The impact of ~~land-fast ice~~ the freeze-melt cycle of land-fast ice on the distribution of ~~terrestrial~~ dissolved organic matter in the ~~the Siberian Arctic shelf seas~~ Laptev and East Siberian Seas (Siberian Arctic)

Jens A. Hölemann[1], Bennet Juhls[2,3], Dorothea Bauch[4,5], Markus Janout[1], Boris P. Koch[1,6], Birgit Heim[3]

[1]Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany
[2]Department of Earth Sciences, Institute for Space Sciences, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany
[3]Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany
[4]Leibniz Laboratory for Radiometric Dating and Stable Isotope Research, University of Kiel CAU, Kiel, Germany
[5]GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany
[6]University of Applied Sciences Bremerhaven, Germany

Correspondence to: Jens Hölemann (jens.hoelemann@awi.de)

Abstract.

Permafrost degradation in the catchment of major Siberian rivers, combined with higher precipitation in a warming climate, could increase the flux of terrestrially derived dissolved organic matter (tDOM) into the Arctic Ocean (AO). Each year, ~ 7.9 Tg of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is discharged into the AO via the three largest rivers that flow into the Laptev (LS) and East Siberian Sea (ESS). A significant proportion of this tDOM-rich river water undergoes a freeze-melt cycle in the land-fast ice that forms along the coast of the Laptev and East Siberian Sea in winter. To better understand how growth and melting of land-fast ice affect dissolved organic matter (DOM) dynamics in the LS and ESS, we determined DOC concentrations and the optical properties of coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in sea ice, river water and sea water. The dataset, covering different seasons over a 9-year period (2010-2019), was complemented by oceanographic measurements (T, S) and determination of the oxygen isotope composition of the sea water.

Although removal of tDOM cannot be ruled out, our study suggests that conservative mixing of high-tDOM river water and sea-ice meltwater with low-tDOM sea water is the major factor controlling the distribution of tDOM in the LS and ESS. A case study based on data from winter 2012 and spring 2014 reveals that the mixing of about 273 km³ low-tDOM landfast-ice melt water (containing ~ 0.3 Tg DOC) with more than 200 km³ high-tDOM Lena river water discharged during the spring freshet (~ 2.8 Tg DOC yr-1), plays a dominant role in this respect. The mixing of the two low-salinity surface water masses is possible because the meltwater and the river water of the spring freshet flow into the southeastern LS at the same time every year (May-July). In addition, budget calculations indicate that in the course of the growth of land-fast ice in the southeastern LS, ~ 1.2 Tg DOC yr^{-1} (± 0.54 Tg) can be expelled from the growing ice in winter together with brines. These DOC-rich brines

can then be transported across the shelves into the Arctic halocline and the Transpolar Drift Current flowing from the Siberian Shelf towards Greenland.

The study of dissolved organic matter dynamics in the AO is important not only to decipher the Arctic carbon cycle, but also because CDOM regulates physical processes such as radiative forcing in the upper ocean, which has important effects on sea surface temperature, water column stratification, biological productivity, and UV penetration.

Remobilization of soil carbon as a result of permafrost degradation in the drainage basin of the major Siberian rivers combined with higher precipitation in a warming climate potentially increase the flux of terrestrial-derived dissolved organic matter (tDOM) into the Arctic Ocean. The Laptev (LS) and East Siberian Seas (ESS) receive enormous amounts of tDOM-rich river water, which undergoes at least one freeze-melt cycle in the Siberian Arctic shelf seas. To better understand how freezing and melting affect the tDOM dynamics in the LS and ESS, we sampled sea ice, river and seawater for their dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration and the colored fraction of dissolved organic matter. The sampling took place in different seasons over a period of 9 years (2010-2019). Our results suggest that the main factor regulating the tDOM distribution in the LS and ESS is the mixing of marine waters with freshwater sources carrying different tDOM concentrations. Of particular importance in this context are the 211 km³ of meltwater from land-fast ice from the LS, containing ~ 0.3 Tg DOC, which in spring mixes with 245 km³ of river water from the peak spring discharge of the Lena River, carrying ~ 2.4 Tg DOC into the LS. During the ice-free season, tDOM transport on the shelves takes place in the surface mixed layer, with the direction of transport depending on the prevailing wind direction. In winter, about 1.2 Tg of brine-related DOC, which was expelled from the growing land-fast ice in the LS, is transported in the near-surface water layer into the Transpolar Drift Stream that flows from the Siberian Shelf toward Greenland. The actual water depth in which the tDOM-rich brines are transported, depends mainly on the density stratification of the LS and ESS in the preceding summer and the amount of ice produced in winter. We suspect that climate change in the Arctic will fundamentally alter the dynamics of tDOM transport in the Arctic marginal seas, which will also have consequences for the Arctic carbon cycle

1. Introduction

The mean annual air temperature in the Arctic continues to rise (Overland et al., 2019), resulting in a rapid decrease in summer sea ice extent and volume (Perovich et al., 2014Stroeve and Notz, 2018) and, consequently, a longer ice-free season. Furthermore, the terrestrial permafrost temperature increases (Biskaborn et al., 2019) with major implications for the Arctic carbon cycle. Due to the accelerated degradation of terrestrial permafrost, an estimated 1035 ±150 Pg of organic carbon stored in the upper three meters of circumpolar permafrost soils (Hugelius et al., 2014) can be either mineralised and released as gaseous emissions into the atmosphere or mobilized as terrestrial dissolved organic matter (tDOM) into the hydrosphere or released as gaseous emissions into the atmosphere (Plaza et al., 2019). The release of soil carbon into the hydrosphere in combination with an increasing freshwater discharge from Arctic rivers (McClelland et al., 2006, Rawlins et al. 2010; Haine et al., 2015; McClelland et al., 2006) might thus increase the flux of tDOM into the ocean (Frey and Smith, 2005; Guo et al.,

2007; Prokushkin et al., 2011; Tank et al., 2016). Changes in the land-ocean fluxes of tDOM in the Arctic are of particular importance for the global carbon cycle, since the rivers ~~in the~~at high northern latitudes export significant quantities of tDOM to the Arctic Ocean (AO). DOM is typically quantified via its carbon content (dissolved organic carbon; DOC), which contributes roughly half to the total mass of DOM. Currently, the annual riverine input of DOC into the AO is about 25-36 Tg C yr^{-1} (Raymond et al., 2007; Anderson and Amon, 2015), with the six largest Arctic rivers discharging about 18-20 Tg C yr^{-1} (Stedmon et al., 2011; Amon et al., 2012). The three major Siberian river systems (Ob, Yenisey and Lena) account for about 14 Tg C yr^{-1} (Holmes et al., 2012) with the Lena River alone discharging 6.8 Tg C yr^{-1} DOC into the Siberian Laptev Sea (LS) (Juhls et al., 2020). The total riverine DOC flux to the LS is about 8.3 Tg C yr^{-1} (Manizza et al., 2009), with the Lena drainage basin (2.61 x 10^6 km²) accounting for about 70 % of the total area of the LS watershed. The LS additionally receives freshwater from the outflow of the Kara Sea (KS), which transports river water from Ob and Yenisey through the Vilkitzky Strait into the northwestern LS (Janout et al., 2015). The combined DOC flux of the two major rivers discharging to the ESS (Kolyma and Indigirka) is ~1.1 Tg DOC yr^{-1} (Opsahl.et al., 1999), which is ~50 % of the total annual riverine DOC flux to the ESS (Manizza et al. 2009). The combined area of the drainage basin of the Rivers Kolyma and Indigarka (1.01 x 10^6 km²) accounts for ~75 % of the total ESS watershed.

The total terrigenous input (particulate and dissolved) of carbon to the AO from costal erosion is estimated to be 15.4 Tg yr^{-1} (9.2-24.2 Tg yr^{-1} with one standard deviation; Terhaar et al., 2021). However, DOC concentrations measured in Bhuor-Khaya Bay east of the Lena Delta, a region known for the most rapid and extensive coastal erosion of the Siberian Arctic coastline, suggest that direct DOC input from coastal erosion must be small compared to the riverine DOC flux (Alling et al., 2010).

If the input of tDOM into the AO increases as a consequence of climate change, it is crucial to achieve a better understanding of tDOM transport dynamics and biogeochemical cycles. Previous studies in the Arctic marginal seas have reported a strong negative linear relationship between salinity and DOC, which implies that tDOM-rich river water mixes with DOM-poor marine waters from the AO without significant losses and gains along the salinity gradient (i.e. conservative mixing) (Kattner et al. 1999; Köhler et al., 2003; Amon, 2004; Amon and Meon, 2004; Shin and Tanaka, 2004; Gueguen et al 2005; Matsuoka et al., 2012; Pugach and Pipko, 2013; Pavlov et al., 2016; Tanaka et al. 2016, Pugach et al., 2018). In contrast, a number of studies indicate significant degradation of tDOM in the Arctic Ocean. ~~In contrast, a number of studies indicate extensive degradation of tDOM in the AO~~ (Bélanger et al., 2009, Alling et al., 2010; Stedmon et al., 2011; Letscher et al., 2011; Kaiser et al., 2017a), the Fram Strait (Granskog et al. 2012), and the Hudson Bay (Granskog et al., 2009; Granskog, 2012). One reason for the partly contradictory observations could be the extreme seasonality in the discharge of Arctic rivers and the associated high variability in the tDOM concentrations and composition. In the course of the peak spring discharge (spring freshet), which have the highest annual tDOM concentrations, about half of the annual tDOM is exported to the AO (Cauwet and Sidorov, 1996; Stedmon et al., 2011; Holmes et al., 2012). In summer, autumn and winter, tDOM concentrations in Arctic rivers are significantly lower (Stedmon et al., 2011; Juhls et al., 2020). Several studies have shown that tDOM, discharged during the spring freshet, displays a different chemical composition and a higher biological and photochemical lability compared to the summer discharge (Osburn et al., 2009; Amon et al., 2012; Mann et al., 2012, Kaiser et al. 2017b). This could lead to ~~a~~ tDOM

degradation of 20-40 % within less than one month during the spring freshet (Holmes et al., 2008). Therefore, seasonal changes in tDOM composition and lability are another possible explanation for the observed variability in degradation rates.

100 ~~Similar to the studies on the degradation of tDOM on the shelves, the studies on the total residence time of tDOM in the AO do not provide a consistent picture. Alling et al. (2010) reported a first-order removal rate of ~0.3 year⁻¹ while Letscher et al. (2011) calculated a first-order decay constant of 0.24 ± 0.07 year⁻¹ in the Siberian Shelf Seas. Applying a residence time of 3.5 ±2 years of river water on the Siberian shelf systems (Schlosser et al., 1994) would thus result in a loss of ~ 30-75% of the DOC in this region. A study from the western AO (Hansell et al., 2004) suggests that 30-70% of DOC is mineralized during a~~

105 ~~10-year residence time in the Beaufort Gyre while a study by Mann et al. (2016) calculated DOC turnover rates for the AO that range from 7 to 30 months.~~

Considering the high seasonal variability in input and chemical composition of tDOM and a predicted strong degradation of tDOM that is controlled by multiple processes, it appears puzzling that most studies in the LS have observed a ~~pronounced~~

~~and relatively invariant linear decrease~~conservative mixing of tDOM ~~along the salinity gradient~~. This is especially true since

110 the sea-ice growth-melt cycle in the Siberian shelf seas, which has a significant influence on the salinity-tDOM relationship (Anderson and Amon, 2015), should lead to much greater variability in tDOM concentrations along the salinity gradient. During sea ice growth, DOM is expelled from ice crystals and concentrates in brines, which can then drain into the underlying sea water (Müller et al., 2013). The DOM remaining in the ice undergoes significant fractionation. The humic-like DOM fraction is most prone to rejection from the sea ice along with the brine during freezing, while the protein-like fraction is least

115 prone to this process, which shifts the molecular composition of DOM in the ice towards a higher proportion of low molecular weight compounds (Müller et al., 2013; Granskog et al., 2015a; Retelletti-Brogi et al., 2018, Zabłocka et al., 2020). On the other hand, melting of CDOM-poor drifting pack ice (Kowalczuk et al., 2017) or immobile land ice (Wegner et al., 2017) is also important because it produces a freshwater source that can dilute higher DOM concentrations in the surrounding sea water (Amon, 2004; Mathis et al., 2005; Granskog et al., 2015b; Logvinova et al., 2016; Tanaka et al. 2016).

120 ~~This is even more so as the formation and freeze melt cycle of sea ice in the Siberian shelf seas, which has a significant influence on the salinity tDOM relationship (Anderson and Amon, 2015), should lead to a much greater variability of tDOM concentrations along the salinity gradient. Melting of sea ice is important because the meltwater may dilute tDOM concentrations in the underlying water column (Amon, 2004; Mathis et al., 2005; Granskog et al., 2015; Logvinova et al., 2016).~~ At the end of winter, the ~~central and northern part of the~~ LS shelf north of the land-fast ice (Fig. 1) is covered by large

125 areas of open water (polynyas) and thin (< 50 cm) pack ice~~sea ice~~, which has almost completely retreated by the end of June (Itkin and Krumpen, 2017). The retreat of the pack ice is predominantly controlled by the prevailing atmospheric conditions in April and May (Janout et al., 2016a).~~which melts almost completely from May to June (Itkin and Krumpen, 2017~~ Whereas land-fast ice that forms in winter in the LS and ESS between 115° E and 170° E retreats only during the month of July (Selyushenok et al., 2015). Bauch et al. (2013) and Janout et al. (2016b) showed that the decline in fast ice is also influenced

130 by the input of warm river water during spring freshet.)~~. In contrast, the inner shelf of the eastern LS and East Siberian Sea (ESS) is covered by landfast ice that lasts until mid July (Selyushenok et al., 2015).~~ While the maximum extent of fast ice in

the LS (~130 x 10³ km²) shows only small annual variations (observation period 1999-2013, Selyushenok et al, 2015), the maximum area of fast ice in the East Siberian Sea (140° E to 170° E) varies annually from 130-200 x 10³ km² (2012-2021, data from www.meereisportal.de; Spreen et al., 2008). At the end of winter, the fast ice also reaches its maximum thickness of ~2m (Kotchetov et al., 1994; Li et al., 2020). Thus, the maximum total volume of fast ice in the Laptev and East Siberian Sea is approximately between 520 km³ and 660 km³. Assuming an average salinity of the fast ice of 4, the land-fast ice meltwater corresponds to a maximum fresh water equivalent of up to ~580 km³ (reference salinity 34.8).

~~During sea ice formation, tDOM is expelled from the ice crystals and concentrates in salt brines, which accumulate in the underlying water column (Amon, 2004; Anderson and Macdonald, 2015).~~ This also explains the observed low tDOM concentrations in the LS landfast ice that forms from the tDOM-rich surface water sourced by the Lena river (Wegner et al., 2017)~~This also explains the observed low tDOM concentrations in the LS landfast-ice that forms from the tDOM-rich surface water sourced by the Lena river (Wegner et al., 2017).~~ The presence of tDOM-poor ~~meltwater~~ meltwater from the land-fast ice that contains a high proportion of river water and tDOM-rich river water in the Arctic shelf seas together with the extreme seasonality of fluvial tDOM input make it difficult to study tDOM removal processes based on the interpretation of the relationship between tDOM concentration and salinity (Granskog, 2012; Anderson and Amon, 2015). Although degradation processes are obviously important for DOM dynamics in the Arctic Ocean, our study focuses mainly on the physical drivers controlling the distribution of tDOM in the LS and ESS. In particular, we aim to understand whether sea ice growth and melt have a discernible influence on the distribution of tDOM in the Siberian shelf seas. We attempt to show that degradation of tDOM is probably not the determining factor for the observed tDOM concentration distributions in the LS and ESS, but that instead physical mixing of meltwater from land-fast ice with river and sea water plays a key role.

This research is based on one of the most comprehensive bio-optical, DOC, and hydrographic datasets from the Siberian Arctic. ~~Although sea ice formation and melt are obviously important processes for AO tDOM dynamics it is not adequately studied. Here, we investigate the physical drivers that control the distribution and fate of tDOM, based on one of the most comprehensive bio-optical, DOC and hydrographic data sets from the Siberian Arctic. In particular, we aim to understand if sea ice formation and melt have a discernible impact on the distribution and fate of tDOM in the Siberian Shelf Seas.~~ We report on the first multi-year study of the coloured fraction of dissolved organic matter (CDOM), which includes observations in ~~(1)~~ river runoff during spring freshet (2014), ~~(2)~~ marine waters from the coastal area to the shelf edge of the LS (2010-2019) and ESS (2019), and ~~(3)~~ ice and water samples from the land-fast-ice ~~region covering~~of the ~~south~~southeastern~~eastern~~ LS ~~during winter and spring~~(2012). Sampling was carried out in different seasons of the year over a period of 9 years (2010-2019). Although it was not sampled on each expedition (Table 1) we used the stable oxygen isotope data of the water samples to calculate the river water and sea-ice meltwater fractions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Field Sampling

Seven summer ship expeditions between 2010 and 2019 and one sea ice-based winter expedition in 2012 were carried out to perform oceanographic and biogeochemical measurements in the ~~Laptev Sea~~LS (Table 1 and Fig. 1). During the ship-based expeditions, we operated a CTD profiler (conductivity temperature-depth; Seabird 19+) to sample the basic hydrographic parameters. The CTD is operated on a carousel water sampler (SBE 32SC) allowing automated water sampling at pre-selected depths. During the winter expedition (Ti12) a standalone CTD (Seabird 19+) was lowered through an ice hole along with Nansen water samplers. In this study we used the practical salinity scale to describe salinity (PSS-78). Ice cores (9 cm diameter) were collected in 2012 at stations on the land-fast ice ~~(Fig. 1)~~ between March 19 and April 24 ~~(Ti12)~~. Six ice cores taken at three different locations (Fig. 1) in March-April 2012 were analysed (Ti12_ice, Table 1)~~We analysed six ice cores from, which were taken at three different sampling sites (Fig. 1)~~. Sub-samples were taken at 10 cm intervals to represent the different periods of ice formation, with the youngest ice in the lower section and the oldest ice from the first stages of ice formation in early winter in the uppermost sections of the ice core. ~~Samples were taken at 10 cm intervals to represent the different periods in which the ice formed, with the youngest ice occurring in the lower section and the oldest ice from the first phases of ice formation during early winter in the uppermost sections of the ice core.~~

Figure 1. Map of the Laptev (LS)- and East Siberian (ESS) Seas and station distribution during the ship-based expeditions in summer (red dots)rs 2010, 2011, 2013, 2014, 2018, and 2019. The border between the LS and the ESS runs along 140° E. The LS was sampled in 2010, 2011, 2013, 2014, 2018, and 2019. Only a few stations could be repeatedly sampled in multiple years. The ESS was sampled in 2019. Maps of the stations of each expedition with a detailed description of the location and date are available under the respective doi of the PANGAEA data publications (Table 1, Hölemann et al., 2020 a-g; Kattner et al., 2010). In addition, samples of land-fast ice (fast ice) and the water column were taken from March to April 2012 (yellow stars). The extent of fast ice describes the situation on May 1, 2011. The grey line between A and B represents the oceanographic transect shown in Figure 67.. Bathymetric data were provide by IBCAO V3 (Jakobsson et al., 2012).

