Dear Editor,

Thank you for considering our manuscript for publishing in Biogeosciences. We are writing to inform you that we have submitted our revised manuscript for further review.

The manuscript was thoroughly revised following the suggestions of the reviewers. Significant changes during the revision are summarized below.

a. The structure has a much-improved flow. This includes reorganizing and revising the content throughout the manuscript for consistency and cohesiveness.

b. We give a detailed description of the methods, and the results are fully described. Essential procedure and results are summarized in conceptual schematics, tables in the main document, and appendix.

c. All the figures are reformatted for consistency, and the key findings have been moved to the main body. Captions are updated with sufficient details.

Other specific comments were provided in our replies to each reviewer. Please contact us for additional information. Thank you for your time.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Jing Yan Teamrat Ghezzehei Nathaniel Bogie

# Root uptake under mismatched distributions of water and nutrients in the root zone.

Jing Yan<sup>1</sup>, Nathaniel A. Bogie<sup>2</sup>, and Teamrat A. Ghezzehei<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Life and Environmental Sciences Department, University of California, Merced, CA 95343, USA <sup>2</sup>Geology Department, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA 95192, USA

Correspondence: Teamrat A. Ghezzehei (taghezzehei@ucmerced.edu)

**Abstract.** Most plants derive their water and nutrient needs from soils, where the resources are often scarce, patchy, and ephemeral. In natural environments, it It is not uncommon for plant roots to encounter mismatched patches of water-rich and nutrient-rich regions in natural environments. Such an uneven distribution of resources necessitates plants to rely on strategies that allow them to explore and acquire nutrients from relatively dry patches. We conducted a laboratory study to provide a

- 5 mechanistic understanding of the biophysical factors that elucidates the biophysical mechanisms that enable this adaptation. We grew plants in split-root potsthat permitted precisely controlled spatial distributions of resources. The results demonstrated that spatial mismatch of water The roots of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) seedlings were laterally split and grown in two adjacent, hydraulically-disconnected pots, which permitted precise control of water and nutrient applications to each compartment. We observed that physical separation of water-rich and nutrient availability does not cost plant nutrient-rich compartments (one
- 10 received 90% water + 0% nutrients and the other received 10% water + 100% nutrients) does not significantly stunt plant growth and productivity compared to matched distributions two control treatments (control 1: 90% water + 100% nutrients versus 10% water + 0% nutrients; and control 2: 50% water + 50% nutrients in each compartment). Specifically, we showed that nutrient uptake is not reduced by overall soil dryness , provided that the whole plant has access to sufficient water elsewhere in the root zone. Essential strategies include extensive root proliferation towards soil dryness does not reduce nutrient uptake, vegetative
- 15 growth, flowering, and fruiting compared to control treatments. We identified localized root proliferation in nutrient-rich dry soil patches that allows rapid nutrient capturefrom brief pulses. Using high-frequency water potential measurements, we also observed nocturnal water release by roots that inhabit dry and as a critical strategy that enabled nutrient capture. We observed nocturnal rewetting of the nutrient-rich soil patches. Soil water potential gradient is the primary driver of this but dry soil zone (10% water + 100% nutrients) but not in the nutrient-free and dry zone of the control experiment (90% water + 100%)
- 20 <u>nutrients</u>). We interpreted the rewetting as the transfer of water from the wet to dry soil parts of the root zone, which is zones through roots, a process commonly known as hydraulic redistribution (HR). The occurrence of HR prevents the soil drying from approaching the permanent wilting point, and thus supports root functions and enhance nutrient availability. Our results indicate that roots facilitate HR by increasing root-hair density and length and deposition of organic coatings that alter water retention. Therefore, we conclude that biologically-controlled root adaptation involves multiple strategies that compensate for
- 25 nutrient acquisition under mismatched resource distributions. Based on our findings, we proposed a nature-inspired nutrient management strategy for significantly curtailing likely prevents the nutrient-rich soil from drying to permanent wilting and

subsequent decline of root functions. Sustaining rhizosphere wetness is also likely to increase nutrient mobility and uptake. Lack of HR in the absence of nutrients suggests that HR is not entirely passive, water-potential gradient driven flow. The density and size of root-hairs appeared to be higher (qualitative observation) in the nutrient-rich and dry compartments than the

30

nutrient-free and dry compartments. We also observed organic coating on sand grains in the rhizosphere of the nutrient-rich and dry compartments. The observations are consistent with prior observations that root hairs and rhizodeposition aid rhizosphere wetting. These findings were synthesized in a conceptual model that explains how plants of dry regions may be adapted to mismatched resources. This study also suggests that separating the bulk of applied nutrients from the frequently irrigated soil region can increase nutrient use efficiency and curtail water pollution from intensive agricultural systems.

35 Copyright statement. This will be published as open-access.

# 1 Introduction

Root response to either water or nutrient deficiency signals is a persistent question at the intersection of plant biology and soil science (Robbins and Dinneny, 2015; Hodge, 2004; Robinson et al., 1999). In water-limited areas, rooting depth generally coincides with infiltration depth (Fan et al., 2017). Locally, roots also respond by increasing the water retention capability of

- 40 their immediate surroundings (the rhizosphere) by releasing a cocktail of organic compounds (rhizodeposits) that sorb water and promote soil aggregation (Carminati et al., 2010, 2011; Moradi et al., 2011; Albalasmeh and Ghezzehei, 2014; Ghezzehei and Albalas . Similarly, roots employ diverse strategies of nutrient foraging in response to local soil nutrient deficiencies and macroscopic heterogeneities. Roots can enlarge the surface area for nutrient sorption and acquisition by increasing root branching, clustering, and growing dense root hairs (Lambers et al., 2011; Bates and Lynch, 2001). Legumes associate with N-fixing bacteria and
- 45 mycorrhizae that support N fixation and acquisition (Linderman, 1991), while non-legume plants forage by growing their roots towards their N-fixing legume neighbors (Weidlich et al., 2018). Root exudation (release of low-molecular-weight rhizodeposits (Oburger and Jones, 2018)) can increase nutrient availability and accessibility by freeing tightly-bound nutrients (e.g., McKay Fletcher et a and priming microbial mineralization of nutrients (e.g., Keiluweit et al., 2015).

However, the adaptation of roots to mismatched distributions of water and nutrients has not received as much attention.

- 50 Spatial and temporal mismatch of water and nutrient availability within the soil profile is a frequent occurrence that confronts confronting plants in regions with pronounced wetting-drying cycles (Bengough et al., 2011). A review recent review (Fan et al., 2017) of global rooting depth observations data in well-drained upland environments and drought-prone regions reveals that the primary determinant of root architecture is revealed that soil moisture distribution within the soil profile (Fan et al., 2017) is the primary determinant of root architecture. Plants that grow in such areas meet the bulk of their transpiration demand
- 55 with subsurface soil moisture storage because the shallow soil layers tend to dry up quickly by evaporation and drainage. Coarse-textured soils that dominate most arid and semi-arid soil soils (Rodell et al., 2004) experience particularly pronounced surface drying. In contrast, organic matter and plant-available essential macro- and micro-nutrients, including N, P, K, Zn, Mn,

are preferentially concentrated in the shallow soil horizons (Li et al., 2013; Jobbágy and Jackson, 2001; Franzluebbers and Hons, 1996; Apostolakis and Douka, 1970). This mismatched distribution of resources water and nutrients necessitates nutri-

- 60 ent uptake from relatively dry, but nutrient-rich, soil patches (Nambiar, 1976; Rose et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009). Moreover, the release of nutrients bound in organic matter of the shallow soil layers requires mineralization to occur under sub-optimal moisture conditions (Stanford and Epstein, 1974). Adaptation of The adaptation of many plants to these environments suggests the existence of nutrient and water acquisition strategies that allow root architecture and functions to respond to mismatched spatial and temporal nutrient and water distributions. In addition to natural systems, such adaptation is likely to play a critical
- 65 role in dry-land farming and rangelands.

There has been significant progress in our understanding of how roots respond to either water or nutrient deficiency signals (Robbins and Dinneny, 2015; Hodge, 2004; Robinson et al., 1999). In water-limited areas, root growth generally tracks water infiltration patterns (Fan et al., 2017). Locally, roots also respond by modifying the water retention capability of their rhizosphere (Carminati et al., 2010, 2011; Moradi et al., 2011; Albalasmeh and Ghezzehei, 2014; Ghezzehei and Albalasmeh, 2015). Rhizosphere

70 modification and priming by root exudation have also been credited for increasing nutrient availability and accessibility to plants (Keiluweit et al., 2015).

Under contrasting soil moisture statuses within a soil profile, plants can respond by redistributing soil water The conditions responsible for mismatched resource distributions are also favorable to the transport of water from the wet subsurface layers to dry shallow layers via the root architecture – a process system, commonly referred to as hydraulic-lift or hydraulic-

redistribution (HR) (Caldwell and Richards, 1989; Bogie et al., 2018). It has been suggested that HR may serve as a carrier for nutrients from dry soil patches (Caldwell and Richards, 1989) and there exists some empirical evidence for elevated ammonification, nitrogen (N) Studies have found that water released by HR can elevate ammonification, N mineralization, and plant inflores-cence N uptake during times of HR (Cardon et al., 2013) (Cardon et al., 2013) and enhance the overall nutrient mobility in dry soil patches (Matimati et al., 2014). The objective of this study was to test the hypothesis that HR is a key biophysical response
that allows plants to thrive when resource availabilities are spatially mismatched.

However, important questions still remain with regards to the adaptation of plants to mismatched resource distributions. Specifically, (a) whether the laboratory experiments were designed to answer the following questions: Does the mismatched distribution of water and nutrients within a soil profile has an adverse effect on plant performance, (b) to what extent can plants adversely affect plant performance? To what extent are roots able to acquire nutrients from dry soil patches provided

85 that water is available in sufficient quantity elsewhere, and (c) what mechanisms are involved elsewhere? What is the role of <u>HR</u> in nutrient uptake from dry patches. Here, we conducted a laboratory experiment specifically designed to address these questions. ?



**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of the experimental design. Each pot consists of two isolated compartments that are fused together by glue, that were supplied water and nutrients via buried Nylon tubing. The relative amounts of applied water and nutrients are shown. Roots of seedlings were roughly divided half and half during transplantation. The experiment consisted of one treatment in which the bulk quantity of water and nutrients were distributed separately (treatment **D**) and two control treatments in which nutrients were applied with most of the water. In Control 1 (**C1**) water was applied non-uniformly as in **D**, where in Control 2 (**C2**), water and nutrients were applied uniformly to both compartments. Placement of sensors and water and nutrient delivery tubes are illustrated. Diagram is not to scale.

 Table 1. Total quantity of water and N applied to each compartment of the three treatments. Note that the nutrient applied nutrient solution includes other macro and macro nutrients. The composition of the nutrient solution is provided in Table ??.

