1	Reduced growth with increased quotas of particulate organic and inorganic
2	carbon in the coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi under future ocean climate
3	change conditions
4	
5	
6	Yong Zhang <sup>1,4</sup> , Sinéad Collins <sup>2</sup> , and Kunshan Gao <sup>1,3</sup>
7	
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science and College of Ocean and
10	Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China
11	<sup>2</sup> Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of
12	Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FL, United Kingdom
13	<sup>3</sup> Co-Innovation Center of Jiangsu Marine Bio-industry Technology, Jiangsu Ocean
14	University, Lianyungang, China
15	<sup>4</sup> College of Environmental Science and Engineering, and Fujian Key Laboratory of
16	Pollution Control and Resource Recycling, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, China
17	
18	
19	Running head: Response of E. huxleyi to multiple drivers
20	
21	Correspondence: Kunshan Gao (ksgao@xmu.edu.cn)
22	
23	Keywords: CO <sub>2</sub> ; coccolithophore; functional trait plasticity; light; multiple drivers;
24	nutrients; ocean acidification; warming.
25	

### 26 Abstract

Effects of ocean acidification and warming on marine primary producers can be 27 modulated by other environmental factors, such as levels of nutrients and light. Here, 28 we investigated the interactive effects of five oceanic environmental drivers (CO<sub>2</sub>, 29 temperature, light, dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphate) on growth rate, 30 particulate organic (POC) and inorganic (PIC) carbon quotas of the cosmopolitan 31 coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi. Population growth rate increased with increasing 32 temperature (16 to 20 °C) and light intensities (60 to 240  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), but 33 34 decreased with elevated  $pCO_2$  concentrations (370 to 960 µatm) and reduced availability of nitrate (24.3 to 7.8  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>) and phosphate (1.5 to 0.5  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>). POC 35 quotas were predominantly enhanced by combined effects of increased  $pCO_2$  and 36 37 decreased availability of phosphate. PIC quotas increased with decreased availability of nitrate and phosphate. Our results show that concurrent changes in nutrient 38 concentrations and  $pCO_2$  levels predominantly affected growth, photosynthetic carbon 39 40 fixation and calcification of *E. huxlevi*, and imply that plastic responses to progressive ocean acidification, warming and decreasing availability of nitrate and phosphate 41 42 reduce population growth rate while increasing cellular quotas of particulate organic and inorganic carbon of E. huxleyi, ultimately affecting coccolithophore-related 43 44 ecological and biogeochemical processes.

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50

### 51 **1 Introduction**

Ocean acidification (OA), due to continuous oceanic absorption of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, 52 is occurring alongside ocean warming. This in turn, leads to shoaling in the upper 53 54 mixed layer (UML) and a consequent reduction in the upward transport of nutrients into the UML. These ocean changes expose phytoplankton cells within the UML to 55 multiple simultaneous stressors or drivers, and organismal responses to these drivers 56 can affect both trophic and biogeochemical roles of phytoplankton (see reviews by 57 Boyd et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2019 and literatures therein). While most studies on the 58 59 effects of ocean global climate changes on marine primary producers have focused on organismal responses to one, two or three environmental drivers, there is an 60 increasing awareness of the need to measure the combined effects of multiple drivers 61 62 (see reviews by Riebesell and Gattuso, 2015; Boyd et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2019; Kwiatkowski et al., 2019). For this purpose, several manipulative experimental 63 approaches have been recommended (Boyd et al., 2018). One approach using many 64 65 unique combinations of different numbers of drivers showed that both short and longterm growth responses were, on average, explained by the dominant single driver in a 66 multi-driver environment, but this result relies on having many (>5) drivers with 67 known or measured large-effect single drivers (Brennan and Collins, 2015; Brennan et 68 al., 2017). For experiments with multiple drivers where interactions are likely to 69 70 preclude making predictions from single drivers, where average responses are not the 71 most informative ones, or where logistics preclude using a very large number of multi-driver environments, Boyd et al. (2010) suggested an 'environmental cluster' 72 73 method where key drivers (such as temperature, light intensity, nutrient concentration, CO<sub>2</sub> and Fe) are covaried within experiments, allowing the investigation of 74 physiological responses of phytoplankton to concurrent changes of the clustered 75

drivers. This approach examines responses to projected overall environmental shifts
rather than pulling apart the biological or statistical interactions between responses to
individual drivers. To our knowledge, studies to date have employed such a driver
clustering approach to investigate responses of diatoms *Fragilariopsis cylindrus*, *Thalassiosira pseudonana*, *Skeletonema costatum*, and the prymnesiophyte *Phaeocystis antarctica* to combinations of drivers projected for 2100 (Xu et al., 2014a;
Xu et al., 2014b; Boyd et al., 2016).

An environmental cluster approach is especially useful when drivers are known to 83 84 interact in terms of the organismal responses they elicit, as is the case for OA, light levels, and key nutrients acting on population growth rate and carbon fixation (Boyd 85 et al., 2016). For example, in the cosmopolitan coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi, 86 87 interactive effects of OA and light showed that OA increased population growth rate and photosynthetic carbon fixation under low light, whereas it slightly lowered 88 population growth rate and photosynthetic carbon fixation under high light 89 (Zondervan et al., 2002; Kottmeier et al., 2016). In addition, photosynthetic carbon 90 fixation was further enhanced by longer light exposure at high  $pCO_2$  levels 91 92 (Zondervan et al., 2002). On the other hand, OA can exacerbate the negative impact of solar UV radiation on photosynthetic carbon fixation and calcification in E. huxlevi 93 94 under nutrient-replete conditions (Gao et al., 2009), but can increase calcification 95 (coccolith volume) and particulate organic carbon (POC) quota under phosphatelimited conditions (Leonardos and Geider, 2005; Müller et al., 2017), demonstrating 96 that the effects of OA on calcification is likely nutrient-dependent. On the other hand, 97 98 ocean warming, which occurs alongside OA, is known to increase coccolith length, POC, particulate organic nitrogen (PON) and inorganic carbon (PIC) production rates 99 100 of several E. huxleyi strains (Rosas-Navarro et al., 2016; Feng et al., 2017). Warming 101 has also been shown to increase the optimal  $pCO_2$  levels for growth, POC and PIC production rates (Sett et al., 2014). In one case warming was found to compensate for 102 the negative impact of OA on growth rate under low light intensity (Feng et al., 2008). 103 Nevertheless, decreased photosynthetic carbon fixation and calcification at reduced 104 carbonate saturation state (lowered Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentrations) were exacerbated by 105 warming treatment (Xu et al., 2011). Overall, there is strong evidence that 106 understanding the plastic responses of this key calcifier to ocean changes requires 107 investigating responses to the overall expected shift in the environment, in addition to 108 109 the detailed studies to date on individual drivers, due to the sheer number of interactions between individual drivers on traits that affect the trophic and 110 biogeochemical roles of E. huxleyi. 111

112 Despite known interactions among two- and three-way combinations of OA, temperature, light, phosphate levels and nitrogen levels, there have been few 113 empirical studies investigating effects of the larger cluster projected for future surface 114 115 ocean changes. The data to date show that interactions among drivers can affect both the direction and magnitude of trait changes in biogeochemically important taxa. In 116 addition, based on single or two-driver studies, changes in temperature,  $pCO_2$ , light, 117 dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and phosphate (DIP) in combination are predicted 118 119 to affect primary productions (Barton et al., 2016; Monteiro et al., 2016; Boyd et al., 120 2018; Gao et al., 2019; Kwiatkowski et al., 2019). Understanding the trait-based responses of cocolithophores to future ocean changes is important for projections of 121 changes in the biogeochemical roles of phytoplankton, such as biological carbon 122 123 pump efficiency (Rost and Riebesell, 2004).

In order to understand the combined effects of  $pCO_2$ , temperature, light, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and phosphate (DIP) on functional traits, we incubated 126 Emiliania huxleyi (Lohmann) under different combinations of environmental conditions that represented subsets of, and eventually the complete set of 127 environments for, this environmental driver cluster. We recently examined the 128 interactive effects of light intensity and CO<sub>2</sub> level on growth rate, POC and PIC 129 quotas of E. huxleyi under nutrients replete, low DIN, or low DIP concentrations 130 (Zhang et al., 2019). Light, CO<sub>2</sub>, DIN and DIP levels usually change simultaneously 131 with temperature, and temperature modulated responses of E. huxleyi to other 132 environmental drivers (Gafar and Schulz, 2018; Tong et al., 2019). In addition, 133 134 warming or cooling can directly influence the activity of enzymes, thus directly modulating metabolic rates (Sett et al., 2014). Because of the overwhelming evidence 135 that temperature can act as a general modulator of organismal responses, we use the 136 137 present study to examine how the addition of temperature as a key driver in the environmental change cluster can modulate the combined effects of CO<sub>2</sub>, light and 138 nutrients. We found that future ocean scenario treatments with OA, warming, 139 increased light and reduced availability of nutrients led to lower growth rate and 140 larger POC and PIC quotas of *E. huxleyi*. 141

142

## 143 2 Materials and Methods

### 144 **2.1 Experimental setup**

*Emiliania huxleyi* strain PML B92/11 was originally isolated from coastal waters off Bergen, Norway, and obtained from the Plymouth algal culture collection, UK. The average levels of  $pCO_2$ , temperature, light, dissolved inorganic nitrate (DIN) and phosphate (DIP) were set up according to recorded data in Norwegian coastal waters during 2000 to 2007 and projected for 2100 in high-latitudes (Larsen et al., 2004; Locarnini et al., 2006; Omar et al., 2010; Boyd et al., 2015) (Table S1). *E. huxleyi* was 151 cultured with a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle in thermo-controlled incubators in Aquil medium, which was prepared according to Sunda et al. (2005) with the addition of 152 2200  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> bicarbonate to achieve the total alkalinity (TA) of 2200  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>. 153 Initial DIN and DIP concentrations were 24  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> and 1.5  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, 154 and initial light intensity was 60 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was conducted 155 in five steps (Fig. 1). Considering ocean acidification and warming as the key drivers 156 for ocean climate changes, we first established 4 "baseline" treatments where the 157  $pCO_2$  and temperature drivers were combined in a fully factorial way: low  $pCO_2$  + 158 159 low temperature (LCLT), high  $pCO_2$  + low temperature (HCLT), low  $pCO_2$  + high temperature (LCHT), and high  $pCO_2$  + high temperature (HCHT). Since reduced 160 availability of nutrients and increased light exposures are triggered by warming-161 162 enhanced stratification, we then added additional single or pairs of drivers to each of these "baseline" treatments (Fig. S1). In step 1, low light (LL, 60 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-</sup> 163 <sup>1</sup>) was supplied; in step 2, high light (HL, 240  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) was exposed. HL 164 165 was then maintained for the rest of the experiment. In step 3, low nitrogen was supplied and high phosphate levels were maintained (LNHP). In step 4, low 166 phosphate was supplied and high nitrogen levels were restored (HNLP). In step 5, 167 both nitrogen and phosphate were low (LNLP), respectively (Figs. 1 and S1). In all 168 169 cases, the cells were acclimated to each unique stressor cluster for at least 14-16 170 generations before physiological and biochemical parameters were measured. Although this stepwise design introduces a historical effect, physiological traits are 171 generally reported after 10 to 20 generations acclimation to OA treatment (Perrin et 172 173 al., 2016; Tong et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017), so the historical effects here are similar to those that would be introduced with standard methods in other physiology studies 174 (Tong et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). Since individually reduced availability of 175

176 nitrate or phosphate decreased growth, did not change POC quota, and enhanced PIC quota under optimal light intensity (HL in this study) in the same E. huxleyi strain 177 (Zhang et al., 2019), we hypothesized that combination of DIN and DIP limitation 178 would result in similar trend under the  $pCO_2$  and/or temperature combined treatments. 179 Therefore, we added stepwise nitrate and/or phosphate drivers (Fig. 1). Such stepwise 180 reduction of nutrients levels would be useful for us to analyze effects of nitrate and 181 182 phosphate separately, and be expected to have implications for the cells episodically exposed to different levels of nutrients in the sea. 183

For step 1,  $NO_3^-$  and  $PO_4^{3-}$  were modified to 24 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> and 1.5 µmol L<sup>-1</sup>, 184 185 respectively, which is the HNHP treatment in the synthetic seawater (Sunda et al., 2005) (Fig. S1). The seawater was dispensed into 4 glass bottles, and 2 bottles of 186 seawater were placed at 16 °C (LT) in an incubator (HP400G-XZ, Ruihua, Wuhan), 187 and aerated for 24 h with filtered (PVDF 0.22 µm pore size, Haining) air containing 188 400 µatm (LC) or 1000 µatm pCO<sub>2</sub> (HC). Another 2 bottles of seawater were 189 190 maintained at 20 °C (HT) in the other chamber and also aerated with LC or HC air as described above. The dry air/CO<sub>2</sub> mixture was humidified with deionized water prior 191 to the aeration to minimize evaporation. The LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and HCHT 192 193 seawaters (Figs. 1a and S1) were then filtered (0.22 µm pore size, Polycap 75 AS, Whatman) and carefully pumped into autoclaved 250 mL polycarbonate bottles 194 (Nalgene, 4 replicate flasks for each of LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and HCHT, a total of 16 195 flasks at the beginning of the experiment) with no headspace to minimize gas 196 exchange. The flasks were inoculated at a cell density of about 150 cells mL<sup>-1</sup>. The 197 198 volume of the inoculum was calculated (see below) and the same volume of seawater was taken out from the bottles before inoculation. The samples were initially cultured 199 at 60  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (LL) of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) 200

(measured using a PAR Detector, PMA 2132 from Solar Light Company) under LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and HCHT conditions for 8 generations (6 days) (d), and then the samples were diluted to their initial concentrations and grown for another 8 generations (6 d) (Fig. 1a). Samples in culture bottles were mixed twice a day at 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. At the end of the incubation, sub-samples were taken for measurements of cell concentration, POC and TPC quotas, TA, pH and nutrient concentrations.

