

**Mercury mobility, colloid formation and methylation in a polluted fluvisol as affected by manure application and flooding-draining cycle.**

Lorenz Gfeller<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Weber<sup>1</sup>, Isabelle Worms<sup>2</sup>, Vera I. Slaveykova<sup>2</sup>, Adrien Mestrot<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Hallerstrasse 12, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Environmental Biogeochemistry and Ecotoxicology, Department F.-A. Forel for environmental and aquatic sciences, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, University of Geneva, Uni Carl Vogt, Bvd Carl-Vogt 66, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

*Correspondence to:* Adrien Mestrot ([adrien.mestrot@giub.unibe.ch](mailto:adrien.mestrot@giub.unibe.ch))

## Abstract

Floodplain soils polluted with high levels of mercury (Hg) are potential point sources to downstream ecosystems. Repeated flooding (e.g. redox cycling) and agricultural activities (e.g. organic matter addition) may influence the fate and speciation of Hg in these soil systems. The formation and aggregation of colloids and particles influences both Hg mobility and its bioavailability to methylmercury (MeHg) forming microbes. In this study, we conducted a microcosm flooding-draining experiment on Hg polluted floodplain soils originating from an agriculturally used area situated in the Rhone Valley (Valais, Switzerland). The experiment comprised two 14 days flooding periods separated by one 14 days draining period. The effect of freshly added natural organic matter on Hg dynamics was assessed by adding liquid cow manure (+MNR) to two soils characterized by different Hg ( $47.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  or  $2.38 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) and organic carbon (OC: 1.92 wt. % or 3.45 wt. %) contents. During the experiment, the release, colloid formation of Hg in soil solution and the net MeHg production in the soil were monitored. Upon manure addition in the highly polluted soil (lower OC), an accelerated release of Hg to the soil solution could be linked to a fast reductive dissolution of Mn oxides. The manure treatments showed a fast sequestration of Hg and a higher percentage of particulate (0.02 – 10  $\mu\text{m}$ ) bound Hg. As well, analyses of soil solutions by asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation coupled with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (AF4-ICP-MS) revealed a relative increase of colloidal Hg bound to dissolved organic matter (Hg-DOM) and inorganic colloidal Hg (70 - 100 %) upon manure addition. Our experiment shows a net MeHg production the first flooding and draining period and a subsequent decrease in absolute MeHg concentrations after the second flooding period. Manure addition did not change net MeHg production significantly in the incubated soils. The results of this study suggest that manure addition may promote Hg sequestration by Hg complexation on large organic matter components and the formation and aggregation of inorganic  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  colloids in Hg polluted fluvisols with low levels of natural organic matter.

## 1. Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a pollutant of global concern due to its high toxicity and to its global biogeochemical cycle which spans all environmental compartments (atmosphere, oceans, soils etc.) (Beckers and Rinklebe, 2017; AMAP/UN Environment, 2019). Sediments and soils are major Hg pools with relatively long residence times (Amos et al., 2013; Driscoll et al., 2013). Legacy Hg from industrial sites (e.g. chloralkali plants or mining areas) retained in soils are a key source for present day atmospheric Hg (Amos et al., 2013). However, this retained Hg pool can also be remobilized by landscape alteration, land use (e.g. fertilization, manure addition) or climate induced changes such as drought-flood-drought cycles of soils (Singer et al., 2016). These inputs are a threat to downstream ecosystems and human health due to release of inorganic Hg and the formation and bioaccumulation of toxic monomethylmercury (MeHg) in both aquatic and terrestrial food chains (Bigham et al., 2017).

Mercury is redox sensitive and occurs mainly as elemental  $\text{Hg}^0$ , inorganic  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  or in the form of MeHg in soils. In general, Hg speciation in soils depends on the biogeochemical conditions. For example, in natural organic matter (NOM) rich boreal peatlands and forest soils, Hg is primarily bound to thiol-groups of NOM (NOM-Hg), associated with  $\text{FeS}_{(s)}$  or found as cinnabar ( $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$ ) or meta-cinnabar ( $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$ ). These species are the thermodynamically most favored forms of Hg in these environments (Skylberg et al., 2006; Skylberg and Drott, 2010; Biester et al., 2002). However, Hg sorbed on the surfaces of manganese (Mn), iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al) oxy-hydroxides may also represent important Hg-pools in soils with low amounts of NOM (Guedron et al., 2009).

The fate of Hg in soils is still not well characterized, and its mobilization and sequestration in soil depends on a variety of factors and mechanisms. The release of Hg to the soil solution and its further transport has been associated with the mobilization of NOM (Kronberg et al., 2016; Eklöf et al., 2018; Åkerblom et al., 2008), copper (Cu) nano-particles (Hofacker et al., 2013) or the reductive dissolution of Fe/Mn-oxyhydroxides (Frohne et al., 2012; Gygax et al., 2019; Poulin et al., 2016). Earlier studies reported a relatively rapid decrease of dissolved Hg after its release upon flooding in various riparian settings (Hofacker et al., 2013; Poulin et al., 2016; Gygax et al., 2019). Possible pathways for this decrease are  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  reduction to  $\text{Hg}^0$ , sorption to recalcitrant NOM, formation of meta-cinnabar  $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$  or co-precipitation of Hg in sulphides (e.g.  $\text{FeS}_{(s)}$ ) or metallic particles.

Metallic colloids in soil may be formed by biomineralization during soil reduction or precipitation in the root zone and potentially incorporate toxic trace elements like Hg (Weber et al., 2009; Manceau et al., 2008). These colloids may increase the mobility and persistence of toxic trace metals in soil solution if they do not aggregate to bigger particles. During a flooding incubation experiment, Hofacker et al. (2013) observed the incorporation of Hg in Cu nano-particles, which were shown to be formed by fermentative bacteria species (Hofacker et al., 2015). Colloidal  $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$  has been reported to form abiotically in soils under oxic conditions directly by interaction with thiol-groups of NOM (Manceau et al., 2015). In solution, Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM) has a major influence in the formation and aggregation of metallic colloids and particles. It may promote the dissolution of  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  phases, decelerate the aggregation and growth of  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  colloids as well as affect the crystallinity of  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  phases (Miller et al., 2007; Ravichandran et al., 1998; Gerbig et al., 2011; Poulin et al., 2017; Pham et al., 2014). Same effects were also ob-

served for other metal sulphide-, oxide- or carbonate colloids (Aiken et al., 2011; Deonarine et al., 2011). In case of Hg, inhibition of  $\beta$ -HgS<sub>(s)</sub> formation may in turn increase its mobility and bioavailability to MeHg producing microorganisms (Deonarine and Hsu-Kim, 2009; Ravichandran et al., 1999; Aiken et al., 2011; Graham et al., 2012). Chelation of Hg with higher molecular weight NOM may as well inhibit the microbial availability of Hg (Bravo et al., 2017). Within Hg–NOM, hydrophobic, thiol rich NOM with higher molecular weight contain a higher density of strong sorption sites (thiol groups) (Haitzer et al., 2002). However, different ligand exchange reactions (e.g. carboxyl-groups to thiol groups) kinetically control this sorption and thus the bioavailability of dissolved Hg in aqueous systems (Miller et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2009; Liang et al., 2019). The partly contradicting statements above illustrate the complex role of NOM and DOM on the Hg cycle and Hg bioavailability and the need for more research in this field.

The formation of MeHg from inorganic Hg<sup>2+</sup> has been shown to be primarily microbially driven. Environments of redox oscillation (e.g. floodplains, estuaries) represent hot spots for Hg methylation (Marvin-DiPasquale et al., 2014; Bigham et al., 2017). Mercury methylators are usually anaerobe microbial species such as sulphate reducers (SRB), Fe reducers (FeRB), archaea and some firmicutes (Gilmour et al., 2013). Generally, Hg is bioavailable to methylators in the form of dissolved Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Hg complexed by labile DOM, Hg bearing inorganic nanoparticles (e.g. FeS<sub>(s)</sub>, HgS<sub>(s)</sub>) but is less available when complexed by particulate organic matter (Hg–POM) or larger inorganic particles (Chiasson-Gould et al., 2014; Graham et al., 2013; Rivera et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2012; Jonsson et al., 2012). Further, DOM is a main driver of Hg methylation as it influences both bioavailability and microbial activity. The role of DOM as electron donor may enhance the microbial activity and thus the cellular uptake. The composition and origin of DOM were reported to change Hg methylation rates (Drott et al., 2007; Bravo et al., 2017). For example, Bravo et al. (2017) showed that in lake sediments, terrestrial derived DOM led to slower methylation rates than phytoplankton derived DOM. The addition of DOM in form of organic amendments (e.g. manure, rice straw, biochar) has been reported to have both an enhancing (Gygax et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019; Eckley et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020) or no effect (Zhu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016) on the net MeHg production in soils. Further, organic amendments were reported to shift microbial communities. Both the enhancement of Hg demethylators, Hg reducers (Hu et al., 2019) as well as the enhancement Hg methylators upon organic amendments were reported (Tang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). Environments of elevated Hg methylation (riparian zone, estuary) are also places of elevated NOM degradation and mineralization due to temporal changes in redox conditions. The degradation of large NOM to more bioavailable low molecular weight (LMW) compounds promoted by microbial Mn oxidation, especially in systems with neutral pH (Jones et al., 2018; Sunda and Kieber, 1994; Ma et al., 2020), is also hypothesized to increase bioavailability of Hg–NOM. However, amendments of Mn oxides were also shown to inhibit Fe, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> reducing conditions and thus MeHg formation in sediments (Vlassopoulos et al., 2018).

Hg methylation and mobilization is intensively studied in paddy field soils and peat soils due to their relevance in food production or the Hg global cycle (Wang et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2016; Kronberg et al., 2016; Skjellberg, 2008; Skjellberg et al., 2006). However, only few studies focused on Hg methylation and mobility in temperate floodplain soils (Frohne et al., 2012; Hofacker et al., 2013; Gilli et al., 2018; Poulin et al., 2016; Lazareva et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Beckers

et al., 2019). As well, few studies have examined the effect of flooding and/or land use (NOM addition in the form of animal manure) in polluted soils with respect to Hg release and methylation potential (Tang et al., 2018; Gygax et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018; Hofacker et al., 2013; Frohne et al., 2012). Furthermore, most of these studies were focusing on soils with rather high OC levels (5 – 10 wt. %) and only few researchers have addressed the decrease of Hg in soil solution of flooded soils over time, including the fate of colloidal Hg.

This work focused on the effect of the agricultural practices on the Hg mobility and methylation in a real-world contaminated fluvisol with specific emphasis on the flooding-draining cycle and manure addition. By conducting microcosm experiments, we studied the effect of these cycles and manure addition on 1.) the release and sequestration of Hg, 2.) the methylation of Hg and 3.) the evolution of colloidal and particulate Hg in soil solution. The latter was studied by analyzing different soil solution filter fractions (0.02 and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ ) as well as analyzing selected samples by asymmetric flow field flow fractionation coupled to a UV<sub>vis</sub> detector, a fluorescence detector and an ICP–MS (AF4–ICP–MS). Based on the presented state of knowledge, we hypothesise that the manure addition would accelerate the release of Hg by accelerated reductive dissolution of Mn-oxyhydroxides in these soils and eventually change Hg speciation in the system towards Hg-NOM complexes and  $\beta$ -HgS<sub>(s)</sub> colloids.

