Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-239-AC3, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



BGD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "The transformation of the forest steppe in the lower Danube Plain of south-eastern Europe: 6000 years of vegetation and land use dynamic" by Angelica Feurdean et al.

Angelica Feurdean et al.

angelica.feurdean@gmail.com

Received and published: 12 October 2020

BGD Interactive comment Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-239-RC2, 2020[©] Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. Interactive comment on "The transformation of the forest steppe in the lower Danube Plain of south-eastern Europe: 6000 years of vegetation and land use dynamic" by Angelica Feurdean et al. Simon Connor (Referee) simon.connor@anu.edu.au Received and published: 28 August 2020

This manuscript, by Angelica Feurdean and colleagues, is an interesting and professionally executed study of past conditions on the Lower Danube Plain. The authors Printer-friendly version



use a multiproxy approach and quantitative land-cover modelling to address questions about the past extent and dynamics of the forest-steppe ecotone in the Western Black Sea region. The manuscript is very well referenced, contains high quality data and is clearly written. The high temporal resolution of the sampling and the quantitative modelling aspects really make this paper stand out from all others in the region. I agree with the other reviewer (#1) that the manuscript presents no major problems. However, I do have a number of suggestions for further improvement that may improve the manuscript's structure and its interdisciplinary and international appeal.

R: Many thanks for your positive appreciation of our work. Please note that this is our extensive response to the review compared to our response dated 18.09.2020.

Specific comments: 1.The Introduction would benefit from some careful restructuring to link the literature review to the research questions more closely. At the moment, the Introduction seems to be presenting many different aims and objectives: "determine lake catchment and in-lake changes", "explore the role of climate, natural and an-thropogenic disturbances", test a hypothesis about the naturalness of the landscape, determine whether forests were more moisture-demanding, determine the timing of transformations, determine the ecosystem's sensitivity to climate and anthropogenic impacts, inform decision making about desertification and to test land-cover models. This seems like too many questions for one paper – the authors cannot hope to deliver on all of these with depth and certainty. Indeed, many of these themes are not revisited in the Discussion section. A more focussed introduction would clarify exactly what problems the authors are aiming to (and can) solve. Ideally, the research questions should arise from gaps or uncertainties in the literature.

R: As this study is the first mid to late Holocene multi-proxy palaeoenvironmental and palaeovegetation reconstruction for this region, we highlighted all the many questions we felt we could answer with the current datasets. In the revised version of the paper, we streamlined the aims to ensure a better fit with the themes addressed in the Discussion, i.e., understanding the past vegetation composition and dynamics and their

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



drivers (climate, humans and fire). The aims now read: "Here, we explore the longterm vegetation dynamics of the Lower Danube Plain landscapes and the competing driving forces (climate, fire and anthropogenic impact). More specifically, we address the following research questions: i) Is forest steppe the natural vegetation type of the Lower Danube Plain under climatic conditions similar to those in the present? ii) Has the tree cover been more extensive or dominated by other tree taxa in the past? iii) When did this area undergo the most marked land cover and land use changes and was this transformation continuous in time? This study is built on a pollen-based quantitative vegetation reconstruction (REVEALS model), along with records of long-chain higher plant-wax n-alkanes, charcoal, coprophilous fungi and geochemistry from a sedimentary sequence from Lake Oltina, south-eastern Romania. This is the first pollen based quantitative land cover estimate in the forest steppe of south eastern Europe and allows the hypothesis of the naturalness of forest steppe ecosystems in this region as well as its sensitivity to climate and anthropogenic impact to be critically tested". 100.

