## 1 **Arctic aquatic graminoid tundra responses to nutrient availability**

2

3 Christian G. Andresen,  $1,2$  and Vanessa L. Lougheed,  $2$ 

<sup>1</sup> Geography Department, University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, WI, USA.<br><sup>2</sup> Biological Sciences Department, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso TX, US

<sup>2</sup> Biological Sciences Department, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso TX, USA.

 $\frac{6}{7}$ 

Correspondence email: candresen@wisc.edu

### 8

9 **Abstract:** Unraveling the environmental controls influencing Arctic tundra productivity is

10 paramount for advancing our predictive understanding of the causes and consequences of 11 warming in tundra ecosystems and associated land-atmosphere feedbacks. This study focuses on

12 aquatic emergent tundra plants, which dominate productivity and methane fluxes in the Arctic

13 coastal plain of Alaska. In particular, we assessed how environmental nutrient availability

14 influences production of biomass and greenness in the dominant aquatic tundra species:

15 *Arctophila fulva and Carex aquatilis*. We sampled a total of 17 sites distributed across the

- 16 Barrow Peninsula and Atqasuk, Alaska following a nutrient gradient that ranged from sites with
- 17 thermokarst slumping or urban runoff to sites with relatively low nutrient inputs. Employing a
- 18 multivariate analysis, we explained the relationship of soil and water nutrients to plant leaf
- 19 macro- and micro-nutrients. Specifically, we identified soil phosphorus as the main limiting

20 nutrient factor given that it was the principal driver of aboveground biomass ( $R^2$ =0.34, p=0.002)

21 and Normalize Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)  $(R^2=0.47, p=0.002)$  in both species. Plot-

22 level spectral NDVI was a good predictor of leaf P content for both species. We found long-term 23 increases in N, P and Ca in *C. aquatilis* based on historical leaf nutrient data from 1970s of our

24 study area. This study highlights the importance of nutrient pools and mobilization between

25 terrestrial-aquatic systems and their potential influence on productivity and land-atmosphere

26 carbon balance. In addition, aquatic plant NDVI spectral responses to nutrients can serve as

27 landscape hot-spot and hot-moment indicator of landscape biogeochemical heterogeneity

- 28 associated with permafrost degradation, nutrient leaching and availability.
- 29

30 **Keywords:** NDVI, permafrost thaw, thermokarst, biomass, productivity, hot-spot, hot-moment

### 31 **1. INTRODUCTION**

32 In the Arctic, plant growth is limited by several factors including low temperatures, short 33 growing-seasons (e.g. irradiance) and nutrient availability (Chapin *et al* 1975, Shaver *et al* 1998). 34 Although Arctic temperatures have increased dramatically over recent decades with parallel 35 increases in plant biomass, nutrients have been shown to be the main driver enhancing Arctic 36 tundra productivity compared to temperature in long-term experimental treatments (Shaver *et al* 37 1998, Boelman *et al* 2003, Jónsdóttir *et al* 2005, Johnson *et al* 2000) and in long-term field 38 observations (López-Blanco *et al* 2020). Increased tundra productivity has generally been 39 explained by warming-mediated processes including increases in nutrient availability through 40 soil warming, heterotrophic decomposition, and nutrient release from mineralization of organic 41 matter and permafrost thaw (Reyes and Lougheed 2015, Natali *et al* 2012, Keuper *et al* 2012, 42 Pastick *et al* 2019). In addition, abrupt thaw and recent lake drainage events enhanced during 43 warm Summers has also contributed to increased productivity through the availability of fertile

44 soils (Turetsky *et al* 2020, Loiko *et al* 2020, Nitze *et al* 2020, Jones *et al* 2012). These factors

- 45 highlight the complexity of tundra plant growth and production under a warming and changing
- 46 Arctic with implications for carbon budgets (Oberbauer *et al* 2007, McGuire *et al* 2018).
- 47 Unraveling the covarying climate and environmental controls influencing Arctic tundra
- 48 productivity is paramount for advancing our predictive understanding of the causes and
- 49 consequences of warming in tundra ecosystems and associated land-atmosphere feedbacks.
- 50 Nutrients play a key role influencing tundra plant production with complex effects on 51 ecosystem carbon balance. Early work by Chapin et al., (1975) and Shaver et al*.*, (1998)
- 52 demonstrated that nutrients, particularly N and P, enhanced plant biomass and aboveground plant
- 53 nutrients in wet tundra communities. In contrast, temperature alone has shown no effect on
- 54 biomass production in long-term experimental treatments (Shaver *et al* 1998, Boelman *et al*
- 55 2003, Jónsdóttir *et al* 2005, Johnson *et al* 2000). While nutrients drive productivity and
- 56 accumulation of new organic matter in the soil, nutrient enrichment can result in net carbon
- 57 losses by enhancing decomposition of old carbon stocks (Mack *et al* 2004). These results
- 58 emphasize the importance of nutrient–carbon interactions in controlling ecosystem processes and
- 59 ecosystem C balance in arctic tundra.
- 60 Our study builds on previous experimental studies that examined nutrient impacts on wet 61 tundra (Shaver *et al* 1998, Boelman *et al* 2003, McLaren and Buckeridge 2019, Beermann *et al* 62 2015, Lara *et al* 2019) by focusing on aquatic tundra, which are a relatively understudied plant 63 community in the Arctic. Aquatic emergent tundra plants are known to have the highest
- 64 productivity compared to terrestrial communities and contribute to a significant portion of
- 65 regional carbon sink and methane fluxes (Lara *et al* 2014, Joabsson and Christensen 2001,
- 66 Andresen *et al* 2017). In recent decades, Arctic aquatic communities have increased in biomass
- 67 and cover (Villarreal *et al* 2012, Andresen and Lougheed 2015), likely attributed to an increase
- 68 in nutrient input leached from terrestrial systems through permafrost degradation and abrupt
- 69 thaw events into aquatic habitats (Turetsky *et al* 2020, Reyes and Lougheed 2015), but the
- 70 impacts of nutrients on Arctic aquatic plant communities have not been well documented in
- 71 literature (Andresen 2014).
- 72 Nutrients have increased over the past 40 years in aquatic habitats (Lougheed *et al* 2011)
- 73 with parallel biomass increases of aquatic graminoids (Andresen *et al* 2017). This phenomenon
- 74 will likely become more pronounced as increasing temperatures in Arctic soils continue
- 75 enhancing nitrogen mineralization (Uhlířová *et al* 2007, Weintraub and Schimel 2003) as well as
- 76 permafrost degradation and nutrient leaching (Keuper *et al* 2012, Reyes and Lougheed 2015,
- 77 Frey and McClelland 2009, Fouché *et al* 2020). With increased thaw and subsurface flow
- 78 (Frampton *et al* 2013, Shiklomanov *et al* 2013), these processes may provide substantial nutrient
- 79 inputs to freshwater ecosystems, however, there is increased need to assess the effects of these
- 80 increased nutrient inputs on aquatic tundra productivity.
- 81 Remote sensing has been used to detect and quantify plant productivity in Arctic systems
- 82 based on multispectral indices (Pastick *et al* 2019, Epstein *et al* 2012, Walker *et al* 2012b).
- 83 Boelman et al., (2003) showed the applicability of the normalized vegetation index (NDVI) as a
- 84 tool to track spectral responses of wet sedge tundra to nutrients in fertilization and warming
- 85 experiments. Other studies employing digital repeat photography have successfully assessed
- 86 plant phenology, biomass and productivity by evaluating vegetation color with indices in the
- 87 visual spectral range (i.e. blue, green and red) (Saitoh *et al* 2012, Sonnentag *et al* 2012, Andresen
- 88 *et al* 2018). Plant spectral responses to nutrient enrichment in aquatic communities are poorly
- 89 understood and its monitoring using remotely sense data would help monitor and quantify
- 90 potential carbon and energy feedbacks to the atmosphere at regional scales.
- 91 With current and projected warming and nutrient loading into Arctic aquatic systems, it is 92 important to understand nutrient impacts on aquatic emergent vegetation, and how these changes 93 can be detected and modeled using remote sensing methods. In this study, we sampled tundra 94 pond sites that followed a nutrient gradient that range from sites with thermokarst slumping or 95 urban runoff to sites with relatively low nutrient inputs. We aim to characterize nutrient 96 limitation of aquatic emergent tundra vegetation and spectral responses of this vegetation to 97 nutrient inputs. We focus on the influence of soil and water nutrients on plant biomass and 98 greenness of *Carex aquatilis* and *Arctophila. fulva*, the dominant aquatic emergent vascular 99 plants in the Arctic coastal plain (Villarreal *et al* 2012, Andresen *et al* 2018) to answer the 100 questions of: (i) how is aquatic tundra responding to nutrient availability? (ii) How 101 environmental nutrient status influence leaf nutrients in aquatic tundra? (iii) What are the
- 102 spectral responses (NDVI) of aquatic tundra to nutrient availability?

