



1 Impact of reactive surfaces on the abiotic reaction between nitrite and

² ferrous iron and associated nitrogen and oxygen isotope dynamics

Anna-Neva Visser^{1,4}, Scott D. Wankel², Pascal A. Niklaus³, James M. Byrne⁴, Andreas A. Kappler⁴,
 Moritz F. Lehmann¹

5 ¹Department of Environmental Sciences, Basel University, Bernoullistrasse 30, 4056 Basel, Switzerland

6 ²Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, 360 Woods Hole Rd, MA 02543, USA

³Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich,
 Switzerland

9 ⁴Department of Geosciences, Tübingen University, Hölderlinstrasse 12, 72074 Tübingen, Germany

10 Correspondence to: Anna-Neva Visser (a.visser@unibas.ch)

11 Abstract. Anaerobic nitrate-dependent Fe(II) oxidation (NDFeO) is widespread in various aquatic environments, and plays a major role in iron and nitrogen redox dynamics. However, evidence for truly enzymatic, autotrophic NDFeO remains limited, 12 with alternative explanations involving coupling of heterotrophic denitrification with abiotic oxidation of structurally-bound 13 14 or aqueous Fe(II) by reactive intermediate N species (chemodenitrification). The extent to which chemodenitrification is 15 caused, or enhanced, by ex vivo surface catalytic effects has, so far, not been directly tested. To determine whether the presence 16 of either a Fe(II)-bearing mineral or dead biomass (DB) catalyses chemodenitrification, two different sets of anoxic batch 17 experiment were conducted: 2 mM Fe(II) was added to a low-phosphate medium, resulting in the precipitation of vivianite 18 $(Fe_3(PO_4)_2)$, to which later 2 mM nitrite (NO_2) was added, with or without an autoclaved cell suspension (~1.96×10⁸ cells ml⁻ ¹) of Shewanella oneidensis MR-1. Concentrations of nitrite, nitrous oxide (N₂O) and iron (Fe²⁺, Fe_{tot}) were monitored over 19 20 time in both setups to assess the impact of Fe(II) minerals and/or DB as catalysts of chemodenitrification. In addition, the natural-abundance isotope ratios of NO₂⁻ and N₂O (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) were analysed to constrain associated isotope effects. Up 21 to 90% of the Fe(II) was oxidized in the presence of DB, while only ~65% were oxidized under mineral-only conditions, 22 23 suggesting an overall lower reactivity of the mineral-only setup. Similarly, the average NO_2^- reduction rate in the mineral-only 24 experiments (0.004±0.003 mmol L⁻¹ day⁻¹) was much lower compared to experiments with mineral plus DB (0.053±0.013 25 mmol L⁻¹ day⁻¹), as was N₂O production (204.02 \pm 60.29 nmol/L*day). The N₂O yield per mole NO₂⁻ reduced was higher in the 26 mineral-only setups (4%) compared to the experiments with DB (1%), suggesting the catalysis-dependent differential formation of NO. N-NO₂⁻ isotope ratio measurements indicated a clear difference between both experimental conditions: in 27 contrast to the marked ¹⁵N isotope enrichment during active NO₂⁻ reduction ($^{-15}\varepsilon_{NO2} = +10.3\%$) observed in the presence of 28 29 DB, NO_2^{-1} loss in the mineral-only experiments exhibited only a small N isotope effect (<+1‰). The nitrite O isotope effect was very low in both setups (${}^{18}\varepsilon_{NO2} < 1$ %), most likely due to substantial O isotope exchange with ambient water. Moreover, 30 31 during the low-turnover conditions (i.e., in the mineral-only experiments, as well as initially in experiments with DB), the

32 observed nitrite isotope systematics suggest, transiently, a small inverse isotope effect (i.e., decreasing nitrite δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O





with decreasing concentrations), possibly related to transitory surface complexation mechanisms. Site preference (SP) of the ¹⁵N isotopes in the linear N₂O molecule for both setups ranged between 1 to 7‰, notably lower than previously reported for chemodenitrification. Our results imply that chemodenitrification is dependent on the available reactive surfaces, and that the NO₂⁻ (rather than the N₂O) isotope signatures may be useful for distinguishing between chemodenitrification catalysed by minerals, chemodenitrification catalysed by dead microbial biomass, and possibly true enzymatic NDFeO.

38 1. Introduction

39 Iron (Fe) is essential for all living beings and its biogeochemical cycling has been studied extensively (Expert, 2012; Lovley, 40 1997). Although Fe is ubiquitous in most environments, it is not always bioavailable (Andrews et al., 2003; Ilbert and 41 Bonnefoy, 2013), and microorganisms must often cope with Fe limitation in their respective environments (Braun and Hantke, 42 2013; Ilbert and Bonnefoy, 2013). This is especially true at circumneutral pH and oxic conditions, where Fe(II) is quickly 43 oxidized by O_2 and thus only present as poorly soluble Fe(III)(oxyhydr)oxides (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003; Stumm and Sulzberger, 1992). In contrast, under anoxic conditions, Fe is mainly present as either dissolved Fe²⁺ or as mineral-bound Fe(II) 44 in iron phosphates or carbonates (Charlet et al., 1990; Luna-Zaragoza et al., 2009). Here, microbes use electron acceptors other 45 than O_2 for respiration (He et al., 2016; Lovley, 2012; Straub et al., 1996). One redox pair that has been proposed to be exploited 46 47 by microbes under anoxic conditions is NO_3^{-}/Fe^{2+} , through a mechanism known as nitrate-dependent Fe(II) oxidation (NDFeO) (Ilbert and Bonnefoy, 2013; Straub et al., 1996). Over the past two decades, several microorganisms have been investigated 48 49 and reported to be either chemolithoautotrophic or -mixotrophic nitrate-dependent Fe(II)-oxidising bacteria (e.g. Acidovorax 50 delafieldii strain 2AN, Pseudogulbenkiania ferrooxidans strain 2002) (Chakraborty et al., 2011; Weber et al., 2009). It has been suggested that extracellular electron transfer (EET) might play a major role in NDFeO (Liu et al., 2018). Particularly in 51 52 the presence of high levels of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) (Klueglein et al., 2014; Zeitvogel et al., 2017), which 53 can act as electron shuttles, EET may indeed provide a plausible explanation for the observed Fe(II) oxidation in these cultures 54 (Liu et al., 2018). The existence of such an electron transfer would imply that NDFeO is not necessarily a completely biologically catalysed reaction. Indeed, to date, genetic evidence that supports this metabolic capacity of the studied 55 microorganisms remains lacking (Price et al., 2018), and biogeochemical evidence is rare and putative. The latter is mostly 56 57 based on experiments with the chemolithoautotrophic culture KS, a consortium of four different strains, including a relative 58 of the microaerophilic Sideroxydans/Gallionella. This enrichment culture has been shown to be able to oxidize Fe(II) without 59 the addition of any organic co-substrates (Tominski et al., 2018). Tian et al. (2020) confirmed that Gallionellaceae are able to 60 perform autotrophic Fe(II)-dependent denitrification. Another more indirect line of evidence includes results from slurry microcosm experiments with marine coastal sediments. In these experiments, Fe(II) oxidation was still detected even after all 61 organics of the sediments were consumed and only nitrate was left (Laufer et al., 2016). With regards to other studies where 62 63 NDFeO was initially thought to be performed by autotrophs (Chakraborty et al., 2011; Weber et al., 2009), it was subsequently 64 shown that the microbes rely on an organic co-substrate and must in fact be considered mixotrophic (Klueglein et al., 2014;





Muehe et al., 2009). Yet, the exact mechanism promoting NDFeO is still not fully understood. Considering that all putative NDFeO strains were grown under high (up to 10 mM) nitrate (NO_3^-) and Fe(II) concentrations, and accumulated up to several mM nitrite (NO_2^-) from enzymatic NO_3^- reduction, it was suggested that the observed Fe(II) oxidation in these pure cultures may be due to the abiotic side reaction between the generated NO_2^- and Fe(II) (Buchwald et al., 2016; Prakash Dhakal, 2013; Klueglein et al., 2014). This abiotic reaction between NO_2^- and Fe(II) is known as chemodenitrification (Equation 1) and is proposed to lead to an enhanced production of N_2O (Anderson and Levine, 1986; Buchwald et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2015).

$$4Fe^{2+} + 2NO_2^- + 5H_2O \to 4FeOOH + N_2O + 6H^+ \qquad \Delta G^\circ = -128.5 \frac{KJ}{mol}$$
(1)

Several studies have noted that the presence of reactive surfaces may enhance the abiotic reaction (Heil et al., 2016; Sorensen 71 72 and Thorling, 1991). For example, Klueglein and Kappler (2013) tested the impact of goethite on Fe-coupled 73 chemodenitrification in the presence of high Fe(II) and NO₂⁻ concentrations, and confirmed the concentration dependency of 74 this reaction with regard to both species (Van Cleemput and Samater, 1995). Possible catalytic effects (e.g. by reactive surfaces and/or organic matter) were not tested specifically in these studies. Yet, multiple factors have been shown to affect the abiotic 75 76 reaction between NO₂⁻ and Fe(II) and may need to be considered (i.e., pH, temperature, Fe²⁺ concentrations, solubility of 77 Fe(III)(oxyhydr)oxides, crystallinity of Fe(II) minerals, other metal ion concentrations and catalytic effects) (Van Cleemput 78 & Samater, 1995; Klueglein & Kappler, 2013; Ottley et al., 1997). In addition, the presence of organic compounds can lead to the abiotic reduction of NO₂⁻ to NO (Van Cleemput and Samater, 1995; McKnight et al., 1997; Pereira et al., 2013). 79

Given the complex controls and potential interaction between Fe(II) and various nitrogenous compounds, including intermediates, it may be an oversimplification to state that Fe(II) oxidation observed in previous laboratory setups is solely caused by the abiotic reaction with NO_2^- , and not, for example, stimulated by reactive surfaces (minerals, organic-detritus) or by nitric oxide (NO), a highly reactive intermediate not easily quantified in anoxic experiments. In order to better understand the factors that may control chemodenitrification of NO_2^- , this study focuses on the possible catalytic surface effects induced by a Fe(II) mineral phase or DB. Furthermore, microbial cells, dead biomass, or detrital waste products might not only provide additional reactive surface area, but may directly react with NO_2^- to form NO.

87 Stable isotopes of both N and O (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) offer a promising approach to further elucidate the mechanism of NDFeO, and also to more generally expand our understanding of chemodenitrification. The N and O isotopic composition of 88 89 nitrogenous compounds (e.g., NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and N_2O) has been used to gain deeper insights into various N turnover processes (Granger et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2015). The dual NO2⁻ (or NO3⁻) isotope approach is based on the fact that specific N-90 91 transformation processes – biotic or abiotic – are associated with specific N and O isotope fractionation (i.e., isotope effect). 92 In general, enzymatic processes promote the more rapid reaction of lighter N and O isotopologues, leaving the remaining substrate pool enriched in the heavier isotopes (i.e., ¹⁵N, ¹⁸O) (Granger et al., 2008; Kendall & Aravena, 2000; Martin & 93 Casciotti, 2017). Only a few studies exist that have looked into the isotope effects of chemodenitrification and reports on the 94 95 associated isotope effects are variable. Consistent with what we know from biological denitrification, chemodenitrification experiments with 10 mM Fe(II) and NO₂, with very high reaction rates, revealed a significant increase in the δ^{15} N (up to 40%) 96





and δ^{18} O (up to 30‰) NO₂⁻ values, corresponding to an overall N and O isotope effect of ${}^{15}\epsilon 18.1 \pm 1.7\%$ and ${}^{18}\epsilon 9.8 \pm 1.8\%$, 97 as well as a $\Delta^{15}N$ (i.e., the difference between $\delta^{15}NO_2^-$ and $\delta^{15}N_2O$) of 27 ± 4.5% (Jones et al., 2015). This suggests that 98 99 coupled N and O isotope measurements hold the potential to disentangle abiotic and biotic NO₂⁻ reduction in the presence of 100 Fe(II). Here, in order to expand the limited dataset on the isotope effects of abiotic Fe(II)-coupled denitrification, and in turn 101 to lay the groundwork for using NO_3^{-}/NO_2^{-} N and O isotope measurements to unravel the mechanism behind NDFeO, we 102 studied the N and O isotope dynamics of NO_2^- reduction and N_2O production during abiotic reaction of NO_2^- with Fe(II). As 103 the extent of the formation of various Fe(III)(oxyhydr)oxides has been previously reported to enhance chemodenitrification 104 dynamics (Chen et al., 2018; Sorensen and Thorling, 1991), we also followed mineral alteration during chemodenitrification in order to identify possible reaction patterns. A specific goal in this context was to assess the impact of Fe(II) precipitates 105 106 and/or dead biomass as catalytic agents during Fe(II)-associated chemodenitrification, as well as potential mineral 107 transformation processes associated with the abiotic oxidation of Fe(II) via reactive NO_x species.

