- 1 Greenhouse gases emissions from riparian wetlands: An example from the
- 2

- Inner Mongolia grassland region in China
- 3 Xinyu Liu^{1,2}, Xixi Lu^{1,3}, Ruihong Yu^{1,2}, Heyang Sun¹, Hao Xue¹, Zhen Qi¹,

4 Zhengxu Cao¹, Zhuangzhuang Zhang¹, Tingxi Liu⁴

- 5 ¹<u>Inner Mongolia Key Laboratory of River and Lake Ecology</u>, School of Ecology and Environment,
- 6 Juner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, China;
- 7 ² Key Laboratory of Mongolian Plateau Ecology and Resource Utilization, Ministry of Education,
- 8 <u>Hohhot 010021, China;</u>
- 9 Department of Geography, National University of Singapore, 117570, Singapor;
- 10 4 Inner Mongolia Water Resource Protection and Utilization Key Laboratory, Water Conservancy
- 11 and Civil Engineering College, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot 010021, China
- 12 Corresponding author: Ruihong Yu (rhyu@imu.edu.cn) and Tingxi Liu (txliu@imau.edu.cn)
- 13

14 Abstract: Gradual riparian wetland drying is increasingly sensitive to global warming and 15 contributes to climate change. Riparian wetlands play a significant role in regulating carbon and 16 nitrogen cycles. In this study, we analyzed the emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2) , methane (CH_4) , 17 and nitrous oxide (N₂O) from riparian wetlands in the Xilin River Basin to understand the role of these ecosystems in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Moreover, the impact of the catchment 18 19 hydrology and soil property variations on GHG emissions over time and space were evaluated. 20 Our results demonstrate that riparian wetlands emit larger amounts of CO₂ (335–2790 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ 21 in wet season and 72–387 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in dry season) than CH₄ and N₂O to the atmosphere due to 22 high plant and soil respiration. The results also reveal clear seasonal variations and spatial patterns 23 along the transects and in the longitudinal direction. N₂O emissions showed a spatiotemporal 24 pattern similar to that of CO_2 emissions. Near-stream sites were the only sources of CH_4 25 emissions, while the other sites served as sinks for these emissions. Soil moisture content and soil 26 temperature were the essential factors controlling the GHG emissions, and abundant aboveground 27 biomass promoted the CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions. Moreover, compared to different types of 28 grasslands, riparian wetlands were the potential hotspots of GHG emissions in the Inner 29 Mongolian region. Degradation of downstream wetlands has resulted in reducing the soil carbon 30 pool by approximately 60%, reducing CO_2 emissions by approximately 35%, and converting the

刪除[liuxinyu]: 1
刪除[liuxinyu]: 2
刪除[liuxinyu]: 1
刪除[liuxinyu]: 3
刪除[liuxinyu]:
刪除[liuxinyu]: in
刪除[liuxinyu]: , Key Laboratory of River and Lake in Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region
刪除[liuxinyu]: Inner Mongolia Autonomous,
刪除[liuxinyu]: 2

删除[liuxinyu]: 3

删除[liuxinyu]:

31	wetland from	a CH	4 and N ₂	O source	to a sink	. Our study	y showed 1	that anthropo	ogenic act	ivi	ti
							/				_

删除[liuxinyu]:

32 have extensively changed the hydrological characteristics of the riparian wetlands and might

- 33 accelerate carbon loss, which could further affect the GHG emissions.
- 34
- 35 Key words: Riparian wetlands, Grasslands, Greenhouse gas, Spatial-temporal distribution, Impact
- 36 factor, Xilin River Basin
- 37
- 38
- 39

40 **1. Introduction**

41 With the increasing impacts of global warming, the change in the concentrations of 42 greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the atmosphere is a source of concern in the scientific community 43 (Cao et al., 2005). According to the World Meteorological Organization (WMO, 2018), the 44 concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O) have increased 45 by 146%, 257%, and 122%, respectively, since 1750. Despite their lower atmospheric 46 concentrations, CH₄ and N₂O absorb infrared radiation approximately 28 and 265 times more 47 effectively at centennial timescales than CO₂ (IPCC, 2013). On a global scale, CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O contribute 87% to the GHG effect (Ferrón et al., 2007). 48

49 Wetlands are unique ecosystems that serve as transition zones between terrestrial and aquatic 50 ecosystems. They play an important role in the global carbon cycle (Beger et al., 2010; Naiman 51 and Decamps, 1997). Wetlands are sensitive to hydrological changes, particularly in the context of 52 global climate change (Cheng and Huang, 2016). Moreover, wetland hydrology is affected by 53 local anthropogenic activities, such as the construction of reservoirs, resulting in gradual drying. 54 Although wetlands cover only 4–6% of the terrestrial land surface, they contain approximately 55 12-24% of global terrestrial soil organic carbon (SOC), thus acting as carbon sinks. Moreover, 56 they release CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O into the atmosphere and serve as carbon sources (Lv et al., 2013). 57 In general, the carbon accumulation by plant's photosynthesis is higher than the consumption 58 (plant respiration, animal respiration, and microbial decomposition) in the wetland, thus the net

- 59 effect of the wetland is acted as a carbon sink. Wetlands are increasingly recognized as an
- 60 essential part of nature, given their simultaneous functions as carbon sources and sinks. Excessive

删除[liuxinyu]: In general, plants' photosynthesis intensity is higher than respiration and decomposition in the wetland, thus the net effect of the wetland is acted as a carbon sink 61 rainfall will cause an expansion in wetland areas and a sharp increase in the soil moisture content, 62 thus enhancing respiration, methanogenesis, nitrification, and denitrification rates (Mitsch et al., 63 2009). On the contrary, reduced precipitation or severe droughts will result in a decrease in water 64 levels, causing the wetlands to dry up. The accumulated carbon will be released back into the 65 atmosphere through oxidation. Due to the increasing impact of climate change and human activity, 66 the drying of wetlands has been widely observed in recent years (Liu et al., 2006); more than half 67 of global wetlands have disappeared since 1900 (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2007), and this tendency 68 is expected to continue in the future. The loss of wetlands may directly shift the soil environment 69 from anoxic to oxic conditions, and modify the CO₂ and CH₄ source and sink functions of wetland 70 ecological systems (Waddington and Roulet, 2000; Zona et al., 2013).

71 The Xilin River Basin in China is characterized by a marked spatial gradient in soil moisture 72 content. It is a unique natural laboratory that may be used to explore the close relationships 73 between the spatiotemporal variations in hydrology and riparian biogeochemistry. Wetlands 74 around the Xilin River play an irreplaceable role with regard to local climate control, water 75 conservation, the carbon and nitrogen cycles, and husbandry (Gou et al., 2015; Kou, 2018). 76 Moreover, the Xilin River region is subjected to seasonal alterations in precipitation and 77 temperature regimes, and construction of the Xilin River Reservoir has resulted in highly negative 78 consequences, such as the drying of downstream wetlands, affecting riparian hydrology as well as 79 microbial activity in riparian soils. GHG emissions in riparian wetlands vary immensely. 80 Understanding the interactions between GHG emissions and hydrological changes in the Xilin 81 River riparian wetlands has thus become increasingly important. Moreover, it is necessary to 82 estimate the changes in GHG emissions as a result of wetland degradation at local and global 83 scales.

In this work, GHG emissions from riparian wetlands and adjacent hillslope grasslands of the Xilin River Basin were investigated. GHG emissions, soil temperature, and soil moisture content were measured in dry and wet seasons. The main objectives of this study were to (1) investigate the temporal and spatial variations in CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions from the wetlands in the riparian zone, and examine the main factors affecting the GHG emissions, (2) compare the GHG emissions from the riparian wetlands and different types of grasslands, and (3) evaluate the impact of wetland degradation in the study area on GHG emissions.

92 **2. Materials and methods**

93 **2.1 Study site**

94 The Xilin River is situated in the southeastern part of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous 95 Region in China (E115°00'-117°30', N43°26'-44°39'). It is a typical inland river of the Inner 96 Mongolia grasslands. The river basin area is 10,542 km², the total length is 268.1 km, and the 97 average altitude is 988.5 m. According to the meteorological data provided by the Xilinhot 98 Meteorological Station (Xi et al., 2017; Tong et al., 2004), the long-term annual mean air 99 temperature is 1.7°C, and the maximum and minimum monthly means are 20.8°C in July and -19.8°C in January, respectively. The average annual precipitation was 278.9 mm for the period of 100 101 1968-2015. Precipitation is distributed unevenly among the seasons, with 87.41% occurring 102 between May and September.