## **2. Methods and Materials**

### **2.1. Sample collection**

We sampled soil from agriculturally used fields in the alpine Rhone Valley in Wallis, Switzerland on September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2019. The fields are situated in a former floodplain next to the artificial “Grossgrundkanal” canal. This canal was built in the 1900s to drain the floodplain and as a buffer for the waste water releases of an chemical plant upstream historically using Hg in different processes (chlor-alkali electrolysis, acetaldehyde- and vinyl chloride production). The soils on the floodplain were subjected to Hg pollution from this plant between the 1930s and the 1970s, mostly through the removal and dispersion of the canal sediments onto the agricultural fields (Glenz and Escher, 2011). After heavy rain events, the fields are subjected to draining-flooding cycles (Fig. S1) and have been identified as potential hotspots for Hg methylation and release (Gygax et al., 2019). For this study, soil was sampled from a cornfield and a pasture field next to the canal. A map and the coordinates of the sampling locations is provided in the supplement (Fig. S1, Table S1). At each site, a composite sample of approx. 10 kg of soil was sampled between 0 – 20 cm depth from ten points on the fields. The soil samples were named after their relative pollution and organic carbon levels (High Mercury, Low Carbon (HMLC) and Low Mercury, High Carbon (LMHC), see Part 4.3 below for details on the soils. After sampling, roots were removed, and the fresh soil was sieved to < 2 mm grain size, further homogenized, split in two parts and stored on ice in airtight PE Bags for transport to the laboratory. Additionally, approx. 2 L of liquid cow manure was sampled from a close-by cattle farm. One aliquot of the samples was stored at - 20° C until further processing. The remaining part was used for the incubation experiment within 12 h after sampling. A detailed description of the site and sampling procedures is given in the supplement (Sect. S1).

## 2.2 Microcosm Experiments

An initial incubation was conducted in 10 L HDPE containers in the dark for seven days in an atmosphere of 22 °C and 60 % relative humidity (RH) in order to equilibrate the soils and to prevent a peak of microbial respiration induced by the soil sieving before the onset of the experiment (Fig. 1). After the initial incubation period soils were used in the flooding and draining experiments, which were conducted in 1 L borosilicate glass aspirator bottles (Fig. S2). The environment created through soil flooding in these bottles will be called microcosm (MC) in the following text. Microcosm experiments were performed in experimental triplicate and named after the relative Hg- and organic carbon levels of the used soil (HMLC and LMHC) and the treatment with or without manure addition (added +MNR). The MCs were equipped with an acid washed suction cup with a pore size of  $< 10 \mu\text{m}$  (model: 4313.7/ETH, ecoTech Umwelt-Meßsysteme GmbH, Bonn, Germany). In the following sequence, 700 g of artificial rainwater ( $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  11.6  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ /  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  7.85  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ /  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  1.11  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ /  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  1.31  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ /  $\text{CaCl}_2$  4.32  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) was added to the MCs. For the manure treatment, 0.6 % (w/w) (3 g) of liquid cow manure was added to the MCs corresponding to one application of liquid manure on a cornfield following the principles of fertilization of agricultural crops in Switzerland (Richner and Sinaj, 2017) and finally fresh soil was added with a soil<sub>dry</sub>:water ratio of 1:1.4 (w/w) (Fig. S3). Then, the MCs were gently shaken for at least one minute to remove any remaining air bubbles in the soil and pore space. An additional mixture of fresh soil artificial rainwater (1:1.4 (w/w)) was shaken for 6 h to assess the equilibration of the solid and liquid phase during the experiment. The MCs were covered with Parafilm®, transferred to the incubation chamber (APT.line™ KBWF, Binder, Tuttlingen, Germany) and incubated in the dark for 14 days in atmosphere of 22 °C and 60 % RH. The incubation temperature was chosen to be close to the daily mean soil temperature in 10 cm depth during summer months between 2015–2019 (21.4 °C) at the closest soil temperature monitoring station (Sion, VS, provided by MeteoSwiss) situated downstream. After the first flooding period, the supernatant water was pipetted off, and remaining water was sampled through the suction cups to drain the MCs. They were weighted before and after water removal. Then, approximately 25 g of moist soil was sampled by two to three scoops through the whole soils column using a disposable lab spoon. The MCs were kept drained in an atmosphere of 22 °C and 10 % RH for 14 days. For the second flooding period, the MCs were again flooded with 500 g of artificial rainwater and incubated for another 14 days in an atmosphere of 22 °C and 60 % RH (Fig. 1). After the incubation, the suction cups were removed, the soils were homogenized and then transferred from the MC to a PE bag and stored at -20 °C until further processing.

## 2.3 Soil and manure characterization

Frozen soil and manure samples were freeze dried to avoid a loss of Hg prior to analyses (Hojdová et al., 2015), ground using an automatic ball mill (MM400, Retsch, Haan, Germany) and analyzed for the following chemical parameters. Carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and sulfur (S) were measured with an elemental analyzer (vario EL cube, Elementar Analysensysteme, Langenselbold, Germany). Organic Carbon (OC) was calculated by subtracting the C concentration of a loss on ignition sample (550 °C for 2 h) from the original C concentration. pH was measured in an equilibrated 0.01 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution (1:5 soil:liquid ratio). Mineral composition was measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD, CubiX<sup>3</sup>, Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, United Kingdom). Trace and major metals (e.g. Fe, Mn, Cu) and

Hg were extracted from soils using a 15.8 M nitric acid microwave digestion and measured using an Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS, 7700x, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, United States of America). Methylmercury was selectively extracted with HCl and dichloromethane (DCM) using an adapted method described elsewhere (Gygax et al., 2019). We modified this method to achieve high throughput (64 Samples per run) and measurements by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC, 1200 Series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, United States of America) coupled to the ICP-MS. Details on laboratory materials, extractions, analytical methods and instrumentation are provided in the supplement (Sects. S2, S3). The change in MeHg concentration in the MCs were likely a result of the simultaneous production and degradation of MeHg. Thus, the term “net MeHg production” was used to represent these processes. We calculated the relative net MeHg production during the incubation as the relative difference of MeHg/Hg ratios between two time points (t) using Eq. (1).

$$net\ MeHg\ production\ (\%) = \frac{\left( \frac{MeHg}{Hg}_{t_{i-1}} - \frac{MeHg}{Hg}_{t_i} \right)}{\frac{MeHg}{Hg}_{t_{i-1}}} \times 100$$

(1)

## 2.4 Soil description

Both soils were identified as *Fluvisols gleyic*. They have a silt loam texture, the same mineral composition but differing Hg and organic carbon ( $C_{org}$ ) concentrations (Table 1). For elements relevant for Hg cycling, Hg molar ratios (Hg:Cu, Hg: $C_{org}$ , Hg:Mn) differ between samples and soils used in similar incubation experiments (Hofacker et al., 2013; Poulin et al., 2016). We note that the  $[C_{org}/Mn]_{molar}$  was 30 % higher in the LMHC soil compared to HMLC. X-Ray diffractograms of both soils are shown in Fig. S4. The soils diffractograms are overlapping each other and the qualitative analyses of the diffractograms show that the soils parental material is composed of the same five main mineral phases, quartz, albite, orthoclase, illite/muskovite, calcite.

## 2.5 Soil solution sampling and analyses

Soil solution was sampled 0.25, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14 days after the onset of each flooding period respectively (Fig. 1, Fig. S5). It was sampled through the tubing connected to the suction cup ( $< 10\ \mu m$  pre size). The first 2 ml were sampled with a syringe and discarded to prime the system and condition the tubing. After, 4 ml were drawn through an airtight flow-through system to measure the redox potential (Hg/HgCl ORP electrode) and pH. Then, approximately 35 ml of soil solution were sampled using a self-made syringe pump system allowing for a regular flow and minimal remobilization of fine particles. Like this, 4-6 % of the added artificial rainwater volume was sampled at each sampling point (Fig. S3). Throughout the experiment the soils remained entirely submerged. At each sampling time, sample splits were preserved without further filtration ( $< 10\ \mu m$ ) and filtered at  $0.02\ \mu m$  (Whatman® Anodisc  $0.02\ \mu m$ , Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, United States of America). Additionally, at 2,5 and 9 days an additional sample split was filtered at  $0.45\ \mu m$  (Polytetrafluoroethylene Hydrophilic, BGB, Boeckten, Switzerland) for colloid characterization. Incubation experiment blanks were taken by sampling MilliQ water through from an empty 1 L borosilicate aspirator bottle 3 times throughout the experiment. Subsequently, the samples were subdivided and treated for different analyses. They were preserved in 1 %  $HNO_3$  for multi elemental analysis (Mn, Fe,

Cu, As) and in 1 % HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 % HCl for Hg analysis and analyzed by ICP–MS. For major anion (Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) and cation (K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>) measurements, samples were diluted 1:4 in ultra-pure water and analyzed by Ion Chromatography (Dionex Aquion™, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, United States of America). Samples for Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC), Particulate Organic Carbon POC and Total Nitrogen Bound (TN<sub>b</sub>) were diluted 1:5 and stabilized using 10 µl of 10 % HCl and measured using an Elemental Analyzer (vario TOC cube, Elementar Analysensysteme, Langenselbold, Germany). Incubation experiment blanks were below 4.75 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 22.4 µg L<sup>-1</sup> for DOC and TN<sub>b</sub>, respectively. These relatively high blank values might originate from either the syringes or the suction cups (Siemens and Kaupenjohann, 2003). Uncertainties of soil solution parameters are displayed as 1SD of the triplicate incubation experiments throughout the manuscript. HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations were estimated based on the ionic charge balance of the soil solution using VisMinteq (<https://vminteq.lwr.kth.se/>). A detailed schedule and list of analyses is provided in Figure 1. Concentrations of specific filtered fractions are labelled with subscripts (e.g. HgT<sub><0.02µm</sub>) for all measured metals. Particulate concentrations (0.02 µm < X < 10 µm) (e.g. P-Fe) and its proportion to the total (e.g. P-Mn<sub>rel</sub>) were determined as the difference between unfiltered and filtered concentration (Table 2).

## 2.6 Characterization of Colloids (AF4)

An aliquot of the soil solution was used for characterization of colloids in one out of three replicate MCs (Rep1) of each treatment on days 2, 5, 9 days after the onset of each flooding period respectively. Right after sampling, the aliquots were transferred to a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in a glove box. There, the samples were filtered to < 0.45 µm and preserved in airtight borosilicate headspace vials at 4 °C. Colloidal size fractions and elemental concentrations of the filtrates were analyzed by Asymmetrical Flow Field-Flow Fractionation (AF4, AF2000, Postnova analytic, Landsberg am Lech, Germany) coupled to a UV<sub>254nm</sub> absorbance detector (UV, SPD-M20A, Shimazu, Reinach, Switzerland), a Fluorescence detector (FLD, RF-20A, Shimazu, Reinach, Switzerland) and an ICP–MS (7700x, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, United States of America) within 14 days after sampling. Colloids contained in 1 mL of samples were separated in a channel made of a trapezoidal spacer of 350 µm thickness and a regenerated cellulose membrane with a nominal cut-off of 1 kDa used as accumulation wall. The mobile phase used for AF4 elution was 10 mM NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> at pH 7 and was degassed prior entering the channel by argon flowing. A linear decrease of cross-flow from 2 to 0 mL min<sup>-1</sup> over 20 min was used after injecting the samples at an initial crossflow of 2.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. At the end of a run, the crossflow was kept at 0 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for 5 min in order to elute non-fractionated particles. Retention times were transformed into hydrodynamic diameters (d<sub>h</sub>) by an external calibration using Hemocyanin Type VIII from *Limulus polyphemus* hemolymph (monomer d<sub>h</sub> = 7 nm, Sigma-Aldrich) and ultra-uniform gold nanoparticles (Nanocomposix) of known d<sub>h</sub> (19 nm and 39 nm). Additionally, the elution of the smallest retention times (d<sub>h</sub> < 10 nm) were converted into molecular masses (Mw) using PSS standards (Postnova analytic, Landsberg am Lech, Germany) with Mw ranging from 1.1 to 64 kDa (Fig. S6), using AF4-UVD<sub>254nm</sub>. Fractograms obtained in Counts Per Seconds (CPS) from Time Resolved Analysis (TRA) acquisition were converted to µg L<sup>-1</sup> using external calibrations made from a multi-element standard solution (ICP multi-element standard solution VI, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) diluted in 1 % HNO<sub>3</sub> or a Hg standard (ICP inorganic Hg standard solu-



tion, TraceCERT®, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, United States of America) diluted in 1.0 % HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 % HCl. The different size fractions were obtained by multiple extreme-shaped peak fitting, using OriginPro 2018 software (OriginLab Corporation). The peaks obtained were then integrated individually, after conversion of elution time to elution volume, to provide the quantity of Hg in each size fractions (Dublet et al., 2019). The analytes passing the 1 kDa membrane are considered as the (< 1 kDa) truly dissolved fraction. It was calculated by subtracting the concentrations of colloidal HgT recovered by AF4–ICP–MS (total integration of the Hg signals) to the total dissolved HgT concentrations measured separately by ICP–MS in corresponding acidified samples. The concentration of truly dissolved Hg is displayed as HgT<sub><1kDa</sub> for the rest of the article (Table 1). AF4–ICP–MS, UV<sub>254nm</sub> and fluorescence signals were used to further characterize Hg bearing colloids, after hydrodynamic size separation by AF4. The UV<sub>254nm</sub> light absorption is widely used to detect organic compounds but it should be noted that part of the UV<sub>254nm</sub> light signal can as well originate from Fe(II) or Fe hydroxides (Dublet et al., 2019). This was not the case in this study since UV<sub>254nm</sub> signals co-eluted with C signals recorded by ICP–MS and matched the fractograms obtained by the FLD detector tuned at the wavelengths specific for humic-like fluorophores. It is therefore assumed that UV<sub>254nm</sub> signal represents organic compounds throughout the manuscript.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Soil solution chemistry and Hg dynamics

