into each flask directly after sampling. Mixing ratios and stable isotope values of CH4 were corrected according to the dilution.
- 105 When calculating the fungal CH_4 and CO_2 production rates, we subtracted substrate derived CH_4 and CO_2 production rates (determined in the control treatments) from the respective fungi containing samples. Additionally, for the calculation of the fungal production rates only sample points showing a linear increase in CH₄ and CO₂ were taken into account.

To account for differences in the metabolic activity of the fungi, we additionally measured respiration rates, assuming that metabolic activity correlates with respiration and therefore CO₂ emissions of the fungi. Hence, we related fungal derived CH₄ emissions to respiration by calculating the CH₄ : CO₂ emission ratio.

110

2.3 Analysis of CH4 and CO2 via gas-chromatography

Samples were analysed using a gas chromatograph (GC, Bruker Greenhouse Gas Analyser 450-GC) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and an electron capture detector (ECD) for the detection of CH4 and CO2, respectively. The detector temperatures were set at 300 °C (FID) and 350 °C (ECD). Five reference gases (Deuste Steininger GmbH) were used for 115 calibrating the GC-system. The reference gases were in the range of 1 parts per million by volume (ppmv) to 21 ppmv and 304 ppmv to 40,000 ppmv for CH₄ and CO₂ respectively. Gas peaks were integrated using Galaxie software (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA).

120

13

2.4 Definition of δ values and isotope apparent fractionation

In this paper, all stable carbon isotope ratios are expressed in the conventional 'delta' on tation, meaning the relative difference of the isotope ratio of a substance compared to the standard substance Vienna Peedee Belemnite (V-PDB) (Eq. (1)).

$$S^{13}C = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{L^2} \sum_{v,v \in DB}^{v,v} - 1}{\prod_{i=1}^{L^2} \sum_{v,v \in DB}^{v,v} - 1}$$
(1)

The apparent fractionation (ϵ_{app}) between fungal $\delta^{13}C$ -CH₄ or $\delta^{13}C$ -CO₂ values and the $\delta^{13}C$ values of the substrates was calculated according to Eq. (2).

125
$$\epsilon_{\text{app CH4 or CO2}} = \frac{\left(\delta^{13}C + 1\right)_{\text{fungal CH}_{4} \text{ or CO}_{2}}}{\left(\delta^{13}C + 1\right)_{\text{substrate}}} - 1$$

We follow the proposal of Brand and Coplen, 2012 and use the term 'urey' (Ur) as the isotope delta unit, in order to conform with the guidelines for the International System of Units (SI). Hence, isotope delta values that were formerly given as -70 ‰, are expressed as -70 mUr.

2.5 Measurements of $\delta^{13}CH_4$ and $\delta^{13}CO_2$ values

- 130 Stable carbon isotope values of CH₄ and CO₂ were measured using a continuous flow isotope mass spectrometry system (CF-IRMS). A HP 6890N GC (Agilent, Santa Clara, USA) was linked to a preconcentration unit for CH₄ measurements and an autosampler A200S (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland) for CO₂ analysis. The GC was equipped with a CP-PoraPLOT Q capillary column (Varian, Palo Alto, USA) (27,5 m x 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 8 µm). The GC was operated with an injector temperature of 200°C, isothermal oven temperature of 30°C, split injection (10:1) and a constant carrier gas flow of 1.8 ml
- 135 min⁻¹ (methane-free helium). The GC was coupled to a Delta^{PLUS}XL isotope ratio mass spectrometer (ThermoQuest Finnigan, Bremen, Germany) via an oxidation reactor and a GC Combustion III Interface (ThermoQuest Finnigan, Bremen, Germany). The oxidation reactor was employed with the following properties: ceramic tube (Al₂O₃), length 320 mm, 1.0 mm i.d., with Ni/Pt wires inside activated by oxygen, reactor temperature 960 °C.

For CH₄ measurements with the preconcentration unit, headspace gas samples were transferred to an evacuated 40 ml sample 140 loop. Methane was trapped on Hayesep D, separated from other compounds by the GC and then introduced into the IRMS system via an open split. The working referencemonitor gas was carbon dioxide of high purity (carbon dioxide 4.5, Messer Griesheim, Frankfurt, Germany) with a known δ^{13} C value of -23.6 mUr (calibrated at MPI for Biogeochemistry in Jena, Germany). All δ^{13} C values were corrected using two working CH₄ reference gases of high purity carbon dioxidestandards (Isometric instruments, Victoria, Canada) with δ^{13} C values of -23.9 ± 0.2 mUr and -54.5 ± 0.2 mUr that were calibrated against

145 IAEA and NIST reference substances. The normalization of the sample values was done according to Paul et al., 2007.

2.6 Bulk isotope analysis of fungal substrates

150

Stable carbon isotope values of the bulk substrate were measured using an Elemental Analyzer Flash EA 11112 (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Germany) coupled to a Delta V IRMS (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Germany). Therefore, 0.06 mg of the substrate were was put into a tin cup and combusted in the Elemental Analyzer. The resulting gases wereare separated in a GC by a CP-PoraPLOT Q capillary column (Varian, Palo Alto, USA) (27,5 m x 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 8 μ m) and then reached the Delta V IRMS via a Conflo IV Universal Continuous Flow Interface (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Germany). Isotope values were corrected using USGS 40 and USGS 41 standards.