Treatment	Code	Applied Water (mm)		Applied N (mgN)	
		Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry
Distributed	$\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\sim}$	.588	77_	$\overset{0}{\sim}$	120
Control 1	<u>C1</u>	<u>580</u>	73	120	$\overset{0}{\sim}$
<u>Control 2</u>	<u>C2</u>	338	338	<u>60</u>	60

# 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Experimental setup

90 Tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*) were grown in split-root pots, and the spatial and temporal distributions of water and nutrients were precisely controlled during a five-month growth cycle. The overarching goal of our study was to provide a mechanistic explanation of the biophysical factors and processes that allow plants to thrive in an environment of mismatched resource distribution. This knowledge is critical for understanding and predicting how food crops intercropped with native plants in low-input agriculture respond to environmental stresses(Bogie et al., 2018). Moreover, understanding the adaptation

95 mechanism can play a crucial role in designing nature-inspired cropping systems with high nutrient and water use efficiencies.

### 3 Methods

#### 2.1 Split-root experiments

- Our experiments were conducted using laterally split soil compartments as depicted in Figure 5a and Figure S1. Tomato seedlings were germinated in potting mix and grown until they reached 5-10 cm in height. The seedlings were then removed from the pots and the roots were thoroughly washed to remove any residual nutrient from the potting mix. The roots of individual seedlings were then physically separated into two roughly equal parts that were placed in the separate compartments of the custom-designed pots (length = 20.3 cm; width = 10.2 cm; height = 30.5 cm), which was split into two compartments of identical volumescustom pots ( $W \times D \times H = 200 \times 100 \times 300$  mm) that were laterally split into two equal (3 L each)
- 105 compartments (see Figure 1). The pots were filled with 8 kg of silica sand, silica sand of approximate median particle size of 600  $\mu$ m (Laguna Clay Co., City of Industry, CA), and packed to mean bulk density of 1.4 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The sand was free of nutrients and organic matter to ensure that all the nutrient supply was accounted for. Nylon tubings for water and nutrient solution injection were installed in each compartment at 140 mm below the surface. Dielectric water content sensors (5TE of Meter, Pullman, WA) were placed at the center of each compartment at 14 em (center of sensors was at 140 mm below the surface. In
- 110 addition) to capture the bulk-scale soil moisture dynamics. At the same soil depth, the dry compartments of treatments Dand C1treatment (see below for treatment descriptions) were outfitted with pairs of thermocouple psychrometric water potential sensors (Psypro of Wescor Inc. Logan, UT) (Brown and Bartos, 1982; Andraski and Scanlon, 2002) to measure the water potential of the root zonelocalized soil water potential with a high degree of sensitivity (Brown and Bartos, 1982; Andraski and Scanlon, 2002; Whal . The combination of the two sensor types allows quantification of water dynamics with high degree of fidelity from the wet
- 115 to dry moisture range. The dielectric sensors were programmed to log data every 15 min while the psychrometers were programmed to log data every 2 h. Nylon tubing for water The experiment was conducted indoors (in a dark room) under artificial fluorescent lighting (6,500 K spectrum and 10,000 lm intensity) that was programmed to be on for 12 hr and off for 12 hr.

# 2.1 Experimental treatments

The experimental design consisted of one primary treatment and two control treatments, that were replicated three times each.

- 120 While the total amounts of applied resources to the three treatments were identical, the treatments differed in the distributions of water and nutrients between the two compartments. The relative amounts of water and nutrients supplied to each compartment along with the treatment names and codes are depicted in Figure 1. In the primary treatment, the distribution of water and nutrients between the two compartments was mismatched (labeled as Distributed or **D**). One compartment (*wet*) received  $\approx 90\%$  of the irrigation water and 0% of the nutrients, while the second compartment (*dry*) received 100% of the nutrient
- 125 supply delivered along with the remaining 10% water. The first control treatment (labeled as Control 1 or C1) consisted of identical distribution of water as treatment **D**. But the nutrients were added to the wet compartment along with the  $\approx 90\%$ irrigation water, while the dry compartment remained nutrient-free. In the second control experiment (labeled as Control 2 or C2), both compartments received equal amounts of water and nutrients. The contrast between treatment **D** and <del>nutrient</del> solution injection were installed in each compartment at 14 cm depth. C1 was intended to reveal plant response and adaptation
- 130 mechanisms to the mismatched distribution of resources. Whereas the contrast between mismatched distribution in treatment D and the ideal uniform resource availability in treatment C2 was intended to identify possible adverse effects of the former. Deionized water was used for irrigation. The nutrient solution was prepared by diluting commercial hydroponic nutrient solution (General Hydroponics, Santa Rosa, CA), that consisted of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Mg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>. The relative mass-based elemental composition of the nutrient solution (General Hydroponics, Santa
- 135 Rosa, CA) normalized against the total N content is provided in Table ??. The total amounts of water and nutrients supplied to each compartment are reported in Table 1, while the detailed record is provided in SI data sets.

The tomato seedlings used for the study were germinated in potting mix and grown for about 3 weeks until they reached 50-100 mm in height. The healthiest seedlings were then removed from the pots, and the roots were thoroughly washed to remove any residual nutrient from the potting mix. The roots of individual seedlings were then physically separated into two

- roughly equal parts that were placed in the separate compartments, which were half-filled with soil. Afterward, the remainder sand was carefully poured around and over the roots. We did not differentiate the taproots from the fibrous roots during the root splitting. A photographic depiction of the key steps of the experiment, including root splitting, sensor installation, and irrigation outfitting, is provided in SI (Figure S1-S4). After the transplantation, the pots were irrigated with 560 ml of deionized water (equivalent to 0.2 VWC0.2 v/v). The plants were allowed to adjust to the new environment for around
- 145 2 weeks with no additional irrigation or fertilization. Subsequently, the prescribed application of water and nutrient solution (General Hydroponics, Santa Rosa, CA) commenced on commenced on the day 18 after transplantationaccording to the schedule presented in supplmental data sets. The plants were grown indoors under artificial fluorescent light sources (6,500 K spectrum and 10,000 lm intensity) that were programmed to be on for 12 hr and off for 12 hr. The experiment lasted for 140 days after transplantation.

#### 150 2.2 Plant and soil characterization

The plants were harvested on days 138 to 140 after transplantation and multiple indicators of plant performance were measured. Shoot dry mass determined separately for each branch of every plant. The number of flowers, number of fruits, and fruit dry mass were determined for each plant. Greenness of each leaf was determined using a hyperspectral analyzer Leaf greenness within individual plant canopy was evaluated in terms of normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) captured by hyperspectral analyses of leaf samples (ASD Spectroradiometer, Malvern Panalytical, Cambridge, UK).

$$NDVI = \frac{R_{800} - R_{670}}{R_{800} + R_{670}}$$

where  $R_{670}$  and  $R_{800}$  are reflectance intensity at 670 and 800 nm and represent red and near-infrared lights, respectively.

Shoot and fruit N content across canopy were determined using a high temperature conversion high-temperature combustion elemental analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Total The total plant N uptake by the aboveground biomass was calculated by integrating dry-mass-weighted dry-mass-weighted N content for each plant. Total N uptake was corrected by subtracting the initial plant total N mass . The NUE of representative seedling. The N use efficiency (NUE) of the aboveground biomass was determined by calculating calculated as the ratio of total net plant N uptake to total N addition during the experiment. The N content of the sand medium before and after the experiment was below the detection limit.

After harvest, the soil compartments were allowed to air-dry until the water content reached 3.5% to 4% by volume. A uniform soil drying condition was established by subjecting the pots to constant airflow inside a fume hood. Then the soil from

- 160 one replicate of each treatment was carefully scooped out at 2 cm 20 mm depth intervals. The coarse root pieces in each interval were removed by gently sieved through size 0.4 mm sieve and manually picked out for further gravimetric measurement. Rhizosheath, i.e. the combination of root tissues and sand-covered on the root surfaces, from another replicate of each treatment was preserved kept in place by cutting. Roots were removed by gentle sieving (4 mm mesh) and subsequent and manual picking. The dry root mass in each compartment is reported. In separate replicates, the sand-coated roots (rhizosheaths) were
- 165 preserved by removing roots with minimum agitation, and were used for microscopic analysis. Confocal images were obtained using a Zess Zeiss LSM 880 Airyscan confocal microscope and EC Plan-Neofluar 10x/0.30NA objective lens (Carl Zeiss Microscopy LLC, White Plains, NY). We used 405 nm and 488 nm lasers were used to excite and acquire autofluorescent identify autofluorescent organic compounds from the roots non-fluorescent soil matrix. T-PMT detector was used to acquire transmitted light images. SEM images were taken Detailed morphology of the roots and root-hairs was acquired using Scanning Electron
- 170 Microscopy (SEM) (Zeiss Gemini SEM 500, Carl Zeiss Microscopy LLC, White Plains, NY). SEM images were acquired at 3 kV after coating the samples with gold (E5000 E5000 Sputter Coater, Quorum Technologies Ltd, East Sussex, UK)using ZEISS GeminiSEM 500 scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy LLC, White Plains, NY). A homogenized gold coating was used to provide a conductive layer of metal that enhances image quality by preventing charging and damage of biological tissues (Kim et al., 2010; Golding et al., 2016). Image analysis and processing was done using ImageJ (Schneider 175 et al. 2012)
- 175 et al., 2012).

155

# 2.3 Statistical-Soil hydraulic properties and data analysisfluxes

Plant physiological indicators were compared across treatments using a Welch's analysis of variance (ANOVA)(Welch, 1947) and posthoc Games-Howell test for multiple comparison from R (Games and Howell, 1976).

For the The water retention curve of silica sand was determined by water potentiometer (WP4C, Meter Group, Pullman, WA). To account for the osmotic effect of the nutrients on water potential, we used a nutrient solution of 520 mgN/L that was consistent with the nutrient solution added to the dry compartment of treatment **D**, rhizosphere water content ( $\theta$ )was estimated from water potential ( $\psi$ ) measurement using water retention curves-). The resulting water retention curves were fitted with Brooks Corey model :  $\theta/\theta_S = (\psi/\psi_0)^{\lambda}$  (Brooks and Corey, 1966, 1964) (Figure S4). The (Brooks and Corey, 1966, 1964)

$$\theta/ heta_S = (\psi/\psi_0)^{\lambda}$$

where  $\psi_0$  is air-entry water potential,  $\theta_S$  is the saturated water content, and the residual water content was assumed to be zero. 180 The fitted water retention curve of silica sand mixed with nutrient solution (520 mgN/L, a concentration that is consistent with the pore water in the dry compartment of treatment **D**) was determined by water potentiometer (WP4C of Meter, Pullman, WA). Rhizosphere wetting was used to convert psychrometric water-potential readings to equivalent volumetric water content. The water potential range necessary for HR calculations is  $\psi \leq -100$  kPa. Because the water-potential derived moisture dynamics is more sensitive to small changes than the dielectric sensors, it was used for estimation HR.

- 185 The magnitude of HR flux was defined as the water flux released from the root surface to the soil (mm/day). The gain in volumetric water content was calculated by subtracting minimum daily rhizosphere root-zone water content from the sub-sequent daily maximum values (Meinzer et al., 2004). The volumetric water content was then scaled to an equivalent soil moisture depth by multiplying it by the thickness of the layer where roots were concentrated. Only the data after the plants were well established and the effect of the initial moisture has disappeared were used for this estimation. The mean soil water 190 potential corresponding to the observed HR was calculated as the arithmetic average of the data recorded between noon of the
- potential corresponding to the observed HR was calculated as the arithmetic average of the data recorded between noon of the preceding day and the day HR occurred.