In the HMLC MCs, the pH of the soil solutions remained in a neutral to alkaline range of 8 to 8.4 during the incubation experiment (Fig. S7). A continuous soil reduction was observed (Fig. 2a). Soil solution NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> depletion was observed during the first 7 days of incubation (Fig. 2b). Nitrate was under detection limit for the second flooding phase. At day 7, Mn concentrations increased together with a marginal increase of Fe (Fig. 2c-f). This was coincided with a decrease of the relative particulate fraction (P-Mn<sub>rel.</sub> and P-Fe<sub>rel.</sub>) of these metals. Release of Mn and Fe were assumed to mark the onset of reductive dissolution of Mn- and Fe-oxyhydroxides. The decrease in ssulphate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) concentration could not be used to assess the onset of sulphate reduction. This is due to a chemical gradient between supernatant water and soils solution demonstrated by the continuous decrease in concentration of conservative ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>) (Sect. 4.4). To monitor sulphate reduction, we use the molar ratios of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> to Cl<sup>-</sup> (Fig. 2g). Sulphate to chloride ratios stood constant during the first flooding and slightly increased at the onset of second flooding phase. This suggests that no sulphate reduction took place in the HMLC MCs during the whole experiment. The DOC concentration ranged between 37.5 and 106 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2h). Both HgT<sub><0.02µm</sub> and HgT<sub><10µm</sub> concentrations remained low between day 0-5 (Phase 0), then increased together with the Mn release between days 5-11 (Phase 1) and decreased between 14-29 (Phase 2) during the draining period (Fig. 3a). The relative fraction of particulate HgT (P-HgT<sub>rel.</sub>), gradually decreased from a maximum of 88 % to a minimum of 25 % during phase 0 and phase 1, but increased again to 60-77 % during phase 2 (Fig. 3b-c). Cu<sub><0.02µm</sub> concentrations increased up to 88.2 ± 17.5 µg L<sup>-1</sup> within the first 4 days and then gradually decreased to 30.6 ± 3.54 µg L<sup>-1</sup> at day 14 (Fig. 4a). Arsenic concentrations simultaneously increased with the release of Fe during the whole incubation (Fig. 4b).

During the second flooding period, individual MCs behaved differently in the HMLC run. The differences of soil solution  $E_h$  and redox sensitive metals (e.g. Mn, Fe, Hg, Cu) were apparent from the start of the second flooding (Figs. 2c-f, 3a-c, 4a). Contrastingly, DOC concentrations and pH remained similar between incubators (Figs. 2h, S7). One replicate (Rep1) showed a pronounced increase of redox potential after the draining period (Fig. 2a). The  $E_h$  remained high (150 to 300 mV) for the whole second flooding period. A depletion and subsequent release of Mn in soil solution was observed, indicating the formation and redissolution of Mn oxyhydroxide minerals (Fig. 2c-d). Subsequently,  $Mn_{<0.02\mu m}$  increased and peaked at  $448 \mu g L^{-1}$  by the end of the experiment in Rep1. The  $E_h$  of Rep2 was lower (between 28 and 120 mV), Mn concentrations did not decrease during the draining phase, and a release of Fe was observed during the second flooding phase indicating the reduction of Fe oxyhydroxides. Rep3 had a  $E_h$  in the range of Rep2 but neither a rerelease of Mn nor a release of Fe was observed during the second flooding phase. Also, HgT behaved differently within incubators during the second flooding period. Between days 29-42 (Phase 3),  $HgT_{<0.02\mu m}$  and  $HgT_{<10\mu m}$  concentrations increased or remained at higher levels for Rep1 and Rep3. During this phase  $P-HgT_{rel}$  vastly decreased and was at a minimum of 1-7 % by the end of the incubation. Contrastingly,  $HgT_{<0.02\mu m}$  and  $HgT_{<10\mu m}$  stayed constantly low for Rep2 during phase 3 and  $P-HgT_{rel}$  remained overall above 50%. The Rep1 was the only MC that showed an increase in Cu concentrations during the draining phase (Fig. 4a).

In the HMLC +MNR MCs, pH remained in the range of 8 to 8.35 with minor fluctuations over both flooding periods (Fig. S7). The redox potential decreased rapidly from approx.  $E_h$  300 mV to  $5.27 \pm 14.4$  mV within the first 14 days and remained constant at  $14.3 \pm 8.12$  mV during the second flooding period. Depletion of  $NO_3^-$  was observed within the first day of incubation and was under detection limit during the second flooding period (Fig. 2b). A rapid release of Mn started at day 2 and a slow release of Fe started at day 3 of first flooding period (Fig. 2c-f). The  $[SO_4^{2-}]:[Cl^-]$  ratios decreased from  $0.57 \pm 0.01$  to  $0.37 \pm 0.02$  between day 4-29. During the second flooding period  $[SO_4^{2-}]:[Cl^-]$  ratios initially increased slightly between day 29-31 and then decreased to a minimum ( $0.12 \pm 0.05$ ) by the end of the incubation (Fig. 2g). DOC concentrations were ranging between 72.2 and  $134 mg L^{-1}$  (Fig. 2h). This was significantly higher ( $3$  to  $43 mg L^{-1}$ ) than in HMLC without manure. In these MCs  $HgT_{<0.02\mu m}$  and  $HgT_{<10\mu m}$  concentrations instantly increased together with the Mn release between days 0-4 (Phase 1) decreased during the days 5-14 (Phase 2) and remained low between day 14-42 (Phase 3) (Fig. 3 a-c). The particulate HgT ( $P-HgT_{rel}$ ) decreased to 30-52.5 % in phase 1 and remained overall above 50 % for the rest of the incubation. At the onset of phase 2 black precipitates were visually observed in the HMLC +MNR microcosms (Fig.S13). Cu concentrations decreased gradually during the course of the incubation experiment (Fig. 4a). Arsenic concentrations simultaneously increased with the release of Fe during the whole incubation (Fig. b).

LMHC differed from HMLC in soil solution chemistry. In both treatments (LMHC and LMHC +MNR), pH remained neutral but gradually decreased from 8.2 to 7.5 during the incubation (Fig. S7). Soil reduction progressed rapidly from a max of 332 mV at day 3 to -14.3 mV at day 14 (Fig. 5a). During the second flooding  $E_h$  stayed in the range of - 2.3 to 34.5 mV. Nitrate was exhausted within the first day of incubation and marked the onset of Mn release. Mn as well as DOC concentrations gradually increased during the first flooding period (Fig. 5b-c). Fe release started on day 4 and day 6 in LMHC and LMHC +MNR respectively (Fig. 5d). A decrease in  $[SO_4^{2-}]:[Cl^-]$  ratio was

observed after day 5 and remained stable at  $0.03 \pm 0.04$  during the second flooding period. This is indicative for sulphate reduction during the draining phase and the second flooding phase (Fig. 5e). Soil solution  $\text{HgT}_{<0.02\mu\text{m}}$  concentration ( $25 - 160 \text{ ng L}^{-1}$ ) were two orders of magnitude lower than in the HMLC runs (Fig. 2. 3a,6a). Dissolved  $\text{HgT}_{<0.02\mu\text{m}}$  decreased during the first flooding period (phase 1), increased during the draining period (phase 2) and gradually decreased again during the second flooding period (phase 3) (Fig. 6a-c). No other soil solution parameter followed the trend of  $\text{HgT}_{<0.02\mu\text{m}}$ . Particulate  $\text{HgT}_{<10\mu\text{m}}$  decreased during phase 1 and remained low during phase 2 and 3. In the LMHC MCs  $\text{P-HgT}_{\text{rel.}}$  changed drastically between phase 1 ( $> 65 \%$ ) and phase 3 ( $<< 50 \%$ ) (Fig. 3c). In the LMHC +MNR MCs the  $\text{P-HgT}_{\text{rel.}}$  was high during the phase 1 ( $> 65 \%$ ) and fluctuated between phase 3 ( $<< 50 \%$ ) (Fig. 3c). Cu concentrations gradually decreased during the course of the experiment (Fig 7a). Arsenic concentrations simultaneously increased with the release of Fe during the whole incubation (Fig 7b).

### 3.2 Colloidal Hg (AF4)

Hg bearing colloids were detected in all soil solution samples of HMLC incubations. Due to low signal to noise ratios ( $< 3$ ) we did not detect colloidal Hg in samples of the LMHC incubations. Figure 8 shows the evolution of concentrations and relative proportions of  $\text{HgT}$  size fractions. Generally, changes in proportions were apparent during phases of Hg release and decrease in soil solution, but little change was observed during when Hg concentrations were stagnant (HMLC +MNR, Phase 3). The proportion of truly dissolved  $\text{HgT}_{<1\text{kDa}}$  varied between  $0 \%$  and  $67 \%$  in the HMLC experiment and was high during Hg release to soil solution (phases 1 and 3) (Fig. 8). In the HMLC +MNR treatment,  $\text{HgT}_{<1\text{kDa}}$  were lower and ranged between  $0 \%$  and  $29 \%$ . The colloidal Hg can be divided into 3 main fractions (Fig. 9). The first Hg colloidal fraction showed a main peak ranging between  $1 - 40 \text{ kDa}$  ( $d_h < 6 \text{ nm}$ ) and was associated with  $\text{UV}_{254\text{nm}}$ -absorbing compounds and various metals (Mn, Fe, Cu, Ni, Zn). This fraction was interpreted as humic substance type Hg-NOM. The proportion of this colloidal Hg fraction varied with no specific trends from  $11.5$  to  $23.3 \%$  in HMLC and  $13.6$  to  $38.6 \%$  in HMLC +MNR throughout the course of the experiment. A second fraction of Hg colloids ranged between  $6 \text{ nm}$  and  $20 \text{ nm}$ . This well-defined size fraction was eluting in the tail of the first fraction for other metals (e.g. Fe, Mn, Cu) but did not overlap with  $\text{UV}_{254\text{nm}}$  and fluorescence signals (Fig. 9). This fraction could not be chemically defined but is hypothesized to consist of  $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$  colloids. In the HMLC run, we observed a decrease in the proportion of these inorganic colloids from  $28 \%$  in phase 0 to  $15.3 \%$  at the end phase 3 (Fig. 9). In the HMLC +MNR treatment, the proportion of this fraction ranged between  $29.5 \%$  and  $41.9 \%$  during the phases 1 and 2 and could not be detected during the phase 3. Further, we observed a third colloidal fraction that continued to elute after the stop of the AF4 crossflow and it included colloids in the range of  $30 - 450 \text{ nm}$  (effective cut-off of the filter used for the sample preparation). In some cases, this fraction was better fitted using two overlapping populations (Fig. 9, Figs. S9-S12). In all the cases,  $\text{HgT}$  signal was associated with those of other metals and a slight bump of the  $\text{UV}_{254\text{nm}}$  signal but more specifically an increase of fluorescence signal associated to protein-like fluorophores. This fraction decreased continuously in the HMLC runs during the incubation from  $32.4 \%$  in phase 2, to  $5.6 \%$  in phase 2 and stood under  $9.1 \%$  during phase 3. By contrast, the HMLC +MNR showed an increase in the proportion of this fraction from  $7.3 \%$  in phase 1 to  $25.3 \%$  by the end of phase 3 (Fig. 8). The deconvolution of the fractograms included an intermediate fraction of Hg bearing colloids ranging between  $d_h =$

6 nm and  $d_h = 450$  nm depending on the sample. This fraction was added to refine the fractogram fittings but could not directly be associated to another measured metal. This indicates that this population represents a polydispersed Hg particle population although in some cases the presence of small Hg particles dominates. This broad fraction was not detected in HMLC +MRN treatments during phases 1 and 2 but made up > 30 % during phase 3.