103 Soil types in the Xilin River Basin are predominantly chernozems (86.4%), showing a 104 significant zonal distribution as light chestnut soil, dark chestnut soil, and chernozems from the 105 northwest to southeast. Soil types in this basin also present a vertical distribution with elevation. 106 The chernozems are primarily soluble chernozems and carbonate chernozems, distributed at 107 altitudes above 1350 m with a relatively fertile and deep soil layer. Dark chestnut soil, boggy soil, 108 and dark meadow with high humus content are distributed between the altitudes of 1150 and 1350 109 m. Light chestnut soil, saline meadow soil, and meadow solonchak with low soil humus, a thin 110 soil layer, and coarse soil texture are distributed between the altitudes of 902 and 1150 m (Xi et al., 111 2017).

112 **2.2 Field measurements and laboratory analyses**

In this study, five representative transects were selected as the primary measurement sites in the entire Xilin River. Each transect cuts through the riparian wetlands near the river and hillslope grasslands further away from it (Fig. 1).





Fig. 1 (a) Location of the Xilin River Basin and distribution of five riparian-hillslope transects

(T1–T5). (b) Elevation details of each transect in the Xilin River Basin.



were located along the lake shore (the lakeside zone), and S3–S6 were located in the dry lake bed (S3 and S4 in the mudbank, S5 in saline–alkali soil, and S6 in sand–gravel geology). Moreover, characterizations for T1, T2, and T3 transects were the continuous river flow and T4 and T5 transects were the intermittent river flow.

132 The CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions from each site were measured in August (wet season) and 133 October (dry season) in 2018 using a static dark chamber and the gas chromatography method. 134 The static chambers were made of a cube-shaped polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe (dimensions: 0.4 135 $m \times 0.2 m \times 0.2 m$). A battery-driven fan was installed horizontally inside the top wall of the 136 chamber to ensure proper air mixing during measurements. To minimize heating from solar 137 radiation, white adiabatic aluminum foil was used to cover the entire aboveground portion of the 138 chamber. During measurements, the chambers were driven into the soil to ensure airtightness and 139 connected with a differential gas analyzer (Li-7000 CO₂/H₂O analyzer, LI-COR, USA) to measure 140 the changes in the soil CO_2 concentration. The air in the chamber was sampled using a 60 mL 141 syringe at 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 min. The gas samples were stored in a reservoir bag and taken to the 142 laboratory for CH₄ and N₂O measurements using gas chromatography (GC-2030, Japan). The 143 measurements were scheduled for 9:00-11:00 a.m. or 3:00-5:00 p.m.

144 Soil temperature (ST) was measured at depths of 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm with a geothermometer (DTM-461, Hengshui, China). Plant samples were collected in a static chamber 145 146 and oven-dried in the laboratory to obtain aboveground biomass (BIO). A 100 cm³ ring cutter was 147 used to collect surface soil samples at each site, which were placed in aluminum boxes and 148 immediately brought back to the laboratory to measure soil mass moisture content (SMC) and soil 149 bulk density (ρ_b) using national standard methods (NATESC, 2006). Topsoil samples were 150 collected, sealed in plastic bags, and brought back to the laboratory to measure soil pH, electrical 151 conductivity (EC), total soil organic carbon (TOC), and soil C:N ratio.







Table 1 Ph	vsical and chemical	properties	(Mean + SD)) of soils at	various sites	within each
14010 1.11	rystear and enemiear	properties		<i>j</i> 01 30113 <i>u</i> t	various sites	

	Sampl											
Trans ect	Zone	es numb er	SMC10-V	SMC20-V	Soil C:N	TOC (g·kg ⁻¹)	BIO (g)	$ ho_{ m b}$	рН	EC (μs/cm)	SSM (%)	
			12.16±	12.88±	12.46 ±	30.16 ±	$14.67\pm$	$1.28 \pm$	$7.25 \pm$	154.71 ±	47.77 ±	
T1	Riparian	12	7.55	12.05	0.91	6.54	5.44	0.07	0.62	23.70	7.04	
	Hillslope	6	6 2.72 ± 0.91	5.05 ± 3.09	$11.41~\pm$	$10.77~\pm$	6.70 ± 1.48	$1.45\pm$	$7.22 \pm$	82.02 ± 16.37	$31.02 \pm$	
		0	2.72 ± 0.91	0.09		4.72	0.70 ± 1.40	0.03	0.40	02.02 ± 10.57	1.32	
	Riparian	n 12	12	$26.75 \pm$	$12.19\pm$	$11.70 \ \pm$	$19.96 \pm$	$24.76\pm$	$1.23\pm$	$8.95\pm$	$303.88 \pm$	$51.21 \pm$
т2			19.52	7.82	1.14	5.71	9.65	0.05	0.45	102.16	6.49	
12	Hillslope	0	5.85 ± 4.82	2.02 + 1.42	$9.77 \ \pm$	$14.87 \pm$	6.10 ± 3.10	$1.38\pm$	$8.10\pm$	$162.97 \pm$	$35.09\pm$	
	misiope	2	5.85 ± 4.82	5.05 ± 1.45	0.88	11.21	0.10 ± 5.19	0.13	0.55	128.18	6.75	
	Dimension	12	$28.04\pm$	$14.53~\pm$	$15.80 \ \pm$	$22.40 \ \pm$	6 27 + 2 05	$1.35\pm$	$9.50\pm$	$1233.20\pm$	$47.56 \pm$	
T3	кірапап	12	22.95	8.98	4.16	9.69	0.37 ± 2.93	0.19	0.67	829.83	11.65	
	L3	3	$116.37\pm$	$113.36\pm$	$16.8\pm$	$36.1 \pm$	107.75	$0.592 \pm$	$8.5\pm$	403 ± 57.21	>100	

				56.91	23.17	0.58	1.84	±16.94	0.02	0.17		
		Dimension	12	5 42 + 2 24	4 07 + 4 21	$12.52 \pm$	$9.96\pm$	$11.97\pm$	$1.30\pm$	$8.84\pm$	$461.72 \pm$	$44.08 \pm$
	т4	Kiparian 12	12	5.42 ± 5.54	4.07 ± 4.31	2.06	1.25	4.50	0.08	0.22	314.27	7.07
	14	Hilldona 6	6	2.25 ± 2.06	4.27 ± 1.04	$9.97 \pm$	$9.65 \pm$	7 91 + 2 19	$1.30\pm$	$8.23 \pm$	119 5 ± 9 25	$39.43 \ \pm$
		Hillslöpe	0	5.55 ± 2.06	+.2/±1.94	0.50	1.05	7.04 ± 2.40	34 ± 2.48 0.09	0.14	110.3 ± 0.23	5.55
		Dry lake	12	$17.47~\pm$	$14.49 \pm$	$63.74\pm$	$31.41 \ \pm$	5 19 + 2 25	$1.16\pm$	$9.88\pm$	$7320.87\pm$	$58.47 \pm$
	Τ.	bed	12	15.08	13.28	12.93	6.55	J.+0 ± 2.55	0.10	0.18	4300.03	7.16
	15	Lake	0	2.64 ± 1.48 2	2.82 ± 1.27	$15.92\pm$	$6.35 \pm$	0	$1.33\pm$	$9.41\pm$	$281.82 \pm$	$37.52 \pm$
		shore	9			4.71	1.16		0.09	0.7	162.73	5.34
162	Note	: SMC	10-\	/ - soil	volum	etric r	noisture	content	in	0-10	cm; SMC	20-V -
163	soil volumetric moisture content in 10-20 cm; Soil C:N - soil carbon-nitrogen ratio; TOC - total											
164	soil organic carbon; BIO - above ground biomass; $\rho_{\rm b}$ - soil bulk density; pH - soil pH; EC - soil											
165	electrical conductivity; SSM - saturated soil moisture.											

167

Table 2. soil particle composition of soils at various sites within each transect

		soil p	soil particle composition						
Transect	Zone	Clay %	Silt %	Sand					
		(<0.002 mm)	(0.02~0.002 mm)	(2.0 ~0.02 mm)					
	Riparian	2.5	2.7	94.8					
11	Hillslope	9.6	6.1	85.3					
TO	Riparian	5.5	5.8	90.7					
12	Hillslope	10.8	8.6	80.6					
Т3	Riparian	4.1	1.1	94.8					
Τ4	Riparian	11.4	1.5	87.1					
14	Hillslope	12.7	5.9	81.4					
Τ5	Lake shore	5.1	2.1	92.8					
15	Dry lake bed	46.1	4.8	49.1					

168

169 **2.3 Calculation of GHG emissions**

170 The CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions were calculated using Eq. 1 (Qin et al., 2016):

171
$$F = \frac{V}{A} \times \frac{dc}{dt} \times \rho = H \times \frac{dc}{dt} \times \frac{M}{V} \times (\frac{273.15}{273.15+t})$$
(1)

Where *F* denotes the CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions (mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹), *H* is the height of the static chamber (0.18 m), *M* is the relative molecular weight (44 for CO₂ and N₂O, and 16 for CH₄), *V* is the volume of gas in the standard state (22.4 L·mol⁻¹), dc/dt is the rate of change of the gas concentration (10⁻⁶·h⁻¹), and *T* is the temperature in the black chamber (°C). 176 The annual cumulative emissions were calculated using Eq. 2 (Whiting G and Chanton J.,

177 2001)

178
$$\mathbf{M} = \sum \frac{F_{i+1} + F_I}{2} \times (t_{i+1} - t_i) \times 24$$
(2)

Where M denotes the total cumulative emissions of CO₂, CH₄, or N₂O (kg·hm²), *F* is the emission flux of CO₂, CH₄, or N₂O, i is the sampling frequency, t_{i+1} - t_i represents the interval between two adjacent measurement dates.