Lena River data presented here were collected as part of the Lena 2014 expedition. Samples were taken close to the Samoylov Station (N 72°22', E 126°29', green pentagon in Fig. 1) in the central part of the Lena Delta from 21 May – 16 June 2014. Sample treatment and applied methods were similar to those described above.

Table 1. Expedition data and the number of samples (#) used in this study. The data are accessible on PANGAEA.

Campaign	Region	Year	Month	#CDOM	#DOC	Reference	Δ^{18}O	Reference
LD10	LS	2010	Aug	9	8	Kattner at al., 2010		
NE10	LS	2010	Sept	234	0	Hölemann et al., 2020a	X	Bauch et al., 2018
YS11	LS	2011	Aug/Sept	81	79	Hölemann et al., 2020b	X	Bauch et al., 2018
Ti12	LS	2012	Mar/Apr	28	25	Hölemann et al., 2020c	X	Bauch and
Ti12_ice				52	47	Hölemann et al., 2020c		Thibodeau, 2020
VB13	LS	2013	Sept	36	0	Hölemann et al.,2020d	X	Bauch et al., 2018
Lena 2014	Lena delta	2014	May/June	44	44	Eulenburg et al., 2019		
VB14	LS	2014	Sept	112	108	Hölemann et al., 2020e	X	Bauch et al., 2018
AT18	LS	2018	Aug/Sept	102	102	Hölemann et al., 2020f		
TA19_4	LS & ESS	2019	Sept/Oct	179	162	Hölemann et al., 2020g		

2.2 Sample preparation and ~~Sample Analysis~~analytical methods

Water samples were immediately filtered through pre-combusted Whatman GF/F glass microfiber filters (4.7 cm diameter)
with a nominal pore size of approx. 0.7 µm. The filtrate was filled into acid cleaned and pre-rinsed high-density polyethylene
bottles and stored dark and cold for CDOM analysis and frozen at -20 °C for DOC analysis. Filtered DOC samples from the
LENA 2014 expedition were filled into a pre-combusted 20 mL glass vial and acidified with 25 µL HCl (Merck, suprapur
grade, 10 M) and stored in the dark at 4 °C. The ice cores were drilled using an electromechanical ice corer (Kovacs Enterprise,
USA) and subsequently placed in polyethylene bags and transported frozen to the land-based laboratory. Within a few hours
after coring, the core was placed in polyethylene ~~boxes~~ containers in the dark at room temperature until they were completely
melted. Immediately after melting, the salinity of the meltwater was determined with a conductivity meter (WTW 197i) with
a standard conductivity cell (WTW TetraCon 325) and samples were subjected to vacuum filtration. Also samples for the
determination of dissolved inorganic nutrient concentrations, chlorophyll a, particulate matter and stable oxygen isotopes were
taken from the ice cores. Only Salinity, DOC and CDOM were used in this study. In addition, under-ice water samples were
collected. Sample storage and analytical procedures were identical to those described for water samples.

DOC was determined by high temperature catalytic oxidation (HTCO) with a Shimadzu TOC-V$_{CPN}$ analyzer. In the
autosampler, 6 mL of sample volume (pre-combusted or thoroughly rinsed vials) were acidified with 0.12 mL HCl (2 M) and
sparged with oxygen (100 mL min^{-1}) for 5 min to remove inorganic carbon. 50 µL sample volume was injected directly on the
catalyst (heated to 680°C). Detection of the generated CO_2 was performed with an infrared detector. Final DOC concentrations
were average values of triplicate measurements. If the standard variation or the coefficient of variation exceeded 0.1 µmol L^{-1}
C or 1 %, respectively, up to 2 additional analyses were performed and outliers were eliminated. Detection limit was 7 µmol
L^{-1} C with an accuracy of ± 2 µmol L^{-1} C determined with Low Carbon Water and Seawater Reference Material (DOC-CRM,
Hansell Research Lab, University of Miami, US). Quality control was assured by measuring one Milli-Q blank and two
standards after every six samples.

The optical properties of CDOM provide information on, both, the amount of DOM present and its chemical properties (Coble,
2007). In order to retrieve the absorption by CDOM, optical density (OD) spectra were analyzed on a dual beam

spectrophotometer (Specord200, Jena Analytik) within 2 months after the expedition. Spectra were measured from 200 to 750 nm using quartz cuvettes with a path length of 5 cm or 10 cm, according to the expected absorption intensity of the sample. OD of each sample was measured three times against ultra-pure water. Napierian absorption per meter was calculated based on the averaged OD value using 2.303 x OD/L, where L is the lengths of the cuvette. In this study, we present the absorption coefficient (m^{-1}) at a wavelength of 350 nm (a_{CDOM}(350)). The wavelength was selected to make the results comparable with previous studies in Arctic waters (Granskog et al., 2012; Gonçalves-Araújo et al., 2015; Pavlov et al., 2016). The spectral slope of the absorption spectra in the wavelength range between 275-295 nm ($S_{275-295}$) and between 300-600 nm ($S_{300-600}$) was calculated by fitting with an exponential function $a_{CDOM}(\lambda) = a_{CDOM}(\lambda_0) \cdot e^{-S(\lambda-\lambda_0)}$. The usefulness of $S_{275-295}$ and other spectral slopes at shorter wavelengths for determining the origin and diagenesis of CDOM was demonstrated in the studies by Granskog (2012) and Fichot and Benner (2012). Based on the relationship between $S_{275-295}$ and DOC-normalised lignin yield, Fichot and Benner (2012) showed that $S_{275-295}$ is lower than 20 μm^{-1} when the proportion of terrestrial DOC is higher than 50%. Steeper spectral slopes (i.e. higher $S_{275-295}$) are typically associated with a higher proportion of marine CDOM. Steeper $S_{275-295}$ slopes have also been associated with photochemically induced shifts in molecular weights (Helms et al. 2008). The spectral region between 275 nm and 295 nm lies at the short-wavelength edge of the natural solar spectrum. Solar ultraviolet radiation shows significant degradation for CDOM in natural aquatic ecosystems. In contrast to 295 nm, almost no photons are present at 275 nm in the lower atmosphere. It is therefore assumed that solar radiation absorbed by CDOM would always lead to a greater change in a_{CDOM}(295) than in a_{CDOM}(275) and consequently to an increase in $S_{275-295}$, so that increased slope values are a good indicator of photodegradation, while microbial degradation should have the opposite effect (Helms et al., 2008). However, in marine systems such as the LS and ESS, which are characterized by a high riverine input of terrestrial CDOM, non-terrestrial sources and non-photochemical processes only become visible once the absorption of terrestrial CDOM has been removed to a high degree (Granskog, 2012). The spectral region between 275 nm and 295 nm lies on the shortwave edge of the natural solar spectrum. Solar ultraviolet radiation exhibits a significant degradation for DOM in natural water ecosystems. In contrast to 295 nm almost no photons are present at 275 nm in the lower atmosphere. It is therefore assumed that the solar radiation absorbed by the DOM would always lead to a greater change in a_{CDOM}(295) than in a_{CDOM}(275) and consequently to an increase in $S_{275-295}$, making this spectral range a good indicator of photodegradation (Helms et al., 2008).

Stable oxygen isotopes were analysed at the Stable Isotope Laboratory of COAS at Oregon State University (Corvallis, USA) applying the CO_2-water isotope equilibration technique and analysed by dual inlet mass spectrometry (Thermo, DeltaPlus XL). The overall measurement precision for all $\delta^{18}O$ analysis was ± 0.04 ‰. The $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratios were calibrated with Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) and reported in the usual δ-notation (Craig, 1961). For a quantitative interpretation of the oxygen isotope data, an exact match of salinity and $\delta^{18}O$ values is essential. Therefore, in addition to CTD measurements, bottle salinity was determined directly within the water samples taken for $\delta^{18}O$ analysis using an AutoSal 8400A salinometer (Fa. Guildline) with a precision of ± 0.003 and an accuracy greater than ± 0.005. The river water and sea-ice meltwater (sim) contributions can be quantified with a mass-balance calculation, which was previously applied in the Arctic Ocean basins

(Bauch et al., 2011) and shelf regions (Bauch et al., 2005). The basis for the mass-balance calculation is the assumption that each sample is a mixture of marine water (f_{mar}), river-runoff (f_r) and sea-ice meltwater (f_{sim}). Following equations determine the balance:

$$f_{mar} + f_{rw} + f_{sim} = 1$$

$$f_{mar} * S_{mar} + f_{rw} * S_{rw} + f_{sim} * S_{sim} = S_{meas}$$

$$f_{mar} * O_{mar} + f_{rw} * O_{rw} + f_{sim} * O_{sim} = O_{meas}$$

where f_{mar}, f_{rw} and f_{SIM} are the fractions of marine water, river-runoff and sea-ice meltwater in a water parcel, and S_{mar}, S_{rw}, S_{sim}, O_{mar}, O_{rw} and O_{sim} are the corresponding salinities and $\delta^{18}O$ values. S_{meas} and O_{meas} are the measured salinity and $\delta^{18}O$ of the water samples. The analytical errors from $\delta^{18}O$ and salinity measurements add up to approximately ±0.3 % for each of the fractions. The additional systematic error depends on the exact choice of end-member values. When end-member values are varied within the estimated uncertainties (Bauch et al., 2013), both fractions are shifted by up to ~1 % in absolute values, but relative results are qualitatively always conserved even when extreme variations in end-member values are tested (Bauch et al., 2011).

The endmembers are well known for the study area (Bauch et al., 2010, 2013). The only exception is the sea-ice endmember that is based on an assumption on the signature of the source water, since sea ice and underlying water can move independently from each other. Within the direct vicinity of the Lena River, the summer surface layer is strongly influenced by summer discharge of the Lena River, so the low $\delta^{18}O$ summer surface signature in this area is not a useful end-member for the sea ice formed during winter. Therefore, the average surface value from the winter polynya region of −7 in $\delta^{18}O$ is applied as source water for sea ice formation to all stations with a surface $\delta^{18}O$ lower than −7‰. Differences in calculated sea-ice meltwater and river water fractions in the southern LS, when a constant polynya value is used instead of each station's surface signature, are generally small (for a detailed discussion see Bauch et al., 2010) and calculated fractions remain stable relative to each other. ~~For further details on the selection of end-members for the study area refer to Bauch et al. (2013).~~

3. Results

3.1 CDOM-DOC relationship in the Laptev Sea and East Siberian Sea

Samples collected in the Lena River, LS and ESS, and the adjacent Nansen and Amundsen Basins illustrate the range of variability in DOC concentrations and $a_{CDOM}(350)$. The highest DOC concentrations (> 1200 µmol L^{-1}) we observed in river water were measured during the peak of the spring freshet of the Lena River between May 29 and June 7 2014 (see Section 3.2)~~Overall, highest DOC concentrations (> 1200 µmol L^{-1}) were found in river water during the spring freshet of the Lena River in May~~. Lowest riverine DOC concentrations (384 µmol L^{-1}) were recorded on~~e~~ May 23 2014 ~~week~~ before the onset of the spring freshet. The coastal waters near the Lena Delta are characterised by DOC concentrations of 185 µmol L^{-1} to 853 µmol L^{-1} in the surface mixed layer (0-10 m depth), with the highest values (> 500 µmol L^{-1}, salinity < 5)~~)~~ occurring near the river mouth and in the mixed layer underneath the landfast-ice east of the delta (300-520 µmol L^{-1}, salinity 9-20). The marine

water masses of the outer shelf and in the basins with salinity above 20 show lower DOC concentrations of 50-75 µmol L^{-1}. With the lowest value recorded in the Atlantic Intermediate Water on the continental slope of the LS at a water depth of 300 m.

To characterize the relationship between the DOC concentration and the optical property ($a_{CDOM}(350)$) of DOM in water and ice samples, we applied a nonlinear regression analysis according to the method described in Juhls et al. (2019) and Matsuoka et al. (2017). Statistical analysis of the water samples from the LS and ESS show a strong relationship between $a_{CDOM}(350)$ and the DOC concentration ($r^2 = 0.99$; rmse = 0.8 m^{-1}, n = 527) (Fig. 2a). In contrast, ice samples from the land-fast ice of the southeastern LS (Fig. 2a, blue Diamonds), show a significantly different relationship. $a_{CDOM}(350)$ in the ice cores with DOC concentrations > 300 µmol L^{-1} is lower compared to water samples with comparable DOC concentrations. In particular, the DOC-rich (> 400 µmol L^{-1}) ice samples show $a_{CDOM}(350)$ values that are up to five times lower than in seawater with the same DOC concentration. All ice samples with DOC concentrations > 200 µmol L^{-1} and $a_{CDOM}(350) < 2.5$ were taken from the upper 70 cm of a 2 m long ice core from the coastal area near one of the mouths of the Lena River. We also used the model developed by Stedmon and Markager (2001) to differentiate between marine and terrestrial organic matter (Figure 2b). The model that suggests a dominance of tDOM in the LS and ESS is based on the relationship between the spectral slope coefficient (300-600 nm) and CDOM absorption at 375 nm. In the LS and ESS most data points show high $S_{300-600}$ values (> 17 µm^{-1}) that stay relatively constant as $a_{CDOM}(375)$ decreases. The results of these investigations are discussed in more detail in chapter 4.1.

Figure 2~~.~~ ~~-(a)~~ The relationship between DOC and a_CDOM(350) for Lena River water, ice samples and seawater samples from the LS and ESS. The regression and r2 was calculated only on the basis of river and seawater samples. (b) Shows the model developed by Stedmon and Markager (2001) to differentiate between marine and terrestrial organic matter. **Data points from the LS and ESS that lie within the model boundaries of the Stedmon and Markager (2001) model (dotted red lines) are defined as marine CDOM, while the rest are terrestrial in origin.**

3.2. tDOM characteristics in the Lena River during the spring freshet

The dominant feature of the hydrological cycle of the Lena is the spring freshet in May and June. We sampled this event in the central part of the Lena Delta ~~during~~ from 21 May to 19 June 2014 ~~May and June 2014~~ (Lena 2014). The discharge data measured ~200 km upstream at Kyusyur were provided by ArcticGRO (Shiklomanov et al., 2020). In their study based on ArcticGRO discharge data, Juhls et al. (2020) give a more detailed description of the annual variability of the tDOM input of the Lena River during the spring freshet and throughout the year. We corrected the discharge data for the distance between Kyusur and Samoylov Island as described in Juhls et al. (2020) assuming a mean flow propagation speed of 88 km d⁻¹.

Figure 3. (a) Corrected daily Lena River discharge data (10³ m³ sec⁻¹), (b) a_CDOM(350) and S₂₇₅₋₂₉₅, and (c) DOC concentration (μmol L⁻¹), measured near Samoylov Island in the Lena Delta during the spring freshet in May/June 2014.

320 The highest a_CDOM(350) (Fig. 3b) and DOC concentration (Fig 3c) were measured during the peak river discharge on 31 May (Fig. 3a). During the observation period ~~from May 21 to June 19, 2014,~~ 211 km³ of freshwater with an ~~average~~ flow-weighted average a_CDOM(350) of 26.1 m⁻¹ and a DOC ~~discharge~~ load of 2.8~~35~~ Tg entered the LS, which is one third of the annual DOC discharge of the Lena. ~~. Continuously elevated a_CDOM(350) values at the end of the observation period, which are still above average summer (post-freshet) values (a_CDOM(350) ~ 14 m⁻¹), indicate that the observation period did not cover the entire spring~~

325 ~~freshet. Taking into account the whole period of the spring freshet would lead to an even higher tDOM flux, as reported in Juhls et al. (2020).~~

3.3 ~~Distribution of~~ tDOM ~~and stable oxygen isotope characteristics~~ in the Laptev ~~Sea (LS)~~and ~~and~~ East Siberian Sea~~s~~ (ESS), and in land-fast ice of the LS

Samples from the LS showed a significant negative linear relationship between salinity and $a_{CDOM}(350)$ ($r^2 = 0.90$, n = 659, p

330 < 0.01) (Fig. 4 a) and between the fraction of river (meteoric) water (f_{rw}) and $a_{CDOM}(350)$ ($r^2 = 0.94$, n = 465, p < 0.01) (Fig. 4b). The data points in the LS are well below the theoretical mixing line resulting from a conservative mixing between the Lena river water discharged after the spring freshet (post-freshet, Summer in Fig. 4), which has an average $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 14.4 m^{-1} (standard deviation 3.4 m^{-1}, n = 26; Juhls at al., 2020), and the tDOM-poor sea water (salinity > 34) of the Nansen Basin. Looking at the theoretical conservative mixing line of the flow-weighted annual river input of CDOM (Annual in Fig. 4) that

335 includes the spring freshet (Apr 2018 to Apr 2019, $a_{CDOM}(370)$ 18.7 m^{-1}, calculated from data published by Juhls et al. 2020) and the sea water, the discrepancy becomes even more apparent. The freshwater endmember calculated from the data in the LS on the basis of salinity has an $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 11.7 m^{-1} (interception of linear fit in Fig. 4a). The $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of the river water endmember calculated from f_{rw} (interception of linear fit in Fig. 4b) is ~10 m^{-1}, which is about 50 % lower than the annual flow-weighted average $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of the river water. Whether this can be explained by the dilution of low-tDOM

340 meltwater from the land-fast ice of the southeastern LS (Fig 5b) that contains up to 90 % river water (Eicken et al., 2005) is discussed in chapter 4.2. The group of data points with f_{rw} between 40 % and 60 % that fall below the regression line are mostly from the surface water of the southern LS and were sampled in September 2011 (Fig. 4, YS11-data points outlined in red). The possible reason for the deviation in this sample cluster is discussed in Section 4.3.