### 3.3 Net MeHg production in soil.

Soil MeHg levels fluctuated over the course of the incubation experiment (Fig.10 and Table 2). Highest net MeHg production was observed during the first flooding period for the treatments with manure (up to + 81 %) and during the draining phase for the treatments without manure (up to + 73.1 %). We observed a significant decrease of MeHg/HgT and absolute MeHg concentrations in all incubators during the second flooding period (Fig. 10). In all MCs, MeHg/HgT increased by a factor of 1.18 to 1.36 throughout the incubation (Table 2).

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Mercury release and sequestration.

Cornfield soil (HMLC) and pasture field soil (LMHC) behaved differently in this incubation experiment and will be discussed separately. In the cornfield soil (HMLC) Hg and Mn releases were simultaneous and started when soil solution  $E_h$  entered the field of Mn reduction below approx. 300mV (Figs. 2c,3a), strongly suggesting that this Hg pool was released by reductive dissolution of Mn-oxyhydroxides. During all experiments, low Hg:DOM ratios ( $\ll 1$  nmol Hg (mg DOM) $^{-1}$ ) suggest that strong binding sites of DOM were never saturated with respect to mercury, assuming a binding site  $[RS_2^{2-}]$  density of 5 nmol Hg (mg DOM) $^{-1}$  and that DOC is 50 % the DOM (Haitzer et al., 2002). The low Hg:DOM ratio suggests that Hg is mainly present as complexed with DOM given reported strong interaction with thiol sites of DOM. However, these assumptions might not reflect the actual composition of DOM which might drastically differ in amended soils (Li et al., 2019). Reductive dissolution of Mn-oxyhydroxides drives both 1.) the release of labile Hg-NOM complexes and Hg $^{2+}$  sorbed on the oxide's surfaces and/or 2.) enhanced the degradation and mineralisation of unsubtle NOM binding Hg in soils (Jones et al., 2018). After Hg release (phase 1), Hg concentrations remained high and the relative particulate Hg fraction was low throughout the experiment. This illustrates that the released Hg-pool mainly originated from Mn-oxyhydroxides or degradation of suspended POM during Mn reduction. However, the released Hg-pool is relatively small compared the HgT levels of the soil. We estimate that about  $12.8 \pm 4.2$   $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  Hg (0.02 % of HgT $_{\text{soil}}$ ) was evacuated by sampling during the experiment. In this fluvisol, Hg mobilization is thus mainly driven by reductive dissolution of Mn oxyhydroxides. Direct mobilization of DOM was reported to govern Hg levels in peat soils, Histosols or Podzols in boreal environments (Åkerblom et al., 2008; Kronberg et al., 2016; Jiskra et al., 2017) or floodplain soils with higher OC levels (Beckers et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021) in temperate soils.

Further, Hg mobilisation was not simultaneous to Cu release. This was reported for polluted soils with high Cu levels (Hofacker et al., 2013) and comparably low Hg/Cu $_{\text{molar}}$  ratio in the soil matrix. In neighbouring soils, the main Hg pool was previously reported as HgS $_{(s)}$  and Hg complexed by recalcitrant NOM (Grigg et al., 2018). Earlier

studies assumed that 0.1 to 0.6 % (w/w) of NOM was reduced sulphur with high affinity to Hg (Grigg et al., 2018; Ravichandran, 2004). Following this assumption, reduced sulphur groups of the cornfield soils NOM could sorb between 11.9 to 71.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of Hg. The soils high Hg concentration (47.3 ± 0.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) suggests that soil NOM thiol sites are likely saturated in terms of Hg. Therefore, saturated NOM sorption sites are not competing with Mn-oxyhydroxide sorption sites, resulting in a substantial Mn-oxyhydroxide bound Hg-pool. This leads to a higher mobility of Hg upon reductive dissolution of Mn-oxyhydroxide compared to fluvisols used in other incubation studies (Hofacker et al., 2013; Poulin et al., 2016; Beckers et al., 2019).

During the second flooding phase, the cornfield soil (HMLC) runs showed a higher variability in redox sensitive soil solution parameters (Fig. 2). This might be explained as 1.) a shift in microbial communities, 2.) disturbance of the soil column by invasive soil sampling in between the flooding periods or 3.) uneven draining of the pore space after the first flooding. It can also reflect how redox cycle can be easily affected *in situ*. We suggest that the second release of Mn and Hg in Rep1 is due to Mn re-oxidation during the draining period and a second reductive dissolution of Mn oxyhydroxides upon reflooding. This is supported by the elevated E<sub>h</sub> at the onset of the second flooding. Further, Mn reduction oxidation and reduction cycles were shown to enhance the degradation of NOM to more labile forms (Jones et al., 2018) which might contribute to the degradation/mineralization of recalcitrant Hg-NOM. The HMLC Rep3 showed a second release of Hg without a remobilization of Mn. Changing redox conditions have been shown to enhance microbial respiration and therefore NOM degradation (Sunda and Kieber, 1994). Thus, we interpret the second Hg release in Rep 3 as a degradation/mineralization of NOM that bound Hg.

The carbon amendments were reported to decrease total Hg release in polluted floodplain soils (Beckers et al., 2019) but may have a mobilizing effect in NOM depleted environments (Eckley et al., 2021). The addition of manure accelerated the release of Hg through reductive dissolution of Mn oxyhydroxides in the cornfield soil (HMLC). Mercury was released 4 day earlier, as result of additional labile carbon of the liquid manure 1.) acting as electron donor enhancing microbial soil reduction (Liu et al., 2020), 2.) act directly as reductant of the Mn oxyhydroxides (Remucal and Ginder-Vogel, 2014). In the manure treatment, we observed a fast decrease of Hg concentration and a constantly high proportion of particulate P-HgT<sub>rel</sub>, even after the plateau of Mn concentration in soil solution and the relative decrease of particulate Mn. The addition of manure a source of POM (manure was sieved to < 500 µm) and increased DOC approximately by 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Sorption of Hg is directed towards thiol rich high molecular weight NOM (Liang et al., 2019) following different ligand exchange reactions (e.g. carboxyl-groups to thiol groups) which happen within days (Miller et al., 2009; Chiasson-Gould et al., 2014). The constant of P-Hg<sub>rel</sub> proportion is suggested to be partly caused by the complexation of dissolved Hg with the added POM of the manure.

In addition, we visually observed black precipitates (Fig. S13) and the decrease of [SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>]:[Cl<sup>-</sup>] ratios (Fig. 2g) at the onset of Hg decrease (phase 2) in the MCs with manure addition. This indicates the precipitation of sulphide mineral particles. Although, redox potential measurements did not indicate sulphate reduction, the monitoring of E<sub>h</sub> in soil solution provides only a qualitative measure in a complex soil systems. We suggest that, formation and aggregation of HgS<sub>(s)</sub> explains the faster decrease in the manure amended experiment. Furthermore, formation of metacinnabar β-HgS<sub>(s)</sub> was observed under oxic conditions by conversion of thiol bound Hg(SR)<sub>2</sub> (Manceau et al., 2015). The formation and aggregation of HgS<sub>(s)</sub> is further supported by AF4 results (Sect. 4.2).

Hofacker et al. (2013) reported a quantitatively relevant incorporation of Hg into metallic Cu<sup>0</sup> particles. However, we do not consider this a relevant pathway, due to the relatively high Hg/Cu<sub>molar</sub> ratio in our soil compared to Hofacker et al. (2013). Although the simultaneous decrease of Hg and Cu may be interpreted as the immobilization of Hg through incorporation into metallic Cu particles, i) we did not observe the formation of colloidal Cu associated with Hg (Sect. 6.2) and ii) relatively high Hg/Cu molar ratios indicate that the decrease of Hg in the soil solution cannot be solely explained by this mechanism as Hg would be marginally incorporated into metallic Cu<sup>0</sup> particles. As well, Hg in soil solutions is volatilized by reduction of Hg<sup>2+</sup> to Hg<sup>0</sup> (Hindersmann et al., 2014; Poulin et al., 2016). Our experimental design did not allow for quantification of gaseous Hg<sup>0</sup> and it may have exited the MCs since they were only sealed with parafilm. Reduction of Hg<sup>2+</sup> may happen both biotically (Grégoire and Poulain, 2018) and abiotically under UV-light and in the dark (Allard and Arsenie, 1991). Biotic reduction is a detoxication mechanism of bacteria carrying *merA* genes in Hg polluted environments. Biotic volatilization has been observed in neighboring soils of our sampling site (Frossard et al., 2018). Organic amendments and high Hg levels have been shown to increase the abundance of Hg reducing bacteria (Hu et al., 2019). Further, dark abiotic reduction of Hg<sup>2+</sup> complexed to functional groups of DOM in soils has been demonstrated (Jiang et al., 2015). However, it is unlikely that Hg reduction can solely explain the decrease of Hg in the soil solution in our microcosms. We therefore interpret the decrease in Hg concentration to be due to a combination of manure NOM complexation and sequestration together with the formation of HgS<sub>(s)</sub> during flooding. Our data shows that manure addition may have an immobilizing effect on Hg in flooded soils. By contrast, carbon amendments may increase Hg mobility and methylation in NOM depleted and cinnabar rich mountain soils (Eckley et al., 2021).

In the pasture field soil (LMHC), soil solution Hg concentrations remained at low levels (< 0.16 µg L<sup>-1</sup> Hg<sub><0.02µm</sub>) during the whole experiment in both treatments (Fig. 6a). Unlike in the cornfield soil (HMLC), we did not observe a simultaneous release of Hg upon Mn reduction (Fig. 5c). We explain this with the not completely Hg saturated NOM in this soil, if we assume that 0.1 – 0.6 % (w/w) of NOM was reduced S with high affinity to Hg (Grigg et al., 2018; Ravichandran, 2004; Skjellberg, 2008). Thus, the pasture field soil has a rather limited pool of labile Hg compared to the cornfield soil. Both Hg<sub><0.02µm</sub> and Hg<sub><10µm</sub> negatively correlate with the sum of sampled soil solution (R<sup>2</sup> = -0.841, p = <0.001) during both flooding periods and fastly decreased. This suggests that the concentration gradient between supernatant artificial rainwater and the soil solution contributed to the fast exhaustion of the small labile Hg pool in pasture field soil. The presence of this concentration gradient in our incubation setup is confirmed by the continuously decreasing concentrations of conservative ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>) in soil solutions of the HMLC runs (Sect. S5.2, Figs. S7, S8). The relatively high proportion of particulate Hg vastly decreased during the draining period (Fig. 3b,c) and we speculate that this change is a result of the mobilization of the POM–Hg pool by mineralization/degradation of NOM which sorbed Hg during the draining period (Jones et al., 2018). In summary, flooding of the pasture field soils did mobilize only a small pool of particulate bound Hg which was exhausted within the first flooding period.