### 103 **2. METHODS**

## 104 **2.1 Study Sites**

105 This study was conducted in the Barrow Peninsula, Alaska,  $(W156^0, N70^0)$  near the town 106 of Uqtiaġvik (formerly known as Barrow). Physiographically, the area is located in the Arctic 107 Coastal Plain  $(ACP, ~60,000 \text{ km}^2)$  of northern Alaska, which stretches from the western coast 108 along the Chukchi Sea to the Beaufort coastal Canadian border. The ACP is dominated by thick 109 continuous permafrost with high ground-ice content for the Arctic peaty lowland of the peninsula 110 (Hinkel *et al* 2003). Soil organic horizon varies across the landscape due to the age of the 111 landform (i.e. drained thaw lake basin) and cryoturbation of the soil. Nonetheless, sites are 112 located in old and ancient drained thaw lake basins where the surface organic thickness ranges 113 between 15 and 35cm from surface (Hinkel *et al* 2003). A complex mosaic of ice-wedge 114 patterned ground landforms developed over millennial seasonal cycles of cracking, heaving, and 115 thawing producing its characteristic pond- and lake-dominated landscape (Andresen and 116 Lougheed 2015, Jorgenson and Shur 2007). These aquatic habitats of the ACP are hosts for 117 aquatic graminoid tundra that grows in shallow standing water with a depth range 5-50cm. This 118 study focuses on 2 species: *C. aquatilis* and *A. fulva.* These graminoids are the dominant cover in 119 aquatic habitats, generally grow as monotypic stands on the edge and/or inside tundra ponds 120 (Villarreal *et al* 2012, Andresen *et al* 2017) and their distribution is in low- and sub-Arctic.

121 Although these species have growth forms in moist and dry tundra (Shaver *et al* 1979), this study

- 122 focuses on their aquatic phenotypes.
- 123 A total of seventeen tundra ponds were sampled in early August  $(4<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup>)$  2013 along a
- 124 nutrient gradient with long-term sources of nutrients. Sites were grouped in four categories
- 125 according their geographic location and nutrient source as: (i) enriched urban, (ii) enriched
- 126 thermokarst, (iii) reference, and (iv) southern (Figure 1, 2, Appendix 1). Enriched urban ponds
- 127 were located within the town of Utqiaġvik, AK and their source of nutrients was mainly from 128 village runoff. Enriched thermokarst ponds were situated within the Barrow Environmental
- 129 Observatory (BEO), and their nutrient inputs originate from permafrost slumping into ponds.
- 130 Reference sites were located across the region in the historical International Biological Program
- 131 (IBP) sites and in the BEO; but these sites do not contain evidence of continuous permafrost
- 132 slumping. Southern latitude ponds were located 100 km south of Utqiaġvik, near the town of
- 133 Atqasuk, AK. We sampled these ponds in order to expand the geographic footprint of the study
- 134 and serve as reference to Utqiaġvik area. It is important to note that while *C. aquatilis* occurs in
- 135 all ponds, *A. fulva* does not occur in thermokarst ponds nor in IBP-C and WL02 ponds
- 136 (Appendix 1).
- 137
- 138 Figure 1. Map of Utqiaġvik sites sampled in this study. For site details including southern sites 139 see Appendix 1. *Imagery © [2012] DigitalGlobe, Inc.*



141 142

- 143
- 144
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- $\frac{152}{153}$
- 153 Figure 2. Aerial view the Arctic coastal plain near Utqiaġvik, AK, and examples of sites sampled<br>154 in this study. Images indicate site name (top-left) and picture date Y/M/D (top-right). Photos by 154 in this study. Images indicate site name (top-left) and picture date Y/M/D (top-right). Photos by C. Andresen.
- C. Andresen.



### 157 **2.2 Plant nutrients**

158 We collected live, green samples of *A. fulva* and *C. aquatilis* at peak growing season 159 (July 25-August 5, 2013). Each sample consisted of 10-15 plants collected from different water 160 depths and multiple randomly selected locations in pond habitats within monotypic stands of 161 each species. The collected plants were separated into leaves and roots, then rinsed with distilled 162 water, oven-dried at 60 °C for 24 hrs inside open paper envelopes, then shipped to Utah State 163 University Analytical Labs (USUAL) for immediate processing. Most macro- and micro-164 nutrients in leaves of each plant were analyzed using an inductively-coupled plasma 165 spectrometer (ICP-MS). Total nitrogen was analyzed by combustion analysis (HNO3/H2O2 166 digestion, Leco Instrument).

