Influence of atmospheric deposition on biogeochemical cycles in an oligotrophic ocean system
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Abstract.
The surface mixed layer (ML) in the Mediterranean Sea is a well stratified domain characterized by low macro-nutrients and low chlorophyll content, for almost 6 months of the year. In this study we characterize the biogeochemical cycling of N in the ML by analysing simultaneous in situ measurements of atmospheric deposition, nutrients, hydrological conditions, primary production, heterotrophic prokaryotic production, N2 fixation and leucine aminopeptidase activity. Dry deposition was continuously measured across the central and western open Mediterranean Sea and two wet deposition events were sampled, one in the Ionian Sea and one in the Algerian basin. Along the transect, N budgets were computed to compare the sources and sinks of N in the mixed layer. In situ leucine aminopeptidase activity made up 14 to 66 % of the heterotrophic prokaryotic N demand, and the N2 fixation rate represented 1 to 4.5 % of the phytoplankton N demand. Dry atmospheric deposition of...
inorganic nitrogen, estimated from dry deposition of (nitrate+ammonium) in aerosols, was higher than the N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates in the ML (on average 4.8 fold). The dry atmospheric input of inorganic N represented a highly variable proportion of biological N demand in the ML among the stations, 10 - 82% for heterotrophic prokaryotes and 1-30% for phytoplankton. As some sites were visited for several days the evolution of biogeochemical properties in the ML and within the nutrient-depleted layers could be followed. At the Algerian Basin site, the biogeochemical consequences of a wet dust deposition event was followed with a high frequency sampling of CTD casts. Notably just after the rain, nitrate was higher in the ML than in the nutrient depleted layer below. Estimates of nutrient transfer from the ML to the nutrient depleted layer could explain up to a ½ of the nitrate loss from the ML. Phytoplankton did not benefit directly from the atmospheric inputs into the ML, probably due to high competition with heterotrophic prokaryotes, also limited by N and P availability at the time of this study. Primary producers decreased their production after the rain, recovering to their initial state of activity after a 2 day lag in the vicinity of the deep chlorophyll maximum layer.

1. Introduction

The Mediterranean Sea (MS) is a semi-enclosed basin characterized by very short ventilation and residence times due to its own thermohaline circulation (Mermex Group, 2011). In terms of biogeochemistry, the MS is characterized by a long summer stratification period. It is a low nutrient, low chlorophyll (LNLC) system with a long summer stratification period. It is a low nutrient, low chlorophyll (LNLC) system with where there is generally an increasing oligotrophic gradient from west to east, and an overall deficit in phosphorus (P) compared to nitrogen (N) (Mermex Group, 2011). This is confirmed by an N/P ratio for inorganic nutrients that is higher than the Redfield ratio in deep layers, which increases toward the east (Krom et al., 2004). The relationship between photoautotrophic unicellular organisms and heterotrophic prokaryotes (competition or commensalism) is affected by the balance of light and nutrients as well as possible inputs of organic matter from river runoff or atmospheric deposition. Phytoplankton generally experience P, N, or NP limitation (Thingstad et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2011. Richon et al., 2018), whereas heterotrophic prokaryotes are usually P limited, or P and labile carbon co-limited (Sala et al., 2002, Van Wambeke et al., 2002, Céa et al., 2014). The MS receives anthropogenic aerosols continuously, originating from industrial and domestic activities from around the basin and from other parts of Europe along with pulsed natural inputs from the Sahara. It is thus a natural LNLC study area, well adapted to
Investigate the role of ocean–atmosphere exchanges of particles and gases on marine biogeochemical cycles. Parameterization and representation of the key processes brought into play by atmospheric deposition in the MS must take into account the role of pulsed atmospheric inputs (Guieu et al., 2014a). Recent studies describe annual records of atmospheric deposition of trace metals and inorganic macronutrients (N, P) obtained at several locations around the MS (Markaki et al., 2010; Guieu and Ridame, in press; Desboeufs, in press). All records denote the pulsed and highly variable inputs. Recent models and observations show that atmospheric deposition of organic matter (OM) is also highly variable and that their annual inputs are of the same order of magnitude as river inputs (Djaoudi et al., 2017, Kanakidou et al., 2018; Kanakidou et al., 2020; Galetti et al., 2020).

Moreover, the sum of atmospheric inputs of nitrate, ammonium and soluble organic nitrogen has been shown to be equivalent or higher than those of N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates (Sandroni et al., 2007), although inorganic atmospheric N inputs alone may also be higher than N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates (Bonnet et al., 2011).

Aerosol amendments in bottles, minicosms or mesocosms have been widely used to study trophic transfer and potential export, as they represent to some extent a 'simplified' plankton trophic web that can be studied along a vertical dimension (i.e. Guieu et al., 2010; Herut et al., 2016; Mescioglu et al., 2019). It has been shown that both diversity and functioning of various biological compartments are impacted by aerosol additions in different waters tested in the MS (Guieu and Ridame, in press, and synthesis Figure 3 therein). Differences in the biological responses have been observed, depending on the mode of deposition simulated (wet or dry), the type of aerosols used (natural or anthropogenic) and the in situ biogeochemical conditions at the time of the experiment (Guieu and Ridame, in press).

Organic carbon from aerosols is partly soluble, and this soluble fraction is partly available to marine heterotrophic prokaryotes (Djaoudi et al., 2020). Heterotrophic prokaryotes have the metabolic capacity to respond quickly to aerosol deposition through growth and changes in community composition (Rahav et al., 2016; Pulido-Villena et al., 2008; 2014), while the phytoplankton community responds more slowly or not at all (Guieu and Ridame, in press, and reference therein).

Owing to the intrinsic experimental limitations, which vary depending on the size and design of enclosures (i.e., the omission of higher trophic levels, absence of turbulent mixing, no limiting exchanges by diffusion, and wall effects), such experiments cannot fully simulate in situ conditions (Guieu and Ridame, in press). Thus, it is still necessary to acquire in situ...
observations to understand the consequences of aerosol deposition on biogeochemical cycles. Such in situ studies are scarce and require dedicated high frequency sampling to follow the effects of deposition on the biogeochemical processes while taking into account the water column dynamics. To demonstrate this point, the biological response to a Saharan dust event (dust flux = 2.6 g m$^{-2}$ recorded at a coastal station in Cap Ferrat) was detected 4 days after the event by only one offshore water column sampling (at the DYFAMED site). An increase in heterotrophic prokaryotic respiration and abundance was observed when compared to a sampling that was carried out, 16 days before this dust event (Pulido-Villena et al., 2008). Off the Israeli coast, a moderate natural dust storm (1.05 mg L$^{-1}$ over 5 m depth) was followed every 12 hours over 5 days (Rahav et al., 2016). Rapid changes were observed, which could have been missed without high frequency sampling. A decrease in picophytoplankton abundance, an increase in heterotrophic prokaryote abundance, as well as a slight increase in primary production (25%) and heterotrophic prokaryotic production (15%) was observed. Hence, it is necessary to organize sampling surveys with adaptive strategies to follow aerosol deposition events in situ and their impacts on biogeochemical processes, especially in the open waters of the stratified and nutrient limited MS. The objectives of the PEACTIME project were to study fundamental processes and their interactions at the ocean–atmosphere interface following atmospheric deposition (especially of Saharan dust) in the Mediterranean Sea, and how these processes impact the functioning of the pelagic ecosystem (Guieu et al., 2020).

As atmospheric deposition affects primarily the surface mixed layer (ML), the present study focuses on the upper part of the nutrient depleted layer that extends down to the nutriclines (as defined by Du et al., 2017). During the stratification period, concentrations of nitrate and phosphate inside the ML are often below the quantification limits of standard methods. However, nanomolar concentrations of nitrate (and phosphate) can now be assessed accurately through the Long Waveguide Capillary Cell (LWCC) technique (Zhang and Chi, 2002), which permits the measurement of fine gradients inside nutrient depleted layers of the MS (Djaoudi et al., 2018). The aims of the present study were to assess the impact of atmospheric nutrient deposition on biogeochemical processes and fluxes in the open sea during the PEACTIME cruise in the MS.

For this we i) estimated nanomolar variations of nitrate concentrations in the surface mixed layer (ML) facing variable inputs of dry and wet aerosol deposition and ii) we compared the aerosol-derived N inputs to the ML with biological activities: primary production, ...
heterotrophic prokaryotic production, N$_2$ fixation and ectoenzymatic activity (leucine aminopeptidase). We studied the N budgets along a transect, to include 13 stations crossing the Algerian Basin, Tyrrhenian Sea and the Ionian Sea where dry atmospheric deposition was continuously measured on board together with seawater biogeochemical, biological and physical characteristics. We finally focused on a high frequency sampling of a wet deposition event that occurred in the western Algerian Basin, where we investigated the evolution of biogeochemical fluxes of both N and P and microbial activities.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling strategy and measured parameters

The PEACETIME cruise (doi.org/10.17600/15000900) was conducted in the Mediterranean Sea, from May to June 2017, along a transect extending from the Western Basin to the center of the Ionian Sea (Fig. 1). For details on the cruise strategy, see Guieu et al. (2020).

Short duration stations (< 8 h, 10 stations named ST1 to ST10, Fig. 1) and long duration sites (5 days, 3 sites named TYR, ION and FAST) were occupied. Chemical composition of aerosols was quantified by continuous sampling along the whole transect. In addition, two rain events were sampled (Desboeufs et al., this issue, in prep.), one on the 29th of May at ION site, and a second one, a dust wet deposition event, at FAST site on the 5th of June.