## 4.2 Colloidal Hg

For runs without manure, AF4 results show that the Hg released from Mn-oxyhydroxides (Sect. 6.1.2) was dominated by truly dissolved Hg ( $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  or LMW-NOM-Hg) (Fig. 8). The high  $\text{Cl}^-$  concentrations (up to  $800 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , Fig. S14) likely influenced the Hg speciation in the soil solution, as chloride is a main complexant for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  (Li et al., 2020; Gilli et al., 2018). During Hg release, the proportions of larger Hg colloids ( $> 25 \text{ nm}$ ) decreased. The stable proportion of humic substances bound Hg and inorganic Hg colloids between  $6 \text{ nm}$  and  $25 \text{ nm}$  indicates that once released no major adsorption or aggregation of truly dissolved Hg and larger colloidal Hg occurs. Additional complexation of Hg by DOM can be excluded if we assume the saturation state of thiol-sites of the NOM pool in the soil (Sect. 6.1.2). These observations illustrate the remarkably high Hg mobility and potentially increased bioavailability (proportion of truly dissolved Hg) to Hg metabolizing microorganisms compared to other studies (Hofacker et al., 2013; Poulin et al., 2016). These authors did either not observe Hg in truly dissolved form or a decrease to low levels within the first days of incubation. Overall, the released Hg from cornfield soil (HMLC) shows a high mobility and might represent a possible threat to downstream ecosystems and a source for Hg methylating bacteria. However, the total Hg released and sampled from soil solution represents a rather small pool ( $12.8 \pm 4.2 \text{ } \mu\text{g HgT kg}^{-1}$  soil) of the total Hg ( $47.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ). Further work would be needed to establish a Hg flux model to better understand *in situ* soil Hg mobility in these soils.

The manure addition had a key effect on the proportions of colloidal fractions in soil solution, and overall led to a low proportion of truly dissolved fraction (Fig. 8). We suggest that the distinct fraction of colloids with  $d_h = 6 - 25 \text{ nm}$  represents metacinnabar like  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  colloids (Gerbig et al., 2011). This is supported by the onset of sulphate reduction in phase 2 (Rivera et al., 2019) and reported Hg-NOM interactions that may cause the precipitation of Hg bearing sulphide phases ( $\text{FeS}_{(s)}$ ,  $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$ ) (Manceau et al., 2015) (Sect. 6.1.1). The size of  $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$  nano particles formed from free sulphide is dependent in the sulphide concentration as well as on the Hg:DOM ratio (Poulin et al., 2017). The formation of a distinct size fraction of  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  has experimentally observed at comparable Hg:DOM ratios (Gerbig et al., 2011). The Hg colloidal distribution was dominated by the presence of large fractions ( $d_h = 30 - 450 \text{ nm}$ ). Larger organic acids with high aromaticity usually contain higher proportions of thiols groups than smaller molecules and selectively complex Hg (Haitzer et al., 2002). This suggests that Hg complexation is kinetically driven and it can shift from LMW-DOM to larger NOM and larger aggregates of POM as supported by earlier incubation experiments (Poulin et al., 2016). We therefore interpret that the relative increase of Hg colloids with  $d_h = 30 - 450 \text{ nm}$  (Fig. 8) is caused by 1.) complexation of the released dissolved  $\text{Hg}_{<1\text{kDa}}$  by strong binding sites of thiol rich NOM in larger clay-organo-metal complexes and 2.) the aggregation of  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  colloids during the experiment. Although the presence of e.g. humic substances and larger NOM was shown to narrow the size range of  $\text{HgS}_{(s)}$  nanoparticles precipitating from solution (Aiken et al., 2011), through time, these colloids may grow, aggregate and form clusters in a wide size distribution (Deonarine and Hsu-Kim, 2009; Poulin et al., 2017). Thus, their aggregation during the draining period may explain the decrease in monodisperse Hg bearing colloids, also leading to sequestration of Hg in the soil matrix, without remobilization during the second flooding. Our data suggests metacinnabar formation ( $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$ ) in a distinct size fraction ( $d_h = 6 - 25$ ) and their aggregation to large fractions ( $d_h = 30 - 450 \text{ nm}$ ) at environmental conditions in real-world samples.

### 4.3 Net MeHg production in soil.

The studied soils show uncommonly high initial MeHg levels ( $6.4 - 26.9 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) when compared to other highly polluted mining or industrial legacy sites (Horvat et al., 2003; Neculita et al., 2005; Qiu et al., 2005; Fernández-Martínez et al., 2015), supposedly as a result of a flooding event prior to sampling resulting in a net MeHg production. Still, we observed significant net MeHg production during the first 28 days of the incubation resulting in even higher MeHg concentrations of up to  $44.81 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  (Table 3; Fig. 10). Soils treated with manure showed a faster net MeHg production with highest increase of MeHg during the first flooding period. Controls showed highest net MeHg production during the draining period and reached similar levels of MeHg at the start of the second flooding on day 28 (Fig. 10). For cornfield soil (HMLC), both treatments show a high concentration of bioavailable  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  or Hg associated with labile NOM ( $\text{HgT}_{<0.02\mu\text{m}} > 15 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) in soil solution during the first flooding. Net MeHg production is therefore rather limited by cellular uptake of Hg or the microbial activity of methylating microorganisms than bioavailability. Thus, we interpreted the addition of labile carbon in the form of manure to result in a higher microbial activity and net MeHg production during the first flooding period. However, we did neither assess the activity nor the abundance of Hg methylating bacteria such as sulphate reducers (SRB), Fe reducers (FeRB), archaea or firmicutes (Gilmour et al., 2013). In the runs without manure addition, a substantial part of Hg was methylated during the draining period. This indicates that even if low concentrations of Hg is released (LMHC MCs day 14:  $\text{HgT}_{<0.02\mu\text{m}} < 50 \text{ ng L}^{-1}$ ) a substantial amount of Hg can be methylated. Micro- and meso pore spaces with steep redox gradients act as ideal environments for microbial methylation even in drained and generally aerobic system (e.g. HMLC without manure during the draining period).

Further, we observed a decrease in absolute MeHg concentrations in all MCs during the second flooding period. Oscillating net de-/methylation in environments characterized by flood-drought-flood cycles have been reported earlier (Marvin-DiPasquale et al., 2014). Degradation of MeHg was reported to happen either abiotically by photodegradation or biotically by chemotrophic reductive or oxidative demethylation by microorganisms carrying the *mer*-operon (Grégoire and Poulain, 2018). Photodegradation of MeHg can be excluded as the experiment was conducted in the dark. However, demethylation could have happened as biotic reductive demethylation. A possible explanation is a MeHg detoxification reaction by microorganisms carrying the *mer*-operon (*merB*) (Hu et al., 2019; Frossard et al., 2018; Dash and Das, 2012). However, we can only hypothesize about demethylation mechanisms, as neither communities (DNA) nor gene expression (mRNA) dynamics in the soils were analysed during the experiment.

### 4.4 Experimental Limitations

Incubation experiments on a laboratory scale are a common way to study the changes in mobility of trace elements in floodplain soils (Gilli et al., 2018; Frohne et al., 2011; Poulin et al., 2016; Abgottsson et al., 2015). These study designs allow for controlled conditions and replicable results. However, controlled experiments usually fail to cover the complexity of a real floodplain soil system (Ponting et al., 2020). Our study design did not involve temperature gradients, realistic hydrological flow conditions or intact soil structure. In this study, the artificial rainwater and the soil were equilibrated by shaking for a few minutes. However, the equilibration appeared to be incomplete with



respect to highly soluble chloride bearing minerals for the experiment with cornfield soil (Fig. S14). The incomplete equilibration is indicated by the temporal patterns of conservative ions ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Na}^+$ ) in soil solution (Figs. S7, S8) and the difference in  $\text{Cl}^-$  concentration between the soil solutions at  $t = 6$  h and the same water-soil mixture shaken for 6 h (Fig. S14). These patterns are a result of a concentration gradient between supernatant water and the solution in the soil pore space. They only became visible, due to high levels of conservative ions to start with, which most likely stem from a fertilisation event prior to sampling the soil. Infiltration of supernatant water was facilitated by the sampling of 4-6 % of the total added water at each time point. This resulted in a dilution of the soil solution. Consequently, the continuous decrease in sulphate was not directly indicative for sulphate reduction, but the result of this dilution effect. However, this effect did not directly affect the the release of soil bound elements (e.g. Hg, Mn, Fe, As) by e.g. reductive dissolution (Figs. 2,3,4). It should also be noted that high initial  $\text{Cl}^-$  concentrations in the soil solution, may influence Hg solubility since  $\text{Cl}^-$  is a complexant for  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  (Li et al., 2020) and this warrants further studies on the role of inorganic fertilisation on Hg mobility.

## 5. Conclusions

We studied the effect of manure addition on the mobility of Hg in soil during a flooding-draining experiment. We observed formation and size distribution changes of Hg colloids ( $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$ , Hg-NOM) at environmental conditions in soil solution by AF4-ICP-MS. The results of this study show that manure addition 1.) diminished HgT mobility, 2.) facilitated Hg complexation with fresh NOM and formation of  $\beta\text{-HgS}_{(s)}$  and 3.) had only limited effect on net MeHg production in polluted and periodically flooded soils.

Mercury was mobilized upon reductive dissolution of Mn oxyhydroxides in highly Hg polluted ( $47.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) and NOM poor soils. The application of manure accelerated the release of Hg, facilitated the formation of colloidal Hg and exhausted the mobile Hg pool within the first 7 days of flooding. This prevented Hg remobilization during the second flooding period. Contrastingly, Hg was mainly released as particulate bound Hg in soils with moderate Hg pollution ( $2.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) and high NOM levels. Presumably, due to its higher soil organic carbon content. This relatively small pool of particulate Hg was exhausted within the first flooding period. In both soils, soil reduction enhanced net MeHg production of a substantial part of the Hg pool as confirmed by MeHg formation upon flooding-draining cycles. However, MeHg was either subsequently removed from the soil by advective transport of dissolved MeHg in the soil column or transformed by reductive demethylation. We suggest that the temporal changes in net MeHg production are limited by microbial activity of Hg methylators, given the similar net MeHg production in treatments and soils with variable dissolved Hg levels. Microbial activity is likely to be stimulated by manure addition.

The release of Hg from polluted soils to downstream ecosystems does depend on both biogeochemical conditions as well as on hydrological transport. Our experiment shows that redox oscillations (flooding-draining-flooding cycles) of a polluted floodplain soil are likely to induce pulses of both Hg and MeHg to the downstream ecosystems. This is supported with earlier studies (Poulin et al., 2016; Frohne et al., 2012; Hofacker et al., 2013). In contrast to NOM rich soil systems, we show that the Mn dynamics may govern the release of Hg in highly polluted soil systems low in NOM. Further, the application of additional NOM in form of manure facilitates soil reduction, contributed to the

transformation of Hg towards less mobile species reduced the Hg mobilization. However, effects of carbon amendments (organic amendments or biochar) are contrasting between enhancing (Li et al., 2019; Eckley et al., 2021) and diminishing (Beckers et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021) Hg mobility. We therefore stress the need for characterisation of soil properties and especially NOM in future studies focusing on Hg mobility upon organic amendments (Li et al., 2019). We further emphasize the need of field trials integrating biogeochemical processes, hydrological transport and Hg soil-air exchange in order to establish Hg flux models to better understand *in situ* soil Hg mobility.