In step 2, samples grown under the previous conditions were transferred at the end of the cultures from 60 (LL) to 240  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (HL) of PAR with initial cell concentrations of 150 cells mL<sup>-1</sup>, and acclimated to the HL for 8 generations (5 d in 16 °C environment, 4 d in 20 °C environment) (Fig. 1b). The cultures were then diluted to achieve initial cell concentration and incubated at the HL for another 8 generations (the fifth day in 16 °C environment and the fourth day in 20 °C environment) before sub-samples were taken for measurements.

In step 3, step 4 and step 5,  $NO_3^-$  and  $PO_4^{3-}$  concentrations were set to be 8  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-</sup> 215 <sup>1</sup> and 1.5 umol  $L^{-1}$  for the LNHP treatment, and 24 umol  $L^{-1}$  and 0.5 umol  $L^{-1}$  for the 216 HNLP treatment, and 8  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.5  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> for the LNLP treatment, 217 respectively (Fig. 1c,d,e). The LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and HCHT were step 1 218 conditions, now we are into step 3, 4 and 5. Under 240  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (HL) of 219 PAR, cell samples with an initial concentration of 150 cells mL<sup>-1</sup> were transferred 220 from HNHP condition (step 2) to LNHP conditions (step 3) and acclimated to LNHP 221 conditions for 8 generations (5 d in 16 °C environment, 4 d in 20 °C environment) 222 223 (Fig. 1c). The cultures were then diluted back to initial cell concentrations and incubated in the LNHP conditions (step 3) for a further 8 generations. On the last day 224

of the incubation (the fifth day in 16 °C environment and the fourth day in 20 °C environment), sub-samples were taken for measurements of the parameters.

After that, cell samples were transferred stepwise from HNHP conditions (step 2, 227 Fig. 1b) to HNLP conditions (step 4, Fig. 1d), then from HNLP conditions to LNLP 228 conditions (step 5, Fig. 1e). Cell samples were acclimated for 8 generations at HNLP 229 and LNLP conditions, respectively, and followed by another 8 generation incubations 230 231 for 4 d at HT and 5 d at LT. On the fourth day (for populations in high temperature environments) or the fifth day (for populations in low temperature environments), 232 233 sub-samples were taken for measurements (Fig. 1d,e). At low nutrient concentrations, maximal cell concentrations were limited by nutrients (Rouco et al., 2013; Rokitta et 234 al., 2016). To check whether cells sampled were in exponential growth at each 235 236 nutrient level, we examined cell concentrations every day at LCHT, or LCLT and high light conditions (Fig. S2). We found that cell concentrations were in the 237 exponential growth phase during the 1st and 5th days at HT, and during the 1st and 7th 238 days at LT. In this study, we took samples in the 4<sup>th</sup> day at HT and in the 5<sup>th</sup> day at LT, 239 and thus cells sampled were in the exponential growth phase of *E. huxleyi*. 240

In the previous work (Zhang et al., 2019), we transferred E. huxleyi cells stepwise 241 from 80  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 120  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, then to 200  $\mu$ mol photons 242  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , to 320 µmol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  and to 480 µmol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  at both LC and 243 244 HC levels under HNHP, LNHP or HNLP conditions, respectively. In this study, we transferred the same strain from LL to HL under HNHP condition, and then from 245 HNHP to LNHP or HNLP, and from HNLP to LNLP under HL conditions under 4 246 "baseline" CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature treatments, in an effort to elucidate interactive and 247 combined effects of temperature, CO<sub>2</sub>, DIN and DIP (Table S2), in contrast the 248 previous work carried out under constant temperature (Zhang et al., 2019). 249

### 251 **2.2** Nutrient concentrations and carbonate chemistry measurements

In the first and last days of the incubations, 20 mL samples for determination of 252 inorganic nitrogen and phosphate concentrations were taken at the same time using a 253 filtered syringe (0.22 µm pore size, Haining) and measured by using a scanning 254 spectrophotometer (Du 800, Beckman Coulter) according to Hansen and Koroleff 255 256 (1999). The nitrate was reduced to nitrite by zinc cadmium reduction and then total nitrite concentration was measured. In parallel, 25 mL samples were taken for 257 258 determination of total alkalinity (TA) after being filtered (0.22 µm pore size, Syringe Filter) under moderate pressure using a pump (GM-0.5A, JINTENG) and stored in the 259 dark at 4 °C for less than 7 d. TA was measured at 20 °C by potentiometric titration 260 (AS-ALK1+, Apollo SciTech) according to Dickson et al. (2003). Samples for pH<sub>T</sub> 261 (total scale) determinations were syringe-filtered (0.22 µm pore size), and the bottles 262 were filled from bottom to top with overflow and closed immediately without 263 headspace. The pH<sub>T</sub> was immediately measured at 20 °C by using a pH meter 264 (Benchtop pH, Orion 8102BN) which was calibrated with buffers (Tris•HCl, Hanna) 265 at pH 4.01, 7.00 and 10.00. Carbonate chemistry parameters were calculated from TA, 266 pH<sub>T</sub>, phosphate (at 1.5  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> or 0.5  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>), temperature (at 16 °C or 20 °C), and 267 salinity using the CO<sub>2</sub> system calculation in MS Excel software (Pierrot et al., 2006). 268 269 K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>, the first and second carbonic acid constants, were taken from Roy et al. (1993). 270

271

### 272 **2.3 Cell concentration measurements**

In the last day of the incubation, ~25 mL samples (8 samples) were taken at the same time (about 1:00 p.m.). Cell concentration and cell diameter (D) were measured using

a Z2 Coulter Particle Count and Size Analyzer (Beckman Coulter). The diameter of 275 detected particles was set to be 3 to 7 µm in the instrument, which excludes detached 276 coccoliths (Müller et al., 2012). Cell concentration was also measured by microscopy 277 (ZEISS), and variation in measured cell concentration between two methods was  $\pm$ 278 7.9% (Zhang et al., 2019). Average growth rate ( $\mu$ ) was calculated for each replicate 279 according to the equation:  $\mu = (\ln N_1 - \ln N_0) / d$ , where  $N_0$  was 150 cells mL<sup>-1</sup> and  $N_1$ 280 was the cell concentration in the last day of the incubation, d was the growth period in 281 days. E. huxlevi cells were spherical and its cell volume with coccoliths was 282 283 calculated according to the equation:  $V = 3.14 \times (4/3) \times (D/2)^3$ .

284

## 285 **2.4 Total particulate (TPC) and particulate organic (POC) carbon measurements**

100 mL samples for determination of TPC and POC quotas were filtered onto GF/F 286 filters (pre-combusted at 450 °C for 6 h) at the same time in each treatment. TPC and 287 POC samples were stored in the dark at -20 °C. For POC measurements, samples 288 were fumed with HCl for 12 h to remove inorganic carbon, and samples for TPC 289 measurements were not treated with HCl. All samples were dried at 60 °C for 12 h, 290 and analyzed using a Thermo Scientific FLASH 2000 CHNS/O elemental analyzer 291 (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA). Particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) quota was 292 calculated as the difference between TPC quota and POC quota. POC and PIC 293 294 production rates were calculated by multiplying cellular contents with  $\mu$  (d<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. Variations in measured carbon content between the four replicates were 295 calculated to be 1-24% in this study. 296

297

## 298 2.5 Data analysis

299 Firstly, we examined the interactions of temperature,  $pCO_2$  and light under nutrientreplete (HNHP) conditions. Here, the effects of temperature,  $pCO_2$ , light intensity and 300 their interaction on growth rate, POC and PIC quotas were tested using a three-way 301 analysis of variance (ANOVA). Secondly, we examined the effects of nutrient 302 limitation in the different  $pCO_2$  and temperature environments under the high light 303 intensity (HL). Here, the effects of temperature,  $pCO_2$ , dissolved inorganic nitrogen 304 305 (DIN), dissolved inorganic phosphate (DIP) and their interaction on growth rate, POC and PIC quotas were tested using a four-way ANOVA. Finally, a one-way ANOVA 306 307 was used to test the differences in growth rate, POC and PIC quotas between present (defined as low levels of  $pCO_2$ , temperature and light along with high levels of DIN 308 and DIP (LC LT LL HN HP)) and future ocean (defined as higher levels of pCO<sub>2</sub>, 309 310 temperature, and light along with low levels of DIN and DIP (HC HT HL LN LP)) scenarios. A Tukey post hoc test was performed to identify the differences between 311 two temperatures, two pCO<sub>2</sub> levels, two DIN or two DIP treatments. Normality of 312 residuals was conducted with a Shapiro-Wilk's test, and a Levene test was conducted 313 graphically to test for homogeneity of variances. A generalized least squares (GLS) 314 model was used to stabilize heterogeneity if variances were non-homogeneous. All 315 statistical calculations were performed using R (R version 3.5.0). 316

In order to quantify the individual effect of nitrate concentration or phosphate concentration on the physiological and biochemical parameters, we calculated the change ratio (*R*) of physiological rates according to the equation:  $R = |M_{LNHP \text{ or }HNLP}|$  $- M_{HNHP} | / M_{HNHP}$ , where  $M_{LNHP \text{ or }HNLP \text{ or }HNHP}$  respresents measured trait values in LNHP or HNLP or HNHP conditions, and the ' | ' denotes the absolute value (Schaum et al., 2013). We then calculated the expected growth rate, POC quota and PIC quota in LNLP conditions based on the measured trait values in HNHP 324 conditions and the change ratios in LNHP and HNLP conditions according to a linear model:  $E_{\text{LNLP}} = (1 - R_{\text{LNHP}} - R_{\text{HNLP}}) \times M_{\text{HNHP}}$  for growth rate and POC quota;  $E_{\text{LNLP}} =$ 325  $(1+R_{\text{LNHP}}+R_{\text{HNLP}}) \times M_{\text{HNHP}}$  for PIC quota (Brennan and Collins, 2015). We tested the 326 significant differences between the expected trait values ( $E_{LNLP}$ ) and the measured 327 trait values  $(M_{LNLP})$  in LNLP conditions by a one-way ANOVA (Fig. S3). We also 328 calculated the extent of synergy between LNHP and HNLP on growth rate, POC 329 quota and PIC quota according to equation:  $S = |E_{LNLP} - M_{HNHP}| / M_{HNHP}$ . Please 330 see the discussion section for more information. 331

332

### **333 3 Results**

# 334 **3.1** Carbonate chemistry parameters and nutrient concentrations

During the incubations, pH<sub>T</sub> values increased due to organismal activity by, on average,  $0.03 \pm 0.01$  in LCLT, by  $0.01 \pm 0.01$  in HCLT, by  $0.02 \pm 0.01$  in LCHT and by  $0.02 \pm 0.01$  in HCHT conditions (Fig. 1f-j; Table 1). Correspondingly, seawater  $pCO_2$  concentrations decreased by  $8.8\% \pm 1.1\%$  in LCLT, by  $6.1\% \pm 4.4\%$  in HCLT, by  $6.6\% \pm 1.7\%$  in LCHT, and by  $5.4\% \pm 3.6\%$  in HCHT conditions, respectively (Fig. 1k-o; Table 1).