167 **2.3 Ancillary data** 

168 Concomitant with the collection of aquatic plants for nutrient analysis, we collected soil 169 and water samples, harvested aboveground plant biomass, measured spectral reflectance, and 170 monitored most sites using time-lapse photography (Andresen *et al* 2018) (Figure 2). For each 171 site, sediment samples from the active root soil depth of 10-20cm for each species were collected 172 in triplicates within the site. Samples where then combined in a plastic bag and frozen until 173 analysis. Soil at this depth range (10-20cm) was a combination of mineral and organic horizon 174 and varied among sites and within each site. Thus, the combination of 3 soil samples in each site 175 aided to minimize soil heterogeneity discrepancies and give an overall picture of soil conditions 176 at each site. In the lab, soil samples were air dried for 3 days after thaw, then analyzed for 177 physical and chemical factors including pH, electric conductance (EC), and macronutrients (For 178 logistical reasons, only P, K, and Nitrate were analyze). Water chemistry followed standard 179 methods (American Public Health Association 1998) where nitrate-nitrogen was quantified by 180 cadmium reduction; ammonia using phenate method; total phosphorus by ascorbic acid method 181 with persulfate digestion; soluble reactive phosphorus by the ascorbic acid method; and, silica 182 using the heteropoly blue method. In contrast to sediment, which was sampled for each plant 183 type, water samples from open water mid-column were assumed to be representative of the 184 whole pond, including both plant species given the relatively well mixed environment. 185 Aboveground plant biomass was harvested within duplicate representative 50cm x 20cm 186 quadrats for each species at each site. In addition, reflectance measurements of canopy radiance 187 were collected at each site employing a single channel portable spectrometer (JAZ, Ocean

188 Optics). Following Andresen et al (2018), reflectance measurements were collected during sunny

- 189 conditions between 12 and 4 pm for maximum solar elevation angles  $(29^0-33^0, \sim 2 \text{pm}$  is highest 190 https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/) and to best match satellite observations. The person doing the
- 191 collection was standing in the opposite direction of the solar azimuth angle to avoid any effects
- 192 of shading by the instrument or person. All plots for both aquatic species were inundated at time
- 193 of sampling (including soil, plant and spectral samples) with a water depth ( $\pm$ SD) of 25.2  $\pm$  4.6
- 194 for *A. fulva* and 10.3 ± 3.22 cm for *C. aquatilis*. Solar specular reflection of water on aquatic
- 195 emergent plant spectral measurements was insignificant given that solar elevation angles are

196 relatively low in the Arctic ( $\sim 33^0$ , peak season) and solar specular reflection was outside of the

- 197  $\sim$  1 m spectral footprint of the measured plot. The reflectance ratio was estimated between plot
- 198 radiance at nadir and the calibration standard radiance. White calibration standard (38 mm wide)
- 199 was positioned 30 mm at nadir below the field spectrometer optic fiber (field of view of 25°) at
- 200 each calibration, then capped closed to minimize degradation. NDVI measurements from 5 scans
- 201 were averaged in each plot, and 4–6 plots per pond for comparison with leaf nutrients.
- 202 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was estimated from reflectance ratio values
- 203 using the formula: NDVI = (800 nm− 680 nm) / (800 nm+ 680 nm). NDVI is a standard proxy of 204 plant productivity and biomass in the Arctic and has been used to track plot (Soudani *et al* 2012,
- 205 Gamon *et al* 2013, Andresen *et al* 2018) to regional and global seasonal and decade time-scale 206 productivity trends (Bhatt *et al* 2010, Walker *et al* 2012a, Zeng and Jia 2013).
- 207 Parallel to reflectance NDVI measurements, we employed phenocams (optical 208 photography) at each site to calculate the "green excess" index (GEI) (Richardson *et al* 2009, 209 Andresen *et al* 2018) from peak-season oblique images using the formula: [2\*G - (R + B)] where 210 G is the brightness value in the green, R is the brightness value in the red, and B is the brightness 211 value in the blue. Oblique-angle GEI collected from cameras in this study is strongly associated 212 to nadir-angle NDVI for both *A. fulva* and *C. aquatilis* (Andresen *et al* 2018)*.* For additional
- 213 camera details and setup refer to Andresen *et al* (2018).
- 

# 214 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

215 We employed principal components analysis (PCA) to generate linear combinations of 216 the plant leaf nutrient data to describe the primary gradients in plant nutrient enrichment among 217 the sites. PCA assumes linear relationships among variables, which was confirmed with 218 scatterplots prior to analysis. Plant nutrient data was standardized to zero mean and unit variance 219 and log<sub>10</sub> transformed where applicable to obtain a normal distribution. PCA axes were then 220 associated to environmental data (i.e. soil and water nutrients, plant biomass, NDVI, GEI) using 221 a Pearson correlation. Variables were log-transformed as required to meet the assumptions of 222 normality. All statistical analyses were performed in SAS JMP software v4.0. Significance of the 223 PC axes was confirmed in PC-ORD. Differences in environmental and biological characteristics 224 among areas within ponds dominated by *C. aquatilis* and *A. fulva* were assessed using a paired t-225 test, with areas compared within each sampled pond. Green-up dates by phenocams were 226 determined using a regression tree analysis as described in Andresen *et al* (2018).

## 227 **3. RESULTS**

228 Examining the relationships between plant biomass and macronutrient (N, P) content of the 229 plant leaves and soil revealed that plant leaf phosphorus content was the primary determinant of

230 aquatic plant biomass, significantly explaining 40% of the variation in biomass of *C. aquatilis*

- 231 (*p*=0.01) and 32% of the biomass variation of *A. fulva* (marginally significant at *p*=0.6).
- 232 Combining both aquatic species, leaf P significantly explains 34% of aboveground biomass
- 233 variability with  $p=0.002$  (Figure 3).

234 In addition, we found a positive linear relationship  $(R^2 = 0.48, p \le 0.01)$  between leaf 235 phosphorus and NDVI (Figure 3). There were no significant relationships between plant biomass 236 and leaf nitrogen. Among site types, enriched sites (Urban and Thermokarst) have statistically 237 higher soil, leaf and water nutrients compared to reference sites (*p*<0.001), no differences found 238 for southern sites.

239 There were no significant differences in leaf and soil macro-nutrients among plant 240 species in a given pond from reference sites (paired t-test, *p*>0.05) (Table 1). However, leaf 241 micronutrients among plant species differed. We found significantly higher amounts of leaf Al, 242 B, Ba, Mn, Na, Ni, Si and Zn in *C. aquatilis* compared to *A. fulva* (*p*<0.05 level). The most 243 abundant leaf element in both plant species was N, followed in decreasing order by K, P, S and 244 Mg and these ratios were consistent across the nutrient gradient sites (Figure 4).

245 There were significant differences in green-up date and peak season Greenness Excess

246 Index (GEI) among species (*p*<0.01, Table 1). *A. fulva* greened later (day 200 vs. 183) and had

247 lower GEI (9 vs. 33) as compared to *C. aquatilis*. These differences are associated to unique 248 phenotypic properties between species in the visual spectral range (Andresen *et al* 2018). There

249 was no corresponding difference in NDVI or biomass among species (*p*>0.05).