#### **Data availability.**

Details of analytical methods, AF4-ICP-MS fractograms are given in the Supplement. A complete dataset of the data used in this study is accessible at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4715110>

#### **Acknowledgements.**

We acknowledge P. Neuhaus, J. Caplette, K. Trindade, K. Kavanagh, and D. Fischer for the help in the laboratory. We thank T. Erhardt at the Climate and Environmental Physics (CEP) at University of Bern for the ICP-TOF-MS analyses and Stephane Westermann at the Dienststelle für Umweltschutz (DUS) of the Canton Wallis for the help with site selection and sampling permissions. Soil temperatures have been provided by MeteoSwiss, the Swiss Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology. Klaus Jarosch and Moritz Bigalke of the soil science group at the Institute of Geography at University of Bern gave valuable advice during the writing process.

#### **Author contribution.**

AM and LG designed the study. LG and AW performed the incubation experiments. LG and IW performed laboratory analyses. LG and IW performed the data analysis. AM and VS supervised and financed the study. LG prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

#### **Financial support.**

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, Nr. 163661). VS and IW acknowledge the financial support of the SNSF R'Equip project Nr. 183292.

## Review statement.

## References

- Abgottspon, F., Bigalke, M., and Wilcke, W.: Fast colloidal and dissolved release of trace elements in a carbonatic soil after experimental flooding, *Geoderma*, 259-260, 156–163, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.06.005, 2015.
- Aiken, G. R., Hsu-Kim, H., and Ryan, J. N.: Influence of dissolved organic matter on the environmental fate of metals, nanoparticles, and colloids, *Environmental science & technology*, 45, 3196–3201, doi:10.1021/es103992s, 2011.
- Åkerblom, S., Meili, M., Bringmark, L., Johansson, K., Kleja, D. B., and Bergkvist, B.: Partitioning of Hg Between Solid and Dissolved Organic Matter in the Humus Layer of Boreal Forests, *Water Air Soil Pollut*, 189, 239–252, doi:10.1007/s11270-007-9571-1, 2008.
- Allard, B. and Arsenie, I.: Abiotic reduction of mercury by humic substances in aquatic system.: An important process for the mercury cycle, *Water Air Soil Pollut*, 457–464, 1991.
- AMAP/UN Environment: Technical Background Report for the Global Mercury Assessment 2018, Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme, Oslo, Norway/UN Environment Programme, Chemicals and Health Branch, Geneva, Switzerland, Geneva, Switzerland, 426 pp., 2019.
- Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Streets, D. G., and Sunderland, E. M.: Legacy impacts of all-time anthropogenic emissions on the global mercury cycle, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 27, 410–421, doi:10.1002/gbc.20040, 2013.
- Beckers, F., Mothes, S., Abrigata, J., Zhao, J., Gao, Y., and Rinklebe, J.: Mobilization of mercury species under dynamic laboratory redox conditions in a contaminated floodplain soil as affected by biochar and sugar beet factory lime, *The Science of the total environment*, 672, 604–617, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.401, 2019.
- Beckers, F. and Rinklebe, J.: Cycling of mercury in the environment: Sources, fate, and human health implications: A review, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 23, 1–102, doi:10.1080/10643389.2017.1326277, 2017.
- Biester, H., Müller, G., and Schöler, H.: Binding and mobility of mercury in soils contaminated by emissions from chlor-alkali plants, *Science of The Total Environment*, 284, 191–203, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(01)00885-3, 2002.
- Bigham, G. N., Murray, K. J., Masue-Slowey, Y., and Henry, E. A.: Biogeochemical controls on methylmercury in soils and sediments: Implications for site management, *Integrated environmental assessment and management*, 13, 249–263, doi:10.1002/ieam.1822, 2017.
- Bravo, A. G., Bouchet, S., Tolu, J., Björn, E., Mateos-Rivera, A., and Bertilsson, S.: Molecular composition of organic matter controls methylmercury formation in boreal lakes, *Nature communications*, 8, 14255, doi:10.1038/ncomms14255, 2017.
- Chiasson-Gould, S. A., Blais, J. M., and Poulain, A. J.: Dissolved organic matter kinetically controls mercury bioavailability to bacteria, *Environmental science & technology*, 48, 3153–3161, doi:10.1021/es4038484, 2014.
- Deonarine, A. and Hsu-Kim, H.: Precipitation of Mercuric Sulfide Nanoparticles in NOM-Containing Water: Implications for the Natural Environment, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 2368–2373, doi:10.1021/es803130h, 2009.

- Deonarine, A., Lau, B. L. T., Aiken, G. R., Ryan, J. N., and Hsu-Kim, H.: Effects of humic substances on precipitation and aggregation of zinc sulfide nanoparticles, *Environmental science & technology*, 45, 3217–3223, doi:10.1021/es1029798, 2011.
- Driscoll, C. T., Mason, R. P., Chan, H. M., Jacob, D. J., and Pirrone, N.: Mercury as a global pollutant: Sources, pathways, and effects, *Environmental science & technology*, 47, 4967–4983, doi:10.1021/es305071v, 2013.
- Drott, A., Lambertsson, L., Björn, E., and Skyllberg, U.: Importance of Dissolved Neutral Mercury Sulfides for Methyl Mercury Production in Contaminated Sediments, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41, 2270–2276, doi:10.1021/es061724z, 2007.
- Dublet, G., Worms, I., Fruttschi, M., Brown, A., Zünd, G. C., Bartova, B., Slaveykova, V. I., and Bernier-Latmani, R.: Colloidal Size and Redox State of Uranium Species in the Porewater of a Pristine Mountain Wetland, *Environmental science & technology*, 53, 9361–9369, doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b01417, 2019.
- Eckley, C. S., Luxton, T. P., Stanfield, B., Baldwin, A., Holloway, J., McKernan, J., and Johnson, M. G.: Effect of organic matter concentration and characteristics on mercury mobilization and methylmercury production at an abandoned mine site, *Environmental pollution (Barking, Essex 1987)*, 271, 116369, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116369, 2021.
- Eklöf, K., Bishop, K., Bertilsson, S., Björn, E., Buck, M., Skyllberg, U., Osman, O. A., Kronberg, R.-M., and Bravo, A. G.: Formation of mercury methylation hotspots as a consequence of forestry operations, *The Science of the total environment*, 613–614, 1069–1078, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.151, 2018.
- Fernández-Martínez, R., Larios, R., Gómez-Pinilla, I., Gómez-Mancebo, B., López-Andrés, S., Loredó, J., Ordóñez, A., and Rucandio, I.: Mercury accumulation and speciation in plants and soils from abandoned cinnabar mines, *Geoderma*, 253–254, 30–38, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.04.005, 2015.
- Frohne, T., Rinklebe, J., Diaz-Bone, R. A., and Du Laing, G.: Controlled variation of redox conditions in a floodplain soil: Impact on metal mobilization and biomethylation of arsenic and antimony, *Geoderma*, 160, 414–424, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.10.012, 2011.
- Frohne, T., Rinklebe, J., Langer, U., Du Laing, G., Mothes, S., and Wennrich, R.: Biogeochemical factors affecting mercury methylation rate in two contaminated floodplain soils, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 493–507, doi:10.5194/bg-9-493-2012, 2012.
- Frossard, A., Donhauser, J., Mestrot, A., Gygax, S., Bååth, E., and Frey, B.: Long- and short-term effects of mercury pollution on the soil microbiome, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 120, 191–199, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.01.028, 2018.
- Gerbig, C. A., Kim, C. S., Stegemeier, J. P., Ryan, J. N., and Aiken, G. R.: Formation of nanocolloidal metacinnabar in mercury-DOM-sulfide systems, *Environmental science & technology*, 45, 9180–9187, doi:10.1021/es201837h, 2011.
- Gilli, R., Karlen, C., Weber, M., Rüegg, J., Barmettler, K., Biester, H., Boivin, P., and Kretschmar, R.: Speciation and Mobility of Mercury in Soils Contaminated by Legacy Emissions from a Chemical Factory in the Rhône Valley in Canton of Valais, Switzerland, *Soil Syst.*, 2, 44, doi:10.3390/soilsystems2030044, 2018.

- Gilmour, C. C., Podar, M., Bullock, A. L., Graham, A. M., Brown, S. D., Somenahally, A. C., Johs, A., Hurt, R. A., Bailey, K. L., and Elias, D. A.: Mercury methylation by novel microorganisms from new environments, *Environmental science & technology*, 47, 11810–11820, doi:10.1021/es403075t, 2013.
- Glenz, C. and Escher, J.-R.: Voruntersuchung von belasteten Standorten: Historische Untersuchung Objekt Grossgrundkanal, FUAG-Forum Umwelt AG, Visp, Switzerland, 89 pp., 2011.
- Graham, A. M., Aiken, G. R., and Gilmour, C. C.: Dissolved organic matter enhances microbial mercury methylation under sulfidic conditions, *Environmental science & technology*, 46, 2715–2723, doi:10.1021/es203658f, 2012.
- Graham, A. M., Aiken, G. R., and Gilmour, C. C.: Effect of dissolved organic matter source and character on microbial Hg methylation in Hg-S-DOM solutions, *Environmental science & technology*, 47, 5746–5754, doi:10.1021/es400414a, 2013.
- Grégoire, D. S. and Poulain, A. J.: Shining light on recent advances in microbial mercury cycling, *FACETS*, 3, 858–879, doi:10.1139/facets-2018-0015, 2018.
- Grigg, A. R. C., Kretzschmar, R., Gilli, R. S., and Wiederhold, J. G.: Mercury isotope signatures of digests and sequential extracts from industrially contaminated soils and sediments, *The Science of the total environment*, 636, 1344–1354, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.261, 2018.
- Guedron, S., Grangeon, S., Lanson, B., and Grimaldi, M.: Mercury speciation in a tropical soil association; Consequence of gold mining on Hg distribution in French Guiana, *Geoderma*, 153, 331–346, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.08.017, 2009.
- Gygax, S., Gfeller, L., Wilcke, W., and Mestrot, A.: Emerging investigator series: mercury mobility and methylmercury formation in a contaminated agricultural flood plain: influence of flooding and manure addition, *Environmental science. Processes & impacts*, 21, 2008–2019, doi:10.1039/c9em00257j, 2019.
- Haitzer, M., Aiken, G. R., and Ryan, J. N.: Binding of mercury(II) to dissolved organic matter: the role of the mercury-to-DOM concentration ratio, *Environmental science & technology*, 36, 3564–3570, doi:10.1021/es025699i, 2002.
- Hindersmann, I., Hippler, J., Hirner, A. V., and Mansfeldt, T.: Mercury volatilization from a floodplain soil during a simulated flooding event, *J Soils Sediments*, 14, 1549–1558, doi:10.1007/s11368-014-0908-2, 2014.
- Hofacker, A. F., Behrens, S., Voegelin, A., Kaegi, R., Lösekann-Behrens, T., Kappler, A., and Kretzschmar, R.: *Clostridium* Species as Metallic Copper-Forming Bacteria in Soil under Reducing Conditions, *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 32, 130–139, doi:10.1080/01490451.2014.933287, 2015.
- Hofacker, A. F., Voegelin, A., Kaegi, R., and Kretzschmar, R.: Mercury mobilization in a flooded soil by incorporation into metallic copper and metal sulfide nanoparticles, *Environmental science & technology*, 47, 7739–7746, doi:10.1021/es4010976, 2013.
- Hojdová, M., Rohovec, J., Chrastný, V., Penížek, V., and Navrátil, T.: The influence of sample drying procedures on mercury concentrations analyzed in soils, *Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 94, 570–576, doi:10.1007/s00128-015-1521-9, 2015.

Horvat, M., Nolde, N., Fajon, V., Jereb, V., Logar, M., Lojen, S., Jacimovic, R., Falnoga, I., Liya, Q., Faganeli, J., and Drobne, D.: Total mercury, methylmercury and selenium in mercury polluted areas in the province Guizhou, China, *Science of The Total Environment*, 304, 231–256, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00572-7, 2003.