At least 3 CTD casts were conducted at each short station. One cast focused on the epipelagic layer (0-250 m), another on the whole water column. Both of these were sampled with a standard, classical-CTD rosette equipped with a sampling system of 24 Niskin bottles (12 L), and a Sea-Bird SBE9 underwater unit equipped with pressure, temperature (SBE3), conductivity (SBE4), chlorophyll fluorescence (Chelsea Acquatracka) and oxygen (SBE43) sensors. A third cast, from surface to bottom was performed under ‘trace metal clean conditions’ using a second instrumental package including a titanium rosette (called TMC-rosette) mounted on a Kevlar cable and equipped with Go-Flo bottles that were sampled in a dedicated clean lab container. The long duration sites were abbreviated as TYR (situated in the center of the Tyrrhenian Basin), ION (in the center of the Ionian Basin), FAST (in the western Algerian Basin). These 3 sites were selected using satellite imagery, altimetry and Lagrangian diagnostics and forecasted rain events (Guieu et al., 2020). At these sites, repeated casts were performed over a minimum of 4 days, alternating CTD- and TMC- rosettes (Table 1). The succession of CTD casts at the FAST site is numbered in days relative to a rain event collected on board the ship. The first cast of the series was sampled 2.3 days before the rain event occurred in the western Algerian Basin.
Primary production (PP), prokaryotic heterotrophic production (BP), heterotrophic prokaryotic abundances (hpk), ectoenzymatic activities (leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) and alkaline phosphatase (AP)), were determined on water samples collected with the classical CTD-rosette. Dissolved inorganic nutrients, dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and phosphorus (DOP) were measured on water samples collected using the TMC-rosette. LAP and AP were determined from two layers in the epipelagic waters (5 m depth and deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM)) at the short stations and at the ION and TYR sites. In addition, LAP and AP were determined at 4 depths between 0 and 20 m for 4 profiles at FAST site, to get insight on the variability within the ML.

2.2 Analytical methods and fluxes calculations

2.2.1 Nutrients in the atmosphere

For dry deposition estimations, the total suspended aerosol particles (TSP inlet) were collected continuously throughout the campaign. Aerosol sampling was accomplished by using filtration units on adapted membranes for off-line chemical analysis (Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2020). In parallel to the total aerosol filter sampling, water soluble fractions of the aerosols were sampled continuously, using a Particle-into-Liquid-Sampler (PILS, Orsini et al., 2003). Moreover, two wet deposition events were sampled on board the ship during the cruise, one at the ION site, one at the FAST site, using rain collectors with on-line filtration (porosity 0.2 µm) (details in Desboeufs et al., this issue, in prep).

The nitrate and ammonium concentrations in the aerosols, abbreviated in the text to NO3 and NH4 respectively, (nitrite concentrations were under analytical detection limits) were analyzed continuously on board from May 13th, using PILS sampling coupled on-line with double way ion chromatography (PILS-IC, Metrohm, model 850 Professional IC with Metrosep A Supp 7 column for anion measurements and Metrosep C4 column for cation measurements). The time resolution for PILS-IC analysis was 70 min. for anions and 32 min for cations. Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN) fluxes released from the dry deposition were estimated by multiplying the NO3 and NH4 obtained by PILS-CI measurements by the dry settling velocities of N-bearing aerosols, i.e. 0.21 and 1 cm s⁻¹ for NH4 and NO3, respectively (Kouvarakis et al., 2001). Mean concentrations were used from the PILS-IC data which were measured (1) during the occupation at each short station lasting between 0.13 and 0.66 days.
2.2.2 Nutrients in the water column

(i.e., enabling on average 5 measurements for NO₃ and 11 measurements for NH₄), and (2) between two successive casts at the sites with a time lag in between of 0.4 to 0.21 days (i.e., enabling on average 15 measurements for NO₃ and about 30 for NH₄). At ST1, NH₄ and NO₃ concentrations were obtained using IC analyses following water extraction from aerosol filter sampling as the PILS-IC was not operational.

Total dissolved phosphate (TDP) concentrations were estimated from soluble P concentrations extracted from particulate aerosols collected on filters after ultrapure water extraction HR-ICP-MS analysis (Neptune Plus, Thermo Scientific™) (Fu et al., this issue, in prep) since it was generally under detection limits using the PILS-IC technique. The frequency of TDP analysis was therefore less than for DIN: the filters sampled over the duration of the short station (0.28 - 1.15 days depending on the stations). At the 3 sites, filters collected aerosols during periods including each CTD casts sampling date, when possible. Atmospheric deposition flux of soluble P was estimated by multiplying the TDP concentration by a dry settling velocity of 1 cm s⁻¹. At the FAST site, a 3 cm s⁻¹ velocity was used as this value is better adapted for lithogenic particles (Izquierdo et al., 2012).

In the rain samples, collected onboard the ship, NO₃, NH₄ and dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) were also determined using ion chromatography following recovery of the dissolved fraction in the samples. The wet deposition fluxes of these nutrients were then estimated from the measured concentrations in the dissolved fractions from the rain samples, multiplied by total precipitation. Total precipitation was taken from the total hourly precipitation accumulated during the rain event over the region from the ERA5 hourly data reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2018). Total precipitation was obtained by adding the hourly rainfall onto the grid-points (0.25° x 0.25°) spanning the ship location, more or less 1° around this central grid-point for integrating the regional variability (Table 3). In the rain samples, total particulate P (TPP) and dissolved organic P (DOP) were also available. The dissolved fraction and solution from digestion (Heimburger et al., 2012) of particulate fractions in the filters were analysed by ICP-AES (Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry, Spectro ARCOS Ametek®) for total particulate TPP. The speciation of organic/inorganic of TDP was determined from ICP-MS and IC analysis. DOP was estimated from the difference between TDP, obtained by ICP-MS, and DIP, obtained by ion chromatography.
Seawater samples for standard nutrient analysis were filtered online (< 0.2 μm, Sartorius Sartobran-P-capsule with a 0.45 μm prefilter and a 0.2 μm final filter) directly from the GoFLo bottles (TMC-rosette). Samples collected in acid-washed polyethylene bottles were immediately analyzed on board. Micromolar nitrate + nitrite (NOx) and DIP were determined using a segmented flow analyzer (AAIII HR SealAnalytical®) following Aminot and Kérouel (2007) with a limit of quantification of 0.050 μM for NOx and 0.020 μM for DIP. Samples for the determination of nanomolar concentrations of dissolved nutrients were collected in HDPE bottles previously cleaned with supra-pure HCl. For NOx (considered as NO3 as nitrite fraction was mostly negligible), samples were acidified to pH 1 inside the clean van and analyzed back in the laboratory using a spectrometric method in the visible (540 nm), with a 1 m LWCC (Louis et al., 2015). The limit of detection was 6 nM, the limit of quantification was 9 nM and the reproducibility was 8.5%. DIP was analyzed immediately after sampling using the LWCC method after Pulido-Villena et al. (2010), with a limit of detection of 1 nM (Pulido-Villena et al., 2021). Total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) and nitrogen (TDN) were measured using the segmented flow analyzer technique after high-temperature (120 °C) persulfate wet oxidation mineralization (Pujo-Pay and Raimbault, 1994). DOP (DON) was obtained as the difference between TDP (TDN) and DIP (NOx). Labile DOP (L-DOP) was determined as 31% DOP (Pulido-Villena et al., this issue, in prep.).

Total hydrolysable amino acids (TAA₅) were determined as described in detail in Van Wambeke et al. (2021). Briefly 1 ml of sample was hydrolyzed at 100°C for 20 h with 1 ml of 30% HCl and then neutralized by acid evaporation. Samples were analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography in duplicate according to Dittmar et al. (2009) protocols.

2.2.3 Biological stocks and fluxes in the epipelagic waters

Flow cytometry was used for the enumeration of autotrophic prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, heterotrophic prokaryotes (hprok) and heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF). Subsamples (4.5 mL) were fixed with glutaraldehyde grade I 25% (1% final concentration), flash frozen and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Counts were performed on a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The separation of different autotrophic populations was based on their scattering and fluorescence signals according to Marie et al. (2000). For the enumeration of hprok (Gasol and Del Giorgio, 2000), cells were stained with SYBR Green I (Invitrogen – Molecular Probes). HNF staining was performed with SYBR Green I as described in...
Particulate primary production (PP) was determined at 6 layers from the shallow CTD casts (0-250 m) sampled before sun rise. Samples were inoculated with 14C-bicarbonate and incubated on-deck incubators refrigerated using running surface seawater and equipped with various blue screens to simulate different irradiance levels. After 24 h incubations, samples were filtered through 0.2 polycarbonate filters and treated for liquid scintillation measurement as described in detail in Marañón et al. (2021). A temperature correction was applied as explained in Marañón et al. (2021). N2 fixation rates (N2fix) were determined as described in Ridame et al. (2011) using 2.3 L of unfiltered seawater (acid-washed polycarbonate bottles) enriched with 15N2 gas (99 atom% 15N) to obtain a final enrichment of about 10 atom% excess. 24 h incubations for N2fix were conducted under the same temperature and irradiance as the corresponding PP incubations. To calculate heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP) epipelagic layers (0-250 m) were incubated with tritiated leucine using the microcentrifuge technique as detailed in Van Wambeke et al. (2021). We used the empirical conversion factor of 1.5 ng C per pmol of incorporated leucine according to Kirchman (1993). Indeed, isotope dilution was negligible under these saturating concentrations as periodically checked with concentration kinetics. As we only used on board temperature controlled dark-incubators, a temperature correction was applied as explained in Van Wambeke et al. (2021). Ectoenzymatic activities were measured fluorometrically, using the fluorogenic model substrates that were L-leucine-7-amido-4-methyl-coumarin (Leu-MCA) and 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate (MUF-P) to track aminopeptidase (LAP), activity and alkaline phosphatase (AP), activity respectively, as described in Van Wambeke et al. (2021). Briefly the release of MCA from Leu-MCA and MUF from MUF-P were followed by measuring the increase of fluorescence in the dark (exc/em 380/440 nm for MCA and 365/450 nm for MUF, wavelength width 5 nm) in a VARIOSCAN LUX microplate reader. Fluorogenic substrates were added at varying concentrations in 2 ml wells (0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 1 µM) in duplicate. From the varying velocities obtained, we determined the parameters Vmax (maximum hydrolysis velocity) and Km (Michaelis-Menten constant that reflects enzyme affinity for the substrate) as well as their corresponding errors by fitting the data using a non-linear regression using the following equation:
\[ V = \frac{V_m \times S}{(K_m + S)} \]

where \( V \) is the hydrolysis rate and \( S \) the fluorogenic substrate concentration added. TAA and L-DOP were then used as substrates to determine LAP and AP in situ activities using Michaelis-Menten equations (Van Wambke et al., 2021; Pulido-Villena et al., 2021).