2.7 Determination of isotopic source signature of CH4 and CO2 applying keeling Keeling plots

For the determination of δ^{13} C source values of CH₄ and CO₂ the <u>keeling Keeling</u> plot method was used (Keeling, 1958; Pataki et al., 2003) (Eq. (3)):

$$\delta^{13}C_a = c_b(\delta^{13}C_b - \delta^{13}C_s) \left(\frac{1}{c_s}\right) + \delta^{13}C_s \tag{3}$$

where c_a is the mixing ratio of CH₄/ CO₂ in the headspace, $\delta^{13}C_a$ is the $\delta^{13}C$ value of CH₄/ CO₂ in the headspace, c_b is the mixing ratio of background CH₄/CO₂, $\delta^{13}C_b$ is the $\delta^{13}C$ value of background CH₄/CO₂ and $\delta^{13}C_s$ the $\delta^{13}C$ source value of the CH₄/CO₂. For a more detailed description of the application of keeling Keeling plots for determination of CH₄ source signature we refer to the study by Keppler et al., 2016.

 δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures were calculated after the <u>keeling Keeling</u> plot method for each flask. Results of the <u>keeling Keeling</u> plots are then given as the arithmetic mean of the three individual flasks per treatment with standard deviations (n=3). δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures of each flask of *P. sapidus*-grown on pine and *L. sulphureus* grown on pine were corrected for CH₄ emissions and δ^{13} C-CH₄ values of the "pine" control samples using the following mass balance approach (Eq. (4)).

$$165 \qquad \delta^{13}C_{\text{fungi corrected}} = \frac{\left(\frac{P(CH_4)_{\text{fungi}} * \delta^{13}C_{\text{fungi}}\right) - (P(CH_4)_{\text{pine}} * \delta^{13}C_{\text{pine}})}{(P(CH_4)_{\text{fungi}} * P(CH_4)_{\text{pine}})} \tag{4}$$

, where P(CH₄) _{fungi/pine wood} is the CH₄ emitted by the fungi or pine wood and δ^{13} C _{fungi/pinewood} is the δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signature of the fungi or pine wood derived from <u>keeling-Keeling</u> plots. Corrected δ^{13} C-CH₄ source values for *P. sapidus* and *L. sulphureus* are given as the arithmetic mean of the three individual flasks per treatment with standard deviations (n=3).

The determination coefficient (R²) of the keeling Keeling plots showed values higher than 0.93, except for *P. sapidus* grown on grass (R²=0.51). The lPlease note that the, in comparison to the other incubation experiments, lower R² value for *P. sapidus* grown on grass is probably a result of the marginal results from a lack of changes of δ¹³C-CH₄ values due to the only a small increase emission of the CH₄ mixing ratio compared to the background CH₄ mixing ratio. Therefore, the low R² does not necessarily indicate a weaker poorrelationship relation between CH₄ mixing ratio and δ¹³C-CH₄.

2.8 Statistics

155

160

- 175 Mixing ratios and production rates of CH₄, CO₂, δ¹³C-CH₄ and δ¹³C-CO₂ values and δ¹³C source values are presented as arithmetic mean of three independent replicates with standard deviations (SD; n = 3). The SDs are given with a confidence interval of 1 σ. Linear regression analysis, arithmetic means and SDs were calculated using Excel (Microsoft Excel for Office 365 MSO). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a post hoc test (Fisher least significant difference) (SigmaPlot 12.2.0.45, USA) were carried out to test for "species" and "substrate" related effects on δ¹³C-CH₄ and δ¹³C-CO₂ source values
- 180 for each treatment. Differences at the p < 0.05 level were referred to as significant.

3 Results and Discussion

185

In this section, we firstly present the results of CH₄ and CO₂ production from the two fungal species grown on the three different substrates. This includes emission rates of CH₄ and CO₂ from the control treatments of pine wood, grass and corn as well as the molar ratio of CH₄ and CO₂. Secondly, we then present the respective stable isotope values measured for CH₄ and CO₂ during the incubation experiments and calculate the stable isotope source values of CH₄ and CO₂ released by the fungi applying keeling. Keeling plots. We then compare these values with stable carbon isotope values of the bulk organic matter by calculating the apparent fractionation. Finally, we compare δ^{13} C source values of fungal derived CH₄ with-sources values known for other CH₄ sources from the literature.





190

Figure 1: Mixing ratios of CH_4 and CO_2 of *P. sapidus* (a, c) and *L. sulphureus* (b, d) grown on pine wood, grass, and corn. Mixing ratios are presented as mean values with standard deviation SD (n=3).

All incubation experiments where in which fungi were grown on the different substrates showed a significant increase in CH4
compared to the respective substrate control (Fig. 1 a, c). Calculated emission rates for CH4 and CO2 are presented in Table 1. *L. sulphureus* grown on grass (7.5 ± 1.3 nmol h⁻¹) showed the highest emission rate of CH4, followed by *L. sulphureus* grown on pine (6.2 ± 0.3 nmol h⁻¹), *P. sapidus* grown on corn (4.4 ± 1.9 nmol h⁻¹), *L. sulphureus* grown on corn (2.6 ± 0.1 nmol h⁻¹), *P. sapidus* grown on pine (5.2 ± 0.2 nmol h⁻¹) and *P. sapidus* grown on grass (1.4 ± 0.5 nmol h⁻¹). Please note that CH4 and CO2 emission rates are not related to fungal biomass. Therefore, differences in the emission rates might be due to varying
fungal biomass of the subsamples. Instead, CH4 production was related to CO2 production by determining the molar emission

ratio between CH_4 and CO_2 ($\underline{n}_{\underline{\mu}}$ mol CH_4 : \underline{m} mol CO_2). CO_2 production thereby reflects the amount of fungal biomass and is also an indicator for the metabolic activity of the fungi.

