



1 2 3	The Role of Sediment-induced Light Attenuation on Primary Production during Hurricane Gustav (2008)			
4	Zhengchen Zang ¹ , Z. George Xue ^{1,2,3 *} , Kehui Xu ^{1,3} , Samuel J. Bentley ^{3,4} ,			
5	Qin Chen ⁵ , Eurico J. D'Sa ^{1,3} , Le Zhang ¹ , Yanda Ou ¹			
6				
7	¹ Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge,			
8	LA 70803, USA (now at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, 02543)			
9	² Center for Computation and Technology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803,			
10	USA			
11	³ Coastal Studies Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA			
12	⁴ Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803,			
13	USA			
14	⁵ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA			
15	02115, USA			
16				
17	Corresponding author: Z. George Xue (zxue@lsu.edu)			
18 19	Key Words:			
20	Gulf of Mexico; Sediment-induced light attenuation; hurricane; offshore bloom.			
21				
22				





23 Abstract

24

25 We introduced a sediment-induced light attenuation algorithm into the biogeochemical model of the Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere-Wave-Sediment Transport (COAWST) modeling system. A fully 26 27 coupled ocean-atmospheric-sediment-biogeochemical simulation was carried out to assess the 28 impact of sediment-induced light attenuation on primary production in the northern Gulf of Mexico 29 during Hurricane Gustav in 2008. The new model showed a better agreement with satellite data on 30 both the magnitude of nearshore chlorophyll concentration and the distribution of offshore bloom. When Gustav approached, resuspended sediments shifted the inner shelf ecosystem from a 31 32 nutrient-limited one to light-limited. One week after Gustav's landfall, accumulated nutrient and favorable optical environment induced a post-hurricane algal bloom in the top 20 m of water 33 column, while the productivity in the lower layer was still light-limited due to unsettled sediment. 34 35 Corresponding with the elevated offshore NO₃ flux (38.71 mmol N/m/s) and decreased chlorophyll flux (43.10 mg/m/s), the post-hurricane bloom in the outer shelf was resulted from the cross-shelf 36 37 nutrient supply instead of the lateral dispersed chlorophyll. Sensitivity tests indicated that sediment light attenuation efficiency affected primary production when sediment concentration was 38 39 moderately high. The influence of terrestrial nutrient discharge on primary production was 40 dominant after three days of hurricane landfall and kept increasing until the end of model 41 simulation. Model uncertainties were also discussed.

42

43 1 Introduction

44 Light is the primary agent for photosynthesis and plays a vital role in marine ecosystems. 45 The vertical structure of light availability in an aquatic environment is mainly modulated by the 46 shading effects of chlorophyll, colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM), detritus, and sediment (Cloern, 1987; Devlin et al., 2008; Schaeffer et al., 2011; Ganju et al., 2014; McSweeney et al., 47 48 2017). The optical environments in river-dominated shelves are more complex due to the high 49 spatiotemporal variations of light absorbers caused by the interaction between riverine inputs and regional hydrodynamics (Bierman et al., 1994; Lin et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2009). As the largest 50 51 river in North America, the Mississippi-Atchafalaya River system delivers 380 km³ of freshwater 52 and 115 Mt of sediments each year into the northern Gulf of Mexico (nGoM; Meade and Moody, 53 2010; Allison et al., 2012). Over the Louisiana-Texas shelf in the nGoM, suspended sediment 54 concentration (SSC) in the water column exhibits strong seasonality: SSC in winter and spring seasons is high due to strong sediment resuspension and large fluvial sediment discharge; while in 55 summer and fall it is largely reduced owning to the relatively low river inputs and weak 56 57 resuspension (Zang et al., 2019). Episodic hurricane events in summer and fall can disturb vertical 58 stratification and resuspend large amount of sediment (D'Sa et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2016; Zang et 59 al., 2018). Enhanced resuspension during a hurricane might greatly change the shelf ecosystem via modifying light availability, yet the related studies are limited due to the challenge of *in-situ* data 60 61 collection under extreme weather conditions. In addition to light attenuation, another potential impact from resuspended sediment is enhanced organic matter remineralization in the bottom 62 63 boundary layer (Wilson et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2019), yet so far available field studies are still 64 verv limited.

As an alternative tool to fill the spatial and temporal gaps in *in-situ* datasets, coupled physical-biogeochemical models have been widely applied to the Gulf of Mexico (e.g. Fennel et al., 2008; Laurent et al., 2012; Xue et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2015; Gomez et al., 2018). Although most of these studies considered sediment-induced light attenuation when estimating primary





69 production, its influence remained unchanged over the entire research domain and did not vary 70 with sediment dynamics. Such an oversimplified treatment of sediment-induced light attenuation 71 could substantially impact models' robustness in river-dominated shelves that encompass a wide 72 range of SSC. Justić and Wang (2014) tentatively employed a new scheme by connecting 73 sediment-induced light attenuation with river discharge (salinity) and hydrodynamics (bottom shear stress) in the nGoM. However, the horizontal distribution of SSC in a realistic environment 74 75 is not necessarily correlated with that of the freshwater plume, and the contribution of resuspension 76 to SSC in different vertical layers might vary significantly (Xu et al., 2011).

77 Gustav was the first major hurricane that made a landfall in Louisiana after Katrina (2005). 78 It passed through the center of GoM and landed near Cocodrie, Louisiana on September 1st of 2008 79 as a Category 2 hurricane (Forbes et al., 2010). Sediment resuspension and transport were strong 80 during the passage of Gustav, and thick post-hurricane deposition (up to 40 cm) was simulated on 81 the inner shelf (Zang et al., 2018) and in the bays (Liu et al., 2018). Korobkin et al. (2009) identified a post-Gustav algal bloom around the Mississippi Delta using satellite images. High respiration 82 and stratification after the landfall of Gustav was reported to be connected with hypoxia 83 development on the shelf (McCarthy et al., 2013). In this study, we built a biogeochemical model 84 85 with sediment-induced light attenuation on the hydro- and sediment dynamics of the three-way 86 coupled (atmospheric-wave-ocean) Gustav model (Zang et al., 2018). It is worth of note that 87 sediment dynamics can also impact nutrient dynamics via changing the intensity of remineralization near the bottom (Moriarty et al., 2018). However, in this study we only 88 investigated the influence of suspended sediment on optical environment and primary production. 89 90 The impact from elevated remineralization of resuspended particular organic matter was not 91 considered as detailed processes in water column and sediment bed because their relevant 92 parameterizations during extreme weather events are still largely unknown. Our objectives are to: 93 1) evaluate the impact of sediment-induced light attenuation on the spatiotemporal variation of 94 nutrient-phytoplankton dynamics during a hurricane event; 2) explore the driving mechanism of the post-hurricane bloom on the shelf; and 3) investigate the response of primary production to 95 sediment optical characteristics and fluvial nutrient input. 96

