



2 **Deep maxima of phytoplankton biomass, primary production and bacterial** 3 **production in the Mediterranean Sea during late spring**

4 Emilio Marañón¹, France Van Wambeke², Julia Uitz³, Emmanuel S. Boss⁴, María Pérez-Lorenzo¹,
5 Julie Dinasquet⁵, Nils Haëntjens⁴, Céline Dimier³, Vincent Taillandier³

6 ¹Department of Ecology and Animal Biology, Universidade de Vigo, 36310 Vigo, Spain

7 ²Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography, MIO
8 UM 110, 13288 Marseille, France

9 ³CNRS and Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, 06230 Villefranche-sur-mer, France

10 ⁴School of Marine Sciences, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, USA

11 ⁵Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, USA

12 Correspondence to: E. Marañón (em@uvigo.es)

13 **Abstract**

14 The deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) is a ubiquitous feature of phytoplankton vertical distribution in stratified waters
15 that is relevant for our understanding of the mechanisms that underpin the variability in photoautotroph ecophysiology
16 across environmental gradients and has implications for remote sensing of aquatic productivity. During the
17 PEACETIME (*Process studies at the air-sea interface after dust deposition in the Mediterranean Sea*) cruise, carried
18 out from 10 May to 11 June 2017, we obtained 23 concurrent vertical profiles of phytoplankton chlorophyll *a*, carbon
19 biomass and primary production, as well as heterotrophic prokaryotic production, in the western and central
20 Mediterranean basins. Our main aims were to quantify the relative role of photoacclimation and enhanced growth as
21 underlying mechanisms of the DCM and to assess the trophic coupling between phytoplankton and heterotrophic
22 prokaryotic production. We found that the DCM coincided with a maximum in both biomass and primary production
23 but not in growth rate of phytoplankton, which averaged 0.3 d⁻¹ and was relatively constant across the euphotic layer.
24 Photoacclimation explained most of the increased chlorophyll *a* at the DCM, as the carbon to chlorophyll *a* ratio (C:Chl
25 *a*) decreased from ca. 90-100 (g:g) at the surface to 20-30 at the base of the euphotic layer, while phytoplankton carbon
26 biomass increased from ca. 6 mgC m⁻³ at the surface to 10-15 mgC m⁻³ at the DCM. As a result of photoacclimation,
27 there was an uncoupling between chlorophyll *a*-specific and carbon-specific productivity across the euphotic layer. The
28 fucoxanthin to total chlorophyll *a* ratio increased markedly with depth, as did the biomass contribution of large cells,
29 suggesting a dominance of diatoms at the DCM. The increased biomass and carbon fixation at the base of the euphotic
30 zone was associated with enhanced rates of heterotrophic prokaryotic activity, which also showed a surface peak linked
31 with warmer temperatures. Considering the phytoplankton biomass and turnover rates measured at the DCM, nutrient
32 diffusive fluxes across the nutricline were able to supply only a minor fraction of the photoautotroph nitrogen and
33 phosphorus requirements. Thus the deep maxima in biomass and primary production were not fueled by new nutrients,
34 but likely resulted from cell sinking from the upper layers in combination with the high photosynthetic efficiency of a
35 diatom-rich, low-light acclimated community largely sustained by regenerated nutrients. Further studies with increased
36 temporal and spatial resolution will be required to ascertain if the deep primary production peaks associated with the
DCM persist across the western and central Mediterranean Sea throughout the stratification season.



1. Introduction

38 One of the most remarkable features of phytoplankton distribution in lakes and oceans is the presence of a deep
chlorophyll maximum (DCM), typically located at the base of the euphotic layer and coinciding with the top of the
40 nutricline, that occurs in permanently and seasonally stratified water columns (Cullen, 2015; Herbland and Voituriez,
1979). Multiple, non-mutually exclusive mechanisms may contribute to the development of a DCM, including
42 photoacclimation (the increase in cellular chlorophyll content as a response to low light conditions) (Geider, 1987),
enhanced growth conditions at the layer where elevated nutrient diffusion from below coexists with still sufficient
44 irradiance (Beckmann and Hense, 2007), a decrease in sinking rates near the pycnocline (Lande and Wood, 1987), and
changes in buoyancy regulation or swimming behaviour of cells (Durham and Stocker, 2012). Photoacclimation is a
46 rapid process that takes place in a matter of hours (Fisher et al., 1996), and therefore part of the increased chlorophyll
concentration at the DCM is always the result of a decrease in the phytoplankton carbon to chlorophyll *a* ratio (C:Chl
48 *a*), which results mainly from decreased irradiance but is also favoured by enhanced nutrient supply (Geider et al.,
1996). Although the role of photoacclimation, particularly in strongly oligotrophic environments, has long been
50 acknowledged (Steele, 1964), the fact that Chl *a* is used routinely as a surrogate for photoautotrophic biomass has
helped to fuel the assumption, often found in the scientific literature and in textbooks, that the DCM is always a
52 maximum in the biomass and, by extension, the growth rate of phytoplankton. The assessment of total phytoplankton
biomass along vertical gradients has been traditionally hindered by the time-consuming nature of microscopy
54 techniques, but the increasing use of optical properties such as the particulate beam attenuation and backscattering
coefficients to estimate the concentration of suspended particles in the water column (Behrenfeld et al., 2016; Martinez-
56 Vicente et al., 2013) has allowed to characterize biogeographic and seasonal patterns in the vertical variability of
phytoplankton chlorophyll and biomass in stratified environments (Fennel and Boss, 2003; Cullen, 2015; Mignot et al.,
58 2014).

It is now established that the nature of DCM changes fundamentally along a gradient of thermal stability and nutrient
60 availability (Cullen, 2015). In the oligotrophic extreme, represented by permanently stratified regions such as the
subtropical gyres, the DCM is mostly a result of photoacclimation and does not constitute a biomass maximum
62 (Marañón et al., 2000; Mignot et al., 2014; Pérez et al., 2006). However, a biomass maximum, located at a shallower
depth than the DCM, can develop in oligotrophic conditions as a result of the interplay between phytoplankton growth,
64 biological losses and sinking (Fennel and Boss, 2003). In mesotrophic regimes, such as seasonally stratified temperate
seas during summer, the DCM is often also a biomass maximum that manifests as a peak in beam attenuation or
66 backscattering (Mignot et al., 2014). Both ends of this trophic gradient can be found in the Mediterranean Sea along its
well-known longitudinal trend in nutrient availability, phytoplankton biomass, and productivity (Antoine et al., 1995;
68 D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà, 2009; Lavigne et al., 2015). Using data from biogeochemical Argo (BGC-Argo)
profiling floats deployed throughout the Mediterranean, Barbieux et al. (2019) established general patterns in the
70 distribution and seasonal dynamics of biomass (estimated from the particulate backscattering coefficient) and
chlorophyll subsurface maxima. They found that in the western Mediterranean Sea, during late spring and summer, a
72 subsurface biomass maximum develops that coincides with a chlorophyll maximum and is located roughly at the same
depth as the nutricline and above the $0.3 \text{ mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ isolume. In contrast, in the Ionian and Levantine seas the
74 DCM, which has a smaller magnitude, arises solely from photoacclimation and is located well above the nutricline at a
depth that corresponds closely with the $0.3 \text{ mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ isolume (Barbieux et al., 2019). The presence of a
76 subsurface or deep biomass maximum may suggest that a particularly favourable combination of light and nutrients
occurs at that depth, leading to enhanced phytoplankton growth and new production. It remains unknown, however,



78 whether phytoplankton growth and biomass turnover rates are actually higher at the depth of the biomass maximum. An
additional source of uncertainty is that both the particulate attenuation and backscattering coefficients relate not only to
80 phytoplankton abundance but to the entire pool of particles, including non-algal and detrital particles, which are known
to contribute significantly to total suspended matter in oligotrophic regions (Claustre et al., 1999). Combining direct and
82 specific measurements of phytoplankton production (with the ^{14}C -uptake technique) and biovolume (with flow
cytometry) offers a way to determine photoautotrophic biomass turnover rates (Marañón et al., 2014; Kirchman, 2002)
84 and thus gain further insight into the dynamics and underlying mechanisms of the DCM. By investigating concurrently
the vertical variability in heterotrophic prokaryotic production in relation to phytoplankton standing stocks and
86 productivity, it is also possible to ascertain potential implications of the DCM for trophic coupling within the microbial
plankton community.

88 The PEACETIME (*Process studies at the air-sea interface after dust deposition in the Mediterranean Sea*) cruise,
which investigated atmospheric deposition fluxes and their impact on biogeochemical cycling in the Mediterranean Sea
90 (Guieu et al., 2020), covered the Western, Tyrrhenian and Ionian regions during late spring 2017, when the DCM was
already well developed. Here we describe the vertical variability in chlorophyll *a* concentration, phytoplankton biomass
92 and production, and heterotrophic prokaryotic production. Our main goals are: 1) to determine the extent to which
photoacclimation, enhanced phytoplankton biomass, and enhanced productivity and growth underlie the DCM; 2) to
94 characterize the vertical variability in C:Chl *a*, and C biomass-specific and Chl *a*-specific production, and 3) to assess
the trophic coupling between phytoplankton photosynthetic activity and heterotrophic bacterial production. The results
96 presented provide a context, in terms of the abundance and activity of key microbial plankton groups, to other
ecological and biogeochemical investigations carried out during the PEACETIME cruise and included in this special
98 issue.