250







 $^{252}_{253}$ Figure 3. Relationship between Phosphorus content (%) of leaves and dry weight aboveground 254 biomass (left) and NDVI (right) for *Carex aquatilis* and *Arctophila fulva*. Biomass collected 255 during peak growing season (first week of August). Each point represents site averages.



258 Figure 4. Descending order of element concentration in aboveground tissue among plant species. 259 Error bars represent one standard deviation from mean.

260

257

- 262 Table 1. Range of environmental variables by vegetation type from 17 ponds in Utqiaġvik and
- 263 Atqasuk, Alaska. (\*) represents significantly different among species at *p*<0.01. Range
- 264 represents min and max.



266 **3.1** *Arctophila fulva* 

267 For *A. fulva*, the first four PC axes explained 72% of the variation in plant leaf nutrients. 268 However, only axis 1 and 4 were significant (*p*<0.05). Axis 1 explained 29% of the variation and 269 was positively correlated with the plant macronutrients N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S as well as other 270 elements such as Al, B, Ba, Mn, S, Zn, and negatively correlated with Ni, Pb and Fe. On the 271 other hand, PC axis 4 explained 13% of the variation and was positively correlated with As, Ca, 272 Cr, Ni, Si, Zn. (Table 2, Figure 5).

273 Site types for *A. fulva* were clearly separated along axes PCA-1 and PCA-4 (Figure 5). 274 Enriched urban systems were located on the upper left quadrant, coinciding with higher 275 concentrations of many leaf nutrients and environmental variables such as soil P, EC, water P, 276 Si, DOC, plant biomass and higher green spectral indices (NDVI, GEI). Conversely, reference 277 sites and those at southern latitude were located in the opposite quadrants of the plot with a wider 278 distribution along PCA-4 and thus, wider variability in leaf nutrients and environmental 279 conditions. Southern sites for *A. fulva* showed a similar distribution to reference sites (Figure 5). 280

## 281 **3.2** *Carex aquatilis*

282 *C. aquatilis* PC axis 1 and 2 explained 50% of the variation in the plant nutrient data. PC 283 axis 1 (26%) showed positive relationships with important macronutrients N, P, and Mg and 284 other elements such as Al, Ba, Co, Cu, Fe, Mo, Pb, Zn. PC axis 2 explained 24% of the variation 285 in leave nutrients and was positively associated with Al, Ba, K, Mn, P, S, Sr, and negatively 286 associated with Ni, Mo, Se, Zn (Table 2).

287 The *C. aquatilis* PC plot of axes 1 and 2 also showed sites grouped by type (Figure 5). 288 We observed a good separation along PCA-2 of enriched urban ponds as compared to reference, 289 southern and enriched thermokarst. Similar to *A. fulva*, the enriched sites were found at the

290 positive end of an axis that was positively associated with water nutrients, alkalinity, 291 conductance, plant biomass, NDVI and soil K (Table 3). Environmental variables positively

292 associated with the vertical distribution of sites along axis 1 included soil EC, water nutrients

293 (TDP, SRP, NO3), and negative correlations with water pH, alkalinity and *C. aquatilis* green-up

294 date (Table 2). We noticed grouping of enriched thermokarst and reference sites for *C. aquatilis*

295 in a portion of the plot associated with high electrical conductance and water TDP, SRP and

296 NO3. Conversely to *A. fulva*, the southern sites were clustered away from other sites, in the lower

297 left quadrant, likely reflecting earlier green up, higher GEI, and lower soil and water nutrients.









301 nutrient data. Eigenvectors depict PCA axis correlations with environmental variables.

302 Eigenvectors are scaled for clarity.

303

304

- 305
- 306

307

- 309 Table 2. Correlation coefficients between PC axes and leaf nutrients for *Carex aquatilis* (right)
- 310 and *Arctophila fulva* (left).



### 312 Table 3. PC axes correlations with environmental variables.





### 313 **4. DISCUSSION**

314 We explored the effects of plant nutrient enrichment in the dominant aquatic tundra 315 species of the Arctic Coastal Plain: *A. fulva* and *C. aquatilis*. Our study is unique as it focuses on 316 aquatic emergent plants and is based on natural responses to non-experimental, long-term 317 nutrient enrichment compared to previous studies of fertilization treatment experiments. Plant 318 leaf nutrients were a function of soil and water nutrients in Arctic tundra ponds. Phosphorus was 319 the main driver of biomass in aquatic plants and plant greenness measured by NDVI in both 320 plant species.

321 **4.1 Leaf nutrients** 

322 The environmental gradient investigated in this study was highlighted by the principal 323 component analysis and allowed better understanding of the factors influencing leaf nutrients. 324 Our analysis shows how soil and water nutrients in ponds influence plant leaf nutrients and 325 aboveground biomass of aquatic tundra graminoids. The Arctic is typically nutrient limited in 326 inorganic forms of N and P in both soil (Mack *et al* 2004, Keuper *et al* 2012, Beermann *et al* 327 2015) and surface waters (Rautio *et al* 2011). Similar to aquatic growth forms, moist and wet 328 tundra *C. aquatilis* and *A. fulva* appear to be P limited (Chapin *et al* 1995, Mack *et al* 2004, 329 Boelman *et al* 2003, Beermann *et al* 2015) given the highly organic soil which enhances 330 recycling of N by mineralization of soil organic matter (Beermann *et al* 2015, Chapin *et al* 331 1975). On the aquatic side, primary productivity of phytoplankton and periphyton in tundra 332 ponds in the Utqiagvik area (including some of our study sites) have been shown to be largely 333 NP co-limited (Lougheed *et al* 2015). In fact, Lougheed et al (2015) suggested that macrophytes 334 may be outcompeting algae for available nitrogen, which may account for the N limitation of 335 algae but N sufficiency of plants. Soil nutrients were similar among cover types which may 336 explain the homogeneous leaf macronutrient concentrations among *C. aquatilis* and *A. fulva*. 337 However, we observed higher micronutrients and other non-essential minerals in *C. aquatilis* 338 compared to *A. fulva*. These disparities are likely attributed to differences in taxonomic groups 339 and thus, taxa-specific nutrient content (Chapin *et al* 1975).

340 We designed the sample collection to give an overall representation of plant-soil 341 relationships for detection using remote sensing. The plant leaf samples and soil samples were 342 not taken within the exact location, but rather, plants were collected in different areas of the 343 monotypic stands trying to have a diverse representation of the species within each pond. 344 Similarly, soils were collected in 3 different locations within the same area and mixed together 345 for processing. However, given the high heterogeneity in soil properties on polygonal tundra due 346 to cryoturbation, the relationships between soil and leaf nutrients are likely weakened and may 347 explain the low strength of the relationships of Figure 3.