### 2.3. Vertical partition of the epipelagic layer

The ML can be in a state of stirring and the density and seawater properties are homogeneous, or in a state of rest with homogeneous density but it is the vertical variations in the non-conservative components (such as nutrients); dependent on momentum and heat fluxes at the interface with the atmosphere, that determine the strength and vertical extent of the mixing (Brainerd and Gregg, 1995). In this study, with the absence of concomitant turbulence measurements, the mixed layer depth (MLD) was estimated indirectly using the shape of CTD profiles. Classical methods to evaluate MLD from CTD profiles are based on thresholds of the vertical density gap (de Boyer Montegut et al., 2004; D’Ortenzio et al., 2005) or on the vertical extension of fixed buoyancy content (Moutin and Prieur, 2012). As discussed in Gardner et al. (1995), the choice of criterion is sensitive to the subtle changes from active mixing to rest, with consequences for the representative time scales of the MLD estimates. In this study, the ML was shallow (10 - 20 m), rapidly activated by the mechanical effects of the wind, and sampled at high frequency (some hours at long duration stations). An approach based on buoyancy criterion has been preferred to resolve short term fluctuations in the mixing state, and MLD was determined as the depth where the residual mass content is equal to 1 kg m\(^{-2}\), with an error of estimation of 0.5 m relative to the vertical resolution of the profile (1 m).

In the current case of a shallow ML in such a LNLC system, the nutrient depleted layer comprises the ML and the layer below, referred to hereafter as ‘NDLb’ (b for bottom or base) when examining the NO\(_3\) distribution and as PDLb when considering the DIP distributions. This layer vertically extends between the MLD and the nitracline (phosphacline) depth (Fig. 2). The latter interface is estimated by the depth of the NO\(_3\) (DIP) depletion density, which is the deepest isopycnal at which micromolar NO\(_3\) (DIP) is zero (Kamykowski and Zentara, 1985; Omand and Mahadevan, 2015). The NO\(_3\) (DIP) depletion density is estimated at every discrete profile of micromolar NO\(_3\) (DIP) concentration by the intercept of the regression line reported in a nutrient-density diagram.
There are various mechanisms, dynamical or biological, that can trigger exchanges of nutrients between the ML and NDLb (PDLb). Using the hypothesis of vertical (one-dimensional) regimes, there are two processes of exchange, by diffusion or advection (Du et al., 2017). The flux of nutrient can be expressed as:

\[ F_{NO3} = F_{DFP} + F_{ADV} \]

The diffusive flux \( F_{DFP} \) is expressed by the gradient of nutrient concentration times a vertical diffusivity coefficient \( K_z \) as:

\[ F_{DFP} = K_z \cdot \frac{(NO3_{ML} - NO3_{NDLb})}{MLD} \]

The typical magnitude of \( K_z \) in the surface layers of the PEACETIME stations is assessed to be \( 10^{-5} \) m² s⁻¹, as discussed in Taillandier et al. (2020).

The advective flux \( F_{ADV} \) corresponds either to the entrainment of deeper water in the mixed layer due to erosion of the near-surface pycnocline, or to the detrainment of waters below the mixed layer by restratification, depending on the variations in wind stresses and solar heating (Cullen et al., 2002). It is expressed as the variation in the nutrient concentration across the ML times the temporal variation of the MLD, as:

\[ F_{ADV} = (NO3_{ML} - NO3_{NDLb}) \cdot \frac{dMLD}{dt} \]

A shallow ML (10 - 20 m) is primarily influenced by wind bursts that lead to intermittent variations of several meters per day (\( 10^5 \) m s⁻¹) in the MLD. These advective fluxes provide transient exchanges that are one order of magnitude greater than well-established diffusive fluxes. As a consequence, over the time scale of a significant atmospheric deposition, and the associated rapid variations of the MLD, the input of atmospheric nutrients would preferentially be exported below the ML by advection rather than by diffusion. In other terms, using the hypothesis of non-stationary regimes due to rapid changes in atmospheric conditions (that control both the mixing state of the interface and atmospheric nutrient inputs), we assume that vertical advection is the main process of exchange.

At the short stations and sites, the term \( NO3_{ML} - NO3_{NDLb} \) can be inferred by the difference between mean nanomolar (LWCC) concentrations inside the NDLb and the mean inside the ML. Since advective flux estimates require knowledge of the evolution of the MLD over time, such fluxes are only accessible at the sites. At short stations, as advective fluxes could not be characterized, only a qualitative assessment of nutrient fluxes across ML is given. When \( NO3_{ML} - NO3_{NDLb} < 0 \), the NDLb is supplied with nutrients across the nutricline, and could be then possibly transferred into the ML. This means that nutrients within the ML are impacted by inputs from below. When \( NO3_{ML} - NO3_{NDLb} > 0 \), the ML is supplied in nutrients
from the atmosphere which are further exported into the NDLb. Vertical distributions of DIP, along the longitudinal transect, are described in detail in a companion paper (Pulido-Villena et al., 2021). In this study, we will focus on phosphate exchanges between the ML and PDLb and relationships with NO3 distribution only at the high-frequency sampled FAST site.

2.4 Budget from the metabolic fluxes

Trapezoidal integration was used to integrate BP, PP and N2fix within the ML. The biological activity at the surface was considered to be equal to that of the first layer sampled (around 5 m depth at the short stations, 1 m depth at the FAST site). When the MLD was not sampled, the volumetric activity at this depth was linearly interpolated between the 2 closest data points above and below the MLD.

We used an approach similar to Hoppe et al. (1993) to compute the in situ hydrolysis rates for LAP and AP. We assumed that total amino acids (TAA) could be representative of dissolved proteins. In situ hydrolysis rates of LAP and AP were determined using molar concentrations of TAA and L-DOP, respectively and used as the substrate concentration in the Michaelis-Menten kinetics. For LAP, the transformation of in situ rates expressed in nmol TAA h⁻¹ were then transformed into nitrogen units using N per mole TAA, as the molar distributions of TAA were available. Integrated in situ LAP hydrolysis rates were calculated assuming the Michaelis-Menten parameters Vm and Km obtained at a 5 m depth to be representative of the whole ML. Thus an average in situ volumetric LAP flux in the ML was obtained by combining the average TAA concentrations in the ML with these kinetic parameters, and multiplying this volumetric rate by the MLD. Daily BP, AP and LAP integrated activities were calculated from hourly rates x 24. Assuming no direct excretion of either nitrogen or phosphorus, the quota C/N and C/P of cell demand is equivalent to the cell biomass quotas. We used molar C/N ratios derived from Moreno and Martiny (2018) (range 6-8, mean 7) for phytoplankton and from Nagata et al. (1986) for heterotrophic prokaryotes (range 6.2-8.4, mean 7.3). C/P of sorted cells (cyanobacteria, picophytoeukaryotes) in P depleted conditions ranged from 107 to 161 (Martiny et al., 2013), and we considered a mean of 130 for phytoplankton. A value of 100 was used for heterotrophic prokaryotes (Godwin and Cotner, 2015).

3. Results
3.1 Nutrient patterns and biological fluxes along the PEACETIME transect

The ML ranged between 7 m at ST9 and 21 m at ST1 (Table S1, Fig. 3). The nitracline was shallow in the Provençal Basin (50 – 60 m), dropping to 70 m in the Eastern Algerian and Tyrrenian Seas becoming deeper in the Western Algerian and Ionian Seas (80 – 90 m, Table S1). Mean NO3 concentrations in the NDLb ranged from the quantification limit (9 nM) to 116 nM (Table S1, Fig. 4). In the ML, mean NO3 concentrations ranged from 9 to 135 nM.

For the ‘group 1’ stations (see Table S1), the NO3 concentrations were low (below 50 nM) within both the ML and the NDLb, with weak differences precluding any gradient between the two layers. For the ‘group 2’ stations, NO3 concentrations were moderate (50 – 80 nM) both within the ML and the NDLb but still exhibiting a small difference between the two layers, indicating again no significant instantaneous exchanges. For ‘group 3’, higher NO3 concentrations were measured in both the ML and the NDLb (> 80 nM) but the small positive differences (< 20 nM) between the two layers still indicate weak or negligible exchanges between the two layers. For ‘group 4’, high and moderate NO3 concentrations were measured within the ML and NDLb, respectively, with a large positive difference (> 20 nM) between the layers. This indicates the presence of a gradient from the ML to the NDLb.