2. Methods

2.1 Oceanographic cruise

100 A detailed description of the ensemble of atmospheric and oceanographic observations conducted during the
PEACETIME process study can be found in Guieu et al. (2020). Here we report measurements conducted during an
102 oceanographic cruise on board the *R/V Pourquoi Pas?*, which took place in the western and central Mediterranean Sea
during the period 10 May – 11 June 2017 (Fig. 1). The cruise focused on three long-stay stations, which were occupied
104 during 4-5 days: station TYRR, located in the Tyrrhenian Sea (39° 20.4' N, 12° 35.6' E); station ION, located in the
Ionian Sea (35° 29.1' N, 19° 47.8' E); and station FAST, located in the Balearic Sea (37° 56.8' N, 2° 54.6' E). The
106 latter station was occupied as part of a fast-action response to investigate the biogeochemical impacts of an event of
atmospheric wet deposition that occurred during the period 3-5 June (Guieu et al., 2020). In addition, 10 short-stay
108 stations were occupied during 8 hours. At all stations, CTD casts were conducted and seawater samples obtained for the
measurement of the abundance, biomass and productivity of phytoplankton and bacterioplankton.
110

2.2 Sampling, hydrography and irradiance

112 We used a Seabird Electronics's SBE911+ CTD underwater unit interfaced with a sampling carousel of 24 Niskin
bottles, a Chelsea Acquatracka 3 fluorometer and a photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) Biospherical Licor sensor.
114 At the short stations, CTD casts were conducted at 04:00-07:00 local time (with the exception of station 1, which was
sampled at 08:40). At the long stations, CTD casts were conducted throughout the day but in the present report, to avoid
116 the effect of diel variability, we only consider plankton samples from the pre-dawn casts (04:00-05:00). Using CTD



casts conducted between 06:00 and 16:00, we calculated the value of the euphotic-layer vertical attenuation coefficient (k_d) after fitting the PAR data to:

$$\text{PAR}_z = \text{PAR}(0^-) \exp(-k_d z) \quad (1)$$

where $\text{PAR}(0^-)$ is the irradiance just below the surface. From this model we calculated the % PAR level for each sampling depth, which was used to determine the incubation irradiance for each sample during the primary production experiments (see section 2.5 below). We compared the daily integrated values of total solar irradiance (TSI) from the ship's pyranometer (Young 70721) and the theoretical incident PAR above the surface ($\text{PAR}(0^+)$) from the model of Frouin et al. (1989) and used the highest ratio (corresponding to the clearest sky conditions encountered during the cruise) to obtain a conversion factor (0.42) that transforms TSI into $\text{PAR}(0^+)$. TSI units (W m^{-2}) were converted to photon flux units ($\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) by multiplying by 4.6 and a $\text{PAR}(0^-)$ to $\text{PAR}(0^+)$ ratio of 0.958 was applied (Mobley and Boss, 2012). Using k_d and $\text{PAR}(0^-)$ values for each sampling day the daily irradiance reaching each sampling depth z was calculated with Eq. 1.

2.3 Phytoplankton abundance and biomass

The abundance of phytoplankton cells with an equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) below 5-6 μm was determined with flow cytometry. Seawater samples (4.5 mL in volume) from 8-10 depths in the euphotic zone were fixed with glutaraldehyde grade I (1% final concentration), flash-frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Cell counts were performed on a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The separation of different autotrophic populations (*Synechococcus*, picoeukaryotes and small nanophytoplankton) was based on their scattering and fluorescence signals according to Marie et al. (2000) and Larsen et al. (2001). To obtain estimates of carbon biomass, we applied different values of cellular carbon content for each group. For *Synechococcus*, we used a cell carbon content of 0.15 pgC cell^{-1} , which is the mean value obtained by Buitenhuis et al. (2012) from a compilation of multiple open-ocean studies. For picoeukaryotes, we assumed a mean cell diameter of 2 μm and thus a volume of 4.2 $\mu\text{m}^3 \text{cell}^{-1}$, which gives a carbon content of 0.72 pgC cell^{-1} after applying the relationship between cell volume and cell carbon obtained by Marañón et al. (2013) with 22 species of phytoplankton spanning 6 orders of magnitude in cell volume. For small nanophytoplankton, we assumed a mean cell diameter of 4 μm and a volume of 34 $\mu\text{m}^3 \text{cell}^{-1}$, which gives a carbon content of 4.5 pgC cell^{-1} .

The abundance of phytoplankton cells with an ESD above 6 μm was determined with an Imaging Flow CytoBot (IFCB) (Olson and Sosik, 2007), which quantitatively images chlorophyll *a*-fluorescing particles. Samples (4.7 mL) were obtained from 6-8 depths in the euphotic zone and screened through a 150- μm mesh to prevent clogging of the instrument. From each obtained image phytoplankton biovolume was computed following Moberg and Sosik (2012). Processed images, metadata, and derived morphometric properties were uploaded to EcoTaxa (<https://ecotaxa.obs-vlfr.fr/>). The biovolume concentration was converted into a carbon biomass concentration by applying the mean carbon to volume ratio obtained by Marañón et al. (2013) for cells larger than 6 μm in ESD (0.11 $\text{pgC } \mu\text{m}^{-3}$). Total phytoplankton biomass was calculated as the sum of the carbon biomass of *Synechococcus*, picoeukaryotes, nanoeukaryotes and > 6 μm phytoplankton.

2.4 Pigments

Samples for pigment analysis with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were collected from 12 depths over the 0-250 m range. Depending on particle load, a volume of 2-2.5 L of seawater was vacuum-filtered under low



pressure onto Whatman GF/F filters (ca. 0.7 μm pore size, 25 mm in diameter). The filters were flash-frozen immediately after filtration in liquid nitrogen, stored at -80° during the cruise and shipped back to the laboratory in cryo-shipping containers filled with liquid nitrogen. Filters were extracted in 3 mL of pure methanol at -20°C for one hour. The extracts were vacuum-filtered through GF/F filters and then analyzed (within 24 h) by HPLC using a complete Agilent Technologies system. The pigments were separated and quantified following the protocol described in Ras et al. (2008). Here we report the concentration of total chlorophyll *a* (TChl *a*), which includes chlorophyll *a* and divinyl chlorophyll *a*. The fucoxanthin to TChl *a* ratio was multiplied by different factors to obtain estimates of the diatom contribution to TChl *a*. The factors used were: 1.41 (Uitz et al., 2006), 1.6 (Di Cicco et al., 2017) and 1.74 (Di Cicco, 2014).

2.5 Primary production

Primary production (PP) was measured with the ^{14}C -uptake technique using simulated in situ incubations on deck. For each sampling depth (5-6 depths distributed between 5 m and the base of the euphotic layer), seawater was transferred from the Niskin bottle to 4 polystyrene bottles (3 light and one dark bottles) of 70 mL in volume, which were amended with 20-40 μCi of $\text{NaH}^{14}\text{CO}_3$ and incubated for 24 h in on-deck incubators that were refrigerated with running seawater from the ship's continuous water supply. The incubators were provided with different sets of blue and neutral density filters that simulated the following percentages of attenuation: 70, 52, 38, 25, 14, 7, 4, 2 and 1%. We incubated the samples at an irradiance level (% PAR) as close as possible to the one corresponding to their depth of origin. After incubation, samples were filtered, using low-pressure vacuum, through 0.2- μm polycarbonate filters (47 mm in diameter). At 3 depths on each profile (5 m, 15-30 m and the DCM), samples were filtered sequentially through 2- μm and 0.2- μm polycarbonate filters, thus allowing to determine primary production in the picophytoplankton ($< 2 \mu\text{m}$) and the nano- plus micro-phytoplankton ($> 2 \mu\text{m}$) size classes. All filters were exposed to concentrated HCl fumes overnight, to remove non-fixed, inorganic ^{14}C , and then transferred to 4-mL plastic scintillation vials to which 4 mL of scintillation cocktail (Ultima Gold XR) were added.

We also measured dissolved primary production at 3 depths on each profile (surface, base of the euphotic layer and an intermediate depth), following the method described in Marañón et al. (2004) but using the same incubation bottles employed to determine particulate primary production. Briefly, after incubation one 5-mL aliquot was taken from each incubation bottle and filtered through a 0.2- μm polycarbonate filter (25 mm in diameter), using low-pressure vacuum. Filters were processed as described above, whereas the filtrates were acidified with 100 μL of 5M HCl and maintained in an orbital shaker for 12 hours. Then, 15 mL of liquid scintillation cocktail were added to each sample. The radioactivity in all filter and filtrate samples was measured on-board with a Packard 1600TR liquid scintillation counter. The percentage of extracellular release (% PER) was calculated as dissolved primary production divided by the sum of dissolved and particulate primary production.

To calculate daily PP, DPM counts in the dark samples were subtracted from the DPM counts in the light samples and actual values of dissolved inorganic carbon concentration, determined during the cruise at each sampling depth, were used. Given that all incubations were conducted at SST, we applied a temperature correction to the measured rates, by using the Arrhenius-van 't Hoff equation:

$$R = A e^{\frac{E_a}{KT}} \quad (2)$$

where R is the production rate, A is a coefficient, E_a is the activation energy, K is the Boltzmann's constant ($8.617 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ eV } ^\circ\text{K}^{-1}$) and T is temperature in $^\circ\text{K}$. The value of production rate obtained for each sampling depth incubated at SST



194 was used to determine A , and then R was calculated for the in situ temperature at each sampling depth. Following Wang
et al. (2019), we used a value of $E_a = 0.61$ eV, which corresponds approximately to a Q_{10} value of 2.3. The turnover rate
196 of phytoplankton biomass (growth rate, d^{-1}) was calculated by dividing the rate of production ($\text{mgC m}^{-3} \text{d}^{-1}$) by the
concentration of phytoplankton carbon (mgC m^{-3}) (Kirchman, 2002).

198 2.6 Heterotrophic prokaryotic production

Heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP) was estimated from rates of ^3H -Leucine incorporation using the
microcentrifugation technique (Smith and Azam, 1992) as detailed in Van Wambeke et al. (2020). Briefly, triplicate
200 1.5-mL samples and one blank from 10 depths between surface and 250 m were incubated in the dark in two
thermostated incubators set at 18.6°C for upper layers and 15.2°C for deeper layers. Leucine was added at 20 nM final
202 concentration and the Leucine to carbon conversion factor used was $1.5 \text{ kg C mol}^{-1}$. Given that in situ temperature
varied from 13.4 to 21.6°C , temperature corrections were applied by using a Q_{10} factor determined on two occasions
204 during the cruise, when different samples were incubated simultaneously in the two incubators. We obtained two
values of Q_{10} (3.9 and 3.3), from which an average value of 3.6 was used for the whole BP data set. The same Q_{10} was
206 applied to assess the contribution of temperature to the variability of BP in the upper water column, by comparing BP at
in situ temperature and at a constant temperature of 17°C .
208

3. Results

210 3.1 Hydrographic conditions

All three long stations were characterized by broadly similar values of sea surface temperature (SST) (20 - 21°C) and
212 strong thermal stratification, with a 5 - 6°C thermocline extending over the 10 - 70 m depth range (Fig. 2a). Compared to
TYRR, stations ION and FAST showed warmer SST and a stronger stratification, and station FAST presented the
214 warmest subsurface waters. The short stations covered a wider range of locations and consequently exhibited higher
variability in SST and in the strength and vertical extent of the thermocline (Fig. S1). Throughout the cruise, nutrient
216 concentrations were low ($< 0.5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for nitrate and $< 0.03 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ for phosphate) in the upper 50 - 60 m of the
water column (Guieu et al., 2020). The nitracline, defined as the first depth where nitrate concentration exceeded 0.5
218 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, was located at (mean \pm SD) 71 ± 3 , 105 ± 2 and 78 ± 8 m in stations TYRR, ION and FAST, respectively.
The phosphacline, defined as the first depth where phosphate concentration exceeded $0.03 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, was deeper: $86 \pm$
220 3 , 181 ± 7 and 90 ± 5 at TYRR, ION and FAST, respectively (Table 1). At all stations, fluorescence profiles displayed a
DCM (see section 3.2) which was located approximately at the 1% PAR depth and 5 - 10 m above the $0.3 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$
222 isolume (Fig. 2b, Fig. S1, Table 1). Both the DCM depth and the 1% PAR depth were shallower at station TYRR ($74 \pm$
 4 and 71 ± 8 , respectively) than at station ION (96 ± 4 and 94 ± 6 , respectively), with station FAST showing
224 intermediate values (Fig. 2b, Table 1). The depths of both the nitracline and the phosphacline were strongly correlated
with the DCM depth throughout the cruise (Pearson's $r = 0.86$, $n = 23$, $p < 0.001$ for the nitracline depth and $r = 0.74$, n
226 $= 23$, $p < 0.001$ for the phosphacline depth).