Hu, H., Li, M., Wang, G., Drosos, M., Li, Z., Hu, Z., and Xi, B.: Water-soluble mercury induced by organic amendments affected microbial community assemblage in mercury-polluted paddy soil, *Chemosphere*, 236, 124405, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124405, 2019.

Jiang, T., Skjellberg, U., Wei, S., Wang, D., Lu, S., Jiang, Z., and Flanagan, D. C.: Modeling of the structure-specific kinetics of abiotic, dark reduction of Hg(II) complexed by O/N and S functional groups in humic acids while accounting for time-dependent structural rearrangement, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 154, 151–167, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.01.011, 2015.

Jiskra, M., Wiederhold, J. G., Skjellberg, U., Kronberg, R.-M., and Kretzschmar, R.: Source tracing of natural organic matter bound mercury in boreal forest runoff with mercury stable isotopes, *Environmental science. Processes & impacts*, 19, 1235–1248, doi:10.1039/c7em00245a, 2017.

Jones, M. E., Nico, P. S., Ying, S., Regier, T., Thieme, J., and Keiluweit, M.: Manganese-Driven Carbon Oxidation at Oxidic-Anoxic Interfaces, *Environmental science & technology*, 52, 12349–12357, doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b03791, 2018.

Jonsson, S., Skjellberg, U., Nilsson, M. B., Westlund, P.-O., Shchukarev, A., Lundberg, E., and Björn, E.: Mercury methylation rates for geochemically relevant Hg(II) species in sediments, *Environmental science & technology*, 46, 11653–11659, doi:10.1021/es3015327, 2012.

Kronberg, R.-M., Jiskra, M., Wiederhold, J. G., Björn, E., and Skjellberg, U.: Methyl Mercury Formation in Hillslope Soils of Boreal Forests: The Role of Forest Harvest and Anaerobic Microbes, *Environmental science & technology*, 50, 9177–9186, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b00762, 2016.

Lazareva, O., Sparks, D. L., Landis, R., Ptacek, C. J., and Ma, J.: Investigation of legacy industrial mercury in floodplain soils: South River, Virginia, USA, *Environ Earth Sci*, 78, doi:10.1007/s12665-019-8253-9, 2019.

Li, H., Zheng, D., Zhang, X., Niu, Z., Ma, H., Zhang, S., and Wu, C.: Total and Methylmercury of Suaeda heteroptera Wetland Soil Response to a Salinity Gradient Under Wetting and Drying Conditions, *Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 104, 778–785, doi:10.1007/s00128-020-02874-1, 2020.

Li, M., Drosos, M., Hu, H., He, X., Wang, G., Zhang, H., Hu, Z., and Xi, B.: Organic amendments affect dissolved organic matter composition and mercury dissolution in pore waters of mercury-polluted paddy soil, *Chemosphere*, 232, 356–365, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.05.234, 2019.

Liang, X., Lu, X., Zhao, J., Liang, L., Zeng, E. Y., and Gu, B.: Stepwise Reduction Approach Reveals Mercury Competitive Binding and Exchange Reactions within Natural Organic Matter and Mixed Organic Ligands, *Environmental science & technology*, 53, 10685–10694, doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b02586, 2019.

Liu, S., Wang, J., Pu, S., Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y., and Razavi, B. S.: Impact of manure on soil biochemical properties: A global synthesis, *The Science of the total environment*, 745, 141003, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141003, 2020.

- Liu, Y.-R., Dong, J.-X., Han, L.-L., Zheng, Y.-M., and He, J.-Z.: Influence of rice straw amendment on mercury methylation and nitrification in paddy soils, *Environmental pollution* (Barking, Essex 1987), 209, 53–59, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.023, 2016.
- Ma, D., Wu, J., Yang, P., and Zhu, M.: Coupled Manganese Redox Cycling and Organic Carbon Degradation on Mineral Surfaces, *Environmental science & technology*, doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c02065, 2020.
- Manceau, A., Lemouchi, C., Enescu, M., Gailliot, A.-C., Lanson, M., Magnin, V., Glatzel, P., Poulin, B. A., Ryan, J. N., Aiken, G. R., Gautier-Luneau, I., and Nagy, K. L.: Formation of Mercury Sulfide from Hg(II)-Thiolate Complexes in Natural Organic Matter, *Environmental science & technology*, 49, 9787–9796, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02522, 2015.
- Manceau, A., Nagy, K. L., Marcus, M. A., Lanson, M., Geoffroy, N., Jacquet, T., and Kirpichtchikova, T.: Formation of metallic copper nanoparticles at the soil-root interface, *Environmental science & technology*, 42, 1766–1772, doi:10.1021/es072017o, 2008.
- Marvin-DiPasquale, M., Windham-Myers, L., Agee, J. L., Kakouros, E., Le Kieu, H., Fleck, J. A., Alpers, C. N., and Stricker, C. A.: Methylmercury production in sediment from agricultural and non-agricultural wetlands in the Yolo Bypass, California, USA, *The Science of the total environment*, 484, 288–299, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.09.098, 2014.
- Miller, C. L., Mason, R. P., Gilmour, C. C., and Heyes, A.: Influence of dissolved organic matter on the complexation of Hg under sulfidic conditions., *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 26, 624–633, 2007.
- Miller, C. L., Southworth, G., Brooks, S., Liang, L., and Gu, B.: Kinetic controls on the complexation between mercury and dissolved organic matter in a contaminated environment, *Environmental science & technology*, 43, 8548–8553, doi:10.1021/es901891t, 2009.
- Neculita, C.-M., Zagury, G. J., and Deschênes, L.: Mercury speciation in highly contaminated soils from chlor-alkali plants using chemical extractions, *Journal of environmental quality*, 34, 255–262, 2005.
- Pham, A. L.-T., Morris, A., Zhang, T., Ticknor, J., Levard, C., and Hsu-Kim, H.: Precipitation of nanoscale mercuric sulfides in the presence of natural organic matter: Structural properties, aggregation, and biotransformation, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 133, 204–215, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.027, 2014.
- Ponting, J., Kelly, T. J., Verhoef, A., Watts, M. J., and Sizmur, T.: The impact of increased flooding occurrence on the mobility of potentially toxic elements in floodplain soil - A review, *The Science of the total environment*, 754, 142040, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142040, 2020.
- Poulin, B. A., Aiken, G. R., Nagy, K. L., Manceau, A., Krabbenhoft, D. P., and Ryan, J. N.: Mercury transformation and release differs with depth and time in a contaminated riparian soil during simulated flooding, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 176, 118–138, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.12.024, 2016.
- Poulin, B. A., Gerbig, C. A., Kim, C. S., Stegemeier, J. P., Ryan, J. N., and Aiken, G. R.: Effects of Sulfide Concentration and Dissolved Organic Matter Characteristics on the Structure of Nanocolloidal Metacinnabar, *Environmental science & technology*, 51, 13133–13142, doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b02687, 2017.

- Qiu, G., Feng, X., Wang, S., and Shang, L.: Mercury and methylmercury in riparian soil, sediments, mine-waste calcines, and moss from abandoned Hg mines in east Guizhou province, southwestern China, *Applied Geochemistry*, 20, 627–638, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2004.09.006, 2005.
- Ravichandran, M.: Interactions between mercury and dissolved organic matter--a review, *Chemosphere*, 55, 319–331, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2003.11.011, 2004.
- Ravichandran, M., Aiken, G. R., Reddy, M. M., and Ryan, J. N.: Enhanced Dissolution of Cinnabar (Mercuric Sulfide) by Dissolved Organic Matter Isolated from the Florida Everglades, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 32, 3305–3311, doi:10.1021/es9804058, 1998.
- Ravichandran, M., Aiken, G. R., Ryan, J. N., and Reddy, M. M.: Inhibition of Precipitation and Aggregation of Metacinnabar (Mercuric Sulfide) by Dissolved Organic Matter Isolated from the Florida Everglades, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 33, 1418–1423, doi:10.1021/es9811187, 1999.
- Remucal, C. K. and Ginder-Vogel, M.: A critical review of the reactivity of manganese oxides with organic contaminants, *Environmental science. Processes & impacts*, 16, 1247–1266, doi:10.1039/c3em00703k, 2014.
- Richner, W. and Sinaj, S.: *Grundlagen für die Düngung landwirtschaftlicher Kulturen in der Schweiz (GRUD 2017)*, Agroscope, Bern, Schweiz, 276 pp., 2017.
- Rivera, N. A., Bippus, P. M., and Hsu-Kim, H.: Relative Reactivity and Bioavailability of Mercury Sorbed to or Coprecipitated with Aged Iron Sulfides, *Environmental science & technology*, 53, 7391–7399, doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b00768, 2019.
- Siemens, J. and Kaupenjohann, M.: Dissolved organic carbon is released from sealings and glues of pore-water samplers, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 67, 795–797, 2003.
- Singer, M. B., Harrison, L. R., Donovan, P. M., Blum, J. D., and Marvin-DiPasquale, M.: Hydrologic indicators of hot spots and hot moments of mercury methylation potential along river corridors, *The Science of the total environment*, 568, 697–711, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.005, 2016.
- Skylberg, U.: Competition among thiols and inorganic sulfides and polysulfides for Hg and MeHg in wetland soils and sediments under suboxic conditions: Illumination of controversies and implications for MeHg net production, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2008JG000745, 2008.
- Skylberg, U., Bloom, P. R., Qian, J., Lin, C.-M., and Bleam, W. F.: Complexation of mercury(II) in soil organic matter: EXAFS evidence for linear two-coordination with reduced sulfur groups, *Environmental science & technology*, 40, 4174–4180, doi:10.1021/es0600577, 2006.
- Skylberg, U. and Drott, A.: Competition between disordered iron sulfide and natural organic matter associated thiols for mercury(II)-an EXAFS study, *Environmental science & technology*, 44, 1254–1259, doi:10.1021/es902091w, 2010.
- Sunda, W. G. and Kieber, D. J.: Oxidation of humic substances by manganese oxides yields low-molecular-weight organic substrates, *Nature*, 367, 62–64, 1994.
- Tang, W., Hintelmann, H., Gu, B., Feng, X., Liu, Y., Gao, Y., Zhao, J., Zhu, H., Lei, P., and Zhong, H.: Increased Methylmercury Accumulation in Rice after Straw Amendment, *Environmental science & technology*, 53, 6144–6153, doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b07145, 2019.