At 5 m depth, VmLAP ranged from 0.21 to 0.56 nmol MCA-leu hydrolyzed l⁻¹ h⁻¹, and Km LAP ranged from 0.12 to 1.29 µM. The mean TAA within the ML ranged from 0.17 to 0.28 µM. From these 3 series of parameters, we derived the mean in situ LAP hydrolysis rate within the ML, which ranged from 0.07 to 0.29 nmol N l⁻¹ h⁻¹ (results not shown but detailed in Van Wambeke et al., 2021).

The vertical distribution of PP and BP for the short stations are described in Marañón et al. (2021), Briefly, PP exhibited a deep maximum close to the DCM depth or slightly above whereas vertical distribution of BP generally showed 2 maxima, one within the mixed layer, and a second close to the DCM. Integrated PP (Table 1, Table S2) ranged from 138 (TYR17 May) to 284 (SD1) mg C m⁻² d⁻¹. Integrated BP (0-200 m) ranged from 44 (ION27May) to 113 (FAST+0.53) mg C m⁻² d⁻¹. Overall, in absence of a rain event the Mediterranean Sea, at the time of the PEACETIME cruise, the transect exhibited the classical west-east gradient of increasing oligotrophy detected by ocean colour (see Fig. 8 in Guieu et al., 2020).

3.2 N budgets and fluxes at short stations

Biological rates (all expressed in N units) within the ML at the short stations were compared (Table 2). Phytoplankton N demand (phytoN demand) was the greatest rate, followed by
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heterotrophic prokaryotic N demand (hprokN demand). On average, phytoN demand was 2.9 times greater than that of hprokN, but with a large variability (min x1.5, max x8.1). LAP hydrolysis rates represented between 14 and 66% of the hprokN demand (mean ± sd: 37% ± 19%). N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates represented between 1 to 4.5% of the phytoN demand (2.6% ± 1.3%) and 3 to 11% of the hprok N demand (6.4% ± 2.4%). N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates integrated over the ML correlated slightly better with hprokN demand (r = 0.75) than with phytoN demand (r = 0.66). Dissolved inorganic N (DIN=NO\textsubscript{3}+NH\textsubscript{4}) was also an important source (60%) of the total dissolved P (Table 2), This new DIN input was similar or higher than N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates within the ML (from 1.3 to x11, mean x 4.8-fold). On average within the ML, the new DIN from dry deposition represented 27% of the hprokN demand (range 10–82%) and 11% of the phytoN demand (range 1–30%).

### 3.3 Biogeochemical evolution at the ION site

The ION site was occupied from the 25\textsuperscript{th} to the 29\textsuperscript{th} of May. Rain events in the vicinity of the ship were observed on May 26\textsuperscript{th} and May 29\textsuperscript{th} (Desboeufs et al., this issue, in prep). On the 29\textsuperscript{th} of May the rain event was associated with a rain front covering more than 5,000 km\textsuperscript{2}. A rain sample could be taken on board between 5:08 and 6:00 (local time), i.e. just 3 hours before the last CTD cast. The chemical composition of the rain indicated an anthropogenic background influence (Desboeufs et al., this issue, in prep.).

TDP solubilized from dry atmospheric deposition decreased from 268 nmol P m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1} May 25\textsuperscript{th} to 124 nmol P m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1} May 27\textsuperscript{th}–28\textsuperscript{th}). DIN fluxes from dry atmospheric deposition were on average 29 ± 4 μmol N m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1} with no significant difference during the occupation of the site (Table S2). In the rain, the molar ratio DIN/DIP was 208. DOP in the collected rain was also an important source (60%) of the total dissolved P from that wet deposition (Table 3).

CTD casts, dedicated to biogeochemical studies, were taken each 24 h for biological fluxes or 48 h for DIP and NO\textsubscript{3}. Thus the time sequence for nutrients in the water column at ION is given for only by three profiles. The first profile (25\textsuperscript{th} of May before rain events in the area) is ‘flat’, corresponding to fair weather conditions and a shallow ML with low and homogeneous concentrations of NO\textsubscript{3} in the ML and the NDLb (Fig. 4). Shortly after, there was an atmospheric depression some events of rain were observed in the area on May 26\textsuperscript{th} but not on board, and the ML started to deepen 13 h before the second cast sampling nutrients (on the
27\textsuperscript{th} of May. This cast was marked by high nitrate in the ML (Fig. 3). The mixing should have set up a homogeneous ML, but wind conditions rose to 20 kt just at the time of the cast (Fig. 3). The interval between the second and the third cast sampling nutrients (29\textsuperscript{th} of May, cast done 3 hours after the rain sampled on board) is marked by a slight relaxation of weather depression, and a deepening of the ML down to 20 m. This cast was marked by a NO3 decrease in both the ML and NDLb, but values were higher in ML. Given the remaining signature at 15 m and the deepening of the ML, the two layers might have stayed isolated.

However, the calculation of vertical advective fluxes between the layers showed a downward flux in the first interval 25-27 May (Fig. 4, Table S1) and an upward flux in the second interval (27-29 May).

Due to the lack of high frequency sampling, it was also particularly difficult to assess the direct time evolution effects of dry atmospheric deposition at the ION site. Nevertheless, it was clear from the casts sampled on the 27\textsuperscript{th} and 29\textsuperscript{th} of May that this site was characteristic of group 4 (i.e. higher NO3 concentrations in the ML than in the NDLb), indicating recent inputs from the atmosphere. Enzymatic activities were only sampled on the 25\textsuperscript{th} of May. Vm of LAP at 5 m (0.22 nmol N l\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}) was one of the lowest values recorded during the cruise whilst Vm of AP showed the highest value (5.6 nmol P l\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}). PP integrated over the euphotic zone increased slightly from 188 to 226 mg C m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1} (Table S2), but due to changes in the MLD at the ION site (range 11-21 m) this trend was not visible when integrating PP over the ML. Integrated over the ML, BP increased slightly, from 7.5 to 10.3 mg C m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1} between the 25\textsuperscript{th} and the 29\textsuperscript{th} of May, and indicated that hprok benefited more from the atmospheric inputs than the autotrophs as PP decreased at 5m depth (Fig. S1, Table S2). The profiles of hprok and *Synechococcus* abundances showed no particular trend with time, with higher variations within the DCM (Fig. S1).

### 3.4 N budgets and fluxes at the FAST site

During the time at the FAST site, two periods of rains occurred: the evening of June 2nd-3rd and the early morning of the 5th (Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2020). On a spatial scale, the rain radar data indicated the presence of a rain front with patchy, numerous and intense rain events occurring over a large area surrounding the ship’s location. These two episodes were concomitant with a dust plume transported in altitude (between 1 and 4 km) and resulted in wet deposition of dust (Desboeufs et al., this issue, in prep.). The second rain event was sampled on board on June 5th (between 02:36 and 03:04, local time) and was associated with
a dust wet deposition flux ~ 40 mg m$^{-2}$. The DIN/DIP ratio in the rain reached 480 (Table 3). After the rain, daily fluxes of DIN solubilized from dry aerosol deposition strongly decreased from 45 to 9.8 $\mu$mol N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ between June 4th and 5th.

The beginning of the sampling at FAST site (-2.3; -1.5; -0.25) was marked by moderate and similar decreases in NO3 concentration within the ML and NDLb. Integrated stocks of NO3 within the ML (Table S2) reflected slight changes with MLD (from 14 to 10 m during this time interval).

On June 5th, the rain event (Table 3) was associated with a strong wind burst and an abrupt mixing. The comparison between NO3 concentrations from two casts, sampled 6 h before and 6 h after the rain (FAST-0.25 and FAST+0.24), showed a clear N enrichment of the ML as mean NO3 increased from 56 to 93 nM and NO3 integrated stocks increased by 888 $\mu$mol N m$^{-2}$ (Fig. 3, Table S2). There was also a clear difference in the mean NO3 concentrations between ML and NDLb (93 ± 15 vs 51 ± 7 nM, respectively). This is the highest NO3 difference observed during the cruise between these 2 layers (Fig. 4), confirming that this ML enrichment could not be attributed to inputs from below. The relaxation of this wind burst was progressive, with a continuous deepening of the ML (Table S1). The export of atmospheric NO3 into the NDLb was maximal after the rain event (FAST+0.24). At the end of the site occupation period (FAST+3.8) high NO3 concentrations (mean 135 nM) were measured again within the ML.

DIP concentration dynamics were different from NO3 with the DIP integrated fluxes within the ML similar 6 h before and 6 h after the rain (136 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$). It is only from then on that DIP flux progressively increased reaching a maximum (281 $\mu$mol P m$^{-2}$) one day after the rain (FAST+1).