# 2.4 Statistical and data analysis

Plant physiological indicators were compared across treatments using a Welch's analysis of variance (ANOVA) to avoid interference from heteroscedasticity of those indicators (Welch, 1947). Posthoc multiple comparisons were performed using the

- 195 Games-Howell test (Games and Howell, 1976). Similarly, NDVI and N content at the whole-plant scale were compared across treatments using Welch's ANOVA test. Mature leaf samples, i.e. the 3rd to 6th leaves from the growing tip (equivalent to the normalized plant height of 0.8 to 0.9), were further selected to assess the greenness of mature leaf as suggested by Kalra (1998) (reported as Leaf NDVI 0.8 0.9 in Table A2). More detail of the calculation is provided in supplemental information.
  - 3 Results



Figure 2. Comparison of plant physiological indicators (a) total dry biomass, (b) fruit dry mass, (c) number of flowers, (d) total N uptake, (e) N uptake in Fruits, and (f) N use efficiency in treatment **D**, **C1**, and **C2**. The orange dots represent values of individual replicates. The white diamonds and whiskers represent the mean and standard deviation within each treatment. Distribution of N content along the canopy length is shown in Figure 3. One of the replicates in treatment **C1** did not produce fruits, resulting in larger deviations in fruit dry mass and N update in treatment **C1**.

200 Comparison of plant physiological indicators in treatment D, C1and C2. Note: no statistically significant difference in those indicators was observed across treatments (p > 0.05).

#### 3.1 Plant physiological characteristics

In the primary treatment of our experiment (labeled D)  $\approx 90\%$  of the irrigation water was applied to one compartment of the pot, while the other received 100% of the nutrient supply delivered along with the remaining 10% water. In a control experiment

205

(labeled C1), we added 100% of the nutrients to the wet compartment of the split-root pots, while the dry compartment received the remaining 10% of the water without nutrients. In a second control experiment (labeled C2), both compartments received equal amounts of water and nutrients (See Figure S1). We used nutrient-free sand as a growing medium in order to fully constrain nutrient availability to the targeted region.



Figure 3. Leaf NDVI as a function of normalized plant height at the end of the experiments in treatment **D** (a), **C1** (b), and **C2** (c); N content (%) of stem and leaf samples across canopy at the end of the experiments in treatment **D** (d), **C1** (e), and **C2** (f). The green dots represent leaf samples, while the red dots represent stem samples. The dots include three replicates within each treatment. The diamonds and whiskers represent the mean and standard deviation of replicates at the normalized plant height. Note: mean and standard deviation of leaf NDVI was calculated within an incremental height of 0.1; N content (%) of stem and leaf samples were separated into three portions across the canopy and thus reported as the normalized height of 0.17, 0.5 and 0.84.

We measured a series of indicators to assess whether mismatched resource distributions influenced plant performance. 210 Critical indicators of above-ground plant performance, including total above-ground-

# 3.1 Above-ground plant characteristics

The means of total aboveground biomass, fruit mass, number of flowers, N uptake by total biomass and fruits, and N use efficiency (NUE) NUE within each treatment are reported in Figure 1. Additional measures of plant performance, including N distribution 2. Error bars indicate standard deviations of three replicates. The means, standard deviations, and *p*-value of

215 Welch's ANOVA test of these variables and other whole-plant-scale indicators of performance are reported in Table A2. None of the indicators had statistically significant differences between treatment means determined by Welch's one-way ANOVA (p > 0.05). Distributions of tissue N content and leaf greenness within individual across plant canopy are reported in Fig-

ure S2. The latter was evaluated in terms of normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI ) captured by hyperspectral analyses of leaf samples. Considerable variability in 3. The vertical axis represents the normalized plant heights. In Figure

- 220 3a, the distance of the leaf stem from the soil surface is rounded to the nearest tenths; then, the NDVI of leaves of the same height are grouped to calculate the mean and standard deviation. The N content of leaves and stems were similarly pooled into three height-groups. Within each height group, there were no statistically significant differences between treatment means of N content determined by Welch's one-way ANOVA (p > 0.05). There was a statistically significant difference in whole-plant-NDVI between treatment means determined by Welch's one-way ANOVA (p < 0.001). Further, pair-wise posthoc
- 225 comparison showed that the whole-plant-NDVI in treatment **D** was not significantly different from the other controls, but there was a significant difference between the means of the two controls (p < 0.001). Additional details are reported in Table A2. N concentration and NDVI was observed within each plant, with varied considerably with plant height within each treatment. Generally, the younger leaves at the top of the canopy having higher N have higher N content and NDVI than the oldest leaves at the base of the canopy (Figure S2). However, there were no significant differences at the whole-plant scale in all the indicators
- 230 we measured (p > 0.05; Table A1). These findings unequivocally demonstrated that mismatch of spatial distributions of water and nutrients does not have a measurable effect on the above ground measures of performance, provided that both resources are available in equal amounts (Figure 3). Such nutrient translocation during plant growth is typical. Therefore, we did not perform a statistical test of height-based differences.

#### 3.2 **Plant root Root** distribution and rhizosphere characteristics

- 235 The pattern of root proliferation is often associated with localized root feedbacks to the spatial distributions of water or nutrients within soil profile (Robbins and Dinneny, 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Boyer et al., 2010; Orosa-Puente et al., 2018). However, how and to what extent mismatched water and nutrient distributions affect root architecture is mostly unknown. In Figure 2 (a ), we provide a visual demonstration of the root proliferation during a growth period when 100% of the nutrients are isolated from 90% of the irrigation water (treatment Root mass distributions in the two compartments of the three treatments are shown in
- Figure 4. The values represent the root mass in each 20 mm layer as a percent of the total root mass of the whole plant. The top row is from replicates where all the sand was removed from the rhizospheres. Whereas the bottom row is from replicates in which the sand particles that tightly adhered to the roots (rhizosheath) were kept intact. For treatments **D**). It is remarkable that the density of the roots in the and **C1**, the wet and dry compartments are indistinguishable, despite the vast disparity in wateravailability. The soil that surrounded the roots was carefully extracted using a pipette tip connected to a vacuum.
- 245 Therefore, the three-dimensional root architecture is not apparent in Figure 2 (a)

Quantitative comparison of the root mass distribution labels refer to the compartments that received  $\approx 90\%$  and  $\approx 10\%$  irrigation water, respectively. Note that there were no such differences in applied irrigation water between the two compartments and among the treatments is provided in Figure 2 (f-h)and Table A3. The soil in both compartments of selected replicates was excavated at depth intervals of 2 cm, and all roots within each soil volume were extracted. The roots of treatment C2

250 (see Figure 1). The roots in the nutrient-free wet compartment of treatment **D** were mostly uniformly distributed (marked as "wet" in Figure 4 (a)) were distributed mostly uniformly throughout the depth profile, with a slight increase near the bottom,



Figure 4. Root architecture of one tomato plant treated with mismatched Incremental root mass distribution and distribution of water total mass of root and nutrients (rhizosheath along the soil profile in treatment **D**) at the end of the experiments (a, d); SEM images of representative rhizosheath collected from the "Wet" and "Dry" compartments of treatment, **DC1** (b, ee) and **C1C2** (dc, ef); Incremental. The root mass and eumulative total mass of root and rhizosheath within each interval was extracted and normalized to the total root or root and rhizosheath mass from the two isolated compartments. Each step in the plot represents the normalized mass distribution along within the 20 mm soil profile depth. Note: "Wet" and "Dry" compartments (compartments with 90% versus 10% water, respectively in Figure 1) were defined operationally to distinguish water supply for treatment **D**, and **C1** and mainly; in treatment **C2**(f, g, h). Note: A separate sample the water was supplied uniformly in a shorter compartment with scaled the disconnected compartments. Detailed schemes of water and nutrient application was selected to demonstrate the non-destructive root image (a); the apparent root distribution supply were provided in (a) does not represent the incremental root mass distribution in (f) Figure 1.



Figure 5. SEM images of representative rhizosheaths collected from the "Wet" and "Dry" compartments of treatment **D** (a and b, respectively) and **C1** (c and d, respectively). All the SEM images have identical magnification (all four subfigures used a 100  $\mu m$  scale bar) that permits visual qualitative comparison.

suggesting slight accumulation of drained moisture at the base of the compartment. In contrast, the roots grown in the nutrientrich dry compartment were "dry" compartment were mainly concentrated in the mid-section, coinciding with the depth at which nutrient solution was supplied using a subsurface injector (Figure 1). Overall, the 60% of the total root mass was observed in the nutrient-rich dry compartment accounted for 60% of the total root biomass.

The root density of treatment **D**, where only  $\approx 10\%$  of the irrigation water was available ("dry" compartment in Figure 4a). In contrast, the root mass distribution in the nutrient-rich wet compartment of the first control treatment **C1** increased with depth, with a notable accumulation of root biomass at the base . This accumulation suggests that in addition to the accumulation of drained water, leaching must also have resulted in a substantial accumulation of plant-available nutrients at the base of the

- 260 compartment . It is important to recall that had this been an open profile, a portion of the nutrient supply could have leached below the rooting depth. The root growth ("wet" compartment in Figure 4b). However, the root mass in the nutrient-free dry compartment was stunted and accounted for only 20% of the total root biomassmass ("dry" compartment in Figure 4b). There was no considerable difference in root density and mass distribution between the two compartments of the second control treatment C2, where water and nutrient were supplied equally to both compartments. There was a slight accumulation of roots at the base of both compartments.
- 265 at the base of both compartments.

255

The roots in all the treatments exhibited the formation of rhizosheath, which is often associated with soil binding by either root exudates or root-hairs and is credited for the facilitation of water and nutrient extraction (Pang et al., 2017; Watt et al., 1994; Albalasme -replicates with rhizosheaths exhibited similar general patterns as the roots. But two regions showed more pronounced root and rhizosheath accumulation than roots alone. First, the mid-section (140 mm to 160 mm) of the nutrient-rich dry compartment of

270 treatment **D** (Figure 4d) contained one-third of the roots and rhizosheaths of the entire plant. Visually, more roots appeared to be covered by sand in this layer than any other in the entire compartment. Fewer roots exhibited rhizosheaths in the nutrient-free dry compartment of treatment **C1** (Figure 4e). Therefore, both the root density and intensity of rhizosheath formation appear to be correlated to nutrient availability in the dry soil.

Similarly, the bottom layer of the wet compartment in treatment C1 accounted for two-thirds of the roots and rhizosheaths

275 of the entire plant. The roots at this depth visually exhibited by far the highest amount of rhizosheath than any other layer. This is partly due to the ponding of water at the base of this compartment and possible differences in degree of drying.