3.2 Phytoplankton total chlorophyll a , biomass and production

228 Surface total chlorophyll a concentration (TChl a) was low ($\leq 0.1 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) throughout most of the cruise (Fig. 3a,b,c;
Fig. S2a), with the only exception of short station 1, which sampled a filament of enhanced phytoplankton abundance
230 (Fig. 1). The mean surface TChl a was similar in all three long stations (0.07 - 0.08 mg m^{-3}). All vertical profiles
displayed a marked DCM (Fig. 3a,b,c; Fig. S2a), with peak TChl a values in the range 0.4 - 0.7 mg m^{-3} at stations TYRR



232 and ION and $0.4\text{--}1.0\text{ mg m}^{-3}$ at station FAST. The mean DCM TChl *a* at the three stations was similar (0.6 mg m^{-3})
233 (Table 1). Vertically integrated (from surface to the euphotic layer depth) TChl *a* was higher and more variable at FAST
234 ($21 \pm 9\text{ mg m}^{-2}$) compared with TYRR ($16 \pm 2\text{ mg m}^{-2}$) and ION ($18 \pm 2\text{ mg m}^{-2}$) (Table 1).

Phytoplankton carbon biomass tended to increase with depth, exhibiting maxima at either intermediate depths (40–50
235 m) or at the base of the euphotic layer (80–100 m) (Fig. 3d,e,f; Fig. S2b). The concentration of phytoplankton C in
236 surface waters was relatively invariant at 6 mgC m^{-3} whereas mean biomass values at the DCM in stations TYRR, ION
237 and FAST were 13 ± 8 , 11 ± 1 and $16 \pm 10\text{ mgC m}^{-3}$, respectively (Table 1). Thus the increase, from the surface to the
238 base of the euphotic layer, in phytoplankton biomass was ca. 2-fold, compared with ca. 8-fold for TChl *a*. Comparing
239 the deep to surface ratios in TChl *a* and C biomass in the three stations indicates that increased phytoplankton biomass
240 was responsible for 22–34 % of the increased TChl *a* at the DCM, while photoacclimation (decreased C:Chl *a* at depth)
241 was responsible for the remaining 66–78 %.

Compared to surface values, the deep maxima in phytoplankton C biomass were of smaller magnitude than those of
242 TChl *a*. Consequently, the mean C:Chl *a* ratio (g:g) was much higher at the surface (89–97) than at the DCM (21–34) at
243 all long stations (Table 1). Considering together the data from all stations, C:Chl *a* increased with light availability
244 following a saturating curve (Fig. 4a). Particulate primary production (PP) ranged between 1 and $3\text{ mgC m}^{-3}\text{ d}^{-1}$ in
245 surface waters, and tended to increase with depth (Fig. 3h,i,j; Fig. S2c). In most profiles (19 out of 23), the highest
246 value of PP (typically, $3\text{--}6\text{ mgC m}^{-3}\text{ d}^{-1}$) was measured in the deepest sample, corresponding to the DCM. There were
247 only small differences in mean integrated PP among stations, which ranged between 170 ± 36 and $209 \pm 67\text{ mgC m}^{-2}\text{ d}^{-1}$
248 at TYRR and FAST, respectively (Table 1).

The contribution of cells larger than $2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ in diameter (nano and micro-phytoplankton) to total phytoplankton biomass
249 increased with depth from ca. 60 % at the surface to ca. 80 % at the base of the euphotic layer, taking an overall, mean
250 value of $68 \pm 13\%$ for all samples pooled together (Fig. S3a). The contribution of the $> 2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ size class to total PP was
251 relatively stable both among stations and with depth, taking a mean value of $73 \pm 6\%$ in the long stations (Fig. S3b). In
252 contrast, the percentage of extracellular release (PER) showed a marked vertical pattern in all stations, decreasing with
253 depth from a mean value of $42 \pm 8\%$ at the surface to $22 \pm 4\%$ at the DCM (Fig. S3c).

TChl *a*-specific primary production (P^{Chl}) displayed a marked light dependence, following a saturating function of light
254 availability and reaching values of $20\text{--}35\text{ mgC mgChl } a^{-1}\text{ d}^{-1}$ at near-surface irradiance levels (Fig. 4b). In contrast, the
255 ratio between primary production and phytoplankton C biomass (P^{C} , equivalent to a biomass turnover rate) was
256 independent of irradiance (Pearson's $r = 0.17$, $n = 77$, $p = 0.14$), with most values falling within the range $0.1\text{--}0.5\text{ d}^{-1}$
257 throughout the euphotic layer (Fig. 4c). Overall, the mean P^{C} for the whole cruise was $0.3 \pm 0.1\text{ d}^{-1}$ and the same mean
258 P^{C} (0.3 d^{-1}) was measured in the surface and the DCM.

3.3 Fucoxanthin to total chlorophyll *a* ratio

259 The fucoxanthin to total chlorophyll *a* ratio (Fuco:TChl *a*) consistently increased below the upper 40–50 m in all long
260 stations (Fig. 5). Fuco:TChl *a* mean values at the surface were 0.036 ± 0.001 at TYRR, 0.040 ± 0.004 at ION and 0.051
261 ± 0.005 at FAST (Table 1). Using different conversion factors (see Methods), these ratios translate into a range of
262 diatom contribution to TChl *a* of 5–6 %, 6–7 % and 7–9 % at TYRR, ION and FAST, respectively. At the DCM,
263 Fuco:TChl *a* was 0.21 ± 0.04 at TYRR, 0.29 ± 0.03 at ION and 0.24 ± 0.10 at FAST, which corresponds to diatom
264 contributions of 30–36 %, 41–51 % and 34–42 %, respectively.



270 **3.4 Heterotrophic prokaryotic production and relationship with primary production**

272 Rates of heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP) in the euphotic layer fell within the range 10-50 ngC L⁻¹ h⁻¹ and took
values < 10 ngC L⁻¹ h⁻¹ in the waters below (Fig. 6, Fig. S4). Most vertical profiles of BP were characterized by two
274 peaks: one at the surface and another one in sub-surface waters, coinciding with the DCM or slightly above it. We
assessed the effect of temperature on BP rates in the upper layer (0-50 m) by comparing the rates calculated at in situ
temperature versus a constant temperature of 17°C (mean temperature for all profiles across 0-250 m). While BP rates
276 at in situ temperature displayed a marked increase in the 2-3 most shallow sampling depths, BP at a constant
temperature of 17°C remained largely homogenous with depth (Fig. S5). The mean integrated BP at the long stations
278 ranged between 50-60 mgC m⁻² d⁻¹ in stations TYRR and ION and ca. 90 mgC m⁻² d⁻¹ in station FAST (Table 1).
Considering all data in the euphotic layer, there was a positive correlation between both particulate and dissolved
280 primary production and BP (Fig. 7). However, primary production explained less than 10% of the variability in BP.
Taking into account that BP displayed a surface maximum, which was rarely observed in the primary production
282 profiles, we explored the relationship between PP and BP in samples from below 30 m (Fig. S6). Although a positive
relationship was observed, PP still explained only a small amount of variability in BP, which reflects the fact that the
284 deep maximum in BP was often shallower than the deep PP maximum.

4. Discussion

286 **4.1 Seasonal and geographical context**

The vertical location and longitudinal variability of the DCM we observed agree with the patterns previously reported
288 for the Mediterranean Sea, based both on climatological analyses of chlorophyll *a* profiles (Lavigne et al., 2015) and
time-series studies (Marty et al., 2002; Lemée et al., 2002). In the western basin, where the spring bloom is
290 characterized by the presence of a surface chlorophyll maximum, a subsurface maximum develops from April onwards
that takes progressively a deeper location, reaching 70-80 m in mid-summer. This deepening of the DCM occurs later in
292 the north than in the south section of the western basin (Lavigne et al., 2015). In agreement, we found during
PEACETIME that the stations located in the southwest had deeper DCMs than those located in the northwest (it has to
294 be noted, though, that the seasonal evolution during the cruise may have influenced the DCM depth and that the
southwestern stations were sampled last). In the central Mediterranean (e.g. Ionian Sea), the spring surface chlorophyll
296 maximum does not occur, and the DCM also appears around April but becomes deeper than in the western region.
Accordingly, during our cruise the DCM at long station ION was significantly deeper than at the western stations. We
298 also found, as previously described in analyses of vertical structure in stratified waters (Herbland and Voituriez, 1979;
Cullen, 2015; Letelier et al., 2004), a general correspondence between the top of the nutricline and the depth of the
300 DCM, with deeper values in the Ionian Sea than in the western basin. These differences reflect the more persistent
stratification and stronger degree of oligotrophy that characterizes the central and eastern basins as compared to the
302 western Mediterranean Sea (Bosc et al., 2004; D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà, 2009).

Numerous surveys at fixed stations (Lemée et al., 2002; Marty and Chiavérini, 2002) as well as along oceanographic
304 transects (Estrada, 1996; Moutin and Raimbault, 2002; López-Sandoval et al., 2011) have described the vertical
variability of PP in the Mediterranean Sea during the stratification season. While subsurface maxima are often observed
306 in late spring and summer, these peaks tend to be located above rather than at the DCM (Estrada, 1996; Marty and
Chiavérini, 2002). During the MINOS cruise, which sampled the entire Mediterranean Sea from the western to the
308 Levantine basin in May-June 1996, Moutin and Raimbault (2002) found a strong correlation between the depths of the



310 deep PP peak and the DCM depth, but the former was on average 20 m shallower. In contrast, during PEACETIME the
312 mean depths of the primary production maximum and the DCM coincided and only on 3 profiles was the primary
314 production peak located above the DCM. One potential source of bias during our ^{14}C -uptake experiments could come
316 from the fact that all samples were incubated at sea surface temperature. However, the correction we applied to the
318 measured rates assumes a relatively strong degree of temperature dependence (an activation energy of 0.66 eV), while
oligotrophic conditions, prevailing during the cruise, are known to result in decreased temperature sensitivity of
phytoplankton metabolic rates (O'Connor et al., 2009; Marañón et al., 2018). Had we used a lower temperature
sensitivity in our corrections, the magnitude of the deep production peaks would have been even greater. Thanks to the
combined measurements of cell abundance and biovolume together with photosynthetic carbon fixation, it is possible to
explore the variability in phytoplankton biomass and its turnover rate, to assess if the measured deep production peaks
are plausible and explore which processes may have been responsible for their occurrence (section 4.2).