Most of the The control flasks did not show significant changes in their CH₄ and CO₂ mixing ratios over time, except for CH₄ in pine wood controls $(1.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ nmol } \text{h}^{-1})$. However, in the control flasks of pine wood and corn small CH₄ emission rates of $1.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ nmol } \text{h}^{-1}$ and $0.25 \pm 0.01 \text{ nmol } \text{h}^{-1}$, respectively were observed, and in the control 'grass' the CH₄ mixing ratio slightly decreased over time (-0.05 ± 0.04 nmol h^{-1}). Whilst the pine wood and corn control flasks showed a small increase in the CH₄ mixing ratio, they did not show an increase in CO₂ mixing ratios. These data rule out a contamination by microbial heterotrophs, as this would cause a measurable CO₂ increase within the flasks. The CH₄ increase in the substrate controls might be attributed to CH₄ release by dead plant material as it was already shown by Keppler et al., 2006 and Vigano et al., 2009.

210 Within the scope of these experiments, no analytic test for microbial contamination was conducted. Nevertheless, Lenhart et al., 2012 clearly showed that with the performed method of cultivation of fungi and incubation experiments no methanogenic archaea were present, using three different methods (Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and quantitative real time PCR). Furthermore, CH₄ and CO₂ release and the CH₄ : CO₂ emission ratios in our incubations are similar to the experiments of Lenhart et al., 2012 and do not indicate microbial contamination. Therefore,

215 we assume that in our investigations no contamination with bacteria or methanogenic archaea wasere present.

For *P. sapidus* grown on corn and *L. sulphureus* grown on grass, no further linear increase in CH₄ was observed after 22 h and 10 h, respectively. This might be due to a reduced decay of organic matter and slower fungal metabolism because of higher CO₂ and lower O₂ mixing ratios.

- 220 A drastic increase in CO₂ mixing ratios relative to the controls was observed in all flasks containing fungi (Fig. 1 b, d). The CO₂ emission rates are shown in Table 1. CO₂ production rates ranged from $176 \pm 4 \ \mu\text{mol} \ h^{-1}$ to $2910 \pm 410 \ \mu\text{mol} \ h^{-1}$ for *P*. *sapidus* grown on grass and *P. sapidus* grown on corn, respectively. These highly variable CO₂ production rates might reflect different fungal biomass and metabolic activity (mineralisation of organic matter). In the control treatments, tiny increases in the CO₂ mixing ratio were detected ranging from $0.64 \pm 0.12 \ \mu\text{mol} \ h^{-1}$ to $0.91 \pm 0.14 \ \mu\text{mol} \ h^{-1}$. Only one flask (corn control)
- 225 showed a somewhat higher increase in CO₂ (7.76 μmol h⁻¹), which is most likely caused by microbial contamination of the flask. However, no increase in the CH₄ mixing ratio was detected (see supplementary material). Therefore, this control flask was excluded from further calculations.

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv

Mean CH₄ and CO₂ emission rates and CH₄ : CO₂ emission ratios of all treatments are presented in Table 1. Higher ratios indicate a higher CH₄ production during decay of the substrates. Thereby, <u>both</u> fungal species and substrate <u>both</u> affect the CH₄ : CO₂ emission ratio ($p \ge 0.001$). For *P. sapidus*₂ CH₄ : CO₂ emission ratios are more variable (1.4 to 8.0 nmol-µmol

CH₄/mmol CO₂) compared to *L. sulphureus* (6.7 – 9.6 numol CH₄/mmol CO₂). This variation might be due to differences in the fungi's enzyme sets required for organic matter decay, as *P. sapidus* is a white rot fungus and *L. sulphureus* is a brown rot fungus. At present the biochemical pathways that lead to CH₄ are still unknown, although compounds such as the sulphurbound methyl-group of methionine and glucose have been identified to act as carbon precursors of fungal-derived CH₄ (Lenhart

235 et al., 2012).

Lenhart et al., 2012 found CH_4 : CO_2 ratios of fungi that ranged between 8 μ mol CH_4 /mmol CO_2 and 17 μ mol CH_4 /mmol CO_2 , which is in a good accordance within the same order of magnitude as the CH_4 : CO_2 ratios determined in this study. Please It should be noted, that CH_4 : CO_2 ratios of Lenhart et al., 2012 were given in ppbv CH_4 : % CO_2 and for better comparability CH_4 : CO_2 ratios were converted to fit the units used in this study (μ mol CH_4 : mmol CO_2).

240

230

Table 1: CH_4 and CO_2 production rates and molar CH_4 : CO_2 emission ratios of the fungi incubated on different substrates. Values are presented as mean values of three independent replicates with SD (n = 3), except for the control "corn" (n=2).

| Fungi | Substrate | CH ₄ production rate | CO ₂ production rate | CH ₄ : CO ₂ ratio | |
|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | [nmol h ⁻¹] | [µmol h ⁻¹] | [<u>µ</u> mol/mmol] | |
| P. sapidus | pine | 2.5 ± 0.2 | 901 ± 79 | 2.8 ± 0.4 | |
| | grass | 1.4 ± 0.5 | 176 ± 4 | 8.0 ± 2.8 | |
| | corn | 4.4 ± 1.9 | 2910 ± 419 | 1.4 ± 0.5 | |
| L. sulphureus | pine | 6.2 ± 0.3 | 724 ± 42 | 8.6 ± 1.0 | |
| | grass | 7.5 ± 1.3 | 771 ± 103 | 9.6 ± 0.5 | |
| | corn | 2.6 ± 0.1 | 385 ± 20 | 6.7 ± 0.4 | |
| control | pine | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 0.64 ± 0.12 | - | |
| | grass | -0.05 ± 0.04 | 0.91 ± 0.14 | - | |
| | corn | 0.25 | 0.66 | - | |

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

3.2 Stable carbon isotope values of CH₄ and CO₂



245 **Figure 2:** Stable carbon isotope values of CH₄ and CO₂ of *P. sapidus* (a, c) and *L. sulphureus* (b, d) grown on pine, grass, and corn. Values are presented as mean values with SD (n=3), except for δ¹³CO₂ values of *L. sulphureus* grown on corn (n=2).