182 In this study, a 100-year scale was selected to calculate the global warming potential (GWP)

183 of soil CH₄ and N₂O emissions (Whiting G and Chanton J., 2001):

184
$$GWP = 1 \times [CO_2] + 25 \times [CH_4] + 298 \times [N_2O]$$
 (3)

Where 25 and 298 are GWP multiples of CH₄ and N₂O relative to CO₂ on a 100-year time scale,
respectively.

187 **2.4 Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to estimate the relationships between GHGs fluxes and environmental variables. A Wilcoxon test was used to determine the difference of GHGs fluxes in two seasons.

192 **3. Results**

3.1 Spatiotemporal patterns of SMC for each transect

194 The temporal and spatial variations in SMC10 in the following order: wet season > dry 195 season and riparian wetlands > hillslope grasslands (Fig. 3a, c, e). Similar variations were observed in SMC20 (Fig. 3b, d, f). The average SMC10 and SMC20 in the continuous river 196 197 transects in the riparian zones (37.44% in wet season and 19.40% in dry season; 25.96% in wet 198 season and 17.39% in dry season) were higher than those in the hillslope grasslands (9.12% in wet 199 season and 4.15% in dry season; 6.51% in wet season and 5.96% in dry season). During the study 200 period, both SMC10 and SMC20 changed as the distance from the river increased, and the highest 201 value was observed at the near-stream sites (L1 and R1). SMC10 fluctuations were low in the 202 intermittent transect compared to the upstream transects, with a mean value of 11.79% in wet 203 season and 3.72% in dry season in the riparian areas. The mean SMC10 in the hillslopes was

6.58% in wet season and 2.86% in dry season. SMC20 showed similar fluctuation, 7.22% in wet
season and 2.98% in dry season in the riparian areas and 7.56% in wet season and 4.4% in dry
season in the hillslopes. In transect T5, average SMC10 and SMC20 at the center of the lake
(29.00% in wet season and 13.36% in dry season; 29.30% in wet season and 9.69% in dry season)
were higher than those along the lake shore (4.90% in wet season and 3.13% in dry season; 3.34%
in wet season and 5.22% in dry season).



Wet season





221 Spatiotemporal differences in ST during the entire observation period are displayed in Fig. 4. 222 ST variations in wet season (mean value: 27.4°C) were noticeably higher than those in dry season 223 (mean value: 8.97°C). Moreover, ST for riparian sites (mean values: 26.0°C in wet season and 224 8.41°C in dry season) was slightly lower than that for the hillslope grasslands (mean values: 225 30.9°C in wet season and 10.3°C in dry season) for the 0–10 cm soil depth, with the exception of

226 transect T5. Similar results were observed for the 10-20 cm soil depth.

227 Wet season 40 40 (a) (b)30 30 (D²⁰)/LS 10 (),²⁰/LS 10 10 ST10 for T3-Rip -ST20 for T3-Rip ST10 for T1-Rip ST20 for T1-Rip ST10 for T1-Hill ST10 for T4-Rip ST10 for T2-Rip ST10 for T4-Hill ST20 for T2-Rip -ST20 for T4-Hill 0 0 ST10 for T2-Hill ST20 for T2-Hill L3 L2 L1 R1 R2 R3 R4 L3 L2 L1 R1 R2 R3 R4 Sampling sites Sampling sites 228 229 Dry season 40 40 -ST20 for T1-Rip \rightarrow ST20 for T3-Rip (d) ➡ ST10 for T1-Rip –▼ ST10 for T3-Rip • ST10 for T1-Hill - ST10 for T4-Rip (c)30 30 -ST10 for T2-Rip -- ST10 for T4-Hill -ST20 for T2-Rip -- ST20 for T4-Hill ST10 for T2-Hill ST20 for T2-Hill ().)/LS 10 ())/LS 10

> 0 R4 R1 R2 R3 L3 L2 L1 R1 R2 R3 Sampling sites Sampling sites

R4

230

0

L3

L2

L1



Fig. 4 Soil temperatures (STs) at soil depths of 0–10 cm (ST10) and 10–20 cm (ST20) for transects T1–T5 in wet season and dry season. Error bars represent the SD about the mean.

231

3.3 Spatiotemporal patterns of GHG emissions in each transect

236 Figure 5 shows the spatiotemporal variations in GHG emissions in wet season and dry season 237 in each transect. CO_2 emissions in each transect were higher in wet season than in dry season. The 238 average emissions for the riparian wetlands of transects T1–T4 (1582.09 \pm 679.34 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in 239 wet season and $163.24 \pm 84.98 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ in dry season) were higher than those for the hillslope 240 grasslands (1071.54 \pm 225.39 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in wet season and 77.68 \pm 25.32 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in dry 241 season). Higher CO₂ fluxes occurred in the riparian zones, while lower CO₂ fluxes were observed 242 in the hillslope grasslands in continuous river transects (T1, T2, and T3). Transect T4 exhibited 243 lower CO₂ emissions in the riparian wetlands near the channel than at sites away from the channel. 244 CO₂ emissions in transect T5 in wet season and dry season decreased from the lake shore to the 245 lake center.





Fig. 5 Spatiotemporal patterns of CO_2 (first column), CH_4 (second column), and N_2O (third column) emissions (*F*) for each transect. Data are shown for wet season (orange) and dry season (blue) and error bars are the standard deviations.

CH₄ emissions at the transects with continuous river flow (T1, T2, and T3) varied between wet season and dry season, except for T4 (characterized by intermittent river flow) and T5 (the dry lake). In wet season, the near-stream sites (L1 and R1) in T1, T2, and T3 were characterized as high CH₄ sources (average: $3.74 \pm 3.81 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$), but the sites located away from the river gradually turned into CH₄ sinks. Moreover, all the sites in transects T4 and T5 were sinks. CH₄ emissions (mean value: $0.2 \pm 0.45 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$) at the wetland sites were always lower in dry season than those in wet season. However, the sites on the hillslope grasslands served as CH₄

265	season
264	trend; a CH4 sink was observed in wet season, but it was transformed into a CH4 source in dry
263	sinks (mean value: $-0.05 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$). In transect T5, CH ₄ emissions revealed the opposite

Similar to the CO_2 and CH_4 emissions, N_2O emissions showed a distinct spatiotemporal pattern for all the transects. N_2O emissions in wet season were higher than those in dry season. These emissions were higher in riparian wetlands than in hillslope grasslands. Moreover, almost all the sites with continuous river flow were N_2O sources, while more than half of the sites with intermittent river flow were sinks.

Table 3 shows that CO₂ fluxes were significantly correlated between the wet season and dry

272 season, while CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were not correlated in two seasons.

273

Table 3 Significant correlations between GHGs fluxes and two seasons (n-31)

CUC flow	FCO2 in wet season-FCO2 in dry	FCH4 in wet season-FCH4 in dry	FN2O in wet season- FN2O in dry		
	season	season	season		
significant	0.000	0.122	0.200		
correlations (P)	0.000	0.133	0.290		

274 Note: P<0.05 denote significant correlations and P > 0.05 denote no significant correlations

275 3.4 Spatiotemporal patterns of GHG emissions in upstream and downstream

276 areas

Figure 6 shows the detailed spatial and seasonal distribution of GHG emissions in wet season and dry season in the longitudinal direction from the upstream (T1, T2, and T3) to the downstream areas (T4 and T5). The CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions were calculated from the average values of the respective emissions in the wetlands and hillslope grasslands in each transect.





Fig. 6 Spatiotemporal patterns of CO_2 (first line), CH_4 (second line), and N_2O (third line) emissions (*F*) in the upstream (T1, T2, and T3) and downstream areas (T4 and T5). Bars are the

285

286

mean values for each transect and error bars are the standard errors.