108 2. Material and Methods

109 2.1. General experimental setup

110 For all experiments, anoxic low phosphate medium (1.03 mM KH₂PO₄, 3.42 mM NaCl, 5.61 mM NH₄Cl, 2.03 mM MgSO₄·7 H₂O and 0.68 mM CaCl₂·2 H₂O, with a 7-vitamin (Widdel & Pfennig, 1981) and a SL-10 trace element solution (Widdel et 111 112 al., 1983); 22 mM bicarbonate buffered) was prepared. The medium was dispensed with a Widdel flask in 1-1 Schott bottles and the pH for each bottle was adjusted separately by the addition of anoxic, sterile 1 M HCl. For the both setups, five different 113 pH values were targeted: 5.8, 6.2, 6.5, 6.9 and 7.1. After pH adjustment, Fe(II)Cl₂ was added to reach a concentration of ~2 114 115 mM Fe(II), and, if necessary, the pH was re-adjusted. The medium was kept for 48 h at 4°C, resulting in amorphous, greengreyish Fe(II) precipitates. In addition, ~2 mM NaNO₂ and ~1 mM Na-acetate were added to the main medium stocks shortly 116 117 before 10 ml aliquots of the medium were distributed into 20 ml headspace vials (heat-sterilized) in an anoxic glove box (MBraun, N₂, 100%). Acetate was added to mimic experiments, in which bacteria are cultivated (yet, acetate concentrations 118 119 did not change during incubations, underscoring that the organic acid was not involved in the observed reactions; data not 120 shown). All headspace vials were closed with black butyl stoppers and crimp-sealed [headspace N₂/CO₂ (90/10, v/v)]. All vials were then incubated at 28°C in the dark. 121

- 122 Incubations with dead-biomass Shewanella oneidensis MR-1, a facultatively aerobic Gram-negative bacterium, is seen as
- 123 model organism for bioremediation studies due to its various respiratory abilities (Heidelberg et al., 2002; Lies et al., 2005). It
- 124 is known to perform dissimilatory metal reduction by utilizing alternative terminal electron acceptors such as elemental sulfur,
- 125 Mn(IV), Fe(III) or NO₃⁻. Since S. oneidensis produces large amounts of EPS (Dai et al., 2016; Heidelberg et al., 2002), but is
- 126 not capable of oxidizing Fe(II) (Lies et al., 2005; Piepenbrock et al., 2011) (i.e. no interference with abiotic reactions involving
- 127 Fe/chemodenitrification), we chose concentrated and sterilized S. oneidensis for our dead-biomass experiments. In preparation
- 128 of these experiments, S. oneidensis MR-1 was grown oxically on a LB (lysogeny broth) medium (10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast





extract, 10 g NaCl in 1 1 DI water) in six 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. After 12 hrs, cultures were transferred into 50 ml Falcon tubes and centrifuged for 25 min at 4000 rpm (Eppendorf, 5430 R). Cell-containing pellets were washed twice with oxalic acid and centrifuged again, followed by three more washing steps with TRIS buffer prior to final resuspension in 5 ml TRIS buffer. Pellet suspensions were pooled in a 100 ml serum bottle and autoclaved twice to ensure that all cells were killed. Before distribution of the medium into 20 ml vials (see above), cell suspension was added to yield a cell density of ~1.96×10⁸ cell ml⁻¹. Care was taken to ensure the homogenous distribution of mineral precipitates and the dead biomass.

135 2.2. Sampling and sample preparation

136 Incubations were run for approximately 30 days, and sampling was performed in an anoxic glove box (MBraun, N₂, 100%) at 137 five time points. For each time point, and for each pH treatment, 9 replicates were prepared. Therefore, variations between the 138 replicates and the different sampling time points are possible. For sampling, the headspace was quantitatively transferred into 139 12 ml He-purged Exetainer vials (LABCO) for N₂O concentration measurements. Then, 2 ml of the liquid sample were transferred into 2 ml Eppendorf tubes, centrifuged 5 min (13400 rpm; Eppendorf, MiniSpin), followed by a 1:10 dilution of 140 141 the supernatant in 1 ml anoxic MilliQ water for NO₂ quantification. A second 100 µl aliquot was diluted 1:10 in 40 mM 142 sulfamic acid (SFA) for iron determination by ferrozine analysis (Granger and Sigman, 2009; Klueglein and Kappler, 2013). 143 The remaining supernatant was used for HPLC and NO₂⁻ isotope analysis. Finally, the spun-down pellet was resuspended in 1 144 M HCl for ferrozine analysis (Stookey, 1970). All samples were stored at 4°C in the dark until further processing. The remaining liquid samples were used for ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. 145

146 2.3. Analytical techniques

- 147 NO₂⁻ concentrations NO₂⁻ concentrations were quantified using standard segmented continuous-flow analytical (CFA, SEAL
- 148 Analytics) photometric techniques (Snyder and Adler, 1976). NO₂⁻ reduction rates were calculated based on the observed net
- 149 concentration decrease ($\overline{[C]}_{t0} \overline{[C]}_{tend} \pm \text{standard error}$) with time.
- 150 Fe concentrations Fe(II) concentration was analysed using the ferrozine assay (Stookey, 1970), which was adapted for NO₂-
- 151 -containing samples by Klueglein et al. (2013). Total Fe(II) concentrations were calculated as the sum of the Fe_{aq}^{2+} +
- 152 Fe(II)_{nellet} concentrations.
- 153 N_2O concentrations Prior to the quantification of the N₂O, the sample gas was diluted (1:5) with 5.0 He. The samples were
- 154 then analysed using a gas chromatograph with an electron capture detector (GC-ECD; Agilent 7890 with micro-ECD and FID;
- 155 Porapak Q 80/100 column). GC-ECD measurements were calibrated using four standard gases containing different
- 156 concentrations of N₂O (Niklaus et al., 2016). N₂O production rates were calculated based on the observed net N₂O
- 157 concentration increase $(\overline{[C]}_{tend} \overline{[C]}_{t0} \pm \text{standard error})$ with time.
- 158 ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy For Mössbauer spectroscopic analyses, the remaining liquid samples (ca. 8 ml) were processed
- 159 inside an anoxic glove box. The entire liquid including the precipitates was passed through a 0.45 µm filter. The wet filter was





then sealed between two layers of Kapton tape and kept inside sealed Schott bottles in a freezer (-20°C) under anoxic conditions 160 161 until analysis. From the treatments with DB, samples were collected at day 0 at pH 6.8 and at the end of the experiment (~30 162 days) for pH 6.8 and 5.8. For the mineral-only experiment, only one sample (time point zero, pH 6.8) was analysed, as a basis 163 for comparison with the DB experiments (i.e., to verify whether DB has an immediate effect on the mineral phase). Taking care to minimize exposure to air, samples were transferred from the air-tight Schott bottles and loaded inside a closed-cycle 164 exchange gas cryostat (Janis cryogenics). Measurements were performed at 77 K with a constant acceleration drive system 165 (WissEL) in transmission mode with a ⁵⁷Co/Rh source and calibrated against a 7 μ m thick α -⁵⁷Fe foil measured at room 166 167 temperature. All spectra were analysed using Recoil (University of Ottawa) by applying a Voight Based Fitting (VBF) routine 168 (Lagarec and Rancourt, 1997; Rancourt and Ping, 1991). The half-width at half maximum (HWHM) was fixed to a value of 169 0.130 mm/s during fitting. 170 Nitrite N and O isotope measurements – The nitrogen (N) and oxygen (O) isotope composition of NO₂ was determined using the azide method (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005). This method is based on the chemical conversion of NO_2^- to gaseous N_2O at a 171 low pH (4 to 4.5) (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005), and the subsequent analysis of the concentrated and purified N₂O by gas 172 173 chromatography— isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-IRMS). Addition of 0.6 M NaCl to the acetic acid-azide solution was

- conducted in order to minimize oxygen isotope exchange (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005). The acetic acid-azide solution was
 prepared freshly every day (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005) and kept in a crimp sealed (grey butyl stopper) 50 ml serum bottle.
- Sample volume equivalent to 40 nmol NO_2 was added to pre-combusted headspace vials, filled up to 3 ml with anoxic MilliQ
- 177 water, and crimp-sealed. Then, 100 μl of the acetic acid/azide solution was added. After ~7 hrs, 100 μl of 6 M NaOH was
- added to stop the reaction. Until isotope analysis by a modified purge and trap gas bench coupled to CF-IRMS (McIlvin and
 Casciotti, 2010), the samples were stored upside down in the dark. Nitrite isotope standards (N-7373 and N-10219; Casciotti
- 180 & McIlvin, 2007) were prepared on the day of isotope analysis and processed the same way as samples. N and O isotope data 181 are expressed in the common δ notation and reported as per mille deviation (‰) relative to AIR N₂ and VSMOW, respectively
- $182 \quad ((\delta^{15}N = ([^{15}N]/[^{14}N])_{sample} / [^{15}N]/[^{14}N]_{air_N2} 1) \times 1000\% \text{ and } \delta^{18}O = ([^{18}O]/[^{18}O]_{sample} / [^{18}O]/[^{16}O]_{VSMOW} 1) \times 1000\%).$
- 183 N_2ON and O isotope measurements Triplicate 20-nmol samples of N₂O were injected into 20 ml headspace vials that were
- 184 flushed before for 5 hrs with 5.0 He (injection volumes according to the N₂O concentrations determined before). The N₂O was
- 185 then analysed directly using CF-IRMS (see above). Two standard gases with known δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values were analysed along
- 186 with the samples, namely FI.CA06261 (δ^{15} N: -35.74‰, δ^{15} N^{*a*}: -22.21‰, δ^{15} N^{*β*}=-49.28‰, δ^{18} O: 26.94‰) and FI.53504 (δ^{15} N:
- 187 48.09‰, $\delta^{15}N^{\alpha}$: 1.71‰, $\delta^{15}N^{\beta}$ =94.44‰, δ^{18} O: 36.01‰) (provided by J. Mohn, EMPA; e.g. Mohn et al., 2014). The gases
- 188 were calibrated on the Tokyo Institute of Technology scale for bulk and site-specific isotopic composition (Ostrom et al., 2018;
- 189 Sakae Toyoda et al., 1999). Ratios of m/z 45/44, 46/44 and the 31/30 signals were used to calculate values of δ^{15} N^{bulk}
- (referenced against AIR-N₂), δ^{18} O (referenced against V-SMOW), and site-specific $\delta^{15}N^{\alpha}$, $\delta^{15}N^{\beta}$ based on Frame and Casciotti
- 191 (2010). Site preference (SP) was calculated as $\delta^{15}N^{\alpha} \delta^{15}N^{\beta}$ (Sutka et al., 2006; Toyoda and Yoshida, 1999).





192 2.4. Pourbaix diagram

In order to predict the stability and behaviour of the N- and Fe(II)-bearing chemical species in the same system, a Pourbaix (Eh-pH) diagram was constructed (Delahay et al., 1950) as a valuable tool to predict possible reactions and speciation of end products under different experimental conditions. To calculate the enthalpies for the stepwise reduction of nitrite during denitrification, as well as Fe(II) oxidation reactions, standard enthalpy values were taken from different references (Table S1). The Pourbaix diagram presented in the discussion was devised using concentrations measured during the experiments performed for this study.

199 3. Results

200 **3.1. Chemodenitrification kinetics**



201 202







In the presence of DB, NO_2^- reduction rates were much higher compared to the mineral-only setup (Figure 1 A, C), with up to 205 206 ~60% of the initially amended NO_2 being transformed during the incubation period, independent of the pH. The addition of 207 DB led to a decrease in NO₂⁻ concentrations from 2 mM to ~0.7 mM (Figure 1 A). The pH 5.8 treatment (unintentionally 208 amended with $2x NO_2$ also showed a similar fractional reduction. In the mineral-only setups the decrease in NO_2 209 concentration was rather moderate and ranged between 0.3 (pH 7) and 0.1 mM (at lower pH) (Figure 1 C). In all treatments, N_2O was produced but accounted for a maximum of only 0.7% of the NO_2^- consumed. The final N_2O yield per mole NO_2^- 210 211 reduced tended to be lower in the mineral plus DB versus the mineral-only amended setups for most of the pH (Figure 1 B vs. 212 D). Highest N_2O production was observed at circumneutral pH (7.1) in the mineral-only setup, while maximum final N_2O 213 concentrations were observed at lower pH (6.2) in the incubations with DB (Figure 1 B). A systematic pH effect, however, 214 could not be discerned. Fe(II)total concentrations rapidly decreased in both setups. In the presence of DB, Fe(II)total oxidation 215 was almost complete (Figure 2A), independent of the pH, whereas in the mineral-only experiment, Fe(II)total decreased during 216 the first 5-10 days but then seemed to reach a steady state (Figure 2 B). At pH 6.8 and 5.8, only 40% of the Fe(II)_{total} was 217 oxidized, whereas at the other pH up to 80% of the Fe(II)total initially amended was oxidized. Total Fe decreased over time 218 (Figure S2).



219

Figure 2: Oxidation of total Fe(II) over time given (reported as % of initial concentration) in the mineral + dead biomass amended (red) and the mineral-only setup (grey), tested at different pH. Standard error calculated from biological replicates (n = 9) is represented by the error bars.

223

Average rates for NO_2^- reduction and N_2O production at pH 6.8 were calculated (Table 1). Rates were calculated per day and again these results emphasize that the amendment of dead biomass increased the rates by ~92%. Although not complete, Fe(II) oxidation in the presence of DB was also more pronounced leading to only 10.5±2.8% Fe(II) remaining compared to the mineral-only setup in which 37.1±8.2% Fe(II) remained. To complement the colorimetric data, ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was performed and data are presented in detail in the next section.





Table 1: Chemodenitrification kinetics and mineral transformation during mineral + dead biomass as well as the mineral only experiments. T_{ini} values represent means calculated by summarizing results across all pH ± standard error. Overall reduction/production rates are calculated by subtracting $\overline{[C]}_{t0} - \overline{[C]}_{tend}$ ±standard error/ $\overline{[C]}_{tend} - \overline{[C]}_{t0}$ ±standard error, respectively and are given per day. Fe(III) values are calculated by using ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy data. Mineral phases were also identified by using ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy with spectra collected at 77 K. Mineral-only sample taken after 28 days was inadvertently destroyed prior to Mössbauer measurement.