Immediately after the rain, integrated PP ( euphotic zone) decreased from 274 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (FAST-0.9) to 164 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (FAST+0.07) and continued to decrease the following day. It is only 3.8 days after the rain that the initial values (before the rain) of integrated PP could be observed again (Table S2). Such variations were mostly due to changes in volumetric rates within the DCM depth (Fig. S2), as the activity did not change significantly within the ML (28-33 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, Fig. S2, Fig. 5). Integrated BP over 0-200 m showed the opposite trend to that of PP and tended to increase after the rain (from 86 ± 3 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (n = 4) before, and up to 113 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (FAST+0.5) after (Table S2)). Although modest, this increasing trend was also visible when integrating BP only over the ML (12-15 before; 15-19 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)

---

Supprimé: NO3 concentration in the ML showed a progressive enrichment with a maximum few hours after the rain event and a smooth decline until the initial conditions were restored 2.3 days after the rain (Fig. 3). Afterwards, the nutrient dynamics was not consistent with this evolution as shown by the high concentrations measured at FAST+3.8. The same analysis can be drawn with respect to exchanges across the ML (Fig. 4, Table S1): NO3 flux remained low until the rain event, and then a large advective flux is estimated relative to the enrichment of ML and the quick deepening of the ML, this flux declined during the relaxation to initial conditions.

Concerning atmospheric wet deposition, the rain event collected on board at FAST lasted 28 min (Table 3). Like for the rain sampled at IRS site, DIN flux was much higher than DIP flux, as persistence of the inorganic ratio of 1439, and the percentage of DOP represented 44% of total dissolved P deposition. The chemical composition indicates that the rain sample collected at FAST site was characteristic of a wet dust deposition (Fa et al., this issue, in prep. b) corresponding to a dust deposition of 12 mg m$^{-2}$. This is among the least intense dust deposition fluxes recorded in this area from a long time-series of deposition (Vincent et al., 2016). During FAST site occupation, two periods of rains occurred related to rain fronts (affecting a domain of ~5000 km$^2$) moving eastward from Spain and North Africa regions: between the evening of June 5 until 5 in the early morning (Desboeufs et al., this issue, in prep.). The two episodes were concomitant with a dust plume transported in altitude (between 1and 4 km), covering the half of western Mediterranean basin during June 4, and allowed below cloud deposition of dust (as confirmed by LIDAR records, Desboeufs et al., this issue, in prep.). The rain event collected at FAST site occurred on board after a first night of wet deposition over the whole area and toward the end of the transport of the dust plume. The particulate flux of this collected rain sample was thus likely in the lower range of the wet dust deposition fluxes that had affected the whole area between 3 and 5 June. Indeed, the in situ measurements of a dust tracer in the water column (particulate aluminum) show that the total export of particulate matter was rather of the order of ~55 mg m$^{-2}$ during the period instead of 12 mg m$^{-2}$ as seen in a single collected rain event, and even this value is probably underestimated due to the lack of sampling big dust particles sinking (Bressac et al., this issue, in prep.). After the rain, average daily dry DIN deposition decreased from 45 µmol...
after). The abundances of picophytoplankton groups were mostly varying in the vicinity of the DCM depth with peaks occurring 1-2 days after the rain (grey profiles, Fig S3), in particular for prokaryotes (*Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus*). Heterotrophic prokaryotes and nanoflagellate abundances slightly increased within the DCM depth after the rain.

4. Discussion

The specific context of the oceanographic survey constrained the data analysis: biogeochemical responses to a rain event have been scaled in time over a few days (3 - 5), and in space spanning tens of km (40 - 50). Their evolution was restricted to the vertical dimension, integrating lateral exchanges by horizontal diffusion or local advection that occurred over the prescribed space and time scales. In the vertical dimension, exchanges of nutrients across the ML were controlled by advection due to rapidly changing conditions (MLD fluctuations along with nutrient inputs from the atmosphere) rather than to diffusion between stationary pools. Four groups of stations, corresponding to different stages of ML enrichment and relaxation, due to the nutrient inputs from single rain events, have been characterized based on the differences in NO3 concentration between ML and NDLb (see section 2.4). As shown in Fig. 4, this succession of stages is in agreement with the NO3 fluxes from above and below the ML. Moreover, they provide a temporal scaling of the oceanic response to atmospheric deposition, with a quasi-instantaneous change at the time of the rain event and a 2-day relaxation period to recover to pre-event conditions.

In this context, we will i) discuss the nitrogen budget within the ML at the short stations considered as a ‘snapshot’, and ii) analyze in detail, using a time series of CTD casts, the biogeochemical changes within the ML and the NDLb following the atmospheric wet deposition event at the FAST site, discussing the possible modes of transfer of nutrients between these 2 layers.

4.1 A snapshot of biological fluxes in the ML and their link to new DIN from atmospheric dry deposition

The dependence of hprok on nutrients rather than on labile organic carbon during stratification conditions is not uncommon in the MS (*Van Wambeke et al., 2002, Céa et al, 2017; Sala et al., 2002*) and has also been shown during PEACETIME cruise (P, or N,P colimitation, Fig. S4). Hprok have an advantage due to their small cell size and their kinetic
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3.5 Enrichment experiments at long stations

At TYR site, BP was significantly stimulated only after addition of 2 major elements, with a greater response with PN and NPG combinations (Fig. 6). At ION site, BP was primarily limited by P availability, as only combinations with P, single or in combination with other elements, stimulated BP whereas all other combinations of enrichment did not stimulate BP significantly compared to the control. At FAST site, BP was primarily limited by N availability, as all combinations with N, single or in combination with other elements, stimulated BP. However at this site it is likely that BP was also co-limited by 2 elements, as G addition alone also stimulated BP. Note that the PN combination has induced a higher stimulation of BP than other double combinations (NG or PG).
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systems which are adapted to low concentration ranges of nutrients (for example for DIP see Talarmín et al., 2015). Under such conditions of limitation, hprok will react rapidly to new phosphorus and nitrogen inputs, coming from atmospheric deposition. During an artificial in situ DIP enrichment experiment in the Eastern Mediterranean, P rapidly circulated through hprok and heterotrophic ciliates, while the phytoplankton was not directly linked to this ‘bypass’ process (Thingstad et al., 2005). Bioassays conducted in the tropical Atlantic Ocean have also shown that hprok respond more strongly than phytoplankton to nutrients from Saharan aerosols (Marañón et al. 2010), a pattern that has been confirmed in a meta-analysis of in vitro dust addition experiments (Guieu and Ridame, in press; Gazeau et al., 2021).

We considered hprokN demand together with phytoN demand and compared it to autochthonous (DON breakdown by ectoenzymatic activity) and allochthonous (atmospheric deposition) sources. To the best of our knowledge this is the first time that these fluxes are compared based on their simultaneous measurements at sea. A high variability was observed between the 10 short stations (Table 2). The regeneration of nitrogen through aminopeptidase activity was clearly the primary provider of N to hprok as 14 to 66%, (mean ± sd : 37% ± 19%) of the hprokN demand could be satisfied by in situ LAP activity. Such percentages may be largely biased by the conversion factors from C to N and propagation of errors for the LAP hydrolysis rates and BP rates. However, the C/N ratio of hprok is relatively narrow under large variations of P or N limitation (6.2 to 8.4; Nagata, 1986).

Other regeneration sources exist such as direct excretion of NH4 or low molecular weight DON sources with no necessity for hydrolysis prior to uptake (Jumars et al., 1989). For instance, Feliú et al. (2020) calculated that NH4 and DIP excretion by zooplankton would satisfy 25-43% of the phytoN demand and 22-37% of the phytoP demand over the whole euphotic zone. Such percentages suggest that direct excretion by zooplankton along with ectoenzymatic activity, provide substantial N for biological activity.

N2 fixation is also a source of new N that can directly fuel hprok as some diazotrophs are heterotrophic (Delmont et al. 2018, and references therein), or indirectly, as part of the fixed N2 that rapidly cycles through hprok (Caffin et al., 2018). Furthermore, it has been observed that there is a better coupling of N2fix rates with BP rather than with PP in the eastern MS (Rahav et al., 2013b). This was also observed within the ML in this study. Our data show that the hypothetical contribution of N2fix rates to hprokN demand within the ML was low (6.4 ± 2.4%) and consistent with the low N2fix rates observed in the MS (i.e Rahav et al., 2013a;
Ibeflo et al., 2010; Ridame et al., 2011; Bonnet et al., 2011). This differs from other parts of the ocean primarily limited by N but not P, such as the southeastern Pacific where N₂ fixation rates are high (Bonnet et al., 2017) and can represent up to 81% of the hprokN demand (Van Wambreke et al., 2018).

Considering LAP as the most relevant regeneration source of N, it is clear that even the sum of LAP activity and N₂ fixation were not sufficient to meet hprokN demand (cumulated, these fluxes contributed 19 to 73% of HbactN demand). The last source of new N we examined was DIN released by dry atmospheric deposition. Such DIN fluxes presented a low variability along the transect (29 ± 7 µmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ at the short stations) and were among the lowest measured in the Mediterranean environment, which normally ranges from 38 to 240 µmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ (Desboeufs et al., in press). It has to be noted that the fluxes measured during the PEACETIME cruise are representative of the open sea atmosphere while published fluxes were measured at coastal sites where local/regional contamination contributes significantly to the flux (Desboeufs, in press). Atmospheric deposition also delivers organic matter (Djaoudi et al., 2017, Kanakidou et al., 2018), which is bioavailable for marine hprok (Djaoudi et al., 2020).

Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) released from aerosols, not determined here, can be estimated from previous studies. DON solubilized from aerosols contributes 19 to 42% of the total dissolved N released from dry deposition in the MS (Desboeufs, in press). 

With hprok primarily limited by the availability of N, P or colimited NP, we thus examined possible N sources provided by the atmosphere (P sources at the short stations are discussed in Pulido-Villena et al., this issue, in prep.). For hprok, but were obtained offshore compared to other measurements, carried out on a yearly survey, based on 10 aerosols collectors all around coastal sites of the Mediterranean Sea, dry DIN deposition was very patchy in space and time with an average of 38 µmol N m⁻² d⁻¹, ...
Sandroni et al., 2007). Even considering the lower value of 0.6 cm sec⁻¹ from the literature, the contribution of DIN from atmospheric dry deposition to hproK demand within the ML would still be significant (up to 72%).