348

349 Compared to historical studies in the Utqiaġvik area, we found that the major plant 350 macronutrients in *C. aquatilis* had increased since they were determined in 1970 by Chapin et al 351 (1975). N, P and Ca plant percentage content increased from 2.18±0.09 to 2.4±0.2 (10%

352 increase), 0.15±0.02 to 0.18±0.03 (20%), 0.08±0.02 to 0.14±0.08 (75%) respectively, for

- 353 samples collected in early August. However, K and Mg were lower compared to 1970. Increase
- 354 in leaf nutrients are concomitant with long-term observations of nutrient increases in tundra
- 355 ponds of nitrate, ammonia and soluble reactive phosphorus (Lougheed *et al* 2011). Increased
- 356 plant nutrients may be a result of nutrient release from long-term increases of active layer depth
- 357 (Andresen and Lougheed 2015), thawing permafrost (Reyes and Lougheed 2015, Keuper *et al* 358 2012) and nitrogen mineralization (Uhlířová *et al* 2007, Weintraub and Schimel 2003) leached
- 359 from terrestrial inputs. The remarkable increase in Ca observed by Chapin *et al* (1975) between
- 360 1970 and 2013 is likely associated to accumulation from high transpiration (Chapin 1980) and

361 suggests enhanced *C. aquatilis* evapotranspiration rates compared to 50 years ago as a result of

- 362 modern warmer temperatures in both air and water (Lougheed *et al* 2011, Andresen and 363 Lougheed 2015). It is important to note that *C. aquatilis* has been shown to have phenotypical
- 364 differences across moisture gradients (Shaver et al. 1979). Thus, *C. aquatilis* sampled in wet
- 365 meadows (Chapin *et al* 1975) might have different physiological characteristics, and therefore,
- 366 different nutrient tissue composition compared to *C. aquatilis* in aquatic habitats.

367 This study focused on peak season to reflect peak biomass (Andresen *et al* 2017) and 368 greenness (Andresen *et al* 2018) of aquatic graminoid tundra with different environmental 369 nutrient status. In addition, peak season is the preferred timing for assessing long-term Arctic 370 greenness trends from satellite platforms (Walker *et al* 2012b, Bhatt *et al* 2010). Nutrients are 371 known to affect seasonal phenology of aquatic graminoids by promoting earlier green-up date as 372 well as higher season greenness (Andresen *et al* 2018). However, the relationship between 373 environmental nutrient status and seasonal plant nutrient dynamics is unclear in tundra 374 graminoids and should be further investigated.

375 There are other important seasonal considerations that are worth noting. Concentrations 376 of leaf nutrients have been shown to vary through the growing season in tundra vegetation 377 communities. In graminoids, N and P peak within 10 days of snowmelt and gradually decrease to 378 half of their concentration over the course of the growing season Chapin 75. On the other hand, 379 water and soil nutrients may increase over the season in ponds as active layer thaws and soil 380 biogeochemical processes activate (e.g. N mineralization) resulting in increased nutrient leaching 381 from terrestrial to aquatic systems. Evaporation and evapotranspiration likely help increase 382 nutrient concentrations in small ponds. As climate change continues to stretch the growing 383 season, we need to further understand seasonal dynamics of plant nutrients and its implications 384 on productivity and land-atmosphere carbon exchange.

- 385
- 

## 386 **4.2 Nutrients, biomass, NDVI and GEI**

387 NDVI of Arctic graminoid tundra has been noted to be a function of biomass caused by 388 increased nutrients (Boelman *et al* 2003, 2005, Epstein *et al* 2012, Raynolds *et al* 2012, 389 Andresen *et al* 2018). For example, Boelman et al. (2003) observed higher NDVI values in N

390 and P fertilized experimental treatments in wet sedge tundra communities compared to control

- 391 treatments. Also, Andresen et al (2018) noted higher NDVI and GEI greenness values
- 392 concomitant with higher biomass in enriched sites. Our study supports previous studies on the
- 393 importance of spectral measurements to be a function of environmental nutrient availability
- 394 through the enhancement of tundra biomass and leaf greenness at the plot level (Andresen *et al*
- 395 2018, Boelman *et al* 2005). In particular, this study highlights phosphorus as the main nutrient
- 396 augmenting aboveground biomass and plant greenness in aquatic tundra. Aquatic tundra
- 397 graminoids studied here showed higher biomass in nutrient rich sites which translated to higher
- 398 plot-level greenness (e.g. NDVI, GEI). We suspect that the combination of nutrient-induced
- 399 factors such as (i) increased plant density thorough increased foliage and leaf area as well as (ii) 400 plant vitality from chlorophyll production and other pigments enhanced NDVI and GEI spectral
- 401 signatures. It is important to consider that plot-scale spectral measurements such as NDVI and
- 402 GEI may differ from coarser remote sensing platforms given the spectral heterogeneity of the
- 403 radiance signal measured by the satellite sensor pixel (Guay *et al* 2014) and caution should be
- 404 given to interpretations of NDVI with coarse imagery.
- 405 Increases in terrestrial productivity of the Arctic as inferred from coarse satellite NDVI 406 measurements have been directly attributed to increasing temperatures associated to sea ice 407 decline (Bhatt *et al* 2010, Epstein *et al* 2012). However, satellite based observations of tundra 408 change are complex (Myers-Smith *et al* 2020) with differing trends of greening and browning 409 observed in recent decades (Pastick *et al* 2019, Verbyla 2008, Phoenix and Bjerke 2016). At the 410 plot level, biological factors influencing spectral greenness signals include community 411 composition (Forbes *et al* 2010) leaf area and phenology (Andresen *et al* 2018, Post *et al* 2018). 412 These factors are greatly influenced by nutrient environmental availability as shown in this study 413 and others (Boelman *et al* 2003, Andresen *et al* 2018). As permafrost degradation and abrupt 414 thaw events continue to increase in frequency (Turetsky *et al* 2020, Reyes and Lougheed 2015, 415 Andresen *et al* 2020), it is imperative that we continue understanding plot-level spectral signals 416 and how they influence landscape-level satellite observations.
- 417 The wide range of environmental nutrient status and the broad spatial sampling 418 undertaken in this study provides a strong confidence on the use of spectral indices such as 419 NDVI to monitor environmental nutrient status at a regional scale. In particular, the strong 420 relationships between NDVI and phosphorous suggest that aquatic plant communities can be 421 used as hot-spots and/or hot moments indicators of nutrient availability and biochemical 422 landscape-scale processes. Hot-spots (disproportionately high reaction rates relative to the 423 surrounding landscape) and hot-moments (short periods of disproportionately high reaction rates 424 relative to longer time periods) are generally associated with rates and reactions of biochemical 425 processes (e.g. nutrient cycling, productivity) and often enhanced at the terrestrial-aquatic 426 interface where hydrological flow-paths mobilize substrates containing complimentary reactants 427 (e.g. nutrients) (McClain *et al* 2003). Aquatic plant communities are situated at the terrestrial-428 aquatic interface inside catch-points of small landscape drainages (e.g. ponds, low-center 429 polygons, ice wedge pits, etc) where biogeochemical changes such as mobilization processes