341 During the incubations, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentrations decreased by  $28.7\% \pm 6.7\%$  in HNHP and LL (Fig. 1p), by  $26.8\% \pm 5.9\%$  in HNHP 342 and HL (Fig. 1q), by 71.1% ± 3.3% in LNHP (Fig. 1r), by 32.9% ± 5.6% in HNLP 343 (Fig. 1s), and by  $69.8\% \pm 3.2\%$  in LNLP conditions (Fig. 1t; Table 2). Dissolved 344 inorganic phosphate (DIP) concentrations decreased by  $62.2\% \pm 16.5\%$  in HNHP and 345 LL (Fig. 1u), by  $71.3\% \pm 6.7\%$  in HNHP and HL (Fig. 1v), by  $61.0\% \pm 5.2\%$  in 346 LNHP (Fig. 1w), by  $83.8\% \pm 5.4\%$  in HNLP (Fig. 1x), and by  $86.3\% \pm 1.4\%$  in LNLP 347 conditions (Fig. 1y; Table 2). 348

Overall, while organismal activity affected nutrient levels during growth cycles as expected, the high and low nutrient treatments remained different at all times (Table 2). Organismal activity had minimal effects on carbonate chemistry (see Fig. 1).

352

# **353 3.2 Population growth rate**

Growth rate was significantly lower under the future scenario (HCHT HL LNLP: high 354 levels of  $pCO_2$ , temperature and light as well as low levels of nutrients) than under the 355 present scenario (LCLT LL HNHP: low levels of pCO<sub>2</sub>, temperature and light 356 alongside high levels of nutrients) (one-way ANOVA, F = 52.6, p < 0.01) (Figs. 2a 357 and 3a,d; Table 2). The effect of increasing  $pCO_2$  on growth rate is negative at low 358 light or low nutrients levels, which can be seen by comparing population growth in all 359 of the HC regimes with their paired LC regimes (Figs. 3a,b,e and S4). The extent of 360 reduction in population growth rate depends on which other stressors are present. 361 Compared to present atmospheric  $pCO_2$  levels (LC, Fig. 3a), growth rates under ocean 362 acidification (HC, Fig. 3b) decreased by an average of  $17.4\% \pm 1.3\%$  in HNHP and 363 LL, and by an average of  $4.4\% \pm 1.1\%$  in HNHP and HL conditions (three-way 364 ANOVA, both p < 0.01; Tukey post hoc test, both p < 0.01) (Fig. 3e; Tables 2 and 3), 365 by 7.6%  $\pm$  2.6% in LNHP, by 21.4%  $\pm$  0.2% in HNLP, and by 32.1%  $\pm$  0.5% in 366 LNLP conditions under the HL, respectively (four-way ANOVA, all p < 0.01; Tukey 367 368 post hoc test, all p < 0.01) (Fig. 3a,b,e; Tables 2 and 4).

Across all HT/LT (high/low temperature) regime pairs, population growth rate is faster in the HT regimes, indicating that increasing temperature from 16 to 20 °C increases population growth rate in *E. huxleyi* (Figs. 3a,c,f and S4). Compared to the low temperature (LT, Fig. 3a), growth rates at the high temperature (HT, Fig. 3c) increased by 7.7%  $\pm$  0.7% in HNHP and LL, and by 34.0%  $\pm$  0.4% in HNHP and HL

conditions (three-way ANOVA, both p < 0.01; Tukey post hoc test, both p < 0.01) 374 (Fig. 3a,c,f; Tables 2 and 3), by  $42.4\% \pm 0.4\%$  in LNHP, by  $33.5\% \pm 0.5\%$  in HNLP, 375 and by  $40.4\% \pm 3.1\%$  in LNLP conditions under HL (four-way ANOVA, all p < 0.01; 376 Tukey post hoc test, all p < 0.01) (Fig. 3a,c,f; Tables 2 and 4). Compared to low  $pCO_2$ 377 and low temperature (LCLT, Fig. 3a), growth rates in high  $pCO_2$  and high 378 temperature environments (HCHT, Fig. 3d) increased by  $3.9\% \pm 0.9\%$  in HNHP and 379 LL, and by  $31.1\% \pm 0.1\%$  in HNHP and HL conditions (three-way ANOVA, both p <380 0.01; Tukey post hoc test, both p < 0.01) (Fig. 3a,d,g; Tables 2 and 3), by 38.6% ± 381 382 0.1% in LNHP and by  $17.1\% \pm 1.7\%$  in HNLP, whereas growth rate decreased by  $12.1\% \pm 2.2\%$  in LNLP conditions under HL, respectively (four-way ANOVA, all p <383 0.01; Tukey post hoc test, all p < 0.01) (Fig. 3a,d,g; Tables 2 and 4). These results 384 385 show that high  $pCO_2$ , low nitrate and low phosphate concentrations collectively reduced the population growth rate in E. huxleyi, though elevated temperature could 386 counteract this response. 387

The effects of reduced availability of nutrients on growth are nutrient-specific (Fig. 388 3). Compared to HNHP and HL, growth rates in LNHP decreased by 3.0–12.1% (all p 389 < 0.05 at LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and HCHT conditions) (Fig. 3h; Tables 2 and 4). In 390 contrast, HNLP did not significantly affect growth in LC conditions (p > 0.1 in LCLT 391 392 and LCHT conditions) (Fig. 3a,c,i), but did lower population growth rate by 11.3-393 19.2% in HC conditions (both p < 0.01 at HCLT and HCHT conditions) (Fig. 3b,d,i). Unsurprisingly, when both nitrate and phosphate levels were reduced, growth rates 394 always decreased by larger extent compared to environments where they were 395 396 reduced individually (Fig. 3h,i,j). Compared to growth rates in HNHP and HL, growth rates in LNLP were 4.8–10.2% lower in LC environments, and 34.7–40.3% lower in 397 HC environments (Tukey post hoc test, all p < 0.01 at LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and 398

HCHT conditions) (Fig. 3a–d,j; Tables 2 and 4). In summary, nitrate and phosphate
limitation exacerbated the impacts of OA and warming on population growth rate.

401

# 402 **3.3 POC quota**

Cellular POC quotas were two-fold larger under the future scenario (HCHT HL LNLP) 403 than under the current scenario (LCLT LL HNHP) (one-way ANOVA, F = 96.1, p < 100404 0.01, Figs. 2b and 4a,d). The effect of increasing  $pCO_2$  on POC quota is positive, 405 regardless of other drivers present, which can be seen by comparing POC quotas in all 406 407 of the HC regimes with their paired LC regimes (Figs. 4a,b,e and S4), though the extent of increase in POC quota depends on which other stressors are present. 408 409 Compared to current atmospheric  $pCO_2$  level (LC, Fig. 4a), POC quotas under ocean 410 acidification (Fig. 4b) increased by  $40.3\% \pm 10.1\%$  in HNHP and LL (Tukey post hoc test, p < 0.01), by 13.8% ± 10.1% in HNHP and HL (p = 0.47), by 33.2% ± 11.1% at 411 LNHP, by 109.4%  $\pm$  14.0% in HNLP and by 87.3%  $\pm$  10.8% in LNLP conditions 412 413 under HL, respectively (four-way ANOVA, all p < 0.01; Tukey post hoc test, all p0.01) (Fig. 4a,b,e; Tables 2 and 4). 414

415 The effect of elevated temperature on POC quota can be seen by comparing POC quota in all of the HT regimes with their paired LT regimes (Figs. 4a,c,f and S4). 416 417 Across all HT/LT regime pairs, POC quotas did not show significant differences 418 between the HT and LT regimes under HNHP and LL, HNHP and HL, LNHP, HNLP and LNLP conditions under HL, respectively (Tukey post hoc test, all p > 0.1) (Fig. 419 4a,c,f). This demonstrated that increasing temperature within the test range had no 420 421 significant effect on POC quota. The combined effects of increasing  $pCO_2$  and temperature on POC quotas were nutrient dependent. Compared to low  $pCO_2$  and low 422 temperature (LCLT, Fig. 4a), POC quotas at high  $pCO_2$  and high temperature (HCHT, 423

Fig. 4d) did not show significant differences in HNHP and LL (p = 0.79), in HNHP and HL (p = 0.99), and in LNHP and HL (p = 0.99), but increased by 52.2% ± 20.6% in HNLP and by 45.6% ± 14.8% in LNLP conditions under HL (Tukey post hoc test, both p < 0.01) (Fig. 4a,d,g; Tables 2 and 4). These data showed that high  $pCO_2$  and low phosphate concentrations enhanced POC quotas of *E. huxleyi*, and that their combined effects were partly reduced by rising temperature.

The effects of nutrient reduction on POC quota are nutrient specific (Fig. 4). Compared to HNHP and HL, POC quotas in LNHP did not show a significant difference (all p > 0.1 at LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and HCHT) (Fig. 4a–d,h; Tables 2 and 4). At LC, POC quotas did not significantly differ between HNHP, HNLP and LNLP conditions (Tukey post hoc test, all p > 0.1) (Fig. 4a,c,i,j). In contrast, in HC, they were 43.3–78.2% larger in HNLP or LNLP than in HNHP (all p < 0.01) (Fig. 4b,d,i,j; Table 2).

437

#### 438 **3.4 PIC quota**

Cellular PIC quotas were significantly larger in the future scenario with high levels of 439  $pCO_2$ , temperature and light along with low nutrients concentrations, than PIC quotas 440 in the present scenario with low levels of  $pCO_2$ , temperature and light along with 441 relatively high nutrients concentrations (one-way ANOVA, F = 63.6, p < 0.01) (Figs. 442 443 2c and 5a,d). However, the opposite results were found under the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatment alone. The effect of increasing  $pCO_2$  on PIC quota is negative, regardless of 444 presence of other drivers. By comparing PIC quota in all of the HC regimes with their 445 446 paired LC regimes (Figs. 5a,b,e and S4), the effects of elevated  $pCO_2$  level are clear, though the extent of reduction in PIC quota depends on which other stressors are 447 present. Compared to present atmospheric  $pCO_2$  levels (LC, Fig. 5a), PIC quotas 448

under ocean acidification (Fig. 5b) are reduced by  $31.8\% \pm 17.1\%$  in HNHP and LL,

450 by  $34.3\% \pm 10.0\%$  in HNHP and HL, by  $25.0\% \pm 3.8\%$  in LNHP, by  $22.8\% \pm 6.3\%$  in

HNLP and by  $44.6\% \pm 0.9\%$  in LNLP conditions under HL, respectively (Tukey post hoc test, all p < 0.05) (Fig. 5a,b,e; Tables 2–4). The extent of reduction in PIC quota

453 is larger under LNLP conditions.

The effects of rising temperature on PIC quota were nutrient dependent, and can be 454 seen by comparing PIC quotas in the HT regimes with those in their paired LT 455 regimes (Figs. 5a,c,f and S4). Compared to low temperature (LT, Fig. 5a), PIC quotas 456 457 at high temperature (HT, Fig. 5c) did not show significant differences in HNHP and LL, in HNHP and HL, in LNHP, and in HNLP conditions (Tukey post hoc test, all p >458 0.05), whereas they decreased by  $27.9\% \pm 8.4\%$  in LNLP conditions under HL 459 (Tukey post hoc test, p < 0.01) (Fig. 5a,c,f; Tables 2–4). The combined effects of 460 rising  $pCO_2$  and temperature on PIC quota are negative, regardless of which other 461 drivers are present (Fig. 5a,d,g). Compared to low pCO<sub>2</sub> and low temperature (LCLT, 462 Fig. 5a), PIC quotas in high  $pCO_2$  and high temperature (HCHT, Fig. 5d) declined by 463  $11.1\% \pm 10.9\%$  in HNHP and LL (p = 0.96), by  $32.5\% \pm 2.4\%$  in HNHP and HL (p < 10.9%) 464 0.01), by  $42.2\% \pm 3.2\%$  in LNHP (p < 0.01), by  $10.2\% \pm 7.7\%$  in HNLP (p = 0.92), 465 and by  $45.3\% \pm 5.9\%$  in LNLP conditions under HL, respectively (p < 0.01) (Fig. 466 5a,d,g; Table 2). 467

Effects of both nitrate and phosphate reduction on PIC quota are positive, regardless of levels of  $pCO_2$  and temperature for the range used here (Fig. 5h,i,j). Compared to HNHP and HL, PIC quotas were larger in LNHP (Tukey post hoc test, p<0.01 in LCLT, HCLT and LCHT conditions; p = 0.73 at HCHT condition) (Fig. 5h), in HNLP, and in LNLP conditions, respectively (all p < 0.01 at LCLT, HCLT, LCHT and HCHT conditions) (Fig. 5a–d,i,j; Table 2). In addition, PIC quotas were larger in 474 LNLP than in HNLP conditions (Tukey post hoc test, p < 0.01 in LCLT and HCLT 475 conditions; p = 0.06 in LCHT; p = 0.21 in HCHT conditions) (Fig. 5a–d,i,j). These 476 data showed that low nitrate and phosphate concentrations act synergistically to 477 increase PIC quotas, which was moderated under the high pCO<sub>2</sub>.