~~The samples from the LS showed a significant negative linear relationship between salinity and $a_{CDOM}(350)$ ($r^2 = 0.91$, n = 474,~~

345 ~~p < 0.01) (Fig. 4). A mixture of the Lena freshwater with an $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 14.4 m^{-1} in summer (standard deviation 3.4 m^{-1}, n = 26; Juhls at al., 2020) and the tDOM-poor seawater (salinity > 34) of the Nansen Basin without significant losses and gains along the salinity gradient would follow a theoretical mixing line shown in Figure 4. The regression line calculated from the samples used in this study (linear fit in Fig. 4) intersects the $a_{CDOM}(350)$ axis at ~12 m^{-1}. The cluster of seawater samples in the salinity range 15-23 that fall below the regression line (Fig. 4, black outline points), predominantly originate from the surface~~

 ~~waters of the southern LS and were sampled in September 2011 (YS11).~~

Figure 4. (a) Salinity, a_{CDOM}(350), and the percentage of sea-ice meltwater fraction (f_{sim}%) measured in the ~~Laptev Sea~~LS in summer (NE10, LD10, YS11, VB13, VB14, AT18, and TA19_4) and (b) Percentage of river water (f_{rw}), a_{CDOM}(350), and S₂₇₅₋₂₉₅. ~~.~~The solid black line represents the linear regression fit to the data in the LS. The black dashed lines show the theoretical conservative mixing line between Lena River water after spring freshet (Summer, a_{CDOM}(350) 14.4 m⁻¹ calculated from Juhls et al., 2020) and the marine endmember (Nansen Basin (NB) seawater), as well as the theoretical conservative mixing line of year-round flow-weighted average CDOM absorption of the Lena River (Annual, a_{CDOM}(350) 18.7 m⁻¹ based on year-round measurements in the Lena Delta, Apr 2018 - Apr 2019, , Juhls et al. 2020) and the marine endmember. Red outlined dots are water samples from the surface layer (< 20 m) taken north and east of the Lena Delta in September 2011. Black dots in (a) represent samples on which stable oxygen isotope analysis was not performed (LD10).

~~Black lines represent the linear regression fit (solid) and the theoretical linear mixing line (dashed) between Lena post-freshet river water (endmember value taken from Juhls et al., 2020) and seawater from the Arctic Ocean (Nansen Basin). Black outlined points are water samples from the surface layer (< 20 m) taken in September 2011 north and east of the Lena Delta. Black dots represent samples on which no stable oxygen isotope analysis was performed.~~

To describe the influence of the formation and melting of sea ice on the distribution of tDOM in the LS, the stable oxygen isotope composition of the water was studied. At salinities > 25, most water samples, which are well below the regression line (Fig. 4a, linear fit) showed an increased ~~proportion~~ fraction of sea ice meltwater (positive f_{sim}). In contrast, many samples above the regression line showed higher proportions of brine influence (negative f_{sim}). The addition of brines, which are

370 expelled from the sea ice during formation, was most apparent in a winter-water sample from the northern edge of the land-fast -ice taken at 10 m water depth that showed a minimum f_{sim} of -20 % at a salinity of 29.7 and a comparatively high $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of ≈ 4 m⁻¹. Shelf waters during winter also showed higher DOC concentrations (mean 254 µmol L⁻¹, salinity from 10 to 31) compared to summer shelf waters of the same salinity (mean 199 µmol L⁻¹).

375 Sampling in the ESS was carried out in August and September 2019. Across the ESS, salinities were above 20 in 2019 (Fig. 5a). Even in coastal areas near the Indigarka and Kolyma rivers surface-water salinities were above 20. Moreover, $a_{CDOM}(350)$ in the ESS (Fig. 5a, red coloured dotspoints) was significantly lower compared to LS water (Fig. 5a, black pointsdots) at similar salinities and consistently below the mixing lines observed in the LS.

In the LS, $S_{275-295}$ showed an inverse relationship with f_{rw} (Fig. 4b), indicating on the one hand a fluvial source of CDOM and 380 on the other hand a more intense photobleaching of CDOM in the water masses on the outer shelf and continental margin. When interpreting the slope data, however, it must be kept in mind that in marine systems characterised by a high input of terrestrial CDOM, non-terrestrial sources and non-photochemical processes only become visible when the absorption of terrestrial CDOM has been removed to a high degree (Granskog, 2012).

385

Figure 5. (a) Salinity and a$_{CDOM}$**(350), in the ESS (August and September 2019, coloured dots) and LS (black dots). The broken line shows the theoretical conservative mixing line between the Lena River water that enters the LS after the spring freshet (post-freshet) and the marine end-member (Nansen Basin sea water, NB). The colour of the dots shows the slope of the absorption spectra in the range between 275 and 295 nm (S**$_{275-295}$**). (b) Salinity, a**$_{CDOM}$**(350) and S**$_{275-295}$** in land-fast ice (squares) and sub-ice water samples (diamonds) in the LS collected in (March/April 2012). The arrows indicate the direction of theoretical conservative mixing lines between the landfast-ice melt water and the Lena river water, as well as between the melt water and the marine endmember. The black dots represent the samples from the LS and ESS (2010-2019).**

Figure 5. Salinity and a$_{CDOM}$(350) measured in the East Siberian Sea in August and September 2019 (TA19_4; red dots) and the LS (black dots, for a detailed description see Fig. 4)Figure 6. Salinity and a$_{CDOM}$(350) including ice core data (large black outlined dots) from the landfast-ice in the Laptev Sea (LS) and water samples from the LS and East Siberian Sea (ESS) (small dots). The color of the dots shows the slope of the absorption spectra in the range between 275 and 295 nm (S$_{275-295}$).

The DOC concentration and optical properties of DOM in the land-fast-ice of the southeastern LS were ~~investigated~~ determined in March and April 2012. The ice core samples had a salinity of 3.6 and an $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 0.99 m^{-1} (median of all cores and subsections; n = 52 ~~for both, salinity and a$_{CDOM}$(350)~~), which was approximately 10~~%~~ % of the $a_{CDOM}(350)$ observed in seawater with comparable salinity (Fig. 5b~~6~~). Unfortunately, δ^{18}O measurements of LS land-fast ice cannot be used directly as endmember for sea-ice meltwater as they represent a mixture of sea water and river water contained within the ice. The median of the DOC concentration in the ice was 96.2 µmol L^{-1}. Highest $a_{CDOM}(350)$ values of up to 4 m^{-1} were observed in the lowermost 20 cm~~sections~~ of an ice core sampled near~~close to~~ the northern border of the land-fast ice. The high $a_{CDOM}(350)$ coincided with high chlorophyll-a concentrations (K. Abramova, pers comm.)~~.~~ However, CDOM absorption spectra of algae-rich ice samples exhibited no ultraviolet absorption shoulders that indicate mycosporine-like amino acids from sympagic autotrophic organisms (Xie et al., 2014; Granskog et al., 2015b). ~~which points to a higher proportion of marine DOM close to the ice-water interface.~~ S

415 ~~The slope of the absorption spectra in the ultraviolet range from 275 nm to 295 nm (S~~$_{275-295}$~~) was related to photochemically induced shifts in molecular weights (Helms et al. 2008). In LS and ESS, S~~$_{275-295}$~~ showed an inverse relationship to salinity (Fig. 6), suggesting that the molecular weight of tDOM in marine waters was affected by photochemical processes.~~ Similar to the marine waters with an a$_{CDOM}$(350) < 5 m-1 (Fig 4a and 5b), the land-fast -ice showed high S$_{275-295}$ values with a median slope of ~~(median = 0.02~~0.7 μ~~n~~m^{-1}~~)~~. An exception were the two chlorophyll-rich ice samples from the northern edge of the land-fast

420 ice with slopes below 18 μm^{-1}, which corresponds to the s$_{275-295}$ slope of the tDOM in the winter runoff of the Lena River, but which could also be caused by a higher proportion of marine DOM.

~~An exception were the two chlorophyll rich samples from the edge of the landfast ice with S~~$_{275-295}$~~ values of below 0.018 nm~~$^{-1}$~~, indicating significantly higher molecular weights in these DOM samples.~~

4. Discussion

4.1 Dynamics of tDOM in the freshwater-influenced LS during spring and summer

425

Our results show that a$_{CDOM}$(350) correlates strongly with the DOC concentration in riverine and marine LS and ESS waters (Figure 2a). The high correlation is clear evidence for the terrestrial origin of the DOM, which is transported with the river water to the LS and ESS (Lara et al, 1998; Dittmar and Kattner, 2003; Amon et al, 2012; ~~Gonçalves-Araujo et al, 2015;~~ Mann et al, 2016; Kaiser et al, 2017b; Juhls et al, 2019). The results of the data analysis using the model developed by Stedmon and

430 Markager (2001) also indicate a dominance of tDOM in the LS and ESS. These results are consistent with those of Gonçalves-Araujo et al. (2015), who investigated the composition and concentration of CDOM in the southeastern LS using excitation emission matrix spectroscopy and parallel factor analysis from a data set collected in September 2013. They found that CDOM in in the region of freshwater influence (ROFI) of the LS was dominated by terrestrial humic-like material. Kattner et al. (1999) were also able to show on the basis of chemical analyses of DOM that the high input of tDOM not only shapes the ROFI of

435 the Lena, but that 60 % of the DOC of the entire LS and the adjacent Eurasian basin are also of terrestrial origin. One reason for the generally low DOM contribution from marine algae, which would significantly alter the dispersion in the CDOM vs. - DOC dependence (Danhiez et al, 2017), could be the timing of sampling that was mainly conducted between late August and mid-September when chlorophyll concentrations on the LS shelf are generally below 1 mg /m^{-3} (Janout et al., 2016a).

To describe the tDOM dynamics in the Siberian shelf seas we analysed the optical properties of DOM along the salinity

440 gradient in the LS, the ESS and the adjacent continental slope. In water samples from the LS, we found a statistically robust negative correlation between a$_{CDOM}$(350) and salinity (r^2 = 0.90, n = 659, p < 0.01) (Fig. 4 a) and between a$_{CDOM}$(350) and the fraction of river (meteoric) water (f$_{rw}$) and (r^2 = 0.94, n = 465, p < 0.01) ~~(r~~^{2}~~ = 0.91, n = 474, p < 0.01)~~ (Fig. 4b). The strong linear relationship suggests that tDOM mixes conservatively with seawater during the transit of river water across the shelf.

The calculated $a_{CDOM}(350)$ concentration of the river water endmember of ≈ 10 m^{-1} (Fig. 5, linear fit of samples from the LS)

445 is approximately 30 % below the mean $a_{CDOM}(350)$ absorption measured in the Lena River after the spring freshet (July –
September, 14.4 m^{-1} with a standard deviation of 3.4 m^{-1}, Juhls et al. 2020), and nearly 50 % below the flow-weighted annual
average $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of the Lena River of 18.7 m^{-1} observed in 2018/19 that also includes the spring freshet (data from Juhls et
al., 2020). ~~The calculated $a_{CDOM}(350)$ concentration of the river water endmember of ≈ 12 m^{-1} (Fig. 5, linear fit~~

~~mixing line) is within the range of the Lena River post-freshet discharge in summer (14.4 m^{-1} with a standard deviation of 3.4~~

450 ~~m^{-1}, Juhls et al. 2020).~~ Because $a_{CDOM}(350)$ in river water may show intra-seasonal fluctuations between 10 m^{-1} and 22 m^{-1} in
the post-freshet season (Juhls et al., 2020), the relatively high $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 13.6-16 m^{-1} at salinities < 6 observed in the river
plume near the Lena Delta in summer 2010 (Fig. 4a) were likely caused by short-term fluctuations of $a_{CDOM}(350)$ in the river.
~~Because $a_{CDOM}(350)$ in river water varies in summer between 10 m^{-1} and 22 m^{-1} (Juhls et al., 2020) the relatively high~~
~~$a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 13.6-16 m^{-1} at salinities < 6 as observed in the river plume close to the Lena Delta in summer 2010 (Fig. 4) were~~

455 ~~likely caused by short-term fluctuations of $a_{CDOM}(350)$ in the river.~~
The dominance of riverine input of terrestrial DOM and the resulting close relationship between salinity and $a_{CDOM}(350)$ is
also evident from a hydrographic transect across the LS shelf observed in September 2010 (Fig. 6; the area of the transect is
indicated in Fig. 1), The oceanographic transect runs from the mouth of the Lena River in the southeastern LS (A, Fig. 6) to
the Taymyr Peninsula in the northwestern LS (B, Fig. 6).~~Conservative mixing is also apparent based on a hydrographic transect~~

460 ~~across the shelf observed in September 2010 (Fig. 7; the location of the transect is given in Figure 1).~~ While the southeastern
LS is dominated by the tDOM-rich river water plume of the Lena River, the northwestern LS is mainly affected by the inflow
of tDOM-poor sea water from the Nansen Basin (Janout et al., 2013).
~~The southeastern LS is dominated by the tDOM-rich river plume of the Lena. In contrast, the salinity of the northwestern LS~~
~~is mainly controlled by the inflow of tDOM-poor marine waters from the Nansen Basin (Janout et al., 2013).~~

465

Figure ~~7~~6. Salinity (white isoline) and a_CDOM(350) (colour) on a ~ 1000 km long oceanographic transect from the Lena Delta (left) to ~~the outer shelf of~~ the northwestern ~~Laptev Sea~~LS (September 2010; NE10). For the location of the profile see Figure 1. Small gray dots represent salinity measurements (meter averaged), black dots indicate water sampling for CDOM analysis. The figure was prepared with Ocean Data View (ODV) using DIVA gridding (Schlitzer, 2002). **The black bars at the top of the panel indicate the**

470   **changes in the direction of the transect (see Figure 1)**

~~Due to~~Assuming conservative mixing, the input of more than 200 km³ of tDOM-rich freshwater with a flow-weighted average a_CDOM(350) of 26.1 m⁻¹ during the spring freshet in May and June should result in shelf a_CDOM(350) values that are above the theoretical mixing line between the river water and the marine end member (Fig. 4b). However, the a_CDOM(350) vs. f_rw

475   distribution of all summer (Aug-Sept) expeditions to the LS does not indicate the presence of freshet-related river water with high a_CDOM(350) values on the shelf. (Fig. 4b). ~~the input of more than 200 km³ of tDOM-rich freshwater with an average a_CDOM(350) of 26.1 m⁻¹ over the course of the spring freshet we expected a cluster of samples with a_CDOM(350) values distinctly above the theoretical mixing line between the summer discharge of the Lena River and seawater from the Nansen Basin. However, the a_cdom(350) vs. salinity distribution of all summer (Aug-Sept) expeditions gives no indication of the presence of~~

480   ~~freshet-related high tDOM river water.~~ Alling et al. (2010) suggested that ~~t~~The absence of tDOM-rich waters from the freshet on the LS shelf in 2008 ~~was also noticed in an August 2008 study by Alling et al. (2010), who suggested that the lack of tDOM-rich waters~~ was caused by a rapid wind-driven eastward transport into the adjacent ESS. ~~Nevertheless~~ But, this is not consistent with the observation that when winds are predominantly easterly, as they were in the summer of 2008, the ROFI in the LS extends far north to the outer shelf of the LS (Janout et al, 2020)~~, the area of freshwater influence (ROFI) extends far north to~~

485   ~~the outer LS shelf under predominantly easterly winds, similar to the conditions observed in 2008~~. ~~This~~The same atmospheric forcing as in 2008 was also observed in 2011, when strong southeasterly summer winds ~~(Janout et al., 2020)~~, coincided with mixed layer salinities below 16 ~ 300 km north of the Lena delta (Janout et al., 2020). The northern part of the ROFI should

therefore contain a significant amount of tDOM-rich freshwater from the spring freshet, but this is not evident from the data (data from YS11, Table 1). ~~The northern part of the ROFI should thus contain a significant amount of tDOM-rich freshwater~~

490 ~~from the spring freshet.~~

~~However this was not apparent from the data (Fig. 4).~~

~~One possible~~Another explanation for the absence of freshet-related high $a_{CDOM}(350)$ values within the ROFI could be the removal of tDOM, i.e., nonconservative mixing. ~~explanation for the absence of high tDOM waters from the spring freshet within the ROFI could be photochemical or biogeochemical removal.~~ This would imply that ~50~~%~~ % of the tDOM discharged

495 into the southeastern LS in May and June had already been removed prior to the August and September sampling period. ~~A~~ In this context, photochemical processes, flocculation of tDOM or rapid microbial degradation could play a major role. A previous study in the Mackenzie River, showed that photodegradation of tDOM was highest after spring freshet (Osburn et al., 2009). On the other hand, Belanger et al. (2006) noted that under a closed ice cover, photochemical reactions of tDOM carried by the Mackenzie River into the Beaufort Sea do not play a significant role in the degradation of tDOM. In the turbid nearshore

500 waters of southeastern Hudson Bay, which have a high proportion of river water ($f_{rw} > 25$ %), self-shading could additionally slow photochemical-reactions (photobleaching) of tDOM (Granskog, 2012). ~~study in the Mackenzie River showed that photodegradation of tDOM was highest after the spring freshet (Osburn et al., 2009).~~ Since during the Lena spring freshet the turbid river plume mostly flows below the land-fast ice (Hölemann et al., 2005) that covers the inner shelves from October to mid-July (Barreis and Görgen, 2005; Selyuzhenok et al., 2015), photodegradation of tDOM should not play an important role

505 in the LS either. ~~Nevertheless, photochemical degradation of the DOM should not play an important role during the Lena spring freshet, as the river plume flows mostly underneath the land-fast ice (Hölemann et al., 2005) that covers the inner shelf from October to mid-July (Barreis and Görgen, 2005; Selyushenok et al., 2015).~~ Flocculation of DOM in the Lena River plume has been postulated as a theoretically possible removal mechanism for DOM in summer (Gonçalves-Araujo et al., 2015), which was, however, not directly observed. An investigation of the under-ice river plume during the spring freshet in 1996 (Hölemann

510 et al., 2005) also gave no indication of flocculation processes. Furthermore, Gonçalves-Araujo et al. (2015) found that the degradation of tDOM by microbial processes plays only a minor role in the Lena Delta region. Nevertheless, if we would assume that 50% of the tDOM were removed shortly after the spring freshet, the $a_{CDOM}(350)$ values observed in the LS should be much lower because the sea water is further diluted by CDOM-poor meltwater from the land-fast ice (Fig. 5b) that melts in the southeastern LS in June/July. This process, important to the LS and ESS, is discussed in more detail in the next two

515 chapters.