430 from permafrost degradation (hot-moment) and nutrient mineralization (hot-moment) can be

- 431 detected and mapped (hot-spot) with spatial detail over large areas.
- 432

### 433 **5. Conclusion**

434 This study highlights the influence and sensitivity of aquatic graminoid tundra community to 435 environmental nutrient status. In particular, we addressed that (i) aquatic graminoids were 436 responding to higher soil and water nutrient availability through increased biomass and 437 greenness, (ii) phosphorus was the principal limiting nutrient driving aquatic graminoid plant 438 biomass as well as (iii) positively enhancing plot-level NDVI spectral signatures. With projected 439 increased warming and associated terrestrial biegeochemical processes such as increased active 440 layer depth and permafrost thaw, increased nutrient availability and mineralization and enhanced 441 ecosystem carbon dynamics, aquatic plants will continue to be a hot-spot/hot-moment of change 442 in structure and function as they sustain encroachment of aquatic habitats that are increasing in 443 nutrients with potential carbon and surface energy feedbacks to climate. Characterizing 444 mechanisms for detection and quantification of biogeochemical responses to climate change 445 employing remote sensing will continue to be pivotal into understanding spatial and temporal 446 evolution of the Arctic terrestrial and aquatic systems and their interactions.

447

## 448 **6. Appendix**



449 Apendix 1. Study sites and plant types. Plants species included *C. aquatilis* (C) and *A. fulva* (A).

450 **7. Data Availability:** Arctic data center https://arcticdata.io/

451

452 8. **Acknowledgements:** This study was funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) 453 Graduate Research Fellowship Program to CGA (NSF-1110312) and research funding to

- 454 VLL (ARC-0909502). Thanks to Frankie Reyes, Christina Hernandez and Nicole Miller 455 for their help in the field. Thanks to UMIAQ, the Barrow Arctic Science Consortium 456 (BASC) and the Ukpeagvik Inupiaq Corporation (UIC) for logistical support and land 457 access. 458 459 9. **Authors Contributions:** CGA and VLL collected and processed the data and wrote the 460 manuscript. 461 462 10. **Competing interests**: No competing interests 463 464 **11. References**  465 American Public Health Association 1998 *Standard methods for the examination of water and*  466 *wastewater* (Washington, DC) 467 Andresen C G 2014 *Monitoring and understanding decadal scale changes in hydrology,*  468 *productivity and carbon balance in Arctic tundra ponds* (University of Texas at El Paso) 469 Andresen C G, Lara M J, Tweedie C T and Lougheed V L 2017 Rising plant-mediated methane 470 emissions from arctic wetlands *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23** 1128–39 471 Andresen C G, Lawrence D M, Wilson C J, McGuire A D, Koven C, Schaefer K, Jafarov E, 472 Peng S, Chen X, Gouttevin I, Burke E, Chadburn S, Ji D, Chen G, Hayes D and Zhang W 473 2020 Soil Moisture and Hydrology Projections of the Permafrost Region - A Model 474 Intercomparison *Cryosph.* **14** 445–59 475 Andresen C G and Lougheed V L 2015 Disappearing arctic tundra ponds: Fine-scale analysis of 476 surface hydrology in drained thaw lake basins over a 65 year period (1948-2013). *J.*  477 *Geophys. Res.* **120** 1–14 478 Andresen C G, Tweedie C E and Lougheed V L 2018 Climate and nutrient effects on arctic 479 wetland plant phenology observed from phenocams *Remote Sens. Environ.* **205** 46–55 480 Beermann F, Teltewskoi A, Fiencke C, Pfeiffer E M and Kutzbach L 2015 Stoichiometric 481 analysis of nutrient availability (N, P, K) within soils of polygonal tundra *Biogeochemistry* 482 **122** 211–27 483 Bhatt U S, Walker D A, Raynolds M K, Comiso J C, Epstein H E, Jia G, Gens R, Pinzon J E, 484 Tucker C J, Tweedie C E and Webber P J 2010 Circumpolar arctic tundra vegetation change 485 is linked to sea ice decline *Earth Interact.* **14** 1–20 Online: 486 http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/2010EI315.1 487 Boelman N T, Stieglitz M, Griffin K L and Shaver G R 2005 Inter-annual variability of NDVI in 488 response to long-term warming and fertilization in wet sedge and tussock tundra. *Oecologia* 489 **143** 588–97 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15812655 490 Boelman N T, Stieglitz M, Rueth H M, Sommerkorn M, Griffin K L, Shaver G R and Gamon J a 491 2003 Response of NDVI, biomass, and ecosystem gas exchange to long-term warming and 492 fertilization in wet sedge tundra. *Oecologia* **135** 414–21 Online: 493 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12721832 494 Chapin F I 1980 The mineral nutrition of wild plants *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **11** 233–60 Online: 495 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2096908 496 Chapin F I, Cleve K Van and Tieszen L 1975 Seasonal nutrient dynamics of tundra vegetation at 497 Barrow, Alaska *Arct. Alp. Res.* **7** 209–26 Online: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1549997 498 Chapin F S I, Shaver G and Giblin A 1995 Responses of arctic tundra to experimental and
- 499 observed changes in climate *Ecology* **76** 694–711 Online:

500 http://www.jstor.org/stable/1939337

- 501 Epstein H E, Raynolds M K, Walker D A, Bhatt U S, Tucker C J and Pinzon J E 2012 Dynamics 502 of aboveground phytomass of the circumpolar Arctic tundra during the past three decades 503 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **7** 015506 Online: http://stacks.iop.org/1748-
- 504 9326/7/i=1/a=015506?key=crossref.8aaa409e9fbd52e3c35d9fe4bf0c2641
- 505 Forbes B C, Macias-Fauria M and Zettenberg P 2010 Arctic warming and 'greening' are closely 506 tracked by tundra shrub willows *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **16** 1542–54
- 507 Fouché J, Christiansen C T, Lafrenière M J, Grogan P and Lamoureux S F 2020 Canadian 508 permafrost stores large pools of ammonium and optically distinct dissolved organic matter 509 *Nat. Commun.* **11** 1–11 Online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18331-w
- 510 Frampton A, Painter S L and Destouni G 2013 Permafrost degradation and subsurface-flow 511 changes caused by surface warming trends *Hydrogeol. J.* **21** 271–80
- 512 Frey K E and McClelland J W 2009 Impacts of permafrost degradation on arctic river 513 biogeochemistry *Hydrol. Process.* **23** 169–82
- 514 Gamon J a., Huemmrich K F, Stone R S and Tweedie C E 2013 Spatial and temporal variation in 515 primary productivity (NDVI) of coastal Alaskan tundra: Decreased vegetation growth 516 following earlier snowmelt *Remote Sens. Environ.* **129** 144–53 Online:
- 517 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S003442571200418X
- 518 Guay K C, Beck P S A, Berner L T, Goetz S J, Baccini A and Buermann W 2014 Vegetation 519 productivity patterns at high northern latitudes: a multi-sensor satellite data assessment 520 3147–58
- 521 Hinkel K M, Eisner W R, Bockheim J G, Nelson F E, Peterson K M and Dai X 2003 Spatial 522 Extent, Age, and Carbon Stocks in Drained Thaw Lake Basins on the Barrow Peninsula, 523 Alaska *Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res.* **35** 291–300
- 524 Joabsson A and Christensen T R 2001 Methane emissions from wetlands and their relationship 525 with vascular plants: an Arctic example *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **7** 919–32 Online: 526 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1046/j.1354-1013.2001.00044.x/full
- 527 Johnson L C, Shaver G R, Cades D H, Rastetter E, Nadelhoffer K, Giblin A, Laundre J and 528 Stanley A 2000 Plant carbon-nutrient interactions control CO2 exchange in Alaskan wet 529 sedge tundra ecosystems *Ecology* **81** 453–69
- 530 Jones M C, Grosse G, Jones B M and Walter Anthony K 2012 Peat accumulation in drained 531 thermokarst lake basins in continuous, ice-rich permafrost, northern Seward Peninsula, 532 Alaska *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **117** 1–16
- 533 Jónsdóttir I S, Khitun O and Stenström A 2005 Biomass and nutrient responses of a clonal tundra 534 sedge to climate warming *Can. J. Bot.* **83** 1608–21
- 535 Jorgenson M T and Shur Y 2007 Evolution of lakes and basins in northern Alaska and discussion 536 of the thaw lake cycle *J. Geophys. Res.* **112** F02S17 Online:
- 537 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2006JF000531
- 538 Keuper F, van Bodegom P M, Dorrepaal E, Weedon J T, van Hal J, van Logtestijn R S P and 539 Aerts R 2012 A frozen feast: thawing permafrost increases plant-available nitrogen in 540 subarctic peatlands *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **18** 1998–2007 Online:
- 541 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02663.x/abstract
- 542 Lara M J, Lin D H, Andresen C, Lougheed V L and Tweedie C E 2019 Nutrient Release From
- 543 Permafrost Thaw Enhances CH4 Emissions From Arctic Tundra Wetlands *J. Geophys. Res.*  544 *Biogeosciences* **124** 1560–73
- 545 Lara M J, McGuire D A, Euskirchen E S, Tweedie C E, Hinkel K M, Skurikhin A N,

546 Romanovsky V E, Grosse G and Bolton W R 2014 Century time-scale change in peak 547 growing season CO2 and CH 4 flux in response to change in ice-wedge polygonal tundra , 548 on the Barrow Peninsula in Arctic Alaska *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 1–50 549 Loiko S, Klimova N, Kuzmina D and Pokrovsky O 2020 Lake Drainage in Permafrost Regions 550 Produces Variable Plant Communities of High Biomass and Productivity *Plants* **9** 1–41 551 López-Blanco E, Jackowicz-Korczynski M, Mastepanov M, Skov K, Westergaard-Nielsen A, 552 Williams M and Christensen T R 2020 Multi-year data-model evaluation reveals the 553 importance of nutrient availability over climate in arctic ecosystem C dynamics *Environ.*  554 *Res. Lett.* **15** 555 Lougheed V L, Butler M G, McEwen D C and Hobbie J E 2011 Changes in Tundra Pond 556 Limnology: Re-sampling Alaskan Ponds After 40 Years *Ambio* **40** 589–99 Online: 557 http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13280-011-0165-1 558 Lougheed V L, Hernandez C, Andresen C G, Miller N A, Alexander V and Prentki R 2015 559 Contrasting responses of phytoplankton and benthic algae to recent nutrient enrichment in 560 Arctic tundra ponds *Freshw. Biol.* **60** 2169–86 561 Mack M C, Schuur E a G, Bret-Harte M S, Shaver G R and Chapin F S 2004 Ecosystem carbon 562 storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization. *Nature* **431** 440–3 563 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15386009 564 McClain M E, Boyer E W, Dent C L, Gergel S E, Grimm N B, Groffman P M, Hart S C, Harvey 565 J W, Johnston C A, Mayorga E, McDowell W H and Pinay G 2003 Biogeochemical Hot 566 Spots and Hot Moments at the Interface of Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecosystems *Ecosystems* 567 **6** 301–12 568 McGuire A D, Lawrence D M, Koven C, Clein J S, Burke E, Chen G, Jafarov E, MacDougall A 569 H, Marchenko S, Nicolsky D, Peng S, Rinke A, Ciais P, Gouttevin I, Hayes D J, Ji D, 570 Krinner G, Moore J C, Romanovsky V, Schädel C, Schaefer K, Schuur E A G and Zhuang 571 Q 2018 The Dependence of the Evolution of Carbon Dynamics in the Northern Permafrost 572 Region on the Trajectory of Climate Change *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 573 McLaren J R and Buckeridge K M 2019 Decoupled above- and belowground responses to multi-574 decadal nitrogen and phosphorus amendments in two tundra ecosystems *Ecosphere* **10** 575 Myers-Smith I H, Kerby J T, Phoenix G K, Bjerke J W, Epstein H E, Assmann J J, John C, 576 Andreu-Hayles L, Angers-Blondin S, Beck P S A, Berner L T, Bhatt U S, Bjorkman A D, 577 Blok D, Bryn A, Christiansen C T, Cornelissen J H C, Cunliffe A M, Elmendorf S C, 578 Forbes B C, Goetz S J, Hollister R D, de Jong R, Loranty M M, Macias-Fauria M, Maseyk 579 K, Normand S, Olofsson J, Parker T C, Parmentier F J W, Post E, Schaepman-Strub G, 580 Stordal F, Sullivan P F, Thomas H J D, Tømmervik H, Treharne R, Tweedie C E, Walker D 581 A, Wilmking M and Wipf S 2020 Complexity revealed in the greening of the Arctic *Nat.*  582 *Clim. Chang.* **10** 106–17 Online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0688-1 583 Natali S M, Schuur E A G and Rubin R L 2012 Increased plant productivity in Alaskan tundra as 584 a result of experimental warming of soil and permafrost *J. Ecol.* **100** 488–98 585 Nitze I, W. Cooley S, R. Duguay C, M. Jones B and Grosse G 2020 The catastrophic 586 thermokarst lake drainage events of 2018 in northwestern Alaska: Fast-forward into the 587 future *Cryosphere* **14** 4279–97 588 Oberbauer S, Tweedie C, Welker J M, Fahnestock J T, Henry G H R, Webber P J, Hollister R D, 589 Walker D A, Kuchy A, Elmore E and Starr G 2007 Tundra CO2 fluxes in response to 590 experimental warming across latitudinal and moisture gradients *Ecol. …* **77** 221–38 Online: 591 http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1890/06-0649