478

# 479 **3.5 PIC / POC value**

The ratio of PIC to POC (PIC / POC value) was not significantly different between 480 the future scenario (HCHT HL LNLP) and the current scenario (LCLT LL HNHP) 481 (one-way ANOVA, F = 0.3, p = 0.60) (Figs. 2d and 6a,d). The PIC / POC value 482 followed the same trend as for PIC quotas described above. The effect of increasing 483 pCO<sub>2</sub> on PIC / POC value was negative, regardless of which other drivers were 484 485 present (Figs. 6a,b,e and S4), but the extent of reduction in PIC / POC value depended on presence of other drivers. Compared to current atmospheric  $pCO_2$  levels (LC, Fig. 486 6a), PIC / POC values under ocean acidification (HC, Fig. 6b) decreased by  $50.7\% \pm$ 487 18.2% in HNHP and LL, by  $41.8\% \pm 15.4\%$  in HNHP and HL, by  $43.9\% \pm 5.8\%$  in 488 LNHP, by  $63.0\% \pm 4.2\%$  in HNLP, and by  $70.7\% \pm 2.0\%$  in LNLP conditions under 489 490 HL, respectively (Tukey post hoc test, all p < 0.05) (Fig. 6a,b,e; Table 2).

The effect of rising temperature on PIC / POC value was nutrient dependant (Figs. 491 6a,c,f and S4). Compared to low temperature (LT, Fig. 6a), PIC / POC values at high 492 493 temperature (HT, Fig. 6c) did not show significant differences in HNHP and LL, in HNHP and HL, in LNHP, and in LNLP conditions (Tukey post hoc test, all p > 0.1), 494 whereas they increased by  $39.0\% \pm 8.9\%$  in HNLP conditions (Tukey post hoc test, p 495 496 = 0.006) (Fig. 6a,c,f; Table 2). The combined effects of elevated  $pCO_2$  and temperature on PIC / POC values were negative (Fig. 6a,d,g). Relative to low pCO<sub>2</sub> 497 and low temperature (LCLT, Fig. 6a), PIC / POC values at high  $pCO_2$  and high 498

temperature (HCHT, Fig. 6d) did not show significant differences in HNHP and LL, and in HNHP and HL conditions (Tukey post hoc test, both p > 0.1), but they decreased by 39.9% ± 3.0% in LNHP, by 40.6% ± 5.8% in HNLP, and by 67.8% ± 3.1% in LNLP conditions under HL, respectively (Tukey post hoc test, all p < 0.01) (Fig. 6a,d,g; Table 2).

Across all LNHP/HNHP (low/high nitrate) regime pairs, PIC / POC values were 504 higher in the LNHP regime (Fig. 6h), though the extent of increase in PIC / POC 505 values depended on  $pCO_2$  or temperature levels. Compared to HNHP and HL, PIC / 506 507 POC values in LNHP were about 106.0%  $\pm$  13.0% larger (Tukey post hoc test, p <0.05 in LCLT and LCHT conditions; p > 0.05 in HCLT and HCHT conditions) (Fig. 508 6a-d, h; Table 2). The effect of phosphate on PIC / POC value also depended on 509 510 pCO<sub>2</sub> levels (Fig. 6i). In LC, PIC / POC values were larger in HNLP than in HNHP (p = 0.22 at LCLT; p < 0.05 at LCHT conditions), and in LNLP than in LP (p < 0.01 at 511 LCLT; p = 0.09 in LCHT conditions) (Fig. 6a,c). In HC conditions, PIC / POC values 512 did not show significant differences among HNHP, HNLP and LNLP conditions 513 (Tukey post hoc test, all p > 0.05 in HCLT and HCHT conditions) (Fig. 6b,d; Table 2). 514

515

# 516 4 Discussion

Understanding effects of multiple drivers is helpful for improving how coccolithorphores are represented in models (Krumhardt et al., 2017). Responses of growth, POC and PIC quotas to ocean acidification have been shown to be modulated by temperature (Gafar and Schulz, 2018; Tong et al., 2019), light intensity or light period (light : dark cycle) (Jin et al., 2017; Bretherton et al., 2019), DIN or DIP concentrations (Müller et al., 2017), combinations of light intensity and nutrients availability (Zhang et al., 2019) (Table 5). Following up our previous study (Zhang et

al., 2019), we added temperature as a key driver of 5 drivers (Table S2), and explored 524 how temperature changes would modulate the combined effects of CO<sub>2</sub>, light, DIN 525 and DIP that we previously reported. Our data showed that a future ocean climate 526 change cluster (increasing CO<sub>2</sub>, temperature, and light levels along with decreasing 527 DIN and DIP levels) can lower growth rate with increased POC and PIC quota per 528 cell (Fig. 2) as a result of plastic responses to the drivers. In contrast, observations of 529 coccolithophore Chl a increased from 1990 to 2014 in the North Atlantic, and rising 530 CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature has been aassociated with accelerated growth of 531 532 coccolithophores since 1965 in the North Atlantic (Rivero-Calle et al., 2015; Krumhardt et al., 2016). Our results from laboratory experiments with multiple 533 drivers experiment instead predicted a different trend with progressive ocean climate 534 535 changes. We have to admit that results from laboratory experiments can hardly extrapolate to natural conditions. Nevertheless, our data provide mechanistic 536 understanding of the combined effects of ocean climate change drivers, which can be 537 useful in analyzing field observations. 538

It should also be noted that regional responses to ocean global changes could differ 539 due to chemical and physical environmental differences and species and strain 540 variability among different oceans or regions (Blanco-Ameijeiras et al., 2016; Gao et 541 542 al., 2019), and that this could also explain discrepancies between experiments and 543 observations. Different E. huxleyi strains displayed optimal responses to a broad range of temperature or CO<sub>2</sub> level, and E. huxlevi strains isolated from different regions 544 showed local adaptation to temperature or CO<sub>2</sub> level (Zhang et al., 2014; 2018). 545 546 Strain-specific responses of growth, POC and PIC production rates in E. huxleyi isolated from different regions to changing seawater carbonate chemistry have also 547 been documented (Langer et al., 2009). It has been suggested that inter-strain genetic 548

variability has greater potential to induce larger phenotypic differences than the 549 phenotypic plasticity of a single strain cultured under a broad range of variable 550 environmental conditions (Blanco-Ameijeiras et al., 2016). On the other hand, the 551 genetic adaptation to culture experimental conditions over time may no longer 552 accurately represent the cells in the sea, as reflected in a diatom (Guan and Gao, 2008). 553 Phytoplankton species that had been maintained under laboratory conditions might 554 have lost original traits and display different responses to environmental changes 555 (Lakeman et al., 2009). The strain used in this study has been kept in the laboratory 556 557 for about 30 years, and the data obtained in this work can hardly reflect relation to its biogeographic origin. 558

The decreased availability of nitrate or phosphate individually reduced growth rate 559 and increased PIC quota, respectively, in this experiment. Furthermore, under LNLP 560 and high  $pCO_2$  levels, measured growth rates were significantly lower than the 561 expected values estimated on the basis of the values in LNHP and HNLP conditions 562 (Fig. S3a). This indicates synergistic negative effects of LN and LP on growth rate, an 563 evidence that colimitation of N and P is more severe than that by N or P alone. Here, 564 the extent of synergy between LN and LP on growth rate was calculated to be 565 8.6%±2.8% at low temperature and to be 40.6%±3.8% at high temperature (Fig. S3a), 566 suggesting modulating effect of temperature on response of growth rate to nutrient 567 568 limitations (Thomas et al., 2017). Similarly, at LNLP and low pCO<sub>2</sub> level, the measured PIC quota was significantly larger than the expected value (Fig. S3c), 569 indicating synergistic positive effects of LN and LP on PIC quota, with the extent of 570 571 synergy being 31.4%±3.9% at low temperature. LN and LP did not synergistically act to reduce POC quota. 572

While there were always interactions among stressors, increased temperature itself 573 sped up population growth to a relatively consistent value at high light, regardless of 574 nutrient limitation, with statistically significant but small differences over the different 575 nutrient regimes (Fig. 3f). Rising  $pCO_2$  level not only decreased the absolute values of 576 growth rate, but also reduced the positive effect of high temperature on growth. In 577 addition, elevated  $pCO_2$  also altered patterns of growth responses to changes in light 578 and nutrient levels (Fig. 3e-g). In ocean acidification condition, the negative effect of 579 low pH on growth rate of the same E. huxevi strain PML B92/11 was larger than the 580 581 positive effect of high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Bach et al., 2011). Our data further showed that low-pH inhibited growth to lesser extent under the high light than under low light 582 (Fig. 3e; Table 2). One possible explanation for this could be that photosynthesis 583 584 under the high light regime could generate more energy-conserving compounds (Fernández et al., 1996). This results in faster pCO<sub>2</sub> removal and counteracts the 585 negative effects of low pH. This interaction between low pH and high light was also 586 observed when E. huxleyi strains PML B92/11 and CCMP 2090 were grown under 587 incident sunlight (Jin et al., 2017). 588

Increases in temperature reduced PIC quotas under some conditions (high light 589 (HL), HL-LNHP and HL-LNLP) (Fig. 5f), suggesting that the ratio of N:P is 590 591 important in modulating calcification under warming. One striking result is the 592 consistent negative effect of high  $pCO_2$  on growth and PIC quota, regardless of other stressors. While  $pCO_2$  levels affected the absolute PIC values, the combination of 593 high  $pCO_2$  and warming did not affect the responses to light and nutrients once the 594 595 direct reduction in PIC quota due to increased  $pCO_2$  was taken into account (Fig. 5g). It has been documented that PIC quotas of E. huxleyi strain PML B92/11 reduced at 596 high  $pCO_2$  due to suppressed calcification (Riebesell and Tortell, 2011). This 597

598 knowledge has been based on experiments under nutrient-replete or constant conditions without consideration of multiple drivers. In this work, PIC quota of E. 599 huxleyi under OA were raised with increased light intensity and decreased availability 600 of nutrients (Figs. 2 and 5). These results are consistent with other studies (Perrin et 601 al., 2016; Jin et al., 2017), which reported that nutrient limitations enhanced 602 calcification, and high light intensity could make cells to remove H<sup>+</sup> faster and then 603 reduce the negative effect of low pH on calcification of E. huxleyi (Jin et al., 2017). 604 Our data also indicate that effects of ocean climate change on calcification of E. 605 606 huxleyi are more complex than previously thought (Meyer and Riebesell, 2015). It is worth noting that the observed higher POC and PIC quotas under future ocean climate 607 change scenario could be attributed to cell cycle arrest of a portion of the community 608 609 (Vaulot et al., 1987). Decreased availabilities of nitrate and phosphate can extend the G1 phase where photosynthetic carbon fixation and calcification occurred, and lead to 610 lower dark respiration which reduces carbon consumption (Vaulot et al., 1987; Müller 611 et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2018). 612

Synthesis of RNA is a large biochemical sink for phosphate in E. huxleyi and other 613 primary producers (Dyhrman, 2016). In this study, RNA content per cell was verified 614 by a SYBR Green method (Berdalet et al., 2005). Compared to HNHP conditions, 615 HNLP-grown cells had only 7.8% of total RNA (Fig. S11). This indicates that 616 617 decreased availability of phosphate strongly decreased RNA synthesis, which would consequently extend the interphase of the cell cycle where calcification occurs 618 (Müller et al., 2008). This could explain why PIC quotas were enhanced by decreased 619 phosphate availability (Fig. 5). Similarly, decreased availability of nitrate decreased 620 protein (or PON) synthesis (Fig. S10), which can also block cells in the interphase of 621 the cell cycle, and increase the time available for calcification in E. huxleyi (Vaulot et 622

al., 1987). Consistently with this, lower rates of assimilation or organic matter
production in *E. huxleyi* in LNHP than in HNHP treatments are consistent with more
energy being reallocated to use for calcification (Nimer and Merrett, 1993; Xu and
Gao, 2012).