2. The authors' use of Potential Natural Vegetation (PNV) as a baseline could be more critically assessed. PNVs are problematic since they are static in space and time, while pollen data and REVEALS reconstructions, like the results presented here, show that the past vegetation was spatio-temporally dynamic. There is an excellent paper exploring the mismatches between PNV and REVEALS in Czechia (Abraham et al. 2016, Preslia 88: 409-434) and I encourage the authors to consult it and other papers on the topic (e.g. Chiarucci et al. 2010, J. Veg. Sci. 21: 1172-1178; Rull 2015, J. Veg. Sci. 26: 603-607). My feeling is that the manuscript would be stronger if the authors reduced their reliance on the PNV map and instead used the REVEALS reconstruction as a test for PNV accuracy. This is important because PNV is commonly used as a baseline for restoration and it could be influencing current conservation efforts. The paper shows that there are several possibilities for the vegetation of the site – various types of forest-steppes, steppes and agro-pastoral landscapes, which are dynamic in space and time. This would make for much richer and more interesting conclusions.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



R: This is a pertinent point. As this point appeared to not have come across clearly, in the revised version, we have incorporated the reviewer's suggestions and more specifically highlight the issues of using PNV as a baseline for vegetation composition. This now reads: According to Bohn et al. (2003), the potential natural vegetation (PNV) in the study area, the easternmost part of the Lower Danube Plain, also known as the Southern Dobrogea Plateau, is forest steppe, i.e., woodland patches within a matrix of graminoid and forb dominated communities. It borders steppe grasslands i.e., treeless vegetation dominated by graminoids and forbs to the east and thermophilous mixed deciduous broadleaf forests to the west. The forest steppe and steppe vegetation extend over 6000 km along an east-west gradient across Eurasia (Fig. 1) under climate conditions delimited by a ca. 2 month long late-summer drought for the forest steppe zone and 4-6 months for the steppe (Walter, 1974). However, according to the management plan for the region (Planul de Management, 2016), there are currently few patches of natural steppe vegetation preserved in the Southern Dobrogea Plateau as most have become ruderal steppe. Since the Lower Danube Plain represents one of the oldest areas of continuous human occupation from Neolithic onwards i.e., 8000 cal yr BP (http://ran.cimec.ro; BălăEŻescu and Radu, 2004; Weininger et al., 2009; Wunderlich et al., 2012; Nowacki et al., 2019) and one of the most important agricultural areas in Europe (European Environmental Agency, 2016), the current vegetation is likely very different from its natural state, though exactly how different is not known. The use of PNV as a baseline for natural vegetation has been found to be problematic as it considers only climax vegetation i.e., the final stage of an ecological succession, and assumes vegetation to remain static in space and time (Chiarruci, et al., 2010; Jackson et al., 2013; Abraham et al., 2014; Rull 2015). PNV also fails to consider the vital role of natural disturbances such as fire and herbivores and their impacts on vegetation succession (Chiarruci, et al., 2010; Feurdean et al., 2018), leading to unrealistic vegetation reconstruction even in the absence of disturbance by humans (Jackson et al., 2013). These are the reasons why most areas that are currently covered by grasslands or open woodlands in Central Eastern Europe are given as naturally dominated by de-

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



ciduous broadleaf forest or mixed coniferous and broadleaf forest in PNV (Feurdean et al., 2018) and the representation of pioneer trees in PNV is much lower compared to the pollen-based Holocene vegetation estimates (Abraham et al., 2014). Inaccurate identification of natural vegetation types can also lead to inappropriate decision in terms of conservation practices and policies. For example, the worldwide reforestation used PNV to identify opportunities for landscape restoration to mitigate climate change in areas where the climate can sustain forest (http://www.wri.org/applications/maps/flr-atlas). This approach is threatening grassland ecosystems as such policies are based on the false assumption that most grasslands are man-made and ignore the very high richness of grasslands at smaller spatial scale (Whittaker et al. 2001), as well as their unique cultural significance (Dengler et al., 2014)." 55-80.

3. Given the carbonate-rich geology and the fact that mostly shells were dated, it would be useful to briefly discuss potential reservoir effects. Reservoir effects will not change the modelling results, but may introduce some uncertainty about the timing of the major transitions identified in the land-cover reconstructions and the interpretations of vegetation change as being forced by climate

R: We have determined that the hard water effect is about 1000 years and discuss the timing of major environmental changes assuming ages 1000 years younger than would have been calculated without taking into account this dating limitation (see S2). In the revised discussion we also discusse to the timing of major deforestation and the link with archaeological evidences and simulated vegetation suing both original and adjusted age.

