



# 1 Microbial functional signature in the atmospheric boundary layer

 $\mathcal{Q}$ 

Romie Tignat-Perrier<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Aurélien Dommergue<sup>1</sup>, Alban Thollot<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Magand<sup>1</sup>, Timothy
 M. Vogel<sup>2</sup>, Catherine Larose<sup>2</sup>

5

<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD,
 <sup>7</sup> Grenoble INP, Grenoble, France

<sup>2</sup>Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, École Centrale de Lyon, Université
 de Lyon, Écully, France

10 11

12

Correspondence to: Romie Tignat-Perrier (romie.tignat-perrier@univ-grenobe-alpes.fr)

### 13 Abstract

Microorganisms are ubiquitous in the atmosphere and some airborne microbial cells were 14 15 shown to be particularly resistant to atmospheric physical and chemical conditions (e.g., UV radiation, desiccation, presence of radicals). In addition to surviving, some cultivable 16 17microorganisms of airborne origin were shown to be able to grow on atmospheric chemicals in 18 laboratory experiments. Metagenomic investigations have been used to identify specific signatures of microbial functional potential in different ecosystems. We conducted a 19 preliminary comparative metagenomic study on the overall microbial functional potential and 20 specific metabolic and stress-related microbial functions of atmospheric microorganisms in 2122order to determine whether airborne microbial communities possess an atmosphere-specific 23functional potential signature as compared to other ecosystems (*i.e.* soil, sediment, snow, feces, surface seawater etc.). In absence of a specific atmospheric signature, the atmospheric samples 24collected at nine sites around the world were similar to their underlying ecosystems. In addition, 25atmospheric samples were characterized by a relatively high proportion of fungi. The higher 26proportion of sequences annotated as genes involved in stress-related functions (i.e. functions 27related to the response to desiccation, UV radiation, oxidative stress etc.) resulted in part from 28the high concentrations of fungi that might resist and survive atmospheric physical stress better 29 than bacteria. 30

 $\frac{31}{32}$ 

33 34 **Keywords**: atmospheric microorganisms, airborne microbial communities, planetary boundary layer, metagenomic sequencing, comparative metagenomics, selective processes

## 35 1 Introduction

Microorganisms are ubiquitous in the atmosphere and reach concentrations of up to 10<sup>6</sup> 36 microbial cells per cubic meter of air (Tignat-Perrier et al., 2019). Due to their important roles 37 in public health and meteorological processes (Ariva et al., 2009; Aylor, 2003; Brown and 38 Hovmøller, 2002; Delort et al., 2010; Griffin, 2007), understanding how airborne microbial 39 communities are distributed over time and space is critical. While the concentration and 40 taxonomic diversity of airborne microbial communities in the planetary boundary layer have 41 recently been described (Els et al., 2019; Innocente et al., 2017; Tignat-Perrier et al., 2019), the 42functional potential of airborne microbial communities remains unknown. Most studies have 43focused on laboratory cultivation to identify possible metabolic functions of microbial strains 44 of atmospheric origin, mainly from cloud water (Amato et al., 2007; Ariya et al., 2002; Hill et 45al., 2007; Vaïtilingom et al., 2010, 2013). Given that cultivatable organisms represent about 1 46 % of the entire microbial community (Vartoukian et al., 2010), culture-independent techniques 47and especially metagenomic studies applied to atmospheric microbiology have the potential to 48provide additional information on the selection and genetic adaptation of airborne 49





50 microorganisms. However, to our knowledge, only five metagenomic studies on airborne microbial communities at one or two specific sites per study exist (Aalismail et al., 2019; Amato 51et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2014; Gusareva et al., 2019; Yooseph et al., 2013). Metagenomic 5253investigations of complex microbial communities in many ecosystems (for example, soil, seawater, lakes, feces, sludge) have provided evidence that microorganism functional 54signatures reflect the abiotic conditions of their environment, with different relative abundances 55of specific microbial functional classes (Delmont et al., 2011; Li et al., 2019; Tringe et al., 2005; 56Xie et al., 2011). This observed correlation of microbial community functional potential and 5758the physical and chemical characteristics of their environments could have resulted from genetic modifications (microbial adaptation) (Brune et al., 2000; Hindré et al., 2012; Rey et al., 2016; 59Yooseph et al., 2010) and/or physical selection. The latter refers to the death of sensitive cells 60 and the survival of resistant or previously adapted cells. This physical selection can occur when 61 microorganisms are exposed to physiologically adverse conditions. 62

The presence of a specific microbial functional signature in the atmosphere has not been 63 investigated yet. Microbial strains of airborne origin have been shown to survive and develop 64 under conditions typically found in cloud water (*i.e.* high concentrations of  $H_2O_2$ , typical cloud 65 carbonaceous sources, UV radiation etc.) (Amato et al., 2007; Joly et al., 2015; Vaïtilingom et 66 al., 2013). While atmospheric chemicals might lead to some microbial adaptation, physical and 67 unfavorable conditions of the atmosphere such as UV radiation, low water content and cold 68 temperatures might select which microorganisms can survive in the atmosphere. From the pool 69 of microbial cells being aerosolized from Earth's surfaces, these adverse conditions might act 70 as a filter in selecting cells already resistant to unfavorable physical conditions. Fungal cells 71and especially fungal spores might be particularly adapted to survive in the atmosphere due to 72their innate resistance (Huang and Hull, 2017) and might behave differently than bacterial cells. 73Still, the proportion and nature (i.e. fungi versus bacteria) of microbial cells that are resistant to 74the harsh atmospheric conditions within airborne microbial communities are unknown. 75

Our objective was to determine whether airborne microorganisms in the planetary boundary 76 layer possess a specific functional signature as compared to other ecosystems since this might 77 indicate that microorganisms with specific functions tend to be more aerosolized and/or 7879 undergo a higher survival in this environment. Our previous study showed that airborne microbial taxonomy mainly depends on the underlying ecosystems, indicating that the local 80 environments are the main source of airborne microorganisms (Tignat-Perrier et al., 2019). Still, 81 we do not know if airborne microbial communities result from random or specific 82 aerosolization of the underlying ecosystems' microorganisms. We used a metagenomic 83 approach to compare the differences and similarities of both the overall functional potential and 84 specific microbial functions (metabolic and stress-related functions) between microbial 85 communities from the atmosphere and other ecosystems (soil, sediment, surface seawater, river 86 water, snow, human feces, phyllosphere and hydrothermal vent). We sampled airborne 87 microbial communities at nine different locations around the world during several weeks to get 88 a global-scale view and to capture the between and within-site variability in atmospheric 89 90 microbial functional potential.

91

## 92 2 Material and Methods

## 93 2.1 Sites and sampling

Air samples were collected at nine sites in 2016 and 2017. Sites were characterized by different
latitudes (from the Arctic to the sub-Antarctica; Fig 1), elevations from sea level (from 59 m to
5230 m; Fig 1) and environment type (from marine for Amsterdam-Island or AMS, to coastal
for Cape Point or CAP, polar for Station Nord or STN and terrestrial for Grenoble or GRE,
Chacaltaya or CHC, puy de Dôme or PDD, Pic-du-Midi or PDM, Storm-Peak or STP and
Namco or NAM - Table S1). The number of samples collected per site varied from seven to





100 sixteen (Table S1). We collected particulate matter smaller than 10 µm (PM10) on quartz fiber filters (5.9" round filter and 8" × 10" rectangular types) using high volume air samplers 101 (TISCH, DIGITEL, home-made) installed on roof tops or terraces (roughly 10 m above ground 102 103 level). To avoid contamination, guartz fiber filters as well as all the material in contact with the filters (*i.e.* filter holders, aluminium foils and plastic bags in which the filters were transported) 104 were sterilized using strong heating (500 °C for 8 h) and UV radiation, respectively as detailed 105in Dommergue et al., 2019. The collection time per sample lasted one week, and the collected 106 volumes ranged from 2000 m<sup>3</sup> to 10000 m<sup>3</sup> after standardization using SATP standards 107 (Standard Ambient Pressure and Temperature). Detailed sampling protocols including negative 108 control filters are presented in Dommergue et al. 2019. MODIS (Moderate resolution imaging 109 spectroradiometer) land cover approach (5' x 5' resolution) (Friedl et al., 2002; Shannan et al., 110 2014) was used to quantify landscapes in the 50 km diameter area of our nine sampling sites 111 112 (Fig S1).