~~However, assuming a nearly complete removal of the freshet-related tDOM the calculated $a_{CDOM}(350)$ for the freshwater end-member should be well below 12 m^{-1} because the river water is diluted with meltwater from the land-fast ice that has a low $a_{CDOM}(350)$.~~

4.2 The importance of ~~the freeze~~land-fast ice meltwater for the distribution of tDOM in the LS ~~melt cycle of land-fast ice for tDOM dynamics~~

DOM is expelled from the ice matrix during sea ice ~~formation~~ growth and is enriched in the brine~~, which then is ejected into the ambient seawater~~ (Giannelli et al., 2001; Müller et al., 2013)~~. Consequently, the average aCDOM(350) in landfast ice is significantly lower than in surface water of the ROFI from which the ice originated.~~ Only about 10 to 40 % of the original DOM and other impurities remain in the sea ice (Petrich and Eicken, 2010). Furthermore, Müller et al. (2013) were able to show that a relatively higher proportion of DOM is incorporated into the sea ice in relation to the dissolved inorganic substances. Most of the DOM then flows off into the underlying water column along with the saline brine (Amon, 2004; Anderson and Macdonald, 2015. This explains the observed low $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 0.99 m^{-1} (median) and DOC concentration of 96.2 µmol L^{-1} (median) in the LS land-fast ice that forms from tDOM-rich surface waters sourced by the Lena river (Figure 5b). Helms et al. (2008) showed that as a result of this process, the molecular weights of DOM in the ice and brine also decrease. Jørgensen et al., (2015) further suggest that the transformations of DOM during sea ice formation also increase its bioavailability. We assume that the transformation processes of the DOM during ice growth, which shifts the molecular composition of DOM in the ice towards a higher proportion of low molecular weight compounds (Müller et al., 2013; Granskog et al., 2015a; Retelletti-Brogi et al., 2018, Zabłocka et al., 2020) are also responsible for the high $S_{275-290}$ values (> 19 µm^{-1}) of CDOM in the ice (Figure 5b).

The formation of the land-fast ice, which stores 30-50~~%~~ % of the annual freshwater discharge of the Lena River (Eicken et al., 2005), begins in mid-November with phases of rapid development in January and February (Selyu~~z~~shenok et al., 2015) during which the release of tDOM-rich brine is highest. In the following summer, when the land-fast ice melts, the tDOM-poor meltwater mixes with the ambient seawater and thus ~~could~~ dilute the tDOM concentration of the surface mixed layer (Mathis et al., 2005; Logvinova et al., 2016). North of the Lena Delta, sea ice melt begins in June (Janout et al., 2020) and progresses eastwards, while the seaward edge of the land ice slowly moves southwards (Selyuzhenok et al., 2015).~~On the inner shelf the melting starts close to the Lena Delta in June and progresses eastward while the seaward edge of the landfast ice moves slowly southward (Selyuzhenok et al., 2015).~~ In general, the ice in the southeastern LS retreats completely by the end of July. The melting of sea ice and land-fast ice in the southeastern LS thus occurs at the same time and in the same region where the spring freshet enters the LS, leading to a mixing of the two surface water masses (Bauch et al, 2013).

~~The melting of the landfast ice therefore occurs at the same time and in the same region where the freshet enters the LS. It is therefore likely that the meltwater mixes completely with the river water from the spring freshet (Bauch et al, 2013).~~ To assess the influence of mixing on the tDOM concentration, we calculated the first tDOM budget for the southeastern LS~~To evaluate the influence of mixing on the tDOM concentration, we established a tDOM budget for the southeastern LS~~. During the course of the freshet in 2014 the Lena discharged about 211 km³ of freshwater with a flow-weighted average $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 26.1 m^{-1} and a DOC load of 2.35 Tg. The maximum land-fast ice area in the southeastern LS is 134 x 10³ km² (Selyu~~z~~shenok et al., 2015) with an average ice thickness of 2.04 m (Kotchetov et al., 1994; Barreis and Görgen, 2005). With a~~n average~~ salinity of 3.6 (median), the land-fast ice thus holds a freshwater equivalent of 245 km³ (reference salinity 34.8) with a median $a_{CDOM}(350)$

of 0.99 m^{-1} and a total DOC load of 0.28 Tg. Our budget calculations reveal that the mixing product (MIX) of landfast-ice meltwater and the river water from the spring freshet has an $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of 12.6 m^{-1} (Figure 7). This is close to the average 555 $a_{CDOM}(350)$ absorption of the post-freshet (summer) discharge of the Lena River of 14.4 m^{-1} (sd ± 3.4 m^{-1})and matches the $a_{CDOM}(350)$ of the freshwater end member calculated based on the LS samples. Thus the mixing of land-fast ice meltwater with low tDOM concentration and freshet related river water with high tDOM concentration may explain the significant negative linear relationship between salinity and $a_{CDOM}(350)$ in the LS.

560

Figure 7~~8~~. Salinity and a~~cdom~~350 measured in land-fast ice from the ~~Laptev Sea~~LS (~~pentagon~~squares), Lena river water during the spring freshet in May/June 2014 (stars), and marine waters from the ~~Laptev~~LS (black dots) and ~~East Siberian Sea~~ESS (red dots). The dashed lines represent the theoretical conservative mixing lines between the spring-freshet river water (flow-weighted CDOM absorption) and the Nansen Basin (NB) sea water, as well as between the mixing product of the spring-freshet river water with the land ice melt water (MIX) and the sea water. ~~The lines represent the theoretical mixing lines between the river water of the spring freshet and seawater water of the Nansen Basin (dotted line), the Lena discharge during the summer (post-freshet) and the Nansen Basin (broken line) and the linear regression fit in the LS (solid line).~~ The ~~blue arrows~~green squares indicate ~~the average~~ a~~CDOMedom~~350 endmember value~~s~~ ~~and the water volume~~ of the spring~~–~~freshet river water and land-fast ice meltwater (freshwater equivalent), as well as the calculated endmember value for the mixing product of the two ~~freshwater~~ sources.

565

570

Since a$_{CDOM}$(350) is in the same range in MIX and in post-freshet river water, the three main freshwater sources (spring-freshet river water, post-freshet river water and meltwater from the land-fast ice) appear as a single freshwater endmember in the a$_{CDOM}$(350) vs. salinity (and frw) distribution. Because the sampling season in the southeastern LS ends when the land-fast ice begins to melt and does not start again until August it has not yet been possible to take samples during the important phase of MIX water mass formation.

575

~~The reason that the high meltwater input in the southern LS was only mentioned in a few studies is likely also due to the difficulty of interpreting oxygen isotope data from seasonally ice covered shelves.~~ The proportions of meltwater and brine visible in the oxygen isotope data from the LS at salinities > 25 are not clearly pronounced at salinities < 25 (Fig. 4a). However, the observation that the oxygen isotope data from the shelf water with salinities < 25 shows no dilution with meltwater, but even indicates increased amounts of brine, does not mean that no meltwater was added. ~~The fact that the oxygen isotope data from shelf water with salinities < 25 do not indicate a dilution with meltwater, but even show increased amounts of brine, does not mean that no meltwater was added.~~ This seemingly contradictory conclusion can be explained by the high export of sea ice in winter (Itkin and Krumpen, 2017) ~~This, at a first glance, contradictory result can be explained by the high export of sea ice in winter (Itkin and Krumpen, 2017)~~. Part of the brine formed in winter is still present in the LS the following summer (~~Schlosser et al., 1994;~~ Bauch et al., 2009ab), while the newly-formed sea ice from which the brine originates is continuously exported to the central AO. Because of the high sea ice export, relatively young and thin drifting pack ice (< 50 cm) covers most of the LS north of the land-fast ice at the end of winter (Itkin and Krumpen, 2017). ~~At the end of winter the LS is therefore mainly covered with relatively young and thin sea ice.~~ The melting of this thin ~~sea ice~~pack-ice cover and the land-fast ice leads to a weakening of the isotopic brine signal (Bauch et al. 2013), but the isotopic composition nevertheless remains dominated by the brine-enriched winter water. Hence, the stable oxygen isotope signal of the sea-ice meltwater fraction is masked by the high brine signal from the winter. When interpreting the isotope data, it is important to note that the calculated sea ice meltwater fractions do not include the meltwater resulting from the high and variable proportion of frozen river water that was part of the land-fast ice (Bauch et al., 2010). Thus δ^{18}O measurements of land-fast ice from the LS cannot be used directly as an end member for sea ice meltwater.

Constructing the theoretical conservative mixing line (a$_{CDOM}$(350) vs. salinity) between MIX and the Nansen Basin sea water (Figure 7), reveals that the samples from the LS lie within the range of this mixing line. However, the linear fit between a$_{CDOM}$(350) and frw (4b) shows a freshwater endmember whose a$_{CDOM}$(350) is about 30 % lower than in MIX or in the post-freshet river water of the Lena. This could be an indication for the photochemical or microbial removal of tDOM in the LS shelf system. However, in this context it must also be taken into account that the freezing of the river water in the fast ice (30-50 % of the annual freshwater discharge of the Lena River (Eicken et al., 2005) also leads to the extraction of tDOM from the river water. Although biogeochemical and/or photochemical induced removal of tDOM cannot be ruled out, our results suggest that mixing of multiple water masses rather than removal is the main factor controlling tDOM distribution in the LS. Similar findings were discussed for the Chukchi Sea (Tanaka et al., 2016).

4.3 ~~Land-fast~~Sea- ice meltwater as possible cause for the ~~tDOM~~aCDOM(350) ~~-Salinity~~anomaly in the LS in 2011 and the aCDOM(350) distribution in the ESS in 2019

Previous studies indicate that approximately 30~~%~~ % of the river-induced DOC is already remineralised ~~already~~ on the shelf (Cooper et al., 2005), with the western ESS being a hotspot for organic matter degradation (Anderson et al., 2011; Anderson and Amon, 2015; Semiletov et al., 2016). Thus, increased removal of tDOM could also be the cause for the low-tDOM cluster of samples that we observed in the LS in September 2011 (red outlined dots in Figures 4a and b). ~~The cluster, which lies well below the linear mixing line~~This cluster ~~(black outlined dots in Figure 4)~~ represents water samples from the upper water column (< 20 m) north of the Lena Delta that has a f_{rw} of 40-60 % (Figure 4b). aCDOM(350) in the low-~~tDOM~~ aCDOM(350) cluster was up to 5~~4~~0 % lower than in samples with the same ~~salinity~~f_{rw} percentage lying on the ~~mixing~~mixing line of river water (summer discharge) and seawater. The general hydrography of the LS in September 2011 was characterized by low surface mixed layer salinities and sea surface temperatures (SST), which were ~ 2 °C higher than the long term average (ERA5; Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2017). High SSTs caused by solar warming, support the assumption that photodegradation ~~is~~ could be the major factor leading to tDOM loss and a ~~high~~ $S_{275-295}$ ~~-~~> 20 µm^{-1} (Fig. 4b). ~~However, surface samples from the region with highest SSTs in 2011 have S275-295 gradients which correspond to samples that were taken during years with average SST.~~ An alternative explanation to the removal of tDOM in 2011 could be a change in the relative proportion of one of the freshwater endmembers or a change in concentration in one of the tDOM sources. In 2011, large areas of the southeastern LS were covered by melting land-fast ice until the end of July. Exceptionally strong southeasterly winds in August 2011 (Janout et al., 2020) steered the land-fast ice meltwater plume to the northwest, resulting in additional mixing of CDOM-poor meltwater into the shelves north of the Lena Delta and further dilution of the shelf water, leading to low aCDOM(350).

~~Exceptionally strong southeasterly winds in August 2011 (Janout et al., 2020) directed the meltwater plume to the northwest resulting in an additional admixture of CDOM-poor meltwater to the shelf waters north of the Lena Delta, and a further dilution of shelf waters with low tDOM meltwater late in August and September. Although a decrease of the tDOM concentration due to photochemical or biogeochemical processes cannot be excluded, our study indicates that mixing of plural water masses rather than removal controls the tDOM distribution on this shelf. Similar findings were discussed for the Chukchi Sea (Tanaka et al., 2016).~~

The relationship between salinity and aCDOM(350) measured in the ESS in summer 2019 was significantly different from the relationship observed in the LS. The entire ESS shelf had salinities above 20 and aCDOM(350) being about 50~~%~~ % lower than in LS samples having the same salinity (Fig. 5~~5~~a coloured dots and 7 red dots). During sampling in the last week of September 2019, the ESS was ice-free. However, during the first week of July 2019, the ESS was almost completely covered with land-fast ice and drifting pack ice that did not completely melt until the last week of July (data from www.meereisportal.de; Spreen et al., 2008).

Pugach et al. (2018) ~~showed~~ found that the distribution of tDOM concentration in the ESS is mainly controlled by wind forcing. During our sampling in the ESS in 2019, winds from the east (ERA5; Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2017) pushed the inflow from the Pacific Ocean westward far onto the ESS shelf. At the same time, southeasterly winds over the LS might have

blocked the Lena ROFI from extending into the western ESS (Anderson et al., 2011; Janout et al., 2020). In addition, summer 2019 was characterized by anomalously low river discharge into the LS and ESS (ArcticGRO version 2020-01-23; Shiklomanov et al., 202020). The wind forcing and low river discharge led to a tDOM and salinity distribution in the ESS in 2019 that was comparable to the distribution in 2008 and 2011, during which wind forcing was similar (Alling et al., 2010; Pugach et al., 2018). In contrast, in summers when the Lena River ROFI extends further eastward, the western ESS is characterized by lower salinities and higher tDOM concentrations (Pugach et al., 2018), with a salinity-tDOM relationship that corresponds to the one we observed in the ROFI of the LS-. Alling et al., (2010) assumed that due to the long residence time of the water of the Lena River on the ESS shelf, degradation of tDOM may be more advanced, leading to generally low tDOM concentrations in the western ESS, as observed in August and September 2008. This assumption is supported by the generally high $S_{275-295}$ values (Figure 5a), which indicate that the CDOM in the ESS has already been strongly transformed (e.g. by photochemical processes). But as in the LS, the addition of large amounts of DOM-poor meltwater from the land-fast ice and meltwater from the pack ice, which may show even lower CDOM absorptions (Kowalczuk et al., 2017), should result in a strong dilution of the riverine tDOM. However, the addition of large amounts of land-fast ice meltwater should, just as in the LS, lead to a strong dilution of the already low river water input. The ESS west of 170° E is characterized by an extensive land-fast ice belt that varies in extent from 130,000 km² to 200,000 km². The land-fast ice, which contains a freshwater equivalent of 230-360 km³, usually melts back in the first two weeks of July, and drifting fields of decaying ice might persist until August. We assume that the absence of the Lena ROFI in the western ESS, the generally low river runoff and the resulting higher fraction of low-tDOM land-fast sea-ice meltwater in the ESS led to the comparatively low tDOM concentrations in the western ESS observed in 2008 and 2019. This assumption contradicts the study by Alling et al. (2010), which who emphasizes that the analysis of oxygen isotope data showed no evidence of meltwater input in the western ESS in September 2008. However, as the analysis of the ice distribution in 2008 (ASI sea ice concentrationdata from https://seaice.uni-bremen.de/data; Spreen et al., 2008) shows, the land-fast ice still covered large areas of the southern ESS in mid-July. It is therefore more likely that the difficulty in interpreting oxygen isotope data from seasonally ice-covered shelves, which are characterized by high sea ice export rates and thus high brine fractions in the water column (negative f_{sim} values, see Section 4.2), has resulted in masking of the sea ice meltwater signal (positive f_{sim} value).

. It is therefore more likely that the difficult interpretation of oxygen isotope data from seasonally ice covered shelves that are characterized by high sea ice export rates (see chapter 4.2) led to an overlooking of the land-fast ice meltwater signal.

4.4 Transport dynamics of tDOM-rich brines in the LS

We used fast ice and sea water data from the southeastern LS to estimate how much DOC might be expelled along with brine from growing land-fast ice in the LS. The observed average DOC concentration in surface waters (0-5 m) east of the Lena Delta (south of 73.4° N and east of 125.0° E) was 475 µmol L^{-1} (SD ±165 µmol L^{-1}). Thus, the observed median DOC concentration in the land-fast ice of 96.2 µmol L^{-1} indicates that 379 µmol L^{-1} have been removed during ice growth. This

resulting value was multiplied by the total volume of fast ice (273 km³; Kotchetov et al., 1994; Barreis and Görgen, 2005; Selyuzhenok et al., 2005). Assuming that the DOC is removed from the ice together with the brines, about 1.2 Tg yr⁻¹ (± 0.54 Tg) DOC would thus be expelled from the growing land-fast ice. ~~The land-fast ice in the southeastern LS forms from seawater~~

₆₇₅ ~~with an average salinity of 9 (SD ± 8) and an average DOC concentration of 475 µmol L⁻¹ (SD 165 µmol L⁻¹). As a result, about 1.2 Tg DOC is removed from the land-fast ice with the brine in winter.~~ The process of brine release is reflected in the water samples from the polynya at the northern- land-fast -ice edge in the ~~southeastern~~southeastern LS, which show high brine fractions with simultaneously increased ~~tDOM concentrations~~$a_{CDOM}(350)$ (Ti12, winter 2012). This budget calculation, however, does not take into account that the DOM in the brines has a higher bioavailability (Jørgensen et al., 2015). Thus, the

₆₈₀ rapid removal of salt-derived DOC or the remineralisation of the remaining ice-bound DOC could also play an important role. ~~High $S_{275-295}$ values (≥ 0.02 nm⁻¹) in sea ice and in brine-enriched waters near the polynya indicate that the molecular composition of tDOM in ice and brine was already altered (Helms et al., 2008).~~

Due to the low salinity of the surface mixed layer in the southeast LS at the beginning of the freezing season and the continuous outflow of freshwater from the Lena River in winter (Juhls et al., 2020) the loss of buoyancy, which resulted from the addition

₆₈₅ of brine to the surface mixed layer is not high enough to completely erode the pycnocline by convective mixing processes. This leads to permanently stratified waters under the land-fast ice of the southeastern LS (Bauch et al., 2009a) as well as in the ~~p~~Polynya north of the Lena Delta, even during phases of high ice production (Krumpen et al., 2011). The strong stratification ~~of the water column~~ results in the trapping of tDOM-rich brines in the upper water column followed by advection together with the winter river discharge (Macdonald et al., 1995). How fast the brines are advected across the shelf depends on the

₆₉₀ ocean currents in winter. Eicken et al. (2005) described under-ice spreading velocities of 1-2.7 cm s⁻¹. Moored velocity records from below the land-fast -ice (1998-1999, Hölemann unpub. data) showed a residual northeastward flow of ~2 cm s⁻¹. These velocities are on the same order of magnitude as those measured north of the Lena Delta in winter (Bauch et al., 2010; Janout et al., 2013). Further north the geostrophic velocity fields show eastward velocities above 5 cm s⁻¹ with northward directions on the northeastern LS shelf in March-April (Kwok and Morrison, 2011). At the continental margin residual eastward velocities

₆₉₅ can reach more than 20 cm s⁻¹ (Janout et al., 2015). The tDOM-rich brine formed in the southeast LS in December could thus be transported over several hundred kilometres in a northeasterly direction until the end of June, when the land-fast ice melts. This is in accordance with Bauch et al. (2009b) who showed that the residence time of the brines on the shelf could be as short as one year. A significant proportion of the surface ~~near-surface~~ waters (0-20 m)of the LS and western ESS leaves the Siberian shelf north of the New Siberian Islands (Morison and Kwok, 2012) and supplies the Transpolar Drift Stream with tDOM-rich

₇₀₀ water masses (Charette et al., 2020), while denser bottom waters flow north of the New Siberian Island further to the east and leave the shelf in the western ESS (Anderson et al. 2017). The transport of tDOM in the water masses at the upper halocline is confirmed by investigations in the East Greenland Current where a higher CDOM absorption occurring between 30 and 120 m water depth at salinities between 32 and 33 is explained by a high fraction of brine and river water from the Siberian shelves (Granskog et al., 2012).