Low phosphate concentrations can induce high affinity phosphate uptake in E. 627 huxleyi (Riegman et al., 2000; Dyhrman and Palenik, 2003; McKew et al., 2015). This 628 mechanism enables E. huxleyi to take up phosphate efficiently at low pCO<sub>2</sub> 629 concentrations, so that no significant difference in growth rate was observed between 630 631 HNLP and HNHP treatments (Fig. 3a,c). However, at high pCO<sub>2</sub>, low phosphate concentration (HNLP) lowered growth of E. huxleyi relative to HNHP (Fig. 3a-d; 632 Table 2). While the affinity of *E. huxleyi* for phosphate under different  $pCO_2$  levels 633 634 has not been studied, the extra energetic cost of coping with stress from high  $pCO_2$ could limit the energy available for the active uptake of phosphate. In addition, the 635 activity of alkaline phosphatase, which might work to reuse released organic P, 636 decreases at low pH (Rouco et al., 2013). Finally, the enlarged cell volume in HC and 637 HNLP (or LNLP) conditions may further reduce nutrient uptake by cells due to 638 reduced surface to volume ratios, and lower cell division rates (Fig. S5) (Finkel, 2001). 639 While substantial evolutionary responses to multiple drivers may help further, our 640 results imply that decreased phosphate availability along with progressive ocean 641 642 acidification and warming in surface ocean may reduce the competitive capability of E. huxlevi in oligotrophic waters. Meanwhile, HNLP also affected expressions of 643 genes related to nitrogen metabolism due to the tight stoichiometric coupling of 644 645 nitrogen and phosphate metabolism (Rokitta et al., 2016). Decreased availability of nitrate further limited nitrogen metabolism of E. huxleyi (Rokitta et al., 2014), which 646 lowered the overall biosynthetic activity and reduced cellular PON quotas (Fig. S10). 647

648 These explain the synergistic inhibitions of low-pH, low-phosphate and low-nitrate on
649 growth of *E. huxleyi* (Fig. 3).

POC quotas and the cell-volume normalized POC quotas were larger at high  $pCO_2$ 650 than at low  $pCO_2$  under all treatments (Figs. 4; S6; Table 2), which could be a 651 combined outcome of increased photosynthetic carbon fixation (Zondervan et al., 652 2002; Hoppe et al., 2011; Tong et al., 2019) and reduced cell division (present work), 653 leading to pronounced increase of POC quotas in the cells grown under low phosphate 654 (HNLP) and high  $pCO_2$  (Fig. 4). At HNLP and high  $pCO_2$  levels, photosynthetic 655 656 carbon fixation proceeds whereas cell division rate decreases (Figs. 3 and 4), so reallocation of newly produced particulate organic carbon (POC) could be slowed 657 down (Vaulot et al., 1987). In this case, over-synthesis of cellular organic carbon 658 659 might be released as dissolved organic carbon (DOC), which can coagulate to transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) and attach to cells (Biermann and Engel, 2010; 660 Engel et al., 2015). When cells were filtered on GF/F filters, any TEP would not have 661 be separated from the cells and would have contributed to the measured POC quota in 662 this study. 663

Large PIC quotas of coccolithophores may facilitate accumulation of calcium 664 carbonate in the deep ocean and increase the contribution of CaCO<sub>3</sub> produced by 665 coccolithophores to calcareous ooze in the pelagic ocean (Hay, 2004). Due to CaCO<sub>3</sub> 666 667 being more dense than organic carbon, larger PIC quotas may facilitate effective transport of POC to deep oceans, leading to vertical DIC or CO<sub>2</sub> gradients of seawater 668 (Milliman, 1993; Ziveri et al., 2007). While the effects of global ocean climate 669 670 changes on physiological processes of phytoplankton can be complex, our results promote our understanding on how a cosmopolitan coccolithophore responds to future 671 ocean environmental changes through plastic trait change. 672

673	
674	
675	
676	Data availability. The data are available upon request to the corresponding author
677	(Kunshan Gao).
678	
679	
680	
681	Author contributions. YZ, KG designed the experiment. YZ performed this
682	experiment. All authors analysed the data, wrote and improved the manuscript.
683	
684	
685	
686	Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
687	
688	
689	
690	Acknowledgements. This study was supported by National Natural Science
691	Foundation of China (41720104005, 41806129, 41721005), and Joint Project of
692	National Natural Science Foundation of China and Shandong province (No.
693	U1606404).
694	
695	
696	
697	

### 698 **References**

- Bach, L. T., Riebesell, U., and Schulz, K. G.: Distinguishing between the effects of
  ocean acidification and ocean carbonation in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 56, 2040–2050, doi: 10.4319/lo.2011.56.6.2040,
  2011.
- Barton, A. D., Irwin, A. J., Finkel, Z. V., and Stock, C. A.: Anthropogenic climate
  change drives shift and shuffle in North Atlantic phytoplankton communities,
  Proc. Nat. Aca. Sci. USA, 113, 2964–2969, doi:10.1073/pnas.1519080113, 2016.
- Berdalet, E., Roldán, C., Olivar, M. P., and Lysnes, K.: Quantifying RNA and DNA
  in planktonic organisms with SYBR Green II and nucleases. Part A.
  Optimisation of the assay, Sci. Mar., 69, 1–16, doi: 10.3989/scimar.2005.69n11,
  2005.
- Biermann, A., and Engel, A.: Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on the properties and sinking velocity of
  aggregates of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Biogeosciences, 7, 1017–
  1029, doi :10.5194/bg-7-1017-2010, 2010.
- Blanco-Ameijeiras, S., Lebrato, M., Stoll, H. M., Iglesias-Rodriguez, D., Müller, M.
  N., Méndez-Vicente, A., and Oschlies, A.: Phenotypic variability in the
  coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Plos ONE, 11, e0157697, doi:
  10.1371/journal.pone.0157697, 2016.
- Borchard, C., Borges, A. V., Händel, N., and Engel, A.: Biogeochemical response of *Emiliania huxleyi* (PML B92/11) to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature under
  phosphorus limitation: A chemostat study, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 410, 61–71,
  doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2011.10.004, 2011.
- Boyd, P. W., Collins, S., Dupont, S., Fabricius, K., Gattuso, J. P., Havenhand, J.,
  Hutchins, D. A., Riebesell, U., Rintoul, M. S., Vichi, M., Biswas, H., Ciotti, A.,
  - 29

723	Gao, K., Gehlen, M., Hurd, C. L., Kurihara, H., McGraw, C. M., Navarro, J. M.,
724	Nilsson, G. E., Passow, U., and Pörtner, H. O.: Experimental strategies to assess
725	the biological ramifications of multiple drivers of global ocean change-A
726	review, Global Change Biol., 24, 2239–2261, doi: 10.1111/gcb.14102, 2018.

- 727 Boyd, P. W., Dillingham, P. W., McGraw, C. M., Armstrong, E. A., Cornwall, C. E.,
- Feng, Y., Hurd, C. L., Gault-Ringold, M., Roleda, M. Y., Timmins-Schiffman,
- E., and Nunn, B. L.: Physiological responses of a Southern Ocean diatom to
  complex future ocean conditions, Nat. Clim. Change, 6, 207–213, doi:
  10.1038/NCLIMATE2811, 2016.
- Boyd, P. W., Lennartz, S. T., Glover, D. M., and Doney, S. C.: Biological
  ramifications of climate-change-mediated oceanic multi-stressors, Nat. Clim.
  Change, 5, 71–79, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2441, 2015.
- Boyd, P. W., Strzepek, R., Fu, F., and Hutchins, D. A.: Environmental control of
  open-ocean phytoplankton groups: Now and in the future, Limnol. Oceanogr., 55,
  1353–1376, doi: 10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1353, 2010.
- Brennan, G., and Collins, S.: Growth responses of a green alga to multiple
  environmental drivers, Nat. Clim. Change, 5, 892–897, doi:
  10.1038/nclimate2682, 2015.
- Brennan, G., Colegrave, N., and Collins, S.: Evolutionary consequences of
  multidriver environmental change in an aquatic primary producer, Proc. Nat. Aca.

743 Sci. USA, 114, 9930–9935, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1703375114, 2017.

- 744 Bretherton, L., Poulton, A. J., Lawson, T., Rukminasari, N., Balestreri, C., Schroeder,
- D., Mark Moore, C., and Suggett, D. J.: Day length as a key factor moderating
- the response of coccolithophore growth to elevated  $pCO_2$ , Limnol. Oceanogr., 64,
- 747 1284–1296, doi: 10.1002/lno.11115, 2019.

748	De Bodt, C., Van Oostende, N., Harlay, J., Sabbe, K., and Chou, L.: Individual and
749	interacting effects of $pCO_2$ and temperature on <i>Emiliania huxleyi</i> calcification:
750	study of the calcite production, the coccolith morphology and the coccosphere
751	size, Biogeosciences, 7, 1401–1412, doi: 10.5194/bg-7-1401-2010, 2010.
752	Dickson, A. G., Afghan, J. D., and Anderson, G. C.: Reference materials for oceanic
753	CO <sub>2</sub> analysis: a method for the certification of total alkalinity, Mar. Chem., 80,
754	185–197, doi: 10.1016/S0304-4203(02)00133-0, 2003.
755	Dyhrman, S. T., and Palenik, B.: Characterization of ectoenzyme activity and
756	phosphate-regulated proteins in the coccolithophorid Emiliania huxleyi, J.
757	Plankton Res., 25, 1215–1225, doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbg086, 2003.
758	Dyhrman, S. T.: Nutrients and their acquisition: phosphorus physiology in microalgae,
759	in: The physiology of microalgae, edited by: Borowitzka, M. A., Beardall, J. and
760	Raven, J. A., Springer, Heidelberg, 155-183, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24945-2,
761	2016.
762	Engel, A., Borchard, C., Loginova, A. N., Meyer, J., Hauss, H., and Kiko, R.: Effects
763	of varied nitrate and phosphate supply on polysaccharidic and proteinaceous gel
764	particles production during tropical phytoplankton bloom experiments,
765	Biogeosciences, 12, 5647–5665, doi: 10.5194/bg-12-5647-2015, 2015.
766	Feng, Y., Roleda, M. Y., Armstrong, E., Boyd, P. W., and Hurd., C. L.:
767	Environmental controls on the growth, photosynthetic and calcification rates of a
768	southern hemisphere strain of the coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi, Limnol.
769	Oceanogr., 62, 519–540, doi: 10.1002/lno.10442, 2017.
770	Feng, Y., Warner, M. E., Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Fu, F., Rose, J. M., and Hutchins, D. A.:

771 Interactive effects of increased pCO<sub>2</sub>, temperature and irradiance on the marine

- coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* (Prymnesiophyceae), Eur. J. Phycol., 43, 87–
  98, doi: 10.1080/09670260701664674, 2008.
- Fernández, E., Fritz, J. J., and Balch, W. M.: Chemical composition of the
  coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* under light-limited steady state growth. J.
  Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 207, 149–160. doi: 10.1016/S0022-0981(96)02657-3,
  1996.
- Finkel, Z. V.: Light absorption and size scaling of light-limited metabolism in marine
  diatoms, Limnol. Oceanogr., 46, 86–94, doi: 10.4319/lo.2001.46.1.0086, 2001.
- Gafar, N. A., and Schulz, K. G.: A three-dimensional niche comparison of *Emiliania huxelyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*: reconciling observations with projections,
   Biogeosciences, 15, 3541–3560, doi: 10.5194/bg-15-3541-2018, 2018.
- Gao, G., Xia, J., Yu, J., and Zeng, X.: Physiological response of a red tide alga
  (*Skeletonema costatum*) to nitrate enrichment, with special reference to inorganic
  carbon acquisition, Mar. Environ. Res., 133, 15–23, doi:
  10.1016/j.marenvres.2017.11.003, 2018.
- Gao, K., Beardall, J., Häder, D. P., Hall-Spencer, J. M., Gao, G., and Hutchins, D. A.:
  Effects of ocean acidification on marine photosynthetic organisms under the
  concurrent influences of warming, UV radiation, and deoxygenation, Front. Mar.
  Sci., 6, 322, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00322, 2019.
- Gao, K., Ruan, Z., Villafañe, V. E., Gattuso, J., and Walter-Helbling, E.: Ocean
  acidification exacerbates the effect of UV radiation on the calcifying
  phytoplankton *Emiliania huxleyi*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 54, 1855–1862, doi:
  10.4319/lo.2009.54.6.1855, 2009.
- Guan, W., and Gao, K.: Light histories influence the impacts of solar ultraviolet
   radiation on photosynthesis and growth in a marine diatom, *Skeletonema*

- 797 *costatum*, J. Photoch. Photobio. B., 91, 151–156, doi:
  798 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2008.03.004, 2008.
- Hansen, H. P., and Koroleff, F.: Determination of nutrients, in: Methods of seawater
  analysis, edited by: Grasshoff, K., Kremling, K. and Ehrhardt, M., WILEY-VCH
  Publishers, ISBN: 3-527-29589-5, 1999.
- Hay, W. W.: Carbonate fluxes and calcareous nannoplankton, in: Coccolithophores:
  from molecular biology to global impact, edited by: Thierstein, H. R. and Young,

804 J. R., Springer, Berlin, 509–528, 2004.

- Hoppe, C. J. M., Langer, G., and Rost, B.: *Emiliania huxleyi* shows identical
  responses to elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> in TA and DIC manipulations, J. Exp. Mar. Biol.
  Ecol., 406, 54–62, doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2011.06.008, 2011.
- Jin, P., Ding, J. C., Xing, T., Riebesell, U., and Gao, K. S.: High levels of solar
  radiation offset impacts of ocean acidification on calcifying and non-calcifying
  strains of *Emiliania huxleyi*, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 568, 47–58, doi:
  10.3354/meps12042, 2017.
- Kottmeier, D. M., Rokitta, S. D., and Rost, B.: Acidification, not carbonation, is the
  major regulator of carbon fluxes in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, New
  Phytol., 211, 126–137, doi: 10.1111/nph.13885, 2016.
- 815 Krumhardt, K. M., Lovenduski, N. S., Iglesias-Rodriguez, M. D., and Kleypas, J. A.:
- 816 Coccolithophore growth and calcification in a changing ocean, Prog. Oceanogr.,

817 171, 276–295, doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2017.10.007, 2017.