4.2 Biogeochemical response after a wet event – N and P budgets at FAST site

Rain events are more erratic than dry atmospheric deposition but represent on average much higher nutrient fluxes to the MS surface waters on an annual basis, e.g. 84% of annual atmospheric DIN fluxes in Corsica Island (Desboeufs et al., 2018). On the scale of the Mediterranean basin, the annual wet deposition of DIN was found to be 2-8 times higher than DIN from dry deposition (Markaki et al., 2010). Wet deposition also contributes significantly to DON atmospheric fluxes in the MS: For example at Frioul Island (Bay of Marseille, NW MS), total (wet + dry) DON atmospheric fluxes ranged between 7 and 367 µmol DON m⁻² day⁻¹ and represented 41 ± 14% of the total atmospheric nitrogen flux (Djaoudi et al., 2018). In the Eastern MS (Lampedusa Island) DON atmospheric fluxes ranged between 1.5 and 250 µmol DON m⁻² day⁻¹, contributing to 25% of the total atmospheric nitrogen flux, respectively (Galletti et al., 2020). In both studies, bulk atmospheric fluxes of DON were positively correlated with precipitation rates, indicating the preponderance of wet deposition over dry deposition.

At the FAST site, the maximum net variations of NO3 and DIP concentrations within the ML before/after the rainy period reached 1520-665 = 4855 µmol N m⁻² for NO3 and 281-137 = 144 µmol P m⁻² for DIP (Table S2). In other terms, based on a mean MLD of 16 m, the net observed increase in the ML were +9 nM DIP and +54 nM NO3. As the rain event in the area would have increase by 0.47 nM DIP and 2.1 nM NO3 concentrations over the whole mixed layer, the net variations observed in the ML are thus higher than the calculated variation in stocks deduced from the N and P concentrations of this rain event (Table 3). This is still true when including all P or N chemical forms (particulate and soluble inorganic + organic fractions). For example the P concentration in the ML would increase by ~0.68 nM.

As described in the results section 3.4, the rains affecting the FAST site were spatially patchy over a large area (~40-50 km radius around the R/V). Thus, we could consider that the biogeochemical impacts observed at FAST site were probably due to a suite of atmospheric events rather than due to the single event collected on board. It is possible that meso- and sub-
Interestingly, a delay of about 19 h was observed in the maximum net accumulation within the ML between DIP (FAST+1.05) and NO₃ (FAST+0.24). The DIN/DIP ratio in the rain (1438) was much higher than the Redfield ratio. As the biological turnover of DIP in the MS is rapid (from min to few h, Talarmin et al., 2015), new DIP from rain might have behaved differently than DIN. Two different mechanisms can explain this delay: (i) processes linked to bypasses and luxury DIP uptake (storage of surplus P in hprok before a rapid development of grazers (Flaten et al., 2005; Herut et al. 2005, Thingstad et al., 2005) that are later responsible for DIP regeneration so that DIP net accumulation is delayed and/or (ii) abiotic processes such as rapid desorption from large sinking particles followed by adsorption of DIP onto submicronic iron oxides still in suspension as observed experimentally in Louis et al. (2015).

The first proposed mechanism may be supported by the observed increase of BP, along with a stable PP which suggests an immediate benefit of the new nutrients from rain by hprok rather than phytoplankton. The so-called luxury DIP uptake by the competing organisms like hprok is efficient (small cells with high surface/volume ratio and DIP kinetic uptake adapted to low concentrations). It is of course difficult to quantify such in situ variations in comparison to mesocosms/minicosms dust addition experiments, in which clearly heterotrophy is favoured first (Marañón et al., 2010; Guieu et al., 2014b; Gazeau et al., 2021). Few attempts in the field have confirmed these trends (Herut et al., 2005, Pulido-Villena et al., 2008) but, as stated in the introduction, these studies lacked high frequency sampling.

The second proposed mechanism, the abiotic desorption/adsorption, is compatible with the observed 19 h delay (Louis et al., 2015). Note that most of the estimates of such abiotic processes are from dust addition experiments with contrasting results, some showing this abiotic process of absorption/desorption while the particles are sinking (Louis et al., 2015), and other not (Carbo et al., 2005) or showing it as negligible in batch experiments (Ridame et al., 2003). It is possible that DIP adsorbed onto large particles rapidly sinks out of the ML, and desorbs partly during its transit in the PDLb, where it could stay longer thanks to the pycnoclines barriers.

We tentatively made a P budget between FAST+1.05 and FAST+2.11 where a net decrease of DIP (~87 µmol P m⁻²) was observed in the ML. During this time, advective flux of DIP toward the PDLb was not detectable as DIP concentrations within the ML were always lower than within the PDLb (Pulido-Villena et al., 2021). This indicated that the DIP was assimilated...
and/or transformed to DOP via biological processes, and/or adsorbed onto particles and then exported to PDLb by sedimentation. By integration of PP and BP over this period (34.5 and 19.7 mg C m\(^{-2}\), respectively) and, by assuming that all the disappearing 87 µmol DIP m\(^{-2}\) would be consumed by hprok and phytoplankton, we could estimate a C/P ratio reached in their biomass of ((34.5+19.7)/12×1000)/87=52. Such C/P suggests that DIP was not limiting these organisms anymore. Indeed a decrease of C/P quotas may highlight a switch from P to C limitation for heterotrophic bacteria (Godwin and Cotner, 2015) and from P to N limitation or increased growth rates for phytoplankton (Moreno and Martiny, 2018). Furthermore, as DIP is also recycled via alkaline phosphatase within the ML, we also consider another source of DIP via alkaline phosphatase activity, from which in situ activity (see Van Wambeke et al., 2021 for in situ estimates) could release 139 µmol DIP m\(^{-2}\) during this period. Assuming also that DIP resulting from of AP hydrolysis was fully assimilated for P biological needs, then C/P ratio would be (((34.5+19.7)/12×1000))/(87+139) =19. This low ratio seems unrealistic for phytoplankton (Moreno and Martigny, 2018) as well as hprok, even growing in surplus C conditions (Makino et al., 2003; Lovdal et al., 2008; Godwin and Cotner, 2015). Some of the P recycled or brought into the ML from atmospheric deposition has consequently been exported below the ML. DIP is abiotically adsorbed on mineral dust particles (Louis et al., 2015), most of them sinking, and thus constitute a source of export out of the ML. It is also possible that such sorbing process on dust particles enables the export of other P-containing organic molecules, for instance DOP or viruses produced following luxury DIP assimilation. Free viruses, richer in P than N relative to Hprok, could adsorb, like DOM, onto dust particles and constitute a P export source. Indeed, free viruses sorb onto black carbon particles, possibly reducing viral infection (Mari et al., 2019; Malits et al., 2015). However, particle quality is a determining factor for DOM or microbial attachment, and what has been shown for black carbon particles is not necessarily true for dust particles. For instance the addition of Saharan dust to marine coastal waters led to a negligible sorption of viruses to particles and increased abundance of free viruses (Pulido-Villena et al., 2014), possibly linked to an enhancement of lytic cycles in the ML after relieving limitation (Pradeep Ram and Sime-Ngando, 2010).

We are aware of all the assumptions made here ((i) conversion factors, (ii) in situ estimates of alkaline phosphatase, (iii) some missing DIP sources in the budget, such as the excretion of zooplankton estimated to amount to 22-37% of the phytoP demand at FAST site (Feliú et al., 2020), iv) lack of knowledge on the different mechanisms linking P to dust particles, and (iv)
the hypothesis which considers the station as a 1D system. Nevertheless, all these results together suggest that both luxury consumption by Hprok and export via scavenging on mineral particles probably occurred simultaneously and could explain the observed variations of DIP in the ML.

For NO3, and in contrast to the observations for DIP, we observed physical exchanges by advection between the ML and NDLb. A N budget within the ML during the period of net NO3 decrease (between FAST+0.24 and FAST+2.11, Table S2), indicates a net loss of 1343 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\) within the ML. For this period lasting 1.8 days, the time-integrated phytoN and hprokN demands were 682 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\) and 378 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\), respectively, so that total biological demand in the ML was 1060 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\). During this period, the possible N sources used were disappearing stocks of NO3 (net diminution assumed to be consumption =1343 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\)), as well as N2 fixation and in situ aminopeptidase activity where fluxes integrated over this time period were 13 and 87 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\), respectively. In total, the possible source of N amounted to 1443 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\). Keeping in mind that the same potential caveats pointed to DIP (see above) also apply for the calculation of N budget, the biological N demand appeared lower than the sources (difference \(\sim380 \mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\)). On the other hand, at FAST site, vertical advective fluxes of NO3 from ML to NDLb were up to 337 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4), i.e \(\sim600 \mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\) was lost from the ML over 1.8 days. From these two different approaches, exported NO3 should range between 380 and 600 \(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-2}\) over this period. Thus, about 40% of the NO3 accumulated in the ML after the rain was likely exported by vertical advection to the NDLb. Organisms present in the DCM could benefit from this input of new nutrients. Indeed, PP and abundances of all 4 phytoplankton groups (Synechococcus, Prochlorococcus, nano and picoeukaryotes) increased at the DCM after 24h and remained high for 2 days after the rain event (Fig. S3). The increase in abundances were higher for prokaryotic phytoplankton abundances, as such organisms would likely benefit from their small size and their ability to use DON/DOP organic molecules (Yelton et al., 2016).