705 During the northward transport of the brine-enriched water masses across the shelf, an increase in density occurs due to the further influx of brines from ice formation in leads and coastal polynyas of the western ~~and central~~ LS (Janout et al., 2017). Erosion of the density stratification at the end of winter and mixing with denser water masses from the western shelf of the LS could dilute the tDOM-rich brines from the southeastern LS but at the same time increase the density and bring them to greater water depths where they then flow into the Arctic halocline. The seasonal evolution of the density stratification in the LS is

710 described in more detail in Janout et al. (2020). ~~Because brine-induced tDOM maxima have not been observed in the bottom waters of the LS and ESS shelves in summer we conclude that the cross-shelf transport of tDOM-rich brines is restricted to near-surface waters.~~ ~~The brines formed on the shelf likely have a lower concentration of tDOM, thus diluting the tDOM concentration of the brines formed near the Lena Delta. However, the increase in density means that the brine rich in tDOM is now transported also in deeper water layers on the shelves.~~ The water depth at which the brine is transported across the LS

715 shelf and into the Arctic halocline ~~shelf~~ depends mainly on the density structure of the water column in winter, which in turn depends on the position of the ROFI in the previous summer (Bauch et al, 2012). An eastward-directed transport south of the New Siberian Islands towards the ESS at depth greater than ~ 20 m is inhibited by the shallow water depths of the Dmitry Laptev (10 m) and Sannikov Straits (18 m). ~~Because brine-induced tDOM maxima have not been observed in the bottom waters of the LS and ESS shelves in summer we conclude that the cross-shelf transport of tDOM-rich brines is restricted to

720 near-surface waters.~~ ~~Since the tDOM in the brines has a higher bioavailability (Jørgensen et al., 2015), a fast removal of the brine-related tDOM could also be important. In order to clarify these questions, further measurements with high spatial and temporal resolution during ice formation in the LS and ESS are necessary.~~

5. Conclusions

The eastern LS and western ESS together represent a region where much of the freshwater-influenced waters of the Siberian

725 shelves discharge into the transpolar drift current and the Arctic halocline, which carries the shelf waters towards Greenland and the Nordic Seas (Morison et al., 2012; Timmermans and Marshall, 2020)~~The eastern LS and western ESS jointly represent a region where much of the freshwater-influenced Siberian Shelf waters exit into the Transpolar Drift Stream toward Greenland and the Nordic Seas (Morison et al., 2012; Timmermans and Marshall, 2020)~~. This region is thus a key region for a better understanding of the Arctic marine carbon cycle. Analysis of the extensive data set in this study, spanning several years and

730 different seasons, illustrates that the distribution of tDOM in the LS and ESS is mainly determined by the physical mixing of river water, sea-ice meltwater, and sea water. Our study highlights that the formation and melting of land-fast ice in the Laptev and East Siberian Sea significantly shapes the concentration distribution of tDOM in the area of the Siberian shelf seas.~~The analysis of the extensive data set in this study, which covers several years and different seasons, clarifies that the distribution of tDOM the LS and ESS is mainly driven by the physical mixing of multiple water sources with different tDOM

735 concentrations.~~ In this context, the observed concentration distribution on the shelves indicates a conservative mixing behaviour of tDOM introduced by the river rather than a removal or an additional DOM supply from other sources. The reason

for this could be the short residence time of the river water on the Siberian shelves as well as a reduced photochemical degradation of the tDOM due to the ice cover of the southern shelves lasting until July. The extremely high CDOM absorption and suspended matter concentrations within the ROFI (Juhls et al., 2019; Heim et al., 2014), which lead to a self-shadowing effect, may also inhibit photodegradation. The results of the study also illustrate that the growth of fast ice and the associated formation of CDOM-rich brines have an important role in the transport pathways of tDOM across the Siberian shelves and the AO.

Our analysis also shows that the formation and melting of the land-fast ice in the Laptev Sea and the peak spring discharge of the Lena River are of particular importance.

The duration of the landfast-ice season in the LS is reduced by 2.8 days per year (observational period 1999 to 2013; Seyushenok et al., 2015), while in the second decade of the 21st century the onset of the spring freshet of the Lena River happens about 6 days earlier than at the beginning of the observational period in 1940 (Juhls et al., 2020Shiklomanov et al., 2020). Further changes of the ice regime and the timing of spring freshet will certainly have an impact on the dynamics of tDOM in the AO. In addition, the decline of Arctic sea ice and the associated longer ice-free season will lead to changes in wind forcing in the shelf systems of the Arctic and to an increased input of solar radiation into the water column. This will significantly change freshwater transport pathways, the heat content of the water column and water column stratification in the LS and ESS. The heat content in turn influences the formation of new ice and thus also the production of DOM-rich brines. Because density stratification also controls where and at which depth the tDOM-rich brine leaves the shelf, changes in shelf stratification also impact the future transport pathways of tDOM in the AO. Furthermore, the increased input of solar radiation causes a rise in water temperature in summer (Timmermans et al., 2020b) and could thus potentially intensify the photochemical processes in the surface mixed layer. Studying these processes is important not only to decipher the Arctic carbon cycle, but also because it regulates physical processes such as radiative forcing in the upper ocean, which has important effects on, for example, sea surface temperature, water column stratification and UV penetration (Gnanadeskian et al. 2019; Soppa et al., 2019).

The study of tDOM dynamics in the AO is important not only to decipher the Arctic carbon cycle, but also because it regulates physical processes such as radiation input into the upper ocean, which has important effects on sea surface temperature, water column stratification and the penetration depth of UV radiation (Gnanadeskian et al. 2019; Soppa et al., 2019).

More detailed studies of the physical and biogeochemical processes on the Arctic shelves in all seasons are needed to predict how the tDOM dynamics will change with respect to climate change within the Arctic.

References

Alling, V., Sanchez-Garcia, L., Porcelli, D., Pugach, S., Vonk, J. E., van Dongen, B., Morth, C. M., Anderson, L. G., Sokolov, A., Andersson, P., Humborg, C., Semiletov, I., and Gustafsson, O.: Nonconservative behavior of dissolved organic

carbon across the Laptev and East Siberian seas, Global Biogeochem Cy, 24, Artn Gb4033, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gb003834, 2010.

Amon, R. M. W.: The role of dissolved organic matter for the organic carbon cycle in the Arctic Ocean, in: The organic carbon cycle in the Arctic Ocean, edited by: Stein, R., and MacDonald, R. W., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 83-99, 2004.

Amon, R. M. W., and Meon, B.: The biogeochemistry of dissolved organic matter and nutrients in two large Arctic estuaries and potential implications for our understanding of the Arctic Ocean system, Mar Chem, 92, 311-330, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.06.034, 2004.

Amon, R. M. W., Rinehart, A. J., Duan, S., Louchouarn, P., Prokushkin, A., Guggenberger, G., Bauch, D., Stedmon, C., Raymond, P. A., Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Walker, S. A., and Zhulidov, A. V.: Dissolved organic matter sources in large Arctic rivers, Geochim Cosmochim Ac, 94, 217-237, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.015, 2012.

Anderson, L. G., Bjork, G., Jutterstrom, S., Pipko, I., Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., and Wahlstrom, I.: East Siberian Sea, an Arctic region of very high biogeochemical activity, Biogeosciences, 8, 1745-1754, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1745-2011, 2011.

Anderson, L. G., and Amon, R. M. W.: Chapter 14 - DOM in the Arctic Ocean, in: Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter (Second Edition), edited by: Hansell, D. A., and Carlson, C. A., Academic Press, Boston, 609-633, 2015.

Anderson, L. G., and Macdonald, R. W.: Observing the Arctic Ocean carbon cycle in a changing environment, Polar Res, 34, ARTN 26891, https://doi.org/10.3402/polar.v34.26891, 2015.

Anderson, L. G., Bjork, G., Holby, O., Jutterstrom, S., Morth, C. M., O'Regan, M., Pearce, C., Semiletov, I., Stranne, C., Stoven, T., Tanhua, T., Ulfsbo, A., and Jakobsson, M.: Shelf-Basin interaction along the East Siberian Sea, Ocean Sci, 13, 349-363, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-13-349-2017, 2017.

Bareiss, J., and Görgen, K.: Spatial and temporal variability of sea ice in the Laptev Sea: Analyses and review of satellite passive-microwave data and model results, 1979 to 2002, Global Planet Change, 48, 28-54, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.004, 2005.

Bauch, D., Erlenkeuser, H., and Andersen, N.: Water mass processes on Arctic shelves as revealed from delta O-18 of H2O, Global Planet Change, 48, 165-174, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.011, 2005.

Bauch, D., Dmitrenko, I. A., Wegner, C., Hölemann, J., Kirillov, S. A., Timokhov, L. A., and Kassens, H.: Exchange of Laptev Sea and Arctic Ocean halocline waters in response to atmospheric forcing, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 114, Artn C05008, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008jc005062, 2009a.

Bauch, D., Dmitrenko, I., Kirillov, S., Wegner, C., Hölemann, J., Pivovarov, S., Timokhov, L., and Kassens, H.: Eurasian Arctic shelf hydrography: Exchange and residence time of southern Laptev Sea waters, Cont Shelf Res, 29, 1815-https://doi.org/1820, 10.1016/j.csr.2009.06.009, 2009b.

Bauch, D., Hölemann, J., Willmes, S., Groger, M., Novikhin, A., Nikulina, A., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Changes in distribution of brine waters on the Laptev Sea shelf in 2007, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 115, Artn C11008, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jc006249, 2010.

Bauch, D., van der Loeff, M. R., Andersen, N., Torres-Valdes, S., Bakker, K., and Abrahamsen, E. P.: Origin of freshwater and polynya water in the Arctic Ocean halocline in summer 2007, Prog Oceanogr, 91, 482-495, 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.07.017, 2011.

Bauch, D., Hölemann, J. A., Dmitrenko, I. A., Janout, M. A., Nikulina, A., Kirillov, S. A., Krumpen, T., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Impact of Siberian coastal polynyas on shelf-derived Arctic Ocean halocline waters, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 117, Artn C00g12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jc007282, 2012.

Bauch, D., Hölemann, J. A., Nikulina, A., Wegner, C., Janout, M. A., Timokhov, L. A., and Kassens, H.: Correlation of river water and local sea-ice melting on the Laptev Sea shelf (Siberian Arctic), J Geophys Res-Oceans, 118, 550-561, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20076, 2013.

Bauch, D., Thibodeau, B.: Stable oxygen isotope analysis of water samples during helicopter/ice camp TRANSDRIFT-XX, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924538, 2020.

Belanger, S., Xie, H. X., Krotkov, N., Larouche, P., Vincent, W. F., and Babin, M.: Photomineralization of terrigenous dissolved organic matter in Arctic coastal waters from 1979 to 2003: Interannual variability and implications of climate change, Global Biogeochem Cy, 20, Artn Gb4005, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gb002708, 2006.

Biskaborn, B. K., Smith, S. L., Noetzli, J., Matthes, H., Vieira, G., Streletskiy, D. A., Schoeneich, P., Romanovsky, V. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Abramov, A., Allard, M., Boike, J., Cable, W. L., Christiansen, H. H., Delaloye, R., Diekmann, B., Drozdov, D., Etzelmuller, B., Grosse, G., Guglielmin, M., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., Isaksen, K., Ishikawa, M., Johansson, M., Johannsson, H., Joo, A., Kaverin, D., Kholodov, A., Konstantinov, P., Kroger, T., Lambiel, C., Lanckman, J. P., Luo, D. L., Malkova, G., Meiklejohn, I., Moskalenko, N., Oliva, M., Phillips, M., Ramos, M., Sannel, A. B. K., Sergeev, D., Seybold, C., Skryabin, P., Vasiliev, A., Wu, Q. B., Yoshikawa, K., Zheleznyak, M., and Lantuit, H.: Permafrost is warming at a global scale, Nat Commun, 10, ARTN 264, V10.1038/s41467-018-08240-4, 2019.

Cauwet, G., and Sidorov, I.: The biogeochemistry of Lena River: Organic carbon and nutrients distribution, Mar Chem, 53, 211-227, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(95)00090-9, 1996.

Charette, M. A., Kipp, L. E., Jensen, L. T., Dabrowski, J. S., Whitmore, L. M., Fitzsimmons, J. N., Williford, T., Ulfsbo, A., Jones, E., Bundy, R. M., Vivancos, S. M., Pahnke, K., John, S. G., Xiang, Y., Hatta, M., Petrova, M. V., Heimbürger-Boavida, L.-E., Bauch, D., Newton, R., Pasqualini, A., Agather, A. M., Amon, R. M. W., Anderson, R. F., Andersson, P. S., Benner, R., Bowman, K. L., Edwards, R. L., Gdaniec, S., Gerringa, L. J. A., González, A. G., Granskog, M., Haley, B., Hammerschmidt, C. R., Hansell, D. A., Henderson, P. B., Kadko, D. C., Kaiser, K., Laan, P., Lam, P. J., Lamborg, C. H., Levier, M., Li, X., Margolin, A. R., Measures, C., Middag, R., Millero, F. J., Moore, W. S., Paffrath, R., Planquette, H., Rabe, B., Reader, H., Rember, R., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Roy-Barman, M., Rutgers van der Loeff,

M., Saito, M., Schauer, U., Schlosser, P., Sherrell, R. M., Shiller, A. M., Slagter, H., Sonke, J. E., Stedmon, C., Woosley, R. J., Valk, O., van Ooijen, J., and Zhang, R.: The Transpolar Drift as a Source of Riverine and Shelf-Derived Trace Elements to the Central Arctic Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, https://doi.org/e2019JC015920, 10.1029/2019jc015920, 2020.

840 Coble, P. G.: Marine optical biogeochemistry: The chemistry of ocean color, Chem Rev, 107, 402-418, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr050350+, 2007.

Cooper, L. W., Benner, R., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Holmes, R. M., Raymond, P. A., Hansell, D. A., Grebmeier, J. M., and Codispoti, L. A.: Linkages among runoff, dissolved organic carbon, and the stable oxygen isotope composition of seawater and other water mass indicators in the Arctic Ocean, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 110, Artn

845 G02013, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jg000031, 2005.

Craig, H.: Standard for Reporting Concentrations of Deuterium and Oxygen-18 in Natural Waters, Science, 133, 1833-1834, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.133.3467.1833, 1961.

Danhiez, F. P., Vantrepotte, V., Cauvin, A., Lebourg, E., and Loisel, H.: Optical properties of chromophoric dissolved organic matter during a phytoplankton bloom. Implication for DOC estimates from CDOM absorption, Limnol Oceanogr, 62,

850 1409-1425, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10507, 2017.

Dittmar, T., and Kattner, G.: The biogeochemistry of the river and shelf ecosystem of the Arctic Ocean: a review, Mar Chem, 83, 103-120, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(03)00105-1, 2003.

Eicken, H., Dmitrenko, I., Tyshko, K., Darovskikh, A., Dierking, W., Blahak, U., Groves, J., and Kassens, H.: Zonation of the Laptev Sea landfast ice cover and its importance in a frozen estuary, Global Planet Change, 48, 55-83,

855 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.005, 2005.

Eulenburg, A., Juhls, B., Hölemann, J. A.: Surface water dissolved organic matter (DOC, CDOM) in the Lena River. https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.898711, 2019.

Fichot, C. G., and Benner, R.: The spectral slope coefficient of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (S275–295) as a tracer of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon in river-influenced ocean margins, Limnol Oceanogr, 57, 1453-1466,

860 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2012.57.5.1453, 2012.

Frey, K. E., and Smith, L. C.: Amplified carbon release from vast West Siberian peatlands by 2100, Geophys Res Lett, 32, Artn L09401, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004gl022025, 2005.

Giannelli, V., Thomas, D. N., Haas, C., Kattner, G., Kennedy, H., and Dieckmann, G. S.: Behaviour of dissolved organic matter and inorganic nutrients during experimental sea-ice formation, Ann Glaciol, 33, 317-321,

865 https://doi.org/10.3189/172756401781818572, 2001.

Gnanadesikan, A., Kim, G. E., and Pradal, M. A. S.: Impact of Colored Dissolved Materials on the Annual Cycle of sea Surface Temperatuer: Potential Implications for Extreme Ocean Temperatures, Geophys Res Lett, 46, 861-869, 10.1029/2018gl080695, 2019.

Goncalves-Araujo, R., Stedmon, C. A., Heim, B., Dubinenkov, I., Kraberg, A., Moiseev, D., and Bracher, A.: From Fresh to
870   Marine Waters: Characterization and Fate of Dissolved Organic Matter in the Lena River Delta Region, Siberia, Front
   Mar Sci, 2, UNSP 108, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2015.00108, 2015.

Granskog, M. A., Macdonald, R. W., Kuzyk, Z. Z. A., Senneville, S., Mundy, C.-J., Barber, D. G., Stern, G. A., and Saucier,
   F.: Coastal conduit in southwestern Hudson Bay (Canada) in summer: Rapid transit of freshwater and significant loss
   of colored dissolved organic matter, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 114,
875   https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005270, 2009.

Granskog, M. A.: Changes in spectral slopes of colored dissolved organic matter absorption with mixing and removal in a
   terrestrially dominated marine system (Hudson Bay, Canada), Mar Chem, 134-135, 10-17,
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2012.02.008, 2012.