The roots in all the treatments exhibited the formation of rhizosheath. There were no visual differences in the appearance and abundance between the rhizosheaths formed in visual appearance of rhizosheaths collected from the wet and dry compartments or among in the three treatments. However, eloser inspection under Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) revealed

- 280 significantly denser root hairs in the notable differences were observed in the microscopy images. Representative SEM images of the rhizosheaths of treatments D and C1 are shown in Figure 5. Overall, the dry compartments (Figure 5 b and d) exhibited denser and longer root-hairs than their wet counterparts (Figure 5 a and c) within the same treatments. There was little noticeable difference between the two wet compartments (Figure 5 a and c). The nutrient-rich dry compartment (Figure 5b) exhibited visually thicker and more dense root hairs compared to the nutrient-free dry compartment (Figure 5d). Confocal
- 285 microscopy images of the sand grains in the rhizosheath of the nutrient-rich dry compartment of treatment **D**. This observation is consistent with the emerging consensus on the importance of nutrients in regulating the growth and development of root hairs (Zhang et al., 2018) showed extensive amorphous organic coating that appeared to be distinct from the roots and root hairs (Figure S5).

#### 3.3 Plant water and nutrient uptake Water dynamics

- 290 The above observations show that the adaptation of the root characteristics (Figure 2) explains how plants respond to mismatched water and nutrient distributions without incurring performance loss (Figure 1). However, understanding the mechanism of nutrient uptake from dry soil patches requires a closer look at the dynamics of soil water content and water potential in the wet and dry compartments. In Figure 3, a snapshot of typical soil water content dynamics over one <u>A representative water</u> content dynamics measured by the dielectric sensors during a typical week is shown (see Figure S3 for complete dataset-in
- 295 Figure 6. This pattern occurred consistently throughout the experiment. The complete dataset is shown in Figure S6). The wet compartments of treatments Dand C1, as well as both compartments of different shades of blue and red represent the replicates of the wet and dry compartments. Recall that there was no distinction in wetness in treatment C2remained comparably wet because of the frequent irrigation. However, there was a considerable difference in water content dynamics. The observed differences between replicates are likely due to variations in the proximity of sensors to roots and the irrigation tubing or random



**Figure 6.** Changes in dielectric soil volumetric water content (v/v) over time during days of 113 to 121 after transplantation in ""Wet"—" and ""Dry"—" compartments of treatment **D**, **C1** and **C2** (a, b, c). Replicates The different shades of red and blue in these figures are shown used to distinguish between replicates. Note that the "Wet" compartments were irrigated daily, while the "Dry" compartments were irrigated once a week for the majority of the experiments (days of 40 to 140 after transplantation). The results plotted represent a typical cycle of soil water content changes. The long-term results of dielectric soil volumetric water content can be found in different colors the SI.

- 300 differences in soil packing and plant growth patterns. Overall, the wet compartments irrigated on a daily cycle remained at a higher moisture level most of the time. The most striking difference was observed between the dry compartments of treatments treatment **D** and **C1**. Specifically, in the presence of nutrients, the water content in the dry compartment of treatment **D** was depleted within one day after each application of nutrient solution (weekly to bi-weekly intervalFigure 6a). Whereas the water content of the nutrient-free dry compartment of treatment **C1** declined slowly over a week (Figure 6b). This difference in water
- 305 uptake rate is consistent with the root density differences differences in root mass distribution between the dry compartments shown in Figure 2 (f)and 2 (g). 4a and 4b, respectively.

Psychrometers were installed only in the dry compartments of the treatment **D** and **C1**. The sensors in treatment **C1** did not register meaningful data because the soil never dried to within the measurement range of the psychrometers ( $\psi < -50kPa$ ). Also, one of the three pairs of psychrometers installed in treatment **D** failed. A representative three-week range of data from

- 310 the functioning five sensors is shown in Figure 7a. The full data set including the unphysical readings of the relatively wet soils is provided in the SI (Figure S7). The soil water potential data (Figure 4 (a)) increased with each nutrient solution application and dried to the pre-irrigation level within one day, consistent with the water content data shown in Figure 6a. One day after applying the nutrient solution, the water potential exhibited a diurnal fluctuation with a daytime decline and a nighttime increase. But the average water potential remained stable during this period. The variation remained between -100 and -1000
- 315 kPa and did not dry to the level of permanent wilting ( $\psi 1500$  kPa).



**Figure 7.** Changes in soil water potential (a), water content <u>converted from soil water potential</u> (b), and <del>rhizosphere root-zone</del> wetting flux (c) from HR and injection-irrigation as a function of time in "Dry" compartment of treatment **D** during days of 113 to 121 after transplantation; HR outflow magnitude as a function of water potential ( $\psi$ ): HR described by a power-law model is shown in solid line (d). In (a) and (b), solid black lines and grey shade represented the average and the standard deviation of soil water potential and converted water content from five sensors distributed in three replicate compartments. Similarly, in (c), solid dots represent the calculated water flux from five sensors, and the diamonds and whiskers show the average and standard deviation of the water flux. In (d), water flux from HR during the whole experiment was used. The long-term results of soil water potential and converted water content were provided in the supplemental materials.

The water potential data was converted to rhizosphere water content dynamics (Figure 4 (b); see Figure S5 for complete dataset) using a soil-volumetric water content using a water retention curve (Figure S4 and Table S1of the same soil (Figure A1). The effects on soil water potential from increasing nutrient concentrations were considered by using pore water with appropriate nutrient concentrations. Close inspection of the HR resulting water content dynamics are shown in Figure 7b (see

- 320 Figure S7 for the full range). Notice that this water content dynamics reveals that HR does not significantly contribute to transpiration at the whole-plant scale, given that the small volume of water is reversibly taken up the roots. However, it can be essential in enhancing root survival and growth (Boyer et al., 2010; Bauerle et al., 2008), as well as serving as a critical carrier for nutrient acquisition from dry soil patches. Furthermore, the preferential proliferation of root hairs reveal more detailed diurnal fluctuation than the dielectric sensors with limited sensitivity to small daily changes between 0.01 and 0.12 v/v. The
- 325

during day time.

The nocturnal increase in soil water content was interpreted as HR. The gain in volumetric water content was multiplied by 50 mm, which represents the approximate depth of root concentration in the nutrient-rich dry compartment suggests that HR was an essential factor in creating anabitable environment.

nocturnal water release increased the root zone water content by  $\approx 0.1 \text{ v/v}$ , and a similar amount was taken up by the roots

- 330 The water content dynamics data can be utilized to infer the quantity of water that is released during each episode of HR. In Figure 4 (c) we show the increase soil moisture across all (Figure 4a). The gain water content during nutrient application (irrigation) was also calculated similarly but marked differently. The magnitudes of the root-zone wetting by HR and irrigation fluxes, which were recorded by each of the five working sensors (reported as an equivalent soil moisture depth in the 50 mm soil intervals where maximum root density was observed). The magnitude of HR are reported in Figure 7c. HR flux remained
- 335 consistent for the most part most of the study duration, with slight increases observed in the first few days after each injection of nutrient solution. These data can also be used to analyze the role of rhizosphere water status on the magnitude of HR (Meinzer et al., 2004; Prieto et al., 2010; Scholz et al., 2008). We observed that the day after each irrigation event. The HR flux was one order of magnitude lower than the intermittent irrigation (0.1 - 1.0 versus 1.0 - 10 mm/day). The relationship between the absolute magnitude of HR outflows to be inversely correlated with rhizosphere water potential (p < 0.05 in
- 340 Figure 4 (d)). This demonstrates that the rhizosphere soil under drier conditions exerts the larger resistance to flow. Previous evidence suggests that emerging root hairs, exudates, and mucilage in the rhizosphere soils modify pore size distribution (Koebernick et al., 2019) and elevate rhizosphere water retention and hydraulic conductivity (Carminati et al., 2010; Moradi et al., 2011; A . Besides, root exudation could have changed the soil water potential directly due to the release of hydrated metabolites from root tips (McCully and Boyer, 1997). Fluorescence images of the sand grains in the rhizosphere revealed extensive organic
- 345 coating with root hairs and amorphous fluorescent compounds (Figure S6), suggesting a modification of biophysical soil properties by root-soil interactions (Keyes et al., 2017). Therefore, it appears that the elevated HR dynamics we observedwere actively facilitated by root activities and the daily mean water potential is shown in Figure 7d. A statistically significant positive trend ( $p < 9.8 \times 10^{-22}$ ) described by a power law was observed.



**Figure 8.** Experimental demonstration Mechanisms, functions, and applications of three-part mechanisms of plant root feedbacks in response to uptake under mismatched distribution distributions of water and nutrient – root proliferation, HR-supported water retention elevation and active, thriving root biomass for nutrient uptake nutrients in nutrient-rich dry soil patches the root zone; (a) schematic representation of how HR supports nutrient uptake under our experimental condition; (b) hypothesized function of HR as an adaptation mechanism in natural environmentssystems, plants experience mismatched distribution of water and nutrient along the vertical gradients where nutrients are concentrated in soil profile and develop root proliferation, HR-facilitated transpiration and nutrient uptake shallow layers that are prone to support their growth frequent drying; and survival (bc) ;-a nature-inspired engineering approach proposed management practice that eapitalizes can reduce nutrient leaching from irrigated agriculture by capitalizing on the roots' ability to acquire water and nutrients from spatially isolated regions through applying irrigation water and fertilizers to alternating rows (c)mechanisms elucidated in this study.

#### 4 Discussion

350 Plant responses to water and nutrient stresses have been the subject of extensive research in ecological and agricultural settings (Robinson, 1994; Jackson et al., 1990). Typically, these stresses are considered individually or as compounded factors. However, how plants cope with

The above results directly address the three crucial questions we set out to answer: Does the mismatched distribution of water and nutrients in the soil profile is rarely examined, within a soil profile adversely affect plant performance? If not, to

355 what extent are roots able to acquire nutrients from dry soil patches provided that water is available elsewhere? What is the role of HR in nutrient uptake from dry patches?

# 4.1 Aboveground Plant Performance

We did not observe a measurable difference in reproductive success (number of flowers<del>and fruits, fruits, and fruit mass</del>) and nutrient acquisition between unstressed plants and plants that experienced severe partial-root nutrient and water stresses.

- 360 While rapid nutrient capture from brief pulses, i. e. intermittent wetting, could have been essential in plant performance by the aboveground parts (leaf greenness, nutrient content, and uptake) between any of the treatments, except for a small but significant difference in the mean whole-plant-NDVI between the two control treatments. These results showed that nearly complete separation of water and nutrients does not significantly impact the overall performance of tomato plants. Intermittent irrigation possibly could have alleviated root stress in the dry compartments. Nevertheless, it is important to note noteworthy
- 365 that the plants subjected to mismatched resource allocation derived all their nutrient uptake from a soil patch that persistently remained dry nutrients from soil patches that undergo pronounced drying conditions without showing any aboveground sign of stress. These soils persistently remained at -900 to -500 kPa (for 85% of growing time), which corresponds to residual moisture status for the coarse sandy soil used in this experiment. Thus, we can confidently conclude that plant performance is less sensitive to localized water and nutrient stresses provided that both resources are available in sufficient quantities within
- 370 the rooting zone.