113 114



115

**Fig 1. Sample collection locations**. Map showing the geographical location and elevation from sea level of our nine sampling sites (in yellow), and the geographical position of whose public metagenomes come from (in orange). Abbreviations of our nine sampling sites are indicated in brackets.

120

## 121 2.2 Molecular biology analyses

### 122 2.2.1 DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from three circular pieces (punches) from the quartz fiber filters (diameter 123of one punch: 38 mm) using the DNeasy PowerWater kit with some modifications as detailed 124in Dommergue et al., 2019. During cell lysis, the PowerBead tube containing the three punches 125and the pre-heated lysis solution were heated at 65 °C during one hour after a 10-min vortex 126 treatment at maximum speed. We then separated the filter debris from the lysate by 127128 centrifugation at 1000 rcf for 4 min. From this step on, we followed the DNeasy PowerWater protocol. DNA concentration eluted in 100 µL of buffer was measured using the High Sensitive 129Qubit Fluorometric Quantification (Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA was stored at -20 °C. 130

131

## 132 2.2.2 Real-Time qPCR analyses





133 16S rRNA gene qPCR. The bacterial cell concentration was approximated by the number of 16S rRNA gene copies per cubic meter of air. The V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene was 134 135 amplified using the SensiFast SYBR No-Rox kit (Bioline) and the following primers sequences: 136 Eub 338f 5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3' as the forward primer and Eub 518r 5'-ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG-3' as the reverse primer (Fierer et al., 2005) on a Rotorgene 3000 137machine (Qiagen). The reaction mixture of 20  $\mu$ L contained 10  $\mu$ L of SYBR master mix, 2  $\mu$ L 138of DNA and RNAse-free water to complete the final 20 µL volume. The qPCR 2-step program 139consisted of an initial step at 95 °C for 2 min for enzyme activation, then 35 cycles of 5 s at 95 140 °C and 20 s at 60 °C hybridization and elongation. A final step was added to obtain a 141denaturation from 55 °C to 95 °C with increments of 1 °C s<sup>-1</sup>. The amplicon length was around 142200 bp. PCR products obtained from DNA from a pure culture of Escherichia coli were cloned 143in a plasmid (pCR<sup>TM</sup>2.1-TOPO® vector, Invitrogen) and used as standard after quantification 144 with the Broad-Range Qubit Fluorometric Quantification (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 145

18S rRNA gene qPCR. The fungal cell concentration was estimated by the number of 18S 146rRNA gene copies per cubic meter of air. The region located at the end of the SSU 18S rRNA 147gene, near the ITS 1 region, was quantified using the SensiFast SYBR No-Rox kit (Bioline) 148and the following primers sequences: FR1 5'-AICCATTCAATCGGTAIT-3' as the forward 149 primer and FF390 5'-CGATAACGAACGAGACCT-3' as the reverse primer (Chemidlin 150 Prévost-Bouré et al., 2011) on a Rotorgene 3000 machine (Qiagen). The reaction mixture of 20 151  $\mu$ L contained 10  $\mu$ L of SYBR master mix, 2  $\mu$ L of DNA and RNAse-free water to complete the 152final 20 µL volume. The qPCR 2-steps program consisted of an initial step at 95 °C for 5 min 153for enzyme activation, then 35 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C hybridization and 154elongation. A final step was added to obtain a denaturation from 55 °C to 95 °C with increments 155of 1 °C s<sup>-1</sup>. The amplicon length was around 390 bp. PCR products obtained from DNA from a 156soil sample were cloned in a plasmid (pCRTM2.1-TOPO® vector, Invitrogen) and used as 157standard after quantification with the Broad-Range Qubit Fluorometric Quantification (Thermo 158 Fisher Scientific). 159

160

### 161 2.2.3 MiSeq Illumina metagenomic sequencing

Metagenomic library preparation. Metagenomic libraries were prepared from 1 ng of DNA 162 using the Nextera XT Library Prep Kit and indexes following the protocol in Illumina's 163 "Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit" reference guide with some modifications for samples with 164DNA concentrations below 1 ng as follows. The tagmented DNA was amplified over 13 PCR 165cycles instead of 12 PCR cycles, and the libraries (after indexing) were resuspended in 30 µL 166 of RBS buffer instead of 52.5  $\mu$ L. Metagenomic sequencing was performed using the MiSeq 167 and V2 technology of Illumina with 2 x 250 cycles. At the end of the sequencing, the adapter 168 sequences were removed by internal Illumina software. 169

**Reads quality filtering.** Reads 1 and reads 2 per sample were not paired but merged in a common file before filtering them based on read quality using the tool FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx\_toolkit/) using a minimum read quality of Q20, minimum read length of 120 bp and one maximum number of N per read. Samples with less than 6000 filtered sequences were removed from the dataset.

175

#### 176 **2.2.4 Downloading of public metagenomes**

Public metagenomes were downloaded from the MGRAST and SRA (NCBI) databases as quality filtered read-containing fasta files and raw read containing fastq files, respectively. The fastq files containing raw reads underwent the same quality filtering as our metagenomes (as discussed above). The list of the metagenomes, type of ecosystem, number of sequences and sequencing technology (*i.e.* MiSeq, HiSeq or 454) are summarized in **Table S2**. The sampling sites are positioned on the map in **Fig 1**.





183

### 184 **2.3 Data analyses**

All graphical and multivariate statistical analyses were carried out using the vegan (Oksanen et al., 2019), ggplot2 (Hadley and Winston, 2019) and reshape2 (Wickham, 2017) packages in the

- 187 R environment (version 3.5.1).
- 188

#### 189 2.3.1 Annotation of the metagenomic reads

Firstly, to access the overall functional potential of each sample, the filtered sequences per 190sample were functionally annotated using Diamond, then the gene-annotated sequences were 191 grouped in the different SEED functional classes (around 7000 functional classes, referred 192simply to as functions) using MEGAN version 6 (Huson et al., 2009). Functional classes that 193were present  $\leq 2$  times in a sample were removed of this sample. In parallel, the Kraken software 194 (Wood and Salzberg, 2014) was used to retrieve the bacterial and fungal sequences separately 195from the filtered sequences using the Kraken bacterial database and FindFungi (Donovan et al., 1962018) fungal database (both databases included complete genomes), respectively (and using 197two different runs of Kraken). Separately, both the bacterial and fungal sequences were also 198functionally annotated using Diamond and MEGAN version 6 (number of sequences 199functionally annotated in Table S3). 200

Secondly, for specific metabolic and stress-related functions, we annotated the sequences using 201 eggNOG-Mapper version 1 (Diamond option), then examined specific GO (Gene Ontology) 202 terms chosen based on their importance for microbial resistance to atmospheric-like conditions. 203The different GO terms used were the following: GO:0042744 (hydrogen peroxide catabolic 204activity), GO:0015049 (methane monooxygenase activity) as specific metabolic functions and 205GO:0043934 (sporulation), GO:0009650 (response to UV), GO:0034599 (cell response to 206 oxidative stress), GO:0009269 (response to desiccation) as stress-related functions. The number 207 of hits of each GO term was normalized per 10000 annotated sequences and calculated from all 208 sequences, bacterial sequences and fungal sequences for each sample. The number of sequences 209 annotated by eggNOG-Mapper (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2017) was also evaluated (Table S3). The 210 putative concentration of a specific function or functional class in the samples is determined as 211 212 the concentration of sequences annotated as one of the functional proteins associated to this function (or functional class). 213