288

289 CO_2 emissions in riparian wetlands (Fig. 6(a)) in wet season decreased from 2444.69 ± 290 228.58 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in the upstream area to 665.08 ± 347.57 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ downstream, and the corresponding values for dry season were $238.12 \pm 48.20 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and 94.14 ± 7.67 291 292 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹. However, in hillslope grasslands (Fig. 6(b)), CO₂ emissions exhibited no significant 293 seasonality between upstream and downstream areas, with the mean values of 1103.40 ± 190.44 294 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in wet season and 79.18 \pm 24.52 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in dry season. In addition, CO₂ emissions in transect T5 were lower for both months, with the averages of $162.83 \pm 149.15 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ and 295 296 $63.26 \pm 12.40 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ in wet season and dry season, respectively. The upstream riparian zones 297 exhibited higher CO₂ emissions (894.32 \pm 868.47 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹) than their downstream counterparts 298 $(621.14 \pm 704.10 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1})$. However, mean CO₂ emissions showed no significant differences 299 in grasslands, averaging 524.16 \pm 450.10 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ upstream and 508.06 \pm 534.77 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ 300 downstream.

301 CH₄ emissions showed a marked spatial pattern in the riparian zones from upstream to 302 downstream (Fig. 6(c)). The transects with continuous river flow were CH₄ sources in wet season 303 and dry season, with the average emissions of $1.42 \pm 3.41 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and $0.27 \pm 0.49 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$,

respectively, while those with intermittent river flow served as CH₄ sinks, with the corresponding mean values of $-0.21 \pm 0.45 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and $-0.02 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. Moreover, the hillslope grassland sites in all transects were CH₄ sinks (Fig. 6(d)).

N₂O emissions in riparian wetlands (Fig. 7(e)) showed spatial patterns similar to those of CH₄ emissions. In wet season, the transects with continuous river flow served as N₂O sources, with the mean value of $0.031 \pm 0.031 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, while those with intermittent river flow were N₂O sinks with an average value of $-0.037 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. In dry season, N₂O emissions occurred as weak sources in the longitudinal transects, averaging $0.002 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. However, N₂O emissions in hillslope grasslands did not show any spatial pattern (Fig. 7(f)).

313 **4. Discussion**

314 4.1 Main factors influencing GHG emissions

315 4.1.1 Effects of SMC on GHG emissions

316 SMC constituted one of the main factors affecting GHG emissions in wetlands. In this study, 317 transects T1-T4 were characterized by a marked spatial SMC gradient (i.e., a gradual decrease 318 include SMC10 and SMC20 from the riparian wetlands to the hillslope grasslands and from 319 upstream to downstream (Fig. 3)). The CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions showed a similar trend. In 320 Table 4, SMC10 is positive correlated with CO₂ emissions (P < 0.05), SMC10 and SMC20 are 321 significantly positive correlated with CH_4 emissions (P < 0.01), and SMC10 and SMC20 are 322 highly positive correlated with N₂O emissions (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively). These results 323 indicated the influence of wetland SMC on GHG emissions.

324 Typically, the optimal SMC values associated with CO₂ emissions in riparian wetlands range 325 from 40 to 60% (Sjögersten et al., 2006), creating better soil aeration and improving soil 326 microorganisms' activity and the respiration of plant roots, thereby promoting CO₂ emissions, 327 whereas excessive SMC reduces soil gas transfer due to the formation of an anaerobic 328 environment in the soil, and microbial activity is lower, favoring the accumulation of organic 329 matter (Hui., 2014). On the contrary, the SMC of hillslope grasslands is less than 10%. Low soil 330 moisture inhibits the growth of vegetation with few vegetation residues and litters. Meanwhile, 331 low soil moisture is not conducive to the survival of soil microorganisms, leading to a decrease in 332 CO₂ emissions than to those in riparian zones (Moldrup et al., 2000; Hui., 2014). Similar results 333 were obtained in our study. The changes in CO_2 emissions in transect T5 were contrary to the

change in the SMC10 and SMC20 likely because the optimal range of soil C:N is between 10-12 (Pierzynski et al., 1994), but the value in the dry lake bed of T5 is higher than 60, high soil C:N resulted in nitrogen limitation in the process of decomposition of organic matter by microorganisms. Furtherly, other sediment properties (like Soil pH>9.5) for this transect were not conducive to the survival of microorganisms (Table 1), and the increase in SMC did not increase the respiration activity of microorganisms.

340 The largest CH₄ emissions were observed at the near-stream sites (i.e., L1 and R1) in T1, T2, 341 and T3, with the average SMC of 30.29%, while the SMC values at the other sites, which were 342 either weak sources or sinks, averaged at 14.57%. These results indicate that a higher SMC is 343 favorable for CH₄ emissions because a higher SMC denotes a soil in a reduced state, which is 344 beneficial for CH₄ production and inhibits CH₄ oxidation. A similar result was reported by Xu et al. 345 (2008). They conducted experiments of CH_4 emissions from a variety of paddy soils in China, and 346 showed that CH₄ production rates increased with the increase in SMC at the same incubation 347 temperature. Meng et al. (2001) also reported that water depth was the main factor affecting CH₄ 348 emissions from wetlands. When the water level dropped below the soil surface, the decomposition 349 of organic matter accelerated, and CH₄ emissions decreased. If the oxide layer is large, the soil is 350 transformed into a CH₄ sink (Meng net al., 2011).

351 The N₂O fluxes showed a clear spatial pattern associated with the changes in SMC. The 352 moisture content of wetland soils directly affects the aeration status of the soil. Besides, the 353 aeration status affects the partial pressure of oxygen, which has an important impact on 354 nitrifying/denitrifying bacteria's activity and ultimately affects soil N₂O emissions (Zhang et al., 355 2005). Table 4 shows that N_2O emissions are significantly positively correlated with SMC10 and 356 SMC20 (P < 0.01). Generally, when SMC was below the saturated water content, the 357 microorganisms were in an aerobic environment, and N₂O mainly came from the nitrification 358 reaction. N₂O emissions increases with the increase of SMC (Niu et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2006). In 359 our study, the sampling sites with higher SMC (riparian zones and some hillslope grassland zones 360 in the upstream transects) have higher N₂O emissions. When SMC increases to the saturated water 361 content or is in a flooded state, the system was an anaerobic environment, and the Nos activity 362 was higher due to excessively high SMC, which was conducive to denitrification and eventually 363 produced N_2 (Niu et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2006), such as site L1 in transect T3 in this study. Ulrike

- tet al. (2004) showed that denitrification was the main process under flooded soil conditions in
- 365 wetland soils, and the release of N₂ exceeds N₂O. These findings are consistent with those of Liu
- 366 et al. (2003), who showed that SMC is an essential factor affecting N₂O emissions.
- 367
- 368 Nitrification:

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{NH}_{4^{+}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{AMO}} \operatorname{NH}_{2}\operatorname{OH} \longrightarrow [\operatorname{NOH}] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{HAO}} \operatorname{NO}_{2^{-}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{NXR}} \operatorname{NO}_{3^{-}} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{N}_{2}\operatorname{O} \xleftarrow{\operatorname{Nor}} \operatorname{NO} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{NO}} \operatorname{NO}_{3^{-}} \end{array}$$

371

370 Denitrification:

$$NO_3 \xrightarrow{Nar} NO_2 \xrightarrow{Nir} NO \xrightarrow{Nor} N_2O \xrightarrow{Nos} N_2$$

The enzymes involved in the formula include Ammonia monooxygenase (AMO), Hydroxylamine oxidase (HAO), Nitrite REDOX enzyme (HAO), nitrate reductase (Nar), nitrite reductase (Nir), Nitric oxide reductase (Nor) and Nitrous oxide reductase (Nos).

375 4.1.2 Effects of ST on GHG emissions

376 ST was another important factor affecting the CO₂ emissions in this study, as this parameter 377 was significantly correlated with CO₂ emissions (P < 0.01) (Table 4). The activity of soil 378 microorganisms increases with rising soil temperatures, leading to increased respiration, and 379 consequently higher CO₂ emissions (Heilman et al., 1999). Previous studies reported that ST 380 partially controls seasonal CO₂ emission patterns (Inubushi et al., 2003). Therefore, CO₂ 381 emissions in wet season were significantly higher than those in dry season in this study.

382 CH₄ emissions showed a clear seasonal pattern because high summer temperatures improve 383 the activity of both CH₄-producing and -oxidizing bacteria (Ding et al., 2010). However, Table 4 384 indicates that the correlation between CH₄ emissions and temperature is not significant because 385 SMC could be more critical than temperature in our study region with very dry climate. SMC 386 showed a positive correlation with GHG emissions. In addition, SMC affected ST to a certain 387 extent, while the interactions between SMC and ST had a mutual influence on CH₄ emissions. 388 During the study period, the near-stream sites (L1 and R1) maintained a super-wet state on the 389 ground surface for a long time, which was beneficial for the production of CH4. However, the 390 wetlands maintained a state without water accumulation on the soil surface in August, which was 391 conducive to the oxidative absorption of CH₄. SMC thus masked the effect of ST on CH₄ 392 emissions.