	Mineral + Dead Biomass	Mineral-only	
NO ₂ ⁻ reduction (\overline{X})	$0.053 \pm 0.013 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$	$0.004 \pm 0.003 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$	
N ₂ O production (\overline{X})	$353.50 \pm 32.91 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$	204.02 ± 60.29 nmol L ⁻¹ day ⁻¹	
$Fe(II)_{total}$ remaining (\overline{X})	10.54 ±2.77%	37.08 ±8.23%	
Fe(III) after NO2 ⁻ addition	7.4%	9.9%	
Fe(III) after 28 days	48.7%	*	
Mineral phase t _{ini}	Vivianite	Vivianite	
Mineral phase t _{end}	Vivianite/Ferrihydrite	*	

235 * Mössbauer sample lost

236

237 **3.2. Fe mineral analysis**

⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was used to quantify structural Fe(II) and Fe(III) contents of the samples and identify differences 238 239 in mineralogy under the different reaction conditions. The hyperfine parameters of the mineral phases in in the mineral-only 240 setup at t_{initial} (pH 6.84) are dominated by Fe(II) doublets (Figure 3 A, QSD Sites 1 and 2), which most closely match that of a vivianite spectrum (Muehe et al., 2013; Veeramani et al., 2011). There is a small component with low centre shift and 241 quadrupole splitting, indicative of Fe(III), which accounts for ~10% of the spectral area (Figure 3 A, QSD Site 3). This suggests 242 some minor oxidation occurred, potentially during transfer of sample into the spectrometer. The mineral phases in the DB-243 244 amended setup at tinitial (pH 6.89) shows very close approximation to the abiotic mineral-only setup, though with slightly less 245 Fe(III) (~7.5% of the spectral area) (Figure 3 B, QSD Site 2). Precipitates analysed at the end of the DB-amended experiment (Day 28) show that at pH 6.89, the vivianite phase still dominates (Figure 3 C, QSD Sites 1 and 2), however, the Fe(III) 246 247 component is now much more prominent (Figure 3 C, QSD Site 3), and suggests the formation of a poorly crystalline/shortranged ordered mineral such as ferrihydrite (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). At the lowest pH (5.78) and in the presence of 248 249 DB, the pattern of the precipitates is completely dominated by one doublet (Figure 3 C, QSD Site 1), with hyperfine parameters 250 corresponding to a poorly ordered Fe(III) mineral such as ferrihydrite (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). Unfortunately, the 251 mineral-only sample taken after 28 days was lost and can therefore not be used for further elucidations. Detailed fitting results of the ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy are provided in Table 2. 252

253







254

Figure 3: ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra collected at 77 K for (A) the mineral only setup precipitates at day 0 and pH 6.84, (B) the mineral + dead biomass amended setup precipitates at day 0 at pH 6.89, (C) the mineral + dead biomass amended setup precipitates at day 28 and (D) the mineral + dead biomass amended setup precipitates at day 28 at pH 5.78. Full lines represent the calculated spectra and their sums. Colours of the fits represent the corresponding Fe phase and thus vary between the graphs: Fe(II) doublets (A, C – QSD Sites 1 and 2, B – QSD Sites 1 and 3) closely match the spectra known for vivianite. Minor amounts of Fe(III) are present at day 0 in both, the mineral-only and DB-amended setups (A/B QSD Site 3/2). Single doublets shown in C (QSD Site 3) and D (QSD Site 1) correspond to a poorly ordered Fe(III) mineral such as ferrihydrite.

- 262
- 263
- 264
- 265





266 267

Table 2: Fitting results of Mössbauer spectroscopy. CS - centre shift, QS - quadrupole splitting, R.A. - Relative abundance determined by integration under the curve, Chi² – goodness of fit; sample collection took place at t_{ini} – initial timepoint and t_{end} – 268 end timepoint; MO = mineral-only, MDB = mineral + dead biomass.

Sample	Temp	Phase	CS	QS	R.A.	Error	Chi ²
	[K]		[mm/s]	[mm/s]	[%]		
MO_pH6.8_t _{ini}	77	Fe(II)	1.32	2.71	66.0	23.0	0.55
		Fe(II)	1.33	3.15	24.0	23.0	
		Fe(III)	0.47	0.63	9.9	4.8	
MDB_pH6.8_tini	77	Fe(II)	1.30	2.70	65.0	14.0	0.68
		Fe(III)	0.49	0.49	7.4	3.6	
		Fe(II)	1.36	3.18	28.0	15.0	
MDB_pH6.8_t _{end}	77	Fe(II)	1.33	3.21	34.3	2.4	0.73
		Fe(II)	1.37	2.44	17.0	2.8	
		Fe(III)	0.44	0.89	48.7	2.4	
MDB_pH5.8 _tend	77	Fe(III)	0.49	0.79	100.0		0.66

269

3.3. Nitrite and N₂O isotope dynamics 270

In the experiments with DB, the δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ values showed a very consistent initial ~3-4‰-decrease (from -271 26‰ to -30‰ for δ^{15} N and from ~+3‰ to 0‰ for δ^{18} O) (Figure 4 A, B). After 5 days, the δ^{15} N values started to increase again 272 with decreasing NO₂⁻ concentrations, reaching final values of ~ -20% (Figure 4 A), whereas the concomitant increase in the 273 274 δ^{18} O-NO₂ was much smaller (<1‰, Figure 4 B). The same pattern was observed for all pH levels. In mineral-only experiments, isotope trends were quite different. In combination with far less consumption of NO₂⁻, the δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻ values decreased 275 throughout the entire abiotic experiment (Figure 4 C). In contrast, the δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ first dropped by 2‰, reaching a clear 276 277 minimum of ~0.5 to -0.5 %, before rapidly increasing again. Over the remaining 25 days, the δ^{18} O-NO₂ slowly decreased 278 reaching final values of $\sim 1\%$ (Figure 4 D) – similar to that of the DB treatment.

279







280

284

285

286 287

288

Figure 4: $\delta^{15}N(A, C)$ and $\delta^{18}O(B, D)$ values for NO₂⁻ measured in the mineral + dead biomass amended (red) and the mineral-only (grey) setups over time and at different pH. Standard error calculated from biological replicates (n = 3) is represented by the error bars.

In order to estimate the net N and O isotope fractionation for putative NO₂⁻ reduction (in the DB-amended experiments, where we observed a clear decrease in NO₂⁻), we plotted the NO₂⁻ δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values against the natural logarithm of the concentration of the residual NO₂⁻ (Rayleigh plot), where the slope of the regression line approximates the N and O isotope effects, respectively (Mariotti et al., 1981). At least after the initial period, when the NO₂⁻ δ^{15} N markedly increased with

289 decreasing NO₂⁻ concentrations, the N isotope data are more or less consistent with Rayleigh isotope fractionation kinetics.

290 The slope of the regression line suggests an average N isotope effect of -10.4‰ (Figure 5 A). For the mineral-only setup, no

291 N isotope effect could be calculated, but the observed NO₂⁻ δ^{15} N trend suggest a small inverse N isotope fractionation.





Similarly, trends in NO₂⁻ δ^{18} O of the DB experiments are not as obviously governed by normal Rayleigh fractionation 292 293 dynamics, at least not during the initial period, when the δ^{18} O decreased despite decreasing NO₂⁻ concentrations. Considering 294 the δ^{18} O values only after 2 days of the incubation, the Rayleigh plot revealed an average O isotope enrichment factor of -0.5 295 ‰ (Figure 5 B), much lower than for N. Similar to N, O-isotope Rayleigh plots for the mineral-only experiments (Figure S4) did not exhibit coherent trends, as the fractional NO_2^- depletion was minor and not consistent (mostly less than 10%). Again, 296 the observed δ^{18} O minimum at day 2 of the abiotic incubations suggests that processes other than normal kinetic fractionation 297 during NO₂⁻ reduction were at work, which cannot be described with the Rayleigh model. If at all, the decreasing δ^{18} O values 298 299 after day 5 in the mineral-only experiments, accompanying the subtle decrease in NO_2^- concentration in at least some of the 300 treatments, suggest a small apparent inverse O isotope effect associated with the net consumption of NO₂⁻. Despite the different $NO_2^{-}\delta^{18}O$ dynamics during the course of the experiment, the final $\delta^{18}O$ of the residual nitrite was very similar in both 301 302 experimental setups, and independent of the pH.



303

Figure 5: Rayleigh plots for NO₂⁻ δ^{15} N (A) and δ^{18} O (B) values measured for the mineral + dead biomass amended setups over the In of the substrate fraction remaining and at different pH. The average linear regression line was calculated starting with the lowest delta values (after the initial decrease in both δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O during the initial experimental phase). Equation and R² are given in grey. Standard error calculated from biological replicates (n = 3) is represented by the error bars.

308

We also investigated the N₂O isotope dynamics during mineral-only and DB-amended incubations. Site preference and $\delta^{15}N^{bulk}$ of the N₂O produced in both experimental setups were plotted over time (Figure 5 A and B) and show, except for a few values that require further investigation, almost no variation during the period of the experiment. Also, disregarding the rather high and unusual (but well replicated) values already mentioned, the majority of values obtained in both setups indicate that neither pH nor the amendment of DB seems to have had any influence on the isotopic composition of the product N₂O (Figure 5 B vs.





314 D). Over the course of the experiment, $\delta^{15}N^{\text{bulk}}N_2O$ values were around -50±5‰. SP was relatively low, ranging between 0 315 and a maximum of +10‰ (Figure 5 A, C), without any significant temporal change.





Figure 6: Site Preference (SP; A, C) and $\delta^{15}N^{\text{bulk}}$ (B, D) values of N₂O produced in experiments amended with mineral + dead biomass (red) and mineral-only (grey). Standard error calculated from biological replicates (n = 3, extreme values N = 2) is represented by the error bars.

Rayleigh diagrams, in which $\delta^{15}N^{\alpha}$, $\delta^{15}N^{bulk}$ and SP of the N₂O were plotted against concentrations of the reactant (NO₂⁻) remaining (Figure S5), confirm the similar N₂O isotope dynamics in the DB vs. mineral-only setups, despite the differential degree of NO₂⁻ reduction (only minor in the mineral-only experiment, with f always greater 0.9) and despite the different NO₂⁻ N and O isotope dynamics. Similarly, the dual N₂O δ^{18} O vs. $\delta^{15}N^{bulk}$ signatures (with the exception of two data points; Figure S6) were almost equivalent in both setups, implying that, although modes of NO₂⁻ reduction clearly differ, a similar mechanism of nitrite-reduction-associated N₂O production exists in both setups. The N and O isotopic results are summarized in Table 3

327 (see discussion).

³²⁰



360



328 4. Discussion and implications

329 **4.1.** General evaluation of the abiotic reaction systematics

Overall, the abiotic reaction between NO_2^- and Fe(II) heterogenous or homogenous, has been considered thermodynamically 330 favourable, and as major contributor to the global N₂O budget (e.g. Jones et al., 2015; Otte et al., 2019). Previous studies on 331 332 abiotic NO_2^- reduction with Fe(II) have usually been performed in the presence of rather high concentrations (>2 mM) of NO_2^- 333 and/or Fe(II), without taking into account that chemodenitrification is in fact considered to be highly concentration-dependent 334 (Van Cleemput and Samater, 1995). In addition, reaction dynamics were often tested under variable conditions including the presence of different Fe(II)/Fe(III) minerals, sediments, organic materials and/or bacterial cells (Chen et al., 2018; Grabb et 335 336 al., 2017; Otte et al., 2019). Whether NO₂⁻ indeed acts as a direct oxidant of Fe(II) at circumneutral pH or whether the reaction 337 requires catalysis is still a matter of debate (Kampschreur et al., 2011; Sorensen and Thorling, 1991). 338 Integrating concentrations that are pertinent to our experiments, we constructed a Pourbaix diagram (e.g. Delahay et al., 1950; Minguzzi et al., 2012) (Figure 7). Based on these (simplified) thermodynamic calculations, the abiotic reaction solely driven 339 340 by the reaction of NO₂⁻ and aqueous Fe²⁺ at a pH range of 5 to 7 is not supported. Under our experimental conditions, Fe²⁺ is predicted to be oxidized by NO rather than NO2⁻. Considering Figure 7, an accumulation of NO at µM or even mM 341 concentrations would result in a downward shift of the NO2⁻ line. Therefore, an accumulation of NO would only lower the 342 reactivity between NO₂⁻ and Fe²⁺, which implies that NO₂⁻ is not oxidizing Fe²⁺. Again, this also implies that the reactivity 343 between NO₂⁻ and Fe²⁺ is only enhanced if NO concentrations are rather low (pM range). In order to avoid NO accumulation 344 and thus to enhance the abiotic reaction between NO_2^- and Fe^{2+} , NO would need to react further (either with Fe^{2+} or otherwise). 345 This would induce a reaction cascade, resulting in the constant reduction of NO₂⁻ and NO, and thus in higher N₂O 346 347 concentrations. In contrast, if NO does accumulate as previously reported, the reaction between NO_2^- and Fe^{2+} would be suppressed and only NO could be reduced further to N₂O, a reaction that of course also depends on gas equilibration dynamics 348 349 occurring with the headspace of the system. Nevertheless, considering all these aspects, including the fact that the N₂O 350 produced corresponds only to a minor fraction of the initial NO_2^- reduced, NO acting as main oxidizing agent seems more likely. The reaction mechanisms in this system are, however, complex and we note that this simplified thermodynamic analysis 351 352 does neglect catalytic effects that are possibly induced by reactive surfaces. The complexity of this system is further indicated by the fact that, according to the Pourbaix diagram, a pH response towards N₂O accumulation would be expected which has, 353

- however, never been reported so far. Furthermore, testing various pH did not reveal an obvious pH effect on the reaction dynamics. Changes in pH will most certainly affect interactions between species such as HNO, NO₂ and N₂O and thus could
- 356 impact the reaction dynamics. In addition, the results observed in the setup biased by accidentally adding twice as much NO₂⁻
- 357 (DB, pH 5.8) do not differ from the results of the other setups and thus might question the previously mentioned concentration
- 358 dependency (i.e. [NO2-]). It appears that, for a more detailed understanding of this redox system, the reactants/intermediates
- 359 involved and thus the specific reaction kinetics would need to be determined. Unfortunately, quantification of these
 - 15

intermediates is hampered by their high reactivity, transient nature, and lack of detection techniques that can be applied in





batch culture experiments. Since low amounts (e.g., pM) of NO suffice to impact reaction dynamics and thus stimulate the 361 reaction between NO_2^- and Fe^{2+} , NO quantification could be crucial to assess the environmental controls on Fe(II)-coupled 362 chemodenitrification. In laboratory biological denitrification experiments, accumulation of NO has been reported (Goretski 363 364 and Hollocher, 1988; Zumft, 1997) and was shown to even account for up to 40% of the initial NO₃⁻ amended (Baumgärtner and Conrad, 1992; Choi et al., 2006; Kampschreur et al., 2011; Ye et al., 1994; Zumft, 1997). Hence, Kampschreur et al., 365 (2011) concluded that chemodenitrification is not necessarily solely caused by a single-step reaction, and proposed that the 366 oxidation of Fe²⁺ is rather caused by a two-step mechanism. They observed an immediate formation and accumulation of NO 367 after NO_2^- was added to Fe^{2+} , and as soon as a considerable fraction of the Fe^{2+} was oxidized, N_2O formation was detected. 368 369 Although NO and other possible intermediate (e.g. NO₂(g)) concentrations might not play a major role with regard to mass 370 balance considerations, their possible impact on the overall reaction systematics as well as the isotopic fractionation, remains 371 unclear.