Therefore, we can safely assert that the growing period. This moisture status is close to the wilting point, as indicated by the water retention curve (Figure A1). This indistinguishable aboveground performance suggests the existence of a below-ground adaptation mechanism. Our results indicate that the tomato plants subjected to mismatched resource distribution employed strategies of root functions that are distinct from plants grown under uniform with matched resource availability. Specifically,

375 we suggest a three-part mechanism that appears to be at playthree interacting mechanisms that support nutrient acquisition from dry soil, which are schematically illustrated in Figure 5. 8. Namely, matching root distribution with resource distribution, capitalization on HR water for nutrient acquisition and root support, and HR facilitation via modification of rhizosphere.

First, roots proliferated in dry soil patches provided that the available nutrients are constrained in the dry patches and water is available in sufficient quantity elsewhere (Figure 2 (f)). The root proliferation is the prerequisite for

# 380 4.2 Root distributions

The markedly higher concentration of roots in the rapid nutrient capture in such a short time window, i.e. 15% of the growing period. Moreover, multi-scale signaling and feedbacks appear to be involved. The marked differences in root allocations

nutrient-rich dry soil compartment (Figure 4a and 4d) than in the similarly irrigated but nutrient-free compartment indicates that these roots were foraging for nutrients. Due to frequent irrigation and coarse texture of the soils, the highest nutrient

- 385 leaching to the bottom of the pots likely occurred in treatment C1, where nutrients were supplied with  $\approx 90\%$  of the irrigation water, followed by treatment C2, where nutrients and water were equally divided between the two compartments<del>of the</del> three treatments, despite having received equal amounts of water and nutrients, points towards a complex whole-plant scale regulation of root growth. The. Likewise, there was a distinct accumulation of roots in the mid-section of the dry at the base of the wet compartment of treatment **D** supports strong signaling by localized nutrient concentration.
- 390 Second, roots appear to rely on hydraulic redistribution (HR) to maintain substantial root biomass in dry soil patches (Boyer et al., 2010) as well as to facilitate transport and uptake of nutrients C1 (Figure 4b) followed by significant but less pronounced accumulation at the bases of both compartments of treatment C2 (Figure 4 (a-e)). The roots grown in dry nutrient-rich patches also appear to be more vigorous than roots grown in nutrient-free dry patches as evidenced by the marked difference in drying after intermittent wetting events (compare Figure 3 (a) and 3 (b), respectively)c). The above observation suggests that
- 395 a substantial proportion of root growth is driven by nutrient availability. It also indicates the existence of root growth regulation mechanisms tied to the sensing of resource availability signals (Bao et al., 2014; Weidlich et al., 2018).

# 4.3 Role of HR

How can only 10 % of the irrigation water support 60 % of the root growth and be responsible for 100 % of nutrient uptake in treatment **D**? A trivial explanation could be that root growth and nutrient uptake occurred only during the short pulses of

400 nutrient injection. However, the occurrence of HR only in this treatment suggests a substantial role of HR in the adaptation to the mismatched distribution of resources. We propose two possible functions of HR.

First, HR prevents root stress and loss of function by preventing excessive drying (Boyer et al., 2010; Bauerle et al., 2008). After every weekly nutrient application in treatment **D**, the water content (Figure 6a and 7b) and water potential (Figure 7a) declined rapidly. However, despite the fast initial decline in water content, HR prevented HR allowed the water potential from

405 to remain at a stable dynamic equilibrium without ever approaching permanent wilting point (-1500 kPa) as shown in Figure 4 ((Figure 7a). This suggests that HR helps maintain and rejuvenate root activities until conditions of nutrient uptake become favorable (Bauerle et al., 2008).

Third, HR appears to be induced or accelerated by the action of roots. Absence Second, HR allows nutrients to remain in solution and be mobile, thereby facilitating nutrient uptake by roots. The role of HR in treatment C1 given identical partitioning

410 of water between the two compartments supports the suggestion that HR is not as previously suggested supporting enhanced nutrient cycling and uptake has been previously noted in field conditions (Bogie et al., 2018; Cardon et al., 2013; Matimati et al., 2014)

# 4.4 Facilitation of HR

The above observations lead to a critical question: Do roots have agency in regulating HR or their utilization of HR for nutrient uptake is merely capitalization of a "sweet accident" passively regulated, passively governed by physical conditions of the environments , but a biologically-mediated feedback process triggered by mismatched distribution of water and nutrients (Matimati et al., 2014). Primarily, drying of the rhizosphere soil in the dry compartment by root uptake provides the (Caldwell et al., 1998; Horton and Hart, 1998; Ryel, 2004). We argue that roots indeed play some role in triggering and regulating HR, and we submit three complementary evidence to support this argument.

- 420 First, HR was detected only in the nutrient-rich dry compartment of treatment **D** but not in the identically wetted nutrient-free dry compartment of treatment **C1**. Roots drive HR by drying the rhizosphere and creating the necessary water potential gradient to pull water from the wet compartment. However, drying In addition, extensive root growth provides the necessary flow channel and surface area to carry and release HR water. However, the presence of essential nutrients in the drying soil appears to be an additional condition for HR.
- 425 <u>Second, drying also counters HR by dropping hydraulic conductivity of the rhizosphere (van Genuchten, 1980), which</u> restricts the ability of HR to be transported water to diffuse away from root surfaces. Therefore, rhizosphere hydraulic conductivity plays the dominant role Evidence for the role of hydraulic conductivity in controlling HR , as evidenced is indicated by the positive correlation between water potential and HR shown in Figure 4 (d) depicted in Figure 7d. Loss of hydraulic conductance in the soil-plant systems has been previously attributed to the decline in HR magnitude (Meinzer
- 430 et al., 2004; Prieto et al., 2010; Scholz et al., 2008). At first glance, this observation appears to contradict the commonplace observation of rapid and spontaneous imbibition during infiltration into dry soils. However, it is crucial to recognize that, unlike the wetting front infiltration, the surface of roots during HR typically remains at low water potential and is more susceptible to a drop in hydraulic conductivity.

In this study, two possible pathways allow roots to modify rhizosphere hydraulic properties. One is through emerging root

- 435 hairs that alters Thirdly, therefore, it appears that modification of that of the rhizosphere that increases hydraulic conductivity would benefit plants by enhancing the benefits of HR. There is a growing consensus on the importance of root hairs for nutrient uptake (Zhang et al., 2018; Bates and Lynch, 2001), which includes that denser and thicker root hairs alter the soil porosity and hydraulic connectivity at the root-soil interfaces . The other is through localized elevation of water retention around active roots by root-secreted organic materials (Carminati et al., 2010; Moradi et al., 2011; Albalasmeh and Ghezzehei, 2014). Therefore,
- 440 we suggest that root morphological adaptation and exudation in (Keyes et al., 2017; Koebernick et al., 2017, 2019). Evidence of this role of root hairs was present in our study, as shown by the pronounced density and thickness of root hairs observed in the nutrient-rich dry patches may play an integral part in HR-assisted sustenance of roots and nutrient acquisition. Fluorescence images of sand particles from the compartment of treatment **D** (Figure 5b). Moreover, roots can enhance the rhizosphere's water retention by accumulating rhizodeposits (Carminati et al., 2010; Moradi et al., 2011; Albalasmeh and Ghezzehei, 2014; Ghezzehei and All
- 445 . Rhizodeposition released from root tips has been found to decrease the local soil water potential (McCully and Boyer, 1997), facilitate soil aggregation, and is often credited for the facilitation of water and nutrient extraction (Pang et al., 2017; Watt et al., 1994)
  . We observed, albeit in a small scope, organic-coatings of sand in the rhizosphere of the nutrient-rich dry compartments exhibited evidence of organic coatings that support this hypothesis (Figure S6)compartment of treatment D (see Figure S5), which further support the agency of roots in enhancing HR.

450 It should be noted that the current study considered an ideal condition with a single plant species grown in the homogenous texture of sandy soils. Such conditions nearly never occur in natural systems due to the complexity of environmental factors and response variation between different plant species. Therefore, extensive studies beyond the controlled system involving different plant species and soil types are required for further mechanistic understanding

# 4.5 Broader implications of findings

- 455 Although our experiments focused on only one plant bred for agricultural purposes under an artificial environment, we can make educated conjectures on how plants can adapt to a mismatched resource environment. The deliberate imposition of extreme separation of resources used in our experiments gives credence to the broader applicability of the proposed mechanisms. The above evidence allows us to propose a conceptual model of how HR plays a central and critical role in plant adaptation to mismatched resource distributions, as illustrated in Figure 8b. The condition presented in this diagram represents
- 460 a soil profile in an arid or semi-arid environment that experiences frequent and extreme drying of the shallow layers, while soils at depth retain sufficient amounts of water to support transpiration. Moreover, organic matter and plant-available nutrients are preferentially concentrated in the shallow soil. This soil profile resembles most soil natural (Caldwell and Richards, 1989; Cardon et al., 20) and agricultural (Kizito et al., 2007; Bogie et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2009) field conditions under which HR has been observed. The plant roots are shown tapping both resources by using the HR water to mobilize nutrients that would otherwise remain

465 biologically unavailable.

Our findings demonstrated that plants could utilize heterogeneously distributed resources without adverse impact on their performance, provided that these resources are present in sufficient quantities. Specifically, we showed the ability of plants to acquire 100% of their nutrient needs under the extreme mismatch of water and nutrient distributions. We provided multiple lines of evidence that suggest a successful adaptation to such an environment involves coordination between components of the root

- 470 system that inhabit environments with contrasting resource availability. Critical to this mechanism is a reliance on multiple strategies, including extensive root proliferation that allows rapid nutrient capture from immediate widows of availability under favorable moisture conditions, and sustained HR to support an active root system and facilitate nutrient transport under unfavorable or drought stress conditions. It appears We also argue that HR is triggered and facilitated to aid in nutrient uptake. The fact that we observed HR in a shallow-rooted herbaceous plant (tomato) suggests that the mismatch of resources rather than
- 475 climate and plant types are the primary drivers of HR. Increasing water uptake is not necessarily the primary function of HR, as has been postulated elsewhere (Ghezzehei and Albalasmeh, 2015; Carminati et al., 2016; Meinzer et al., 2004). Indeed, our observations of root-zone water dynamics (Figure 7b) suggest that the net contribution of HR to transpiration at the whole-plant scale is small. In our experiment, nutrients were delivered with low weekly pulses of irrigation. In real conditions, precipitation events in arid and semi-arid regions can be less frequent. Therefore, our conceptual model suggests that HR is biologically
- 480 regulated when its occurrence supports the acquisition of nutrients. Regulating mechanisms include the enhancement of rhizosphere water retention but root exudation and development of dense and thick roothairscritical for the sustenance root functions during extended drought spells.