- Krumhardt, K. M., Lovenduski, N. S., Freeman, N. M., and Bates, N. R.: Apparent
  increase in coccolithophore abundance in the subtropical North Atlantic from
  1990 to 2014, Biogeosciences, 13, 1163–1177, doi: 10.5194/bg-13-1163-2016,
- 821 2016.

- Kwiatkowski, L., Aumont, O., and Bopp, L.: Consistent trophic amplification of
  marine biomass declines under climate change, Glob. Change Biol., 25, 218–229,
  doi: 10.1111/gcb.14468, 2019.
- Lakeman, M. B., von Dassow, P., and Cattolico, R. A.: The strain concept in phytoplankton ecology, Harmful Algae, 8, 746–758, doi: 10.1016/j.hal.2008.11.011, 2009.
- Langer, G., Nehrke, G., Probert, I., Ly, J., and Ziveri, P.: Strain-specific responses of *Emiliania huxleyi* to changing seawater carbonate chemistry, Biogeosciences, 6,
  2637–2646, doi: 10.5194/bg-6-2637-2009.
- Larsen, A., Flaten, G. A. F., Sandaa, R., Castberg, T., Thyrhaug, R., Erga, S. R.,
  Jacquet, S., and Bratbak, G.: Spring phytoplankton bloom dynamics in
  Norwegian coastal waters: Microbial community succession and diversity,
  Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 180–190, doi: 10.4319/lo.2004.49.1.0180, 2004.
- Leonardos, N., and Geider, R. J.: Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide increases
  organic carbon fixation by *Emilinia huxleyi* (haptophyta), under nutrient-limited
  high-light conditions, J. Phycol., 41, 1196–1203, doi: 10.1111/j.15298817.2005.00152.x, 2005.
- Li, W., Yang, Y., Li, Z., Xu, J., and Gao, K.: Effects of seawater acidification on the
  growth rates of the diatom *Thalassiosira (Conticribra) weissflogii* under
  different nutrient, light and UV radiation regimes, J. Appl. Phycol., 29, 133–142,
  doi: 10.1007/s10811-016-0944-y, 2017.
- Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., and Garcia, H. E.:
  World ocean atlas 2005, V. 1: Temperature, edited by: Levitus, S., NOAA Atlas
  NESDIS 61. U. S. Government Printing Office, 123–134, 2006.

846	Matthiessen, B., Eggers, S. L., and Krug, S. A.: High nitrate to phosphorus regime
847	attenuates negative effects of rising $pCO_2$ on total population carbon
848	accumulation, Biogeosciences, 9, 1195- 1203, doi: 10.5194/bg-9-1195-2012,
849	2012.

- McKew, B. A., Metodieva, G., Raines, C. A., Metodiev, M. V., and Geider, R. J.:
  Acclimation of *Emiliania huxleyi* (1516) to nutrient limitation involves precise
  modification of the proteome to scavenge alternative sources of N and P, Environ.
  Microbiol., 17, 1–13, doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12957, 2015.
- Meyer, J., and Riebesell, U.: Reviews and syntheses: response of coccolithophores to
  ocean acidification: a meta-analysis, Biogeosciences, 12, 1671–1682, doi:
  10.5194/bg-12-1671-2015, 2015.
- Milliman, J. D.: Production and accumulation of calcium carbonate in the ocean:
  budget of a nonsteady state, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 7, 927–957, doi:
  10.1029/93GB02524, 1993.
- 860 Monteiro, F. M., Bach, L. T., Brownlee, C., Bown, P., Rickaby, R. E. M., Poulton, A.
- J., Tyrrell, T., Beaufort, L., Dutkiewicz, S., Gibbs, S., Gutowska, M. A., Lee, R.,
- Riebesell, U., Young, J., and Ridgwell, A.: Why marine phytoplankton calcify,
  Sci. Adv., 2, 1–14, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1501822, 2016.
- Müller, M. N., Antia, A. N., and LaRoche, J.: Influence of cell cycle phase on
  calcification in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53,
  506–512, doi: 10.4319/lo.2008.53.2.0506, 2008.
- Müller, M. N., Beaufort, L., Bernard, O., Pedrotti, M. L., Talec, A., and Sciandra, A.:
  Influence of CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen limitation on the coccolith volume of *Emiliania*
- 869 *huxleyi* (Haptophyta), Biogeosciences, 9, 4155–4167, doi: 10.5194/bg-9-4155-
- 870 2012, 2012.

871	Müller, M. N., Trull, T. W., and Hallegraeff, G. M.: Independence of nutrient
872	limitation and carbon dioxide impacts on the Southern Ocean coccolithophore
873	Emiliania huxleyi, The ISME J., 11, 1777-1787, doi: 10.1038/ismej.2017.53,
874	2017.

- Nimer, N. A., and Merrett, M. J.: Calcification rate in *Emiliania huxleyi* Lohmann in
  response to light, nitrate and availability of inorganic carbon, New Phytol., 123,
  673–677, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1993.tb03776.x, 1993.
- Omar, A. M., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., Hoppema, M., Thomas, H., and Borges, A.
- 879 V.: Spatiotemporal variations of *f*CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Sea, Ocean Sci., 6, 77–89, doi:
  880 10.5194/osd-6-1655-2009, 2010.
- Perrin, L., Probert, I., Langer, G., and Aloisi, G.: Growth of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* in light- and nutrient-limited batch reactors: relevance for the
  BIOSOPE deep ecological niche of coccolithophores, Biogeosciences, 13, 5983–
  6001, doi: 10.5194/bg-13-5983-2016, 2016.
- Pierrot, D., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MS Excel program developed for CO<sub>2</sub>
- system calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis
- 887 Centre, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S., Department of Energy, doi:
  888 10.3334/CDIAC/otg.CO2SYS XLS CDIAC105a, 2006.
- R version 3.5.0.: The R foundation for statistical computing platform: x86\_64-w64mingw32/x64, 2018.
- Riebesell, U., and Gattuso, J. P.: Lessons learned from ocean acidification research,
  Nat. Clim. Change, 5, 12–14, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2456, 2015.
- 893 Riebesell, U., and Tortell, P. D.: Effects of ocean acidification on pelagic organisms
- and ecosystems, in: Ocean acidification, edited by: Gattuso, J. P. and Hansson, L.,
- 895 Oxford University Press, 99–121, 2011.

896	Riegman, R., Stolte, W., Noordeloos, A. A. M., and Slezak, D.: Nutrient uptake and
897	alkaline phosphatase (EC 3:1:3:1) activity of Emiliania huxleyi
898	(Prymnesiophyceae) during growth under N and P limitation in continuous
899	cultures, J. Phycol., 36, 87–96, doi: 10.1046/j.1529-8817.2000.99023.x, 2000.
900	Rivero-Calle, S., Gnanadesikan, A., Del Castillo, C. E., Balch, W. M., and Guikema,
901	S. D.: Multidecadal increase in North Atlantic coccolithophores and the potential
902	role of rising CO <sub>2</sub> , Science, 350, 1533–1537, doi: 10.1126/science.aaa8026, 2015.
903	Rokitta, S. D., von Dassow, P., Rost, B., and John, U.: Emiliania huxleyi endures N-
904	limitation with an efficient metabolic budgeting and effective ATP synthesis,
905	BMC Genomics, 15, 1051–1064, doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-1051, 2014.
906	Rokitta, S. D., von Dassow, P., Rost, B., and John, U.: P- and N-depletion trigger
907	similar cellular responses to promote senescence in eukaryotic phytoplankton,
908	Front. Mar. Sci., 3, 109, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2016.00109, 2016.
909	Rosas-Navarro, A., Langer, G., and Ziveri, P.: Temperature affects the morphology
910	and calcification of Emiliania huxleyi strains, Biogeosciences, 13, 2913-2926,
911	doi: 10.5194/bg-13-2913-2016, 2016.
912	Rost, B., and Riebesell, U.: Coccolithophores and the biological pump: responses to
913	environmental changes, in: Coccolithophores : from molecular biology to global
914	impact, edited by: Thierstein, H. R. and Young, J. R., Springer, Berlin, 99-125,
915	2004.
916	Rost, B., Zondervan, I., and Riebesell, U.: Light-dependent carbon isotope
917	fractionation in the coccolithophorid Emiliania huxleyi, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47,
918	120–128, doi: 10.2307/3069125, 2002

Rouco, M., Branson, O., Lebrato, M., and Iglesias-Rodríguez, M.: The effect of
nitrate and phosphate availability on *Emiliania huxleyi* (NZEH) physiology

- 921 under different CO<sub>2</sub> scenarios, Front. Microbiol., 4, 1–11, doi:
  922 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00155, 2013.
- Roy, R. N., Roy, L. N., Vogel, K. M., Porter-Moore, C., Pearson, T., Good, C. E.,
  Millero, F. J., and Campbell, D. C.: Thermodynamics of the dissociation of boric
  acid in seawater at S 5 35 from 0 degrees C to 55 degrees C, Mar. Chem., 44,
  243–248, doi: 10.1016/0304-4203(93)90206-4, 1993.
- Schaum, E., Rost, B., Millar, A. J., and Collins, S.: Variation in plastic responses of a
  globally distributed picoplankton species to ocean acidification, Nat. Clim.
  Change, 3, 298–302, doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE1774, 2013.
- Sett, S., Bach, L. T., Schulz, K. S., Koch-Klavsen, S., Lebrato, M., and Riebesell, U.:
  Temperature modulates coccolithophorid sensitivity of growth, photosynthesis
  and calcification to increasing seawater pCO<sub>2</sub>, PLoS One, 9(2), e88308, doi:
- 933 10.1371/journal.pone.0088308, 2014.
- Sunda, W. G., Price, N. M., and Morel, F. M. M.: Trace metal ion buffers and their
  use in culture studies, in: Algal culturing techniques, edited by: Andersen, R. A.,
  Elsevier Academic Press, 53–59, 2005.
- 937 Thomas, M. K., Aranguren-Gassis, M., Kremer, C. T., Gould, M. R., Anderson, K.,
- Klausmeier, C. A., Litchman, E.: Temperature-nutrient interactions exacerbate
  sensitivity to warming in phytoplankton, Global Change Biol., 23, 3269–3280,
  doi: 10.1111/gcb.13641, 2017.
- Tong, S., Hutchins, D., and Gao, K.: Physiological and biochemical responses of *Emiliania huxleyi* to ocean acidification and warming are modulated by UV
  radiation, Biogeosciences, 16, 561–572, doi: 10.5194/bg-16-561-2019, 2019.
- Tong, S., Hutchins, D., Fu, F., and Gao, K.: Effects of varying growth irradiance and
  nitrogen sources on calcification and physiological performance of the

- 946 coccolithophore *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* grown under nitrogen limitation,
  947 Limnol. Oceanogr., 61, 2234–2242, doi: 10.1002/lno.10371, 2016.
- Vaulot, D., Olson, R. J., Merkel, S., and Chisholm, S. E.: Cell-cycle response to
  nutrient starvation in two phytoplankton species, *Thalassiosira weissflogii* and *Hymenomonas carterae*, Mar. Biol., 95, 625–630, doi: 10.1007/BF00393106,
  1987.
- Xu, J., Gao, K., Li, Y., and Hutchins, D. A.: Physiological and biochemical responses
  of diatoms to projected ocean changes, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 515, 73–81, doi:
  10.3354/meps11026, 2014b.
- Xu, K., Fu, F., and Hutchins, D. A.: Comparative responses of two dominant
  Antarctic phytoplankton taxa to interactions between ocean acidification,
  warming, irradiance, and iron availability, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59, 1919–1931,
  doi: 10.4319/lo.2014.59.6.1919, 2014a.
- Xu, K., and Gao, K.: Reduced calcification decreases photoprotective capability in the
  coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi*, Plant Cell Physiol., 53, 1267–1274, doi:
  10.1093/pcp/pcs066, 2012.
- Xu, K., Gao, K., Villafañe, V. E., and Helbling, E. W.: Photosynthetic responses of *Emiliania huxleyi* to UV radiation and elevated temperature: roles of calcified
  coccoliths, Biogeosciences, 8, 1441–1452, doi: 10.5194/bg-8-1441-2011, 2011.
- 265 Zhang, Y., Bach, L. T., Lohbeck, K. T., Schulz K. G., Listmann, L., Klapper R., and
- Riebesell, U.: Population-specific responses in physiological rates of *Emilinia*
- *huxleyi* to a broad CO<sub>2</sub> range, Biogeosciences, 15, 3691–3701, doi: 10.5194/bg-
- 968 15-3691-2018, 2018.