320 Our estimates of growth rate also allowed us to assess if phytoplankton inhabiting the surface waters of the
Mediterranean Sea during the stratification season were just experiencing nutrient limitation of their standing stock
322 (yield limitation sensu Liebig) or if they are also limited in their rate of resource use (physiological rate limitation sensu
Blackman). As demonstrated in chemostat experiments (Goldman et al., 1979), fast growth rates are compatible with
324 extremely low ambient nutrient concentrations and therefore oligotrophy in itself does not necessarily imply that
Blackman limitation is operating. However, the mean growth rate measured in surface waters during the PEACETIME
326 cruise (0.3 d^{-1}) is well below the maximal, nutrient-saturated growth rate that could be expected at warm ($> 20^\circ\text{C}$)
temperatures for different groups such as diatoms, cyanobacteria and green algae ($\geq 1\text{ d}^{-1}$) (Kremer et al., 2017).
328 Similarly low ($0.2\text{-}0.6\text{ d}^{-1}$) phytoplankton growth rates have been reported before for the western Mediterranean Sea
(Pedrós-Alió et al., 1999), the Atlantic subtropical gyres (Marañón, 2005) and recently the North Pacific subtropical
330 gyre (Berthelot et al., 2019). Multiple experimental approaches, including in situ iron additions (Boyd et al., 2007;
Yoon et al., 2018) in high-nutrient, low-chlorophyll regions as well as in vitro bioassays with nutrients (Mills et al.,
332 2004; Tanaka et al., 2011; Tsiola et al., 2016) and desert dust (Marañón et al., 2010; Guieu et al., 2014) in low-nutrient,
low-chlorophyll regions, typically display larger increases in carbon fixation and nutrient uptake rates than in
334 photoautotroph abundance, which implies enhanced biomass turnover rates upon alleviation of nutrient scarcity.
Therefore low nutrient availability, which is widespread in the global ocean (Moore et al., 2013), results not only in low
336 phytoplankton biomass but also in slow growth rates.

4.2 Mechanisms underlying deep production maxima

338 Earlier studies have shown that both photoacclimation and enhanced biomass contribute to the occurrence of the DCM
in the western Mediterranean Sea whereas in the central and eastern basins photoacclimation alone would be mainly
340 responsible for the increased chlorophyll *a* at depth (Barbieux et al., 2019; Estrada, 1996; Mignot et al., 2014). In
contrast, during our survey the contribution of increased phytoplankton biomass was similar in all stations, including
342 the one located in the Ionian Sea. Most (ca. 75%) of the increased Chl *a* concentration at the DCM at all stations was
due to photoacclimation, the rest being a result of increased biomass. The C:Chl *a* ratios (g:g) we estimate
344 (approximately 90-100 and 20-30 for surface and DCM populations, respectively) agree well with previous results from
the Mediterranean Sea (Estrada, 1996) and the Atlantic subtropical gyres (Veldhuis and Kraay, 2004; Marañón, 2005;
346 Pérez et al., 2006) as well as with general patterns observed in light- and nutrient-limited laboratory cultures (MacIntyre
et al., 2002; Halsey and Jones, 2015; Behrenfeld et al., 2016). The fact that high C:Chl *a* values (> 50) persisted
348 throughout the water column until PAR was lower than $2\text{ mol m}^{-2}\text{ d}^{-1}$ suggests that nutrient limitation prevailed over



350 most of the euphotic layer, because under nutrient-sufficient and light-limited conditions C:Chl *a* typically takes values
352 < 30 (Halsey and Jones, 2015). Only the populations inhabiting the DCM showed clear signs of light limitation,
reflected in the decreased C:Chl *a* ratios. The question remains whether those populations were mainly sustained by
new nutrients supplied by diffusion from below the nutricline or by recycled nutrients originated within the euphotic
layer.

354 Taillandier et al. (2020) combined measurements of the vertical gradient in nutrient concentrations during PEACETIME
with estimates of diffusivity based on turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rates measured by Ferron et al. (2017) in the
356 western Mediterranean Sea, which allowed them to calculate the vertical diffusive fluxes across the nutricline in the
Tyrrhenian Sea and the Algerian Basin. We used these fluxes to estimate the contribution of new nutrients to sustain
358 phytoplankton productivity at the deep biomass maximum in stations TYRR and FAST, given the observed biomass
concentration and turnover rate (Table 2) and assuming that the deep biomass maximum extended over 30 m. These
360 calculations suggest that diffusive fluxes could provide only a small fraction of the nitrogen and, especially, the
phosphorus requirements of the phytoplankton assemblages inhabiting the lower part of the euphotic layer. Thus most
362 of the primary production in the euphotic layer was sustained by recycled nutrients, which agrees with the observation
that phytoplankton growth rates did not show any increase at the DCM despite the proximity of the nutricline. The
364 broadly homogeneous distribution of phytoplankton growth throughout the euphotic layer also supports the conclusion
of Fennel and Boss (2003) that deep phytoplankton maxima develop approximately at the compensation depth, where
366 growth and losses balance each other. We can speculate that the compensation depth during our cruise broadly
coincided with the 1% PAR light level or 0.5 mol m⁻² d⁻¹ isolume but additional primary production measurements in
368 deeper samples would have been required to test this hypothesis.

The nano- and micro-phytoplankton size classes consistently dominated primary production during the cruise,
370 accounting on average for ca. 70% of total carbon fixation. The relatively low share (≤ 30-35 %) of primary production
due to picophytoplankton agrees well with previous results based on remote sensing across the entire Mediterranean Sea
372 (Uitz et al., 2012) while field measurements conducted in the western and central basins during the stratification season
show somewhat higher and more variable picophytoplankton contribution (Magazzù and Decembrini, 1995;
374 Decembrini et al., 2009). During PEACETIME, the contribution of cells > 2 μm in diameter and cells > 5 μm in
diameter to total phytoplankton biomass increased with depth, and this trend was associated with a significant increase
376 in the contribution of diatoms to total phytoplankton biomass, which reached at least 30 % in the DCM of all stations,
and was particularly high (nearly 50 %) in the most stratified station, located in the Ionian Sea. Deep maxima in diatom
378 abundance are common in the Mediterranean Sea during stratified conditions (Ignatiades et al., 2009; Siokou-Frangou
et al., 2010; Mena et al., 2019) and are often associated with peaks in biogenic silica (Crombet et al., 2011). The
380 increased prevalence of diatoms at the base of the euphotic layer, which illustrates the ecological diversity of this group
(Kemp and Villareal, 2018), is likely a result of multiple adaptations and mechanisms, including high growth efficiency
382 under low light conditions (Fisher and Halsey, 2016), buoyancy regulation (Villareal et al., 1996), the ability to exploit
transient nutrient pulses through luxury uptake and storage (Kemp and Villareal, 2013; Cermeño et al., 2011) and the
384 enhanced ammonium assimilation mediated by microbial interactions in the phycosphere (Olofsson et al., 2019).

4.3 Phytoplankton photophysiology and productivity

386 Although the widespread occurrence of deep chlorophyll maxima, which cannot be detected by ocean colour sensors, is
often mentioned as a shortcoming of satellite-based productivity models, the vertical distribution of chlorophyll *a*
388 concentration can be derived from surface optical properties (Uitz et al., 2006; Morel and Berthon, 1989). The key



challenge rests in the quantification of the photophysiological parameters (e.g. photosynthetic efficiency), required to
390 convert photoautotroph biomass or pigment concentration into a measure of carbon fixation. Of especial relevance, in
the case of low-light acclimated populations, is the initial slope in the relationship between irradiance and Chl *a*-specific
392 photosynthesis (α^B , $\text{mgC} (\text{mgChl } a)^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ($\mu\text{mol photon m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)⁻¹). Using a large dataset of photosynthetic parameters
obtained with the same method, Uitz et al. (2008) found that α^B took a mean value of 0.025 ± 0.022 in the lower part of
394 the euphotic layer in oligotrophic regions across the world's oceans. Assuming 14 h of daylight and that night-time
respiration losses account for 20 % of carbon fixed during the day (Geider, 1992), and given the mean Chl *a*
396 concentration (0.6 mg m^{-3}) and daily PAR ($0.5 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) measured at the DCM during PEACETIME, this value of
 α^B translates into a primary production $< 1.7 \text{ mgC m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$, lower than the rates we measured ($2\text{-}10 \text{ mgC m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$).
398 Interestingly, the mean α^B value determined at the base of the euphotic layer during the PROSOPE cruise, which
sampled all major basins of the Mediterranean Sea in September 1999, was 0.066 ± 0.024 , which would correspond to a
400 DCM primary production of $4.4 \text{ mg C m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$, in agreement with our observations. The low α^B value reported by Uitz et
al. (2008) largely reflected the photophysiological properties of communities dominated by small cells, in contrast with
402 the assemblages encountered during the present study. It thus would appear that the high primary production at the
DCM during PEACETIME was due not only to enhanced levels of phytoplankton biomass but also to the presence of a
404 diatom-rich community characterised by high photosynthetic efficiency. These results stress the importance of
incorporating the linkage between community structure and photophysiological parameters to improve the application
406 of bio-optical productivity models over diverse ecological and biogeographic settings (Robinson et al., 2018; Uitz et al.,
2010; Uitz et al., 2012).

408 We found that phytoplankton can sustain similar rates of biomass-specific carbon fixation across a wide range of
irradiances, in spite of considerable variations in Chl *a*-specific photosynthesis. The uncoupling between these two
410 metrics of productivity likely arises from photoacclimation, whereby cells receiving less irradiance invest more
resources in light-harvesting complexes and are thus capable of sustaining similar rates of nutrient-limited carbon
412 fixation (per unit biomass) as cells experiencing high light availability (Pan et al., 1996). Using a photoacclimation
model in conjunction with satellite observations of phytoplankton carbon and Chl *a*, Behrenfeld et al. (2016)
414 demonstrated that most of the seasonal and interannual variability in surface Chl *a* concentration of multiple ocean
biomes resulted from photoacclimation and therefore cannot be readily translated into equivalent changes in
416 productivity. Our results suggest that the same conclusion also applies to small-scale vertical variability in stratified
environments, where phytoplankton growth rates are relatively constant across the euphotic layer (Pérez et al., 2006;
418 Berthelot et al., 2019). More generally, the fact that C:Chl *a* is highly sensitive not only to irradiance but to nutrient
availability and temperature as well (Geider, 1987; Halsey and Jones, 2015) means that changes in growth rate can be
420 disconnected from Chl *a*-specific photosynthesis across multiple environmental gradients (Cullen et al., 1992; Marañón
et al., 2018).