214

### 215 2.3.2 Statistical analyses

Observed functional richness and evenness were calculated per sample after rarefaction on all 216 sequences (rarefaction at 2000 sequences), bacterial sequences (rarefaction at 500 sequences) 217 and fungal sequences (rarefaction at 500 sequences). The distribution of the samples was 218 analyzed based on the SEED functional classes (using all sequences). PCoA and hierarchical 219clustering analysis (average method) were carried out on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix 220 221 based on the relative abundances of the different SEED functional classes. SIMPER analyses were used to identify the functions responsible for the clustering of samples in groups. Because 9.9.9 223of the non-normality of the data, Kruskal-Wallis analyses (non-parametric version of ANOVA) 224 and Dunn's post-hoc tests were used to test the difference between the percentage of fungal sequences as well as the number of hits of each Gene Ontology term (normalized per 10000 225annotated sequences) among the different sites and the different ecosystems. 226

#### 228 3 Results

227

### **3.1 Percentage of fungal sequences**

The percentage of sequences annotated as belonging to fungal genomes (or fungal sequences, as opposed to bacterial sequences) was on average higher in air samples compared to soil ( $P < 10^{-2}$ 5), snow ( $P=10^{-3}$ ), seawater (P=0.03) and sediment samples ( $P=10^{-3}$ ; Fig 2 and Table S4).





Among the air samples, NAM (19%), STN (24%) and CHC (27%) showed the lowest 233 percentages of fungal sequences on average while STP (88%), GRE (79%), AMS (71%) and 234235PDD (62%) showed the highest percentages. For the ecosystems that were only represented by 236one sample, and therefore, were not evaluated by the Kruskal-Wallis test, we observed average percentages of fungal reads of 3% in feces, 9% in hydrothermal vents, 19% in river water 237samples and 37% in the phyllosphere. Some samples from soil, sediments and seawater such as 238French agricultural soil (61%), Peru sediments (53%) and Celtic seawater (53%) had relatively 239high percentages of fungal sequences while other samples had less than 50%. The number of 240 fungal and bacterial cells was also estimated using 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA gene copy 241numbers per cubic meter of air, respectively. qPCR results on air samples are available in 242Tignat-Perrier et al., 2019. Air samples had ratios between bacterial cell and fungal cell 243concentrations from around 4.5 times up to 160 times lower than soil samples (Table S4). 244245

246



247

**Fig 2. Percentage of fungal and bacterial sequences in the metagenomes.** The percentages are established as the number of sequences annotated as belonging to fungal and bacterial genomes over the sum of bacterial and fungal sequences in the metagenomes. The mean was calculated for the sampling sites including several metagenomes. Air sites (*i.e.* our 9 sites + 5 sites where public air metagenomes come from) are distinguished by grey hatching lines.

253

254

## **3.2 Airborne microbial functional profiles**

The fifty most abundant SEED functional classes represented in atmospheric samples are listed in **Table S5**. The 5-FCL-like protein, the long chain fatty acid CoA ligase and the TonBdependent receptor were the top three functions based on number of annotated reads observed when including all the sequences (**Table S5**). The atmospheric microbial functional profiles





260 based on the SEED functions were compared between samples from the different weeks of sampling and between different locations. The profiles were graphed using PCo multivariate 261 analysis to visualize differences and similarities. The different samples (sampled during 262263sequential weeks) from the same site did not cluster tightly together on the PCo multivariate analysis. In order to incorporate weekly variation when comparing sites, we used the microbial 264functional profile averaged per site in the subsequent multivariate analyses done with the data 265from other ecosystems (Fig 3). The PCo multivariate analysis showed that terrestrial 266 atmospheric sites (GRE, NAM, STP, PDD, PDM, CHC, New York) grouped with the soil, 267sediment and snow samples while the marine and coastal atmospheric sites (AMS, CAP, San 268 Diego) were situated between the datasets from soil, seawater and river water (Fig 3). The polar 269site STN did not group with the other sites. When considering only the bacterial sequences (*i.e.*, 270 excluding the fungal sequences), the distribution of the terrestrial atmospheric sites did not 271change, while the marine Amsterdam-Island, coastal Cape Point and polar Station Nord 272 atmospheric sites were further from the seawater and river water datasets than when the fungal 273sequences were included (Fig S2). The distribution of the different datasets underwent further 274changes when considering only the fungal sequences. We observed an absence of a clear 275separation between soil and seawater since they (for the majority) grouped closely together, and 276 terrestrial atmospheric datasets did not group with the other non-atmospheric datasets from soil, 277sediment and snow (Fig S2). 278

279



Fig 3. Distribution of the samples based on the microbial functional profile. The PCo analysis of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix is based on the functional potential structure of each site. For the site including several metagenomes, the average profile was calculated. Colors indicate the ecosystems in which the sites belong to.





285

#### 286 **3.3 Airborne microbial functional richness and evenness**

Functional richness and evenness were evaluated using the relative abundance of sequences in 287 the different SEED categories. The average richness in SEED functional classes (or functions) 288in the PBL was lower than the average functional class richness in soil, surface seawater, 289hydrothermal vents, river water, phyllosphere and feces (P < 0.05) (Table S3). Among the 290different atmospheric samples, the functional class richness was highest in Beijing (4060 +/-291112 functional classes) and New York indoor air samples (3302 +/- 299 functional classes) 292(P<0.05), and lowest in Station Nord (956 +/- 547 functional classes). When looking at the 293bacteria-annotated sequences, almost the same trend was observed, *i.e.* the functional class 294richness in air was lower than in soil, hydrothermal vents, river water, phyllosphere and feces, 295and not different from the other ecosystems (P < 0.05 and > 0.05, respectively) (**Table S3**). The 296 functional class richness was higher in Beijing (2835 +/- 59 functional classes) and New York 297 indoor air samples (2183 +/- 387 functional classes) compared to the other air samples whose 298values ranged between 270 +/- 197 functional classes in Amsterdam-Island and 1142 +/- 461 299functional classes in Chacaltava. For fungal sequences, the functional class richness in the 300 atmosphere was lower than the functional class richness in soil, surface seawater, feces, 301 hydrothermal vents, river water and phyllosphere (P < 0.05) (**Table S3**). Within air samples, the 302 functional class richness based on fungal sequences was higher in Beijing (1129 +/- 92 303 functional classes) and New York indoor air samples (687 +/- 206 functional classes) than in 304 the other air sites ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ) whose values ranged from 66 +/- 58 functional classes in 305 Amsterdam-Island and 392 +/- 131 functional classes in Storm Peak (Table S3). The functional 306 class evenness in air was on average higher than in soil (P=0.03), and not different to the 307 functional class evenness observed in the other ecosystems (sediment, seawater, snow). When 308 looking at the bacterial and fungal sequences separately, the functional class evenness in air 309 was on average higher than in soil, feces, phyllosphere and riverwater (P<0.05) (**Table S3**). 310

311

#### 312 **3.4** Concentration of specific microbial functions that might have a role under 313 atmospheric conditions

Two metabolic functions associated with abundant atmospheric chemicals (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) 314were examined, hydrogen catabolism and methane monoxygenase activity. The concentration 315 of sequences annotated as hydrogen peroxide catabolic related functional proteins per 10000 316 sequences varied between air sites ( $P=2\times10^{-5}$ ) with highest values for Amsterdam-Island (27 317+/-1) and Grenoble (27 +/-1) (Fig S3). It was on average higher in air compared to soil ( $P=10^{-1}$ ) 318 <sup>4</sup>) and surface seawater ( $P=10^{-4}$ ). The French agricultural soil showed the highest relative 319 abundance (133 + - 4). When considering the fungal and bacterial sequences separately, this 320 concentration was not different between air and the other ecosystems (P>0.05) (Fig S3). The 321number of sequences annotated as methane monooxygenase-related functional proteins per 32210000 sequences was only detectable when considering all the sequences (*i.e.* bacterial and 323fungal sequences). The number of sequences annotated as methane monooxygenase-related 324325functional proteins did not vary between air sites (P > 0.05) while we observed a high variability 326between sampling periods within sites, but on average it was not different from the ecosystems 327(P>0.05).