Pourbaix diagram for Fe and N species

372

Figure 7: Pourbaix diagram depicting an Fe and N-species based system. Overall calculations are based on the Nernst equation using
values taken from literature (for equation and values see table S1). Green lines represent Fe²⁺ concentrations, pink lines represent
NO₂⁻ reduction experiments, starting with 2 mM NO₂⁻, resulting in the reduction of 1 mM NO₂⁻, the production of 790 nmol /20 ml
N₂O and a 1:1 transformation of N₂O to N₂; blue lines represent NO₂⁻ reduction experiments, starting with 2 mM NO₂⁻, resulting in
the reduction of 0.2 mM NO₂⁻, the production of 790 nmol /20 ml N₂O and a 1:1 transformation of N₂O to N₂. Reduction/production
values were taken from our results presented in 3.1.





379 4.2. Surface catalysis of chemodenitrification

Previous studies have shown that the initial presence of either Fe(III)(oxyhydr)oxides (Coby & Picardal, 2005; Klueglein & 380 Kappler, 2013; Sorensen & Thorling, 1991) or amorphous Fe(II) minerals (Van Cleemput and Samater, 1995) can stimulate 381 the abiotic reaction between NO₂⁻ and Fe²⁺. As summarized in Table 1, under mineral-only conditions NO₂⁻ reduction was 382 383 significantly lower (0.004 ± 0.003 mmol L⁻¹ day⁻¹) than in identical experiments containing DB, which substantially enhanced NO_2^- reduction (0.053 ±0.013 mmol L⁻¹ day⁻¹). The catalytic effect of Fe minerals on the abiotic NO_2^- reduction, which has 384 been demonstrated before, seems to be amplified in the presence of DB. Relative to NO_2^- reduction rates, overall final N_2O 385 yields per mole NO_2^- reduced tended to be higher in the mineral-only setups. However, considering the initial NO_2^- 386 387 concentrations, only minor amounts of N₂O were produced in both setups, raising questions about the contribution of chemodenitrification to global N_2O emissions discussed by others (Grabb et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2015; Otte et al., 2019). For 388 389 example, in comparison to the N_2O yields in experiments where chemodenitrification was catalysed by green rust (up to 31%, 390 Grabb et al., 2017), the amount of N₂O produced in our setups is far lower (<5% of the initial NO₂⁻).

391 Fe-bearing minerals are known for their high reactivity, ability to complex ligands (metals, humics) and phosphates, and 392 surface protonation capacity via the sorption of OH⁻ groups (Elsner et al., 2004; Stumm and Sulzberger, 1992). Surface catalytic effects may include *direct* and *indirect* sorption-induced catalysis. In the environment, pH has been shown to have a 393 394 strong influence on these sorption capacities of Fe minerals in general (Fowle and Konhauser, 2011). Considering the point of 395 zero charge (PZC) of vivianite, which is with 3.3 below the lowest tested pH in our experiments, the mineral surface is positively charged under our experimental conditions (Luna-Zaragoza et al., 2009). Hence the pH range tested here will not 396 397 affect the surface charge, and NO₂⁻ sorption onto mineral surfaces and corresponding heterogeneous reactions are possible. In contrast, cell surfaces are considered to be negatively charged (Wilson et al., 2001) and therefore might induce different effects 398 399 than mineral surfaces. The charge of the cell surface most likely remained negative even after autoclaving (see e.g. Halder et 400 al., 2015). Our results imply that the systematics of chemodenitrification are strongly dependent on the surface provided and that, depending on the availability and quality of catalytic surfaces, Fe coupled chemodenitrification may be a single-step 401 reaction (between NO₂⁻ and Fe) or may occur in multiple steps (reaction between Fe and NO₂, as well as Fe and NO). As a 402 consequence, the nature of surface catalysis would likely have a strong impact on the N_2O yield per mole NO_2 reduced to NO. 403 Since NO has been demonstrated to have a rather exceptional affinity towards Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ centres resulting in the formation 404 405 of $Fe^{x+}(NO)_n$ nitrosyls and thus triggering an enhancement of the N₂O decomposition rate (e.g. Rivallan et al., 2009). It remains 406 unclear to what extent, and why, the quality of the catalytic surfaces plays a role. Particularly in the presence of organics and/or dead bacterial cells, which are known to have a high affinity to bind metal ions (e.g. Ni^{2+} , Cu^{2+} or Zn^{2+}), either directly or by 407 408 forming surface complexes with hydroxyl groups (Fowle and Konhauser, 2011), a surface-catalysis-induced reaction can be 409 expected. Besides acting as a catalyst via a reactive surface, the dead biomass might also have directly triggered the reaction. For example, non-enzymatic NO formation was studied and modelled by Zweier et al. (1999), suggesting that at concentrations 410 411 between 100 and 1000 µM, abiotic NO2⁻ disproportionation and thus NO formation at circumneutral pH in organic tissue is





412 still possible (Zweier et al., 1999). Furthermore, autoclaving might have ruptured cell walls and released organic compounds. 413 In the presence of phenolic compounds, humic substances, and other organic compounds, NO₂⁻ has been shown to form NO 414 via self-decomposition (Nelson and Bremner, 1969; Stevenson et al., 1970; Tiso and Schechter, 2015). Whether this may have 415 been the case also in our experiments remains unclear, since we did not conduct experiments containing only DB and NO₂⁻. Another possible consideration is the presence of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), which should also be tested in 416 future studies. Liu et al., (2018) investigated nitrate-dependent Fe(II) oxidation with Acidovorax sp. strain BoFeN1, showing 417 418 that c-cytochromes were present in EPS secreted which could indeed act as electron shuttling agents involved in electron 419 transfer supporting chemolithotrophic growth. Since S. oneidensis, our model organisms used as DB supply, is known to 420 produce large amounts of EPS, harbouring c-cytochromes (Dai et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2012; White et al., 2016), a potential 421 impact of EPS on the reaction between NO₂⁻ and Fe(II) needs to be considered. However, possible cytochromes present in the 422 EPS most likely lost their activity due to protein denaturation during autoclaving (Liu & Konermann, 2009; Tanford, 1970). 423 Nevertheless, EPS is still present and can act as a catalysing agent to the abiotic reaction mechanism (Klueglein et al., 2014; 424 Nordhoff et al., 2017).

425 $Fe(II)_{total}$ oxidation via NO₂ has also been observed in the mineral-only setups, but to a lower extent. Hence, the vivianite mineral surfaces themselves seem to catalyse the abiotic reaction between NO_2^- and $Fe(II)/Fe^{2+}$ (in parts, the stimulation of 426 427 Fe-dependent nitrite reduction may also be attributed vivianite dissolution providing ample Fe(II) substrate). Previous studies 428 reported on mineral-enhanced chemodenitrification (Dhakal et al., 2013; Grabb et al., 2017; Klueglein & Kappler, 2013; Rakshit et al., 2008), and the catalytic effect may be due to NO_2^{-1} adsorption onto the minerals surface possibly facilitating a 429 430 direct electron transfer. Similar findings have been reported previously on Fe(II) oxidation promoted by electron transfer during adsorption onto a Fe(III) minerals surface (Gorski and Scherer, 2011; Piasecki et al., 2019). OH adsorption is probably 431 432 enabled by the minerals positive surface charge at pH >6, resulting in a limited reactive surface availability. Complexation of dissolved Fe²⁺, which is provided by mineral dissolution, by OH⁻ groups would thus result in a lower overall NO₂⁻ reduction 433 rate compared to the DB-amended setups. Nevertheless, the NO formed by the initial NO_2^- reduction could, at still elevated 434 Fe²⁺ levels, proceed until both dissolved and adsorbed Fe(II) is quantitatively oxidized to surface-bound Fe(III) (Kampschreur 435 436 et al., 2011). This would ultimately lead to similar Fe(II)total oxidation and N₂O production (and thus higher N₂O yields) as in 437 the DB amended experiment and thus explain the similar results.

438 4.3. Mineral alteration during Fe-coupled chemodenitrification

439 We used ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy in order to determine, whether the catalytic effects that enhanced chemodenitrification

440 with Fe^{2+} also modulated mineral formation. In both setups, addition of $Fe(II)Cl_2$ to the 22 mM bicarbonate buffered medium

- led to the formation of vivianite, an Fe(II)-phosphate. Shortly after the addition of Fe^{2+}_{aq} , the mineral phase in both setups was
- 442 dominated by Fe(II), but a small fraction of Fe(III) was also present. Initial fractions of Fe(III) were similar in both the mineral-
- 443 only and DB-amended experiments (9.9% and 7.4%, respectively) and, if not an artefact of Mössbauer sample handling, might
- therefore have stimulated Fe(II) adsorption and oxidation (Gorski and Scherer, 2011; Piasecki et al., 2019). The reduction of





NO₂⁻ was accompanied by a marked increase of Fe(III), likely in the form of short-range ordered ferrihydrite or lepidocrocite. 445 446 Thus, the Fe(III) phase detected at day 0 most likely formed immediately after NO_2^- addition. This is supported by prior studies, 447 which demonstrated the initiation of Fe(II) oxidation with NO_2 - within a short period of time (Jamieson et al., 2018; Jones et 448 al., 2015). At the end of the DB experiment at pH 6.89, oxidized Fe(III) (most likely in the form of poorly ordered ferrihydrite) 449 contributed 48.7% to the total Fe phases, with vivianite accounting for the remaining spectral area. Unfortunately, we are unable to compare the results of the DB-amended precipitates at the end of the experiment to the mineral-only setup, since the 450 451 sample was lost. In contrast to our observations, other studies conducted in the presence of organics have identified goethite 452 as the main Fe(III) phase during the abiotic reaction between Fe(II) and NO₂⁻ (Chen et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018). In NDFeO 453 experiments, the formation of lepidocrocite, goethite, hematite and to some extent, magnetite has been reported (e.g. Klueglein 454 et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2018; Miot et al., 2015). In contrast, minerals obtained from the enrichment culture KS were mostly 455 vivianite and ferrihydrite, which is, however, attributed to the fact that for the cultivation of the KS culture a high-phosphate 456 medium is used (Nordhoff et al., 2017). In the abiotic experiments (10 mM Fe(II) and 10 mM NO₂⁻) presented by Jones et al., (2015), the formation of lepidocrocite, goethite and two-line ferrihydrite were observed after 6 to 48 hrs. In the experiments 457 458 presented here, besides a short-range ordered Fe(III) phase, likely ferrihydrite, no other mineral phases could be identified 459 after 28 days.

460 Iron analysis also indicates that the oxidation of the Fe(II)_{total} went to completion at pH 5.8 whereas at pH 6.8, 52.3% of the 461 Fe(II)total remained at the end of the incubation experiment, resulting in the formation of a poorly-ordered ferrihydrite. Unfortunately, we did not measure the zeta potential of the starting solutions, which would probably help to explain the 462 differences detected. We note that, although ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was used to measure the Fe(II)/Fe(III) in the 463 precipitates, the reported Fe(II)total concentrations reflect the total Fe(II), i.e., of both the dissolved pellet (structurally-bound 464 or adsorbed) and the aqueous Fe²⁺ in the supernatant measured by Ferrozine. The results obtained by Mössbauer analysis (50% 465 466 Fe(II) remaining) seem to contradict the ferrozine assay (<10% remaining) (see Table 1 and 2). The presence of ferrous Fe, either as structurally-bound Fe(II) or adsorbed Fe²⁺ does indeed play a crucial role with regards to the reaction dynamics 467 occurring at the mineral surfaces, particularly if we assume that N-reactive species are also still present (Rivallan et al., 2009). 468 469 In addition, the initially formed Fe(III) phase might also induce another feedback to the N and even the Fe cycle since Fe(III) 470 minerals are also highly reactive (Grabb et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2015). Mineral structure and thus Fe(II) location within the 471 lattice can influence the overall Fe accessibility, the binding site at the mineral surface and thus overall reactivity (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003; Luan et al., 2015; Schaefer, 2010). If the initial formation of Fe(III), however, enhanced the reaction 472 473 between NO_2^- and Fe(II), similar results in both setups should have been observed, which this was not the case since NO_2^- 474 reduction patterns in the mineral-only experiments were much lower. This also indicates again, that the presence of DB indeed 475 contributed greatly to the reaction in the DB experiments. Furthermore, results obtained from Mössbauer analysis are the only 476 results supporting a pH-dependent effect: At pH 5.78 and in the presence of DB, all vivianite was fully transformed into a 477 short-range ordered Fe(III) phase whereas at pH 6.89, vivianite remained a major component. This presence of vivianite also

478 indicates that no further Fe(II) oxidation occurred even though NO2⁻ reduction was incomplete. The incomplete reduction of





479 NO₂⁻ in turn suggests that further Fe(II) oxidation was limited due to blocked or deactivated reaction sites on mineral surfaces.