Stable carbon isotope values of CH₄ and CO₂ measured from the incubation experiments are presented in Fig. 2. All incubations show a trend towards more negative δ¹³C-CH₄ values (less ¹³C) with time except for *P. sapidus* grown on corn, where a tendency towards more positive δ¹³C-CH₄ values was observed (Fig. 2 a, b). During the incubation, δ¹³C-CH₄ values changed from -47.7 ± 0.1 mUr (for incubation of *P. sapidus* grown on pine/grass) and -48.2 ± 0.1 mUr (for incubation of *P. sapidus* grown on pine/grass/corn) to -53.0 ± 0.7 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on pine), -48.7 ± 0.3 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on grass), -45.8 ± 1.2 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on corn), -55.1 ± 0.4 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on pine), -55.4 ± 0.4 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on grass) and -49.9 ± 0.4 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on corn). The controls showed no
significant shift in δ¹³C-CH₄ values except for the control "pine", where an increase in the CH₄ mixing ratio along with more

significant shift in 6 'C-Cri4 values except for the control pline', where an increase in the Cri4 mixing failo along with in-

negative values of δ^{13} C-CH₄ values occurred over time. This was accounted for when calculating the δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures for *P. sapidus* grown on pine and *L. sulphureus* grown on pine (see materials and methods 2.7).

The δ¹³C-CO₂ values showed a trend towards more negative values within the first three to four hours of incubation (Fig. 2 c, d). After this time only minor changes of the δ¹³C-CO₂ values occurred. Final δ¹³C-CO₂ values of the incubation were -24.9 ±
0.6 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on pine), -28.6 ± 0.9 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on grass), -12.0 ± 0.3 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on corn), -24.1 ± 0.1 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on pine), -27.7 ± 0.5 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on grass) and -13.0 ± 0.5 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on corn).

| | Table 2: Calculated δ^{13} C-CH ₄ and δ^{13} C-CO ₂ source signatures, δ^{13} C values of the substrates, and $\varepsilon_{app CH4}$ and $\varepsilon_{app CO2}$. Va | lues |
|-----|--|------|
| 265 | are presented as mean values with the SD (n=3). | |

| Fungi | Substrate | δ ¹³ C-CH ₄ source | δ ¹³ C-CO ₂ | δ ¹³ C substrate | Е арр СН4 | Е арр СО2 |
|---------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | [mUr] | source [mUr] | [mUr] | [mUr] | [mUr] |
| P. sapidus | pine | -65.3 ± 1.1 | -24.1 ± 0.1 | | -38.4 ± 1.2 | 4.0 ± 0.1 |
| | grass | -52.9 ± 1.6 | -27.4 ± 1.3 | | $\textbf{-21.8} \pm 1.7$ | 4.6 ± 1.3 |
| | corn | -39.8 ± 2.0 | -12.0 ± 0.3 | | -28.5 ± 2.0 | $\textbf{-0.3}\pm0.3$ |
| L. sulphureus | pine | -61.4 ± 0.5 | -25.0 ± 0.5 | | -34.4 ± 0.6 | 3.0 ± 0.4 |
| | grass | $\textbf{-69.2} \pm 1.9$ | $\textbf{-29.0}\pm0.5$ | | -38.6 ± 2.0 | 2.9 ± 0.5 |
| | corn | -53.4 ± 1.1 | $\textbf{-12.8}\pm0.3$ | | -42.2 ± 1.1 | $\textbf{-1.1}\pm0.3$ |
| control | pine | | | -28.0 ± 0.5 | | |
| | grass | | | -31.5 ± 0.6 | | |
| | corn | | | -11.7 ± 0.1 | | |





Figure 3: Keeling plots shown for *P. sapidus* (a) and *L. sulphureus* (b) grown on three substrates. Sample points in the graphs are given as the arithmetic mean of δ¹³C-CH₄ or δ¹³C-CO₂ values with SD (n=3) on the y-axis and the arithmetic mean of the inverted mixing ratio of CH₄ or CO₂ with SD (n=3) on the x-axis.

The δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures determined via a keeling Keeling plot analysis (Fig. 3) that are presented in Table 2 and range from -69.2 ± 1.9 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on grass) to -39.8 ± 2.0 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on corn) are presented in Table 2. Average δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures for each fungal species, considering all three substrates, are -52.6 mUr for *P. sapidus* and -61.3 mUr for *L. sulphureus*. These results suggest that the fungal species significantly influence the isotopic values of the emitted CH₄ (p < 0.001). A possible explanation for this observation could be the different enzyme sets of both fungi decomposing different components of the growth substrates, as *P. sapidus* belongs to white rot fungi and *L. sulphureus* is a brown rot fungus. However, detailed investigations of the metabolic pathways leading to CH₄ formation were beyond the scope of this study.

- 280 Furthermore, a significant effect of the growth substrate on δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures was observed (p < 0.001). δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures by *P. sapidus* were more positive compared to those of *L. sulphureus* when grown on grass (Δ =16.3 mUr) and corn (Δ =13.6 mUr) (Fig. 4). When grown on pine wood, δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures were similar with *P. sapidus* showing slightly more negative values (Δ =-3.9 mUr). Methane emitted by both fungi grown on corn was generally more enriched in ¹³C (less negative δ^{13} C-CH₄ source values) compared to the fungi grown on pine wood and grass. This might be easily
- 285 explained by the δ^{13} C values of the growth substrates corn (-11.7 mUr, typical for C₄-plants) being roughly 20 mUr less negative in their δ^{13} C values compared to the C₃-plants pine wood (-28.0 mUr) and grass (-31.5 mUr).