Different stress response functions (sporulation, UV response, oxidative stress cell response, desiccation response, chromosome plasmid partitioning protein ParA and lipoate synthase) were examined. The concentration of sequences annotated as sporulation-related functional proteins per 10000 annotated sequences largely varied between air sites ( $P=2\times10^{-9}$ ), with the lowest values observed for Station Nord (7 +/- 9), San Diego (9 +/- 6), Namco (17 +/- 15) and Chacaltaya (26 +/-13), and the highest values observed for Storm Peak (120 +/- 18), Beijing (126 +/- 22), Grenoble (131 +/- 21) and New York (141 +/- 98) (**Fig 4**). It was on average higher





in air compared to soil  $(P < 10^{-5})$ , sediments  $(P < 10^{-5})$  and surface seawater  $(P = 4 \times 10^{-4})$  although 335 the Celtic seawater sample presented a very high concentration (127). Snow showed a relatively 336high average concentration (i.e. 36) which was not different from air concentration (P>0.05). 337 338 For the ecosystems including one value (*i.e.* one sample, so not integrated in the Kruskal-Wallis tests), feces showed a relatively high concentration of sequences annotated as sporulation-339 related functional proteins (i.e. 41) while hydrothermal vent, phyllosphere and river water 340showed relatively low concentrations compared to air (<10). When considering the fungal 341sequences separately from the bacterial sequences, the same trend was observed, *i.e.* the 342concentration of sequences annotated as sporulation-related functional proteins in air was on 343average higher compared to soil ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ), sediments ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ), surface seawater ( $P = 7 \times 10^{-4}$ ) as 344well as phyllosphere, hydrothermal vent and river water. The concentration was relatively high 345in the Celtic seawater (186) and the snow samples (163  $\pm$  47). We also observed a large 346 variability within air sites ( $P=3\times10^{-5}$ ). When considering the bacterial sequences only, this 347concentration in air was on average higher compared to soil (P=0.02), sediments ( $P=4\times10^{-3}$ ) 348and snow (P=0.01), and showed a smaller variability between air sites. Two samples, the 349phyllosphere (*i.e.* 35) and the shrubland soil from Sudan (*i.e.* 32) showed high numbers of 350 sequences annotated as sporulation-related functional proteins per 10000 annotated sequences 351 (Fig 4). 352







Fig 4. Proportion of sequences annotated as sporulation related functional proteins in the metagenomes. Average number of sequences annotated as proteins implicated in sporulation per 10000 annotated sequences from (a) all sequences, (b) fungal sequences and (c) bacterial





sequences per site. Colors indicate the ecosystems in which the sites belong to. For the sites including several metagenomes, the standard deviation was added.

360

The concentration of sequences annotated as UV response related functional proteins per 10000 361 annotated sequences varied between air sites ( $P=10^{-5}$ ), with values ranging from 16 +/- 2 in 362Namco and 19 +/- 4 in STN to 29 +/- 3 in Storm Peak and 36 +/- 6 in Amsterdam-Island (Fig 363 S4). The concentration was on average higher in air compared to sediments ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ), soil 364  $(P < 10^{-5})$  and comparable to snow and surface seawater (P > 0.05). The other ecosystems showed 365lower ratios (feces, phyllosphere) or comparable concentrations (hydrothermal vent, river 366 water) compared to air. Within the soil samples, the French agricultural soil samples showed a 367 high average concentration (56 + - 8), which increased the average ratio observed in soil 368 samples. When considering fungal sequences separately, the concentration of sequences 369annotated as UV response related functional proteins was higher in air compared to soil 370  $(P=9\times10^{-4})$ , and comparable to the other ecosystems (P>0.05). When considering the bacterial 371sequences only, this concentration in air was on average higher compared to seawater ( $P=3\times10^{-1}$ 372<sup>3</sup>) and sediments ( $P=6\times10^{-3}$ ). 373

The concentration of sequences annotated as oxidative stress cell response related functional 374proteins per 10000 annotated sequences varied largely between air sites ( $P=5\times10^{-7}$ ), with the 375 376 lowest values observed for Station Nord (23 +/- 5), San Diego (11 +/- 3) and Namco (28 +/-10), and the highest values observed for Storm Peak (105 +/- 16), Amsterdam-Island (108 +/-377 16) and Grenoble (119 +/- 19) (Fig 5). The concentration was on average higher in air compared 378to soil ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ), sediments ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ) and surface seawater ( $P = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ ). Snow showed a relatively 379 380 high average value (46 +/- 11), not different from air (P>0.05). The other ecosystems (feces, river water, hydrothermal vent, phyllosphere) showed lower ratios compared to air. When 381 considering fungal sequences separately, the concentration of sequences annotated as oxidative 382stress related functional proteins per 10000 sequences was on average higher in air compared 383 to soil ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ), sediments ( $P < 10^{-5}$ ) and surface seawater ( $P = 10^{-3}$ ). Feces showed a very high 384average value (2237). When considering bacterial sequences separately, this concentration was 385386 not different between air and the other ecosystems (P>0.05). When considering both fungal and bacterial sequences separately, the variability in the concentration of sequences annotated as 387 oxidative stress cell response related functional proteins between air sites diminished and their 388 difference was not detected anymore (P > 0.05). 389











Fig 5. Proportion of sequences as oxidative stress cell response related functional proteins in the metagenomes. Average number of sequences annotated as proteins implicated in oxidative stress cell response per 10000 annotated sequences from (a) all sequences, (b) fungal sequences and (c) bacterial sequences per site. Colors indicate the ecosystems in which the sites belong to. For the sites including several metagenomes, the standard deviation was added.

397 398

The concentration of sequences annotated as desiccation response related functional proteins 399 per 10000 sequences varied between air sites ( $P=2\times10^{-5}$ ), with the highest values in Grenoble 400 (4 + / - 1), Storm Peak (4 + / - 1) and Amsterdam-Island (3 + / - 3), and the lowest values in Station 401 Nord  $(0.5 \pm 1)$  and San Diego  $(0.1 \pm 0.1)$  (Fig S4). It was on average higher in air compared 402to the other ecosystems ( $P=4\times10^{-9}$ ). Still Svalbard snow and French agricultural soil showed 403high values (2 +/- 1 and 3 +/- 1, respectively) (Fig S4). When considering fungal sequences 404 only, the concentration in air was higher compared to soil  $(P>10^{-5})$ , sediments  $(P>10^{-5})$  and 405surface seawater ( $P=10^{-3}$ ). No difference between the ecosystems was observed when 406 considering bacterial sequences separately (P=0.62). 407

Two proteins (lipoate synthase and chromosome plasmid partitioning protein ParA) related to stress response showed high relative concentrations in bacterial sequences of a few air samples compared to the other ecosystems (**Fig S3**), although the number of sequences related to these proteins was on average not higher in the atmosphere than other ecosystems (P>0.05).