- Tang, Z., Fan, F., Wang, X., Shi, X., Deng, S., and Wang, D.: Mercury in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and rice-paddy soils under long-term fertilizer and organic amendment, *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 150, 116–122, doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.12.021, 2018.
- Vlassopoulos, D., Kanematsu, M., Henry, E. A., Goin, J., Leven, A., Glaser, D., Brown, S. S., and O'Day, P. A.: Manganese(iv) oxide amendments reduce methylmercury concentrations in sediment porewater, *Environmental science. Processes & impacts*, 20, 1746–1760, doi:10.1039/c7em00583k, 2018.
- Wang, A. O., Ptacek, C. J., Mack, E. E., and Blowes, D. W.: Impact of multiple drying and rewetting events on biochar amendments for Hg stabilization in floodplain soil from South River, VA, *Chemosphere*, 262, 127794, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127794, 2021.
- Wang, A. O., Ptacek, C. J., Paktunc, D., Mack, E. E., and Blowes, D. W.: Application of biochar prepared from ethanol refinery by-products for Hg stabilization in floodplain soil: Impacts of drying and rewetting, *Environmental pollution (Barking, Essex 1987)*, 267, 115396, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115396, 2020.
- Wang, Y., Chen, Z., Wu, Y., and Zhong, H.: Comparison of methylmercury accumulation in wheat and rice grown in straw-amended paddy soil, *The Science of the total environment*, 697, 134143, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134143, 2019.
- Wang, Y., Dang, F., Zhong, H., Wei, Z., and Li, P.: Effects of sulfate and selenite on mercury methylation in a mercury-contaminated rice paddy soil under anoxic conditions, *Environmental science and pollution research international*, 23, 4602–4608, doi:10.1007/s11356-015-5696-8, 2016.
- Weber, F.-A., Voegelin, A., Kaegi, R., and Kretzschmar, R.: Contaminant mobilization by metallic copper and metal sulphide colloids in flooded soil, *Nature Geoscience*, 2, 267–271, doi:10.1038/ngeo476, 2009.
- Zhang, T., Kim, B., Levard, C., Reinsch, B. C., Lowry, G. V., Deshusses, M. A., and Hsu-Kim, H.: Methylation of mercury by bacteria exposed to dissolved, nanoparticulate, and microparticulate mercuric sulfides, *Environmental science & technology*, 46, 6950–6958, doi:10.1021/es203181m, 2012.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, Y.-R., Lei, P., Wang, Y.-J., and Zhong, H.: Biochar and nitrate reduce risk of methylmercury in soils under straw amendment, *The Science of the total environment*, 619-620, 384–390, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.106, 2018.
- Zhao, J.-Y., Ye, Z.-H., and Zhong, H.: Rice root exudates affect microbial methylmercury production in paddy soils, *Environmental pollution (Barking, Essex 1987)*, 242, 1921–1929, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.072, 2018.
- Zhu, H., Zhong, H., and Wu, J.: Incorporating rice residues into paddy soils affects methylmercury accumulation in rice, *Chemosphere*, 152, 259–264, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.02.095, 2016.

**Table 1: List of soil parameters for the two incubated soils (HMLC and LMHC) and manure (MNR). Uncertainties are given as 1 $\sigma$  standard deviation of triplicate experiments (method triplicates).**

Parameter		Cornfield (HMLC)		Pasture field (LMHC)		Cow Manure (MNR)	
Land use		Corn field		Pasture		-	
Depth		0 - 20 cm		0 - 20 cm		-	
Soil Type (WRB)		Fluvisol Gleyic		Fluvisol Gleyic		-	
pH		8.16		7.84		-	
Water content (wt. %)		13.8		8.5		90.3	
Unit (dry.wt.)		Concentration	SD	Concentration	SD	Concentration	SD
C <sub>org</sub>	wt. %	1.92	0.01	3.45	0.01	45.22	0.09
N <sub>tot</sub>	wt. %	0.181	0.001	0.372	0.002	3.68	0.08
C <sub>org</sub> /N <sub>tot</sub>	-	10.61	-	9.29	-	-	-
S	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	0.63	0.05	0.77	0.05	3.7	0.1
Hg	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	47.3	0.5	2.4	0.3	0.045	0.001
MeHg	μg/kg	26.9	0.2	6.4	0.2	<0.02	-
MeHg/Hg	%	0.06	-	0.28	-	-	-
Al	wt. %	0.91	0.05	1.05	0.04	0.0106	0.0003
Fe		1.95	0.07	2.38	0.05	0.0336	0.0009
Mg		1.25	0.07	1.39	0.05	0.49	0.03
Mn	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	493	21	672	38	53	1
P		1169	80	1044	85	8245	232
Cr		56	4	64	5	0.68	0.01
Co		10.75	0.06	11.22	0.43	0.4	0.2
Ni		81.7	0.8	78.3	2.9	2.3	0.1
Cu		40.1	1.2	28.0	0.7	13.1	0.6
Zn		61.8	0.5	47.3	2.0	81	3
As		11.74	0.07	16.04	0.72	0.8	0.4
Cd		0.21	0.04	0.17	0.01	0.042	0.004
Pb		20.8	0.5	18.34	0.5	-	-
V		17.2	0.4	20.99	1.1	0.31	0.01
Sr		137	2	202	6	45.9	1.6
Cs		1.99	0.02	1.52	0.04	-	-
Ba		60.2	1.1	76.9	1.6	9.1	0.5
Ce		7.0	0.4	8.6	0.6	-	-
Gd		0.94	0.03	1.00	0.05	0.021	0.001
U		1.74	0.08	1.29	0.01	0.19	0.01
Hg/Cu molar	‰	366.3	-	25.73	-	-	-
Hg/Mn molar		25.758	-	0.926	-	-	-
Hg/C <sub>org</sub> molar		0.147	-	0.004	-	-	-
Mn/C <sub>org</sub> molar		0.0056	-	0.0042	-	-	-

**Table 2: Description of the symbols and terms used for different filter fractions in the publication. The particulate fraction is calculated as the difference of the 20 nm and the 10µm filtrate concentrations.**

Filter Type	Filter size	Symbol (e.g. HgT <sub>x</sub> )	Description
<b>Suction Cup</b>	10 µm	HgT <sub>&lt;10µm</sub>	Soil solution sampled directly from the suction cup contains a variety of particles (clay minerals, bacteria, Mn-/Fe-hydroxides, POM aggregates etc.). We refer to this fraction by adding the subscripts <10µm to the analyte symbol.
<b>Syringe Filter</b>	0.02 µm	HgT <sub>&lt;0.02µm</sub>	Soil solution <0.02µm is a cutoff size that may still carry colloids. We refer to this fraction by adding the subscripts <0.02µm to the analyte symbol.
-	-	P-HgT	Particulate Hg is calculated as: $PHg = Hg_{<10\mu m} - Hg_{<0.02\mu m}$
-	-	P-HgT <sub>rel.</sub>	Relative particulate Hg is calculated as: $PHg_{rel.} = (Hg_{<10\mu m} - Hg_{<0.02\mu m})/Hg_{<10\mu m}$
<b>AF4 membrane</b>	1 kDa	HgT <sub>&lt;1kDa</sub>	Molecules in solution under this cutoff size are not expected to have colloidal properties. Therefore, this range is referred to as “truly dissolved” in the text.

848

849 **Table 3: Soil MeHg concentrations and net-methylation (MeHg/Hg) over the time of the experiment.**

<b>Treatment</b>	<b>day</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean MeHg (<math>\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}</math>)</b>	<b>SD MeHg (<math>\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}</math>)</b>	<b>Range MeHg (<math>\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}</math>)</b>	<b>MeHg/Hg (‰)</b>	<b>net MeHg production (%)</b>
HMLC	0	1	26.9	-	26.9 - 26.9	0.57	-
	14	3	30.14	2.19	28.04 - 32.42	0.64	12.0
	28	3	52.04	10.65	39.74 - 58.25	1.1	73.1
	42	3	30.03	5.05	26.93 - 35.86	0.75	-32.4
HMLC +MNR	0	1	26.9	-	26.9 - 26.9	0.57	-
	14	3	43.41	1.99	42 - 44.81	1.03	81.1
	28	3	57.79	13.79	41.88 - 66.41	1.24	20.7
	42	3	30.94	3.43	28.85 - 34.9	0.67	-45.9
LMHC	0	1	6.4	-	6.4 - 6.4	2.72	-
	14	3	8.11	1.09	7.33 - 9.36	2.99	10.0
	28	3	12.07	1.1	10.81 - 12.87	4.11	37.2
	42	3	7.95	0.35	7.73 - 8.36	3.42	-16.7
LMHC +MNR	0	1	6.4	-	6.4 - 6.4	2.69	-
	14	3	10.86	1.86	8.76 - 12.32	3.72	38.1
	28	3	14.31	0.17	14.12 - 14.43	4.7	26.6
	42	3	8.4	0.09	8.33 - 8.5	3.67	-22.0

850

851

852

Experimental phases		Pre-incubation		Main Incubation	
Time of incubation (Days)		-7		-	
Treatments	Time of incubation (Days)	Flooded/Draining cycles		Flooded	
		Manure addition (+MNR)		Flooded	
Soil solution sampling	Filtrate	Parameters		Parameters	
	Multi Element (Metals)	H <sub>g</sub>		H <sub>g</sub>	
	DOC and N <sub>o</sub>	Cations/Anions		Cations/Anions	
	Multi Element (Metals)	H <sub>g</sub>		H <sub>g</sub>	
Soil sampling	<0.02 µm	<0.45 µm		<10 µm	
	Multi Element (Metals)	H <sub>g</sub>		H <sub>g</sub>	
	DOC and N <sub>o</sub>	Cations/Anions		Cations/Anions	
	Multi Element (Metals)	H <sub>g</sub>		H <sub>g</sub>	
Soil sampling		Mineral Composition		Mineral Composition	

**Figure 1** Schedule of preformed incubation experiment, samplings and measurements: Blue bars indicate soil flooding periods. Gray bars represent drained periods. The width of the columns is not proportional to the time of incubation. In the treatments row the (⊕) symbol indicates the addition of liquid manure to the microcosms specifically treated with manure (+MNR). Triangles represent regular soil solution sampling points. Rectangles represent soil solution sampling for colloid analyses. Diamonds represent time points for soil sampling. At -7 days, soil was sampled from the pooled soil directly before the pre-incubation.




Figure 2 Soil solution dynamics in cornfield soil (HMLC) incubations for redox potential (a), redox reactive elements (Mn, PMn, Fe, P-Fe,  $[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]:[\text{Cl}^-]$ ) (b-f) and dissolved organic carbon (h). Lines between points were plotted to improve readability. The gray area indicates the drained period.




Figure 3 Soil solution dynamics in cornfield soil (HMLC) incubations for Hg (a-c) subdivided in phases (0-3). Lines between points were plotted to improve readability. The gray area indicates the drained period. Red arrows indicate sampling days for AF4-ICP-MS analyses.





Figure 4 Soil solution dynamics in cornfield soil (HMLC) incubations for Cu (a) and As (b). Lines between points were plotted to improve readability. The gray area indicates the drained period.



**Figure 5** Soil solution dynamics in pasture field soil (LMHC) incubations for redox potential (a), redox reactive elements (Mn, PMn, Fe, P-Fe,  $[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]:[\text{Cl}^-]$ ) (b-f) and dissolved organic carbon (h). Lines between points were plotted to improve readability. The gray area indicates the drained period.






Figure 6 Soil solution dynamics in pasture field soil (LMHC) incubations for Hg (a-c) subdivided in phases (1-3). Lines between points were plotted to improve readability. The gray area indicates the drained period.




Figure 7 Soil solution dynamics in pasture field soil (LMHC) incubations for Cu (a) and As (b). Lines between points were plotted to improve readability. The gray area indicates the drained period.






Figure 8 Size distribution of Hg estimated after AF4 fractogram deconvolution for Rep1 of cornfield soil incubation (HMLC and HMLC +MNR) subdivided in phases (0-3). The concentration of HgT in size fractions was calculated using an external calibration of the ICP-MS directly after the AF4 run. The concentration of HgT in “< 1kDa” was calculated by subtracting the sum of the fractions from the HgT concentration in the same sample measured separately by ICP-MS. The fractograms of all analysed time points are shown in the supplement (Figs. S9-S12).



**Figure 9** Hg, Cu, Mn and Fe concentrations (a) and C signals (ICP-MS), UV<sub>254nm</sub> absorbance and fluorescence signals (b) in colloids as a function of hydrodynamic diameter (related to retention times on AF4) in a sample from HMLC at day 9 after flooding. These fractograms were obtained at linearly decreasing crossflow from 2 to 0 mL min<sup>-1</sup> over 20 min. The red line indicates the time point where the crossflow reached 0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Areas (yellow to red color) indicate size fraction ranges assigned during deconvolution.



**Figure 10 Soil MeHg concentrations and MeHg/Hg ratios over the course of the experiment for corn field soils (HMLC, yellow/red) and pasture field soils (LMHC, lime/green). Highest net methylation was observed during first flooding for +MNR treatments and during the draining period for microcosms without manure addition. A significant decrease of MeHg/Hg was observed during the second flooding for all treatments.**