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv



Figure 4: Calculated source signatures of δ^{13} C-CH₄ values (a) and δ^{3} C-CO₂ values (b) by *P. sapidus*, *L. sulphureus* and the δ^{13} C values of the substrate. Values <u>The data points</u> are presented as represent the mean values of the individual keeling. Keeling plots with SD (n=3).

290 plots with SD (n=3).

Comparison of calculated δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures with measured bulk δ^{13} C values of the substrates shows that CH₄ emitted by both fungi is generally depleted in ¹³C compared to the respective substrates (Fig. 4a). Based on this data we further calculated the apparent fractionation ($\varepsilon_{app CH4}$) between the δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures and the bulk δ^{13} C values of the growth substrates. The apparent fractionation was calculated as <u>up to the presentso far</u> no metabolic pathway for the formation of CH₄ in fungi is known and therefore currently only the initial δ^{13} C signatures of the substrates and the calculated δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures of the fungi can be compared. The values of $\varepsilon_{app CH4}$ are presented in Table 2 and that range from -21.8 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on grass) to -42.2 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on corn) are presented in Table 2. When grown on pine wood ε_{app} CH₄ values are similar for *P. sapidus* (-38.4 ± 1.2 mUr) and *L. sulphureus* (-34.4 ± 0.6 mUr). The differences in $\varepsilon_{app CH4}$ values between both fungal species are-more distinct when grown on grass (*P. sapidus*: -21.8 ± 1.7 mUr, *L. sulphureus*: -38,6 ± 2.0

mUr) and corn (*P. sapidus*: $-28,5 \pm 2.0$ mUr, *L. sulphureus*: -42.2 ± 1.1 mUr).

used substrates were found to influence δ^{13} C-CO₂ values significantly (*p*<0.001).

The calculated δ¹³C-CO₂ source signatures of both fungi (Table 2) range from -29.0 ± 0.5 mUr (*L. sulphureus* grown on grass) to -12.0 ± 0.3 mUr (*P. sapidus* grown on corn). δ¹³C-CO₂ source signatures are in a similar range for both fungi for all three substrates. However, Although CO₂ emitted by *L. sulphureus* is slightly more depleted in ¹³C for all three substrates compared
 to *P. sapidus*. Hence, an effect of fungal species on the stable carbon isotope values of CO₂ is significant (*p*=0.008). Also, the

The δ^{13} C-CO₂ source signatures of the fungi show only small deviations from the bulk δ^{13} C values of the respective substrates (Fig. 4b). However, for both fungi grown on pine wood and grass, δ^{13} C-CO₂ values are slightly less negative (a few mUr) compared to the bulk substrate. This observation is rather unexpected, as <u>usually</u> δ^{13} C-CO₂ values are <u>usually</u> more negative

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv hat formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv hat formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv

- 310 with respect to δ^{13} C values of growth substrates due to fractionation during the metabolism (Bowling et al., 2008). However, when grown on corn δ^{13} C-CO₂ source signatures by both fungi are-<u>slightly</u> more negative compared to the substrate and calculated $\varepsilon_{app CO2}$ values (Table 2) are -1.1 ± 0.3 mUr and +4.6 ± 1.3 mUr for *L. sulphureus* grown on corn and *P. sapidus* grown on grass, respectively.
- The results of the incubation experiments show that there are distinct differences in the patterns of δ^{13} C-CH₄ and δ^{13} C-CO₂
- 315 values released by <u>both</u> fungi. While the δ¹³C-CO₂ source signatures are similar to the δ¹³C values of the substrate (with ε_{app} CO₂ values up to 4.6 mUr), the δ¹³C-CH₄ source signatures deviate strongly from the respective substrate, with ε_{app CH4} values of up to -42.2 mUr. This either indicates that metabolic pathways leading to the formation of CH₄ and CO₂ have different fractionation and/or that fungal CH₄ and CO₂ are derived from different precursor compounds of the respective substrate. The used growth substrates <u>used for this study</u> (pine wood, grass<u>and corn</u>) <u>consist of various components including mainlycontain</u>
 320 <u>distinct amounts of</u> cellulose, hemicellulose<u>and</u> lignin and other compounds at different proportions (in contrast toil for only using pure glucose or cellulose as growth substrate). Hence, the δ¹³C-CH₄ and δ¹³C-CO₂ source signatures<u>might be</u> dependent on the specific metabolic pathways of theused by the fungal speciesi but also on as well as the chemical composition of the growth substrate. The selected fungi and used growth substrates provide a first solid basis for the

325 3.4 Fungal δ^{13} C-CH₄ values compared with known CH₄ sources

potential range of δ^{13} C-CH₄ values that might occur in nature.

- Figure 5 summarizes compares the δ^{13} C-CH₄ values emitted by fungi in relation to other known CH₄ sources in the environment that have been reported from the literature. The red bars indicate typical biogenic (formerly only considered to be produced by archaea) CH₄ sources with emissions from wetlands, ruminants, landfills and rice paddies where δ^{13} C-CH₄ values are usually ranging from -85 mUr to -40 mUr. Abiotic CH₄ sources (including thermogenic or pyrolytic processes) stemming from natural gas, coal mining and biomass burning are characterized by less negative $\delta^{13}C$ values usually ranging from -55 mUr to -20 mUr. 330 In addition gas hydrates which might be formed by both microbial and abiotic processes cover a wide range of δ^{13} C values (-29 mUr to -73 mUr), depending on its forming mechanisms (Kvenvolden, 1995). The δ^{13} C source signatures of plant derived CH4 have been reported to be in the range of -72 mUr to -45 mUr (Keppler et al., 2006; Vigano et al., 2009) depending on the three photosynthetic pathways (C₃, C₄ or CAM). Furthermore, there is a tendency towards more negative δ^{13} C-CH₄ values 335 when the respective plant was treated with UV radiation (Vigano et al., 2009). δ^{13} C-CH₄ source signatures of humans which might include both formation by microbes in the gut but also from cellular processes show a rather wide range with values between -95 mUr and -56 mUr (Keppler et al., 2016). The results of our experiments conducted with two fungal species and three different growth substrates provide a range of δ^{13} C-CH₄ source values from -69 mUr to -40 mUr. This range overlaps with other eukaryotic sources, most microbial CH4 sources and even some abiotic CH4 sources such as natural gas or emissions
- 340 from coal mining.