412

### 413 4 Discussion

Metagenomic investigations of different ecosystems revealed a specific functional potential 414 signature of their associated microbial communities (Delmont et al., 2011; Tringe et al., 2005). 415These specific signatures are thought to result from microbial adaptation and/or physical 416selection to the environmental abiotic conditions (Hindré et al., 2012; Li et al., 2019; Rey et al., 4172016) and are a reflection of the high relative abundances of genes coding for specific functions 418essential for microorganisms to survive and develop in these environments. For example, 419420 microbial metagenomes of human feces were characterized by high relative abundances of sequences annotated as beta-glucosidases that are associated with high intestinal concentrations 421of complex glycosides; and microbial metagenomes of oceans were enriched in sequences 422annotated as enzymes catalyzing DMSP (dimethylsulfoniopropionate), that is an organosulfur 423424compound produced by phytoplankton (Delmont et al., 2011). Our results showed a clear 425separation between surface seawater, river water, human feces and almost all the soil samples (which grouped with the sediment and snow samples at the scale used here) on the PCo analysis 426based on the microbial functional potential (Fig 3). For air microbiomes, the PCo analyses 427showed that the individual air samples did not group for each site and that they did not form a 428cluster separated from the other ecosystems based on the overall microbial functional potential 429averaged per site (Fig 3). Air samples seemed to group with their underlying ecosystems. While 430terrestrial air samples (GRE, NAM, CHC, STP, PDD, PDM) grouped with snow, soil and 431 sediment samples, the marine (Amsterdam-Island), coastal (Cape Point) and arctic (Station 432Nord) air samples were closer to surface seawater and river water samples. Airborne microbial 433functional potential (and especially metabolic functional potential as SEED functional classes 434included mainly metabolic functions and few stress response related functions) might be 435dependent on the ecosystems from which microorganisms are aerosolized. Moreover, it seems 436 that bacterial sequences are mainly responsible for the distribution of the samples on the PCo 437analysis (as observed when comparing the PCoA to that carried out with the fungal sequences 438 only) although they were in smaller numbers compared to fungal sequences for many of the air 439samples (*i.e.* STP, GRE, AMS, PDD, CAP, Beijing etc.). The low statistical weight of fungal 440sequences relative to the overall sequences might be related to their low richness in terms of 441





442 functional genes that might have resulted in the spreading of the samples on the PCoA based443 on the fungal sequences (Table S3).

Metagenomes extracted from atmospheric samples taken around the planet were characterized 444 by a relatively high percentage of fungal sequences as compared to other ecosystems even 445446 though bacterial sequences still dominated. This percentage varied across the different sites with a higher percentage at terrestrial sites whose surrounding landscapes were vegetated like 447Grenoble (GRE), puy de Dôme (PDD) and Pic-du-midi (PDM) (surrounding landscapes in Fig 448**S1**). This percentage was also relatively high at the marine site Amsterdam-Island (AMS), 449where fungi might come from the ocean and/or the vegetated surfaces of the small island. A 450high percentage of fungal sequences was also reported for air samples from Beijing, New York 451and San Diego and validates our DNA extraction method set-up specifically for quartz fiber 452filter (Dommergue et al., 2019). Similarly, the sequencing technology (Illumina MiSeq) could 453not have been responsible for the larger percentage of fungal sequences observed in our datasets 454 as the Beijing and New York/San Diego air sample datasets originated from Illumina HiSeq 455and 454 sequencing technology, respectively. qPCR results on the 16S rRNA gene (bacterial 456cell concentration estimation) and on the 18S rRNA gene (fungal cell concentration estimation) 457on our air samples in comparison to soil samples (Côte Saint André, France) showed that the 458ratio between fungal and bacterial cell number was much higher (from 4.5 to 160 times higher 459for the most vegetated site Grenoble) in air than in soil (Table S4). The ratio between fungal 460 and bacterial cell number might be higher in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) than in other 461 environments like soil (Malik et al., 2016), and thus, would explain the relatively higher 462percentage of fungal sequences observed in air metagenomes. High throughput sequencing 463allows the sequencing of a small part of the metagenomic DNA (with large fungal genomes 464likely to be sequenced first) and might explain why the values of the bacteria and fungi 465 abundance ratio obtained by qPCR does not match those obtained by the metagenomic 466 sequencing approach. Our study is a preliminary metagenomic investigation of the air 467 environment with a limited number of sequences per sample, and further studies are needed to 468 confirm our results. 469

Fungi in the atmosphere are expected to be found mostly as fungal spores, although the relative 470concentration of fungal spores and fungal hyphae fragments in air is unknown. Our results 471 showed that the number of sporulation-related functions was higher in air than the other 472ecosystems (with the exception of snow and phyllosphere). While fungal hyphae are not 473expected to be particularly resistant to extreme conditions such as UV radiation, fungal spores 474are specifically produced to resist and survive overall adverse atmospheric conditions (Huang 475and Hull, 2017). Their thick membrane and dehydrated nature make them particularly resistant 476 to abiotic atmospheric conditions such as UV radiation, oxidative stress, desiccation as well as 477osmotic stress. Fig 6 presents a conceptual model that could explain the higher ratio between 478fungi and bacteria observed in air. During aerosolization and aerial transport, bacteria and fungi 479might be under stress and might undergo a physical selection with the survival of the most 480resistant cells to the adverse atmospheric conditions (*i.e.* UV radiation, desiccation etc.) and the 481 482death of non-resistant cells. As fungi (and especially fungal spores) might be naturally more 483resistant and adapted to atmospheric conditions than bacteria, we expect a larger decline of bacterial cells compared to fungal cells and spores in air. This might have as a consequence an 484 increase in the ratio between fungi and bacteria compared to their non-atmospheric origins (*i.e.* 485486the surrounding ecosystems) (Fig 6).







488

489

Fig 6. Microbial cell loss due to atmospheric physical stress. Conceptual model on the 490microbial cell loss occurring during the aerosolization and aerial transport steps due to physical 491selection. The thickness of the arrows represents the impact of the physical selection on both 492bacterial and fungal cell loss (the more microbial cells survive the physical selection, the thicker 493494 becomes the arrow). Approximate ratios are indicative and result from 16S rRNA and 18S 495rRNA qPCR data on Côte Saint André soil samples (crop soil, France) and puy de Dôme air samples (France; puy de Dôme landscape is mainly composed of croplands as shown in Fig 496**S1**). 497

498

The high variability between the air sites and between air samples of the same site could be explained by the variability in the inputs from the different surrounding landscapes. Our previous paper showed that local inputs were the main sources of planetary boundary layer microorganisms and that local meteorology (especially the wind direction) had a major impact on the temporal variability of airborne microbial communities by affecting which of the





different local sources were upwind (Tignat-Perrier et al., 2019). Our results did not show a
 specific (metabolic) functional potential signature for the atmosphere, which was rather mainly
 driven by the surrounding landscapes. Our results are consistent with both a pre-metabolic
 adaptation of airborne microorganisms to the chemicals of the sources (*i.e.* surrounding
 landscapes) and a potential metabolic adaptation to these chemicals in the atmosphere.