97

98 2 Model Description

99 2.1 Physical, sediment and biogeochemical models

Our model covered the entire GoM (Fig. 1a) and was built on the coupled ocean-100 101 atmosphere-wave-and-sediment transport (COAWST) modeling system (Warner et al., 2008, 2010). COAWST is an open source model platform that consists of three numerical models: the 102 Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF; Skamarock et al., 2005), the Regional Ocean 103 104 Modeling System (ROMS; Shchepetkin and McWilliams, 2005; Haidvogel et al., 2008), and the 105 Simulating Waves Nearshore model (SWAN; Booij et al., 1999). The Community Sediment Transport Modeling System (CSTMS) is included in ROMS to simulate sediment dispersal. 106 107 stratigraphy, and geomorphology. Model Coupling Toolkit (MCT; Jacob et al., 2005) enables the interaction among the three models. Details of model setup and validation of the three-way coupled 108 109 hydrodynamic-sediment transport model (WRF-ROMS-SWAN-CSTMS) was described in Zang 110 et al. (2018). The biogeochemical model in this study was largely built on the North Pacific 111 Ecosystem Model for Understanding Regional Oceanography (NEMURO; Kishi et al., 2007), 112 which incorporated both nitrogen and silicon flows. Eleven state variables were included in the model: nitrate, ammonium, two types of phytoplankton (small and large), three types of 113 114 zooplankton (microzooplankton, mesozooplankton and predatory zooplankton), particulate and





115 dissolved nitrogen, particulate silica, and silicic acid concentration. We incorporated two types of 116 chlorophyll corresponding to the large and small phytoplankton tracers, respectively. The 117 estimation of chlorophyll concentration was based on Fennel et al. (2006). To get an ideal 118 parameterization set and a stable initial condition for the biogeochemical variables, we first conducted a 20-yr (1993-2012) coupled physical-biogeochemical simulation using the same model 119 120 domain with the WRF and SWAN models disabled to achieve a feasible computation load (step 1 121 in Fig. 2). Instead, the atmospheric forcing was provided by the 6-hourly, 38 km horizontal resolution Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR; Saha et al., 2010, 2011; 122 123 http://cfs.ncep.noaa.gov). The physical setup of the 20-yr simulation was the same as Zang et al. (2019). The biogeochemical parameterizations (Table, S1) were largely adapted after a recent 124 125 GoM biogeochemical modeling study by Gomez et al. (2018). Since this study focused on the 126 response of biogeochemical process to hurricane event, details of the 20-yr simulation setup and model-observation comparison were provided in the supplementary material. Once validated, the 127 biogeochemical variables were extracted from the 20-yr model on August 30th, 2008 as the initial 128 129 condition for the Gustav simulation (step 2 in Fig. 2).

130

- 131
- 132

The light available for photosynthesis (I) is estimated using the following equation:

$$I = I_0 exp\{-Z[\alpha_w + \alpha_{chl}(PSn + PLn) + \alpha_{sed}SSC]\}$$

133

134 where I_0 is light intensity at the surface layer, and Z is water depth. α_w and α_{chl} are light extinction coefficient of sea water and self-shading coefficient, respectively. PSn and PLn 135 136 represent concentrations of small phytoplankton and large phytoplankton. Compared with original biogeochemical model, we added a new sediment-induced light attenuation term ($\alpha_{sed}SSC$) in this 137 138 equation. α_{sed} is light extinction coefficient due to suspended sediment, and SSC is total suspended sediment concentration in the certain layer. We performed a benchmark run (α_{sed} = 139 0.059; McSweeney et al., 2017) to represent the scenarios with sediment-induced light attenuation. 140 The simulation period was from August 30th to September 10th, 2008. 141

142

143 2.2 Sensitivity tests

144 High turbidity in the Mississippi River estuary due to fluvial sediment discharge and 145 resuspension suggested the vital role of sediment in underwater optical environment. To quantitatively evaluable the importance of suspended sediment in light attenuation, we conducted 146 a sensitivity test (test 1) without sediment-induced light attenuation term ($\alpha_{sed} = 0$). Since the 147 physical properties of sediment particle (e.g., size, shape, roughness, and color) determined its 148 149 light attenuation efficiency (Baker and Lavelle, 1984; Storlazzi et al., 2015), a wide range of α_{sed} has been applied in previous studies (e.g., Pennock, 1985; Arndt et al., 2007; McSweeney et al., 150 2017). Here we selected $\alpha_{sed} = 0.075$ (test 2; Pennock, 1985) and $\alpha_{sed} = 0.025$ (test 3; Van Duin 151 152 et al., 2001) to represent high/low attenuation efficiency and examined the sensitivity of primary 153 production to sediment-induced light attenuation.

154

155 **3** Model Validation

Direct measurements of ocean conditions during the passage of a hurricane remained a major challenge. In Zang et al. (2018) we validated the physical model's performance against the air pressure, sea level, and wave heights recorded at available buoy stations. The sediment model's performance was evaluated against satellite images. In this study, we used the five-day composites of SeaWiFS satellite images before (Aug 25th-29th) and after (September 5th-9th) Gustav's landing





to calibrate our biogeochemical model. The satellite images showed higher chlorophyll 161 concentration around the bird-foot delta and on the Atchafalaya shelf in the post-hurricane 162 163 composite than the pre-hurricane one (Figs. 3a and 3b). Another major difference between the two composites was identified in the waters between the 50 and 200 m isobaths off the Atchafalaya 164 165 Bay: chlorophyll concentration increased from 1 to 4 mg/m³ after Gustav, indicating a possible 166 post-hurricane algal bloom on the outer shelf. The intensity of offshore bloom was better reproduced ($\sim 4 \text{ mg/m}^3$) with the new sediment-induced light attenuation algorithm (benchmark 167 168 run, see difference between Figs. 3c and 3d). To quantitatively evaluate model's performance, we calculated the root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (R) between model-169 170 simulated and satellite-based chlorophyll concentrations over the inner shelf (water depth < 50 m; 171 Fig. 4). The reduced RMSE in the benchmark run in comparison to sensitivity test (2.33 to 1.91) 172 suggested model performance was improved by including sediment-induced light attenuation. The 173 correlation coefficients were slightly different (0.82 and 0.81), indicating the spatial distributions of chlorophyll of the two experiments were comparable (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, model's 174 175 performance was significantly improved in high productivity waters where chlorophyll concentration is $> 1 \text{ mg/m}^3$: R increased from 0.55 to 0.61, and RMSE decreases from 5.93 to 3.97 176 (Fig. 4). The improvement of model results confirmed the significance of sediment-induced light 177 178 attenuation in biogeochemical cycling during hurricane Gustav, particularly in coastal regions 179 where chlorophyll concentration was high.