4. A surprising omission in the manuscript is the aquatic pollen taxa. These taxa might help better interpret the n-alkane results, providing additional proxy for lake hydrological conditions. The authors' interpretations of anoxia and lake level change may find stronger support with the addition of aquatic pollen. The extent to which aquatic vegetation influenced the n-alkanes signal could also be explored.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



R: We have included aquatic and wetland taxa into the pollen diagram (Appendix A1) and discuss potential connection with hydrological conditions in the revised version of the paper.

5. The REVEALS modelling in this paper is very comprehensive. One small doubt concerns Carpinus orientalis. In my experience, this 'tree' is very often a shrub in forest-steppe landscapes. Is it correct to call it a 'forest' taxon in this region? Is it possible that the increase in C. orientalis around 4200 cal. yr BP represents a scrub expansion or the abandonment of coppicing? These changes may even relate to shift-ing land-use at the Neolithic–Bronze Age transition, a topic that would benefit from further exploration in the Discussion.

R: According to the European Atlas of Forest Tree Species (2016), Carpinus orientalis is described as a small tree or shrub, and as a tree species native to southeast Europe. the Pontic region and western Asia. In Bulgaria, Dodev et al. (2020) Austrian Journal of Forests Science, 137, 23-42, 2020, defined oriental hornbeam forests as forest stands with 50 % or more of C. orientalis in the tree composition. Therefore, we have referred to C. orientalis as a forest taxon based on its percentages and consistent presence in the uppermost canopy levels in our vegetation surveys of/within forest stands in the area. The increase of Carpinus orientalis around 4200 cal BP at the expense of Quercus is concurrent with the expansion of Fagus sylvatica and C. betulus and a low abundance of other local shrub taxa (Appendix A1), thus suggesting its expansion as a tree not scrub expansion. Archaeological findings from the area are very scarce for the first half (4200-3400 cal yr BP) of the maximum tree cover, corresponding to the early and mid-Bronze Age ((http://ran.cimec.ro;). The decrease of Quercus could indicate deforestation, although the low abundance of anthropogenic pollen indicators (Cerealia) and coprophilous fungi suggest logging was at a small scale, probably for individual households.

Technical comments by line number:

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version





31- place a comma after "taxa" 32- "Maximum tree cover. . . between 4200 and 2500" here, but "greatest tree cover. . . between 6000 and 2500" in line 30. . . this seems like a mistake since the ranges overlap

R: We have slightly altered this sentence to show that there was a higher tree cover 6000-2500 cal yr BP, with absolute maxima between 4200-2500 cal yr BP. "We found a greater tree cover, composed of xerothermic (Carpinus orientalis and Quercus) and temperate (Carpinus betulus, Tilia, Ulmus and Fraxinus) tree taxa, between 6000 and 2500 cal yr BP. Maximum tree cover (\sim 50%) occurred between 4200 and 2500 cal yr BP at a time of wetter climatic conditions.

35 – "mid-Holocene forest maximum" seems to refer to conditions from 4200-2500 cal. yr BP, which is usually termed late Holocene. Line 34-See also comments about the use of "forest", line 305. In the context of forest-steppe, I suggest "tree cover" rather than "forest cover" and perhaps "steppe expansion" rather than "forest loss".

R: We have corrected mid with late Holocene. Additionally, we have changed forest cover with tree cover through the manuscript and tree loss and steppe expansion in the Abstract. This sentence reads "Tree loss // therefore steppe expansion, was under way by 2500 yr BP (Iron Age) with REVEALS estimates indicating a fall to ~20% tree cover from the late Holocene forest maximum linked to".

38 – "highlighting recurring anthropogenic pressure" – is this indeed cyclical, or due to more-or-less continuous anthropogenic pressure?

R. Changed. A sparse tree cover, with only weak signs of forest recovery, then became a permanent characteristic of the Lower Danube Plain, highlighting more or less continuous anthropogenic pressure.

39 – "was in between that in" – consider "falls in between that of" R: Changed to: "The timing of anthropogenic ecosystem transformation here (2500 cal yr BP) falls in between that in central eastern (between 3700 and 3000 cal yr BP) and eastern (after

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



2000 cal yr BP) Europe".