Atmospheric chemistry is dependent on the underlying ecosystem chemistry since the main 509sources of atmospheric chemicals are Earth surface emissions. Yet, the oxidizing conditions of 510 the atmosphere might lead to rapid transformations of atmospheric chemicals by photochemical 511 reactions. These specific atmospheric chemical reactions (*i.e.* photochemical) produce species 512which, with the gases like CH<sub>4</sub>, characterize the atmosphere (O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, OH etc.). Although 513some microbial strains from cloud water origin have been shown to metabolize and grow on 514culture medium in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  (Vaïtilingom et al., 2013), radical species and their 515precursors are reactive compounds and might not easily serve as energy and carbon sources for 516microorganisms (Imlay, 2013). Our results on specific metabolic related functions showed that 517functions related to methane monooxygenase activity (CH<sub>4</sub> degradation) and hydrogen 518peroxide catabolism ( $H_2O_2$  degradation) were present in air but not in higher proportion than in 519other ecosystems (Fig S3). Reactive compounds can cause oxidative stress to airborne 520microorganisms. In association to adverse physical conditions like UV radiation and 521desiccation, oxidative compounds might create more of a physical stress than provide a new 522metabolic source for airborne microorganisms. Laboratory investigations of cultivable 523microorganisms of an airborne origin showed the presence of particularly resistant strains under 524stressful conditions similar to the atmospheric ones (*i.e.* similar UV radiation levels; different 525oxidative conditions) (Joly et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2008). However, no study has shown 526whether these apparently adapted cells represented the majority of airborne microorganisms. 527Since the overall SEED functional classes included mainly metabolic functions, specific stress 528related functions using GO (Gene Ontology) terms were also evaluated. We observed that on 529average, air showed more stress-related functions (UV response, desiccation and oxidative 530 stress response related functions) than the other ecosystems due to the higher concentration of 531fungi (relatively to bacteria) in air. Thus, when the annotated sequences were separated between 532533sequences belonging to fungal and bacterial genomes, the bacterial and fungal sequences from air samples did not show a significantly higher concentration of stress-related functions 534compared to the samples coming from other ecosystems (Fig 4, 5, Fig S4). 535

Fungal genomes are expected to carry genes associated to global stress-related functions (i.e. 536 UV radiation, desiccation, oxidative stress), because of the innate resistance of fungi especially 537 fungal spores. These genes associated to global stress-related functions are likely acquired 538 during sporulation formation and certainly do not result from adaptation of fungi in air. When 539studying genes coding more specific proteins that are not associated to spore resistance, such 540as lipoate synthase and chromosome plasmid partitioning protein ParA, that might play a role 541542in oxidative stress (Allary et al., 2007; Bunik, 2003) and are more generally found in stress resistance and adaptability of microorganisms (Shoeb et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018), they 543544were occasionally found in relatively high concentration in air samples (Fig S3). The detection 545of metagenomic sequences annotated as genes coding specific proteins in air samples remains difficult because of the low microbial biomass recovered. That is why we examined the 546presence and concentration of global functions (i.e. UV protection related functions, oxidative 547548stress response related functions *etc.*) rather than specific functional genes.

The constant and large input of microbial cells to the planetary boundary layer and their relatively short residence time (a few hours to a few days based on a model assuming that microbial cells behave like non biological aerosols (Jaenicke, 1980)) might have hindered the observation of the potential adaptation (physical selection and/or microbial adaptation) of airborne microorganisms to the stressful atmospheric conditions and to the atmospheric





554chemicals as discussed above. This issue might be addressed by investigating microbial functional potential in the free troposphere (preferentially high enough above the ground so as 555not to be influenced by the surface) where the microbial fluxes are smaller than in the planetary 556557boundary layer and where microbial airborne residence time might last much longer than in the planetary boundary layer. This troposphere approach might help in determining the role of 558stress in the atmosphere and validate our conceptual model on the physical stress of microbial 559cells taking place during aerosolization and aerial transport selecting the resistant cells (Fig 6). 560Another explanation might be due to the metagenomic approach that allows to sample both 561living and dead cells. Aerosolization has been shown to be particularly stressful and even lethal 562for microorganisms (Alsved et al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2011). The functional potential from 563 the dead cells in air might have a greater weight on the overall functional potential observed 564and lead to the dilution of the functional potential of the actual living cells that have adapted to 565atmospheric conditions. This might apply for both the overall functional potential discussed 566previously and the stress-related functions. 567

#### 569 Conclusion

568

We conducted the first global comparative metagenomic analysis to characterize the microbial 570functional potential signature in the planetary boundary layer. Air samples showed no specific 571signature of microbial functional potential which was mainly correlated to the surrounding 572landscapes. However, air samples were characterized by a relatively high percentage of fungal 573sequences compared to the source ecosystems (soil, surface seawater etc.). The relatively higher 574concentrations of fungi in air drove the higher proportions of stress-related functions observed 575in air metagenomes. Fungal cells and specifically fungal spores are innately resistant entities 576well adapted to atmospheric conditions and which might survive better aerosolization and aerial 577 transport than bacterial cells. Stress-related functions were present in airborne bacteria but 578rarely in higher concentrations compared to the bacterial communities in other ecosystems. 579However, the constant flux of microbial cells to the planetary boundary layer might have 580complicated the determination of a physical selection and/or microbial adaptation of airborne 581microorganisms, especially bacterial communities. Meta-omics investigations on air with a 582deeper sequencing are needed to confirm our results and explore the functionality of 583atmospheric microorganisms further. 584

585

#### 586 **References**

Aalismail, N. A., Ngugi, D. K., Díaz-Rúa, R., Alam, I., Cusack, M. and Duarte, C. M.: Functional
metagenomic analysis of dust-associated microbiomes above the Red Sea, Sci Rep, 9(1), 1–12,
doi:10.1038/s41598-019-50194-0, 2019.

590 Allary, M., Lu, J. Z., Zhu, L. and Prigge, S. T.: Scavenging of the cofactor lipoate is essential for the

- survival of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum, Mol Microbiol, 63(5), 1331–1344,
   doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05592.x, 2007.
- Alsved, M., Holm, S., Christiansen, S., Smidt, M., Ling, M., Boesen, T., Finster, K., Bilde, M.,
- 594 Löndahl, J. and Šantl-Temkiv, T.: Effect of Aerosolization and Drying on the Viability of
- 595 Pseudomonas syringae Cells, Front Microbiol, 9, 3086, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.03086, 2018.
- 596 Amato, P., Demeer, F., Melaouhi, A., Fontanella, S., Martin-Biesse, A.-S., Sancelme, M., Laj, P. and
- 597 Delort, A.-M.: A fate for organic acids, formaldehyde and methanol in cloud water: their
- 598 biotransformation by micro-organisms, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7(15), 4159–4169,
- 599 doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4159-2007, 2007.

Amato, P., Besaury, L., Joly, M., Penaud, B., Deguillaume, L. and Delort, A.-M.: Metatranscriptomic
 exploration of microbial functioning in clouds, Sci Rep, 9(1), 1–12, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41032-4,