5. Conclusions

This study reports for the first time, in the context of an oceanographic cruise, simultaneous sampling of atmospheric and ocean biogeochemical parameters to characterize in situ the biogeochemical responses to atmospheric deposition within the ML. High-frequency sampling, in particular at the FAST site, confirmed the transitory state of exchanges between
the ML and the NDLb. Even if dry deposition measured along the transect was homogeneous and amongst the lowest observed in the MS, that input could represent up to 121% of the hprokN demand. Furthermore, the signature of the dust wet deposition event on DIP and DIN concentrations was clearly emphasized, considering both the local rain fluxes and the horizontal oceanic mixing of water masses affected by the rain front. Finally, a comparison with the mesocosms results (where the fertilization is more important due to high dust concentrations) is hard to extrapolate with the data presented here.

Our results have shown the important role played by the ML in the biogeochemical and physical processes responsible for transfers of matter and nutrients between the atmosphere and the nutrient depleted layer below. Thanks to the use of the LWCC technique and access to nanomolar variations of NO3 and DIP in repeated CTD casts, it was possible to demonstrate the role of the ML and exchanges of NO3 from the ML to the NDLb by vertical advection when variations of ML occurred simultaneously to transitory accumulation of NO3 after a deposition event. The time sequence occurring after a wet dust deposition event was summarized Fig. 6: accumulation of NO3 in the ML, advection to NDLb, luxury consumption of DIP by hprok and delayed peaks of DIP, decrease of primary production and its recovery after 2 days mainly visible in the nutrient depleted layer. The effect of dust deposition is a complex and time-controlled trophic cascade within the microbial food web.

Our study shows the important role of intermittent, but strong abiotic effects such as downwelling advective fluxes from the ML to the nutrient depleted layers. It will be important to consider these aspects on budgets and non-stoichiometric models, especially when climate and anthropogenic changes are predicted to increase aerosol deposition in the Mediterranean Sea.
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### Table 1. Main biogeochemical features/trophic conditions during the PEACETIME cruise.

For TYR, ION and FAST sites investigated over several days, means ± sd are indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sampling date</th>
<th>Lat. °N</th>
<th>Long. °E</th>
<th>Temp. at 5 m °C</th>
<th>Bottom depth m</th>
<th>DCM depth m</th>
<th>ITChla mg chla m⁻²</th>
<th>IPP mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</th>
<th>IBP mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST1</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>41°53.5</td>
<td>6°20</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td>40°30.36</td>
<td>6°43.78</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>39°08.0</td>
<td>7°41.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>37°59.0</td>
<td>7°58.6</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5</td>
<td>May 16</td>
<td>38°57.2</td>
<td>11°1.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>2366</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYR</td>
<td>May 17-20</td>
<td>39°20.4</td>
<td>12°35.56</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>3395</td>
<td>80 ± 6</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
<td>170 ± 35</td>
<td>57 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6</td>
<td>May 22</td>
<td>38°48.47</td>
<td>14°29.97</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7</td>
<td>May 24</td>
<td>36°39.5</td>
<td>18°09.3</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>3627</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ION</td>
<td>May 25-28</td>
<td>35°29.1</td>
<td>19°47.77</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>3054</td>
<td>97 ± 5</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
<td>208 ± 15</td>
<td>51 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>36°12.6</td>
<td>16°37.5</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>3314</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>38°08.1</td>
<td>5°50.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST</td>
<td>June 2-7 and 9</td>
<td>37°56.8</td>
<td>2°54.6</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>2775</td>
<td>79 ± 8</td>
<td>34 ± 8</td>
<td>211 ± 57</td>
<td>92 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST10</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>37°27.58</td>
<td>1°34.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. N budget at the short stations within the surface mixed layer (ML). Integrated stocks (NO3, µmol N m\(^{-2}\)) and fluxes (heterotrophic prokaryotic N demand (hprokN demand), phytoplankton N demand (phytoN demand), in situ leucine aminopeptidase hydrolysis fluxes (LAP), dry atmospheric deposition of NO3 and NH4 (all fluxes in µmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). Values presented as mean ± sd. SD was calculated using propagation of errors: For hprokN demand triplicate measurements at each depth and a C/N ratio of 7.3 ± 1.6; for phytoN demand triplicate measurements of PP at each depth and a C/N ratio of 7 ± 1.4; for LAP the analytical TAA error and the Vm and Km errors; for N\(_2\)fix the coefficient of variation was 10% for volumetric fluxes > 0.1 mmole N l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) and 20% for lower values. For dry deposition, sd is based on the variability of the NO3 and NH4 concentrations solubilized from aerosols during the occupation of the station (see methods section 2.2.1). MLD: mixed layer depth. na: not available because under LWCC detection limits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>stations</th>
<th>MLD</th>
<th>NO3</th>
<th>phytoN demand</th>
<th>hprokN demand</th>
<th>LAP</th>
<th>N(_2)fix</th>
<th>NO3</th>
<th>NH4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>µmol N m(^{-2})</td>
<td>µmol m(^{-2}) d(^{-1})</td>
<td>µmol m(^{-2}) d(^{-1})</td>
<td>µmol m(^{-2}) d(^{-1})</td>
<td>µmol m(^{-2}) d(^{-1})</td>
<td>µmol m(^{-2}) d(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>1468 ± 325</td>
<td>184 ± 40</td>
<td>121 ± 28</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>18.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>481 ± 161</td>
<td>163 ± 35</td>
<td>48 ± 24</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>23.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>282 ± 82</td>
<td>126 ± 28</td>
<td>40 ± 17</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>33.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>246 ± 80</td>
<td>132 ± 28</td>
<td>83 ± 20</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>23.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>261 ± 22</td>
<td>112 ± 29</td>
<td>42 ± 9</td>
<td>17 ± 12</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>27.0 ± 7.5</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>162 ± 14</td>
<td>410 ± 116</td>
<td>204 ± 44</td>
<td>48 ± 24</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>15.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>162 ± 14</td>
<td>226 ± 123</td>
<td>148 ± 33</td>
<td>83 ± 18</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>911 ± 77</td>
<td>274 ± 66</td>
<td>130 ± 33</td>
<td>25 ± 8</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>819 ± 70</td>
<td>259 ± 70</td>
<td>85 ± 22</td>
<td>21 ± 6</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>27.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2074 ± 176</td>
<td>495 ± 31</td>
<td>294 ± 64</td>
<td>42 ± 26</td>
<td>13.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>23.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Characteristics and nutrient fluxes estimated in the 2 rains collected during the PEACETIME cruise at ION and FAST sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>event</th>
<th>Rain ION</th>
<th>Rain FAST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date and local time</td>
<td>29/05 05:08-6:00</td>
<td>05/06 02:36-3:04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIP Flux nmol P m⁻²</td>
<td>60.5 ± 227</td>
<td>146 ± 290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOP Flux nmol P m⁻²</td>
<td>974 ± 334</td>
<td>208 ± 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP fluxes nmol P m⁻²</td>
<td>239 ± 82</td>
<td>801 ± 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃ Flux µmol N m⁻²</td>
<td>47 ± 22</td>
<td>241 ± 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄ Flux µmol N m⁻²</td>
<td>71 ± 24</td>
<td>208 ± 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIN Flux µmol N m⁻²</td>
<td>138 ± 47</td>
<td>250 ± 139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The collector surface was 0.045 m².
**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Nitrate (NO$_3$) aerosol concentration along the PEACETIME transect. The locations of two rain events are indicated by large black circles. Stations ST 1 to 4 were not sampled for nutrient analysis at a nanomolar level.

**Figure 2.** Representation of the mixed layer (ML), the bottom of the nitrate (NO$_3$) depleted layer (NDLb), delineated by the nitracline depth and the mixed layer depth (MLD).

**Figure 3.** a) Evolution of the wind speed during the PEACETIME cruise. The stations are indicated in yellow and dates in black. Vertical dotted lines delineate the beginning and the end of the ship’s deployment at TYR, ION and FAST sites. The two rain events collected on board are indicated in solid vertical red arrows and surrounding observed rain events by horizontal dashed red arrows. b) 0-100 m vertical distribution of nitrate (NO$_3$) with depth. The MLD (in red) and nitracline (in brown) are indicated.

**Figure 4.** Average concentration of nitrate (NO$_3$) in the ML and the NDLb, and NO$_3$ flux from the ML to NDLb. The stations have been classified into 4 types (1 in blue, 2 in green, 3 in yellow, 4 in red, see section Results and Table S1 for definitions). Error bars are indicated by standard deviation around average values for nitrate concentrations, and error propagation for the flux from ML to NDLb using a 0.5 m uncertainty in the MLD variation.

**Figure 5.** Evolution within the ML of heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP), particulate primary production (PP), heterotrophic prokaryotes (hprok) and *Synechococcus* (syn) abundances at the FAST site. The mixed layer depth is indicated by a red line.