Figure 5: Range of δ ¹³C-CH₄ values of microbial CH₄ sources (red), abiotic CH₄ sources (grey), eukaryotic CH₄ sources (green), atmospheric CH₄ (blue) and fungal CH₄ from this study (orange). The red and grey dashed bar indicates a mixture of microbial and abiotic CH₄ formation processes for gas hydrates (Kvenvolden, 1995). Data taken from (Brownlow et al., 2017;
Keppler et al., 2006, 2016; Kvenvolden, 1995; Nisbet et al., 2016; Quay et al., 1999; Vigano et al., 2009).

4 Conclusion

This study provided the first analysis of stable carbon isotope values of CH₄ emitted by two saprotrophic fungi that were grown on three different substrates. δ¹³C-CH₄ and δ¹³C-CO₂ source values were found to be dependent on the fungal species, as well as the substrates decomposed by the fungi. δ¹³C-CH₄ source values of the fungi were found to be in the range of -69 mUr to - 40 mUr and therefore overlap with δ¹³C-CH₄ values reported for other CH₄ sources such as methanogenic archaea, eukaryotes and from abiotic processesCH₄ sources (e.g. natural gas, coal mining). Stable carbon isotope values of CH₄ in combination with flux measurements are often applied for a better understanding of regional and global CH₄ cycling. However, in recent years it has become clear that many biogenic CH₄ sources include complex CH₄ formation processes₄ resulting in different isotopic fractionation patterns depending on several biochemical and abiotic factors. Thus, studying ecosystems in which more than one major CH₄ source has to be expected (e.g. methanogenic archaea, fungi, cyanobacteria or plants) gets increasingly complicated as distinguishing between each individual source solely by stable carbon isotope values might be highly

challenging. Therefore, additional tools are needed to better identify the sources but also to disentangle sources and sinks.-In future research_x-the stable hydrogen isotopic values of CH_4 (δ^2H - CH_4 values) or even applications of clumped isotopes might prove suitable tools for better distinction between different CH_4 sources and thus to better constrain the global CH_4 budget.

360

Data availability. We provide the data in heiDATA, which is an institutional repository for research data of Heidelberg University (https://doi.org/10.11588/data/DQYPMC)

- 365 Author contributions. MS, KL, and FK conceived the study and designed the experiments; HZ provided fungal cultures, MS performed the experiments under the supervision of FK and KL; CE helped with gas measurements; MG measured stable isotope values of greenhouse gases; MS, FK, and KL analysed the data; MS, FK, HZ, MG, and KL, discussed the results, and MS, KL and FK wrote the paper.
- 370 Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. We thank Anette Giesemann for analytical measurements of stable carbon isotope values of the bulk substrates. We are grateful to Bianka Daubertshäuser for technical support with the cultivation of the fungi and to Lukas Kohl for encouraging us to perform this study. We acknowledge financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

375

Financial support. This research has been supported by the <u>German Research Foundation (DFGeutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant nosGrant Numbers-</u> KE 884/8-2, KE 884/16-2) and (LE3381/1-1).

380

385

390 References

Beckmann, S., Krüger, M., Engelen, B., Gorbushina, A. A. and Cypionka, H.: Role of bacteria, archaea and fungi involved in methane release in abandoned coal mines, Geomicrobiol. J., 28(4), 347–358, doi:10.1080/01490451.2010.503258, 2011.

Bižić, M., Klintzsch, T., Ionescu, D., Hindiyeh, M. Y., Günthel, M., Muro-Pastor, A. M., Eckert, W., Urich, T., Keppler, F.
and Grossart, H. P.: Aquatic and terrestrial cyanobacteria produce methane, Sci. Adv., 6(3), eaax5343, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax5343, 2020.

Boros, M. and Keppler, F.: Methane production and bioactivity-A link to oxido-reductive stress, Front. Physiol., 10(SEP), 1244, doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.01244, 2019.

400

Bowling, D. R., Pataki, D. E. and Randerson, J. T.: Carbon isotopes in terrestrial ecosystem pools and CO₂ fluxes, New Phytol., 178(1), 24–40, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02342.x, 2008.

Brand, W. A. and Coplen, T. B.: Stable isotope deltas: Tiny, yet robust signatures in nature, Isotopes Environ. Health Stud., 405 48(3), 393–409, doi:10.1080/10256016.2012.666977, 2012.

Brownlow, R., Lowry, D., Fisher, R. E., France, J. L., Lanoisellé, M., White, B., Wooster, M. J., Zhang, T. and Nisbet, E. G.: Isotopic Ratios of Tropical Methane Emissions by Atmospheric Measurement, Global Biogeochem. Cy eles, 31(9), 1408–1419, doi:10.1002/2017GB005689, 2017.

410

Dlugokencky, E. J., Nisbet, E. G., Fisher, R. and Lowry, D.: Global atmospheric methane: Budget, changes and dangers, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., 369(1943), 2058–2072, doi:10.1098/rsta.2010.0341, 2011.