393 Previous studies indicated that temperature is an important factor affecting N₂O emissions 394 (Sun et al., 2011) through primary mechanisms impacting the nitrifying and denitrifying bacteria 395 in the soil. Table 4 shows that the correlations between N₂O emissions and ST10 and ST20 are 396 poor (P > 0.05). This can be attributed to the wide suitable temperature range for 397 nitrification-denitrification and weak sensitivity to temperature. Malhi et al. (1982) found that the 398 optimum temperature for nitrification was 20 °C, and it will inhibit entirely at 30 °C. However, 399 Brady (1999) believed that the suitable temperature range for nitrification was $25 \sim 35^{\circ}$ C, and the 400 nitrification inhibits below 5 °C or above 50 °C. It showed that the temperature requirements of 401 nitrifying microorganisms in wetland soils were different in different temperature belts. The 402 suitable temperature range was the performance of the long-term adaptability of nitrifying 403 microorganisms. Meanwhile, several studies revealed that denitrification could be carried out in a wide temperature range (5 \sim 70 °C), and it was positively related to temperature (Fan., 1995). 404 405 However, the process will be inhibited when the temperature is too high or too low. The average 406 ST in wet season was 27.4°C, conducive to the growth of denitrifying microorganisms, while that 407 in dry season was 8.97°C, and the microbial activity was generally low (Sun et al., 2011). 408 Furthermore, ST fluctuations were low both in wet season and dry season. Therefore, the effect of 409 ST on N_2O emissions was masked by other factors, such as moisture content. 410 4.1.3 Effects of BIO and soil organic matter on GHG emissions

411 CO₂ and CH₄ emissions were higher in the riparian wetlands than in the grasslands, mainly 412 because of greater vegetation cover. Typically, CO₂ emissions from riparian wetlands originate 413 from plants and microorganisms, with plant respiration accounting for a large proportion in the 414 growing season. Previous studies have shown that plant respiration accounts for 35-90% of the 415 total respiration in the wetland ecosystem (Johnson-Randall and Foote, 2005). Good soil 416 physicochemical properties and high soil total organic carbon (TOC) of riparian wetlands improve 417 the activity of soil microorganisms and plant root respiration. Table 4 shows that BIO is significantly correlated with the CO₂ (P < 0.05) and CH₄ (P < 0.01) emissions. These results can 418 419 be attributed to the significant linear positive correlation between the respiration rate and plant 420 biomass (Lu et al., 2007). Higher plant biomass storage can achieve more carbon accumulation 421 during photosynthesis and higher exudate release by the roots. This, in turn, promotes the

删除[liuxinyu]:

422 accumulation of soil organic matter. Increased amount of organic matter stimulates the growth and 423 reproduction of soil microorganisms, ultimately promoting CO_2 and CH_4 emissions. Moreover, 424 plants act as a gas channel for CH_4 transmission, and a larger amount of biomass promotes CH_4 425 emissions, given the increased number of channels. In transect T3, high CO_2 emissions observed 426 at site L3 can be attributed to the relatively high levels of SMC, BIO, and soil nutrients, which 427 stimulate the microbial respiration rates.

428 BIO had a weak correlation with N₂O emissions (Table 4), which indicates that plants 429 increase N₂O production and emissions, although this may not be the most critical factor. Previous 430 studies reported mechanisms where in the plants can absorb N₂O produced in the soil through the 431 root system before releasing it into the atmosphere. Additionally, the root exudates of plants can 432 enhance the activity of nitrifying and denitrifying bacteria in the soil, ultimately promoting the 433 production of N₂O. Finally, oxygen stress caused by plant respiration can regulate the production 434 and consumption of N_2O in the soil, eventually affecting the conversion of nitrogen in the soil 435 (Koops et al., 1996; Azam et al., 2005).

436 Site L3 in transect T3 was covered by tall reeds, and its BIO was much higher than those of437 the other sites; thus, the data for this site were excluded from the correlation analysis.

438





Fig. 7 Correlation between aboveground biomass (BIO) and GHG emissions (F)

441

Soil C:N ratio refers to the ratio of biodegradable carbonaceous organic matter and nitrogenous matter in the soil, and it forms the soil matrix with TOC. TOC decomposition provides energy for microbial activity, while the C:N ratio affects the decomposition of organic matter by soil microorganisms (Gholz et al., 2010). The correlation results (Fig. 8) indicate that TOC has a weak positive correlation with CO₂ emissions (P > 0.05), but soil C:N has a significant 447 negative correlation with CO_2 emissions (P < 0.05), indicating that nitrogen has a limiting effect 448 on soil respiration by affecting microbial metabolism. Liu et al. (2019) reported that N addition 449 promoted CO₂ emissions from wetlands soil, and the effect of organic N input was significantly 450 higher than those of inorganic N input. Organic carbon provides a carbon source for the growth of 451 plants and microorganisms, which boosts their respiration. Moreover, TOC has a significant 452 correlation with N_2O emissions (P < 0.05). Most heterotrophic microorganisms use soil organic 453 matter as carbon and electron donors (Morley N and Baggs E M., 2010). Soil carbon source has an 454 important influence on microbial activity. Nitrifying or denitrifying microorganisms need organic 455 matter to provide carbon source during the assimilation of NH₃ or NO₃. The high content of 456 organic matter in the soil can promote the abundance of heterotrophic nitrifying bacteria increases, 457 consume dissolved oxygen in the medium, and cause the soil to become more anaerobic, slowing 458 down autotrophic growth nitrifying bacteria. This reduces the nitrification rate, ultimately 459 promoting N_2O release. Enwall et al. (2005) studied the effect of long-term fertilization on soil 460 denitrification microbial action intensity. They found that the soil with long-term organic fertilizer 461 application has a significant increase in organic matter content, and consequently, a significant 462 increase in denitrification activity. Typically, low soil C:N ratios are favorable for the 463 decomposition of microorganisms, the most suitable range being between 10 and 12 (Pierzynski et al., 1994). Table 4 shows that N_2O emissions are significantly related to the soil C:N ratios (P < 464 465 0.05), which means that denitrifying bacteria will use their endogenous carbon source for 466 denitrification when the external carbon source is insufficient. Moreover, incomplete 467 denitrification leads to the accumulation of NO2-N, which is conducive to the N2O release. 468 Meanwhile, due to the weak competitive ability of Nos to electrons, low C:N inhibits the synthesis 469 of Nos, which is also a reason for N₂O release. In this study, all the sites in transects T1-T4 470 exhibited similar soil C:N ratios in the optimum range (Table 1), which is favorable for microbial 471 decomposition. However, the soil C:N ratios in transect T5 were higher than those in the other transects, especially in the dry lake bed. Therefore, transect T5 showed severe mineralization and 472 473 a low microbial decomposition rate.



476

Fig. 8 Correlations between soil organic carbon (TOC) and GHG emissions (F)

477 Table 4. C	Correlations between CO ₂ ,	CH ₄ , and N ₂ O	emissions and in	apact factors ((n = 62)
----------------	--	--	------------------	-----------------	----------

GHG flux	ST10	ST20	SMC10	SMC20	TOC	$ ho_{ m b}$	C:N	pН	EC	BIO
CO ₂	0.634**	0.592**	0.307*	0.216	0.393	-0.463**	-0.289*	-0.350**	-0.251*	0.491*
CH ₄	-0.029	-0.051	0.346**	0.353**	-0.02	-0.129	-0.156	-0.127	-0.107	0.607**
N_2O	0.127	0.118	0.304*	0.356**	0.493*	-0.194	0.311*	0.137	0.504**	0.251

478 Note: 1. The analysis method used in the table is Pearson correlation analysis, and the numbers479 represent Pearson correlation coefficients.

480 2. * and ** denote significant and highly significant correlations (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05), 481 respectively.