180

181 4 Results and Discussion

182 4.1 Temporal variability of biogeochemical variables

183 To exam the temporal variation of biogeochemical variables during the passage of Gustav, 184 we plotted the time series of spatially averaged net primary production (NPP), surface chlorophyll concentration, NO₃ concentration, SSC, short wave radiation, and sea surface temperature (SST) 185 186 over the nGoM inner shelf (< 50 m water depth; Fig. 5). NPP exhibited strong diel variation and 187 the peaks were strongly correlated with short wave radiation maximum (Figs. 5a and 5e). Such diel cycle could also be found in chlorophyll concentration, but with a 3 to 4-hour delay (Fig. 5c). 188 189 Before the approach of Gustav, daily-averaged NPP was around 1 g $C/m^2/day$, and the differences 190 of NPP and chlorophyll concentration between the benchmark run and test 1 were negligible (Fig. 191 5a).

When Gustav landed in coastal Louisiana at 16:00:00 UTC on September 1st, surface SSC 192 193 went up to 3.8 kg/m³ because of strong seabed erosion and resuspension (Fig. 5d). Daily-averaged 194 NPP reduced to 0.7 g C/m²/day in test 1. Once sediment-induced light attenuation was included, 195 daily-averaged NPP further declined to 0.2 g C/m²/day, suggesting that light availability severely 196 limited short-term productivity on the inner shelf. Chlorophyll concentrations in the benchmark 197 run and test 1 were reduced by 40% when hurricane approached. Hurricane-related surface cooling, together with decreased light (Figs. 5e and 5f), contributed to the reductions of chlorophyll and 198 199 NPP.

Daily-averaged NPP difference between the two experiments maximized on September 2nd due to light limitation modulated by resuspended sediments (Figs. 5a and 5d). On September 3rd, daily-averaged NPP of test 1 recovered to 0.9 g C/m²/day and was steady through the end of our simulation (Fig. 5a). For the benchmark run, however, the recovery of NPP was much slower: daily-averaged NPP was lower than that of test 1 until September 7th, when most suspended sediment settled back onto the seabed. NO₃ concentration went up gradually in the benchmark run from September 2nd to September 7th because nutrient consumption was constrained by the





declined photosynthesis (Fig. 5c). Accumulated NO₃, together with the preferable optical
environment due to low SSC, resulted in higher NPP and algal bloom after September 7th (Figs.
5a and 5b).

210

211 4.2 Vertical structure of biogeochemical variables

We extracted concentrations of chlorophyll and sediment along the transect D in Rabalais 212 et al. (2001; location see Fig. 1b) at three time points (August 31st, September 2nd, and September 213 10th) to represent pre-, during-, and post-hurricane stages, respectively (Fig. 6). Before the 214 approach of Gustav, chlorophyll concentration decreased seaward from 5 to 0.3 mg/m³, and 215 sediment-induced light attenuation did not alter the vertical structure of chlorophyll owing to low 216 SSC in the water column (Figs. 6a-c). On September 2nd, strong resuspension elevated the SSC to 217 more than 1 kg/m³ over the entire water column (Fig. 6f). Chlorophyll concentration over the top 218 219 40 m in the benchmark run was ~ 4 mg/m³ lower than that in test 1 due to sediment-induced light attenuation. The most dominant difference between the two simulations located nearshore where 220 221 the water depth was < 20 m (Figs. 6d and 6e).

In test 1, chlorophyll concentration during the post-hurricane stage was lower than that of 222 the pre-hurricane stage (Figs. 6a and 6g), which contradicted with the condition captured by 223 224 satellite images (Figs. 3a and 3b). The benchmark run, however, successfully reproduced the 225 magnitude and seaward extension of the post-hurricane bloom (Fig. 6h). High chlorophyll concentration (> 1 mg/m³) was simulated in the top 20 m of the water column where sediment 226 227 concentration was low after the passage of Gustav (Figs. 6g and 6h). As water depth exceeded 20 228 m, chlorophyll concentration dropped drastically to less than 0.1 mg/m^3 . The synchronized high 229 turbidity (Fig. 6i) and low chlorophyll concentration implied that, nine days after Gustav's landfall, 230 the primary production in deep water could still be constrained by limited light availability. A 231 similar vertical structure (high SSC and low chlorophyll at the bottom) was also simulated in the Delaware estuary, where near bottom productivity was constrained by the estuarine turbidity 232 maximum (McSweeney et al., 2017). Such a well stratified water column with high/low 233 productivity at the surface/bottom was in favor of bottom oxygen depletion: elevated surface 234 235 phytoplankton growth after hurricane provided more particulate organic matter (POM), which could sink gradually and be decomposed in the bottom water with high oxygen consumption 236 237 (Wiseman et al., 1997). Meanwhile, the post-hurricane stratification recovery in summer and fall 238 seasons prevented oxygen ventilation to the bottom. Another major process that might further 239 lower the oxygen level was the high respiration rate caused by resuspended POM (Bianucci et al., 240 2018). McCarthy et al. (2013) reported a post-Gustav respiration peak associated with organic 241 matter resuspension in the bottom boundary layer. A recent numerical model study also supported 242 substantial increase of near-bottom oxygen consumption due to resuspended POM 243 remineralization during moderate resuspension events (Moriarty et al., 2018). These existing 244 studies and the new finding of this study suggested particulate matter (both organic and inorganic) 245 dynamics might substantially contribute to bottom oxygen depletion and hypoxia development.

246

247 4.3 The post-hurricane offshore bloom

Post-hurricane blooms have been widely observed in the mid- and low-latitude oceans
(Davis and Yan, 2004; Miller et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2017). A bloom in the open ocean was usually
isolated and patchy, and its formation was mainly related to nutrients and chlorophyll vertical
mixing (Walker et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2017). The mechanism of the offshore bloom formation on
the outer shelf, however, was more complex due to additional impacts from the inner shelf water.