969	Zhang, Y., Fu, F., Hutchins, D. A., and Gao. K.: Combined effects of CO <sub>2</sub> level, light
970	intensity and nutrient availability on the coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi,
971	Hydrobiologia, 842, 127–141, doi: 10.1007/s10750-019-04031-0, 2019.

- Zhang, Y., Klapper, R., Lohbeck, K. T., Bach, L. T., Schulz, K. G., Reusch, T. B. H.,
  and Riebesell, U.: Between- and within-population variations in thermal reaction
  norms of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59, 1570–
  1580, doi: 10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1570, 2014.
- Ziveri, P., deBernardi, B., Baumann, K., Stoll, H. M., and Mortyn, P. G.: Sinking of
  coccolith carbonate and potential contribution to organic carbon ballasting in the
  deep ocean, Deep Sea Res., 54, 659–675, doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.01.006, 2007.
- Zondervan, I., Rost, B., and Riebesell, U.: Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on the
  PIC/POC ratio in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* grown under light-
- 981 limiting conditions and different daylengths, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 272, 55–70,
- 982 doi: 10.1016/s0022-0981(02)00037-0, 2002.
- 983
- 984

986

987

- 988
- 989 990
- 991
- 992
- ---
- 993

# 994 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Four "baseline" environments were used where  $pCO_2$  and temperature 995 (temp) were combined in all pairwise combinations: low  $pCO_2$  + low temp (LCLT, 996  $\triangle$ ), high pCO<sub>2</sub> + low temp (HCLT, \*), low pCO<sub>2</sub> + high temp (LCHT,  $\Box$ ) and high 997  $pCO_2$  + high temp (HCHT,  $\bigcirc$ ). Additional stressors were then added to each of the 998 four "baseline" environments. In step 1, low light (LL) was supplied. In step 2, high 999 1000 light (HL) was supplied. HL was then maintained for the rest of the experiment. In 1001 step 3, low nitrogen was supplied and high phosphate levels were restored (LNHP). In step 4, low phosphate was supplied and high nitrogen levels were restored (HNLP). In 1002 1003 step 5, both nitrogen and phosphate were low (LNLP). Experimental steps were done in a consecutive manner. At each step, we measured cell concentration (a-e), medium 1004 pH<sub>T</sub> value ( $\mathbf{f}$ - $\mathbf{j}$ ), medium pCO<sub>2</sub> level ( $\mathbf{k}$ - $\mathbf{0}$ ), dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) ( $\mathbf{p}$ - $\mathbf{t}$ ) 1005 1006 and phosphate (DIP)  $(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{y})$  concentrations in the media in the beginning and at the end of the incubations. Respectively, LC and HC represent  $pCO_2$  levels of about 370 and 1007 960  $\mu atm;$  LT and HT 16 and 20 °C; LL and HL 60 and 240  $\mu mol$  photons  $m^{-2}~s^{-1}$  of 1008 photosynthetically active radiation (PAR); HN and LN 24.3 and 7.8  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at 1009 the beginning of the incubation; HP and LP 1.5 and 0.5  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> at the 1010 1011 beginning of the incubations. The samples were taken in the last day of the cultures in each treatment. The values were indicated as the means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations 1012 1013 for each treatment.

Figure 2. Growth rate (a), particulate organic (POC, b) and inorganic (PIC, c) carbon quotas, PIC / POC value (d) and cell volume (e) of *Emiliania huxleyi* grown under the present (defined as low levels of  $pCO_2$ , temperature and light along with high levels of nutrients) and the future (defined as higher levels of  $pCO_2$ , temperature, and light

along with low levels of nutrients due to ocean acidification, warming and shoaling of upper mixing layer) scenarios. Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Different letters (a, b) in each panel represent significant differences between future and present ocean conditions (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05).

1024

Figure 3. Growth rates of *E. huxleyi* grown in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and 1025 HCHT (d) conditions, and the ratio of growth rate at HC to LC (e), HT to LT (f), 1026 1027 HCHT to LCLT (g), LNHP to HNHP (h), HNLP to HNHP (i) and LNLP to HNHP (j). Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14-16 1028 1029 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Horizontal lines in panels (e)-1030 (j) showed the value of 1. Different letters (a, b, c, d) in panels (a)-(d) represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < p1031 0.05). The results shown in the black column were used for the ambient-future 1032 1033 comparison in figure 2. Detailed experimental conditions were shown in Figure 1.

1034

1035 Figure 4. POC quota of *E. huxleyi* grown in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and HCHT (d) conditions, and the ratio of POC quota at HC to LC (e), HT to LT (f), 1036 HCHT to LCLT (g), LNHP to HNHP (h), HNLP to HNHP (i) and LNLP to HNHP (j). 1037 1038 Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14-16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Horizontal lines in panels (e)-1039 (j) showed the value of 1. Different letters (a, b) in panels (a)–(d) represent significant 1040 1041 differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05). The results shown in the black column were used for the ambient-future comparison in 1042 1043 figure 2. Detailed experimental conditions were shown in Figure 1.

Figure 5. PIC quota of *E. huxlevi* grown in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and 1045 HCHT (d) conditions, and the ratio of PIC quota at HC to LC (e), HT to LT (f), 1046 1047 HCHT to LCLT (g), LNHP to HNHP (h), HNLP to HNHP (i) and LNLP to HNHP (j). Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14-16 1048 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Horizontal lines in panels (e)-1049 (j) showed the value of 1. Different letters (a, b, c) in panels (a)-(d) represent 1050 significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < p1051 1052 0.05). The results shown in the black column were used for the ambient-future comparison in figure 2. Detailed experimental conditions were shown in Figure 1. 1053

1054

1055 Figure 6. PIC / POC value of *E. huxleyi* grown in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and HCHT (d) conditions, and the ratio of (PIC / POC value) at HC to LC (e), HT to 1056 1057 LT (f), HCHT to LCLT (g), LNHP to HNHP (h), HNLP to HNHP (i) and LNLP to 1058 HNHP (i). Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Horizontal lines in 1059 panels (e)-(i) showed the value of 1. Different letters (a, b, c) in panels (a)-(d)1060 represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post 1061 hoc, p < 0.05). The results shown in the black column were used for the ambient-1062 1063 future comparison in figure 2. Detailed experimental conditions were shown in Figure 1. 1064

**Figure S1.** Flow chart of the experimental processes. Experimental steps were done in

- a consecutive manner. Detailed experimental conditions were shown in Figure 1.
- 1068

Figure S2. Representative curves for the time course for cell concentrations of *E*. *huxleyi* under low  $pCO_2$  (LC), high (HT) or low (LT) temperatures, and high light (HL) conditions with varying levels of nutrients: HNHP (**a**), LNHP (**b**), HNLP (**c**) and LNLP (**d**), respectively. Arrow indicates the day when samples were taken in each treatment. Data were means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Detailed experimental conditions were shown in Figure 1.

1075

Figure S3. Comparison of growth rate (a), POC quota (b) and PIC quota (c) between the expected (calculated) values and the measured values under the LNLP treatments. Different letters (a, b) in each "baseline" environment (LCLT, HCLT, LCHT or HCHT) represent significant differences (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05). Detailed experimental conditions were shown in Figure 1.

1081

Figure S4. Heatmap of the changes in growth rate, POC quota, PIC quota and PIC:POC in each treatment. Values in the present scenario (LC LT LL HNHP) were considered as the control. A minus sign indicates the reduction in these parameters.

1085

Figure S5. Cell volume of *E. huxleyi* grown in LCLT (**a**), HCLT (**b**), LCHT (**c**) and HCHT (**d**) conditions, and its correlation with POC quota (**e**) and PIC quota (**f**). Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations in panels (**a**)–(**d**). Each point in panels (**e**) and (**f**) indicates an individual replicate from all experiment. Different letters (**a**, **b**, **c**) in panels (**a**)–(**d**) represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05).

**Figure S6.** Normalized POC quota of *E. huxleyi* to cell volume in LCLT (**a**), HCLT (**b**), LCHT (**c**) and HCHT (**d**) conditions. Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Different letters (a, b) in each panel represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05).

Figure S7. Normalized PIC quota of *E. huxleyi* to cell volume in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and HCHT (d) conditions. Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Different letters (a, b, c) in each panel represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05).

1105

Figure S8. POC production rate of *E. huxleyi* in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and 1106 HCHT (d) conditions, and the ratio of POC production rate at HC to LC (e), HT to LT 1107 1108 (f), HCHT to LCLT (g), LNHP to HNHP (h), HNLP to HNHP (i) and LNLP to HNHP (i). Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions 1109 for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Horizontal lines in 1110 panels (e)-(j) showed the value of 1. Different letters (a, b, c) in panels (a)-(d)1111 represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post 1112 1113 hoc, p < 0.05).

Figure S9. PIC production rate of *E. huxleyi* in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and HCHT (d) conditions, and the ratio of PIC production rate at HC to LC (e), HT to LT

- 1117 (f), HCHT to LCLT (g), LNHP to HNHP (h), HNLP to HNHP (i) and LNLP to
- 1118 HNHP (j). Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions

for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Horizontal lines in panels (e)–(j) showed the value of 1. Different letters (a, b, c) in panels (a)–(d) represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05).

1123

Figure S10. PON quota of *E. huxleyi* in LCLT (a), HCLT (b), LCHT (c) and HCHT (d) conditions, and the ratio of PON quota at HC to LC (e), HT to LT (f), HCHT to LCLT (g), LNHP to HNHP (h), HNLP to HNHP (i) and LNLP to HNHP (j). Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Horizontal lines in panels (e)– (j) showed the value of 1. Different letters (a, b) in panels (a)–(d) represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05).

1131

Figure S11. Normalized RNA quota of *E. huxleyi* to POC quota in HNHP and HNLP conditions. Data were obtained after cells were acclimated to experimental conditions for 14–16 generations and means  $\pm$  sd of 4 replicate populations. Different letters (a, b) represent significant differences between different nutrient treatments (Tukey Post hoc, p < 0.05).

- 1137
- 1138

1139	Table 1. Carbonate chemistry parameters at the end of the incubation. The values are
1140	means $\pm$ sd of 4 replicate populations. LL and HL represent 60 and 240 $\mu mol$ photons
1141	$m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$ of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), respectively; HN and LN
1142	represent 24.3 and 7.8 $\mu mol \ L^{-1}$ DIN in the beginning of the incubation; HP and LP
1143	represent 1.5 and 0.5 $\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> DIP in the beginning of the incubation, respectively.

			pCO <sub>2</sub> (µatm)	pH (total scale)	TA (μmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	DIC (µmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	$HCO_3^-$ (µmol $L^{-1}$ )	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_3^{2-} \\ (\mu\text{mol} \\ L^{-1}) \end{array}$	CO <sub>2</sub> (µmol L <sup>-1</sup> )
16	LL-	LC	371±17	$8.07{\pm}0.02$	2266±19	2017±9	1823±6	180±8	13.4±0.6
	HNHP	HC	918±21	$7.73{\pm}0.02$	2248±45	2149±39	2027±35	90±5	33.3±0.7
	HL-	LC	387±22	$8.06 \pm 0.02$	2297±12	2050±17	1857±20	179±6	$14.0\pm0.8$
	HNHP	HC	972±11	$7.71 \pm 0.01$	2283±34	2189±31	2066±29	88±3	35.2±0.4
	HL-	LC	393±20	$8.05 \pm 0.02$	2273±9	2033±3	1845±9	174±7	14.3±0.7
	LNHP	HC	1012±13	$7.69{\pm}0.01$	2263±28	2177±25	2057±24	84±2	36.7±0.5
	HL-	LC	395±19	$8.06 \pm 0.02$	2318±5	2073±12	1879±16	179±6	14.3±0.7
	HNLP	HC	958±63	$7.70 \pm 0.01$	2205±69	2117±71	1999±69	84±1	34.7±2.3
	HL-	LC	375±24	$8.06 \pm 0.01$	2181±78	1947±77	1767±73	167±3	13.6±0.9
	LNLP	HC	1014±46	$7.68 \pm 0.01$	2198±73	2118±73	$2002 \pm 69$	79±2	36.7±1.7
20	LL-	LC	349±16	$8.09 \pm 0.02$	2257±14	1963±4	1741±6	210±8	11.3±0.5
	HNHP	HC	899±40	$7.74{\pm}0.02$	2257±53	2130±45	$1994 \pm 40$	107±7	29.0±1.3
	HL- HNHP	LC	363±11	$8.08 \pm 0.01$	2281±16	1990±18	1770±19	208±2	11.7±0.3
		HC	947±24	$7.72 \pm 0.01$	2248±21	2130±19	$1998 \pm 18$	102±3	$30.6 \pm 0.8$
	HL-	LC	362±18	$8.08 \pm 0.02$	2262±12	1973±13	1756±16	206±7	11.7±0.6
	LNHP	HC	970±10	7.71±0.01	2271±31	2155±28	2021±25	102±3	31.4±0.3
	HL-	LC	370±14	$8.08 {\pm} 0.01$	2314±3	2023±10	1800±14	211±4	12.0±0.4
	HNLP	HC	946±47	7.71±0.01	2200±72	2088±72	1960±68	98±2	30.6±1.5
	HL-	LC	350±18	$8.08 {\pm} 0.01$	2193±71	1912±68	1701±63	200±5	11.3±0.6
	LNLP	HC	977+59	7 70+0 01	2192+78	2086+79	1959+76	95+2	31 6+1 9