The findings of this study, which was carried out under a highly controlled laboratory environment, sheds light on how plants thrive in regions where surface soil drying is typical, and water and nutrient availabilities are often spatially mismatched. As

- 485 illustrated in Figure 5 (b), actively controlled HR employed by plant roots can support active, thriving root biomass in the shallow nutrient-rich soils. Furthermore, HR induced wetting can support microbial communities that sustain vigorous nutrient cycling in the otherwise maintaining the soil water status above a detrimental threshold via HR would permit soil microbes to carry out essential nutrient cycling functions in the rhizosphere. Nutrient cycling was likely less critical in the current study because the sand lacked organic matter, and all nutrients were delivered in a plant-available form. However, the effects of HR
- 490 on microbial functions in the shallow dry soil layers Facilitation mechanisms could include regulation of root exudation on microbial activities can be substantial under field conditions (Cardon et al., 2013), where the bulk of plant nutrients are likely to exist in non-available form affixed to mineral surfaces and as part of organic matter (Keiluweit et al., 2015; Li et al., 2004) . The HR-facilitated microbial activities can be extensive at the ecosystem scale through interaction with the rhizodeposition dynamics (Williams and de Vries, 2020). This hypothesis is consistent with the frequent occurrence of HR in deep-rooted
- 495 shrubs of arid and semi-arid regions (Kizito et al., 2007; Bogie et al., 2018). The fact that we observed HR in a shallow-rooted herbaceous plant (tomato) suggests that the mismatch of resources rather than climate and plant types are the primary drivers of HR.

The lessons gleaned from the above effective resource utilization strategies can serve as templates for highly efficient, nature-inspired agricultural systems. The use of synthetic fertilizers, particularly N, played a significant role in boosting crop production (Tilman et al., 2002). While-

# 4.6 Implication to sustainable agriculture

500

In this study, we used relatively shallow and closed pots to eliminate differences in total nutrient and water availability. However, had the bases of the pots been open or deeper, a fraction of the nutrient supply could have leached below the rooting depth in the control treatments C1 and C2. Higher root density at the bases of the pots in these treatments suggests that the roots were able to utilize the leached nutrients. This finding highlights a persistent curse of modern irrigated agriculture, in which a substantial fraction of applied fertilizers leach below the rooting depth (Bowles et al., 2018). As a result, while the NUE in many industrialized countries has been increasing at a modest rate, the yield gains achieved in most developing countries over the past half-century came at a significant decline in NUE (Zhang et al., 2015) and environmental and ecological degradations, including air and water pollution and the accumulation of potent greenhouse gases (Bowles et al., 2018)

- 510 (Bowles et al., 2018; Balmford et al., 2018). To meet the 2050 global food demand while safeguarding environmental quality would require harvested N to increase by 45% while NUE to increase from 40% to 70% (Zhang et al., 2015). Therefore, economically affordable approaches to reducing N loss from agriculture are critical to achieving this goal. Our findings suggest that the colocation of nutrients and water, which is the main driver for N loss by leaching and volatilization (Bowles et al., 2018) , is not necessary to maintain productivity. Thus, spatially isolating the bulk of irrigation water from the applied N can be ef-
- 515 fective in drastically cutting N losses. We suggest In Figure 8c, we propose one such approach, illustrated in Figure 5 (c) , that uses existing technologies and minimal investment. This approach involves applying irrigation water and fertilizers to

alternating rowsand capitalizing on. The scheme involves meeting the transpiration demand of crops by irrigating every other row while using the rest of the rows for delivering nutrients in small quantities. The approach resembles a well-established partial-root-drying (PRD) method of irrigation method practiced in arid regions, including Israel and Australia (Bielorai, 1982; Dry et al., 20

520 . In PRD, every other row is irrigated in an alternating schedule, whereas our proposed scheme requires that nutrients and water be delivered to dedicated rows. This proposal is consistent with a recent recommendation by Vetterlein et al. 2020 to utilize the integrative function of plant decision-making and self-regulation the sustainable management of agricultural systems.

#### 5 Conclusions

Our findings demonstrated that tomato plants can utilize heterogeneously distributed resources without adverse impact on their performance. Specifically, we showed the ability of plants to acquire 100% of their nutrient needs under the extreme mismatch 525 of water and nutrient distributions. We provided evidence that suggests a successful adaptation to such an environment involves coordination between components of the roots' ability to acquire both resources effectively, root system that inhabit environments with contrasting resource availability. Critical to this mechanism is a reliance on multiple strategies, including extensive root proliferation that allows rapid nutrient capture from immediate widows of availability under favorable moisture 530 conditions, and sustained HR to support an active root system and facilitate nutrient transport under unfavorable or drought stress conditions. It appears that the overall plant nutrient demands drive the occurrence of HR because of HR's role in supporting the acquisition of nutrients. Regulation mechanisms that control HR occurrence and magnitude include root adaptions at different spatial and temporal scales, including the extensive proliferation of root branches, thick root hairs, and rhizodeposition formation. Finally, we provided a conceptual model of how HR may play an integral in plant adaptation to mismatched resource distributions and suggested a nature-inspired irrigation scheme to minimize nutrient losses and environmental pollution.

535

Code and data availability. The data sets and R code were uploaded in Dryad with a doi:10.6071/M39M2T. The unpublished dataset and code for review was shared using this temporary link: click for data sets and code for review.

#### Appendix A: Comparison of root distribution

The distribution of root mass within the top, middle, and bottom (0-8, 8-18, 18-26 cm) depth intervals were compared using a two-way ANOVA test and Games-Howell posthoc test for multiple comparisons (Games and Howell, 1976). The results of 540 mean  $\pm$  standard deviation along with significant letters were shown in Table A3.

Author contributions. J.Y., T.A.G. designed and performed the research; J.Y., N.A.B, and T.A.G. analyzed the results and wrote the paper.



Figure A1. Water retention as a function of water potential derived from independent soil characterization. The points represent the measurement of water content and water potential using the potentiometer, and the solid line is the best fit Brooks Corey's model. The measurement points adequately cover the range of water potential at which HR was observed using psychrometers. This curves was used for conversion of water potential to water content and calculation of HR water flux shown in Figure7b, c and d. The fitted parameters of the curve are air-entry water potential ( $\psi_0$  : -6.51 kPa) and the dimensionless shape factor ( $\lambda$  : -0.63). The saturated water content was estimated from the bulk density as saturated water content,  $\theta_s$  : 0.47 v/v and that residual water content was assumed zero.

Competing interests. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

545

Acknowledgements. We thank Luis Davila, Lythia Meza, Yulissa Perez Rojas, Mimi Pomephimkham, and Joseph Veneracion for assistance with soil and plant sampling and characterization. Assistance from Miguel Manansala, Kennedy Nguyen (Microscopy & Imaging Facility), Liying Zhao (Environmental Analytical Lab) and Justin Van De Velde (Stable Isotope Lab) is gratefully acknowledged. This study was supported by USDA-NIFA Grant #2016-67019-25283. Table A1. Welch's anova test of physiological indicators across treatments

Physiological characteristics	<del>p-value</del>
1. Total dry mass (g)	<del>-0.30</del>
2. Shoot dry mass (g)	<del>-0.28</del>
3. Initial dry mass (g)	<del>-0.16</del>
4. Flower no.	- <del>0.73</del>
5. Fruit no	- <del>0.95</del>
6. Fruit dry mass (g)	<del>-0.70</del>
7. Fruit nitrogen content (%)	- <del>0.28</del>
8. Fruit nitrogen uptake (mgN)	<del>-0.48</del>
9. Shoot nitrogen content (%)	-0.24
10. Shoot nitrogen uptake (mgN)	- <del>0.28</del>
11. Total nitrogen uptake (mgN)	<del>-0.43</del>
12. Nitrogen usage efficiency (%)	<del>-0.43</del>
13. Leaf NDVI	-0.10

#### References

Albalasmeh, A. A. and Ghezzehei, T. A.: Interplay between soil drying and root exudation in rhizosheath development, Plant and Soil, 374, 550 739-751, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1910-y, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11104-013-1910-y, 2014.

- Andraski, B. J. and Scanlon, B. R.: Thermocouple psychrometry, in: Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 4 Physical Methods, edited by Jacob H. Dane, G. Clarke Topp, and Soil Science Society of America, pp. 609-642, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.4.c22, http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/70194882, 2002.
- Apostolakis, C. G. and Douka, C. E.: Distribution of Macro- and Micronutrients in Soil Profiles Developed on Lithose-555 quences and under Biosequences in Northern Greece1, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 34, 290-296, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssai1970.03615995003400020031x, http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssai1970.03615995003400020031x, 1970.
  - Balmford, A., Amano, T., Bartlett, H., Chadwick, D., Collins, A., Edwards, D., Field, R., Garnsworthy, P., Green, R., Smith, P., Waters, H., Whitmore, A., Broom, D. M., Chara, J., Finch, T., Garnett, E., Gathorne-Hardy, A., Hernandez-Medrano, J., Herrero, M., Hua, F., Latawiec, A., Misselbrook, T., Phalan, B., Simmons, B. I., Takahashi, T., Vause, J., zu Ermgassen, E., and Eisner, R.: The
- 560 environmental costs and benefits of high-yield farming, Nature Sustainability, 1, 477-485, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0138-5, http://www.nature.com/articles/s41893-018-0138-5, 2018.
  - Bao, Y., Aggarwal, P., Robbins, N. E., Sturrock, C. J., Thompson, M. C., Tan, H. Q., Tham, C., Duan, L., Rodriguez, P. L., Vernoux, T., Mooney, S. J., Bennett, M. J., and Dinneny, J. R.: Plant roots use a patterning mechanism to position lateral root branches toward available water, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 9319-9324, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1400966111, http://www.pnas. org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1400966111, 2014.
- 565

**Table A2.** The mean, standard deviation of physiological indicators, and the p-value of Welch's ANOVA test across treatments. Note: comparison of Leaf NDVI was performed both at the 3rd to 6th branches (equivalent to the normalized plant height of 0.8 to 0.9) and the whole plant scale. Values with different letters indicate significant difference (p < 0.05).

Variables		n value		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	<u>C1</u>	<u>C2</u>	Printing
Total dry mass (g)	$\underbrace{6.23 \pm 0.41}_{6.23 \pm 0.41}$	$\underbrace{6.57 \pm 1.01}_{\underbrace{}$	$\underbrace{6.84 \pm 0.34}_{\ldots}$	0.30
Shoot dry mass (g)	$\underbrace{5.37 \pm 0.54}_{0$	$5.87 \pm 0.87$	$6.19 \pm 0.43$	$\underbrace{0.28}_{\cdots}$
Initial dry mass (g)	$\underbrace{1.43 \pm 0.34}_{0$	$\underbrace{1.26\pm0.02}_{$	$\underbrace{1.05\pm0.12}$	$\underbrace{0.16}$
Flower no.	$\underline{3.67 \pm 2.08}$	$\underbrace{4.00 \pm 2.65}$	$2.67 \pm 1.53$	$\underbrace{0.73}$
Fruit no.	$\underline{2.00 \pm 1.00}$	$\underbrace{1.67 \pm 1.53}$	$2.00 \pm 1.00$	$\underbrace{0.95}$
Fruit dry mass (g)	$\underline{0.85 \pm 0.13}$	$\underbrace{0.70\pm0.61}$	$0.65 \pm 0.36$	$\underbrace{0.70}$
Fruit N content (%)	$\underline{2.23 \pm 0.21}$	$\underbrace{1.36 \pm 1.23}_{}}}$	$1.87 \pm 0.09$	$\underbrace{0.28}$
Fruit N uptake (mgN)	$\underline{19.21 \pm 4.9}$	$14.37 \pm 13.57$	$\underbrace{12.22 \pm 6.8}_{1$	$\underbrace{0.48}$
Shoot N content (%)	$\underline{1.35 \pm 0.10}$	$\underline{1.32\pm0.11}$	$\underbrace{1.16 \pm 0.21}_{0$	$\underbrace{0.21}$
Shoot N uptake (mgN)	$\underline{69.67 \pm 6.11}$	$80.11 \pm 6.65$	$\underline{76.32 \pm 8.15}$	0.28
Total N uptake (mgN)	$\underline{70.73 \pm 6.71}$	$78.63 \pm 6.42$	$\underline{77.01 \pm 2.94}$	$\underbrace{0.43}$
N usage efficiency (%)	$\underline{59.04 \pm 5.60}$	$.65.63 \pm 5.36$	$\underline{64.28 \pm 2.45}$	$\underbrace{0.43}$
Leaf NDVI (0.8 - 0.9)	$\underbrace{0.88 \pm 0.01}_{}$	$\underbrace{0.86 \pm 0.04}_{}$	$\underbrace{0.89 \pm 0.01}_{}$	$\underbrace{0.10}$
Leaf NDVI (whole plant)	$0.84 \pm 0.10$ ab	$\underbrace{0.82 \pm 0.06}_{\bullet} \text{b}$	$0.86 \pm 0.05$ a	$\leq 0.001$

.