Also, considering that at pH 5.8 and in the presence of DB, the initial NO_2^- concentrations were higher but the overall reaction dynamics were quite similar to the other reaction conditions, the concentration dependency of the reaction between NO_2^- and

482 Fe(II) is again supported.

483 4.4. Nitrite and N₂O N and O isotope dynamics during chemodenitrification

In the presence of only vivianite, a decrease in δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻ of ~3‰ was observed with the initial decrease in NO₂⁻. Initial δ^{18} O-484 485 NO₂ values also reflect this drop of 3‰ during the first 3 days but level off and stabilize at 1‰ after 9 days. The initial decrease in both $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ of NO₂⁻ suggest apparent inverse isotope effects, which to the best of our knowledge have never been 486 487 observed during chemodenitrification, and have only been reported for enzymatic NO_2^- oxidation (Casciotti, 2009). Since biological NO₂⁻ oxidation can be ruled out (no NO₃⁻ produced, no microbes), the decrease in δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻, though subtle, could 488 489 indicate that either heavy isotopes are incorporated in the products formed (i.e. NO, N_2O), at least at the beginning of the 490 incubation period. Normally, the heavier isotopes build compounds with molecules of higher stability (Elsner, 2010; Fry, 2006; 491 Ostrom & Ostrom, 2011). This is particularly true for the formation of some minerals or highly stable molecules that are 492 formed under mineral-only conditions, where processes can reach an isotopic equilibrium (He et al., 2016; Hunkeler & Elsner, 493 2009; Li et al., 2011; Ostrom & Ostrom, 2011). However, in the system presented here, N incorporation into mineral phases 494 can be excluded, hence another process must favour the heavy N-atoms. Since this initial drop in $\delta^{15}N$ was also observed in the DB-amended experiments, a possible explanation might be that the isotope values here reflect the sorption or complexation 495 mechanism of NO₂⁻ onto the reactive surfaces. In contrast δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ values, after the initial decrease, did not change greatly 496 with decreasing NO₂⁻ concentrations. The stabilization of the δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ towards the end of the experiment most likely reflects 497 the oxygen isotope equilibration between δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ and the δ^{18} O of the water in the medium. Temporal δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ dynamics 498 499 did not change greatly between the different pH treatments, and in all cases the final δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ ranged between 0.5 and 1‰. The kinetics of abiotic O-atom exchange is a function of temperature and pH. At near neutral pH, at room temperature, one 500 can expect NO₂⁻ to be fully equilibrated after two to three days (Casciotti et al., 2007). At higher pH, the first order rate 501 502 constants for the equilibration with water are lower (Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013), but equilibrium conditions should have been reached well within the incubation period. Indeed, the final δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ was consistent with an equilibrium O isotope effect 503 between NO₂⁻ and H₂O with a δ^{18} O of ~-11.5% (Buchwald and Casciotti, 2013). With regards to δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻ values of the DB-504 amended experiments, a similar behaviour is found within the first 3 days (i.e., decrease in $\delta^{15}N$), followed by a clear increase 505 506 in δ^{15} N-NO₂ of ~10‰. While it is difficult to explain the initial decrease in δ^{15} N-NO₂ (a feature that was not observed in other 507 chemodenitrification experiments (i.e. Grabb et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2015), the subsequent increase in δ^{15} N can be attributed 508 to normal isotopic fractionation associated with chemodenitrification and an N isotope effect (-9%) that is consistent with those previously reported on Rayleigh-type N and O isotope kinetics during chemodenitrification with Fe(III)-bearing minerals 509 such as nontronite and green rust (Grabb et al., 2017). In contrast, δ^{18} O-NO₂- values initially decrease as in the abiotic 510 511 experiment but then level off faster reaching final values of $\sim 1\%$, again most likely explained by O atom isotope exchange





- 512 pulling the δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻ values towards the O-isotope equilibrium value. This value is given by the δ^{18} O_{H2O} + ${}^{18}\varepsilon_{eq,NO2}$, whereas 513 the latter is defined as the equilibrium isotope effect between NO₂⁻ and H₂O and has been shown to yield values of roughly 514 +13‰ (Casciotti et al., 2007). Overall, it seems that the non-linear behaviour of the NO₂⁻ in the O isotope Rayleigh plot is most 515 likely due to the combined effects of kinetic O isotope fractionation during NO₂⁻ reduction, and O atom exchange between 516 NO₂⁻ and H₂O.
- NO_2^- N and O isotope trends observed under the DB-amended conditions (in which a large portion of the NO_2^- pool was 517 consumed), somewhat contradict prior reports of chemodenitrification exhibiting a clear increase in both δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O-NO₂⁻, 518 519 with N isotope enrichment factors for NO_2^- reduction between -12.9 and -18.1‰ and an O isotope effect of -9.8‰ (Jones et 520 al., 2015). Consistent with our data, however, they also observed that, at least in abiotic experiments where NO₂⁻ consumption is rather sluggish due to Fe^{2+} limitation (as a result of either oxidation or simply occlusion), O-isotope exchange isotope effects 521 mask the effects of kinetic O isotope fractionation. While we cannot say at this point what exactly governs the combined NO₂⁻ 522 523 N vs. O isotope trends in the two different experimental conditions, we observed that the two processes (water isotope 524 equilibrium and KIE) competing with each other lead to different net dual isotope effects. Our data cannot resolve whether 525 these observations reflect fundamental differences or simply changes in the relative proportion of the competing processes. Nevertheless, our observations may still be diagnostic for chemodenitrification catalysed by a mineral surface on the one hand, 526 527 and Fe-coupled chemodenitrification that involves catalytic effects by dead bacterial cells on the other. The mineral catalyst 528 evidently plays an important role with regards to chemodenitrification kinetics, reaction conditions, surface complexation or contact time between the NO_2 substrate and the mineral phase (Samarkin et al., 2010), and in turn the combined 529 kinetic/equilibrium N and O isotope effects. 530
- The $\Delta^{15}N$ values ($\Delta^{15}N = \delta^{15}N_{nitrite} \delta^{15}N_2O^{bulk}$) presented in Table 3 were obtained by subtracting the average $\delta^{15}N^{bulk}$ value of N₂O (abiotic -46.5 ±0.2‰; dead biomass -49.4 ±1.0‰) across all pH and throughout the experiment from the average of the initial $\delta^{15}N_{nitrite}$ value. These values can provide insight on reaction kinetics between NO₂⁻, NO, and N₂O (Jones et al., 2015). In both setups there is an offset between the NO₂⁻ and N₂O $\delta^{15}N$, which is clearly higher than what would be expected based on the NO₂⁻ reduction NO₂⁻ isotope effect of <10‰. Following the argumentation of Jones et al. (2015), who reported a similar N isotopic offset between NO₂⁻ and N₂O of 27.0 ±4.5‰, this could be indicative for a heavy N accumulating in a forming NO pool, whereas ¹⁴N is preferentially reacting to N₂O or N₂, respectively. This might even be supported by the rather low $\delta^{15}N^{bulk}$
- 538 values detected for N_2O in both setups.
- 539
- 540
- 541
- 542
- 543
- 544





545 Table 3: Comparison of the isotope values obtained during dead biomass versus the abiotic experiments. T0 values represent means calculated by summarizing results across all pH ± standard error. δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values were calculated using $\overline{x}_{t0} - \overline{x}_{tend}$. Isotope 546

547 fractionation was calculated is based on the slope between the lowest initial value (here at t₁) and t_{end} for all pH. $\Delta^{15}N$ (= $\delta^{15}N_{nitrite}$ -548

 $\delta^{15}N_2O^{bulk}$) was calculated for the end of the experiment.

	Dead Biomass	Abiotic
$\delta^{15} N_{nitrite}(t_0 - t_{end})$	↓5.99 ±0.65‰	↓5.93 ±0.73‰
$\delta^{18}O_{nitrite}(t_0-t_{end})$	↓1.75 ±0.23‰	↓1.15 ±0.18‰
¹⁵ Enitrite	-10.36 ‰#	-
¹⁸ Enitrite	-0.51‰ [#]	-
SP	$1.17 \pm 1.2\%$	$5.99 \pm 0.84\%$
$\delta^{15}N^{\alpha}$	-51.84 ±0.1‰	-43.53 ±0.16‰
$\delta^{15} N^{bulk}$	-49.38 ±1.01‰	-46.48 ±2.1‰
$\Delta^{15}N$	23.2‰	27.85‰

549 550

[#] n=4 (t1 to tend); - concentrations in abiotic experiment fluctuate and show only minor decrease, hence ¹⁵ε and ¹⁸ε could not be calculated.

While our results clearly showed that N₂O accumulates over the course of the reaction, it remains unclear, which additional 551 end products are present at the final stage of the experiment. If NO accumulates (instead of following the reaction cascade 552 further), the substrate-product relationship between the δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻ and δ^{15} N-N₂O values that would be expected in a closed 553 system is perturbed, leading to significantly higher Δ^{15} N than predicted by the δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻ trend. Hence, the calculated Δ^{15} N of 554 the mineral-only treatment (27.9‰) is only slightly higher than that of the DB experiment (23.2‰), and would therefore 555 suggest that despite the differences in chemodenitrification kinetics (i.e., different NO_2^- reduction rates and extent), the NO 556 pool formed is enriched in heavy N in both treatments, respectively. Alternatively, fractional reduction of the produced N₂O 557 to N₂ may also affect the Δ^{15} N since it would presumably increase the δ^{15} N-N₂O and thereby raise the low δ^{15} N-N₂O closer to 558 the starting δ^{15} N-NO₂⁻. Abiotic decomposition of N₂O to N₂ in the presence of Fe-bearing zeolites has been investigated 559 previously (Rivallan et al., 2009), however, it remains unclear if this process could also occur here. Fractional N₂O reduction 560 is also not explicitly indicated by the SP values, which would reflect an increase with N₂O reduction (Ostrom et al., 2007; 561 Winther et al., 2018). The SP values in both mineral-only and DB-amended experiments were, with some exceptions, relatively 562 low (6.0 \pm 0.8%; 1.7 \pm 1.2%; Fig. 6). In fact, SP values observed during the course of our experiments are significantly lower 563 564 compared to SP values reported in other studies on Fe-oxide-mineral associated chemodenitrification (e.g., ~16‰; Jones et al. (2015); 26.5%; Grabb et al. 2017), or during the abiotic N₂O production during the reaction of Fe and a NH₂OH/NO₂⁻ mixture 565 (34%); Heil et al. 2014). While the variety of different SP values for chemodenitrification-derived N₂O suggests different 566 reaction conditions and catalytic effects, our SP data seem to imply that the mineral catalyst plays only a minor role with 567 regards to the isotopic composition of the N₂O produced. However, since N₂O concentrations, even if minor, are increasing 568 569 towards the end of the experiments, production and possible decomposition as well as ongoing sorption mechanisms might





also serve as possible explanation leading to these rather low SP values. N₂O SP values have been used as valuable tracer for 570 571 microbial N₂O production (Ostrom & Ostrom, 2012). Based on pure culture studies (Ostrom et al., 2007; Winther et al., 2018; 572 Wunderlin et al., 2013) and investigations in natural environments (Wenk et al., 2016) a SP range of -10 to 0‰ is considered 573 to be characteristic for denitrification or nitrifier denitrification (Sutka et al., 2006; Toyoda et al., 2005), whereas higher values 574 are usually attributed to nitrification or fungal denitrification (Ostrom & Ostrom, 2012; Wankel et al., 2017; Well & Flessa, 2009). The SP values reported here (0 to 10‰) fall well within the range of biological N₂O production, explicitly denitrification 575 576 and soil derived denitrification (2.3 to 16‰) (Ostrom & Ostrom, 2012), rendering the separation between chemodenitrification 577 and microbial denitrification based on N₂O isotope measurements difficult, if not impossible.

578 In summary, the N and O isotope systematics of chemodenitrification are multifaceted, depending on the environmental 579 conditions, reaction partners provided, and/or the speciation of precipitated mineral phases. The systematics observed here are 580 clearly not entirely governed by normal kinetic isotope fractionation only, as has also been observed in previous work. Grabb et al. (2017) demonstrated that there is a relationship between reaction rate and kinetic NO₂⁻ N and O isotope effects, with 581 faster reaction leading to lower $^{15}\epsilon$ and $^{18}\epsilon$. Again, changes in the expression and even in the direction of the isotope effects in 582 583 the NO₂⁻ pool suggest that multiple processes, including equilibrium isotope exchange (at least with regards to the δ^{18} O- NO₂⁻ 584), are contributing to the net N and O isotope fractionation regulated by the experimental conditions and reaction rates. As 585 pointed out by Grabb et al. (2017), and as supported by our comparative study with pure abiotic mineral phases and with added 586 dead biomass, the accessibility of Fe(II) to the reaction may be a key factor regarding the degree of N and O isotope 587 fractionation expressed, particularly if complexation limits the reactive sites of the mineral. The conditions that, at least transiently, lead to the apparent inverse N and O isotope fractionation observed here for chemodenitrification requires 588 particular attention by future work. At this point, we can only speculate about potential mechanisms, which are indicated in 589 590 the conceptual illustration (Figure 8). As chemodenitrification seems to be catalysed by reactive surfaces of Fe(II)/Fe(III)minerals and/or organics (including cells), sorption onto these surfaces might play a crucial role in the fractionation of N and 591 O isotopes. For example, during the catalytic hydrogenation of CO₂ on Fe and Co catalysts a subtle depletion (ca. 4‰) in 592 593 13 CO₂ at progressed conversion to methane has been explained by the precipitation of a 13 C-enriched carbon intermediate (e.g., 594 CO-graphite) on the catalyst surface (Taran et al., 2010). We are fully aware that it is difficult to compare our system with 595 Fischer-Tropsch synthesis of methane occurring at high temperature and pressure. Yet given the indirect evidence for NO 596 accumulation in our experiments, it may well be that preferential chemisorption/complexation of "heavy" intermediate NO 597 occurs, which may lead to transient ¹⁵N-depletion in the reactant NO₂⁻ pool. Considering that the N₂O concentrations measured in our experiments were comparatively low and that δ^{15} N^{bulk} N₂O values did not noticeably change throughout the experiments, 598 formation of N₂ via abiotic interactions between NO₂⁻ and NO may also be involved (Doane, 2017; Phillips et al., 2016). Hence, 599 600 N₂O is meddling with the reaction dynamics either as an intermediate or as a side product, and can thereby influence the overall 601 N and O isotope dynamics.