Ghyczy, M., Torday, C., Kaszaki, J., Szabó, A., Czóbel, M. and Boros, M.: Hypoxia-induced generation of methane in
 mitochondria and eukaryotic cells - An alternative approach to methanogenesis, Cell. Physiol. Biochem., 21(1–3), 251–258,
 doi:10.1159/000113766, 2008.

Grinhut, T., Hadar, Y. and Chen, Y.: Degradation and transformation of humic substances by saprotrophic fungi: processes and mechanisms, Fungal Biol. Rev., 21(4), 179–189, doi:10.1016/j.fbr.2007.09.003, 2007.

420

Ten Have, R. and Teunissen, P. J. M.: Oxidative mechanisms involved in lignin degradation by white-rot fungi, Chem. Rev., 101(11), 3397–3413, doi:10.1021/cr0001151, 2001.

hat formatiert: Tiefgestellt

Hein, R., Crutzen, P. J. and Heimann, M.: An inverse modeling approach to investigate the global atmospheric methane cycle, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 11(1), 43-76, doi:10.1029/96GB03043, 1997.

425

Hietala, A. M., Dörsch, P., Kvaalen, H. and Solheim, H.: Carbon dioxide and methane formation in norway spruce stems infected by white-rot fungi, Forests, 6(9), 3304-3325, doi:10.3390/f6093304, 2015.

Keppler, F., Hamilton, J. T. G., Braß, M. and Röckmann, T.: Methane emissions from terrestrial plants under aerobic 430 conditions, Nature, 439(7073), 187-191, doi:10.1038/nature04420, 2006.

Keppler, F., Boros, M., Frankenberg, C., Lelieveld, J., McLeod, A., Pirttilä, A. M., Röckmann, T. and Schnitzler, J. P.: Methane formation in aerobic environments, Environ. Chem., 6, 459-465, doi:10.1071/EN09137, 2009.

435 Keppler, F., Schiller, A., Ehehalt, R., Greule, M., Hartmann, J. and Polag, D.: Stable isotope and high precision concentration measurements confirm that all humans produce and exhale methane, J. Breath Res., 10(1), doi:10.1088/1752-7155/10/1/016003. 2016.

Kirschke, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Saunois, M., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Bergamaschi, P., Bergmann, D., Blake, 440 D. R., Bruhwiler, L., Cameron-Smith, P., Castaldi, S., Chevallier, F., Feng, L., Fraser, A., Heimann, M., Hodson, E. L., Houweling, S., Josse, B., Fraser, P. J., Krummel, P. B., Lamarque, J. F., Langenfelds, R. L., Le Quéré, C., Naik, V., O'doherty, S., Palmer, P. I., Pison, I., Plummer, D., Poulter, B., Prinn, R. G., Rigby, M., Ringeval, B., Santini, M., Schmidt, M., Shindell, D. T., Simpson, I. J., Spahni, R., Steele, L. P., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Van Der Werf, G. R., Voulgarakis, A., Van Weele, M., Weiss, R. F., Williams, J. E. and Zeng, G.: Three decades of global methane sources and sinks, Nat. Geosci., 6(10),

445 813-823, doi:10.1038/ngeo1955, 2013.

Klintzsch, T., Langer, G., Nehrke, G., Wieland, A., Lenhart, K. and Keppler, F.: Methane production by three widespread marine phytoplankton species: Release rates, precursor compounds, and potential relevance for the environment, Biogeosciences, 16(20), 4129-4144, doi:10.5194/bg-16-4129-2019, 2019.

450

Kvenvolden, K. A.: A review of the geochemistry of methane in natural gas hydrate, Org. Geochem., 23(11-12), 997-1008, doi:10.1016/0146-6380(96)00002-2, 1995.

Lenhart, K., Bunge, M., Ratering, S., Neu, T. R., Schüttmann, I., Greule, M., Kammann, C., Schnell, S., Müller, C., Zorn, H. 455 and Keppler, F.: Evidence for methane production by saprotrophic fungi, Nat. Commun., 3, doi:10.1038/ncomms2049, 2012.

Lenhart, K., Klintzsch, T., Langer, G., Nehrke, G., Bunge, M., Schnell, S. and Keppler, F.: Evidence for methane production by the marine algae *Emiliania huxleyi*, Biogeosciences, 13(10), 3163–3174, doi:10.5194/bg-13-3163-2016, 2016.

460 Leonowicz, A., Matuszewska, A., Luterek, J., Ziegenhagen, D., Wojtaś-Wasilewska, M., Cho, N. S., Hofrichter, M. and Rogalski, J.: Biodegradation of lignin by white rot fungi, Fungal Genet. Biol., 27(2–3), 175–185, doi:10.1006/fgbi.1999.1150, 1999.

Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Tans, P. P., Bruhwiler, L. M., Miller, J. B. and Heimann, M.: CH_a sources estimated from atmospheric
 observations of CH_a and its ¹³C/¹²C isotopic ratios: 1. Inverse modeling of source processes, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 18(4), 1–17, doi:10.1029/2004GB002223, 2004a.

Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Tans, P. P., Bruhwiler, L. M., Miller, J. B. and Heimann, M.: CH_{44} sources estimated from atmospheric observations of CH_{44} and its $^{13}C_{4}^{/12}C$ isotopic ratios: 2. Inverse modeling of CH_{44} fluxes from geographical regions, Global Biogeochem. Cy.eles, 18(4), 1–15, doi:10.1029/2004GB002224, 2004b.

Mukhin, V. A. and Voronin, P. Y.: Methane emission during wood fungal decomposition, Dokl. Biol. Sci., 413(1), 159–160, doi:10.1134/S0012496607020202, 2007.