42 - "reflects" - change to "reflect" to agree with woodlands

R: Changed to reflect.

44 – the comment about pollen preservation seems to indicate that this pollen record may be adversely affected by taphonomy. . . is that the case? Perhaps taphonomy could be addressed elsewhere in the paper?

R: We did not run into the problem of pollen preservation at Lake Oltina. We remove this sentence from the abstract and preserve it at the conclusion to highlight that nalkane could accurately track changes in the main vegetation types i.e., tree-grass cover in this region.

47 – delete "The" at start of sentence 49-51 – this sentence sounds like it concerns the present-day environment (i.e. ecological studies), but all the references are palaeo studies. More precise wording would avoid misleading readers, or modern ecological studies could be incorporated to increase the interdisciplinarity of the manuscript 54 – the definition of "lowlands" in this paper is not very clear, since it seems to imply that lowlands are "drier" than "mesic areas of Europe". A more precise term like "steppic grasslands" or even a map showing the current extent (not potential extent) of the ecosystem might help, since readers could easily confuse the steppic lowlands they are referring to with the lowlands of the Netherlands, for example, which are certainly quite different.

R: We have split this sentence in two; the first refers to contemporary studies the second to past trends. It reads: "Lowland ecosystems (mesic and steppic grasslands, woodlands, etc.) provide an array of provisioning (e.g. crops, grazed areas, wood) and regulating services (e.g. soil protection; European Environmental Agency, 2016). However, in comparison to the mountainous areas of central eastern Europe, lowland ecosystems, especially steppic grasslands have been more strongly impacted by huBGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



man activities (Magyari et al., 2010...)".

65 – the idea of grasslands being richer than rainforests seemed surprising, but the original reference states "at small spatial scales vascular plant diversity of certain European grasslands even exceeds tropical rainforests". It would be good to specify what scale is meant here, since 'alpha diversity' is a vague term encompassing a large range of spatial scales (Whittaker et al. 2001, J. Biogeog. 28: 453-470). 67 – this sentence refers to temperate grasslands (it may be different for tropical grasslands)

R: Added. It reads:" This approach is threatening grassland ecosystems as such policies are based on the false assumption that most grasslands are man-made and ignore the very high richness of grasslands at smaller spatial scale (Whittaker et al. 2001), as well as their unique cultural significance (Dengler et al., 2014).

71 – unclear what you mean by "cultural rise" – what is it and what is the evidence for it?

R: We have revised this paragraph. It reads: "Since the Lower Danube Plain represents one of the oldest areas of continuous human occupation from Neolithic onwards .e., 8000 cal yr BP (http://ran.cimec.ro; BălăÈŹescu and Radu, 2004; Weininger et al., 2009; Wunderlich et al., 2012; Nowacki et al., 2019) and one of the most important agricultural areas in Europe (European Environmental Agency, 2016), the current vegetation is likely very different from its natural state, though exactly how different is not known".

87 – remove comma after "although". I found this sentence difficult to understand. Are you saying that recent land-cover models tend to support the pollen-based reconstructions, whereas the old ones didn't?

R: Comma removed. Basically yes, the new land cover models tend to better support the pollen-based reconstructions. This sentence now reads: "However, some modelbased land cover reconstructions strongly underestimated anthropogenic land cover



Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



change in Europe (Gaillard et al., 2010), although recent studies also show a closer match between the model and pollen-based reconstructions of land cover changes (Kaplan et al., 2018). "

92 – this sentence is very important in the paper, but is very complex and methodological. I feel as though it would be more logical to have it appear after the aim and research questions, rather than before. 96 – these are very good research questions and would benefit from stronger links to the preceding introductory material. Why is it important to know what is "natural" here? Is that a current concern for biodiversity conservation in the region? Why would we expect moisture-demanding taxa in past forests? Has this been hypothesised on some basis? There seems to be a word missing in "When did this area undergo the most marked land cover". . . land-cover change, perhaps?

R: We agree with the reviewer and re-wrote the aims and hypotheses to answer these questions and to ensure a better fit with the themes addressed in the Discussion. See our full replay to his major point 1.