**Table A3.** Games-Howell's comparison of root mass distribution (%) along soil depths. Values with different letters indicate significant difference (p < 0.05)

<del>Depth (cm)</del>	Ð		<del>C1</del>		<del>C2</del>	
	Wet	Ðry	Wet	Đry	Wet	Ðry
<del>0-8</del> -	$2.7 \pm 1.1$ ac	$2.9 \pm 2.3$ abe	$2.6 \pm 1.6$ ac	$0.7 \pm 0.6 c$	$\frac{1.8 \pm 1.1}{1.1}$ ac	$0.9 \pm 0.5 c$
<del>8-18</del>	$2.0 \pm 0.4$ ac	$\frac{8.6\pm1.9\mathrm{b}}{}$	$3.6 \pm 0.7$ ab	$1.4 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{c}$	$4.1 \pm 1.6$ abe	$4.8 \pm 1.1$ ab
<del>18-26</del>	$4.1 \pm 3.5$ abc	$2.2 \pm 1.6$ ac	$\frac{13.0 \pm 4.4}{4.4}$ abe	$2.5\pm0.7$ ac	$6.3 \pm 0.2$ abe	$4.9 \pm 0.4$ abc

- Bates, T. R. and Lynch, J. P.: Root hairs confer a competitive advantage under low phosphorus availability, Plant and Soil, 236, 243–250, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012791706800, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012791706800, 2001.
- Bauerle, T. L., Richards, J. H., Smart, D. R., and Eissenstat, D. M.: Importance of internal hydraulic redistribution for prolonging the lifespan of roots in dry soil, Plant, Cell & Environment, 31, 177–186, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01749.x, 2008.
- 570 Bengough, A. G., McKenzie, B. M., Hallett, P. D., and Valentine, T. A.: Root elongation, water stress, and mechanical impedance: a review of limiting stresses and beneficial root tip traits, Journal of Experimental Botany, 62, 59–68, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq350, https: //doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq350, citation Key Alias: bengoughRootElongationWater2011a, 2011.

Table A4. The elemental composition of essential macro- and micro-nutrients in the irrigating nutrient solution. Note: the elemental concentration was reported as the normalized concentration to the nitrogen level. The calculated results were based on the information from the product manufacture label.

Macro-	Normalized		
& Micro-Nutrients	Concentration		
Nitrogen	1.00		
Phosphorus	0.46		
Potassium	1.45		
Calcium	0.55		
Magnesium	0.15		
Sulfur	0.18		
Boron	$\leq 0.01$		
Copper	$\leq 0.01$		
Iron	0.01		
Manganese	0.01		
Molybdenum	$\leq 0.01$		
Zinc	$\leq 0.01$		
Note: commercial hydroponic nutrient solution			
(General Hydroponics, Santa Rosa, CA) derived			
from Ammonium Nitrate, Calcium Nitrate,			
Magnesium Nitrate, Magnesium Sulfate,			
Monopotassium Phosphate, Potassium Nitrate,			

Potassium Sulfate, Sodium Molybdate was diluted

accordingly for nutrient application.

- Bielorai, H.: The effect of partial wetting of the root zone on yield and water use efficiency in a drip-and sprinkler-irrigated mature grapefruit grove, Irrigation Science, 3, 89-100, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00264852, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00264852, 1982.
- Bogie, N. A., Bayala, R., Diedhiou, I., Conklin, M. H., Fogel, M. L., Dick, R. P., and Ghezzehei, T. A.: Hydraulic redistribution by native sahe-575 lian shrubs: bioirrigation to resist in-season drought, Frontiers in Environmental Science, 6, 98, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00098, https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00098, bogie2018, 2018.
  - Bowles, T. M., Atallah, S. S., Campbell, E. E., Gaudin, A. C. M., Wieder, W. R., and Grandy, A. S.: Addressing agricultural nitrogen losses in a changing climate, Nature Sustainability, 1, 399-408, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0106-0, http://www.nature.com/articles/ s41893-018-0106-0, 2018.
- 580
  - Boyer, J. S., Silk, W. K., and Watt, M.: Path of water for root growth, Functional Plant Biology, 37, 1105-1116, https://doi.org/10.1071/FP10108, http://www.publish.csiro.au/FP/FP10108, 2010.
  - Brooks, R. H. and Corey, A. T.: Hydraulic properties of porous media, no. 3 in Hydrology papers, Colorado State University, [Hydrology and Water Resources Program], Fort Collins, 1964.
- Brooks, R. H. and Corey, A. T.: Properties of porous media affecting fluid flow, Journal of the irrigation and drainage division, 92, 61-90, 585 1966.

- Brown, R. and Bartos, D.: A Calibration Model for Screen-caged Peltier Thermocouple Psychrometers, no. 293 in Research Paper, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah, https://books.google.com/ books?id=BGnpPYgkC6AC, 1982.
- 590 Caldwell, M. M. and Richards, J. H.: Hydraulic lift: water efflux from upper roots improves effectiveness of water uptake by deep roots, Oecologia, 79, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00378231, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF00378231, 1989.
  - Caldwell, M. M., Dawson, T. E., and Richards, J. H.: Hydraulic lift: consequences of water efflux from the roots of plants, Oecologia, 113, 151–161, https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050363, https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050363, 1998.
- Cardon, Z. G., Stark, J. M., Herron, P. M., and Rasmussen, J. A.: Sagebrush carrying out hydraulic lift enhances surface soil ni trogen cycling and nitrogen uptake into inflorescences, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, 18988–18993, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1311314110, http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1311314110, 2013.
  - Carminati, A., Moradi, A. B., Vetterlein, D., Vontobel, P., Lehmann, E., Weller, U., Vogel, H.-J., and Oswald, S. E.: Dynamics of soil water content in the rhizosphere, Plant and Soil, 332, 163–176, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0283-8, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0283-8, 2010.
- 600 Carminati, A., Schneider, C. L., Moradi, A. B., Zarebanadkouki, M., Vetterlein, D., Vogel, H.-J., Hildebrandt, A., Weller, U., Schüler, L., and Oswald, S. E.: How the Rhizosphere May Favor Water Availability to Roots, Vadose Zone Journal, 10, 988–998, https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2010.0113, http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/vzj2010.0113, 2011.
  - Carminati, A., Kroener, E., Ahmed, M. A., Zarebanadkouki, M., Holz, M., and Ghezzehei, T.: Water for Carbon, Carbon for Water, Vadose Zone Journal, 15, https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2015.04.0060, http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/vzj2015.04.0060, 2016.
- 605 Dawson, T. E.: Hydraulic lift and water use by plants: implications for water balance, performance and plant-plant interactions, Oecologia, 95, 565–574, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317442, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317442, 1993.
  - Dry, P., Loveys, B., and Düring, H.: Partial drying of the rootzone of grape. II. Changes in the pattern of root development, Vitis, 39, 9–12, 2000.
  - Fan, Y., Miguez-Macho, G., Jobbágy, E. G., Jackson, R. B., and Otero-Casal, C.: Hydrologic regulation of plant rooting depth, Proceedings
- 610 of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 10 572–10 577, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1712381114, http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10. 1073/pnas.1712381114, 2017.
  - Franzluebbers, A. and Hons, F.: Soil-profile distribution of primary and secondary plant-available nutrients under conventional and no tillage, Soil and Tillage Research, 39, 229–239, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(96)01056-2, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S0167198796010562, 1996.
- 615 Games, P. A. and Howell, J. F.: Pairwise Multiple Comparison Procedures with Unequal N's and/or Variances: A Monte Carlo Study, Journal of Educational Statistics, 1, 113–125, https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986001002113, https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986001002113, 1976.
  - Ghezzehei, T. A. and Albalasmeh, A. A.: Spatial distribution of rhizodeposits provides built-in water potential gradient in the rhizosphere, Ecological Modelling, 298, 53–63, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.10.028, https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0304380014005262, 2015.
- 620 Golding, C. G., Lamboo, L. L., Beniac, D. R., and Booth, T. F.: The scanning electron microscope in microbiology and diagnosis of infectious disease, Scientific reports, 6, 26516–26516, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep26516, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27212232, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2016.
  - Hodge, A.: The plastic plant: root responses to heterogeneous supplies of nutrients, New Phytologist, 162, 9–24, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01015.x, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01015.x, 2004.

- 625 Horton, J. L. and Hart, S. C.: Hydraulic lift: a potentially important ecosystem process, Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 13, 232–235, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01328-7, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01328-7, publisher: Elsevier, 1998.
  - Jackson, R. B., Manwaring, J. H., and Caldwell, M. M.: Rapid physiological adjustment of roots to localized soil enrichment, Nature, 344, 58–60, https://doi.org/10.1038/344058a0, http://www.nature.com/articles/344058a0, 1990.
  - Jobbágy, E. G. and Jackson, R. B.: The distribution of soil nutrients with depth: Global patterns and the imprint of plants, Biogeochemistry, 53, 51–77, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010760720215, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010760720215, 2001.
  - Kalra, Y. P.: Handbook of reference methods for plant analysis, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1998.