253 Strong cross-shore transport after hurricane Gustav has been reported by previous studies 254 (Korobkin et al., 2009; Zang et al., 2018). The seaward dispersal of coastal waters with higher nutrient and chlorophyll concentrations might potentially result in the outer shelf bloom, while 255 256 their respective contributions were still unclear. To quantify the cross-shore exported nutrient and chlorophyll, we estimated depth integrated offshore (seaward) NO₃ and chlorophyll flux along the 257 258 50 m isobath transect (location see Fig. 1b; Table. 1). Compared with test 1 (NO₃: 7.35 mmol 259 N/m/s; Chlorophyll: 66.88 mg/m/s), the benchmark run simulated a higher NO₃ flux (38.71 mmol N/m/s) and a lower chlorophyll flux (43.10 mg/m/s). The differences in NO₃ and chlorophyll 260 261 fluxes between the two simulations could be explained by nutrient accumulation and NPP reduction on the inner shelf when sediment-induced light attenuation was dominant (Figs. 5a and 262 263 5c). Given the better offshore bloom intensity reproduced by the benchmark run (Figs. 3c and 3d), 264 we concluded that the cross-shore export of previously accumulated nutrient during low light 265 availability significantly contributed to the post-hurricane offshore bloom.

266

267 4.4 Sensitivity to sediment light extinction coefficient (α_{sed})

268 Sediment light attenuation efficiency was determined by many physical properties of 269 sediment particle (e.g., size, shape, roughness, and color), which resulted in the great challenge to 270 reasonably parameterize α_{sed} over the entire nGoM (Baker and Lavelle, 1984; Storlazzi et al., 271 2015). To examine the sensitivity of primary production to sediment light attenuation efficiency, 272 the results of sensitivity tests with different α_{sed} (tests 2 and 3) were compared with the benchmark 273 run.

274 The difference of primary production between benchmark run and sensitivity tests 2 and 3 275 was limited before the landfall of hurricane Gustav (Fig. 7a). The insensitivity of sediment-induced 276 light attenuation under normal condition suggested that the nGoM ecosystem was mainly limited 277 by nutrient rather than light (Fennel et al., 2011). After 2 days of hurricane landfall (Sept $1^{st} - 3^{rd}$), 278 high SSC due to strong sediment resuspension suppressed photosynthesis in the entire water column (Fig. 7). The contribution of high SSC overwhelmed that of α_{sed} to the variation of 279 sediment-induced light attenuation term ($\alpha_{sed}SSC$). Therefore, the primary production was still insensitive to α_{sed} from Sept 1st to Sept 3rd although the nGoM ecosystem was limited by light 280 281 availability. After Sept 3rd, the primary production and NO₃ concentration of test 3 with lower α_{sed} 282 exceeded those of benchmark run and test 2 until Sept 7th (Fig. 7). The sensitivity of primary 283 production to a_{sed} during this period was caused by the decreased contribution of SSC to 284 sediment-induced light attenuation associated with sediment settling (Fig. 5d). In the last two days 285 286 of our simulations, the primary production difference turned to be limited again because the nGoM 287 ecosystem shifted back to a nutrient-limited one.

288 In general, the influence of α_{sed} was significant as the underwater light for photosynthesis was limited by sediment-induced light attenuation and sediment concentration was moderately 289 290 high. Although this study could not provide a widely accepted SSC range to determine whether 291 α_{sed} played a vital role in photosynthesis and primary production, it confirmed that the ecosystem 292 with great variation of sediment concentration were surely affected by α_{sed} . The optical environment over the muddy inner Louisiana shelf, for example, was dominated by CDOM and 293 294 chlorophyll under normal condition (D'Sa and Miller, 2003). During energetic events (e.g., hurricanes, cold fronts), however, high concentration of sediment particle due to strong 295 resuspension became the most important light absorber. Given high frequency of cold front in 296 297 winter and hurricane in summer in the nGoM (Walker and Hammack, 2000; Keim et al., 2007), it was reasonable to speculate that coastal Louisiana ecosystem was potentially sensitive to α_{sed} not 298





only on event scale, but also on annual and decadal scales. To confirm that, the long-term
biogeochemical model studies focusing on the nGoM and Mississippi-Atchafalaya River system
should explicitly include sediment-induced light attenuation in the future to better resolve
photosynthesis and primary production.

303

304 4.5 Model uncertainties

The optical environment over the muddy Louisiana shelf is dominated by phytoplankton, 305 306 suspended sediment, CDOM, and detritus particle (Le et al., 2014). So far, the model presented here only included the light attenuation due to the former two constituents, and the potential 307 308 influence from CDOM and detritus warrants future study. Light attenuation due to detritus was 309 simply parameterized using salinity in the previous model study (Justić and Wang, 2014), yet few 310 biogeochemical models took light attenuation due to CDOM into account. In the nGoM, CDOM 311 plays an indispensable role in modulating optical properties of inner shelf waters (D'Sa and Miller, 2003). To include CDOM-induced light attenuation into the biogeochemical models, a long-term 312 CDOM climatology is required in future studies. 313

314 We used SeaWiFS-derived chlorophyll concentration to compare with our model results. However, deriving high quality chlorophyll data during hurricanes is still a challenge because: 1) 315 316 thick clouds during hurricane limit the availability and quality of satellite images (Huang et al., 317 2011); 2) the uncertainty of chlorophyll estimation can be amplified due to strong CDOM absorption in the nGoM (D'Sa and Miller, 2003; D'Sa et al., 2006); and 3) conducting chlorophyll 318 measurements during hurricane to calibrate bio-optical algorithms is still challenging. Given the 319 320 rapid change and wide range of sediment and chlorophyll concentrations after hurricane, the algorithms based on observations under normal conditions might not be valid. To achieve high 321 322 quality satellite-derived chlorophyll data for model validation, developing a new algorithm based 323 on observations during hurricane events becomes essential.

324 In this study we simplified α_{sed} as a constant over the entire GoM following previous 325 studies. When water is highly turbid, the availability of light for photosynthesis could be more related to sediment concentration rather than α_{sed} (McSweeney et al., 2017). Thus, using a 326 327 constant to represent sediment light extinction coefficient when sediment concentration is high 328 should not introduce considerable uncertainties. The optical characteristics of sediment particle, 329 however, could greatly modify light availability underwater when SSC is relatively low (Storlazzi et al., 2015). Our sensitivity tests (section 4.4) also suggest the importance of α_{sed} in 330 photosynthesis and primary production when resuspended sediment settled back on the sea floor. 331 332 Therefore, it is necessary to apply an optimized α_{sed} parameterization to the regions where 333 sediment dominates underwater optical environment.