103 – what is the hypothesis mentioned here? How can it be assessed using pollen data which are poorly taxonomically resolved for many grassland taxa?

R: We did not aim specifically to determine grassland diversity.

105 - please explain these desertification phenomena earlier in the introduction to provide context

R: We have removed this aim from the paper, therefore no need to address this issue in the Introduction.

114 – what is the source of the "excessively temperate continental" category? Could you give a Köppen classification as well?

C10

R: See our response to Rev 1_point 2.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



118 - comma after "eroded"

R: Done.

120 – it's unclear to me why the potential natural vegetation is being presented here, given that the paper aims to reconstruct the past vegetation and land cover. I feel that PNV should be a model to be tested, rather than stated as a fact, as it is here.

R: See our response to the reviewer main point 2.

126 - the pollen source area is stated as being 20 km. How was this determined?

R: We have determined this by tacking into account the size of the lake, and the number of possible types of habitats/vegetation types.

162 – what is the minimum pollen sum?

R: Minimum pollen sum was 300 terrestrial pollen grains.

177 – it would be good to justify the statement that n-alkanes reflect climate conditions here – what is the evidence?

R: Please see our detail response to Rev 1 lines 178, 280)

199 – this statement about trees may need revision to specify deciduous trees or angiosperms, since n-alkanes appear to be less successful in detecting coniferous trees (Diefendorf et al. 2015. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 170: 145-156)

R: We are added a sentence mentioning this bias. See our response to Rev_1 line 178.

 $220\mathchar`-225$ – please provide a reference justifying Zr and Fe:Mn as proxies for erosion and anoxia.

R: We did state this in the methods. This reads: 'We selected detritical element Zr, as proxy for erosion (Kylander et al., 2011) and the Fe: Mn ratio, to reconstruct anoxic conditions in the lake (Nacher et al., 2013)".

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



273 – the subtitle here is confusing and unclear. Are you trying to say it's about both periods or the transition from one to the other? Perhaps "Transition from forest steppe (6000-4200 cal. BP) to maximum tree cover (4200-2500 cal. BP)" would be clearer? Also, can we be certain C. orientalis was a 'tree'?

R: See reply to the reviewer's main point 5.

276 – change "open woodland forest" to "open woodland" R. Changed to open woodlands.

279 – change "shrub" to "shrubs" (or make grasses and forbs singular)

R: Changed to shrubs.

280 - change "Coeval to" to "Coeval with"

R: Changed to coeval with...

282 – is it possible to disentangle the climatic and vegetation signals in the n-alkanes, or is it not possible to say whether they were caused by one or the other? Perhaps the pollen record suggests that vegetation thickening was the main cause of the n-alkanes variations? Vegetation thickening might be linked to declining fire activity, changes in agricultural practices (cropping, grazing) and/or climatic drivers.

R: It is not possible with our record of n-alkane to disentangle the climate from vegetation signal. See our response to Rev 1, lines 178, 204.

286 – how are aquatic plants reflected in the n-alkane record? The absence of aquatic/wetland taxa (e.g. Cyperaceae) in the pollen record (Appendix A1) is striking – were aquatics not counted?

R: We have added and discussed Paq in the alkane record, which quantifies the abundance of submerged and floating vascular macrophytes. We have also added and interpreted the pollen of aquatic and wetland. However, the combined two proxy do not seem to offer a very clear picture of past hydrological changes.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



288 – is it possible that there was a higher lake level when this lake is connected hydrologically to the Danube? This would imply the Danube also having a higher level, wouldn't it? Could the potential anoxia be linked to lake trophic status or to aquatic vegetation?