482 3. ST - soil temperature, SMC - soil moisture content, ρ_b - soil bulk density, soil C:N - soil

483 carbon-nitrogen ratio, pH - soil pH, EC - soil electrical conductivity, BIO - aboveground biomass

484 **4.2 Riparian wetlands as hotspots of GHG emissions**

485 The results of this study emphasized that CO₂ emissions in the riparian wetlands were higher 486 than those in the hillslope grasslands owing to a variety of factors. ST is an important factor 487 affecting GHG emissions. Mclain and Martens (2006) showed that seasonal fluctuations in ST and 488 SMC in semi-arid regions have important effects on CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions in riparian 489 soils. Poblador et al. (2017) studied the GHG emissions in forest riparian zones and suggested that 490 the difference in the CO_2 and N_2O emissions in these zones is affected by the spatial gradient of 491 the regional SMC. In this study, the upstream riparian wetlands are characterized by higher TOC, 492 lower soil C:N ratio, and abundant BIO than the hillslope grasslands (Table 1). These soil 493 conditions benefited the soil microbial activity, ultimately enhancing respiration as well as CO₂ 494 emissions. However, CO₂ emissions in downstream areas were nearly identical to those in the 495 grasslands because the wetlands gradually evolved into grasslands after their degradation. The

496 N_2O emissions showed spatial patterns similar to those of the CO_2 emissions because the CO_2 497 concentrations were closely related to nitrification and denitrification processes. High CO₂ 498 concentrations can promote the carbon and nitrogen cycles in soil (Azam et al., 2005), increasing 499 below ground C allocation associated with increased root biomass, root turnover, and root 500 exudation in elevated pCO₂ plants provided the energy for denitrification in the presence of high 501 available N, or that there was increased O₂ consumption under elevated pCO₂ (Baggs et al., 2003). 502 Moreover, soil respiration increases during soil denitrification (Liu et al., 2010; Christensen et al., 503 1990). In this study, a weak correlation was observed between the CO_2 and CH_4 emissions in the 504 riparian zones (r = 0.228), but CO₂ emissions were significantly correlated with N₂O emissions (r= 0.322, P < 0.05). The soil became anaerobic in the riparian areas as the SMC increased, and this 505 506 was conducive to the survival of CH₄-producing bacteria and denitrification reactions, eventually 507 leading to an increase in CH₄ and N₂O emissions. Jacinthe et al. (2015) reported that inundated grassland-dominated riparian wetlands were CH₄ sinks (-1.08 ± 0.22 kg·CH₄-C ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹), and Lu 508 509 et al. (2015) also indicated that grasslands were CH₄ sinks. In our study, a marked water gradient 510 across the transects led to the transformation of the soil from anaerobic to aerobic soil, which 511 changed the wetland function as a CH₄ source or sink. Therefore, during the transition from the 512 riparian wetlands to the hillslope grasslands, CH₄ emissions only appeared as sources in the 513 near-stream sites and sinks at other sites.

514 Further, we compared the GHG emissions of riparian wetlands and hillslope grasslands 515 around the Xilin River Basin with various types of grasslands (meadow grassland, typical 516 grassland, and desert grassland) in the Xinlingol League in Inner Mongolia (Table 5). The CO₂ 517 emissions in wet season decreased in the following order: upstream riparian wetlands > 518 downstream riparian wetlands > hillslope grasslands > meadow grassland > typical grassland > 519 desert grassland. Moreover, the upper riparian wetlands acted as source of CH₄ emissions, while 520 the downstream transects and grasslands served as CH₄ sinks. Similarly, except for the downstream transects, N2O emissions occurred as weak sources in different types of grasslands 521 522 and upstream riparian wetlands. The GHG emissions showed similar spatial patterns in October. 523 Although these estimates were made only in the growing season in August and the non-growing 524 season in October, our results suggest that riparian wetlands are the potential hotspots of GHG 525 emissions. Thus, it is important to study GHG emissions to obtain a comprehensive picture of the

528

Table 5. GHG emission fluxes of riparian wetlands and grasslands

Sample plot	GHG emissio	ons in August (m	$g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1})$	GHG emissions in October (mg·m ⁻² ·h ⁻¹)			Reference	
	CO ₂	CH4	N ₂ O	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	-	
Wetlands of upstream transects n=13 (T1, T2, and T3)	1606.28 ± 697.78	1.417 ± 3.41	0.031 ± 0.03	182.35± 88.26	0.272 ± 0.49	$\begin{array}{c} 0.002 \pm \\ 0.005 \end{array}$		
Wetlands of downstream transects (T4 and T5)	1144.15 ± 666.50	-0.215 ± 0.45	-0.037 ± 0.05	98.13±15.11	$\begin{array}{c} -0.015 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	0.001 ± 0.01	This study	
Hillslope grasslands of all transects	1071.54 ± 225.39	-0.300 ± 0.40	0.003 ± 0.03	77.68 ± 25.32	$\begin{array}{c} -0.048 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.002 \pm \\ 0.005 \end{array}$		
Meadow grassland	166.39 ± 45.89	-0.038 ± 0.009	0.002 ± 0.001	-	-	-		
Typical grassland	240.32 ± 87.56	-0.042 ± 0.025	0.037 ± 0.034	-	-	-	Guo et al., 2017	
Desert grassland	107.59 ± 54.10	-0.036 ± 0.015	0.003 ± 0.001	-	-	-		
Typical grassland	520.25 ± 59.07	-0.102 ± 0.012	0.007 ± 0.001	88.34± 9.84	$\begin{array}{c} -0.099 \pm \\ 0.003 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm \\ 0.001 \end{array}$	Zhang, 2019	
Typical grassland	232.42 ± 18.90	-0.090 ± 0.005	0.004 ± 0.001	-	-	-		
Typical grassland	265.23 ± 31.43	-0.185 ± 0.018	0.005 ± 0.001	189.41± 28.96	$\begin{array}{c} -0.092 \pm \\ 0.012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.004 \pm \\ 0.001 \end{array}$	Chao, 2019	
Meadow grassland	553.85	-0.163	0.003	47.73	-0.019	0.011		
Typical grassland	308.60	-0.105	0.002	70.25	-0.029	0.007	Geng, 2004	

529

We roughly estimated the annual cumulative emissions of CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O from riparian wetlands and hillslope grasslands around the Xilin River Basin, and further calculated its global warming potential. Table 6 indicated that annual cumulative emissions of CO_2 and CH_4 decreased in the following order: upstream riparian wetlands > downstream riparian wetlands > hillslope grasslands, and N_2O in the following order: upstream riparian wetlands > hillslope grasslands > downstream riparian wetlands. In this study, we used the static dark-box method to measure CO_2 536 emissions, which does not consider the absorption and fixation of CO₂ by plants' photosynthesis.

537 Therefore, the total annual cumulative CO₂ emissions are high. This result clearly showed that the

538 significant impact of CO₂ emissions than CH₄ and N₂O emissions on global warming. The GWP

539 depends on the cumulative emissions of the GHGs. GWP is shown as (Table 6): upstream riparian

540 wetlands $(13474.91 \text{ kg/hm}^2) > \text{downstream riparian wetlands} (8974.12 \text{ kg/hm}^2) > \text{hillslope}$

541 grasslands (8351.24 kg/hm²). Therefore, both riparian wetlands and grasslands are the "sources"

542 of GHGs on a 100-year time scale. The source strength of wetlands is higher than grasslands,

543 further indicating that riparian wetlands are the hotspots of GHG emissions.

544

Table 6 Cumulative annual emission flux and global warming potential of GHGs in riparian
 wetlands and grasslands

	wettands and grassiands					
Sample plot	CO ₂ /kg/hm ²	CH ₄ /kg/hm ²	N ₂ O/kg/hm ²	GWP/CO ₂ kg hm ²		
Wetlands of upstream transects (T1, T2,	13092.8±5378.16	12.36±26.40	0.25±0.23	13474.91±5828.68		
and T3)						
Wetlands of downstream transects (T4	9093.47±4831.82	-1.68±3.23	-0.26±0.40	8974.12±4912.75		
and T5)	1.00-5.15					
Hillslope grasslands of all transects	8412.26±1614.26	-2.55±3.12	0.01 ± 0.20	8351.24±1648.22		

547

548 **4.3 Effects of riparian wetland degradation on GHG emissions**

549 The hydrology and soil properties showed evident differences among the transects because 删除[liuxinyu]: 550 the downstream zone was dry all year due to the presence of the Xilinhot Dam (Fig. 1). The dam 551 caused the degradation of the riparian wetlands, resulting in reduced GHG emissions. The average 552 CO_2 emissions amounted to 1663 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹ in the riparian wetlands in the upstream transects 553 (T1, T2, and T3), while the downstream transects (T4 and T5) recorded an average of 1084 mg·m⁻²·h⁻¹, 35% lower than the value in the upstream transects. The N₂O emissions from the 554 555 riparian wetlands were lower in the downstream transects. 556 The wetland degradation first resulted in the continuous reduction of SMC, which led to the 557 deepening of the wetland's aerobic layer thickness. Besides, SMC could affect ST's change and

558 thus transformed CH₄ emissions from a source to a sink by affecting methanogens' activity (Yan et

al., 2018). Secondly, the reduction of SMC impeded aboveground plants' physiological activities

删除[liuxinyu]:

560 and inhabited related enzymes' activities in the respiration process. Meanwhile, various enzyme 561 reactions of underground microorganisms under water stress influence and reduced CO₂ emissions 562 (Zhang et al., 2017). Finally, after wetland degradation, long-term drought caused too low SMC, 563 which was not conducive to the growth of nitrifying and denitrifying bacteria, which caused the 564 transformation of N₂O emissions from source to sink (Zhu et al., 2013). Table 1 shows that soil 565 TOC in the upstream transects (average: $25.1 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$) is higher than that in the downstream 566 transects (average: 8.41 g·kg⁻¹). The relatively low SMC and the aerobic environment were 567 conducive to the mineralization and decomposition of TOC. The degradation of plants in the 568 wetlands led to the gradual reduction of BIO. Ultimately, the plant carbon source input of the 569 degraded wetlands decreased, and the bare land temperature increased due to the reduced plant 570 shelter. This accelerated the decomposition of TOC, leading to its decrease. This result indicates 571 that wetland degradation caused the soil carbon pool's loss and weakened the wetland carbon 572 source/sink function. These results are in agreement with those of Xia (2017).