602

603 Figure 8: Conceptual figure depicting the proposed reaction mechanisms and feedbacks between the different N species during 604 chemodenitrification induced by the presence of a mineral surface (lower left corner) or (dead) biomass (upper right corner). Adsorption of Fe²⁺ (directly or via complexation by OH⁻) as well as NO₂⁻ could catalyse a direct reaction between both. In addition, 605 606 NO2⁻ adsorption onto the Fe(II) mineral might also induce disproportionation, leading to NO_x formation. These formed 607 intermediates, although transitory, may impact the overall reaction dynamics by e.g. complex formation (i.e. [NO--Fe²⁺]) or direct Fe(II) oxidation. The produced Fe(III) might induce another feedback loop (autocatalysis) resulting in further Fe(II) oxidation. 608 609 Similar processes are possibly induced by the presence of (dead) biomass. Adsorption and complexation of either NO₂⁻ and Fe²⁺ would enhance the reaction between both. In addition, the presence of organic acids would decrease the pH locally and thereby 610 promote and accelerate NO2⁻ disproportionation and thus additionally enhance Fe(II) oxidation. Our results suggest that NO2⁻ 611 reduction results in an KIE, which should influence the isotopic composition of NO. N2O here is an intermediate, the isotopic 612 613 composition of which is mainly influenced by an EIE between NO and N₂O. The low N₂O yields as well as the N₂O isotopic results 614 (bulk, SP) clearly suggests that N₂ is produced abiotically.

615





616 5. Conclusions and outlook

In the absence of any clear (genetic) evidence for enzymatic NDFeO from cultures (e.g. Acidovorax sp. strain BoFeN1), 617 heterotrophic denitrification/NO₃⁻ reduction coupled to abiotic oxidation of Fe(II) with the NO₂⁻ has been presented as the most 618 619 reasonable explanation for NDFeO. Here we investigated the second, abiotic step, clearly demonstrating that Fe-associated 620 abiotic NO_2^- reduction can be catalysed by mineral and organic phases under environmentally relevant conditions, as found 621 for example in soils and aquifers. Our results confirm that reactive surfaces play a major role with regards to the reaction between NO₂⁻ and Fe(II) and that surface-catalysed chemodenitrification appears to not only contribute to the production of 622 623 the greenhouse gas N₂O in environments hosting active cycling of Fe and N, but also to an abiotic production of N₂. In order 624 to understand the mechanistic details of Fe-coupled chemodenitrification, natural-abundance measurements of reactive-N 625 isotope ratios may help distinguish between abiotic and biotic reactions during NDFeO. Our results, however, indicate that the 626 potential of coupled N and O isotope measurements to determine the relative importance of Fe-induced N-transformations in natural environments is somewhat limited. Considering, for example, the apparent inverse N isotope effect in the mineral-only 627 628 experiments, our studies show that the NO₂⁻ N vs. O isotope systematics seem to contrast distinctly between biotic and abiotic 629 NO_2 reduction, potentially permitting the disentanglement of the biotic versus abiotic processes. N₂O SP values seem to be less diagnostic with regards to discriminating between chemodenitrification-derived N_2O and N_2O that is produced during 630 631 microbial NO_2^- reduction. Our results suggest that both the reaction between Fe(II) and reactive N species, as well as the 632 resulting isotope effects, are dependent on the reactive surfaces available. The presence of organic material seems to enhance NO_2^- reduction and, to a lesser extent also N₂O production, leading to the enrichment in ¹⁵N in the residual NO₂⁻, as predicted 633 634 by Rayleigh-type kinetic N isotope fractionation. In the presence of only Fe(II) minerals, NO₂⁻ reduction rates are significantly lower, and net N and O isotope effects are not governed by kinetic isotope fractionation only, but also by isotope equilibrium 635 636 fractionation during exchange with the ambient mineral phase and/or the ambient water (in the case of O isotopes). While N₂O 637 production was significant, the N₂O yields were below 5%, suggesting that a significant fraction of the NO₂⁻ reduced is at least transiently transformed to NO and possibly N_2 . This transient pool of NO possibly stands in quasi-equilibrium with other 638 intermediates (i.e. HNO, NO₂(g)) or complexes (i.e. Fe-NO), and may thereby impact the overall reaction kinetics as well. 639

We speculate that the transient accumulation of NO represents an important constraint both on overall reaction kinetics as well as on the N₂O isotopic signature (or Δ^{15} N), an aspect that should be verified in future work. Such work may include the quantification of N₂ (and its N isotopic composition), which will help to assess to what extent (i) Fe-mineral surface-induced chemodenitrification leads to the formation of a transient pool of NO and is driven by the catalytically induced abiotic reaction between Fe(II) and NO₂⁻, or if (ii) NO is actually the main oxidizing agent of Fe(II).

Our data revealed further complexity with regards to N and O isotope effects during Fe-coupled chemodenitrification than previously reported. We argue that its isotopic imprint depends on the substrate concentration, the presence of reactive surfaces or other catalysts, the mechanisms induced by these catalysts (e.g. surface complexation), and putatively on the intermediates as well as on the product present at the end of the experiments. The multifaceted control on coupled N and O isotope





649 systematics in reactive N species may explain the discrepancies observed between our and previous work (e.g., with regards to ${}^{15}\varepsilon$: ${}^{18}\varepsilon$ ratios; Grabb et al. 2017). Clearly, one has to be realistic with regards to using NO₂⁻ and/or N₂O N and O isotope 650 measurements to provide constraints on the relative importance of chemodenitrification under natural conditions. Yet, at this 651 point, there is only a very limited number of studies on the isotope effects of chemodenitrification, and with the results 652 653 presented here, we expand the body of work that aims at using stable isotope measurements to assess the occurrence of chemodenitrification in denitrifying environments. More work on the controls of stable isotope systematics of 654 chemodenitrification, in particular on the role of reactive, and potentially cryptic, intermediate N species, and of O isotope 655 exchange, will improve our ability to more quantitatively trace Fe-coupled nitrite reduction and N₂O production in natural Fe-656 657 rich soil or sedimentary environments.

658 Data availability

659 Data can be accessed upon request to the corresponding author.

660 Author contributions

AAK initiated the project. MFL and AAK supervised the project. ANV designed and conducted all experiments. Isotope measurements as well as data analysis were performed by ANV under the supervision of MFL. JMB conducted Mössbauer measurements and data analysis. PAN supervised and performed all N₂O concentration determination measurements. ANV, SDW and MFL interpreted the data and prepared the paper with inputs from all other co-authors.

665 Competing interests

666 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

667 Acknowledgements

668 Special thanks go to Karen L. Casciotti (Stanford University) for helping with the correction of the N₂O isotope data. Thanks

669 to Cindy-Louise Lockwood for corrections and comments on earlier versions of the manuscript, and to Viola Warter, Elizabeth

- 670 Tomaszewski for fruitful discussions on abiotic chemistry and mineral reactions. Markus Maisch is thanked for his help with
- 671 the preparation of the Mössbauer samples.





672 Funding

- 673 This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG (Grants GRK 1708 Molecular principles of
- 674 bacterial survival strategies), and through funds from the University of Basel, Switzerland.

675 References

- 676 Anderson, I. C. and Levine, J. S.: Relative Rates of Nitric Oxide and Nitrous Oxide Production by Nitrifiers, Denitrifiers,
- and Nitrate Respirers, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 51(5), 938–945 [online] Available from:
- 678 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC238991/, 1986.
- 679 Andrews, S. C., Robinson, A. K., Rodriguez-Quinones, F. and Rodríguez-Quiñones, F.: Bacterial iron homeostasis, Fems
- 680 Microbiol. Rev., 27(2–3), 215–237, doi:10.1016/s0168-6445(03)00055-x, 2003.
- 681 Baumgärtner, M. and Conrad, R.: Role of nitrate and nitrite for production and consumption of nitric oxide during
- 682 denitrification in soil, Fems Microbiol. Lett., 101(1), 59–65, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1992.tb05762.x, 1992.
- Braun, V. and Hantke, K.: The Tricky Ways Bacteria Cope with Iron Limitation, pp. 31–66, Springer, Dordrecht., 2013.
- Buchwald, C. and Casciotti, K. L.: Isotopic ratios of nitrite as tracers of the sources and age of oceanic nitrite, Nat. Geosci.,
- 685 6(4), 308–313, doi:10.1038/ngeo1745, 2013.
- 686 Buchwald, C., Grabb, K., Hansel, C. M. and Wankel, S. D.: Constraining the role of iron in environmental nitrogen
- 687 transformations: Dual stable isotope systematics of abiotic NO2- reduction by Fe(II) and its production of N2O, Geochim.
- 688 Cosmochim. Acta, 186, 1–12, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.04.041, 2016.
- 689 Casciotti, K. L.: Inverse kinetic isotope fractionation during bacterial nitrite oxidation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73(7),
- 690 2061–2076, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2008.12.022, 2009.
- 691 Casciotti, K. L. and McIlvin, M. R.: Isotopic analyses of nitrate and nitrite from reference mixtures and application to
- 692 Eastern Tropical North Pacific waters, Mar. Chem., 107(2), 184–201, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.021, 2007.
- 693 Casciotti, K. L., Boehlke, J. K., McIlvin, M. R., Mroczkowski, S. J., Hannon, J. E., Böhlke, J. K., McIlvin, M. R.,
- 694 Mroczkowski, S. J. and Hannon, J. E.: Oxygen isotopes in nitrite: Analysis, calibration, and equilibration, Anal. Chem.,
- 695 79(6), 2427–2436, doi:10.1021/ac061598h, 2007.
- 696 Chakraborty, A., Roden, E. E., Schieber, J. and Picardal, F.: Enhanced growth of Acidovorax sp. strain 2AN during nitrate-
- 697 dependent Fe(II) oxidation in batch and continuous-flow systems., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 77(24), 8548–56,
- 698 doi:10.1128/AEM.06214-11, 2011.
- 699 Charlet, L., Wersin, P. and Stumm, W.: Surface charge of MnCO3 and FeCO3, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 54(8), 2329-
- 700 2336, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(90)90059-T, 1990.
- 701 Chen, D., Liu, T., Li, X., Li, F., Luo, X., Wu, Y. and Wang, Y.: Biological and chemical processes of microbially mediated
- 702 nitrate-reducing Fe(II) oxidation by Pseudogulbenkiania sp. strain 2002, Chem. Geol., 476, 59–69,
- 703 doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.11.004, 2018.





- 704 Choi, P. S., Naal, Z., Moore, C., Casado-Rivera, E., Abruna, H. D., Helmann, J. D. and Shapleigh, J. P.: Assessing the
- 705 Impact of Denitrifier-Produced NO on other bacteria, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 72(3), 2200–2205,
- 706 doi:10.1128/aem.72.3.2200-2205.2006, 2006.
- 707 Van Cleemput, O. and Samater, A.: Nitrite in soils: accumulation and role in the formation of gaseous N compounds, Fertil.
- 708 Res., 45(1), 81–89, doi:10.1007/BF00749884, 1995.
- 709 Coby, A. J. and Picardal, F. W.: Inhibition of NO3- and NO2- reduction by microbial Fe(III) reduction: Evidence of a
- reaction between NO2- and cell surface-bound Fe2+, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 71(9), 5267–5274,
- 711 doi:10.1128/aem.71.9.5267-5274.2005, 2005.
- 712 Cornell, R. M. and Schwertmann, U.: The Iron Oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions, Occurences and Uses, 2nd ed.,
- 713 Wiley-VCH., 2003.
- 714 Cundy, A. B., Hopkinson, L. and Whitby, R. L. D.: Use of iron-based technologies in contaminated land and groundwater
- 715 remediation: A review, Sci. Total Environ., 400(1-3), 42–51, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.07.002, 2008.
- 716 Dai, Y.-F., Xiao, Y., Zhang, E.-H., Liu, L.-D., Qiu, L., You, L.-X., Dummi Mahadevan, G., Chen, B.-L. and Zhao, F.:
- 717 Effective methods for extracting extracellular polymeric substances from Shewanella oneidensis MR-1, Water Sci. Technol.,
- 718 74(12), 2987–2996, doi:10.2166/wst.2016.473, 2016.
- 719 Delahay, P., Pourbaix, M. and Rysselberghe, P. Van: POTENTIAL-pH DIAGRAMS', J. Chem. Educ. [online] Available
- 720 from: https://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1021/ed027p683 (Accessed 20 April 2018), 1950.
- 721 Dhakal, P.: Abiotic nitrate and nitrite reactivity with iron oxide minerals, University of Kentucky., 2013.
- 722 Dhakal, P., Matocha, C. J., Huggins, F. E. and Vandiviere, M. M.: Nitrite Reactivity with Magnetite, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
- 723 47(12), 6206–6213, doi:10.1021/es304011w, 2013.
- Doane, T. A.: The Abiotic Nitrogen Cycle, ACS Earth Sp. Chem., 1(7), 411–421, doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00059,
 2017.
- 726 Elsner, M.: Stable isotope fractionation to investigate natural transformation mechanisms of organic contaminants:
- 727 principles, prospects and limitations, J. Environ. Monit., 12(11), 2005–2031, doi:10.1039/c0em00277a, 2010.
- 728 Elsner, M., Schwarzenbach, R. P. and Haderlein, S. B.: Reactivity of Fe(II)-Bearing Minerals toward Reductive
- 729 Transformation of Organic Contaminants, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38(3), 799–807, doi:10.1021/es0345569, 2004.
- 730 Expert, D.: Iron, an Element Essential to Life, in Molecular Aspects of Iron Metabolism in Pathogenic and Symbiotic Plant-
- 731 Microbe Associations, pp. 1–6, Springer, Dordrecht., 2012.
- 732 Fowle, D. A. and Konhauser, K. O.: Microbial Surface Reactivity, pp. 614–616, Springer, Dordrecht., 2011.
- 733 Frame, C. H. and Casciotti, K. L.: Biogeochemical controls and isotopic signatures of nitrous oxide production by a marine
- 734 ammonia-oxidizing bacterium, Biogeosciences, 7(9), 2695–2709, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2695-2010, 2010.
- 735 Fry, B.: Stable Isotope Ecology, 3rd ed., Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, New York., 2006.
- 736 Goretski, J. and Hollocher, T. C.: Trapping of nitric oxide produced during denitrification by extracellular hemoglobin, J.
- 737 Biol. Chem., 263(5), 2316–2323 [online] Available from: http://www.jbc.org/content/263/5/2316.abstract, 1988.