R: Hydrological connections between Lake Oltina and Danube was likely the case. We have improved this paragraph by bring more evidence for the alternative hypothesis of anoxic based- higher lake level versus trophic status or less turbulent conditions. It reads: The maximum extent of tree cover parallels a slight Fe/Mn ratio increase more evidently between 4000-3500 cal yr BP and 3000-2500 cal yr BP, which may indicate the establishment of more anoxic conditions (Naeher et al., 2013), possibly associated with a higher lake level in connection to the intensification of fluvial activity on Danube river or less turbulent conditions. Increased anoxia linked to a higher lake trophic status and decomposition of organic matter is supported by the slight increase of submerged aquatic macrophyte (Pag; Fig. 4). The abundance of pollen and spores of aquatic and wetland plants remained rather stable during this time, except for the slight increase aquatic taxa (Potamogeton, Myriophilum, Typa /Sparganim) and a decline in Cyperaceae, at the times of anoxic condition, which may indicate both, a lake level increase as well as a more organic matter in the lake. On the other hand, low values of the lithogenic element Zr between 4200 and 2500 cal yr BP indicate more stable slope conditions with low run off, which might support the hypothesis that increased anoxia may have resulted from less turbulent conditions in the lake.'

305 – the term "forest cover" is a little bit misleading as the authors' reconstructions do not show the existence of a forest, but of a forest-steppe. There are several instances through the text where this confusion could arise, including line 34 of the Abstract. In the context of forest-steppe, I suggest "tree cover" rather than "forest cover" and perhaps "steppe expansion" rather than "forest loss".

R: We agree with this point and changed forest cover with tree cover throughout the text.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



311 - change "impact of" to "impact on" R: Changed to impact on.

325 - remove the "." after "Plantago" R. Dot removed.

329 – the mention of uncertainties is welcome; however, the authors could help the reader to better understand the implications of the uncertainties. . . do the interpretations change if we consider these uncertainties, or are the uncertainties minor?

R: See our detail response to Rev 1. I. 326.

353 - replace "modelled-based" with "model-based"

R: Changed to model-based.

392 – unclear sentence

R: We have changed this sentence and now reads: "The comparison of pollen records from the European forest steppe shows a west to east gradient of timing and magnitude of deforestation rate (Fig. 6)".

398 - change "extend" to "extent"

R: Changed to extent.

399 – this is an interesting idea about the climatic suitability of the region for forest, though it is maintained relatively open through disturbances like cropping and grazing – a 'plagioclimax'. Perhaps this idea could be expanded a bit more, given its relevance to landscape restoration and conservation, as well to understanding human interactions with the biota of the region.

R: We have slightly expended this at the end of chapter 5.3.

403 - delete "the" before "SE Europe"

R: Deleted

411 - comma after "millennia"

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



R: Inserted.

412 – the idea that deforestation contributed to aridification would benefit from some discussion and justification in the Discussion section, not just in the Conclusion.

R: We have introduced a short paragraph the end of chapter 5.3.

416 – there is a slight inconsistency in the argument here about n-alkanes. The authors say that they track the vegetation changes in the pollen, so that makes them a reliable indicator of past vegetation change, but earlier in the paper the n-alkanes are interpreted as a climate proxy. Is it that n-alkanes are a proxy for climate directly, or are they, like pollen, a proxy for vegetation, which can be influenced by climate? Readers like myself will be grateful for the additional explanation!

R: Please see our response to Rev_1 lines 178, 204.

418 – please help the reader to understand how this single record might be able to be used to test land-cover models – this would add greatly to the application and relevance of the study and 419 – what is meant by "an earlier impact than in the reconstructions is also true"? This issue is not really discussed in the paper and seems not to really relate to the material presented. Perhaps after the research questions are refined, the conclusion could be restructured slightly to address those?

R: Agree. As a comparison between the outcome of pollen and model-based land cover changes was not the main outcome of the paper, we removed this bit from here and the abstract. We have restructured the conclusions to more strongly accommodate our findings.

425 - replace "design" with "designed"

R: Replaced with designed.

431 - replace "grating" with "granting" (also line 433)

R: Replaced with granting.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Fig. 5 – please add some indication of the pollen zones from Fig. 4

R: Zones added.

Table 2 - check spelling of Plantago lanceolata

R: Corrected see Table 2.

Appendix A1 - please add wetland/aquatic taxa to the pollen diagrams

R: We have included a pollen of aquatic and wetland into the pollen in the Appendix A1.

âĂČ

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-239, 2020.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