- 592 Pastick N J, Jorgenson M T, Goetz S J, Jones B M, Wylie B K, Minsley B J, Genet H, Knight J 593 F, Swanson D K and Jorgenson J C 2019 Spatiotemporal remote sensing of ecosystem 594 change and causation across Alaska *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **25** 1171–89
- 595 Phoenix G K and Bjerke J W 2016 Arctic browning: extreme events and trends reversing arctic 596 greening *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **22** 2960–2
- 597 Post E, Steinman B A and Mann M E 2018 Acceleration of phenological advance and warming 598 with latitude over the past century *Sci. reports* **8**
- 599 Rautio M, Dufresne F, Laurion I, Bonilla S, Vincent W F and Christoffersen K S 2011 Shallow 600 Freshwater Ecosystems of the Circumpolar Arctic *Ecoscience* **18** 204–22 Online: 601 http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.2980/18-3-3463
- 602 Raynolds M K, Walker D a., Epstein H E, Pinzon J E and Tucker C J 2012 A new estimate of 603 tundra-biome phytomass from trans-Arctic field data and AVHRR NDVI *Remote Sens. Lett.*
- 604 **3** 403–11 Online: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01431161.2011.609188 605 Reyes F R and Lougheed V L 2015 Rapid nutrient release from permafrost in Arctic aquatic
- 606 ecosystems *Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res.* **47** 35–48 607 Richardson A D, Braswell B H, Hollinger D Y, Jenkins J P and Ollinger S V 2009 Near-surface
- 608 remote sensing of spatial and temporal variation in canopy phenology *Ecol. Appl.* **19** 1417– 609 28 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19769091
- 610 Saitoh T M, Nagai S, Saigusa N, Kobayashi H, Suzuki R, Nasahara K N and Muraoka H 2012 611 Assessing the use of camera-based indices for characterizing canopy phenology in relation 612 to gross primary production in a deciduous broad-leaved and an evergreen coniferous forest 613 in Japan *Ecol. Inform.* **11** 45–54 Online:
- 614 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1574954112000416
- 615 Shaver G, Chapin, FS I and Billings W 1979 Ecotypic differentiation in Carex aquatilis on ice-616 wedge polygons in the Alaskan coastal tundra *J. Ecol.* **67** 1025–45 Online:
- 617 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2259226
- 618 Shaver G R, Johnson L C, Cades D H, Murray G, Laundre J a., Rastetter E B, Nadelhoffer K J 619 and Giblin a. E 1998 Biomass and Flux in Wet Sedge Tundras: Responses To Nutrients, 620 Temperature, and Light *Ecol. Monogr.* **68** 75–97
- 621 Shiklomanov N I, Streletskiy D A, Little J D and Nelson F E 2013 Isotropic thaw subsidence in 622 undisturbed permafrost landscapes *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40** 6356–61
- 623 Sonnentag O, Hufkens K, Teshera-Sterne C, Young A M, Friedl M, Braswell B H, Milliman T, 624 O'Keefe J and Richardson A D 2012 Digital repeat photography for phenological research 625 in forest ecosystems *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **152** 159–77 Online:
- 626 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168192311002851
- 627 Soudani K, Hmimina G, Delpierre N, Pontailler J-Y, Aubinet M, Bonal D, Caquet B, de
- 628 Grandcourt a., Burban B, Flechard C, Guyon D, Granier a., Gross P, Heinesh B, Longdoz
- 629 B, Loustau D, Moureaux C, Ourcival J-M, Rambal S, Saint André L and Dufrêne E 2012
- 630 Ground-based Network of NDVI measurements for tracking temporal dynamics of canopy 631 structure and vegetation phenology in different biomes *Remote Sens. Environ.* **123** 234–45 632 Online: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425712001319
- 633 Turetsky M R, Abbott B W, Jones M C, Anthony K W, Olefeldt D, Schuur E A G, Grosse G,
- 634 Kuhry P, Hugelius G, Koven C, Lawrence D M, Gibson C, Sannel A B K and McGuire A D
- 635 2020 Carbon release through abrupt permafrost thaw *Nat. Geosci.* **13** 138–43 Online:
- 636 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0526-0
- 637 Uhlířová E, Šantrůčková H and Davidov S P 2007 Quality and potential biodegradability of soil
- 638 organic matter preserved in permafrost of Siberian tussock tundra *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **39** 639 1978–89 Online: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0038071707001034 640 Verbyla D 2008 The greening and browning of Alaska based on 1982–2003 satellite data *Glob.*  641 *Ecol. Biogeogr.* **17** 547–55 Online: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2008.00396.x 642 Villarreal S, Hollister R D, Johnson D R, Lara M J, Webber P J and Tweedie C E 2012 Tundra 643 vegetation change near Barrow, Alaska (1972–2010) *Environ. Res. Lett.* **7** 015508 Online: 644 http://stacks.iop.org/1748- 645 9326/7/i=1/a=015508?key=crossref.e8c62053694355f912336a87f5621ed5 646 Walker D A, Epstein H E, Raynolds M K, Kuss P, Kopecky M A, Frost G V, Daniëls F J a, 647 Leibman M O, Moskalenko N G, Matyshak G V, Khitun O V, Khomutov a V, Forbes B C, 648 Bhatt U S, Kade A N, Vonlanthen C M and Tichý L 2012a Environment, vegetation and 649 greenness (NDVI) along the North America and Eurasia Arctic transects *Environ. Res. Lett.* 650 **7** 015504 Online: http://stacks.iop.org/1748- 651 9326/7/i=1/a=015504?key=crossref.e94284720e3ae9217f1e68d20d72ff04 652 Walker D, Bhatt U and Epstein H 2012b Changing Arctic tundra vegetation biomass and 653 Greenness *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc* **93** 138–9 Online: 654 http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Changing+ARCTIC+TU 655 NDRA+VEGETATION+BIOMASS+and+greenness#0 656 Weintraub M N and Schimel J P 2003 Interactions between Carbon and Nitrogen Mineralization 657 and Soil Organic Matter Chemistry in Arctic Tundra Soils *Ecosystems* **6** 129–43 Online: 658 http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10021-002-0124-6 659 Zeng H and Jia G 2013 Impacts of snow cover on vegetation phenology in the arctic from 660 satellite data *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* **30** 1421–32 Online: 661 http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00376-012-2173-x
- 662