334 Organic matter remineralization in sediments can dramatically increase nutrient 335 concentration in the bottom boundary layer during strong resuspension (Couceiro et al., 2013). 336 Field measurements after hurricanes Gustav and Ike suggested that the resuspension can expose the organic material in sediments to a more favorable environment for respiration (McCarthy et 337 338 al., 2013). Nevertheless, so far most biogeochemical models neglect or simply parameterize this process (Fennel et al., 2006; Chai et al., 2007; Kishi et al., 2007). Moriarty et al. (2018) developed 339 340 a particulate organic matter resuspension model and found remineralization intensity increased by 341 an order of magnitude during moderate resuspension events in the nGoM. Given the strong storm-342 driven resuspension during hurricane, nutrient dynamics can be modified greatly by 343 remineralization after the storm passage as well. An incorporation of organic matter resuspension





and remineralization, together with the light attenuation effects addressed in this study, willcomplete our understanding of hurricane's impact on the biogeochemical cycling in shelf waters.

346 Our biogeochemical model only included freshwater and terrestrial nutrient input via river 347 channel, while the importance of enhanced surface runoff and groundwater was not considered in 348 our simulation. Du et al. (2019) estimated freshwater budget and found that surface runoff and 349 groundwater accounted for ~34% of total freshwater load during hurricane Harvey. Although our understanding about nutrient flux associated with these two types of freshwater input is still limited, 350 351 excluding surface runoff and groundwater flux in the model implies our underestimation of terrestrial nutrient discharge into the nGoM. Coupling groundwater and hydrology models with 352 353 marine biogeochemical model in coastal regions is a feasible way to help us better understand the 354 response of shelf ecosystem to terrestrial input.

355

356 5 Conclusions

357 We introduced a sediment-induced light attenuation algorithm to the coupled physicalbiogeochemical model on the platform of ROMS. The new model reproduced the biogeochemical 358 cycling during hurricane Gustav in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Improved model performance 359 emphasized the importance of sediment in underwater optical environment and primary production 360 361 during extreme weather events. During the passage of Gustav, the high SSC turned the inner shelf 362 from a nutrient-limited environment to a light-limited one. NPP reduced from 1 to 0.2 g C/m^2/day . Due to the shading effect of resuspended sediment, the NPP recovered to pre-hurricane condition 363 after one week of hurricane landing. As sediments further settled back on the seabed, nutrient 364 365 accumulation and increased light availability incurred a strong surface post-hurricane bloom on the inner shelf. Nine days after Gustav's landing, the primary production below 20 m was still 366 367 light-limited due to the unsettled sediments. The post-hurricane stratification, enhanced surface 368 primary production, and other processes (e.g., respiration, remineralization) might intensify oxygen depletion and hypoxia formation in the shelf water. The post-hurricane bloom on the outer 369 370 shelf was significantly enhanced by the laterally transported nutrients from the inner shelf. Suspended sediment affected primary production when SSC was moderately high after the landfall 371 of Gustav. For those aquatic environments with great spatiotemporal variation of SSC (e.g., 372 estuaries and lagoons), an optimal parameterization of sediment-induced light attenuation is 373 374 imperative to better evaluate extreme weather events' impact on underwater productivity and 375 biogeochemical cycling.

376

377 Acknowledgements

This study has been supported by NSF CyberSEES Award CCF-1856359, NASA (award number 378 379 NNH17ZHA002C), Louisiana Board of Regents (award number NASA/LEQSF(2018-20)-380 Phase3-11), NSF Coastal SEES Award 1427389, and the LSU Foundation Billy and Ann Harrison Endowment for Sedimentary Geology. Computational support was provided by the High 381 382 Performance Computing Facility (cluster Supermike II) at Louisiana State University. Model results used in this study are available at the LSU mass storage system and detailed information 383 384 regarding the data set is posted on the homepage of Coupled Ocean Modeling Group 385 (http://www.oceanography.lsu.edu/xuelab). Data request can be sent to the corresponding author 386 via this webpage.

- 387
- 388 References
- 389





390	Allison, M.A., Demas, C.R., Ebersole, B.A., Kleiss, B.A., Little, C.D., Meselhe, E.A., Powell,					
391	N.J., Pratt, T.C., Vosburg, B.M., 2012. A water and sediment budget for the lower					
392	Mississippi-Atchafalaya River in flood years 2008-2010: Implications for sediment					
393	discharge to the oceans and coastal restoration in Louisiana. J. Hydrol. 432-433, 84-97.					
394	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.020					
395	Arndt, S., Vanderborght, J., Regnier, P., 2007. Diatom growth response to physical forcing in a					
396	macrotidal estuary: Coupling hydrodynamics, sediment transport, and biogeochemistry. J.					
397	Geophys. Res. Ocean. 112.					
398	Baker, E.T., Lavelle, J.W., 1984. Effect of Particle Size on the Light Attenuation Coefficient of					
399	Natural Suspensions. J. Geophys. Res. 89, 8197–8203.					
400	https://doi.org/10.1029/JC089iC05p08197					
401	Bianucci, L., Balaguru, K., Smith, R.W., Leung, L.R., Moriarty, J.M., 2018. Contribution of					
402	hurricane-induced sediment resuspension to coastal oxygen dynamics. Sci. Rep. 8.					
403	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33640-3					
404	Bierman, V.J., Hinz, S.C., Zhu, D., Wiseman, W.J., Rabalais, N.N., Turner, R.E., 1994. A					
405	preliminary mass balance model of primary productivity and dissolved oxygen in the					
406	Mississippi River plume/inner Gulf Shelf region. Estuaries 17, 886–899.					
407	Booij, N., Ris, R.C., Holthuijsen, L.H., 1999. A third-generation wave model for coastal regions:					
408	1. Model description and validation. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean. 104, 7649–7666.					
409	Chai, F., Jiang, M.S., Chao, Y., Dugdale, R.C., Chavez, F., Barber, R.T., 2007. Modeling					
410	responses of diatom productivity and biogenic silica export to iron enrichment in the					
411	equatorial Pacific Ocean. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 21, 1–16.					
412	https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002804					
413	Cloern, J.E., 1987. Turbidity as a control on phytoplankton biomass and productivity in					
414	estuaries. Cont. Shelf Res. 7, 1367–1381.					
415	Couceiro, F., Fones, G.R., Thompson, C.E.L., Statham, P.J., Sivyer, D.B., Parker, R., Kelly-					
416	Gerreyn, B.A., Amos, C.L., 2013. Impact of resuspension of cohesive sediments at the					
417	Oyster Grounds (North Sea) on nutrient exchange across the sediment-water interface.					
418	Biogeochemistry 113, 37–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-012-9710-7					
419	D'Sa, E.J., Korobkin, M., Ko, D.S., 2011. Effects of Hurricane Ike on the Louisiana-Texas coast					
420	from satellite and model data. Remote Sens. Lett. 2, 11-19.					
421	https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2010.489057					
422	D'Sa, E.J., Miller, R.L., 2003. Bio-optical properties in waters influenced by the Mississippi					
423	River during low flow conditions. Remote Sens. Environ. 84, 538–549.					
424	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00163-3					
425	D'Sa, E.J., Miller, R.L., Del Castillo, C., 2006. Bio-optical properties and ocean color algorithms					
426	for coastal waters influenced by the Mississippi River during a cold front. Appl. Opt. 45,					
427	7410–7428.					
428	Davis, A., Yan, X.H., 2004. Hurricane forcing on chlorophyll-a concentration off the northeast					
429	coast of the U.S. Geophys. Res. Lett. 31, 4–7. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020668					
430	Devlin, M.J., Barry, J., Mills, D.K., Gowen, R.J., Foden, J., Sivyer, D., Tett, P., 2008.					
431	Relationships between suspended particulate material, light attenuation and Secchi depth in					
432	UK marine waters. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 79, 429-439.					
433	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.04.024					