422 **4.4 Relationship between heterotrophic prokaryotic and primary production**

The vertical distribution of BP, which was characterized by the presence of both surface and deep maxima, likely
424 reflects the combined influence of several controlling factors. Different studies have investigated the relationship
between temperature, inorganic nutrients and dissolved organic matter availability as drivers of heterotrophic
426 prokaryotic production and carbon demand in the Mediterranean Sea over seasonal (Céa et al., 2015; Lemée et al.,
2002; Alonso-Sáez et al., 2008) and mesoscale to basin-scale (Pulido-Villena et al., 2012; Pedrós-Alió et al., 1999)
428 ranges of variability but the relative role of these factors at the small vertical scale within the upper water column has



430 been comparatively less explored. Van Wambeke et al. (2002) reported that BP consistently peaked at the surface
432 during a mesoscale survey in the Gulf of Lions in spring, which was probably a result of the fact that primary
434 production also increased in the surface layer, a pattern also reported by Lemée et al. (2002) throughout most of the
436 year in the DYFAMED station. In the case of the PEACETIME cruise, however, the surface peak in BP cannot be
438 attributed to increased primary production, which took the lowest values in the surface layer. Temperature, which
440 exhibited a ca. 5°C-gradient over the upper 50 m, appears as the most likely responsible driver of the surface BP peaks,
considering that the estimated rates at a constant temperature of 17°C were nearly homogeneous across the upper layer.
Seasonal studies in coastal waters of the western Mediterranean Sea have also identified temperature as a factor that
contributes to explain the temporal variability of bacterial production in surface waters (Alonso-Sáez et al., 2008; Cía et
al., 2015). In contrast, the deep peak in BP found during our cruise was associated, at least in part, with increased
phytoplankton biomass and production, so an enhanced availability of organic substrates may have been responsible for
the stimulation of bacterial activity near the base of the euphotic layer.

442 Atmospheric deposition of nutrients may have also contributed to sustain the surface BP peaks observed during our
444 study. Nitrogen and phosphorus amendments to seawater from the mixed layer resulted in BP stimulation after 48 h,
446 indicating NP co-limitation of BP, whereas addition of a labile carbon source (glucose) had no effect (Van Wambeke et
448 al., 2020). Thus the surface BP peak observed under in situ conditions was not due to dependence of organic carbon
substrates but may have resulted in part from new N and P availability through dry atmospheric deposition. The same
study shows that atmospheric dry deposition during the PEACETIME cruise could sustain about 13 % of the
heterotrophic bacterial N demand within the mixed layer. Other sources of N fueling heterotrophic bacteria could come
from recycling, as for instance hydrolysis of proteins satisfied a mean of 47% of that demand (Van Wambeke et al.,
2020).

450 Despite the association between increased PP and increased BP in subsurface waters, the overall strength of the
452 relationship between these two variables during PEACETIME was weak. This in contrast with previous analyses in the
454 Mediterranean Sea that included a much broader range of plankton biomass and production regimes than the one
456 covered during our cruise and found stronger correlations between photosynthetic carbon fixation and BP (Turley et al.,
458 2000; Pulido-Villena et al., 2012). If we consider the trophic coupling between heterotrophic bacteria and
phytoplankton as the extent to which dissolved primary production meets heterotrophic bacterial carbon demand
(Morán et al., 2002), our results suggest a poor coupling during the PEACETIME cruise. Assuming a value of bacterial
growth efficiency of 10 %, as determined in the western Mediterranean Sea during summer (Alonso-Sáez et al., 2008;
Lemée et al., 2002), our measured rates of dissolved primary production represented, on average, only 25 % (SD = 14
%) of estimated bacterial carbon demand. Similar weak phytoplankton-bacterioplankton coupling has been reported
before for the Mediterranean Sea during the stratification period (Alonso-Sáez et al., 2008; López-Sandoval et al., 2011;
Morán et al., 2002), which emphasizes the role of additional substrates, other than recent products of photosynthesis
released in dissolved form, in fuelling bacterial metabolism. These additional substrates can include dissolved organic
carbon released by consumers (e.g. sloppy feeding) or during cell lysis, as well as organic molecules previously
produced and accumulated over time scales longer than 1 day or derived from allochthonous sources such as river and
atmospheric inputs. However, the fact that bacterial carbon demand often exceeds the instantaneous rate of dissolved
primary production does not mean that bacterial metabolism is independent of phytoplankton photosynthesis over
annual scales, but rather reflects the temporal uncoupling resulting from the episodic nature of phytoplankton
production events (Karl et al., 2003; Steinberg et al., 2001; Morán and Alonso-Sáez, 2011).



4.5 Conclusions

470 We have shown that the DCM in the western Mediterranean Sea during the stratification period, already known to be a
471 phytoplankton biomass maximum, can also represent a substantial primary production maximum. These deep maxima
472 in biomass and primary production are not associated with an increase in phytoplankton growth rates and do not seem to
473 be fueled by new nutrients, but likely arise as a result of cell sinking from above in combination with the high
474 photosynthetic efficiency of a diatom-rich, low-light acclimated community, which sustains similar growth rates as
475 those measured in the upper, well-illuminated layers. Because of the variability in C:Chl *a* ratios, changes in Chl *a*-
476 specific primary production can be disconnected from biomass turnover rates. While the trophic coupling between
477 heterotrophic bacteria and phytoplankton was relatively poor, the increased photosynthetic biomass and carbon fixation
478 measured near the base of the euphotic zone did result in an enhancement of bacterial heterotrophic activity, which in
479 the surface layers appeared to be regulated by temperature. Our results support the combined use of isotope uptake
480 measurements and biovolume-based estimates of phytoplankton carbon biomass to derive growth rates at discrete
481 depths and gain insight into the mechanisms underlying the DCM. Data with higher spatial and temporal resolution, as
482 derived for instance from optical sensors attached to autonomous instruments, will allow to establish if the marked
483 peaks in primary production we observed are a persistent feature of the DCM in the central and western Mediterranean
484 Sea, and to quantify their broader biogeochemical significance.

Data availability

486 All data from the PEACETIME cruise (<https://doi.org/10.17600/17000300>) are stored at the LEFE CYBER Database
487 (<http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/proof/php/PEACETIME/peacetime.php>) and will be made freely available once all manuscripts
488 are submitted to the PEACETIME special issue. In the meantime, data can be also obtained upon request to the first
489 author.

Acknowledgements

490 This study is a contribution to the PEACETIME project (<http://peacetime-project.org>), a joint initiative of the
491 MERMEX and ChArME_x components supported by CNRS-INSU, IFREMER, CEA, and Météo-France as part of the
492 programme MISTRALS coordinated by INSU. The research of EM and MPL was funded by the Spanish Ministry of
493 Science, Innovation and Universities through grant PGC2018-094553B-I00 (POLARIS) awarded to EM. We
494 acknowledge the French Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), which supported the bio-optical and ocean color
495 component of the PEACETIME project (PEACETIME-OC). We also thank the *Service d'analyse de pigments par*
496 *HPLC* (SAPIGH) at the Institut de la Mer de Villefranche (IMEV) for HPLC analysis, as well as the captain and crew
497 of the *R/V Pourquoi Pas?* for their help during the work at sea.

References

- 500 Alonso-Sáez, L., Vázquez-Domínguez, E., Cardelús, C., Pinhassi, J., Sala, M. M., Lekunberri, I., Balagué, V., Vila-
501 Costa, M., Unrein, F., Massana, R., Simó, R., and Gasol, J. M.: Factors Controlling the Year-Round Variability in
502 Carbon Flux Through Bacteria in a Coastal Marine System, *Ecosystems*, 11, 397-409, 10.1007/s10021-008-9129-0,
2008.
- 504 Antoine, D., Morel, A., and André, J.-M.: Algal pigment distribution and primary production in the eastern
505 Mediterranean as derived from coastal zone color scanner observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 100,
16193-16209, 10.1029/95jc00466, 1995.
- 508 Barbieux, M., Uitz, J., Gentili, B., Pasquero de Fommervault, O., Mignot, A., Poteau, A., Schmechtig, C., Taillandier,
V., Leymarie, E., Penker'ch, C., D'Ortenzio, F., Claustre, H., and Bricaud, A.: Bio-optical characterization of subsurface



- 510 chlorophyll maxima in the Mediterranean Sea from a Biogeochemical-Argo float database, *Biogeosciences*, 16, 1321-1342, 10.5194/bg-16-1321-2019, 2019.
- 512 Beckmann, A., and Hense, I.: Beneath the surface: Characteristics of oceanic ecosystems under weak mixing conditions – A theoretical investigation, *Progress in Oceanography*, 75, 771-796, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2007.09.002>, 2007.
- 514 Behrenfeld, M. J., O'Malley, R. T., Boss, E. S., Westberry, T. K., Graff, J. R., Halsey, K. H., Milligan, A. J., Siegel, D. A., and Brown, M. B.: Reevaluating ocean warming impacts on global phytoplankton, *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 323-330, 10.1038/nclimate2838, 2016.
- 518 Berthelot, H., Duhamel, S., L'Helguen, S., Maguer, J. F., Wang, S., Cetinić, I., and Cassar, N.: NanoSIMS single cell analyses reveal the contrasting nitrogen sources for small phytoplankton, *ISME J*, 13, 651-662, 10.1038/s41396-018-0285-8, 2019.
- 520 Bosc, E., Bricaud, A., and Antoine, D.: Seasonal and interannual variability in algal biomass and primary production in the Mediterranean Sea, as derived from 4 years of SeaWiFS observations, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18, 10.1029/2003gb002034, 2004.
- 524 Boyd, P. W., Jickells, T., Law, C. S., Blain, S., Boyle, E. A., Buesseler, K. O., Coale, K. H., Cullen, J. J., de Baar, H. J. W., Follows, M., Harvey, M., Lancelot, C., Levasseur, M., Owens, N. P. J., Pollard, R., Rivkin, R. B., Sarmiento, J., Schoemann, V., Smetacek, V., Takeda, S., Tsuda, A., Turner, S., and Watson, A. J.: Mesoscale Iron Enrichment Experiments 1993-2005: Synthesis and Future Directions, *Science*, 315, 612-617, 10.1126/science.1131669, 2007.
- 528 Buitenhuis, E. T., Li, W. K. W., Vault, D., Lomas, M. W., Landry, M. R., Partensky, F., Karl, D. M., Ulloa, O., Campbell, L., Jacquet, S., Lantoin, F., Chavez, F., Macias, D., Gosselin, M., and McManus, G. B.: Picophytoplankton biomass distribution in the global ocean, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 4, 37-46, 10.5194/essd-4-37-2012, 2012.
- 530 C ea, B., Lef evre, D., Chirurgien, L., Raimbault, P., Garcia, N., Charri ere, B., Gr egori, G., Ghiglione, J. F., Barani, A., Lafont, M., and Van Wambeke, F.: An annual survey of bacterial production, respiration and ectoenzyme activity in coastal NW Mediterranean waters: temperature and resource controls, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 13654-13668, 10.1007/s11356-014-3500-9, 2015.
- 534 Cerme no, P., Lee, J. B., Wyman, K., Schofield, O., and Falkowski, P. G.: Competitive dynamics in two species of marine phytoplankton under non-equilibrium conditions, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 429, 19-28, 2011.
- 536 Claustre, H., Morel, A., Babin, M., Cailliau, C., Marie, D., Marty, J.-C., Tailliez, D., and Vault, D.: Variability in particle attenuation and chlorophyll fluorescence in the tropical Pacific: Scales, patterns, and biogeochemical implications, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 104, 3401-3422, 10.1029/98jc01334, 1999.
- 540 Crombet, Y., Leblanc, K., Qu eguiner, B., Moutin, T., Rimmelin, P., Ras, J., Claustre, H., Leblond, N., Oriol, L., and Pujo-Pay, M.: Deep silicon maxima in the stratified oligotrophic Mediterranean Sea, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 459-475, 10.5194/bg-8-459-2011, 2011.
- 542 Cullen, J. J., Yang, X., and MacIntyre, H. L.: Nutrient Limitation of Marine Photosynthesis, in: *Primary Productivity and Biogeochemical Cycles in the Sea*, edited by: Falkowski, P. G., Woodhead, A. D., and Vivirito, K., Springer US, Boston, MA, 69-88, 1992.
- 544 Cullen, J. J.: Subsurface Chlorophyll Maximum Layers: Enduring Enigma or Mystery Solved?, *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 7, 207-239, 10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135111, 2015.
- 548 D'Ortenzio, F., and Ribera d'Alcal a, M.: On the trophic regimes of the Mediterranean Sea: a satellite analysis, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 139-148, 10.5194/bg-6-139-2009, 2009.
- 550 Decembrini, F., Caroppo, C., and Azzaro, M.: Size structure and production of phytoplankton community and carbon pathways channelling in the Southern Tyrrhenian Sea (Western Mediterranean), *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 56, 687-699, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.07.022>, 2009.
- 552 Di Cicco, A.: *Spatial and Temporal Variability of Dominant Phytoplankton Size Classes in the Mediterranean Sea from Remote Sensing*, PhD, Tuscia University, 2014.