- 738 Gorski, C. A. and Scherer, M. M.: Fe2+ sorption at the Fe oxide-water interface: A revised conceptual framework, in
- Aquatic Redox Chemistry, vol. 1071, edited by P. G. Tratnyek, T. J. Grundl, and S. B. Haderlein, pp. 315–343, ACS
- 740 Publications., 2011.
- 741 Grabb, K. C., Buchwald, C., Hansel, C. M. and Wankel, S. D.: A dual nitrite isotopic investigation of chemodenitrification
- by mineral-associated Fe(II) and its production of nitrous oxide, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 196, 388–402 [online]
- Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703716306044 (Accessed 28 March 2019), 2017.
- 744 Granger, J. and Sigman, D. M.: Removal of nitrite with sulfamic acid for nitrate N and O isotope analysis with the denitrifier
- 745 method, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 23(23), 3753–3762, doi:10.1002/rcm.4307, 2009.
- 746 Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Lehmann, M. F. and Tortell, P. D.: Nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation during
- 747 dissimilatory nitrate reduction by denitrifying bacteria, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53(6), 2533-2545,
- 748 doi:10.4319/lo.2008.53.6.2533, 2008.
- 749 Granger, J., Karsh, K. L., Guo, W., Sigman, D. M. and Kritee, K.: The nitrogen and oxygen isotope composition of nitrate in
- the environment: The systematics of biological nitrate reduction, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73(13), A460–A460, 2009.
- 751 Halder, S., Yadav, K. K., Sarkar, R., Mukherjee, S., Saha, P., Haldar, S., Karmakar, S. and Sen, T.: Alteration of Zeta
- potential and membrane permeability in bacteria: a study with cationic agents., Springerplus, 4, 672, doi:10.1186/s40064015-1476-7, 2015.
- He, H., Zhang, S., Zhu, C. and Liu, Y.: Equilibrium and kinetic Si isotope fractionation factors and their implications for Si
 isotope distributions in the Earth's surface environments, Acta Geochim., 35(1), 15–24, doi:10.1007/s11631-015-0079-x,
 2016a.
- 757 He, S., Tominski, C., Kappler, A. A., Behrens, S. and Roden, E. E.: Metagenomic analyses of the autotrophic Fe(II)-
- oxidizing, nitrate-reducing enrichment culture KS, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 82(9), 2656–2668, doi:10.1128/AEM.0349315, 2016b.
- 760 Heidelberg, J. F., Paulsen, I. T., Nelson, K. E., Gaidos, E. J., Nelson, W. C., Read, T. D., Eisen, J. A., Seshadri, R., Ward, N.,
- 761 Methe, B., Clayton, R. A., Meyer, T., Tsapin, A., Scott, J., Beanan, M., Brinkac, L., Daugherty, S., DeBoy, R. T., Dodson,
- 762 R. J., Durkin, A. S., Haft, D. H., Kolonay, J. F., Madupu, R., Peterson, J. D., Umayam, L. A., White, O., Wolf, A. M.,
- 763 Vamathevan, J., Weidman, J., Impraim, M., Lee, K., Berry, K., Lee, C., Mueller, J., Khouri, H., Gill, J., Utterback, T. R.,
- 764 McDonald, L. A., Feldblyum, T. V., Smith, H. O., Venter, J. C., Nealson, K. H. and Fraser, C. M.: Genome sequence of the
- 765 dissimilatory metal ion-reducing bacterium Shewanella oneidensis, Nat. Biotechnol., 20(11), 1118–1123,
- 766 doi:10.1038/nbt749, 2002.
- 767 Heil, J., Vereecken, H. and Brüggemann, N.: A review of chemical reactions of nitrification intermediates and their role in
- 768 nitrogen cycling and nitrogen trace gas formation in soil, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 67, 23–39, doi:10.1111/ejss.12306, 2016.
- 769 Hunkeler, D. and Elsner, M.: Principles and Mechanisms of Isotope Fractionation, in Environmental Isotopes in
- 770 Biodegradation and Bioremediation, edited by M. Aelion Höhener, P., Hunkeler, D., pp. 43–76, CRC Press., 2009.
- 771 Ilbert, M. and Bonnefoy, V.: Insight into the evolution of the iron oxidation pathways, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.,





- 772 1827(2), 161–175, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.10.001, 2013.
- Jamieson, J., Prommer, H., Kaksonen, A. H., Sun, J., Siade, A. J., Yusov, A. and Bostick, B.: Identifying and Quantifying
- the Intermediate Processes during Nitrate-Dependent Iron(II) Oxidation, Environ. Sci. Technol., acs.est.8b01122,
- 775 doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b01122, 2018.
- Jones, L. C., Peters, B., Lezama Pacheco, J. S., Casciotti, K. L. and Fendorf, S.: Stable Isotopes and Iron Oxide Mineral
- Products as Markers of Chemodenitrification, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(6), 3444–3452, doi:10.1021/es504862x, 2015.
- 778 Kampschreur, M. J. M. J., Kleerebezem, R., de Vet, W. W. J. M. J. M. and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. M.: Reduced iron
- induced nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emission, Water Res., 45(18), 5945–5952,
- 780 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.056, 2011.
- 781 Kendall, C. and Aravena, R.: Nitrate Isotopes in Groundwater Systems, , 261–297, doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-4557-6_9, 2000.
- 782 Klueglein, N. and Kappler, A. A.: Abiotic oxidation of Fe(II) by reactive nitrogen species in cultures of the nitrate-reducing
- 783 Fe(II) oxidizer Acidovorax sp BoFeN1 questioning the existence of enzymatic Fe(II) oxidation, Geobiology, 11(2), 396,
- 784 doi:10.1111/gbi.12040, 2013.
- 785 Klueglein, N., Zeitvogel, F., Stierhof, Y.-D., Floetenmeyer, M., Konhauser, K. O., Kappler, A. A. and Obst, M.: Potential
- Role of Nitrite for Abiotic Fe(II) Oxidation and Cell Encrustation during Nitrate Reduction by Denitrifying Bacteria, Appl.
 Environ. Microbiol., 80(3), 1051–1061, doi:10.1128/aem.03277-13, 2014.
- 788 Kumpiene, J., Lagerkvist, A. and Maurice, C.: Stabilization of As, Cr, Cu, Pb and Zn in soil using amendments A review,
- 789 Waste Manag., 28(1), 215–225, doi:10.1016/J.WASMAN.2006.12.012, 2008.
- 790 Lagarec, K. and Rancourt, D. G.: Extended Voigt-based analytic lineshape method for determining N-dimensional correlated
- 791 hyperfine parameter distributions in Mössbauer spectroscopy, Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact.
- 792 with Mater. Atoms, 129(2), 266–280, doi:10.1016/S0168-583X(97)00284-X, 1997.
- 793 Laufer, K., Røy, H., Jørgensen, B. B. and Kappler, A. A.: Evidence for the existence of autotrophic nitrate-reducing Fe(II)-
- oxidizing bacteria in marine coastal sediment, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 82(20), 6120–6131, doi:10.1128/AEM.01570-16,
 2016.
- Li, W., Beard, B. L. and Johnson, C. M.: Exchange and fractionation of Mg isotopes between epsomite and saturated MgSO
 4 solution, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75, 1814–1828, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.01.023, 2011.
- 798 Lies, D. P., Hernandez, M. E., Kappler, A. A., Mielke, R. E., Gralnick, J. A. and Newman, D. K.: Shewanella oneidensis
- 799 MR-1 uses overlapping pathways for iron reduction at a distance and by direct contact under conditions relevant for
- 800 biofilms, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 71(8), 4414–4426, doi:10.1128/aem.71.8.4414-4426.2005, 2005.
- 801 Liu, J. and Konermann, L.: Irreversible Thermal Denaturation of Cytochrome c Studied by Electrospray Mass Spectrometry,
- 802 J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 20(5), 819–828, doi:10.1016/J.JASMS.2008.12.016, 2009.
- 803 Liu, J., Wang, Z., Belchik, S. M., Edwards, M. J., Liu, C., Kennedy, D. W., Merkley, E. D., Lipton, M. S., Butt, J. N.,
- 804 Richardson, D. J., Zachara, J. M., Fredrickson, J. K., Rosso, K. M. and Shi, L.: Identification and Characterization of MtoA:
- 805 A Decaheme c-Type Cytochrome of the Neutrophilic Fe(II)-Oxidizing Bacterium Sideroxydans lithotrophicus ES-1., Front.





- 806 Microbiol., 3, 37, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2012.00037, 2012.
- 807 Liu, T., Chen, D., Luo, X., Li, X. and Li, F.: Microbially mediated nitrate-reducing Fe(II) oxidation: Quantification of
- 808 chemodenitrification and biological reactions, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, doi:10.1016/J.GCA.2018.06.040, 2018.
- 809 Lovley, D. R.: Microbial Fe(III) reduction in subsurface environments, FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 20(3–4), 305–313,
- 810 doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.1997.tb00316.x, 1997.
- 811 Lovley, D. R.: Electromicrobiology, Annu. Rev. Microbiol., 66(1), 391–409, doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150104,
 812 2012.
- 813 Luan, F., Liu, Y., Griffin, A. M., Gorski, C. A. and Burgos, W. D.: Iron(III)-Bearing Clay Minerals Enhance Bioreduction of
- 814 Nitrobenzene by Shewanella putrefaciens CN32, Env. Sci Technol, 49, 1418–1476, doi:10.1021/es504149y, 2015.
- 815 Luna-Zaragoza, D., Romero-Guzmán, E. T. and Reyes-Gutiérrez, L. R.: Surface and Physicochemical Characterization of
- 816 Phosphates Vivianite,
- 817 Fe<sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;(PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;3&
- 818 amp;lt;/sub> and Hydroxyapatite, Ca<sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&, J. Miner. Mater. Charact. Eng.,
- 819 08(08), 591–609, doi:10.4236/jmmce.2009.88052, 2009.
- 820 Mariotti, A., Germon, J. C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A. and Tardieux, P.: Experimental-Determination of
- 821 Nitrogen Kinetic Isotope Fractionation Some Principles Illustration for the Denitrification and Nitrification Processes,
- 822 Plant Soil, 62(3), 413–430, doi:Doi 10.1007/Bf02374138, 1981.
- 823 Martin, T. S. and Casciotti, K. L.: Paired N and O isotopic analysis of nitrate and nitrite in the Arabian Sea oxygen deficient
- 824 zone, Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 121, 121–131, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2017.01.002, 2017.
- 825 McIlvin, M. R. and Altabet, M. A.: Chemical conversion of nitrate and nitrite to nitrous oxide for nitrogen and oxygen
- 826 isotopic analysis in freshwater and seawater, Anal. Chem., 77(17), 5589–5595, doi:10.1021/ac050528s, 2005.
- 827 McIlvin, M. R. and Casciotti, K. L.: Fully automated system for stable isotopic analyses of dissolved nitrous oxide at natural
- 828 abundance levels, Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods, 8(2), 54–66, doi:10.4319/lom.2010.8.54, 2010.
- 829 McKnight, G. M., Smith, L. M., Drummond, R. S., Duncan, C. W., Golden, M. and Benjamin, N.: Chemical synthesis of
- 830 nitric oxide in the stomach from dietary nitrate in humans., Gut, 40(2), 211–4 [online] Available from:
- 831 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9071933 (Accessed 18 March 2018), 1997.
- 832 Minguzzi, A., Fan, F.-R. F., Vertova, A., Rondinini, S. and Bard, A. J.: Dynamic potential-pH diagrams application to
- electrocatalysts for wateroxidation, Chem. Sci., 3(1), 217–229, doi:10.1039/C1SC00516B, 2012.
- 834 Miot, J., Remusat, L., Duprat, E., Gonzalez, A., Pont, S. and Poinsot, M. M.: Fe biomineralization mirrors individual
- metabolic activity in a nitrate-dependent Fe(II)-oxidizer, Front. Microbiol., 6(SEP), 879, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00879,
 2015.
- 837 Mohn, J., Wolf, B., Toyoda, S., Lin, C.-T., Liang, M.-C., Brüggemann, N., Wissel, H., Steiker, A. E., Dyckmans, J., Szwec,
- 838 L., Ostrom, N. E., Casciotti, K. L., Forbes, M., Giesemann, A., Well, R., Doucett, R. R., Yarnes, C. T., Ridley, A. R., Kaiser,
- 839 J. and Yoshida, N.: Interlaboratory assessment of nitrous oxide isotopomer analysis by isotope ratio mass spectrometry and