**Figure 6.** Synthetic view of biogeochemical processes and exchanges between the ML and NDLb at the FAST site before the rain and evolution after the rain.
Fig. 2

MLD, ML, NDLb, Nitracline depth, density, nitrate, depth
Figure 4: Graph showing nitrate concentrations (nM) and flux (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹) from NO3 ML to NO3 NDLb. The x-axis represents flux in µmol m⁻² d⁻¹, and the y-axis represents nitrate concentrations (nM). The graph includes data points for various locations and dates, with some indicating positive flux (FAST) and others showing no significant flux (NO3 ML).
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Figure S1: Vertical distribution of heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP), particulate primary production (PP), and abundances of heterotrophic prokaryotes (hprok), Synechococcus-like cells (syn), eukaryotic picophytoplankton (pico euk) and nanophytoplankton (nano euk) at the ION site. Casts numbered the date of their sampling before (blue profiles) and after (grey profiles) the rain sampled onboard.
Figure S2: Vertical distribution of heterotrophic prokaryotic production (BP), particulate primary production (PP), and in vivo fluorescence profiles at the FAST site. Stations numbered in days before (blue profiles) and after (grey profiles) the rain event.
Figure S3. Vertical distribution of abundances for heterotrophic prokaryotes (hprok), heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF), Synechococcus-like cells (syn), Prochlorococcus (proc), eukaryotic picophytoplankton (pico euk) and nanophytoplankton (nano euk) at the FAST site. Stations numbered in days before (blue profiles) and after (grey profiles) the rain event sampled on board.
Enrichment experiments

At the three sites, enrichment experiments were performed using seawater from 5 m depth to assess factors limiting BP in the surface mixed layer. The sampling of seawater for these experiments (FAST (June 2, 22:00), TYR (May 16, 20:00) and ION (May 25, 20:00)) was done before the rain events occurring at the FAST and ION sites. Eight series of triplicate 60 mL polycarbonate bottles were filled with unfiltered seawater and amended as follows: C: no enrichment, N: +1 µM NO3 + 1 µM NH4; P: + 0.2 µM DIP; G: + 10 µM C-glucose; NP: N + P; NG: N + G; PG: P + G; NPG: N + P + G. After 48 h incubation in the dark at in situ temperature, BP was determined in the 24 bottles (as described in M&M section).

At the TYR site, BP was significantly stimulated only after addition of 2 major elements, with a greater response with PN and NPG combinations (Fig. S4). At the ION site, BP was primarily limited by P availability, as only combinations with P (single or in combination with other elements), stimulated BP whereas all other combinations of enrichment did not stimulate BP significantly compared to the control. At the FAST site, BP was primarily limited by N availability, as all combinations with N, single or in combination with other elements, stimulated BP. However at this site it is likely that BP was also co-limited by 2 elements, as G addition alone also stimulated BP. Note that the PN combination has induced a higher stimulation of BP than other double combinations (NG or PG).

Figure S4. Results of the enrichment experiment. BP reached after 36 h of enrichment in the dark. C: control unamended, P: +DIP, N:+NO3+NH4, G: +glucose, and combinations of these elements in PN, NG, PG and NPG. Stars show significantly different BP compared to the unamended control.
Table S1: NO3 ranges within the surface mixed layer (ML) and the base of the nitrate depleted layer below (NDLb) and advective fluxes when measurable. FAST stations are chronologically indexed in days before (negative index) and after (positive index) the occurrence of the rain event. **no lwcc**: no data because at these stations concentrations were determined only by classical analysis and were under its detection limits (50 nM), **na**: not available. From comparison of concentrations, we identified four groups of stations: group 1: poor nitrates in ML and NDLb (< 50 nM); weak differences (< 15 nM); group 2: moderate nitrates in ML and NDLb (50 nM < NO3 < 80 nM); weak differences (< 20 nM); group 3: high nitrate in ML and NDLb (NO3 > 80 nM); weak positive differences (< 20 nM) and group 4: high nitrate in ML and moderate to high in NDLb; large positive differences (> 20 nM). MLD: mixed layer depth. sd of fluxes were estimated using propagation of errors on NO3ML, NO3NDLb and dMLD/dt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date, local time</th>
<th>MLD m</th>
<th>base NDLb m</th>
<th>NO3 in ML Mean nM</th>
<th>NO3 in NDLb Mean nM</th>
<th>NO3 in NDLb sd nM</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>MLD to NDLb Flux Mean nM</th>
<th>MLD to NDLb Flux sd nM</th>
<th>Station group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST 1</td>
<td>12/05/2017 12:26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 2</td>
<td>13/05/2017 07:40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 3</td>
<td>14/05/2017 12:05</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 4</td>
<td>15/05/2017 07:09</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>no lwcc</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 5</td>
<td>16/05/2017 04:04</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST TYR 17 May</td>
<td>17/05/2017 09:36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 6</td>
<td>22/05/2017 05:48</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 7</td>
<td>23/05/2017 21:11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST ION 25 May</td>
<td>25/05/2017 05:28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST ION 27 May</td>
<td>25/05/2017 08:24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST ION 29 May</td>
<td>29/05/2017 09:19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 8</td>
<td>30/05/2017 04:48</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 9</td>
<td>01/06/2017 21:15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST -2.3</td>
<td>02/06/2017 19:16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST -1.5</td>
<td>03/06/2017 15:30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST +0.25</td>
<td>04/06/2017 20:57</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST +0.24</td>
<td>05/06/2017 08:54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST +0.53</td>
<td>05/06/2017 15:50</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST +1.05</td>
<td>06/06/2017 04:23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST +2.11</td>
<td>07/06/2017 05:38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 10</td>
<td>08/06/2017 06:55</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST FAST +3.8</td>
<td>08/06/2017 22:09</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Biological stocks and fluxes integrated over the mixed layer (a), the euphotic zone (b) and 200 m (c), along with atmospheric dry deposition during the occupation of the ION and FAST sites. Time CTD cast is the local time at the beginning of the CTD cast. For atmospheric dry deposition, start and end shows the period during which PILS data were averaged to compute daily NO3 depositions fluxes. PP: Primary production, BP: heterotrophic prokaryotic production, LAP: In situ leucine aminopeptidase hydrolysis rates, N2fix : N2 fixations rates.

| Site      | CTD cast | PP \(^b\) mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) | BP \(^b\) mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) | PP ML\(^a\) mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) | BP ML\(^a\) mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) | LAP ML\(^a\) µmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) | N2fix ML\(^a\) µmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) | NO3 stocks ML\(^a\) µmol N m\(^{-2}\) | DIP stocks ML\(^a\) µmol P m\(^{-2}\) | Start   | End     | NO3 dry deposition µmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) |
|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| TYR 17 May| 27/5/17 4:25 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 17/5/17 2:54 | 17/5/17 4:53 | 22.2 |
| TYR 18 May| 28/5/17 4:26 | 2.1 | 13.0 | 6.1 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 18/5/17 4:54 | 18/5/17 4:54 | 20.9 |
| TYR 19 May| 27/5/17 4:57 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 19/5/17 4:53 | 19/5/17 4:53 | 20.9 |
| TYR 20 May| 28/5/17 4:54 | 2.1 | 13.0 | 6.1 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 19/5/17 4:53 | 19/5/17 4:53 | 20.9 |
| ION 25 May| 25/5/17 4:34 | 188 | 56.1 | 19.7 | 7.5 | 278 | 6.1 | 195 | 142 | 24/5/17 18:02 | 24/5/17 18:34 | 24.1 |
| ION 26 May| 26/5/17 4:25 | 207 | 56.1 | 19.7 | 7.5 | 278 | 6.1 | 195 | 142 | 25/5/17 14:34 | 25/5/17 14:34 | 27.8 |
| ION 27 May| 27/5/17 4:26 | 210 | 43.9 | 19.9 | 8.0 | 207 | 6.1 | 213 | 109 | 26/5/17 4:25 | 26/5/17 4:26 | 32.6 |
| ION 28 May| 28/5/17 4:21 | 226 | 43.9 | 19.9 | 8.0 | 207 | 6.1 | 213 | 109 | 27/5/17 4:26 | 27/5/17 4:26 | 33.8 |
| FAST 2.32 | 26/6/17 4:24 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 24/6/17 4:24 | 24/6/17 4:24 | 24.1 |
| FAST 1.48 | 26/6/17 4:32 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 24/6/17 4:32 | 24/6/17 4:32 | 24.1 |
| FAST 0.93 | 24/6/17 4:40 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 24/6/17 4:40 | 24/6/17 4:40 | 24.1 |
| FAST 0.25 | 24/6/17 4:27 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 127 | 84 | 24/6/17 4:27 | 24/6/17 4:27 | 24.1 |
| FAST 0.07 | 5/6/17 4:44 | 164 | 80.8 | 29.3 | 15.6 | 6.4 | 665 | 137 | 4/6/17 14:40 | 4/6/17 14:27 | 61.2 |
| FAST 0.24 | 5/6/17 4:44 | 164 | 80.8 | 29.3 | 15.6 | 6.4 | 665 | 137 | 4/6/17 14:40 | 4/6/17 14:27 | 61.2 |
| FAST 0.53 | 5/6/17 4:44 | 164 | 80.8 | 29.3 | 15.6 | 6.4 | 665 | 137 | 4/6/17 14:40 | 4/6/17 14:27 | 61.2 |
| FAST 1.05 | 6/6/17 4:51 | 140 | 99.2 | 33.4 | 18.6 | 54.8 | 8.3 | 752 | 281 | 5/6/17 4:51 | 6/6/17 4:51 | 68.1 |
| FAST 2.11 | 7/6/17 4:17 | 218 | 104.1 | 31.8 | 18.7 | 53.1 | 8.1 | 177 | 194 | 6/6/17 4:51 | 7/6/17 4:17 | 19.8 |
| FAST 3.8  | 9/6/17 4:40 | 224 | 104.1 | 31.8 | 18.7 | 53.1 | 8.1 | 177 | 194 | 6/6/17 4:51 | 7/6/17 4:17 | 19.8 |