- 554 Di Cicco, A., Sammartino, M., Marullo, S., and Santoleri, R.: Regional Empirical Algorithms for an Improved
556 Identification of Phytoplankton Functional Types and Size Classes in the Mediterranean Sea Using Satellite Data,
Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 10.3389/fmars.2017.00126, 2017.
- Durham, W. M., and Stocker, R.: Thin Phytoplankton Layers: Characteristics, Mechanisms, and Consequences, *Annual
558 Review of Marine Science*, 4, 177-207, 10.1146/annurev-marine-120710-100957, 2012.
- Estrada, M.: Primary production in the northwestern Mediterranean, *Scientia Marina*, 60, 55-64, 1996.
- 560 Fennel, K., and Boss, E.: Subsurface maxima of phytoplankton and chlorophyll: Steady-state solutions from a simple
model, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 48, 1521-1534, 10.4319/lo.2003.48.4.1521, 2003.
- 562 Ferron, B., Bouruet Aubertot, P., Cuypers, Y., Schroeder, K., and Borghini, M.: How important are diapycnal mixing
and geothermal heating for the deep circulation of the Western Mediterranean?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44,
564 7845-7854, 10.1002/2017gl074169, 2017.
- Fisher, N. L., and Halsey, K. H.: Mechanisms that increase the growth efficiency of diatoms in low light,
566 *Photosynthesis Research*, 129, 183-197, 10.1007/s11120-016-0282-6, 2016.
- Fisher, T., Minnaard, J., and Dubinsky, Z.: Photoacclimation in the marine alga *Nannochloropsis* sp. (Eustigmatophyte):
568 a kinetic study, *Journal of Plankton Research*, 18, 1797-1818, 10.1093/plankt/18.10.1797, 1996.
- Frouin, R., Lingner, D. W., Gautier, C., Baker, K. S., and Smith, R. C.: A simple analytical formula to compute clear
570 sky total and photosynthetically available solar irradiance at the ocean surface, *Journal of Geophysical Research:
Oceans*, 94, 9731-9742, 10.1029/JC094iC07p09731, 1989.
- 572 Geider, R. J.: Light and temperature dependence of the carbon to chlorophyll a ratio in microalgae and cyanobacteria:
implications for physiology and growth of phytoplankton, *New Phytologist*, 106, 1-34, 10.1111/j.1469-
574 8137.1987.tb04788.x, 1987.
- Geider, R. J.: Respiration: Taxation Without Representation?, in: *Primary Productivity and Biogeochemical Cycles in
576 the Sea*, edited by: Falkowski, P. G., Woodhead, A. D., and Vivirito, K., Springer US, Boston, MA, 333-360, 1992.
- Geider, R. J., MacIntyre, H. L., and Kana, T. M.: A dynamic model of photoadaptation in phytoplankton, *Limnology
578 and Oceanography*, 41, 1-15, 10.4319/lo.1996.41.1.0001, 1996.
- Goldman, J. C., McCarthy, J. J., and Peavey, D. G.: Growth rate influence on the chemical composition of
580 phytoplankton in oceanic waters, *Nature*, 279, 210-215, 10.1038/279210a0, 1979.
- 582 Guieu, C., Aumont, O., Paytan, A., Bopp, L., Law, C. S., Mahowald, N., Achterberg, E. P., Marañón, E., Salihoglu, B.,
Crise, A., Wagener, T., Herut, B., Desboeufs, K., Kanakidou, M., Olgun, N., Peters, F., Pulido-Villena, E., Tovar-
584 Sanchez, A., and Völker, C.: The significance of the episodic nature of atmospheric deposition to Low Nutrient Low
Chlorophyll regions, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28, 1179-1198, 10.1002/2014gb004852, 2014.
- 586 Guieu, C., D'Ortenzio, F., Dulac, F., Taillandier, V., Doglioli, A., Petrenko, A., Barrillon, S., Mallet, M., Nabat, P., and
Desboeufs, K.: Process studies at the air-sea interface after atmospheric deposition in the Mediterranean Sea: objectives
588 and strategy of the PEACETIME oceanographic campaign (May–June 2017), *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 2020, 1-65,
10.5194/bg-2020-44, 2020.
- 590 Halsey, K. H., and Jones, B. M.: Phytoplankton Strategies for Photosynthetic Energy Allocation, *Annual Review of
Marine Science*, 7, 265-297, 10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015813, 2015.
- 592 Herbland, A., and Voituriez, B.: Hydrological structure analysis for estimating the primary production in the tropical
Atlantic Ocean, *Journal of Marine Research*, 37, 87-101, 1979.
- 594 Ignatiades, L., Gotsis-Skretas, O., Pagou, K., and Krasakopoulou, E.: Diversification of phytoplankton community
structure and related parameters along a large-scale longitudinal east–west transect of the Mediterranean Sea, *Journal of
Plankton Research*, 31, 411-428, 10.1093/plankt/fbn124, 2009.
- 596 Karl, D. M., Laws, E. A., Morris, P., Williams, P. J. I., and Emerson, S.: Metabolic balance of the open sea, *Nature*,
426, 32-32, 10.1038/426032a, 2003.



- 598 Kemp, A. E. S., and Villareal, T. A.: High diatom production and export in stratified waters – A potential negative
feedback to global warming, *Progress in Oceanography*, 119, 4-23, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.06.004>, 2013.
- 600 Kemp, A. E. S., and Villareal, T. A.: The case of the diatoms and the muddled mandalas: Time to recognize diatom
602 adaptations to stratified waters, *Progress in Oceanography*, 167, 138-149, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2018.08.002>,
2018.
- Kirchman, D. L.: Calculating microbial growth rates from data on production and standing stocks, *Marine Ecology
604 Progress Series*, 233, 303-306, 2002.
- Kremer, C. T., Thomas, M. K., and Litchman, E.: Temperature- and size-scaling of phytoplankton population growth
606 rates: Reconciling the Eppley curve and the metabolic theory of ecology, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 62, 1658-
1670, [10.1002/lno.10523](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10523), 2017.
- 608 Lande, R., and Wood, A. M.: Suspension times of particles in the upper ocean, *Deep Sea Research Part A.
Oceanographic Research Papers*, 34, 61-72, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(87\)90122-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90122-1), 1987.
- 610 Larsen, A., Castberg, T., Sandaa, R. A., Brussaard, C. P. D., Egge, J., Heldal, M., Paulino, A., Thyrrhaug, R., Hannen, E.
612 J. v., and Bratbak, G.: Population dynamics and diversity of phytoplankton, bacteria and viruses in a seawater
enclosure, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 221, 47-57, 2001.
- Lavigne, H., D'Ortenzio, F., Ribera D'Alcalá, M., Claustre, H., Sauzède, R., and Gacic, M.: On the vertical distribution
614 of the chlorophyll *a* concentration in the Mediterranean Sea: a basin-scale and seasonal approach,
Biogeosciences, 12, 5021-5039, [10.5194/bg-12-5021-2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-5021-2015), 2015.
- 616 Lemée, R., Rochelle-Newall, E., Wambeke, F. V., Pizay, M. D., Rinaldi, P., and Gattuso, J. P.: Seasonal variation of
618 bacterial production, respiration and growth efficiency in the open NW Mediterranean Sea, *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*,
29, 227-237, 2002.
- Letelier, R. M., Karl, D. M., Abbott, M. R., and Bidigare, R. R.: Light driven seasonal patterns of chlorophyll and
620 nitrate in the lower euphotic zone of the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49, 508-519,
[10.4319/lno.2004.49.2.0508](https://doi.org/10.4319/lno.2004.49.2.0508), 2004.
- 622 López-Sandoval, D. C., Fernández, A., and Marañón, E.: Dissolved and particulate primary production along a
longitudinal gradient in the Mediterranean Sea, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 815-825, [10.5194/bg-8-815-2011](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-815-2011), 2011.
- 624 MacIntyre, H. L., Kana, T. M., Anning, T., and Geider, R. J.: Photoacclimation of photosynthesis irradiance response
626 curves and photosynthetic pigments in microalgae and cyanobacteria, *Journal of Phycology*, 38, 17-38, [10.1046/j.1529-
8817.2002.00094.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2002.00094.x), 2002.
- Magazzù, G., and Decembrini, F.: Primary production, biomass and abundance of phototrophic picoplankton in the
628 Mediterranean Sea: a review, *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 09, 97-104, 1995.
- Marañón, E., Holligan, P. M., Varela, M., Mouriño, B., and Bale, A. J.: Basin-scale variability of phytoplankton
630 biomass, production and growth in the Atlantic Ocean, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 47,
825-857, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(99\)00087-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00087-4), 2000.
- 632 Marañón, E., Cermeño, P., Fernández, E., Rodríguez, J., and Zabala, L.: Significance and mechanisms of photosynthetic
634 production of dissolved organic carbon in a coastal eutrophic ecosystem, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49, 1652-1666,
[10.4319/lno.2004.49.5.1652](https://doi.org/10.4319/lno.2004.49.5.1652), 2004.
- Marañón, E.: Phytoplankton growth rates in the Atlantic subtropical gyres, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 50, 299-310,
636 [10.4319/lno.2005.50.1.0299](https://doi.org/10.4319/lno.2005.50.1.0299), 2005.
- 638 Marañón, E., Fernández, A., Mouriño-Carballido, B., Martínez-García, S., Teira, E., Cermeño, P., Chouciño, P., Huete-
Ortega, M., Fernández, E., Calvo-Díaz, A., Morán, X. A. G., Bode, A., Moreno-Ostos, E., Varela, M. M., Patey, M. D.,
and Acherberg, E. P.: Degree of oligotrophy controls the response of microbial plankton to Saharan dust, *Limnology
640 and Oceanography*, 55, 2339-2352, [10.4319/lno.2010.55.6.2339](https://doi.org/10.4319/lno.2010.55.6.2339), 2010.
- Marañón, E., Cermeño, P., López-Sandoval, D. C., Rodríguez-Ramos, T., Sobrino, C., Huete-Ortega, M., Blanco, J. M.,
642 and Rodríguez, J.: Unimodal size scaling of phytoplankton growth and the size dependence of nutrient uptake and use,
Ecology Letters, 16, 371-379, [10.1111/ele.12052](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12052), 2013.