630

650

660

- Keiluweit, M., Bougoure, J. J., Nico, P. S., Pett-Ridge, J., Weber, P. K., and Kleber, M.: Mineral protection of soil carbon counteracted by root exudates, Nature Climate Change, 5, 588, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2580, 2015.
- Keyes, S. D., Zygalakis, K. C., and Roose, T.: An Explicit Structural Model of Root Hair and Soil Interactions Parameterised by Synchrotron
- 635 X-ray Computed Tomography, Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, 79, 2785–2813, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-017-0350-x, https://doi. org/10.1007/s11538-017-0350-x, 2017.
  - Kim, K. H., Akase, Z., Suzuki, T., and Shindo, D.: Charging Effects on SEM/SIM Contrast of Metal/Insulator System in Various Metallic Coating Conditions, MATERIALS TRANSACTIONS, 51, 1080–1083, https://doi.org/10.2320/matertrans.M2010034, 2010.
- Kizito, F., Sène, M., Dragila, M., Lufafa, A., Diedhiou, I., Dossa, E., Cuenca, R., Selker, J., and Dick, R.: Soil water balance of
   annual crop-native shrub systems in Senegal's Peanut Basin: The missing link, Agricultural Water Management, 90, 137–148, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.agwat.2007.02.015. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378377407000522. 2007.
  - Koebernick, N., Daly, K. R., Keyes, S. D., George, T. S., Brown, L. K., Raffan, A., Cooper, L. J., Naveed, M., Bengough, A. G., Sinclair, I., Hallett, P. D., and Roose, T.: High-resolution synchrotron imaging shows that root hairs influence rhizosphere soil structure formation, The New Phytologist, 216, 124–135, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14705, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5601222/, 2017.
- 645 Koebernick, N., Daly, K. R., Keyes, S. D., Bengough, A. G., Brown, L. K., Cooper, L. J., George, T. S., Hallett, P. D., Naveed, M., Raffan, A., and Roose, T.: Imaging microstructure of the barley rhizosphere: particle packing and root hair influences, New Phytologist, 221, 1878–1889, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15516, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nph.15516, 2019.
  - Lambers, H., Finnegan, P. M., Laliberté, E., Pearse, S. J., Ryan, M. H., Shane, M. W., and Veneklaas, E. J.: Phosphorus Nutrition of Proteaceae in Severely Phosphorus-Impoverished Soils: Are There Lessons To Be Learned for Future Crops?, Plant Physiology, 156, 1058, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.174318, http://www.plantphysiol.org/content/156/3/1058.abstract, 2011.
  - Li, F., Liu, M., Li, Z., Jiang, C., Han, F., and Che, Y.: Changes in soil microbial biomass and functional diversity with a nitrogen gradient in soil columns, Applied Soil Ecology, 64, 1 6, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.10.006, http://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/S0929139312002454, 2013.
    - Li, L., Zhao, Z., Huang, W., Peng, P., Sheng, G., and Fu, J.: Characterization of humic acids fractionated by ultrafiltration, Or-
- 655 ganic Geochemistry, 35, 1025–1037, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2004.05.002, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0146638004001184, 2004.
  - Linderman, R. G.: Mycorrhizal interactions in the rhizosphere, in: The Rhizosphere and Plant Growth: Papers presented at a Symposium held May 8–11, 1989, at the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (BARC), Beltsville, Maryland, edited by Keister, D. L. and Cregan, P. B., pp. 343–348, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-3336-4\_73, https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-94-011-3336-4\_73, 1991.
    - 30

- Matimati, I., Anthony Verboom, G., and Cramer, M. D.: Do hydraulic redistribution and nocturnal transpiration facilitate nutrient acquisition in Aspalathus linearis?, Oecologia, 175, 1129–1142, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-2987-6, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00442-014-2987-6, 2014.
- McCully, M. E. and Boyer, J. S.: The expansion of maize root-cap mucilage during hydration. 3. Changes in water potential and water content, Physiologia Plantarum, 99, 169–177, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb03445.x, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb03445.x, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.
  - McKay Fletcher, D. M., Ruiz, S., Dias, T., Petroselli, C., and Roose, T.: Linking root structure to functionality: the impact of root system architecture on citrate-enhanced phosphate uptake, New Phytologist, 227, 376–391, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16554, https://doi.org/10. 1111/nph.16554, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2020.
- 670 Meinzer, F. C., Brooks, J. R., Bucci, S., Goldstein, G., Scholz, F. G., and Warren, J. M.: Converging patterns of uptake and hydraulic redistribution of soil water in contrasting woody vegetation types, Tree Physiology, 24, 919–928, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/24.8.919, 2004.
  - Moradi, A. B., Carminati, A., Vetterlein, D., Vontobel, P., Lehmann, E., Weller, U., Hopmans, J. W., Vogel, H.-J., and Oswald, S. E.: Three-dimensional visualization and quantification of water content in the rhizosphere, New Phytologist, 192, 653–663,
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03826.x, https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03826.x, 2011.
   Nambiar, E. K. S.: Uptake of Zn65 from dry soil by plants, Plant and Soil, 44, 267–271, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00016978, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00016978, 1976.
  - Oburger, E. and Jones, D. L.: Sampling root exudates Mission impossible?, Rhizosphere, 6, 116–133, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2018.06.004, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2452219818300661, 2018.
- 680 Orosa-Puente, B., Leftley, N., von Wangenheim, D., Banda, J., Srivastava, A. K., Hill, K., Truskina, J., Bhosale, R., Morris, E., Srivastava, M., Kümpers, B., Goh, T., Fukaki, H., Vermeer, J. E. M., Vernoux, T., Dinneny, J. R., French, A. P., Bishopp, A., Sadanandom, A., and Bennett, M. J.: Root branching toward water involves posttranslational modification of transcription factor ARF7, Science, 362, 1407–1410, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau3956, http://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.aau3956, 2018.
- Pang, J., Ryan, M. H., Siddique, K. H. M., and Simpson, R. J.: Unwrapping the rhizosheath, Plant and Soil, 418, 129–139, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3358-y, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11104-017-3358-y, 2017.
  - Prieto, I., Kikvidze, Z., and Pugnaire, F. I.: Hydraulic lift: soil processes and transpiration in the Mediterranean leguminous shrub Retama sphaerocarpa (L.) Boiss, Plant and Soil, 329, 447–456, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0170-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11104-009-0170-3, 2010.

Robbins, N. E. and Dinneny, J. R.: Growth is required for perception of water availability to pattern root branches in plants, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115, E822–E831, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710709115, http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/ pnas.1710709115, 2018.

Robinson, D.: The responses of plants to non-uniform supplies of nutrients, New Phytologist, 127, 635–674, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469 8137.1994.tb02969.x, https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb02969.x, 1994.

Robinson, D., Hodge, A., Griffiths, B. S., and Fitter, A. H.: Plant root proliferation in nitrogen–rich patches confers competitive advantage, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 266, 431–

Robbins, N. E. and Dinneny, J. R.: The divining root: moisture-driven responses of roots at the micro- and macro-scale, Journal of Experimental Botany, 66, 2145–2154, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru496, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4817643/, 2015.

435, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0656, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rspb.1999.0656, tex.eprint: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10.1098/rspb.1999.0656, 1999.

700 Rodell, M., Houser, P. R., Jambor, U., Gottschalck, J., Mitchell, K., Meng, C.-J., Arsenault, K., Cosgrove, B., Radakovich, J., Bosilovich, M., Entin, J. K., Walker, J. P., Lohmann, D., and Toll, D.: The Global Land Data Assimilation System, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 85, 381–394, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-85-3-381, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-85-3-381, 2004.

Rose, T. J., Rengel, Z., Ma, Q., and Bowden, J. W.: Hydraulic lift by canola plants aids P and K uptake from dry topsoil, Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, 59, 38, https://doi.org/10.1071/AR07146, http://www.publish.csiro.au/?paper=AR07146, 2008.

705 Ryel, R. J.: Hydraulic Redistribution, in: Progress in Botany: Genetics Physiology Systematics Ecology, edited by Esser, K., Lüttge, U., Beyschlag, W., and Murata, J., pp. 413–435, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18819-0\_17, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18819-0\_17, 2004.

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., and Eliceiri, K. W.: NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis, Nature Methods, 9, 671–675, https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089, https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089, 2012.

710 Scholz, F. G., Bucci, S. J., Goldstein, G., Moreira, M. Z., Meinzer, F. C., Domec, J.-C., Villalobos-Vega, R., Franco, A. C., and Miralles-Wilhelm, F.: Biophysical and life-history determinants of hydraulic lift in Neotropical savanna trees, Functional Ecology DOI: 10.1 111/j.1365-2435.2008.01452.x, 22, 773–786, https://www.fs.usda.gov/treesearch/pubs/34589, 2008.

Stanford, G. and Epstein, E.: Nitrogen Mineralization-Water Relations in Soils1, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 38, 103–107, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1974.03615995003800010032x, http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1974.03615995003800010032x, 1974.

- 715 Tilman, D., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Naylor, R., and Polasky, S.: Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices, Nature, 418, 671–677, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01014, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01014, 2002.
  - van Genuchten, M. T.: A Closed-form Equation for Predicting the Hydraulic Conductivity of Unsaturated Soils1, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 44, 892, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1980.03615995004400050002x, https://www.soils.org/publications/sssaj/abstracts/ 44/5/SS0440050892, 1980.
- 720 Vetterlein, D., Carminati, A., Kögel-Knabner, I., Bienert, G. P., Smalla, K., Oburger, E., Schnepf, A., Banitz, T., Tarkka, M. T., and Schlüter, S.: Rhizosphere Spatiotemporal Organization–A Key to Rhizosphere Functions, Frontiers in Agronomy, 2, 8, https://doi.org/10.3389/fagro.2020.00008, https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fagro.2020.00008, 2020.
- Wang, X., Tang, C., Guppy, C. N., and Sale, P. W. G.: The role of hydraulic lift and subsoil P placement in P uptake of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), Plant and Soil, 325, 263–275, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-9977-1, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11104-009-9977-1, 2009.
  - Watt, M., McCully, M. E., and Canny, M. J.: Formation and Stabilization of Rhizosheaths of Zea mays L. (Effect of Soil Water Content), Plant Physiology, 106, 179–186, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.1.179, http://www.plantphysiol.org/content/106/1/179, 1994.

Weidlich, E. W. A., Temperton, V. M., and Faget, M.: Neighbourhood stories: role of neighbour identity, spatial location and order of arrival in legume and non-legume initial interactions, Plant and Soil, 424, 171–182, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3398-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-017-3398-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-017-3398-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-017-3484-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-017-3484-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-017-3484-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-3, https://doi.0004-3, https://doi.0004-3, https://doi.0004-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s1104-3, https://doi.0004-3, https://doi.0004-3, https://doi.org/10.1004-3, ht

- 730 //doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3398-3, 2018.
  - Welch, B. L.: The Generalization of 'Student's' Problem when Several Different Population Variances are Involved, Biometrika, 34, 28–35, https://doi.org/10.2307/2332510, http://www.jstor.org/stable/2332510, 1947.
  - Whalley, W., Ober, E., and Jenkins, M.: Measurement of the matric potential of soil water in the rhizosphere, Journal of Experimental Botany, 64, 3951–3963, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert044, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert044, 2013.

- 735 Williams, A. and de Vries, F. T.: Plant root exudation under drought: implications for ecosystem functioning, New Phytologist, 225, 1899– 1905, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16223, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16223, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2020.
  - Zhang, D.-J., Yang, Y.-J., Liu, C.-Y., Zhang, F., and Wu, Q.-S.: Root Hair Growth and Development in Response to Nutrients and Phytohormones, in: Root Biology, edited by Giri, B., Prasad, R., and Varma, A., pp. 65–84, Springer International Publishing, Cham, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75910-4\_3, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75910-4\_3, 2018.
- 740 Zhang, X., Davidson, E. A., Mauzerall, D. L., Searchinger, T. D., Dumas, P., and Shen, Y.: Managing nitrogen for sustainable development, Nature, 528, 51, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15743, 2015.