- Ariya, P., Sun, J., Eltouny, N., Hudson, E., Hayes, C. and Kos, G.: Physical and chemical
- 604 characterization of bioaerosols--Implications for nucleation processes, International Reviews in
- 605 Physical Chemistry, 28, 1–32, doi:10.1080/01442350802597438, 2009.
- Ariya, P. A., Nepotchatykh, O., Ignatova, O. and Amyot, M.: Microbiological degradation of atmospheric organic compounds, Geophysical Research Letters, 29(22), 34–1-34–4,
- 608 doi:10.1029/2002GL015637, 2002.
- Aylor, D. E.: Spread of Plant Disease on a Continental Scale: Role of Aerial Dispersal of Pathogens,
   Ecology, 84(8), 1989–1997, 2003.
- 611 Brown, J. K. M. and Hovmøller, M. S.: Aerial dispersal of pathogens on the global and continental 612 scales and its impact on plant disease, Science, 297(5581), 537–541, doi:10.1126/science.1072678,
- 613 2002.
- 614 Brune, A., Frenzel, P. and Cypionka, H.: Life at the oxic–anoxic interface: microbial activities and 615 adaptations, FEMS Microbiol Rev, 24(5), 691–710, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2000.tb00567.x, 2000.
- Bunik, V. I.: 2-Oxo acid dehydrogenase complexes in redox regulation, Eur. J. Biochem., 270(6),
   1036-1042, doi:10.1046/j.1432-1033.2003.03470.x, 2003.
- 618 Cao, C., Jiang, W., Wang, B., Fang, J., Lang, J., Tian, G., Jiang, J. and Zhu, T. F.: Inhalable
- Microorganisms in Beijing's PM2.5 and PM10 Pollutants during a Severe Smog Event, Environ. Sci.
  Technol., 48(3), 1499–1507, doi:10.1021/es4048472, 2014.
- 621 Chemidlin Prévost-Bouré, N., Christen, R., Dequiedt, S., Mougel, C., Lelièvre, M., Jolivet, C.,
- 622 Shahbazkia, H. R., Guillou, L., Arrouays, D. and Ranjard, L.: Validation and application of a PCR
- primer set to quantify fungal communities in the soil environment by real-time quantitative PCR,
  PLoS ONE, 6(9), e24166, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024166, 2011.
- Delmont, T. O., Malandain, C., Prestat, E., Larose, C., Monier, J.-M., Simonet, P. and Vogel, T. M.:
  Metagenomic mining for microbiologists, ISME J, 5(12), 1837–1843, doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.61,
  2011.
- 628 Delort, A.-M., Vaïtilingom, M., Amato, P., Sancelme, M., Parazols, M., Mailhot, G., Laj, P. and
- 629 Deguillaume, L.: A short overview of the microbial population in clouds: Potential roles in
- 630 atmospheric chemistry and nucleation processes, Atmospheric Research, 98(2), 249–260,
- 631 doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.07.004, 2010.
- 632 Dommergue, A., Amato, P., Tignat-Perrier, R., Magand, O., Thollot, A., Joly, M., Bouvier, L.,
- 633 Sellegri, K., Vogel, T., Sonke, J. E., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Andrade, M., Moreno, I., Labuschagne, C., Martin,
- 634 L., Zhang, Q. and Larose, C.: Methods to investigate the global atmospheric microbiome, Front.
- 635 Microbiol., 10, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00243, 2019.
- Donovan, P. D., Gonzalez, G., Higgins, D. G., Butler, G. and Ito, K.: Identification of fungi in
  shotgun metagenomics datasets, PLOS ONE, 13(2), e0192898, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0192898,
  2018.
- 639 Els, N., Larose, C., Baumann-Stanzer, K., Tignat-Perrier, R., Keuschnig, C., Vogel, T. M. and Sattler,
- B.: Microbial composition in seasonal time series of free tropospheric air and precipitation reveals
   community separation, Aerobiologia, doi:10.1007/s10453-019-09606-x, 2019.
- 642 Fierer, N., Jackson, J. A., Vilgalys, R. and Jackson, R. B.: Assessment of Soil Microbial Community
- 643 Structure by Use of Taxon-Specific Quantitative PCR Assays, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 71(7),
- 644 4117-4120, doi:10.1128/AEM.71.7.4117-4120.2005, 2005.





- Friedl, M. A., McIver, D. K., Hodges, J. C. F., Zhang, X. Y., Muchoney, D., Strahler, A. H.,
- 646 Woodcock, C. E., Gopal, S., Schneider, A., Cooper, A., Baccini, A., Gao, F. and Schaaf, C.: Global land
- 647 cover mapping from MODIS: algorithms and early results, Remote Sensing of Environment, 83(1),
- 648 287–302, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00078-0, 2002.
- 649 Griffin, D. W.: Atmospheric Movement of Microorganisms in Clouds of Desert Dust and
- Implications for Human Health, Clin Microbiol Rev, 20(3), 459–477, doi:10.1128/CMR.00039-06,
   2007.
- 652 Gusareva, E. S., Acerbi, E., Lau, K. J. X., Luhung, I., Premkrishnan, B. N. V., Kolundžija, S.,
- 653 Purbojati, R. W., Wong, A., Houghton, J. N. I., Miller, D., Gaultier, N. E., Heinle, C. E., Clare, M. E.,
- 654 Vettath, V. K., Kee, C., Lim, S. B. Y., Chénard, C., Phung, W. J., Kushwaha, K. K., Nee, A. P., Putra,
- 655 A., Panicker, D., Yanqing, K., Hwee, Y. Z., Lohar, S. R., Kuwata, M., Kim, H. L., Yang, L., Uchida, A.,
- 656 Drautz-Moses, D. I., Junqueira, A. C. M. and Schuster, S. C.: Microbial communities in the tropical
- air ecosystem follow a precise diel cycle, PNAS, 116(46), 23299–23308,
- 658 doi:10.1073/pnas.1908493116, 2019.
- Hadley, W. and Winston, C.: Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics,
  fonline Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/ggplot2.pdf, 2019.
- 661 Hill, K. A., Shepson, P. B., Galbavy, E. S., Anastasio, C., Kourtev, P. S., Konopka, A. and Stirm, B. H.:
- Processing of atmospheric nitrogen by clouds above a forest environment, Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Atmospheres, 112(D11), doi:10.1029/2006JD008002, 2007.
- Hindré, T., Knibbe, C., Beslon, G. and Schneider, D.: New insights into bacterial adaptation through *in vivo* and *in silico* experimental evolution, Nature Reviews Microbiology, 10(5), 352–365,
  doi:10.1038/nrmicro2750, 2012.
- Huang, M. and Hull, C. M.: Sporulation: How to survive on planet Earth (and beyond), Curr Genet,
   63(5), 831–838, doi:10.1007/s00294-017-0694-7, 2017.
- 669 Huerta-Cepas, J., Forslund, K., Coelho, L. P., Szklarczyk, D., Jensen, L. J., von Mering, C. and Bork,
- P.: Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology Assignment by eggNOG-Mapper,
  Mol. Biol. Evol., 34(8), 2115–2122, doi:10.1093/molbev/msx148, 2017.
- Huson, D. H., Richter, D. C., Mitra, S., Auch, A. F. and Schuster, S. C.: Methods for comparative
   metagenomics, BMC Bioinformatics, 10 Suppl 1, S12, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-S1-S12, 2009.
- Imlay, J. A.: The molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences of oxidative stress: lessons
   from a model bacterium, Nat Rev Microbiol, 11(7), 443–454, doi:10.1038/nrmicro3032, 2013.
- 676 Innocente, E., Squizzato, S., Visin, F., Facca, C., Rampazzo, G., Bertolini, V., Gandolfi, I., Franzetti,
- 677 A., Ambrosini, R. and Bestetti, G.: Influence of seasonality, air mass origin and particulate matter
- 678 chemical composition on airborne bacterial community structure in the Po Valley, Italy, Sci. Total
- 679 Environ., 593-594, 677-687, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.199, 2017.
- Jaenicke, R.: Atmospheric aerosols and global climate, Journal of Aerosol Science, 11(5), 577–588,
   doi:10.1016/0021-8502(80)90131-7, 1980.
- Joly, M., Amato, P., Sancelme, M., Vinatier, V., Abrantes, M., Deguillaume, L. and Delort, A.-M.:
   Survival of microbial isolates from clouds toward simulated atmospheric stress factors, Atmospheric
- 684 Environment, 117, 92–98, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.009, 2015.
- 685 Li, Y., Zheng, L., Zhang, Y., Liu, H. and Jing, H.: Comparative metagenomics study reveals pollution
- 686 induced changes of microbial genes in mangrove sediments, Scientific Reports, 9(1), 5739,
- 687 doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42260-4, 2019.