- 644 Marañón, E., Cermeño, P., Huete-Ortega, M., López-Sandoval, D. C., Mouriño-Carballido, B., and Rodríguez-Ramos,
646 T.: Resource Supply Overrides Temperature as a Controlling Factor of Marine Phytoplankton Growth, *PLOS ONE*, 9,
e99312, 10.1371/journal.pone.0099312, 2014.
- 648 Marañón, E., Lorenzo, M. P., Cermeño, P., and Mouriño-Carballido, B.: Nutrient limitation suppresses the temperature
dependence of phytoplankton metabolic rates, *The ISME Journal*, 12, 1836-1845, 10.1038/s41396-018-0105-1, 2018.
- 650 Marie, D., Simon, N., Guillou, L., Partensky, F., and Vaulot, D.: Flow Cytometry Analysis of Marine Picoplankton, in:
In *Living Color: Protocols in Flow Cytometry and Cell Sorting*, edited by: Diamond, R. A., and Demaggio, S., Springer
Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 421-454, 2000.
- 652 Martínez-Vicente, V., Dall'Olmo, G., Tarran, G., Boss, E., and Sathyendranath, S.: Optical backscattering is correlated
654 with phytoplankton carbon across the Atlantic Ocean, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 1154-1158, 10.1002/grl.50252,
2013.
- 656 Marty, J.-C., and Chiavérini, J.: Seasonal and interannual variations in phytoplankton production at DYFAMED time-
series station, northwestern Mediterranean Sea, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 49, 2017-
2030, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(02\)00025-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00025-5), 2002.
- 658 Marty, J.-C., Chiavérini, J., Pizay, M.-D., and Avril, B.: Seasonal and interannual dynamics of nutrients and
660 phytoplankton pigments in the western Mediterranean Sea at the DYFAMED time-series station (1991–1999), *Deep
Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 49, 1965-1985, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(02\)00022-
X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00022-X), 2002.
- 662 Mena, C., Reglero, P., Hidalgo, M., Sintés, E., Santiago, R., Martín, M., Moyà, G., and Balbín, R.: Phytoplankton
664 Community Structure Is Driven by Stratification in the Oligotrophic Mediterranean Sea, *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10,
10.3389/fmicb.2019.01698, 2019.
- 666 Mignot, A., Claustre, H., Uitz, J., Poteau, A., D'Ortenzio, F., and Xing, X.: Understanding the seasonal dynamics of
phytoplankton biomass and the deep chlorophyll maximum in oligotrophic environments: A Bio-Argo float
investigation, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28, 856-876, 10.1002/2013gb004781, 2014.
- 668 Mills, M. M., Ridame, C., Davey, M., La Roche, J., and Geider, R. J.: Iron and phosphorus co-limit nitrogen fixation in
the eastern tropical North Atlantic, *Nature*, 429, 292-294, 10.1038/nature02550, 2004.
- 670 Moberg, E. A., and Sosik, H. M.: Distance maps to estimate cell volume from two-dimensional plankton images,
Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 10, 278-288, 10.4319/lom.2012.10.278, 2012.
- 672 Mobley, C. D., and Boss, E. S.: Improved irradiances for use in ocean heating, primary production, and photo-oxidation
calculations, *Appl. Opt.*, 51, 6549-6560, 10.1364/AO.51.006549, 2012.
- 674 Moore, C. M., Mills, M. M., Arrigo, K. R., Berman-Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd, P. W., Galbraith, E. D., Geider, R. J.,
676 Guieu, C., Jaccard, S. L., Jickells, T. D., La Roche, J., Lenton, T. M., Mahowald, N. M., Marañón, E., Marinov, I.,
Moore, J. K., Nakatsuka, T., Oschlies, A., Saito, M. A., Thingstad, T. F., Tsuda, A., and Ulloa, O.: Processes and
patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation, *Nature Geoscience*, 6, 701-710, 10.1038/ngeo1765, 2013.
- 678 Morán, X. A. G., Estrada, M., Gasol, J. M., and Pedrós-Alió, C.: Dissolved Primary Production and the Strength of
680 Phytoplankton– Bacterioplankton Coupling in Contrasting Marine Regions, *Microbial Ecology*, 44, 217-223,
10.1007/s00248-002-1026-z, 2002.
- 682 Morán, X. A. G., and Alonso-Sáez, L.: Independence of bacteria on phytoplankton? Insufficient support for Fouillard &
Mostajir's (2010) suggested new concept, *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 78, 203-205, 10.1111/j.1574-
6941.2011.01167.x, 2011.
- 684 Morel, A., and Berthon, J.-F.: Surface pigments, algal biomass profiles, and potential production of the euphotic layer:
686 Relationships reinvestigated in view of remote-sensing applications, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 34, 1545-1562,
10.4319/lo.1989.34.8.1545, 1989.
- 688 Moutin, T., and Raimbault, P.: Primary production, carbon export and nutrients availability in western and eastern
Mediterranean Sea in early summer 1996 (MINOS cruise), *Journal of Marine Systems*, 33-34, 273-288,
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963\(02\)00062-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(02)00062-3), 2002.



- 690 O'Connor, M. I., Piehler, M. F., Leech, D. M., Anton, A., and Bruno, J. F.: Warming and Resource Availability Shift
Food Web Structure and Metabolism, *PLOS Biology*, 7, e1000178, 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000178, 2009.
- 692 Olofsson, M., Robertson, E. K., Edler, L., Arneborg, L., Whitehouse, M. J., and Ploug, H.: Nitrate and ammonium
fluxes to diatoms and dinoflagellates at a single cell level in mixed field communities in the sea, *Scientific Reports*, 9,
694 1424, 10.1038/s41598-018-38059-4, 2019.
- Olson, R. J., and Sosik, H. M.: A submersible imaging-in-flow instrument to analyze nano-and microplankton: Imaging
696 FlowCytobot, *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 5, 195-203, 10.4319/lom.2007.5.195, 2007.
- Pan, Y., Rao, D. V. S., and Mann, K. H.: Acclimation to low light intensity in photosynthesis and growth of *Pseudo-*
698 *nitzschia multiseris* Hasle, a neurotoxic diatom, *Journal of Plankton Research*, 18, 1427-1438,
10.1093/plankt/18.8.1427, 1996.
- 700 Pedrós-Alió, C., Calderón-Paz, J.-I., Guixa-Boixereu, N., Estrada, M., and Gasol, J. M.: Bacterioplankton and
phytoplankton biomass and production during summer stratification in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, *Deep Sea*
702 *Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 46, 985-1019, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(98\)00106-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00106-X), 1999.
- Pérez, V., Fernández, E., Marañón, E., Morán, X. A. G., and Zubkov, M. V.: Vertical distribution of phytoplankton
704 biomass, production and growth in the Atlantic subtropical gyres, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research*
Papers, 53, 1616-1634, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.07.008>, 2006.
- 706 Pulido-Villena, E., Ghiglione, J. F., Ortega-Retuerta, E., Van Wambeke, F., and Zohary, T.: Heterotrophic bacteria in
the pelagic realm of the Mediterranean Sea, in: *Life in the Mediterranean Sea: A Look at Habitat Changes*, edited by:
708 Stambler, N., Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2012.
- Ras, J., Claustre, H., and Uitz, J.: Spatial variability of phytoplankton pigment distributions in the Subtropical South
710 Pacific Ocean: comparison between in situ and predicted data, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 353-369, 10.5194/bg-5-353-2008,
2008.
- 712 Robinson, A., Bouman, H. A., Tilstone, G. H., and Sathyendranath, S.: Size Class Dependent Relationships between
Temperature and Phytoplankton Photosynthesis-Irradiance Parameters in the Atlantic Ocean, *Frontiers in Marine*
714 *Science*, 4, 10.3389/fmars.2017.00435, 2018.
- Siokou-Frangou, I., Christaki, U., Mazzocchi, M. G., Montresor, M., Ribera d'Alcalá, M., Vaqué, D., and Zingone, A.:
716 Plankton in the open Mediterranean Sea: a review, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 1543-1586, 10.5194/bg-7-1543-2010, 2010.
- Smith, D. C., and Azam, F.: A simple, economical method for measuring bacterial protein synthesis rates in seawater
718 using ³H-leucine, *Marine Microbial Food Webs*, 6, 107-114, 1992.
- Steele, J.: A study of production in the Gulf of Mexico, *Journal of Marine Research*, 3, 211-222, 1964.
- 720 Steinberg, D. K., Carlson, C. A., Bates, N. R., Johnson, R. J., Michaels, A. F., and Knap, A. H.: Overview of the US
JGOFS Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS): a decade-scale look at ocean biology and biogeochemistry, *Deep*
722 *Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 48, 1405-1447, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(00\)00148-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00148-X), 2001.
- 724 Taillandier, V., Prieur, L., D'Ortenzio, F., Ribera d'Alcalá, M., and Pulido-Villena, E.: Profiling float observation of
thermohaline staircases in the western Mediterranean Sea and impact on nutrient fluxes, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 2020,
726 1-43, 10.5194/bg-2019-504, 2020.
- Tanaka, T., Thingstad, T. F., Christaki, U., Colombet, J., Cornet-Barthaux, V., Courties, C., Grattepanche, J. D.,
728 Lagaria, A., Nedoma, J., Oriol, L., Psarra, S., Pujo-Pay, M., and Van Wambeke, F.: Lack of P-limitation of
phytoplankton and heterotrophic prokaryotes in surface waters of three anticyclonic eddies in the stratified
730 Mediterranean Sea, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 525-538, 10.5194/bg-8-525-2011, 2011.
- Tsiola, A., Pitta, P., Fodelianakis, S., Pete, R., Magiopoulos, I., Mara, P., Psarra, S., Tanaka, T., and Mostajir, B.:
732 Nutrient Limitation in Surface Waters of the Oligotrophic Eastern Mediterranean Sea: an Enrichment Microcosm
Experiment, *Microbial Ecology*, 71, 575-588, 10.1007/s00248-015-0713-5, 2016.