434	Du J. Park K. Dellanenna T.M. Clay J.M. 2019 Dramatic hydrodynamic and sedimentary				
435	responses in Galveston Bay and adjacent inner shelf to Hurricane Harvey. Sci. Total				
436	Environ. 653, 554–564. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.403				
437	Fennel, K., Hetland, R., Feng, Y., Dimarco, S., 2011. A coupled physical-biological model of the				
438	Northern Gulf of Mexico shelf: Model description. validation and analysis of phytoplankton				
439	variability. Biogeosciences 8, 1881–1899. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1881-2011				
440	Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Levin, J., Moisan, J., O'Reilly, J., Haidvogel, D., 2006. Nitrogen cycling				
441	in the Middle Atlantic Bight: Results from a three-dimensional model and implications for				
442	 the North Atlantic nitrogen budget. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 20, 1–14. 				
443	42 Intervolut Atlantic Introgen bidget. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 20, 1–14. 43 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002456				
444	4 Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Previdi, M., Najjar, R., 2008. Denitrification effects on air-sea CO2 flux				
445	in the coastal ocean: Simulations for the northwest North Atlantic. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35.				
446	1-5. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036147				
447	Forbes, C., Luettich, R. a., Mattocks, C. a., Westerink, J.J., 2010. A Retrospective Evaluation of				
448	the Storm Surge Produced by Hurricane Gustav (2008): Forecast and Hindcast Results.				
449	49 Weather Forecast. 25, 1577–1602. https://doi.org/10.1175/2010WAF2222416.1				
450	50 Ganju, N.K., Miselis, J.L., Aretxabaleta, A.L., 2014. Physical and biogeochemical controls on				
451	light attenuation in a eutrophic, back-barrier estuary. Biogeosciences 11, 7193-7205.				
452	52 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-7193-2014				
453	Gomez, F.A., Lee, S.K., Liu, Y., Hernandez, F.J., Muller-Karger, F.E., Lamkin, J.T., 2018.				
454	Seasonal patterns in phytoplankton biomass across the northern and deep Gulf of Mexico: A numerical model study. Biogeosciences 15, 3561–3576. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-				
455	numerical model study. Biogeosciences 15, 3561-3576. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-				
456	3561-2018				
457	Haidvogel, D.B., Arango, H., Budgell, W.P., Cornuelle, B.D., Curchitser, E., Di Lorenzo, E.,				
458	Fennel, K., Geyer, W.R., Hermann, A.J., Lanerolle, L., Levin, J., McWilliams, J.C., Miller,				
459	A.J., Moore, A.M., Powell, T.M., Shchepetkin, A.F., Sherwood, C.R., Signell, R.P.,				
460	460 Warner, J.C., Wilkin, J., 2008. Ocean forecasting in terrain-following coordinates: 461 Formulation and skill assessment of the Regional Ocean Modeling System J. Comput				
461	Formulation and skill assessment of the Regional Ocean Modeling System. J. Comput.				
462	Phys. 227, 3595–3624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2007.06.016				
463	Huang, W., Mukherjee, D., Chen, S., 2011. Assessment of Hurricane Ivan impact on				
464	chlorophyll-a in Pensacola Bay by MODIS 250m remote sensing. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 62,				
465	490-498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.12.010				
466	Hurst, N.R., White, J.R., Xu, K., Ren, M., 2019. Nitrate reduction rates in sediments				
467	experiencing turbulent flow conditions. Ecol. Eng. 128, 33–38.				
468	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.12.027				
469	Jacob, R., Larson, J., Everest, O., 2005. M x N Communication and Parallel Interpolation in				
470	Community Climate System Model Version 3 Using the Model Coupling Toolkit. Int. J.				
471	High Perform. Comput. Appl. 19, 293–307. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094342005056116				
472	Justić, D., Wang, L., 2014. Assessing temporal and spatial variability of hypoxia over the inner				
473	Louisiana-upper Texas shelf: Application of an unstructured-grid three-dimensional coupled				
474	hydrodynamic-water quality model. Cont. Shelf Res. 72, 163–179.				
475	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.08.006				
4/6	Keim, B.D., Muller, R.A., Stone, G.W., 2007. Spatiotemporal patterns and return periods of				
4/7	tropical storm and hurricane strikes from Texas to Maine. J. Clim. 20, 3498–3509.				
478	https://doi.org/10.11/5/JCL1418/.1				