- Malik, A. A., Chowdhury, S., Schlager, V., Oliver, A., Puissant, J., Vazquez, P. G. M., Jehmlich, N.,
- von Bergen, M., Griffiths, R. I. and Gleixner, G.: Soil Fungal:Bacterial Ratios Are Linked to Altered
   Carbon Cycling, Front. Microbiol., 7, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01247, 2016.
- 691 Oksanen, J., Guillaume Blanchet, F., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.
- 692 R., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E. and Wagner, H.:
- 693 Community Ecology Package, [online] Available from: https://github.com/vegandevs/vegan, 2019.
- Rey, O., Danchin, E., Mirouze, M., Loot, C. and Blanchet, S.: Adaptation to Global Change: A
- Transposable Element–Epigenetics Perspective, Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 31(7), 514–526,
   doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.03.013, 2016.
- 697 Shannan, S., Collins, K. and Emanuel, W. R.: Global mosaics of the standard MODIS land cover type698 data, 2014.
- Shoeb, E., Badar, U., Akhter, J., Shams, H., Sultana, M. and Ansari, M. A.: Horizontal gene transfer
  of stress resistance genes through plasmid transport, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 28(3), 1021–
  1025, doi:10.1007/s11274-011-0900-6, 2012.
- Thomas, R. J., Webber, D., Hopkins, R., Frost, A., Laws, T., Jayasekera, P. N. and Atkins, T.: The
  Cell Membrane as a Major Site of Damage during Aerosolization of Escherichia coli, Appl. Environ.
  Microbiol., 77(3), 920–925, doi:10.1128/AEM.01116-10, 2011.
- Tignat-Perrier, R., Dommergue, A., Thollot, A., Keuschnig, C., Magand, O., Vogel, T. M. and
  Larose, C.: Global airborne microbial communities controlled by surrounding landscapes and wind
  conditions, Sci Rep, 9(1), 1–11, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-51073-4, 2019.
- Tringe, S. G., von Mering, C., Kobayashi, A., Salamov, A. A., Chen, K., Chang, H. W., Podar, M.,
  Short, J. M., Mathur, E. J., Detter, J. C., Bork, P., Hugenholtz, P. and Rubin, E. M.: Comparative
  metagenomics of microbial communities, Science, 308(5721), 554–557, doi:10.1126/science.1107851,
  2005.
- 712 Vaïtilingom, M., Amato, P., Sancelme, M., Laj, P., Leriche, M. and Delort, A.-M.: Contribution of
- 713 Microbial Activity to Carbon Chemistry in Clouds, Appl Environ Microbiol, 76(1), 23-29,
- 714 doi:10.1128/AEM.01127-09, 2010.
- 715 Vaïtilingom, M., Deguillaume, L., Vinatier, V., Sancelme, M., Amato, P., Chaumerliac, N. and Delort,
- 716 A.-M.: Potential impact of microbial activity on the oxidant capacity and organic carbon budget in
- 717 clouds, PNAS, 110(2), 559-564, doi:10.1073/pnas.1205743110, 2013.
- Vartoukian, S. R., Palmer, R. M. and Wade, W. G.: Strategies for culture of 'unculturable' bacteria,
  FEMS Microbiology Letters, 309(1), 1–7, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02000.x, 2010.
- Wickham, H.: Flexibly Reshape Data: A Reboot of the Reshape Packa, [online] Available from:
   https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/reshape2/reshape2.pdf, 2017.
- Wood, D. E. and Salzberg, S. L.: Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact
   alignments, Genome Biology, 15(3), R46, doi:10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r46, 2014.
- 724 Xie, W., Wang, F., Guo, L., Chen, Z., Sievert, S. M., Meng, J., Huang, G., Li, Y., Yan, O., Wu, S.,
- 725 Wang, X., Chen, S., He, G., Xiao, X. and Xu, A.: Comparative metagenomics of microbial
- communities inhabiting deep-sea hydrothermal vent chimneys with contrasting chemistries, The
- 727 ISME Journal, 5(3), 414–426, doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.144, 2011.
- 728 Yang, Y., Yokobori, S. and Yamagishi, A.: UV-resistant bacteria isolated from upper troposphere and
- 729 lower stratosphere, Biol.Sci.Space, 22, doi:10.2187/bss.22.18, 2008.





- 730 Yooseph, S., Nealson, K. H., Rusch, D. B., McCrow, J. P., Dupont, C. L., Kim, M., Johnson, J.,
- Montgomery, R., Ferriera, S., Beeson, K., Williamson, S. J., Tovchigrechko, A., Allen, A. E., Zeigler,
  L. A., Sutton, G., Eisenstadt, E., Rogers, Y.-H., Friedman, R., Frazier, M. and Venter, J. C.: Genomic
- L. A., Sutton, G., Eisenstadt, E., Rogers, Y.-H., Friedman, R., Frazier, M. and Venter, J. C.: Genomic
   and functional adaptation in surface ocean planktonic prokaryotes, Nature, 468(7320), 60–66,
- 734 doi:10.1038/nature09530, 2010.
- 735 Yooseph, S., Andrews-Pfannkoch, C., Tenney, A., McQuaid, J., Williamson, S., Thiagarajan, M.,
- Brami, D., Zeigler-Allen, L., Hoffman, J., Goll, J. B., Fadrosh, D., Glass, J., Adams, M. D., Friedman,
   R. and Venter, J. C.: A Metagenomic Framework for the Study of Airborne Microbial Communities,
- 737 R. and Venter, J. C.: A Metagenomic Framework for the Study of Airbol 738 PLOS ONE, 8(12), e81862, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081862, 2013.
- Zhang, H., Hu, Y., Zhou, C., Yang, Z., Wu, L., Zhu, M., Bao, H., Zhou, Y., Pang, M., Wang, R. and
- 740 Zhou, X.: Stress resistance, motility and biofilm formation mediated by a 25kb plasmid pLMSZ08 in
- 741 Listeria monocytogenes, Food Control, 94, 345–352, doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.07.002, 2018.

742

- 743 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 744
- 745 **Financial support**. This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche
- 746 [ANR-15-CE01-0002–03 INHALE]; Région Auvergne-Rhône Alpes [ARC3 2016];
- 747 CAMPUS France [program XU GUANGQI] and the French Polar Institute IPEV [program
   748 1028 and 399].
- 749

Author contributions. AD, CL and TMV designed the experiment. RTP, AD, AT and OM
 conducted the sampling field campaign. RTP did the molecular biology, bioinformatics and
 statistical analyses. RTP, AD, CL and TMV analyzed the results. RTP, TM, AD and CL wrote
 the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

754

755Acknowledgements. The chemical analyses were performed at the IGE AirOSol platform. This work was hosted by the following stations: Chacaltaya, Namco, puy de Dôme, Cape-Point, Pic-756du-Midi, Amsterdam-Island, Storm-Peak, Villum RS and we thank I.Jouvie, G.Hallar, 757I.McCubbin, Benny and Jesper, B.Jensen, A.Nicosia, M.Ribeiro, L.Besaury, L.Bouvier, 758759 M.Joly, I.Moreno, M.Rocca, F.Velarde for sampling and station management. We thank our project partners: K.Sellegri, P.Amato, M.Andrade, Q.Zhang, C.Labuschagne and L.Martin, J. 760Sonke. We thank R.Edwards, J. Schauer and C.Worley for lending their HV sampler. We thank 761L.Pouilloux for computing assistance and maintenance of the Newton server. 762

763

**Data availability**. Sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in ftp://ftp-adn.eclyon.fr/Tignat-Perrier\_2020\_air\_metagen\_INHALE/. A file has been attached explaining the correspondence between file names and samples.