- 734 Turley, C. M., Bianchi, M., Christaki, U., Conan, P., Harris, J. R. W., Psarra, S., Ruddy, G., Stutt, E. D., Tselepidis, A.,
736 and Wambeke, F. V.: Relationship between primary producers and bacteria in an oligotrophic sea--the Mediterranean
and biogeochemical implications, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 193, 11-18, 2000.
- Uitz, J., Claustre, H., Morel, A., and Hooker, S. B.: Vertical distribution of phytoplankton communities in open ocean:
738 An assessment based on surface chlorophyll, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 111, 10.1029/2005jc003207,
2006.
- 740 Uitz, J., Huot, Y., Bruyant, F., Babin, M., and Claustre, H.: Relating phytoplankton photophysiological properties to
community structure on large scales, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 53, 614-630, 10.4319/lo.2008.53.2.0614, 2008.
- 742 Uitz, J., Claustre, H., Gentili, B., and Stramski, D.: Phytoplankton class-specific primary production in the world's
oceans: Seasonal and interannual variability from satellite observations, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 24,
744 10.1029/2009gb003680, 2010.
- Uitz, J., Stramski, D., Gentili, B., D'Ortenzio, F., and Claustre, H.: Estimates of phytoplankton class-specific and total
746 primary production in the Mediterranean Sea from satellite ocean color observations, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*,
26, 10.1029/2011gb004055, 2012.
- 748 Van Wambeke, F., Heussner, S., Diaz, F., Raimbault, P., and Conan, P.: Small-scale variability in the
coupling/uncoupling of bacteria, phytoplankton and organic carbon fluxes along the continental margin of the Gulf of
750 Lions, Northwestern Mediterranean Sea, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 33-34, 411-429, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963\(02\)00069-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(02)00069-6), 2002.
- 752 Van Wambeke, F., Pulido, E., Dinasquet, J., Djaoudi, K., Engel, A., Garel, M., Gusaco, S., Nunige, S., Taillandier, V.,
Zäncker, B., and Tamburini, C.: Spatial patterns of biphasic ectoenzymatic kinetics related to biogeochemical properties
754 in the Mediterranean Sea, *Biogeosciences*, bg-2020-253, submitted, 2020
- Veldhuis, M. J. W., and Kraay, G. W.: Phytoplankton in the subtropical Atlantic Ocean: towards a better assessment of
756 biomass and composition, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 51, 507-530,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2003.12.002>, 2004.
- 758 Villareal, T. A., Woods, S., Moore, J. K., and CulverRymysza, K.: Vertical migration of *Rhizosolenia* mats and their
significance to NO₃⁻ fluxes in the central North Pacific gyre, *Journal of Plankton Research*, 18, 1103-1121,
760 10.1093/plankt/18.7.1103, 1996.
- Wang, Q., Lyu, Z., Omar, S., Cornell, S., Yang, Z., and Montagnes, D. J. S.: Predicting temperature impacts on aquatic
762 productivity: Questioning the metabolic theory of ecology's "canonical" activation energies, *Limnology and
Oceanography*, 64, 1172-1185, 10.1002/lno.11105, 2019.
- 764 Yoon, J. E., Yoo, K. C., Macdonald, A. M., Yoon, H. I., Park, K. T., Yang, E. J., Kim, H. C., Lee, J. I., Lee, M. K.,
Jung, J., Park, J., Lee, J., Kim, S., Kim, S. S., Kim, K., and Kim, I. N.: Reviews and syntheses: Ocean iron fertilization
766 experiments – past, present, and future looking to a future Korean Iron Fertilization Experiment in the Southern Ocean
(KIFES) project, *Biogeosciences*, 15, 5847-5889, 10.5194/bg-15-5847-2018, 2018.
- 768



770 **Table 1.** Mean and standard deviation (in brackets) for different physical, chemical and biological variables at the three
 long stations. Nitracline depth is the first depth at which nitrate concentration reached $0.5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ while phosphacline
 772 depth corresponds to the first depth at which phosphate concentration reached $0.03 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$. Chlorophyll *a*
 concentration and particulate primary production (PP) were integrated from the surface to the 1% PAR depth.
 774 Heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP) was integrated from the surface to 200 m.

Variable	TYRR	ION	FAST
Surface temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	20.1 (0.6)	20.4 (0.1)	21.4 (0.2)
Surface TChl <i>a</i> (mg m^{-3})	0.07 (0.01)	0.07 (0.01)	0.08 (0.01)
Nitracline depth (m)	71 (3)	105 (2)	78 (8)
Phosphacline depth (m)	86 (3)	181 (7)	90 (5)
DCM depth (m)	74 (4)	96 (4)	85 (6)
1% PAR depth (m)	71 (8)	94 (6)	81 (5)
$0.3 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ isolume depth (m)	80 (7)	104 (5)	91 (6)
PAR at DCM ($\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$)	0.47 (0.26)	0.45 (0.06)	0.44 (0.19)
DCM TChl <i>a</i> concentration (mg m^{-3})	0.57 (0.11)	0.57 (0.07)	0.62 (0.29)
Surface phytoplankton biomass (mgC m^{-3})	6 (1)	6 (1)	6 (2)
DCM phytoplankton biomass (mgC m^{-3})	13 (8)	11(1)	16 (10)
Surface C:Chl <i>a</i> ratio (g:g)	97 (8)	91 (5)	89 (23)
DCM C:Chl <i>a</i> ratio (g:g)	27 (10)	21 (1)	34 (8)
Surface Fucoxanthin:TChl <i>a</i> ratio	0.036 (0.001)	0.040 (0.004)	0.051 (0.005)
DCM Fucoxanthin:TChl <i>a</i> ratio	0.21 (0.04)	0.29 (0.03)	0.24 (0.10)
Integrated TChl <i>a</i> (mg m^{-2}) (0 - 1% PAR z)	16 (2)	18 (2)	21 (9)
Integrated PP ($\text{mgC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) (0 - 1% PAR z)	170 (36)	186 (56)	209 (67)
% integrated PP > $2 \mu\text{m}$ (0 - 1% PAR z)	72 (4)	75 (6)	73 (3)
Integrated BP ($\text{mgC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) (0 - 200 m)	57 (3)	51 (9)	89 (10)

776

778


780



782 **Table 2.** Estimation of the contribution of nutrient diffusive fluxes to sustain the requirements of the deep
phytoplankton biomass maximum (DBM) in stations TYRR and FAST. The DBM layer considered has a thickness of
784 30 m and the nutrient requirements of primary production are assumed to follow Redfield C:N:P proportions. The
magnitude of nitrate and phosphate diffusive fluxes at the base of the DBM is taken from Taillandier et al. (2020).


	TYRR	FAST
Mean phytoplankton concentration (mgC m^{-3})	15	10
Biomass turnover rate (d^{-1})	0.3	0.3
C:N molar ratio of phytoplankton biomass	6.6	6.6
C:P molar ratio of phytoplankton biomass	106	106
Vertical extent of DBM layer (m)	30	30
Lower limit of deep biomass layer (m)	60	80
N requirement of DBM ($\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$)	1705	1136
P requirement of DBM ($\mu\text{mol P m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$)	107	71
Diffusive N flux (Taillandier et al. 2020) ($\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$)	560	101
Diffusive P flux (Taillandier et al. 2020) ($\mu\text{mol P m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$)	12.8	2.3
% of N requirement met by diffusive flux	33	9
% of P requirement met by diffusive flux	12	3

786



788


790 **Figure 1.** Location of the sampled stations superimposed on a map of ocean colour-based surface chlorophyll *a*
792 concentration (mg m^{-3}) averaged over the period of the PEACETIME cruise (12 May – 8 June 2017). Dots and stars
indicate the location of short and long stations, respectively. Ocean colour data from MODIS/Aqua, NASA Goddard
Space Flight Center.



794 **Figure 2.** Vertical profiles of temperature and fluorescence (0-250 m) during each sampling day at the long stations
796 TYRR (a, c), ION (b, e) and FAST (c, f). The colour code denotes the sampling date in dd.mm format, and the grey bars
indicate the mean value of the 1% PAR depth at each station. The fluorescence signal was calibrated against HPLC-
determined total chlorophyll *a* concentration.

798

800




802

804 **Figure 3.** Vertical profiles of total chlorophyll *a* concentration (a,b,c), phytoplankton biomass concentration (d,e,f) and primary production (g,h,i) during each sampling day at the long stations TYRR (a,d,g), ION (b,e,h) and FAST (c,f,i).



806




808 **Figure 4.** Relationship between PAR and a) phytoplankton carbon to chlorophyll *a* ratio, b) chlorophyll *a*-specific
810 particulate primary production and c) phytoplankton biomass turnover rate with data from all stations pooled together.
The non linear fits are (a) $y = 92.7 (1 - \exp(-0.61 x))$, $r^2 = 0.53$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 69$ and (b) $y = 26.2 (1 - \exp(-0.22 x))$,
 $r^2 = 0.68$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 119$.

812




814




816

818 **Figure 5.** Vertical variability of the fucoxanthin to total chlorophyll *a* concentration ratio in the three long stations. The upper x-axis is included as a reference and shows the estimated diatom contribution to TChl *a* computed with the mean value of three different conversion factors. See Methods for details.



820

822 **Figure 6.** Vertical profiles of heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP, dawn casts only) during each sampling day at the long-term stations a) TYRR, b) ION and c) and FAST. The grey line indicates depth of the DCM at each station.



824

826 **Figure 7.** Bacterial production as a function of a) particulate and b) dissolved primary production with data from all
stations pooled together. The linear regression models are (a) $y = 0.058x + 0.54$ ($r^2 = 0.05$, $n = 110$, $p = 0.016$) and (b) y
828 $= 0.12x + 0.55$ ($r^2 = 0.07$, $n = 62$, $p = 0.034$).

828