475 Mukhin, V. A. and Voronin, P. Y.: A new source of methane in boreal forests, Appl. Biochem. Microbiol., 44(3), 297–299, doi:10.1134/S0003683808030125, 2008.

Naik, V., Voulgarakis, A., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Lamarque, J. F., Lin, M., Prather, M. J., Young, P. J., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G. A.,

- 480 Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Van Noije, T. P. C., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R., Shindell, D. T., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S. and Zeng, G.: Preindustrial to present-day changes in tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(10), 5277–5298, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5277-2013, 2013.
- 485 Nisbet, E. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Manning, M. R., Lowry, D., Fisher, R. E., France, J. L., Michel, S. E., Miller, J. B., White, J. W. C., Vaughn, B., Bousquet, P., Pyle, J. A., Warwick, N. J., Cain, M., Brownlow, R., Zazzeri, G., Lanoisellé, M., Manning, A. C., Gloor, E., Worthy, D. E. J., Brunke, E. G., Labuschagne, C., Wolff, E. W. and Ganesan, A. L.: Rising atmospheric methane: 2007–2014 growth and isotopic shift, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 30(9), 1356–1370, doi:10.1002/2016GB005406, 2016.

490

470

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

| hat formatiert: Tiefgestellt |
|------------------------------|
| hat formatiert: Tiefgestellt |
| hat formatiert: Hochgestellt |
| hat formatiert: Hochgestellt |
| hat formatiert: Tiefgestellt |
| hat formatiert: Tiefgestellt |
| hat formatiert: Hochgestellt |
| hat formatiert: Hochgestellt |
| hat formatiert: Tiefgestellt |
| |

Paul, D., Skrzypek, G. and Fórizs, I.: Normalization of measured stable isotopic compositions to isotope reference scales – a review, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 21(18), 3006–3014, doi:10.1002/rcm.3185, 2007.

Prather, M. J., Holmes, C. D. and Hsu, J.: Reactive greenhouse gas scenarios: Systematic exploration of uncertainties and the role of atmospheric chemistry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39(9), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2012GL051440, 2012.

Quay, P., Stutsman, J., Wilbur, D., Snover, A., Dlugokencky, E. and Brown, T.: The isotopic composition of atmospheric methane, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 13(2), 445–461, doi:10.1029/1998GB900006, 1999.

500 Ralph, J. P. and Catcheside, D. E. A.: Biodegradation by White-Rot Fungi, in Industrial Applications, pp. 303–326, Springer Berlin Heidelberg., 2002.

Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Poulter, B., Peregon, A., Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Etiope, G., Bastviken, D., Houweling, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Tubiello, F. N., Castaldi, S., Jackson, R. B., Alexe, M., Arora, V. K., Beerling, D. J.,

- 505 Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Brovkin, V., Bruhwiler, L., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P., Covey, K., Curry, C., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Joos, F., Kim, H. S., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P., Lamarque, J. F., Langenfelds, R., Locatelli, R., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., Marshall, J., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Naik, V., O'Doherty, S., Parmentier, F. J. W., Patra, P. K., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Pison, I., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Riley, W. J., Saito, M., Santini, M., Schroeder, R., Simpson, I. J., Spahni, R., Steele, P., Takizawa,
- 510 A., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Van Weele, M., Van Der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R., Wiedinmyer, C., Wilton, D. J., Wiltshire, A., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Xu, X., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, B., Zhang, Z. and Zhu, Q.: The global methane budget 2000-2012, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 8(2), 697–751, doi:10.5194/essd-8-697-2016, 2016.

Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J.,

- 515 Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isakson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murgia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y.,
- 520 Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A., Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, P. L., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q. and Zhuang, Q.: The Global Methane Budget 2000-2017, Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 1–138, doi:10.5194/essd-2019-128, 2019.

525

Tyler, S. C.: Stable carbon isotope ratios in atmospheric methane and some of its sources, J. Geophys. Res., 91(D12), 13232, doi:10.1029/jd091id12p13232, 1986.

535

doi:10.1099/mic.0.29149-0, 2006.

- Valášková, V. and Baldrian, P.: Degradation of cellulose and hemicelluloses by the brown rot fungus Piptoporus betulinus -530 Production of extracellular enzymes and characterization of the major cellulases, Microbiology, 152(12), 3613-3622,
 - Vigano, I., Röckmann, T., Holzinger, R., van Dijk, A., Keppler, F., Greule, M., Brand, W. A., Geilmann, H. and van Weelden, H.: The stable isotope signature of methane emitted from plant material under UV irradiation, Atmos. Environ., 43(35), 5637-5646, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.07.046, 2009.

Voulgarakis, A., Naik, V., Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Young, P. J., Prather, M. J., Wild, O., Field, R. D., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G. A., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Stevenson, D. S., 540 Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S. and Zeng, G.: Analysis of present day and future OH and methane lifetime in the ACCMIP simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(5), 2563-2587, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2563-2013, 2013.

Whiticar, M. J.: Stable Isotopes and Global Budgets, in Atmospheric Methane: Sources, Sinks, and Role in Global Change, pp. 138-167, Springer Berlin Heidelberg., 1993.

545

Whiticar, M. J.: Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and oxidation of methane, Chem. Geol., 161(1), 291-314, doi:10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00092-3, 1999.

Wishkerman, A., Greiner, S., Ghyczy, M., Boros, M., Rausch, T., Lenhart, K. and Keppler, F.: Enhanced formation of methane in plant cell cultures by inhibition of cytochrome c oxidase, Plant, Cell Environ., 34(3), 457-464, doi:10.1111/j.1365-550 3040.2010.02255.x, 2011.

hat formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

31