573 The degraded wetlands also caused soil desertification and salinization, leading to a decline 574 in the physical protection afforded by organic carbon and a reduction in soil aggregates. Thus, the 575 preservation provided by organic carbon declined. TOC and SMC in the dry lake bed in transect 576 T5 were relatively high, but GHG emissions were very low along this transect because soil pH 577 values increased after the degradation of the lake soil, exceeding the optimum range required for 578 microorganism activity. The soil C:N ratio was very high, resulting in severe mineralization and a 579 low microbial decomposition rate, hence affecting the GHG emissions.

580

581 **5. Conclusions**

The riparian wetlands in the Xilin River Basin constitute a dynamic ecosystem. The present spatial and temporal transfers in the studied biogeochemical processes were attributed to the changes in SMC, ST, and soil substrate availability. Our simultaneous analysis of CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O emissions from riparian wetlands and hillslope grasslands in the Xilin River Basin revealed that the majority of the GHG emissions occurred in the form of CO_2 . Moreover, our results clearly illustrated a marked seasonality and spatial pattern of GHG emissions along the transects and in the longitudinal direction (i.e., upstream and downstream). SMC and ST were two critical factors controlling the GHG emissions. Moreover, abundant BIO promoted the CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O
emissions.

591 The riparian wetlands were the potential hotspots of GHG emissions in the Inner Mongolian 592 region. However, the degradation of wetlands transformed the area from a source to a sink for CH₄ 593 and N₂O emissions, and reduced CO₂ emissions, which severely affected the wetland carbon cycle 594 processes. Our results show that the riparian wetlands have high CO₂ emissions, but wetlands are 595 CO₂ sink in the overall CO₂ balance general due to the photosynthesis of plants. Overall, our study 596 suggests that anthropogenic activities have significantly changed the hydrological characteristics 597 of the studied area, and will accelerate carbon loss from the riparian wetlands and further 598 influence the GHG emissions in the future.

599 Author Contributions

Kinyu Liu, Xixi Lu and Ruihong Yu designed the research framework and wrote the
manuscript. Xixi Lu and Ruihong Yu supervised the study. Xinyu Liu, Hao Xue, Zhen Qi,
Zhengxu Cao and Zhuangzhuang Zhang carried out the field experiments and laboratory
experiments analyses. Z.Z. drew GIS mapping in this paper. Tingxi Liu proofread the manuscript.
Heyang Sun contributed much in the revised version of our manuscript.

605 Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China

607 (grant no. 2016YFC0500508), Major Science and Technology Projects of Inner Mongolia

608 Autonomous Region (grant nos. 2020ZD0009 and ZDZX2018054), National Natural Science

609 Foundation of China (grant no. 51869014), Key Scientific and Technological Project of Inner

610 Mongolia (grant no. 2019GG019), and Open Project Program of the Ministry of Education Key

611 Laboratory of Ecology and Resources Use of the Mongolian Plateau (grant no. KF2020006).

612 **Competing interests**

- 613 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- 614 **References**
- 615 Azam F., Gill S., Farooq S.: Availability of CO₂ as a factor affecting the rate of nitrification in soil,
- 616 Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 37, 2141–2144, doi 10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.02.036, 2005.
- 617 Baggs E.M., Richter M., Cadisch G., Hartwig U.A.: Denitrification in grass swards is increased
- 618 under elevated atmospheric CO₂, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 35, 729-732, doi

- 619 10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00083-X, 2003.
- 620 Beger M., Grantham H.S., Pressey R.L., Wilson K.A., Peterson E.L., Dorfman D., Lourival R.,
- 621 Brumbaugh D.R., Possingham H.P.: Conservation planning for connectivity across marine,
- 622 freshwater, and terrestrial realms, Biological Conservation, 143, 565-575, doi
- 623 10.1016/j.biocon.2009.11.006, 2010.
- Brady N C.: Nature and properties of soils, NewJersey: Prenflee-Hall. Inc., doi 10.2307/3894608,
 1999.
- 626 Cao M., Yu G., Liu J., Li K.; Multi-scale observation and cross-scale mechanistic modelling on
- terrestrial ecosystem carbon cycle, Science in China Ser. D Earth Sciences, 48, 17–32, doi
 10.1360/05zd0002, 2005.
- 629 Chao R.: Effects of Simulated Climate Change on Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Typical Steppe
 630 Ecosystem, Inner Mongolia University, 2019.
- 631 Cheng S and Huang J.: Enhanced soil moisture drying in transitional regions under a warming
- 632 climate, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 121, 2542–2555, doi
 633 10.1002/2015JD024559, 2016.
- 634Christensen S., Simkins S., Tiedje J M.: Temporal Patterns of Soil Denitrification: Their Stability635and Causes, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 54, 1614, doi
- 636 10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400060017x, 1990.
- 637 Ding W., Cai Z., Tsuruta H.: Cultivation, nitrogen fertilization, and set aside effects on methane
- 638 uptake in a drained marsh soil in Northeast China, Global Change Biology, 10, 1801 1809, doi
- 639 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00843.x, 2010.
- 640 Enwall K., Philippot L., Hallin S.: Activity and composition of the denitrifying bacterial
- 641 community respond differently to long-term fertilization, Applied and Environmental
- 642 Microbiology, 71, 8335-8343, doi 10.1128/AEM.71.12.8335-8343.2005, 2005.
- 643 Fan X.: Research on nitrification potential and denitrification potential of soil in several farmland
- 644 in China, Nanjing Institute of Soil Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1995.
- 645 Ferrón S., Ortega T., Gómez-Parra A., Forja J.M.: Seasonal study of dissolved CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O
- 646 in a shallow tidal system of the bay of Cádiz (SW Spain), Journal of Marine Systems, 66, 244–257,
- 647 doi 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.03.021, 2007.
- 648 Geng H.: Study on Charactors of CO₂, CH₄, N₂O Fluxes and the Relationship between Them and

- 649 Environmental Factors in the Temperate Typical Grassland Ecosystem, Northwest Agriculture &
- 650 Forestry University, 2004.
- 651 Gholz H.L., Wedin D.A., Smitherman S.M., Harmon M.E., Parton W.J.: Long-term dynamics of
- 652 pine and hardwood litter in contrasting environments: toward a global model of decomposition,
- 653 Global Change Biology, 6, 751–765, doi 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00349.x, 2000.
- 654 Gou Q., Qu J., Wang G., Xiao J., Pang Y.: Progress of wetland researches in arid and
- semi-ariregions in China, Arid Zone Research, 1001–4675, 213–220, 2015.
- 656 Guo X., Zhou D., Li Y.: Net Greenhouse Gas Emission and Its Influencing Factors in Inner
- 657 Mongolia Grassland, Chinese Grassland Society, 2017.
- 658 Heilman J.L., Cobos D.R., Heinsch F.A., Campbell C.S., McInnes K.J.: Tower-based conditional
- 659 sampling for measuring ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide exchange in coastal wetlands, Estuaries,
- 660 22, 584–591, doi 10.2307/1353046, 1999.
- 661 Inubushi K., Furukawa Y., Hadi A., Purnomo E., Tsuruta H.: Seasonal changes of CO₂, CH₄ and
- 662 N₂O fluxes in relation to land-use change in tropical peatlands located in coastal area of South
- 663 Kalimantan, Chemosphere, 52, 603–608, doi 10.1016/s0045-6535(03)00242-x, 2003.
- 664 IPCC.: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working, Working
- 665 Group I of the IPCC, 43, 866–871, 2013.
- 666 Jacinthe P.A., Vidon P., Fisher K., Liu X., Baker M.E.: Soil Methane and Carbon Dioxide Fluxes
- 667 from Cropland and Riparian Buffers in Different Hydrogeomorphic Settings, Journal of
- 668 Environment Quality, 44, 1080–1115, doi 10.2134/jeq2015.01.0014, 2015.
- 669 Johnson-Randall L.A., Foote A.L.: Effects of managed impoundments and herbivory on wetland
- 670 plant production and stand structure, Wetlands, 25, 38-50, doi
- 671 10.1672/0277-5212(2005)025[0038:eomiah]2.0.co;2, 2005.
- 672 Koops J.G., Oenema O., Beusichem M.L.: Denitrification in the top and sub soil of grassland on
- 673 peat soils, Plant and Soil, 184, 1–10, doi 10.1007/bf00029269, 1996.
- 674 Kou X.: Study on Soil Physicochemical Properties and Bacterial Community Characteristics of
- 675 River Riparian Wetland in Inner Mongolia Grassland, Inner Mongolia University, 2018.
- 676 Liu C.: Effects of Nitrogen Addition on the CO₂ Emissions in the Reed (Phragmites australis)
- 677 Wetland of the Yellow River Delta, China, Liaocheng University, 2019.
- 678 Liu C., Xie G., Huang H.: Shrinking and drying up of Baiyangdian Lake wetland: a natural or