479	Kishi, M.J., Kashiwai, M., Ware, D.M., Megrey, B.A., Eslinger, D.L., Werner, F.E., Noguchi-					
480	Aita, M., Azumaya, T., Fujii, M., Hashimoto, S., 2007. NEMURO-a lower trophic level					
481	model for the North Pacific marine ecosystem. Ecol. Modell. 202, 12–25.					
482	Korobkin, M., D'Sa, E., Ko, D.S., 2009. Satellite observations and NCOM assessment of the					
483	Mississippi-Louisiana-Texas coast following hurricanes Gustav and Ike. Ocean. 2009 1-4.					
484	https://doi.org/10.23919/OCEANS.2009.5422213					
485	Laurent, A., Fennel, K., Hu, J., Hetland, R., 2012. Simulating the effects of phosphorus					
486	limitation in the Mississippi and Atchafalaya river plumes. Biogeosciences 9, 4707-4723.					
487	https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-4707-2012					
488	Le, C., Lehrter, J.C., Hu, C., Murrell, M.C., Qi, L., 2014. Spatiotemporal chlorophyll-a dynamics					
489	on the Louisiana continental shelf derived from a dual satellite imagery algorithm. J.					
490	Geophys. Res. Ocean. 119, 7449–7462.					
491	Lin, S., Zou, T., Gao, H., Guo, X., 2009. The vertical attenuation of irradiance as a function of					
492	turbidity: a case of the Huanghai (Yellow) Sea in spring. Acta Oceanol. Sin. 28, 66–75.					
493	Liu, K., Chen, Q., Hu, K., Xu, K., Twilley, R.R., 2018. Modeling hurricane-induced wetland-bay					
494	and bay-shelf sediment fluxes. Coast. Eng. 135, 77–90.					
495	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2017.12.014					
496	McCarthy, M.J., Carini, S.A., Liu, Z., Ostrom, N.E., Gardner, W.S., 2013. Oxygen consumption					
497	in the water column and sediments of the northern Gulf of Mexico hypoxic zone. Estuar.					
498	Coast. Shelf Sci. 123, 46–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.02.019					
499	McSweeney, J.M., Chant, R.J., Wilkin, J.L., Sommerfield, C.K., 2017. Suspended-Sediment					
500	Impacts on Light-Limited Productivity in the Delaware Estuary. Estuaries and Coasts 40,					
501	977–993. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-016-0200-3					
502	Meade, R.H., Moody, J.A., 2010. Causes for the decline of suspended-sediment discharge in the					
503	Mississippi River system, 1940-2007. Hydrol. Process. 24, 35–49.					
504	Miller, W.D., Harding, L.W., Adolf, J.E., 2006. Hurricane Isabel generated an unusual fall					
505	bloom in Chesapeake Bay. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, 2–5.					
506	https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025658					
507	Moriarty, J.M., Harris, C.K., Fennel, K., Friedrichs, M.A.M., Xu, K., Rabouille, C., 2017. The					
508	roles of resuspension, diffusion and biogeochemical processes on oxygen dynamics					
509	offshore of the Rhône River, France: A numerical modeling study. Biogeosciences 14,					
510	1919–1946. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-1919-2017					
511	Moriarty, J.M., Harris, C.K., Friedrichs, M.A.M., Fennel, K., Xu, K., 2018. Impact of seabed					
512	resuspension on oxygen and nitrogen dynamics in the northern Gulf of Mexico: A					
513	numerical modeling study. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean. 1–27.					
514	https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC013950					
515	Pan, G., Chai, F., Tang, D.L., Wang, D., 2017. Marine phytoplankton biomass responses to					
516	typhoon events in the South China Sea based on physical-biogeochemical model. Ecol.					
51/	Modell. 356, 38–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.04.013					
518	Pennock, J.R., 1985. Chlorophyll distributions in the Delaware estuary: regulation by light-					
519	limitation. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 21, 711–725.					
520	Kabalais, N.N., Turner, K.E., Wiseman, W.J., 2001. Hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico. J. Environ.					
521	Qual. 50, 520-529.					
522	Sana, S., Moortni, S., Pan, H.L., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Iripp, P., Kistler, R., Woollen, J.,					
523	Benringer, D., 2010. NCEP climate forecast system reanalysis (CFSR) 6-nourly products,					





524	January 1979 to December 2010. Res. Data Arch. Natl. Cent. Atmos. Res. Comput. Inf.					
526	Syst. Lat. Doulder, CO. Saha S. Moorthi S. Wu X. Wang I. Nadiga S. Trinn P. Bahringer, D. Hou V.T. Chuang					
527	H Iredell M 2011 NCEP climate forecast system version 2 (CESv2) 6-hourly products					
528	Res Data Arch Natl Cent Atmos Res Comput Inf Syst Lab					
520	Schaeffer B & Sinclair G & Lehrter IC Murrell MC Kurtz IC Gould P.W. Votes					
520	D F 2011 An analysis of diffuse light attenuation in the northern Gulf of Mexico hypoxic					
531	zone using the SeaWiFS satellite data record Remote Sens. Environ 115 3748_3757					
532	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.rse.2011.09.013					
533	Shchepetkin, A.F., McWilliams, J.C., 2005, The regional oceanic modeling system (ROMS): A					
534	split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate oceanic model. Ocean Model					
535	9, 347–404, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ocemod.2004.08.002					
536	Skamarock, W.C., Klemp, J.B., Dudhi, J., Gill, D.O., Barker, D.M., Duda, M.G., Huang, XY.,					
537	Wang, W., Powers, J.G., 2005. A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3.					
538	Tech. Rep. 113. https://doi.org/10.5065/D6DZ069T					
539	Storlazzi, C.D., Norris, B.K., Rosenberger, K.J., 2015. The influence of grain size, grain color,					
540	and suspended-sediment concentration on light attenuation: Why fine-grained terrestrial					
541	sediment is bad for coral reef ecosystems. Coral Reefs 34, 967–975.					
542	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-015-1268-0					
543	Tweel, A.W., Turner, R.E., 2012. Landscape-Scale Analysis of Wetland Sediment Deposition					
544	from Four Tropical Cyclone Events. PLoS One 7.					
545	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050528					
546	Van Duin, E.H.S., Blom, G., Los, F.J., Maffione, R., Zimmerman, R., Cerco, C.F., Dortch, M.,					
547	Best, E.P.H., 2001. Modeling underwater light climate in relation to sedimentation,					
548	resuspension, water quality and autotrophic growth. Hydrobiologia 444, 25–42.					
549	https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017512614680					
550	Walker, N.D., Hammack, A.B., 2000. Impacts of winter storms on circulation and sediment					
551	transport: Atchatalaya-Vermilion Bay region, Louisiana, USA. J. Coast. Res. 16, 996–1010.					
552	https://doi.org/10.230//4300118					
553	walker, N.D., Leben, R.R., Balasubramanian, S., 2005. Hurricane-forced upwelling and					
554	Latt 22, 1, 5, https://doi.org/10.1020/2005/CL.022716					
555	Lett. 52, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025/16 Women LC Armstrong D. H. D. Zemben LD 2010 Development of a Courled Occorr					
550	Atmosphere Waya Sediment Transport (COAWST) Modeling System Ocean Model 25					
557	Aunosphere- wave-sediment Transport (COA w ST) Modering System. Ocean Moder. 55,					
550	Warner LC Sherwood C P Signall P P Harris C K Arango H G 2008 Development of a					
560	three-dimensional regional coupled wave current and sediment-transport model. Comput					
561	Geosci 34 1284–1306 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2008.02.012					
562	Wilson R F Fennel K Paul Mattern I 2013 Simulating sediment-water exchange of					
563	nutrients and oxygen. A comparative assessment of models against mesocosm observations					
564	Cont. Shelf Res. 63, 69–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.csr.2013.05.003					
565	Wiseman, W.J., Rabalais, N.N., Turner, R.E., Dinnel, S.P., MacNaughton, A., 1997. Seasonal					
566	and interannual variability within the Louisiana coastal current: stratification and hypoxia.					