Granskog, M. A., Stedmon, C. A., Dodd, P. A., Amon, R. M. W., Pavlov, A. K., de Steur, L., and Hansen, E.: Characteristics
880   of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the Arctic outflow in the Fram Strait: Assessing the changes and fate
   of terrigenous CDOM in the Arctic Ocean, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 117, Artn C12021,
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2012jc008075, 2012.

Granskog, M. A., Nomura, D., Muller, S., Krell, A., Toyota, T., and Hattori, H.: Evidence for significant protein-like dissolved
   organic matter accumulation in Sea of Okhotsk sea ice, Annals of Glaciology, 56, 1-8, 10.3189/2015AoG69A002,
885   2015a.

Granskog, M. A., Pavlov, A. K., Sagan, S., Kowalczuk, P., Raczkowska, A., and Stedmon, C. A.: Effect of sea-ice melt on
   inherent optical properties and vertical distribution of solar radiant heating in Arctic surface waters, J Geophys Res-
   Oceans, 120, 7028-7039, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jc011087, 2015b.

Gueguen, C., Guo, L. D., and Tanaka, N.: Distributions and characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter in the Western
890   Arctic Ocean, Cont Shelf Res, 25, 1195-1207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2005.01.005, 2005.

Guo, L. D., Ping, C. L., and Macdonald, R. W.: Mobilization pathways of organic carbon from permafrost to arctic rivers in a
   changing climate, Geophys Res Lett, 34, Artn L13603, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007gl030689, 2007.

Haine, T. W. N., Curry, B., Gerdes, R., Hansen, E., Karcher, M., Lee, C., Rudels, B., Spreen, G., de Steur, L., Stewart, K. D.,
   and Woodgate, R.: Arctic freshwater export: Status, mechanisms, and prospects, Global Planet Change, 125, 13-35,
895   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.013, 2015.

Heim, B., Abramova, E., Doerffer, R., Gunther, F., Hölemann, J., Kraberg, A., Lantuit, H., Loginova, A., Martynov, F.,
   Overduin, P. P., and Wegner, C.: Ocean colour remote sensing in the southern Laptev Sea: evaluation and
   applications, Biogeosciences, 11, https://doi.org/4191-4210, 10.5194/bg-11-4191-2014, 2014.

Helms, J. R., Stubbins, A., Ritchie, J. D., Minor, E. C., Kieber, D. J., and Mopper, K.: Absorption spectral slopes and slope
900   ratios as indicators of molecular weight, source, and photobleaching of chromophoric dissolved organic matter,
   Limnol Oceanogr, 53, 955-969, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.3.0955, 2008.

Hölemann, J. A., Schirmacher, M., and Prange, A.: Seasonal variability of trace metals in the Lena River and the southeastern Laptev Sea: Impact of the spring freshet, Global Planet Change, 48, 112-125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.008, 2005.

905 Hölemann, J. A., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT-XVII, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924206, 2020a.

Hölemann, J., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT-XIX, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924209, 2020b.

910 Hölemann, J. A., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during helicopter/ice camp TRANSDRIFT-XX, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924228, 2020c.

Hölemann, J. A., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT-XXI, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924203, 2020d.

915 Hölemann, J., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT-XXII, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924202, 2020e.

Hölemann, J. A., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Ivanov, V.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT-XXIV, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924210, 2020f.

920

Hölemann, J. A., Chetverova, A., Juhls, B., Kusse-Tiuz, N.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSARKTIKA-2019 Leg4, Laptev Sea and East Siberian Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924211, 2020g.

Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Tank, S. E., Bulygina, E., Eglinton, T. I., Gordeev, V. V., Gurtovaya, T.

925 Y., Raymond, P. A., Repeta, D. J., Staples, R., Striegl, R. G., Zhulidov, A. V., and Zimov, S. A.: Seasonal and Annual Fluxes of Nutrients and Organic Matter from Large Rivers to the Arctic Ocean and Surrounding Seas, Estuar Coast, 35, 369-382, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-011-9386-6, 2012.

Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.:

930 Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps, Biogeosciences, 11, 6573-6593, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-6573-2014, 2014.

Itkin, P., and Krumpen, T.: Winter sea ice export from the Laptev Sea preconditions the local summer sea ice cover and fast ice decay, Cryosphere, 11, 2383-2391, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2383-2017, 2017.

Jakobsson, M., Mayer, L., Coakley, B., Dowdeswell, J. A., Forbes, S., Fridman, B., Hodnesdal, H., Noormets, R., Pedersen,

935 R., Rebesco, M., Schenke, H. W., Zarayskaya, Y., Accettella, D., Armstrong, A., Anderson, R. M., Bienhoff, P.,

Camerlenghi, A., Church, I., Edwards, M., Gardner, J. V., Hall, J. K., Hell, B., Hestvik, O., Kristoffersen, Y., Marcussen, C., Mohammad, R., Mosher, D., Nghiem, S. V., Pedrosa, M. T., Travaglini, P. G., and Weatherall, P.: The International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) Version 3.0, Geophys Res Lett, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052219, 2012.

940   Janout, M. A., Hölemann, J., and Krumpen, T.: Cross-shelf transport of warm and saline water in response to sea ice drift on the Laptev Sea shelf, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 118, 563-576, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jc007731, 2013.

Janout, M. A., Aksenov, Y., Hölemann, J. A., Rabe, B., Schauer, U., Polyakov, I. V., Bacon, S., Coward, A. C., Karcher, M., Lenn, Y. D., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Kara Sea freshwater transport through Vilkitsky Strait: Variability, forcing, and further pathways toward the western Arctic Ocean from a model and observations, J Geophys Res-

945   Oceans, 120, 4925-4944, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014jc010635, 2015.

Janout, M. A., Hölemann, J., Waite, A. M., Krumpen, T., von Appen, W. J., and Martynov, F.: Sea-ice retreat controls timing of summer plankton blooms in the Eastern Arctic Ocean, Geophys Res Lett, 43, 12493-12501, 10.1002/2016gl071232, 2016a.

Janout, M. A., Holemann, J., Juhls, B., Krumpen, T., Rabe, B., Bauch, D., Wegner, C., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.:

950   Episodic warming of near-bottom waters under the Arctic sea ice on the central Laptev Sea shelf, Geophys Res Lett, 43, 264-272, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015gl066565, 2016b.

Janout, M. A., Hölemann, J., Timokhov, L., Gutjahr, O., and Heinemann, G.: Circulation in the northwest Laptev Sea in the eastern Arctic Ocean: Crossroads between Siberian River water, Atlantic water and polynya-formed dense water, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 122, 6630-6647, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jc013159, 2017.

955   Janout, M.A., Hölemann, J., Smirnov, A., Krumpen, T., Bauch, D., Laukert, G., and Timokhov, L.: On the variability of stratification in the freshwater influenced Laptev Sea region, Front Mar Sci, doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.543489, 2020.

Jørgensen, L., Stedmon, C. A., Kaartokallio, H., Middelboe, M., and Thomas, D. N.: Changes in the composition and bioavailability of dissolved organic matter during sea ice formation, Limnol Oceanogr, 60, 817-830,

960   https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10058, 2015.

Juhls, B., Overduin, P. P., Hölemann, J., Hieronymi, M., Matsuoka, A., Heim, B., and Fischer, J.: Dissolved organic matter at the fluvial-marine transition in the Laptev Sea using in situ data and ocean colour remote sensing, Biogeosciences, 16, 2693-2713, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-2693-2019, 2019.

Juhls, B., Stedmon, C. A., Morgenstern, A., Meyer, H., Hölemann, J., Heim, B., Povazhnyi, V., and Overduin, P. P.: Identifying

965   Drivers of Seasonality in Lena River Biogeochemistry and Dissolved Organic Matter Fluxes, Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2020.00053, 2020.

Kaiser, K., Benner, R., and Amon, R. M. W.: The fate of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon on the Eurasian shelves and export to the North Atlantic, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 122, 4-22, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016jc012380, 2017a.

Kaiser, K., Canedo-Oropeza, M., McMahon, R., and Amon, R. M. W.: Origins and transformations of dissolved organic matter in large Arctic rivers, Sci Rep-Uk, 7, ARTN 13064, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12729-1, 2017b.

Kattner, G., Lobbes, J. M., Fitznar, H. P., Engbrodt, R., Nothig, E. M., and Lara, R. J.: Tracing dissolved organic substances and nutrients from the Lena River through Laptev Sea (Arctic), Mar Chem, 65, 25-39, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00008-0, 1999.

Kattner, G., Juhls, B., Heim, B.: Surface water dissolved organic matter (DOC, CDOM) in the Lena River. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.898705, 2010.

Köhler, H., Meon, B., Gordeev, V. V., Spitzy, A., and Amon, R. M. W.: Dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the estuaries of Ob and Yenisei and the adjacent Kara Sea, Russia, in: Siberian river run-off in the Kara Sea, edited by: Stein, R., Fahl, K., Fütterer, D. K., Galimov, E. M., and Stepanets, O. V., Proceedings in Marine Science, 6, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 281-308, 2003.

Kotchetov, S. V., Kulakov, I. Y., Kurajov, V. K., Timokhov, L. A., and Vanda, Y. A.: Hydrometeorological regime of the Laptev Sea, Federal Service of Russia for Hydrometeorology and Monitoring of the Environment, Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia, 85, 1994.

Kowalczuk, P., Meler, J., Kauko, H. M., Pavlov, A. K., Zablocka, M., Peeken, I., Dybwad, C., Castellani, G., and Granskog, M. A.: Bio-optical properties of Arctic drift ice and surface waters north of Svalbard from winter to spring, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 122, 4634-4660, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016jc012589, 2017.

Krumpen, T., Hölemann, J. A., Willmes, S., Maqueda, M. A. M., Busche, T., Dmitrenko, I. A., Gerdes, R., Haas, C., Heinemann, G., Hendricks, S., Kassens, H., Rabenstein, L., and Schroder, D.: Sea ice production and water mass modification in the eastern Laptev Sea, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 116, Artn C05014, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jc006545, 2011.

Kwok, R., and Morison, J.: Dynamic topography of the ice-covered Arctic Ocean from ICESat, Geophys Res Lett, 38, Artn L02501, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl046063, 2011.

Lara, R. J., Rachold, V., Kattner, G., Hubberten, H. W., Guggenberger, G., Skoog, A., and Thomas, D. N.: Dissolved organic matter and nutrients in the Lena River, Siberian Arctic: Characteristics and distribution, Mar Chem, 59, 301-309, Doi https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(97)00076-5, 1998.

Letscher, R. T., Hansell, D. A., and Kadko, D.: Rapid removal of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon over the Eurasian shelves of the Arctic Ocean, Mar Chem, 123, 78-87, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2010.10.002, 2011.

Li, Z., Zhao, J., Su, J., Li, C., Cheng, B., Hui, F., Yang, Q., and Shi, L.: Spatial and Temporal Variations in the Extent and Thickness of Arctic Landfast Ice, Remote Sens-Basel, 12, 64, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12010064, 2020.

Logvinova, C. L., Frey, K. E., and Cooper, L. W.: The potential role of sea ice melt in the distribution of chromophoric dissolved organic matter in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 130, 28-42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2016.04.017, 2016.

Macdonald, R. W., Paton, D. W., Carmack, E. C., and Omstedt, A.: The Fresh-Water Budget and under-Ice Spreading of Mackenzie River Water in the Canadian Beaufort Sea Based on Salinity and O-18/O-16 Measurements in Water and Ice, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 100, 895-919, https://doi.org/10.1029/94jc02700, 1995.

Manizza, M., Follows, M. J., Dutkiewicz, S., McClelland, J. W., Menemenlis, D., Hill, C. N., Townsend-Small, A., and Peterson, B. J.: Modeling transport and fate of riverine dissolved organic carbon in the Arctic Ocean, Global Biogeochem Cy, 23, Artn Gb4006, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008gb003396, 2009.

Mann, P. J., Davydova, A., Zimov, N., Spencer, R. G. M., Davydov, S., Bulygina, E., Zimov, S., and Holmes, R. M.: Controls on the composition and lability of dissolved organic matter in Siberia's Kolyma River basin, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 117, Artn G01028, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jg001798, 2012.

Mann, P. J., Spencer, R. G. M., Hernes, P. J., Six, J., Aiken, G. R., Tank, S. E., McClelland, J. W., Butler, K. D., Dyda, R. Y., and Holmes, R. M.: Pan-Arctic Trends in Terrestrial Dissolved Organic Matter from Optical Measurements, Front Earth Sc-Switz, 4, UNSP 25, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2016.00025, 2016.

Mathis, J. T., Hansell, D. A., and Bates, N. R.: Strong hydrographic controls on spatial and seasonal variability of dissolved organic carbon in the Chukchi Sea, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 52, 3245-3258, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2005.10.002, 2005.

Matsuoka, A., Bricaud, A., Benner, R., Para, J., Sempere, R., Prieur, L., Belanger, S., and Babin, M.: Tracing the transport of colored dissolved organic matter in water masses of the Southern Beaufort Sea: relationship with hydrographic characteristics, Biogeosciences, 9, 925-940, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-925-2012, 2012.

Matsuoka, A., Boss, E., Babin, M., Karp-Boss, L., Hafez, M., Chekalyuk, A., Proctor, C. W., Werdell, P. J., and Bricaud, A.: Pan-Arctic optical characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter: Tracing dissolved organic carbon in changing Arctic waters using satellite ocean color data, Remote Sens Environ, 200, 89-101, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.08.009, 2017.

McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Peterson, B. J., and Stieglitz, M.: Increasing river discharge in the Eurasian Arctic: Consideration of dams, permafrost thaw, and fires as potential agents of change, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 109, Artn D18102, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004jd004583, 2004.

Morison, J., Kwok, R., Peralta-Ferriz, C., Alkire, M., Rigor, I., Andersen, R., and Steele, M.: Changing Arctic Ocean freshwater pathways, Nature, 481, 66-70, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10705, 2012.

Müller, S., Vahatalo, A. V., Stedmon, C. A., Granskog, M. A., Norman, L., Aslam, S. N., Underwood, G. J. C., Dieckmann, G. S., and Thomas, D. N.: Selective incorporation of dissolved organic matter (DOM) during sea ice formation, Mar Chem, 155, 148-157, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2013.06.008, 2013.

Opsahl, S., Benner, R., and Amon, R. M. W.: Major flux of terrigenous dissolved organic matter through the Arctic Ocean, Limnol Oceanogr, 44, 2017-2023, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1999.44.8.2017, 1999.

Osburn, C. L., Retamal, L., and Vincent, W. F.: Photoreactivity of chromophoric dissolved organic matter transported by the Mackenzie River to the Beaufort Sea, Mar Chem, 115, 10-20, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2009.05.003, 2009.

1035   Overland, J. E., Wang, M. Y., and Box, J. E.: An integrated index of recent pan-Arctic climate change, Environ Res Lett, 14, ARTN 035006, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaf665, 2019.

Pavlov, A. K., Stedmon, C. A., Semushin, A. V., Martma, T., Ivanov, B. V., Kowalczuk, P., and Granskog, M. A.: Linkages between the circulation and distribution of dissolved organic matter in the White Sea, Arctic Ocean, Cont Shelf Res, 119, 1-13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2016.03.004, 2016.

1040   Petrich, C., and Eicken, H.: Growth, Structure and Properties of Sea Ice, in: Sea Ice, 2nd edition ed., edited by: Thomas, D. N., and Dieckmann, G. S., Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 23-77, 2010.

Plaza, C., Pegoraro, E., Bracho, R., Celis, G., Crummer, K. G., Hutchings, J. A., Pries, C. E. H., Mauritz, M., Natali, S. M., Salmon, V. G., Schadel, C., Webb, E. E., and Schuur, E. A. G.: Direct observation of permafrost degradation and rapid soil carbon loss in tundra, Nat Geosci, 12, 627-631, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0387-6, 2019.

1045   Prokushkin, A. S., Pokrovsky, O. S., Shirokova, L. S., Korets, M. A., Viers, J., Prokushkin, S. G., Amon, R. M. W., Guggenberger, G., and McDowell, W. H.: Sources and the flux pattern of dissolved carbon in rivers of the Yenisey basin draining the Central Siberian Plateau, Environ Res Lett, 6, Artn 045212, 10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045212, 2011.

Pugach, S. P., and Pipko, I. I.: Dynamics of colored dissolved matter on the East Siberian sea shelf, Dokl Earth Sci, 448, 153-156, https://doi.org/10.1134/S1028334x12120173, 2013.

1050   Pugach, S. P., Pipko, I. I., Shakhova, N. E., Shirshin, E. A., Perminova, I. V., Gustafsson, O., Bondur, V. G., Ruban, A. S., and Semiletov, I. P.: Dissolved organic matter and its optical characteristics in the Laptev and East Siberian seas: spatial distribution and interannual variability (2003-2011), Ocean Sci, 14, 87-103, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-14-87-2018, 2018.

Retelletti Brogi, S., Ha, S.-Y., Kim, K., Derrien, M., Lee, Y. K., and Hur, J.: Optical and molecular characterization of 1055   dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the Arctic ice core and the underlying seawater (Cambridge Bay, Canada): Implication for increased autochthonous DOM during ice melting, Sci Total Environ, 627, 802-811, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.251, 2018.

Rawlins, M. A., Steele, M., Holland, M. M., Adam, J. C., Cherry, J. E., Francis, J. A., Groisman, P. Y., Hinzman, L. D., Huntington, T. G., Kane, D. L., Kimball, J. S., Kwok, R., Lammers, R. B., Lee, C. M., Lettenmaier, D. P., McDonald, 1060   K. C., Podest, E., Pundsack, J. W., Rudels, B., Serreze, M. C., Shiklomanov, A., Skagseth, O., Troy, T. J., Vorosmarty, C. J., Wensnahan, M., Wood, E. F., Woodgate, R., Yang, D. Q., Zhang, K., and Zhang, T. J.: Analysis of the Arctic System for Freshwater Cycle Intensification: Observations and Expectations, J Climate, 23, 5715-5737, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010jcli3421.1, 2010.

Raymond, P. A., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Zhulidov, A. V., Mull, K., Peterson, B. J., Striegl, R. G., Aiken, G. R., 1065   and Gurtovaya, T. Y.: Flux and age of dissolved organic carbon exported to the Arctic Ocean: A carbon isotopic study of the five largest arctic rivers, Global Biogeochem Cy, 21, Artn Gb4011, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007gb002934, 2007.

Schlitzer, R.: Interactive analysis and visualization of geoscience data with Ocean Data View, Comput Geosci-Uk, 28, 1211-1218, Pii S0098-3004(02)00040-7, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-3004(02)00040-7, 2002.