- human cause? Chinese Geographical Science, 16, 314–319, 2006.
- 680 Liu F., Liu C., Wang S., Zhu Z.: Correlations among CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O concentrations in soil
- 681 profiles in central Guizhou Karst area, Chinese Journal of Ecology, 29, 717–723, doi
 682 10.1016/S1872-5813(11)60001-7, 2010.
- 683 Liu J., Wang J., Li Z., Yu J., Zhang X., Wang C., Wang Y.: N₂O Concentration and Its Emission
- 684 Characteristics in Sanjiang Plain Wetland, Chinese Journal of Environmental Science, 24, 33–39,
 685 2003.
- 686 Lu Y., Song C., Wang Y., Zhao Z.: Influence of plants on CO₂ and CH₄ emission in wetland
- 687 ecosystem, Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica, 27, 2306–2313, 2007.
- 688 Lu Z., Du R., Du P., Li Z., Liang Z., Wang Y., Qin S., Zhong L.: Effect of mowing on N₂O and
- 689 CH4 fluxes emissions from the meadow-steppe grasslands of Inner Mongolia, Frontiers of Earth
- 690 Science, 9, 473–486, doi 10.1007/s11707-014-0486-z, 2015.
- 691 Lv M., Sheng L., Zhang L.: A review on carbon fluxes for typical wetlands in different climates of
- 692 China, Wetland Science, 11, 114–120, doi CNKI:SUN:KXSD.0.2013-01-020, 2013.
- 693 Malhl S.S., Mcgill W.B.: Nitrification in three Alberta soils: effects of temperature, moisure and
- 694 substrates concentration, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 14, 393-399, doi
- 69510.1016/0038-0717(82)90011-61982.
- 696 Mclain J E T., Martens D.A.: Moisture controls on trace gas fluxes in semiarid riparian soils, Soil
- 697 Science Society of America Journal, 70, 367, doi 10.2136/sssaj2005.0105, 2006.
- 698 Meng W., Wu D., Wang Z.: Control factors and critical conditions between carbon sinking and
- 699 sourcing of wetland ecosystem, Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 20, 1359–1366, doi
- 700 10.1016/S1671-2927(11)60313-1, 2011.
- Mitsch W.J., Gosselink J.G.: Wetlands (Fourth Edition), John Wiley & Sons Inc.: Hoboken, New
 Jersey, USA, 2007.
- Mitsch W.J., Gosselink J.G., Anderson C.J., Anderson, C. J.: Wetland ecosystems: John Wiley &
 Sons, 2009.
- 705 Moldrup P., Olesen T., Schjønning P., Yamaguchi T., Rolston D.E.: Predicting the gas diffusion
- 706 coefficient in undisturbed soil from soil water characteristics, Soil Science Society of America
- 707 Journal, 64, 1588–1594, doi 10.2136/sssaj2000.64194x, 2000.
- 708 Morley N., Baggs E.M.: Carbon and oxygen controls on N₂O and N₂ production during nitrate

- reduction, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 42, 1864-1871, doi 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.07.008, 2010.
- 710 Naiman R.J., Decamps H.: The ecology of interfaces: Riparian zones, Annual Review of Ecology
- 711 & Systematics, 28, 621–658, doi 10.2307/2952507, 1997.
- 712 National Agricultural Technology Extension Service Center (NATESC).: Technical specification
- for soil analysis, 2006.
- 714 Niu C., Wang S., Guo Y., Liu W., Zhang J.: Studies on variation characteristics of soil nitrogen
- 715 forms, nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen storage of the Phragmites australis-dominated
- 716 land/inland water ecotones in Baiyangdian wetland, Journal of Agricultural University of Hebei,
- 717 40, 72–79, 2017.
- 718 Pierzynski G M S., J.T., Vance, G.F.: Soils and Environmental Quality, 1994.
- 719 Poblador S., Lupon A., Sabaté S., Sabater F.: Soil water content drives spatiotemporal patterns of
- 720 CO₂ and N₂O emissions from a Mediterranean riparian forest soil, Biogeosciences Discussions, 14,
- 721 1–28, doi 10.5194/bg-14-4195-2017, 2017.
- 722 Qin S., Tang J., Pu J., Xu Y., Dong P., Jiao L., Guo J.: Fluxes and influencing factors of CO2 and
- 723 CH₄ in Hangzhou Xixi Wetland, China, Earth and Environment, 44, 513–519, 2016.
- 724 Sjögersten S., Wal R V.D., Woodin S.J.: Small-scale hydrological variation determines landscape
- 725 CO₂ fluxes in the high Arctic, Biogeochemistry, 80, 205–216, doi 10.2307/20456398, 2006.
- 726 Sun Y., Wu H., Wang Y.: The influence factors on N₂O emissions from nirification and
- denitrification reaction, Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 20, 384–388, doi
 10.1631/jzus.B1000275, 2011.
- 729 Tong C., Wu J., Yong S., Yang J., Yong W.: A landscape-scale assessment of steppe degradation in
- the Xilin River Basin, Inner Mongolia, China, Journal of Arid Environments, 59, 133–149, doi
- 731 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2004.01.004, 2004.
- 732 Ulrike R., Jürgen A., Rolf R., Wolfgang M.: Nitrate removal from drained and reflooded fen soils
- affected by soil N transformation processes and plant uptake, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 36,
- 734 77-90, doi 10.1016/j.soilbio.2003.08.021, 2004.
- 735 Waddington J.M., Roulet N.T.: Carbon balance of a boreal patterned peatland, Global Change
- 736 Biology, 6, 87–97, doi 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00283.x, 2000.
- 737 Whiting G.J., Chanton J.P.: Greenhouse earbon balance of wetlands: methane emission versus
- carbon sequestration, Tellus B, 53, 521-528, doi 10.3402/tellusb.v53i5.16628, 2001.

- 739 WMO.: WMO Statement on the State of the Global Climate in 2017, World Meteorological
- 740 Organization, 2018.
- 741 Xi X., Zhu Z., Hao X.: Spatial variability of soil organic carbon in Xilin River Basin, Research of
- 742 Soil and Water Conservation, 24, 97–104, 2017.
- 743 Xia P., Yu L., Kou Y., Deng H., Liu J.: Distribution characteristics of soil organic carbon and its
- relationship with enzyme activity in the Caohai wetland of the Guizhou Plateau, Acta Scientiae
- 745 Circumstantial, 37, 1479–1485, doi 10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2016.0129, 2017.
- 746 Xu H., Cai Z., Yagi K.: Methane Production Potentials of Rice Paddy Soils and Its Affecting
- 747 Factors, Acta Pedologica Sinica, 45, 98–104, doi 10.1163/156939308783122788, 2008.
- 748 Yan L., Zhang X., Wang J., Li Y., Wu H., Kang X.; Drainage effects on carbon flux and carbon
- storage in swamps, marshes, and peatlands, Chin J Appl Environ Biol, 24, 1023-1031, doi
- 750 10.19675/j.cnki.1006-687x.2017.11031, 2018.
- 751 Yu P., Zhang J., Lin C.: Progress of influence factors on N₂O emission in farmland soil,
- 752 Environment and sustainable development, 20–22, 2006.
- 753 Zhang D.: Effects of Different Grazing Intensities on Greenhouse Gases Flux in Typical Steppe of
- 754 Inner Mongolia, Inner Mongolia University, 2019.
- 755 Zhang Y., Hao Y., Cui L., Li W., Zhang X., Zhang M., Li L., Yang S., Kang X.: Effects of extreme
- drought on CO₂ fluxes of Zoige alpine peatland, Journal of University of Chinese Academy of
- 757 Sciences, 34, 462-470, 2017.
- 758 Zhang Z., Hua L., Yin X., Hua L., Gao J.: Nitrous oxide emission from agricultural soil land some
- influence factors, Journal of Capital Normal University: Natural Science Edition, 26, 114–120,
 2005.
- Zhu X., Song C., Guo Y., Shi F., Wang L.: N₂O emissions and its controlling factors from the
 peatlands in the Sanjiang Plain, China Environmental Sciences, 33, 2228 2234, 2013.
- 763 Zona D., Oechel W.C., Kochendorfer J., Paw U K.T., Salyuk A.N., Olivas P.C., Oberbauer S.F.,
- 764 Lipson D.A.: Methane fluxes during the initiation of a large-scale water table manipulation
- 765 experiment in the Alaskan Arctic tundra, Global Biogeochem Cycles, 23, doi
 766 10.1029/2009gb003487, 2009.