

## ***Interactive comment on “Hypersaline tidal flats as important “Blue Carbon” systems: A case study from three ecosystems” by Dylan R. Brown et al.***

**Begy Robert-Csaba (Referee)**

robert.begy@ubbcluj.ro

Received and published: 11 January 2021

Dear Editor,

I consider the manuscript "Hypersaline tidal flats as important "Blue Carbon" systems: A case study from three ecosystems" to be relevant in the actual global environmental context. The study is addressing important issues and bringing new perspectives, that could be further considered in the approach and management of hypersaline tidal flats (HTFs). The HTFs potential capacity of long-term CO<sub>2</sub> storage and their inclusion in the blue carbon framework should be further addressed, as these ecosystems can have a potential beneficial effect in the reduction of greenhouse gases (GHG) from the atmosphere.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



At line 139-140, "were measured in a high-purity germanium (HPGe) planar or well gamma detectors", the use of the word "or" creates confusion whether the author refers to two different detectors or if is giving an alternative name for the same apparatus, this should be clarified for a better understanding.

At line 145, "detector efficiency determined from standard calibration" needs further explanation concerning the calibration of the detector, especially it is indicated to describe the used calibration standards ( IAEA – name and type) or, if the calibration was made by Monte Carlo modelling, the software name that was used. On the other hand, the sediment dating models (CIC), that was used in this study, was proved to be, in many cases, an idealistic model, because it assumes constant sediment deposition (constant sedimentation). In this case, considering that the surface is occasionally flooded, the sedimentation rate could vary, especially in Guaratiba. In this point, the  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  distribution through the sediment column shows discrepancies from the theoretical exponential decrease that is expected (Figure 2). In Gladstone site 2, only CIC model can be applied, because the dating horizon is not reached, and the column does not have the full inventory, that is essential for the CRS model.

At line 165, page 5, the assumption that the pressure in the chamber is 1 atm., in my opinion, in some cases, for example, if the chamber is exposed to sunlight, the pressure inside can increase, which could influence the  $\text{CO}_2$  exhalation.

Overall, I consider that the present manuscript contains valuable scientific information, that needs to be available to the large audience.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-426>, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