567 J. Mar. Syst. 12, 237–248.





- Xu, K., Harris, C.K., Hetland, R.D., Kaihatu, J.M., 2011. Dispersal of Mississippi and
 Atchafalaya sediment on the Texas-Louisiana shelf: Model estimates for the year 1993.
 Cont. Shelf Res. 31, 1558–1575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2011.05.008
- Xu, K., Mickey, R.C., Chen, Q., Harris, C.K., Hetland, R.D., Hu, K., Wang, J., 2016. Shelf
 sediment transport during hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Comput. Geosci. 90, 24–39.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2015.10.009
- 574 Xue, Z., He, R., Fennel, K., Cai, W.J., Lohrenz, S., Hopkinson, C., 2013. Modeling ocean
 575 circulation and biogeochemical variability in the Gulf of Mexico. Biogeosciences 10, 7219–
 576 7234. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-7219-2013
- Yu, L., Fennel, K., Laurent, A., 2015. A modeling study of physical controls on hypoxia
 generationin the northern Gulf of Mexico. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean. 1–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010472.
- Zang, Z., Xue, Z.G., Bao, S., Chen, Q., Walker, N.D., Haag, A.S., Ge, Q., Yao, Z., 2018.
 Numerical study of sediment dynamics during hurricane Gustav. Ocean Model. 126, 29–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2018.04.002
- Zang, Z., Xue, Z.G., Xu, K., Bentley, S.J., Chen, Q., D'Sa, E.J., Ge, Q., 2019. A Two Decadal
 (1993–2012) Numerical Assessment of Sediment Dynamics in the Northern Gulf of
 Mexico. Water 11, 938.
- Zhu, Z., Ng, W., Liu, S., Zhang, J., Chen, J., Wu, Y., 2009. Estuarine phytoplankton dynamics
 and shift of limiting factors: A study in the Changjiang (Yangtze River) Estuary and
 adjacent area. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 84, 393–401.
- 589





- 590 Figure 1. panel a: Model domains applied in this study. The entire panel a is WRF model domain
- 591 (6 km resolution) overlaid with water depth (color-shading). The black solid box represents model
- 592 grid used by ROMS and SWAN with 5 km resolution. The black dashed line box (lat: $27^{\circ}N-31^{\circ}N$;
- 593 lon: 94°W–86°W) covers the northern Gulf of Mexico (nGoM). More details in the nGoM are 594 shown in panel b. The thick purple/red lines indicate locations of 50m-isobath transect and transect
- 595 D (Rabalais et al., 2001), respectively.







Figure 2. Flow chart of long-term (20 years) and hurricane (11 days) simulations. In step 1 we only run ocean (ROMS) and biogeochemical (NEMURO) models, which provide initial inputs for the next step. Step 2 couples ocean (ROMS), wave (SWAN), atmosphere (WRF), sediment (CSTMS) and new biogeochemical (NEMURO) models with new sediment-induced light attenuation term.





610



Figure 3. Five-day composite of surface chlorophyll concentration in the year 2008: (a) SeaWiFS 605 606 data before Gustav (August 25th–29th); (b) SeaWiFS data after Gustav (September 05th–09th); (c)

benchmark run result ($\alpha_{sed} = 0.059$) after Gustav; (d) test 1 result ($\alpha_{sed} = 0$) after Gustav. White color in panels (a) and (b) represents no data available. Magenta curve shows hurricane track in 607

608

609 panels b, c, and d. (BD: bird-foot delta; AS: Atchafalaya shelf).







- 613 Figure 4. Simulated five-day composite (September 05th-09th) of surface chlorophyll
- 614 concentration after hurricane Gustav in comparison with corresponding SeaWiFS-derived surface 615 chlorophyll results over the northern Gulf of Mexico inner shelf (h < 50 m). Model results is based
- 616 on the benchmark run ($\alpha_{sed} = 0.059$) in panel a and test 1 ($\alpha_{sed} = 0$) in panel b.







- 619 Figure 5. Time series of spatial averaged (inner shelf) net primary production (a), surface
- chlorophyll concentration (b), NO₃ concentration (c), suspended sediment concentration (d),
 shortwave radiation (e), and sea surface temperature (f). In panels a, b, and c, blue represents
- benchmark run ($\alpha_{sed} = 0.059$) and red represents test 1 ($\alpha_{sed} = 0$). Dots in panel a are daily-
- 623 averaged net primary production. The black dashed line shows Gustav landfall time.









- 627 Figure 6. Model simulated chlorophyll and suspended sediment concentration along transect D on
- August 31st (first row), September 2nd (second row), and September 10th (third row). The first and second columns represent chlorophyll concentrations of the test 1 and benchmark run, respectively
- 630 (note the color scale is different from Fig. 1). The third column shows suspended sediment
- 631 concentration.
- 632







- **Figure 7.** Comparison of spatial averaged (inner shelf) net primary production (panel a) and NO₃
- 636 concentration (panel b) between benchmark run (blue) and sensitivity tests with different α_{sed} (test
- 637 2: orange; test 3: cyan). The black dashed line shows Gustav landfall time.638







Table 1. Offshore fluxes of NO₃ and chlorophyll along 50 m isobath transect (location see Fig. 1b).

642 643

	Net offshore NO ₃ flux (mmol N/m/s)	Net offshore Chl flux (mg/m/s)
benchmark run ($\alpha_{sed} = 0.059$)	38.71	43.10
test 1 ($\alpha_{sed} = 0$)	7.35	66.88
(seu)		