**Table 2.** Final nitrate and phosphate concentrations (N : P,  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>), growth rate (d<sup>-1</sup>), POC and PIC quotas (pg C cell<sup>-1</sup>), and PIC / POC value. Values in the brackets represent final DIN and DIP concentrations, and standard deviation of 4 replicate populations for growth rate, POC and PIC quotas, and PIC / POC value. Detailed information was shown in Table 1.

pCO <sub>2</sub>	Т	Light	Final N:P	Growth rate	POC quota	PIC quota	PIC/POC
LC	LT	LL	HNHP (17.1 : 0.7)	0.96 (0.012)	1.80 (0.14)	0.38 (0.09)	0.21 (0.07)
		HL	HNHP (17.3 : 0.5)	1.09 (0.006)	2.50 (0.28)	0.62 (0.05)	0.25 (0.05)
		HL	LNHP (2.5 : 0.6)	1.00 (0.013)	2.07 (0.25)	0.90 (0.02)	0.44 (0.05)
		HL	HNLP (15.4 : 0.1)	1.08 (0.006)	2.42 (0.08)	0.83 (0.04)	0.34 (0.01)
		HL	LNLP (2.4 : 0.1)	0.99 (0.003)	2.62 (0.25)	1.62 (0.14)	0.63 (0.11)
HC	LT	LL	HNHP (18.6 : 0.9)	0.79 (0.012)	2.52 (0.33)	0.26 (0.06)	0.10 (0.04)
		HL	HNHP (18.2 : 0.5)	1.04 (0.012)	2.85 (0.36)	0.41 (0.06)	0.15 (0.04)
		HL	LNHP (2.0 : 0.6)	0.92 (0.026)	2.75 (0.23)	0.68 (0.03)	0.25 (0.03)
		HL	HNLP (15.5 : 0.1)	0.85 (0.002)	5.06 (0.34)	0.64 (0.05)	0.13 (0.01)
		HL	LNLP (2.7:0.1)	0.67 (0.005)	4.91 (0.28)	0.90 (0.01)	0.18 (0.01)
LC	HT	LL	HNHP (16.6 : 0.3)	1.03 (0.006)	1.58 (0.11)	0.43 (0.02)	0.27 (0.01)
		HL	HNHP (17.3 : 0.3)	1.46 (0.004)	2.15 (0.28)	0.52 (0.07)	0.25 (0.06)
		HL	LNHP (2.1 : 0.5)	1.42 (0.004)	1.68 (0.05)	0.79 (0.04)	0.47 (0.03)
		HL	HNLP (17.0:0.1)	1.44 (0.004)	2.09 (0.03)	1.00 (0.05)	0.48 (0.03)
		HL	LNLP (2.1:0.1)	1.39 (0.038)	2.02 (0.05)	1.17 (0.13)	0.58 (0.07)
HC	HT	LL	HNHP (16.7 : 0.4)	0.99 (0.008)	1.54 (0.12)	0.34 (0.05)	0.22 (0.04)
		HL	HNHP (17.9 : 0.5)	1.43 (0.001)	2.57 (0.06)	0.42 (0.02)	0.16 (0.01)
		HL	LNHP (2.4 : 0.6)	1.38 (0.009)	1.97 (0.03)	0.52 (0.03)	0.27 (0.01)
		HL	HNLP (17.1 : 0.1)	1.27 (0.018)	3.68 (0.50)	0.74 (0.06)	0.20 (0.02)
		HL	LNLP (2.2:0.1)	0.87 (0.022)	3.81 (0.39)	0.89 (0.10)	0.20 (0.04)

1166 **Table 3.** Results of three-way ANOVAs of the effects of temperature (T),  $pCO_2$  (C)

and light intensity (L) and their interaction on growth rate, POC and PIC quotas, and

			Т	С	L	T×C	T×L	C×L	T×C×L
	Growth rate	F	20037.5	477.4	23625.8	120.0	1550.9	34.0	86.4
		p	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
	POC quota	F	27.1	54.4	62.0	7.4	1.9	< 0.1	6.1
		p	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.18	0.83	0.02
	PIC quota	F	0.4	38.6	47.6	2.3	6.6	1.6	l.l
	DIC / DOC value	р Б	0.56	<0.01	<0.01 2.0	0.14	0.02	0.22	0.31
	FIC / FOC value	г n	9.9 <0.01	<0.01	2.0	0.8	<0.01	0.0	0.3
1160		<i>P</i>	-0.01	~0.01	0.17	0.50	-0.01	0.40	0.00
1107									
1170									
1171									
1172									
1173									
1175									
1174									
1175									
1176									
1177									
11//									
1178									
1179									
1180									
1181									
1101									
1182									
1183									
1184									
1185									
1105									
1186									

1168 PIC / POC value. Significant values were marked in bold.

**Table 4.** Results of four-way ANOVAs of the effects of temperature (T),  $pCO_2$  (C),

- 1188 dissolved inorganic nitrate (N) and phosphate (P) concentrations and their interaction
- 1189 on growth rate, POC and PIC quotas, and PIC / POC value. Significant values were
- 1190 marked in bold.

	Growth rate		POC quota		PIC quo	ta	PIC / POC value		
	F	р	F	р	F	р	F	р	
Т	500026.0	<0.01	297.4	<0.01	30.2	<0.01	82.8	<0.01	
С	5798.0	<0.01	162.8	<0.01	376.2	<0.01	787.3	<0.01	
Ν	4542.0	<0.01	157.0	<0.01	84.4	<0.01	127.6	<0.01	
Р	5347.0	<0.01	206.5	<0.01	474.6	<0.01	0.1	0.74	
T×C	6899.0	<0.01	52.2	<0.01	0.2	0.68	7.2	<0.01	
T×N	510.0	<0.01	5.6	0.02	60.0	<0.01	7.9	<0.01	
$T \times P$	39.0	<0.01	5.2	0.03	9.4	<0.01	16.2	<0.01	
C×N	1265.0	<0.01	107.2	<0.01	9.5	<0.01	3.1	0.09	
C×P	1718.0	<0.01	174.1	<0.01	14.7	<0.01	88.0	<0.01	
N×P	179.0	<0.01	19.7	<0.01	10.7	<0.01	14.3	<0.01	
$T \times C \times N$	35.0	<0.01	< 0.1	0.81	0.2	0.67	1.9	0.17	
$T \times C \times P$	27.0	<0.01	5.5	0.02	0.1	0.71	1.0	0.31	
$T \times N \times P$	96.0	<0.01	< 0.1	0.80	15.7	<0.01	3.3	0.08	
C×N×P	241.0	<0.01	0.4	0.56	8.2	<0.01	1.2	0.28	
$T \times C \times N \times P$	105.0	<0.01	3.9	0.05	22.4	<0.01	4.5	0.04	

1205	Table 5. List of the physiological responses of E. huxleyi to the concurrent changes in
1206	multiple drivers investigated by the laboratory incubations in the published studies. $\uparrow\uparrow$
1207	represents increase, '' represents decrease, and 'n' represents no significant change
1208	to simultaneous changes in multiple drivers. C, T, L, N, P and $\mu$ represent CO <sub>2</sub> ( $\mu$ atm),
1209	temperature (°C), light intensity (µmol photons m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ), dissolved inorganic nitrogen
1210	and phosphate (µmol $L^{-1}$ ), and growth rate, respectively. Simultaneous changes in
1211	multiple drivers were marked in bold. [1] represents De Bodt et al., (2010), [2]
1212	Borchard et al., (2011), [3] Sett et al., (2014), [4] Gafar and Schulz, (2018), [5] Tong
1213	et al., (2019), [6] Jin et al., (2017), [7] Bretherton et al., (2019), [8] Rost et al., (2002),
1214	[9] Feng et al., (2008), [10] Müller et al., (2012), [11] Perrin et al., (2016), [12]
1215	Leonardos and Geider, (2005), [13] Matthiessen et al., (2012), [14] Zhang et al.,
1216	(2019), [15] this study.

Strain	С	Т	L	N	Р	μ	POC	PIC	PIC: POC	Cite
AC481	380 to 750	13 to 18	150	32	1	n	↑	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	[1]
PML B92/11	300 to 900	14 to 18	300	29	1	Ť	n	↓	Ļ	[2]
PML B92/11	400 to 1000	10 to 20	150	64	4	Ť	↑	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	[3]
PML B92/11	400 to	10 to 20	150	64	4	Ť	Ļ	$\downarrow$		[4]
PML B92/11	400 to 1000	15 to 24	190	100	10	ſ	<b>↑</b>	$\downarrow$	Ļ	[5]
CCMP2090	395 to 1000	20	57 to 567	110	10	Ť	↑			[6]
NZEH	390 to	20	175 to 300	100	10	$\downarrow$	↑	<b>↑</b>	<b>↑</b>	[7]
PCC124-3	390 to	20	175 to 300	100	10	<b>↑</b>	n	1	↑	[7]
PCC70-3	390 to	20	175 to 300	100	10	1	n	1	1	[7]
PML B92/11	140 to	15	80 to 150	100	6	1	Î	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	[8]
PML B92/11	395 to	20	54 to 457	110	10	1	Î	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	[6]
PML B92/11	400 to	20	50 to 1200	64	4	1	Î	1		[4]
RCC962	390 to	20	175 to 300	100	10	$\downarrow$	Î	n	$\downarrow$	[7]
CCMP371	375 to	20 to 24	50 to 400	100	10	Ť	n	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	[9]
B62	280 to	20	300	88 to 9	4		Î	$\downarrow$	Ļ	[10]
RCC911 RCC911	400 400	20 20	30 to 140 30 to 140	<b>100 to 5</b> 100	6 <b>6 to 0.6</b>	↑ ↑	↑ ↑	↑ ↑	↑ ↑	[11] [11]

	PML92A	360 to	18	80 to 500	200	6.7 to 40	n	Î			[12]
	А	460 to	16	130	17 to 9	0.2 to 0.5		$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$		[13]
	PML B92/11	410 to	20	80 to 480	100 to 8	10	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	Ť	↑	[14]
	PML B92/11	410 to	20	80 to 480	100	10 to 0.4	$\downarrow$	Ť	n	$\downarrow$	[14]
	PML B92/11	370 to 960	16 to 20	60 to 240	24 to 8	1.5 to 0.5	$\downarrow$	Ť	Î	n	[15]
1217											
1218											
1219											
1000											
1220											
1221											
1222											
1223											
1224											
1225											
1226											
1227											
1228											
1229											
1230											
1231											
1232											
1233											
1234											
1235											
1236											
1237											







1255 Figure 2





LL-HNHP HL-HNHP HL-LNHP HL-HNLP HL-LNLP

LL-HNHP HL-HNHP HL-LNHP HL-HNLP HL-LNLP

LL-HNHP HL-HNHP HL-LNHP HL-HNLP HL-LNLP

LCHT

1

HCHT



- 1274
- 1275
- 1276
- 1277
- 1278 Figure 4

0.0

1-

0-

1.

0

1.

0

LCLT

POC quota ratio

 $2 \left| \begin{array}{c} (e) & HC/LC \end{array} \right|$ 

2 (g) HCHT/LCLT

2 + (i) HNLP / HNHP under the HL

HCLT

- 1279
- 1280
- 1281
- 1282
- 1283
- 1284
- 1285

56