Selyuzhenok, V., Krumpen, T., Mahoney, A., Janout, M., and Gerdes, R.: Seasonal and interannual variability of fast ice extent in the southeastern Laptev Sea between 1999 and 2013, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 120, 7791-7806, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jc011135, 2015.

Semiletov, I., Pipko, I., Gustafsson, O., Anderson, L. G., Sergienko, V., Pugach, S., Dudarev, O., Charkin, A., Gukov, A., Broder, L., Andersson, A., Spivak, E., and Shakhova, N.: Acidification of East Siberian Arctic Shelf waters through addition of freshwater and terrestrial carbon, Nat Geosci, 9, 361-365, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2695, 2016.

Shin, K. H., and Tanaka, N.: Distribution of dissolved organic matter in the eastern Bering Sea, Chukchi Sea (Barrow Canyon) and Beaufort Sea, Geophys Res Lett, 31, Artn L24304, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004gl021039, 2004.

Shiklomanov, A. I., R. M. Holmes, J. W. McClelland, S. E. Tank, and R. G. M. Spencer (2020), ArcticGRO Discharge Dataset, Version 2020-01-23, .https://www.arcticrivers.org/data, 2020

Soppa, M. A., Pefanis, V., Hellmann, S., Losa, S. N., Hölemann, J., Martynov, F., Heim, B., Janout, M. A., Dinter, T., Rozanov, V., and Bracher, A.: Assessing the Influence of Water Constituents on the Radiative Heating of Laptev Sea Shelf Waters, Front Mar Sci, 6, UNSP 221, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00221, 2019.

Spreen, G., Kaleschke, L., and Heygster, G.: Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89-GHz channels, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 113, Artn C02s03, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jc003384, 2008.

Stedmon, C. A., and Markager, S.: The optics of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the Greenland Sea: An algorithm for differentiation between marine and terrestrially derived organic matter, Limnol Oceanogr, 46, 2087-2093, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.8.2087, 2001.

Stedmon, C. A., Amon, R. M. W., Rinehart, A. J., and Walker, S. A.: The supply and characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the Arctic Ocean: Pan Arctic trends and differences, Mar Chem, 124, 108-118, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2010.12.007, 2011.

Stroeve, J., and Notz, D.: Changing state of Arctic sea ice across all seasons, Environ Res Lett, 13, ARTN 103001, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aade56, 2018.

Tanaka, K., Takesue, N., Nishioka, J., Kondo, Y., Ooki, A., Kuma, K., Hirawake, T., and Yamashita, Y.: The conservative behavior of dissolved organic carbon in surface waters of the southern Chukchi Sea, Arctic Ocean, during early summer, Sci Rep-Uk, 6, ARTN 34123, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34123, 2016.

Tank, S. E., Striegl, R. G., McClelland, J. W., and Kokelj, S. V.: Multi-decadal increases in dissolved organic carbon and alkalinity flux from the Mackenzie drainage basin to the Arctic Ocean, Environ Res Lett, 11, Artn 054015, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/5/054015, 2016.

Terhaar, J., Lauerwald, R., Regnier, P., Gruber, N., and Bopp, L.: Around one third of current Arctic Ocean primary production sustained by rivers and coastal erosion, Nat Commun, 12, 169, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20470-z, 2021.

Timmermans, M.-L., and Marshall, J.: Understanding Arctic Ocean Circulation: A Review of Ocean Dynamics in a Changing Climate, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jc014378, 2020.

Wegner, C., Wittbrodt, K., Hölemann, J. A., Janout, M. A., Krumpen, T., Selyuzhenok, V., Novikhin, A., Polyakova, Y., Krykova, I., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Sediment entrainment into sea ice and transport in the Transpolar Drift: A case study from the Laptev Sea in winter 2011/2012, Cont Shelf Res, 141, 1-10, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2017.04.010, 2017.

Xie, H., Aubry, C., Zhang, Y., and Song, G.: Chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in first-year sea ice in the western Canadian Arctic, Mar Chem, 165, 25-35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.07.007, 2014.

Zabłocka, M., Kowalczuk, P., Meler, J., Peeken, I., Dragańska-Deja, K., and Winogradow, A.: Compositional differences of fluorescent dissolved organic matter in Arctic Ocean spring sea ice and surface waters north of Svalbard, Mar Chem, 227, 103893, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103893, 2020.

Alling, V., Sanchez Garcia, L., Porcelli, D., Pugach, S., Vonk, J. E., van Dongen, B., Morth, C. M., Anderson, L. G., Sokolov, A., Andersson, P., Humborg, C., Semiletov, I., and Gustafsson, O.: Nonconservative behavior of dissolved organic carbon across the Laptev and East Siberian seas, Global Biogeochem Cy, 24, Artn Gb4033, 10.1029/2010gb003834, 2010.

Amon, R. M. W.: The role of dissolved organic matter for the organic carbon cycle in the Arctic Ocean, in: The organic carbon cycle in the Arctic Ocean, edited by: Stein, R., and MacDonald, R. W., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 83-99, 2004.

Amon, R. M. W., and Meon, B.: The biogeochemistry of dissolved organic matter and nutrients in two large Arctic estuaries and potential implications for our understanding of the Arctic Ocean system, Mar Chem, 92, 311-330, 10.1016/j.marchem.2004.06.034, 2004.

Amon, R. M. W., Rinehart, A. J., Duan, S., Louchouarn, P., Prokushkin, A., Guggenberger, G., Bauch, D., Stedmon, C., Raymond, P. A., Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Walker, S. A., and Zhulidov, A. V.: Dissolved organic matter sources in large Arctic rivers, Geochim Cosmochim Ac, 94, 217-237, 10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.015, 2012.

Anderson, L. G., Bjork, G., Jutterstrom, S., Pipko, I., Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., and Wahlstrom, I.: East Siberian Sea, an Arctic region of very high biogeochemical activity, Biogeosciences, 8, 1745-1754, 10.5194/bg-8-1745-2011, 2011.

Anderson, L. G., and Amon, R. M. W.: Chapter 14 - DOM in the Arctic Ocean, in: Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter (Second Edition), edited by: Hansell, D. A., and Carlson, C. A., Academic Press, Boston, 609-633, 2015.

Anderson, L. G., and Macdonald, R. W.: Observing the Arctic Ocean carbon cycle in a changing environment, Polar Res, 34, ARTN 26891, 10.3402/polar.v34.26891, 2015.

Anderson, L. G., Jorgen, E. K., Ericson, Y., Humborg, C., Semiletov, I., Sundbom, M., and Ulfsbo, A.: Export of calcium carbonate corrosive waters from the East Siberian Sea, Biogeosciences, 14, 1811-1823, 10.5194/bg-14-1811-2017, 2017.

Barreis, J., and Görgen, K.: Spatial and temporal variability of sea ice in the Laptev Sea: analyses and review of satellite passive microwave data and model results, 1979 to 2002, Global Planet Change, 48, 28-54, 2005.

Bauch, D., Erlenkeuser, H., and Andersen, N.: Water mass processes on Arctic shelves as revealed from delta O-18 of H2O, Global Planet Change, 48, 165-174, DOI 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.011, 2005.

Bauch, D., Dmitrenko, I., Kirillov, S., Wegner, C., Holemann, J., Pivovarov, S., Timokhov, L., and Kassens, H.: Eurasian Arctic shelf hydrography: Exchange and residence time of southern Laptev Sea waters, Cont Shelf Res, 29, 1815-1820, 10.1016/j.csr.2009.06.009, 2009a.

Bauch, D., Dmitrenko, I. A., Wegner, C., Holemann, J., Kirillov, S. A., Timokhov, L. A., and Kassens, H.: Exchange of Laptev Sea and Arctic Ocean halocline waters in response to atmospheric forcing, J Geophys Res Oceans, 114, Artn C05008, 10.1029/2008jc005062, 2009b.

Bauch, D., Holemann, J., Willmes, S., Groger, M., Novikhin, A., Nikulina, A., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Changes in distribution of brine waters on the Laptev Sea shelf in 2007, J Geophys Res Oceans, 115, Artn C11008, 10.1029/2010jc006249, 2010.

Bauch, D., van der Loeff, M. R., Andersen, N., Torres-Valdes, S., Bakker, K., and Abrahamsen, E. P.: Origin of freshwater and polynya water in the Arctic Ocean halocline in summer 2007, Prog Oceanogr, 91, 482-495, 10.1016/j.pocean.2011.07.017, 2011.

Bauch, D., Holemann, J. A., Dmitrenko, I. A., Janout, M. A., Nikulina, A., Kirillov, S. A., Krumpen, T., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Impact of Siberian coastal polynyas on shelf-derived Arctic Ocean halocline waters, J Geophys Res Oceans, 117, Artn C00g12, 10.1029/2011jc007282, 2012.

Bauch, D., Holemann, J. A., Nikulina, A., Wegner, C., Janout, M. A., Timokhov, L. A., and Kassens, H.: Correlation of river water and local sea-ice melting on the Laptev Sea shelf (Siberian Arctic), J Geophys Res Oceans, 118, 550-561, 10.1002/jgrc.20076, 2013.

Bauch, D., Cherniavskaia, E., Novikhin, A., Kassens, H.: Physical oceanography, nutrients, and δ¹⁸O measured on water bottle samples in the Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.885448, 2018.

Bauch, D., Thibodeau, B.: Stable oxygen isotope analysis of water samples during helicopter/ice camp TRANSDRIFT XX, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924538, 2020.

Biskaborn, B. K., Smith, S. L., Noetzli, J., Matthes, H., Vieira, G., Streletskiy, D. A., Schoeneich, P., Romanovsky, V. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Abramov, A., Allard, M., Boike, J., Cable, W. L., Christiansen, H. H., Delaloye, R., Diekmann, B., Drozdov, D., Etzelmuller, B., Grosse, G., Guglielmin, M., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., Isaksen, K., Ishikawa, M., Johansson, M., Johannsson, H., Joo, A., Kaverin, D., Kholodov, A., Konstantinov, P., Kroger, T., Lambiel, C., Lanckman, J. P., Luo, D. L., Malkova, G., Meiklejohn, I., Moskalenko, N., Oliva, M., Phillips, M., Ramos, M., Sannel, A. B. K., Sergeev, D., Seybold, C., Skryabin, P., Vasiliev, A., Wu, Q. B., Yoshikawa, K., Zheleznyak, M., and Lantuit, H.: Permafrost is warming at a global scale, Nat Commun, 10, ARTN 264, 10.1038/s41467-018-08240-4, 2019.

Cauwet, G., and Sidorov, I.: The biogeochemistry of Lena River: Organic carbon and nutrients distribution, Mar Chem, 53, 211-227, 10.1016/0304-4203(95)00090-9, 1996.

Charette, M. A., Kipp, L. E., Jensen, L. T., Dabrowski, J. S., Whitmore, L. M., Fitzsimmons, J. N., Williford, T., Ulfsbo, A., Jones, E., Bundy, R. M., Vivancos, S. M., Pahnke, K., John, S. G., Xiang, Y., Hatta, M., Petrova, M. V., Heimbürger-Boavida, L. E., Bauch, D., Newton, R., Pasqualini, A., Agather, A. M., Amon, R. M. W., Anderson, R. F., Andersson, P. S., Benner, R., Bowman, K. L., Edwards, R. L., Gdaniec, S., Gerringa, L. J. A., González, A. G., Granskog, M., Haley, B., Hammerschmidt, C. R., Hansell, D. A., Henderson, P. B., Kadko, D. C., Kaiser, K., Laan, P., Lam, P. J., Lamborg, C. H., Levier, M., Li, X., Margolin, A. R., Measures, C., Middag, R., Millero, F. J., Moore, W. S., Paffrath, R., Planquette, H., Rabe, B., Reader, H., Rember, R., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Roy-Barman, M., Rutgers van der Loeff, M., Saito, M., Schauer, U., Schlosser, P., Sherrell, R. M., Shiller, A. M., Slagter, H., Sonke, J. E., Stedmon, C., Woosley, R. J., Valk, O., van Ooijen, J., and Zhang, R.: The Transpolar Drift as a Source of Riverine and Shelf-Derived Trace Elements to the Central Arctic Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, 10.1029/2019jc015920, 2020.

Coble, P. G.: Marine optical biogeochemistry: The chemistry of ocean color, Chem Rev, 107, 402-418, 10.1021/cr050350+, 2007.

Cooper, L. W., Benner, R., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Holmes, R. M., Raymond, P. A., Hansell, D. A., Grebmeier, J. M., and Codispoti, L. A.: Linkages among runoff, dissolved organic carbon, and the stable oxygen isotope composition of seawater and other water mass indicators in the Arctic Ocean, J Geophys Res Biogeo, 110, Artn G02013, 10.1029/2005jg000031, 2005.

Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S): ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate. Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS). https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home, 2017.

Craig, H.: Standard for Reporting Concentrations of Deuterium and Oxygen-18 in Natural Waters, Science, 133, 1833-1834, 10.1126/science.133.3467.1833, 1961.

Danhiez, F. P., Vantrepotte, V., Cauvin, A., Lebourg, E., and Loisel, H.: Optical properties of chromophoric dissolved organic matter during a phytoplankton bloom. Implication for DOC estimates from CDOM absorption, Limnol Oceanogr, 62, 1409-1425, 10.1002/lno.10507, 2017.

Dittmar, T., and Kattner, G.: The biogeochemistry of the river and shelf ecosystem of the Arctic Ocean: a review, Mar Chem, 83, 103-120, 10.1016/S0304-4203(03)00105-1, 2003.

Eicken, H., Dmitrenko, I., Tyshko, K., Darovskikh, A., Dierking, W., Blahak, U., Groves, J., and Kassens, H.: Zonation of the Laptev Sea landfast ice cover and its importance in a frozen estuary, Global Planet Change, 48, 55-83, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.005, 2005.

Eulenburg, A., Juhls, B., Hölemann, J. A.: Surface water dissolved organic matter (DOC, CDOM) in the Lena River. https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.898711, 2019.

Frey, K. E., and Smith, L. C.: Amplified carbon release from vast West Siberian peatlands by 2100, Geophys Res Lett, 32, Artn L09401, 10.1029/2004gl022025, 2005.

Giannelli, V., Thomas, D. N., Haas, C., Kattner, G., Kennedy, H., and Dieckmann, G. S.: Behaviour of dissolved organic matter and inorganic nutrients during experimental sea ice formation, Ann Glaciol, 33, 317-321, 10.3189/172756401781818572, 2001.

Gnanadesikan, A., Kim, G. E., and Pradal, M. A. S.: Impact of Colored Dissolved Materials on the Annual Cycle of sea Surface Temperatuer: Potential Implications for Extreme Ocean Temperatures, Geophys Res Lett, 46, 861-869, 10.1029/2018gl080695, 2019.

Goncalves-Araujo, R., Stedmon, C. A., Heim, B., Dubinenkov, I., Kraberg, A., Moiseev, D., and Bracher, A.: From Fresh to Marine Waters: Characterization and Fate of Dissolved Organic Matter in the Lena River Delta Region, Siberia, Front Mar Sci, 2, UNSP 108, 10.3389/fmars.2015.00108, 2015.

Granskog, M. A.: Changes in spectral slopes of colored dissolved organic matter absorption with mixing and removal in a terrestrially dominated marine system (Hudson Bay, Canada), Mar Chem, 134, 10-17, 10.1016/j.marchem.2012.02.008, 2012.

Granskog, M. A., Stedmon, C. A., Dodd, P. A., Amon, R. M. W., Pavlov, A. K., de Steur, L., and Hansen, E.: Characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the Arctic outflow in the Fram Strait: Assessing the changes and fate of terrigenous CDOM in the Arctic Ocean, J Geophys Res Oceans, 117, Artn C12021, 10.1029/2012jc008075, 2012.

Granskog, M. A., Pavlov, A. K., Sagan, S., Kowalczuk, P., Raczkowska, A., and Stedmon, C. A.: Effect of sea ice melt on inherent optical properties and vertical distribution of solar radiant heating in Arctic surface waters, J Geophys Res Oceans, 120, 7028-7039, 10.1002/2015jc011087, 2015.

Guo, L. D., Ping, C. L., and Macdonald, R. W.: Mobilization pathways of organic carbon from permafrost to arctic rivers in a changing climate, Geophys Res Lett, 34, Artn L13603, 10.1029/2007gl030689, 2007.

Haine, T. W. N., Curry, B., Gerdes, R., Hansen, E., Karcher, M., Lee, C., Rudels, B., Spreen, G., de Steur, L., Stewart, K. D., and Woodgate, R.: Arctic freshwater export: Status, mechanisms, and prospects, Global Planet Change, 125, 13-35, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.013, 2015.

Hansell, D. A., Kadko, D., and Bates, N. R.: Degradation of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon in the western Arctic Ocean, Science, 304, 858-861, 10.1126/science.1096175, 2004.

Helms, J. R., Stubbins, A., Ritchie, J. D., Minor, E. C., Kieber, D. J., and Mopper, K.: Absorption spectral slopes and slope ratios as indicators of molecular weight, source, and photobleaching of chromophoric dissolved organic matter, Limnol Oceanogr, 53, 955-969, 10.4319/lo.2008.53.3.0955, 2008.

Hölemann, J. A., Schirmacher, M., and Prange, A.: Seasonal variability of trace metals in the Lena River and the southeastern Laptev Sea: Impact of the spring freshet, Global Planet Change, 48, 112-125, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.008, 2005.

Hölemann, J. A., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT XVII, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924206, 2020a.

Hölemann, J., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT XIX, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924209, 2020b.

Hölemann, J. A., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during helicopter/ice camp TRANSDRIFT XX, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924228, 2020c.

Hölemann, J. A., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT XXI, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924203, 2020d.

Hölemann, J., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Timokhov, L. A.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT XXII, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924202, 2020e.

Hölemann, J. A., Koch, B. P., Juhls, B., Ivanov, V.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSDRIFT XXIV, Laptev Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924210, 2020f.

Hölemann, J. A., Chetverova, A., Juhls, B., Kusse-Tiuz, N.: Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measured during cruise TRANSARKTIKA 2019 Leg4, Laptev Sea and East Siberian Sea. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.924211, 2020g.

Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Raymond, P. A., Frazer, B. B., Peterson, B. J., and Stieglitz, M.: Lability of DOC transported by Alaskan rivers to the arctic ocean, Geophys Res Lett, 35, Artn L03402, 10.1029/2007gl032837, 2008.

Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Tank, S. E., Bulygina, E., Eglinton, T. I., Gordeev, V. V., Gurtovaya, T. Y., Raymond, P. A., Repeta, D. J., Staples, R., Striegl, R. G., Zhulidov, A. V., and Zimov, S. A.: Seasonal and Annual Fluxes of Nutrients and Organic Matter from Large Rivers to the Arctic Ocean and Surrounding Seas, Estuar Coast, 35, 369-382, 10.1007/s12237-011-9386-6, 2012.

Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.: Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps, Biogeosciences, 11, 6573-6593, 10.5194/bg-11-6573-2014, 2014.

Itkin, P., and Krumpen, T.: Winter sea ice export from the Laptev Sea preconditions the local summer sea ice cover and fast ice decay, Cryosphere, 11, 2383-2391, 10.5194/tc-11-2383-2017, 2017.

Janout, M. A., Holemann, J., and Krumpen, T.: Cross-shelf transport of warm and saline water in response to sea ice drift on the Laptev Sea shelf, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 118, 563-576, 10.1029/2011jc007731, 2013.

Janout, M. A., Aksenov, Y., Holemann, J. A., Rabe, B., Schauer, U., Polyakov, I. V., Bacon, S., Coward, A. C., Karcher, M., Lenn, Y. D., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Kara Sea freshwater transport through Vilkitsky Strait: Variability, forcing, and further pathways toward the western Arctic Ocean from a model and observations, J Geophys Res Oceans, 120, 4925-4944, 10.1002/2014jc010635, 2015.

Janout, M. A., Holemann, J., Waite, A. M., Krumpen, T., von Appen, W. J., and Martynov, F.: Sea-ice retreat controls timing of summer plankton blooms in the Eastern Arctic Ocean, Geophys Res Lett, 43, 12493-12501, 10.1002/2016gl071232, 2016.

Janout, M. A., Holemann, J., Timokhov, L., Gutjahr, O., and Heinemann, G.: Circulation in the northwest Laptev Sea in the eastern Arctic Ocean: Crossroads between Siberian River water, Atlantic water and polynya formed dense water, J Geophys Res Oceans, 122, 6630-6647, 10.1002/2017jc013159, 2017.

Janout, M., Hölemann, J., Smirnov, A., Krumpen, T., Bauch, D., Laukert, G., and Timokhov, L.: On the variability of stratification in the freshwater influenced Laptev Sea region, Front Mar Sci, 10.3389/fmars.2020.543489, 2020.

Jorgensen, L., Stedmon, C. A., Kaartokallio, H., Middelboe, M., and Thomas, D. N.: Changes in the composition and bioavailability of dissolved organic matter during sea ice formation, Limnol Oceanogr, 60, 817-830, 10.1002/lno.10058, 2015.

Juhls, B., Overduin, P. P., Holemann, J., Hieronymi, M., Matsuoka, A., Heim, B., and Fischer, J.: Dissolved organic matter at the fluvial-marine transition in the Laptev Sea using in situ data and ocean colour remote sensing, Biogeosciences, 16, 2693-2713, 10.5194/bg-16-2693-2019, 2019.

Juhls, B., Stedmon, C. A., Morgenstern, A., Meyer, H., Hölemann, J., Heim, B., Povazhnyi, V., and Overduin, P. P.: Identifying Drivers of Seasonality in Lena River Biogeochemistry and Dissolved Organic Matter Fluxes, Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8, 10.3389/fenvs.2020.00053, 2020.

Kaiser, K., Benner, R., and Amon, R. M. W.: The fate of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon on the Eurasian shelves and export to the North Atlantic, J Geophys Res Oceans, 122, 4-22, 10.1002/2016jc012380, 2017a.

Kaiser, K., Canedo-Oropeza, M., McMahon, R., and Amon, R. M. W.: Origins and transformations of dissolved organic matter in large Arctic rivers, Sci Rep Uk, 7, ARTN 13064, 10.1038/s41598-017-12729-1, 2017b.

Kattner, G., Lobbes, J. M., Fitznar, H. P., Engbrodt, R., Nothig, E. M., and Lara, R. J.: Tracing dissolved organic substances and nutrients from the Lena River through Laptev Sea (Arctic), Mar Chem, 65, 25-39, 10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00008-0, 1999.

Kattner, G., Juhls, B., Heim, B.: Surface water dissolved organic matter (DOC, CDOM) in the Lena River. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.898705, 2010.

Köhler, H., Meon, B., Gordeev, V. V., Spitzy, A., and Amon, R. M. W.: Dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the estuaries of Ob and Yenisei and the adjacent Kara Sea, Russia, in: Siberian river run-off in the Kara Sea, edited by: Stein, R., Fahl, K., Fütterer, D. K., Galimov, E. M., and Stepanets, O. V., Proceedings in Marine Science, 6, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 281-308, 2003.

Kotchetov, S. V., Kulakov, I. Y., Kurajov, V. K., Timokhov, L. A., and Vanda, Y. A.: Hydrometeorological regime of the Laptev Sea, Federal Service of Russia for Hydrometeorology and Monitoring of the Environment, Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia, 85, 1994.

Krumpen, T., Janout, M., Hodges, K. I., Gerdes, R., Girard-Ardhuin, F., Holemann, J. A., and Willmes, S.: Variability and trends in Laptev Sea ice outflow between 1992-2011, Cryosphere, 7, 349-363, 10.5194/tc-7-349-2013, 2013.

Kwok, R., and Morison, J.: Dynamic topography of the ice-covered Arctic Ocean from ICESat, Geophys Res Lett, 38, Artn L02501, 10.1029/2010gl046063, 2011.

Lara, R. J., Rachold, V., Kattner, G., Hubberten, H. W., Guggenberger, G., Skoog, A., and Thomas, D. N.: Dissolved organic matter and nutrients in the Lena River, Siberian Arctic: Characteristics and distribution, Mar Chem, 59, 301-309, Doi 10.1016/S0304-4203(97)00076-5, 1998.

Letscher, R. T., Hansell, D. A., and Kadko, D.: Rapid removal of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon over the Eurasian shelves of the Arctic Ocean, Mar Chem, 123, 78-87, 10.1016/j.marchem.2010.10.002, 2011.

Logvinova, C. L., Frey, K. E., and Cooper, L. W.: The potential role of sea-ice melt in the distribution of chromophoric dissolved organic matter in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 130, 28-42, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2016.04.017, 2016.

Macdonald, R. W., Paton, D. W., Carmack, E. C., and Omstedt, A.: The Fresh-Water Budget and under-Ice Spreading of Mackenzie River Water in the Canadian Beaufort Sea Based on Salinity and O-18/O-16 Measurements in Water and Ice, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 100, 895-919, 10.1029/94jc02700, 1995.

Mann, P. J., Davydova, A., Zimov, N., Spencer, R. G. M., Davydov, S., Bulygina, E., Zimov, S., and Holmes, R. M.: Controls on the composition and lability of dissolved organic matter in Siberia's Kolyma River basin, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 117, Artn G01028, 10.1029/2011jg001798, 2012.

Mann, P. J., Spencer, R. G. M., Hernes, P. J., Six, J., Aiken, G. R., Tank, S. E., McClelland, J. W., Butler, K. D., Dyda, R. Y., and Holmes, R. M.: Pan-Arctic Trends in Terrestrial Dissolved Organic Matter from Optical Measurements, Front Earth Sc-Switz, 4, UNSP 25, 10.3389/feart.2016.00025, 2016.

Mathis, J. T., Hansell, D. A., and Bates, N. R.: Strong hydrographic controls on spatial and seasonal variability of dissolved organic carbon in the Chukchi Sea, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 52, 3245-3258, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2005.10.002, 2005.

Matsuoka, A., Bricaud, A., Benner, R., Para, J., Sempere, R., Prieur, L., Belanger, S., and Babin, M.: Tracing the transport of colored dissolved organic matter in water masses of the Southern Beaufort Sea: relationship with hydrographic characteristics, Biogeosciences, 9, 925-940, 10.5194/bg-9-925-2012, 2012.

Matsuoka, A., Boss, E., Babin, M., Karp-Boss, L., Hafez, M., Chekalyuk, A., Proctor, C. W., Werdell, P. J., and Bricaud, A.: Pan-Arctic optical characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter: Tracing dissolved organic carbon in changing Arctic waters using satellite ocean color data, Remote Sens Environ, 200, 89-101, 10.1016/j.rse.2017.08.009, 2017.

McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Peterson, B. J., and Stieglitz, M.: Increasing river discharge in the Eurasian Arctic: Consideration of dams, permafrost thaw, and fires as potential agents of change, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 109, Artn D18102, 10.1029/2004jd004583, 2004.

Morison, J., Kwok, R., Peralta-Ferriz, C., Alkire, M., Rigor, I., Andersen, R., and Steele, M.: Changing Arctic Ocean freshwater pathways, Nature, 481, 66-70, 10.1038/nature10705, 2012.

Müller, S., Vahatalo, A. V., Stedmon, C. A., Granskog, M. A., Norman, L., Aslam, S. N., Underwood, G. J. C., Dieckmann, G. S., and Thomas, D. N.: Selective incorporation of dissolved organic matter (DOM) during sea ice formation, Mar Chem, 155, 148-157, 10.1016/j.marchem.2013.06.008, 2013.

Osburn, C. L., Retamal, L., and Vincent, W. F.: Photoreactivity of chromophoric dissolved organic matter transported by the Mackenzie River to the Beaufort Sea, Mar Chem, 115, 10-20, 10.1016/j.marchem.2009.05.003, 2009.

1335 Overland, J. E., Wang, M. Y., and Box, J. E.: An integrated index of recent pan-Arctic climate change, Environ Res Lett, 14, ARTN 035006, 10.1088/1748-9326/aaf665, 2019.

Pavlov, A. K., Stedmon, C. A., Semushin, A. V., Martma, T., Ivanov, B. V., Kowalczuk, P., and Granskog, M. A.: Linkages between the circulation and distribution of dissolved organic matter in the White Sea, Arctic Ocean, Cont Shelf Res, 119, 1-13, 10.1016/j.csr.2016.03.004, 2016.

1340 Perovich, D., Richter-Menge, J., Polashenski, C., Elder, B., Arbetter, T., and Brennick, O.: Sea ice mass balance observations from the North Pole Environmental Observatory, Geophys Res Lett, 41, 2019-2025, 10.1002/2014gl059356, 2014.

Plaza, C., Pegoraro, E., Bracho, R., Celis, G., Crummer, K. G., Hutchings, J. A., Pries, C. E. H., Mauritz, M., Natali, S. M., Salmon, V. G., Schadel, C., Webb, E. E., and Schuur, E. A. G.: Direct observation of permafrost degradation and rapid soil carbon loss in tundra, Nat Geosci, 12, 627-+, 10.1038/s41561-019-0387-6, 2019.

1345 Prokushkin, A. S., Pokrovsky, O. S., Shirokova, L. S., Korets, M. A., Viers, J., Prokushkin, S. G., Amon, R. M. W., Guggenberger, G., and McDowell, W. H.: Sources and the flux pattern of dissolved carbon in rivers of the Yenisey basin draining the Central Siberian Plateau, Environ Res Lett, 6, Artn 045212, 10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045212, 2011.

Pugach, S. P., and Pipko, I. I.: Dynamics of colored dissolved matter on the East Siberian sea shelf, Dokl Earth Sci, 448, 153-156, 10.1134/S1028334x12120173, 2013.

1350 Pugach, S. P., Pipko, I. I., Shakhova, N. E., Shirshin, E. A., Perminova, I. V., Gustafsson, O., Bondur, V. G., Ruban, A. S., and Semiletov, I. P.: Dissolved organic matter and its optical characteristics in the Laptev and East Siberian seas: spatial distribution and interannual variability (2003-2011), Ocean Sci, 14, 87-103, 10.5194/os-14-87-2018, 2018.

Rawlins, M. A., Steele, M., Holland, M. M., Adam, J. C., Cherry, J. E., Francis, J. A., Groisman, P. Y., Hinzman, L. D., Huntington, T. G., Kane, D. L., Kimball, J. S., Kwok, R., Lammers, R. B., Lee, C. M., Lettenmaier, D. P., McDonald, K. C.,

1355 Podest, E., Pundsack, J. W., Rudels, B., Serreze, M. C., Shiklomanov, A., Skagseth, O., Troy, T. J., Vorosmarty, C. J., Wensnahan, M., Wood, E. F., Woodgate, R., Yang, D. Q., Zhang, K., and Zhang, T. J.: Analysis of the Arctic System for Freshwater Cycle Intensification: Observations and Expectations, J Climate, 23, 5715-5737, 10.1175/2010jcli3421.1, 2010.

Raymond, P. A., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Zhulidov, A. V., Mull, K., Peterson, B. J., Striegl, R. G., Aiken, G. R., and Gurtovaya, T. Y.: Flux and age of dissolved organic carbon exported to the Arctic Ocean: A carbon isotopic study of the

1360 five largest arctic rivers, Global Biogeochem Cy, 21, Artn Gb4011, 10.1029/2007gb002934, 2007.

Schlitzer, R.: Interactive analysis and visualization of geoscience data with Ocean Data View, Comput Geosci-Uk, 28, 1211-1218, Pii S0098-3004(02)00040-7, 10.1016/S0098-3004(02)00040-7, 2002.

Schlosser, P., Bauch, D., Fairbanks, R., and Bonisch, G.: Arctic River-Runoff - Mean Residence Time on the Shelves and in the Halocline, Deep-Sea Res Pt I, 41, 1053-1068, 10.1016/0967-0637(94)90018-3, 1994.

1365 Selyuzhenok, V., Krumpen, T., Mahoney, A., Janout, M., and Gerdes, R.: Seasonal and interannual variability of fast ice extent in the southeastern Laptev Sea between 1999 and 2013, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 120, 7791-7806, 10.1002/2015jc011135, 2015.

Semiletov, I., Pipko, I., Gustafsson, O., Anderson, L. G., Sergienko, V., Pugach, S., Dudarev, O., Charkin, A., Gukov, A., Broder, L., Andersson, A., Spivak, E., and Shakhova, N.: Acidification of East Siberian Arctic Shelf waters through addition of freshwater and terrestrial carbon, Nat Geosci, 9, 361 +, 10.1038/ngeo2695, 2016.

Shin, K. H., and Tanaka, N.: Distribution of dissolved organic matter in the eastern Bering Sea, Chukchi Sea (Barrow Canyon) and Beaufort Sea, Geophys Res Lett, 31, Artn L24304, 10.1029/2004gl021039, 2004.

Soppa, M. A., Pefanis, V., Hellmann, S., Losa, S. N., Holemann, J., Martynov, F., Heim, B., Janout, M. A., Dinter, T., Rozanov, V., and Bracher, A.: Assessing the Influence of Water Constituents on the Radiative Heating of Laptev Sea Shelf Waters, Front Mar Sci, 6, UNSP 221, 10.3389/fmars.2019.00221, 2019.

Spreen, G., Kaleschke, L., and Heygster, G.: Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89-GHz channels, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 113, Artn C02s03, 10.1029/2005jc003384, 2008.

Stedmon, C. A., Amon, R. M. W., Rinehart, A. J., and Walker, S. A.: The supply and characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the Arctic Ocean: Pan-Arctic trends and differences, Mar Chem, 124, 108-118, 10.1016/j.marchem.2010.12.007, 2011.

Tanaka, K., Takesue, N., Nishioka, J., Kondo, Y., Ooki, A., Kuma, K., Hirawake, T., and Yamashita, Y.: The conservative behavior of dissolved organic carbon in surface waters of the southern Chukchi Sea, Arctic Ocean, during early summer, Sci Rep-Uk, 6, ARTN 34123, 10.1038/srep34123, 2016.

Tank, S. E., Striegl, R. G., McClelland, J. W., and Kokelj, S. V.: Multi-decadal increases in dissolved organic carbon and alkalinity flux from the Mackenzie drainage basin to the Arctic Ocean, Environ Res Lett, 11, Artn 054015, 10.1088/1748-9326/11/5/054015, 2016.

Timmermans, M. L., and Marshall, J.: Understanding Arctic Ocean Circulation: A Review of Ocean Dynamics in a Changing Climate, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, e2018JC014378, 10.1029/2018jc014378, 2020.

Timmermans, M. L., Labe, Z., and Ladd, C.: Sea Surface Temperature [in "State of the Climate in 2019"], B Am Meteorol Soc, 101(8), 249-251, https:doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0086.1, 2020b.

Wegner, C., Wittbrodt, K., Holemann, J. A., Janout, M. A., Krumpen, T., Selyuzhenok, V., Novikhin, A., Polyakova, Y., Krykova, I., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Sediment entrainment into sea ice and transport in the Transpolar Drift: A case study from the Laptev Sea in winter 2011/2012, Cont Shelf Res, 141, 1-10, 10.1016/j.csr.2017.04.010, 2017.

Acknowledgements. We thank the crews and colleagues aboard the research vessels involved in sampling. Our thanks also go to the helicopter pilots, the staff of the airport in Tiksi and the colleagues who made the winter expedition possible. We ~~are grateful to~~also thank the colleagues of the Russian–German Otto Schmidt Laboratory in St. Petersburg for support and accessibility of laboratory instruments for sample analysis. We are grateful to P. Kowalczuk and a anonymous reviewer for the constructive comments on the manuscript. Our special thanks go to Heidemarie Kassens who headed all summer and winter expeditions and made sure that the scientific programme could be carried out as planned even under the most difficult

conditions. We greatly acknowledge Leonid A. Timokhov, Heidemarie Kassens and Vladimir V. Ivanov for coordinating the German-Russian Laptev Sea System project.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Financial Support. Financial support for the Laptev Sea System project was provided by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Grants BMBF 03F0776 and 03G0833) and the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (project RFMEFI61619X0108). The Marine expedition TRANSARKTIKA 2019 (TA19_4) was organized by the State Research Centre of the Russian Federation "Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute" (Russian Federal Service on Hydrometeorology and Environmental Protection). BJ was supported by Geo. X, the Research Network for Geosciences in Berlin and Potsdam (grant no. SO_087_GeoX).

Data availability.

The datasets used for this study (Table 1) can be downloaded from the Pangaea website (Data Publisher for Earth & Environmental Science)

Author contribution. JH was responsible for sample collection, data analysis and the organisation and writing of the manuscript. BJ, MJ and DB contributed with discussions and data collection at sea. BK analysed the DOC samples and contributed with discussions. BH contributed with discussions and data records. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Disclaimer. This article reflects only the authors' views; the funding agencies and their executive agencies are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information that the article contains.