- laser spectroscopy: current status and perspectives, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 28(18), 1995–2007,
- 841 doi:10.1002/rcm.6982, 2014.
- 842 Muehe, E. M., Gerhardt, S., Schink, B. and Kappler, A.: Ecophysiology and the energetic benefit of mixotrophic Fe(II)
- oxidation by various strains of nitrate-reducing bacteria, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 70(3), 335-343, doi:10.1111/j.1574-
- 844 6941.2009.00755.x, 2009.
- 845 Muehe, E. M., Obst, M., Hitchcock, A., Tyliszczak, T., Behrens, S., Schröder, C., Byrne, J. M., Michel, F. M., Krämer, U.
- and Kappler, A. A.: Fate of Cd during microbial Fe(III) mineral reduction by a novel and Cd-tolerant geobacter species,
- 847 Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(24), 14099–14109, doi:10.1021/es403365w, 2013.
- 848 Nelson, D. W. and Bremner, J. M.: Factors affecting chemical transformations of nitrite in soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 1(3),
- 849 229–239, doi:10.1016/0038-0717(69)90023-6, 1969.
- 850 Niklaus, P. A., Le Roux, X., Poly, F., Buchmann, N., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Weigelt, A. and Barnard, R. L.: Plant species
- diversity affects soil-atmosphere fluxes of methane and nitrous oxide, Oecologia, 181(3), 919–930, doi:10.1007/s00442-
- 852 016-3611-8, 2016.
- 853 Nordhoff, M., Tominski, C., Halama, M., Byrne, J. M., Obst, M., Kleindienst, S., Behrens, S. and Kappler, A. A.: Insights
- 854 into nitrate-reducing Fe(II) oxidation mechanisms through analysis of cell-mineral associations, cell encrustation, and
- mineralogy in the chemolithoautotrophic enrichment culture KS, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 83(13), e00752-17,
- 856 doi:10.1128/AEM.00752-17, 2017.
- Ostrom, N. E. and Ostrom, P.: Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry, 1st ed., edited by M. Baskaran, Springer
 Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg., 2011.
- 859 Ostrom, N. E. and Ostrom, P. H.: The Isotopomers of Nitrous Oxide: Analytical Considerations and Application to
- 860 Resolution of Microbial Production Pathways, in Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry: Vol I, edited by M.
- 861 Baskaran, pp. 453–476, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg., 2012.
- 862 Ostrom, N. E., Pitt, A., Sutka, R., Ostrom, P. H., Grandy, A. S., Huizinga, K. M. and Robertson, G. P.: Isotopologue effects
- during N2O reduction in soils and in pure cultures of denitrifiers, J. Geophys. Res., 112(G2), doi:10.1029/2006jg000287,
 2007.
- 865 Ostrom, N. E., Gandhi, H., Coplen, T. B., Toyoda, S., Böhlke, J. K., Brand, W. A., Casciotti, K. L., Dyckmans, J.,
- 866 Giesemann, A., Mohn, J., Well, R., Yu, L. and Yoshida, N.: Preliminary assessment of stable nitrogen and oxygen isotopic
- 867 composition of USGS51 and USGS52 nitrous oxide reference gases and perspectives on calibration needs, Rapid Commun.
- 868 Mass Spectrom., 32(15), 1207–1214, doi:10.1002/rcm.8157, 2018.
- 869 Otte, J. M., Blackwell, N., Ruser, R., Kappler, A. A., Kleindienst, S. and Schmidt, C.: N2O formation by nitrite-induced
- 870 (chemo)denitrification in coastal marine sediment, Sci. Rep., 9(1), 10691, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47172-x, 2019.
- 871 Ottley, C. J., Davison, W. and Edmunds, W. M.: Chemical catalysis of nitrate reduction by iron(II), Geochim. Cosmochim.
- 872 Acta, 61(9), 1819–1828, doi:Doi 10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00058-6, 1997.
- 873 Pereira, C., Ferreira, N. R., Rocha, B. S., Barbosa, R. M. and Laranjinha, J.: The redox interplay between nitrite and nitric





- 874 oxide: From the gut to the brain, Redox Biol., 1(1), 276–284, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2013.04.004, 2013.
- 875 Phillips, R. L., Song, B., McMillan, A. M. S., Grelet, G., Weir, B. S., Palmada, T. and Tobias, C.: Chemical formation of
- hybrid di-nitrogen calls fungal codenitrification into question, Sci. Rep., 6(1), 39077, doi:10.1038/srep39077, 2016.
- 877 Piasecki, W., Szymanek, K. and Charmas, R.: Fe 2+ adsorption on iron oxide: the importance of the redox potential of the
- 878 adsorption system, Adsorption, doi:10.1007/s10450-019-00054-0, 2019.
- 879 Piepenbrock, A., Dippon, U., Porsch, K., Appel, E. and Kappler, A. A.: Dependence of microbial magnetite formation on
- 880 humic substance and ferrihydrite concentrations, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75(22), 6844–6858,
- doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.09.007, 2011.
- 882 Price, A., Macey, M. C., Miot, J. and Olsson-Francis, K.: Draft Genome Sequences of the Nitrate-Dependent Iron-Oxidizing
- 883 Proteobacteria Acidovorax sp. Strain BoFeN1 and Paracoccus pantotrophus Strain KS1, edited by J. C. Thrash, Microbiol.
- 884 Resour. Announc., 7(10), e01050-18, doi:10.1128/mra.01050-18, 2018.
- Rakshit, S., Matocha, C. J. and Coyne, M. S.: Nitrite reduction by siderite, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 72(4), 1070–1077,
- 886 doi:10.2136/sssaj2007.0296, 2008.
- 887 Rancourt, D. G. and Ping, J. Y.: Voigt-based methods for arbitrary-shape static hyperfine parameter distributions in
- Mössbauer spectroscopy, Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. with Mater. Atoms, 58(1), 85–97,
 doi:10.1016/0168-583X(91)95681-3, 1991.
- 890 Rivallan, M., Ricchiardi, G., Bordiga, S. and Zecchina, A.: Adsorption and reactivity of nitrogen oxides (NO2, NO, N2O) on
- 891 Fe-zeolites, J. Catal., 264(2), 104–116, doi:10.1016/j.jcat.2009.03.012, 2009.
- 892 Samarkin, V. A., Madigan, M. T., Bowles, M. W., Casciotti, K. L., Priscu, J. C., McKay, C. P. and Joye, S. B.: Abiotic
- nitrous oxide emission from the hypersaline Don Juan Pond in Antarctica, Nat. Geosci., 3(5), 341–344,
- 894 doi:10.1038/ngeo847, 2010.
- 895 Schaefer, M. V.: Spectroscopic evidence for interfacial Fe(II)- Fe(III) electron transfer in clay minerals, Iowa Research
- 896 Online. [online] Available from: http://ir.uiowa.edu/etd/596 (Accessed 20 March 2018), 2010.
- 897 Sigman, D. M., DiFiore, P. J., Hain, M. P., Deutsch, C., Wang, Y., Karl, D. M., Knapp, A. N., Lehmann, M. F. and Pantoja,
- 898 S.: The dual isotopes of deep nitrate as a constraint on the cycle and budget of oceanic fixed nitrogen, Deep. Res. Part I-
- 899 Oceanographic Res. Pap., 56(9), 1419–1439, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2009.04.007, 2009.
- 900 Snyder, L. R. and Adler, H. J.: Dispersion in Segmented Flow through Glass Tubing in Continuous-Flow Analysis: The Ideal
- 901 Model, Anal. Chem., 48(7), 1017–1022, doi:10.1021/ac60371a013, 1976.
- 902 Sorensen, J. and Thorling, L.: Stimulation by Lepidocrocite (Gamma-Feooh) of Fe(Ii)-Dependent Nitrite Reduction,
- 903 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 55(5), 1289–1294, doi:Doi 10.1016/0016-7037(91)90307-Q, 1991.
- 904 Stevenson, F. J., Harrison, R. M., Wetselaar, R. and Leeper, R. A.: Nitrosation of Soil Organic Matter: III. Nature of Gases
- 905 Produced by Reaction of Nitrite with Lignins, Humic Substances, and Phenolic Constituents Under Neutral and Slightly
- 906 Acidic Conditions1, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 34(3), 430, doi:10.2136/sssaj1970.03615995003400030024x, 1970.
- 907 Stookey, L. L.: FERROZINE A NEW SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC REAGENT FOR IRON, Anal. Chem., 42(7), 779-,





- 908 doi:10.1021/ac60289a016, 1970.
- 909 Straub, K. L., Benz, M., Schink, B. and Widdel, F.: Anaerobic, nitrate-dependent microbial oxidation of ferrous iron, Appl.
- 910 Environ. Microbiol., 62(4), 1458–1460, 1996.
- 911 Stumm, W. and Sulzberger, B.: The cycling of iron in natural environments: Considerations based on laboratory studies of
- 912 heterogeneous redox processes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 56(8), 3233–3257, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(92)90301-X, 1992.
- 913 Sutka, R. L., Ostrom, N. E., Ostrom, P. H., Breznak, J. A., Gandhi, H., Pitt, A. J. and Li, F.: Distinguishing nitrous oxide
- 914 production from nitrification and denitrification on the basis of isotopomer abundances, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 72(1),
- 915 638–644, doi:10.1128/Aem.72.1.638-644.2006, 2006.
- 916 Tanford, C.: Protein denaturation: Part c. theoretical models for the mechanism of denaturation, Adv. Protein Chem., 24(C),
- 917 1-95, doi:10.1016/S0065-3233(08)60241-7, 1970.
- 918 Taran, Y. A., Kliger, G. A., Cienfuegos, E. and Shuykin, A. N.: Carbon and hydrogen isotopic compositions of products of
- 919 open-system catalytic hydrogenation of CO2: Implications for abiogenic hydrocarbons in Earth's crust, Geochim.
- 920 Cosmochim. Acta, 74(21), 6112–6125, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.08.012, 2010.
- 921 Tian, T., Zhou, K., Xuan, L., Zhang, J.-X., Li, Y.-S., Liu, D.-F. and Yu, H.-Q.: Exclusive microbially driven autotrophic
- 922 iron-dependent denitrification in a reactor inoculated with activated sludge, Water Res., 170, 115300,
- 923 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2019.115300, 2020.
- 924 Tiso, M. and Schechter, A. N.: Nitrate reduction to nitrite, nitric oxide and ammonia by gut bacteria under physiological
- 925 conditions., PLoS One, 10(3), e0119712, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119712, 2015.
- 926 Tominski, C., Heyer, H., Lösekann-Behrens, T., Behrens, S. and Kappler, A. A.: Growth and Population Dynamics of the
- 927 Anaerobic Fe(II)-Oxidizing and Nitrate-Reducing Enrichment Culture KS, edited by F. E. Löffler, Appl. Environ.
- 928 Microbiol., 84(9), e02173-17, doi:10.1128/AEM.02173-17, 2018.
- 929 Toyoda, S. and Yoshida, N.: Determination of Nitrogen Isotopomers of Nitrous Oxide on a Modified Isotope Ratio Mass
- 930 Spectrometer, , doi:10.1021/AC9904563, 1999.
- 931 Toyoda, S., Mutobe, H., Yamagishi, H., Yoshida, N. and Tanji, Y.: Fractionation of N2O isotopomers during production by
- 932 denitrifier, Soil Biol. Biochem., 37(8), 1535–1545, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.01.009, 2005.
- 933 Veeramani, H., Alessi, D. S., Suvorova, E. I., Lezama-Pacheco, J. S., Stubbs, J. E., Sharp, J. O., Dippon, U., Kappler, A. A.,
- Bargar, J. R. and Bernier-Latmani, R.: Products of abiotic U(VI) reduction by biogenic magnetite and vivianite, Geochim.
- 935 Cosmochim. Acta, 75(9), 2512–2528, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.02.024, 2011.
- 936 Wankel, S. D., Ziebis, W., Buchwald, C., Charoenpong, C., De Beer, Di., Dentinger, J., Xu, Z. and Zengler, K.: Evidence for
- 937 fungal and chemodenitrification based N2O flux from nitrogen impacted coastal sediments, Nat. Commun., 8(1), 15595,
- 938 doi:10.1038/ncomms15595, 2017.
- 939 Weber, K. A., Hedrick, D. B., Peacock, A. D., Thrash, J. C., White, D. C., Achenbach, L. A. and Coates, J. D.: Physiological
- 940 and taxonomic description of the novel autotrophic, metal oxidizing bacterium, Pseudogulbenkiania sp strain 2002, Appl.
- 941 Microbiol. Biotechnol., 83(3), 555–565, doi:10.1007/s00253-009-1934-7, 2009.





- 942 Well, R. and Flessa, H.: Isotopologue signatures of N2O produced by denitrification in soils, J. Geophys. Res., 114,
- 943 doi:10.1029/2008jg000804, 2009.
- 944 Wenk, C. B., Frame, C. H., Koba, K., Casciotti, K. L., Veronesi, M., Niemann, H., Schubert, C. J., Yoshida, N., Toyoda, S.,
- 945 Makabe, A., Zopfi, J. and Lehmann, M. F.: Differential N2O dynamics in two oxygen-deficient lake basins revealed by
- stable isotope and isotopomer distributions, Limnol. Oceanogr., 61(5), 1735–1749, doi:10.1002/lno.10329, 2016.
- 947 White, G. F., Edwards, M. J., Gomez-Perez, L., Richardson, D. J., Butt, J. N. and Clarke, T. A.: Mechanisms of Bacterial
- 948 Extracellular Electron Exchange, in Advances in Microbial Physiology, vol. 68, pp. 87–138., 2016.
- 949 Widdel, F. and Pfennig, N.: STUDIES ON DISSIMILATORY SULFATE-REDUCING BACTERIA THAT DECOMPOSE
- 950 FATTY-ACIDS .1. ISOLATION OF NEW SULFATE-REDUCING BACTERIA ENRICHED WITH ACETATE FROM
- 951 SALINE ENVIRONMENTS DESCRIPTION OF DESULFOBACTER-POSTGATEI GEN-NOV, SP-NOV, Arch.
- 952 Microbiol., 129(5), 395–400, doi:10.1007/bf00406470, 1981.
- 953 Widdel, F., Kohring, G.-W. and Mayer, F.: Studies on Dissimilatory Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria that Decompose Fatty Acids,
- Arch Microbiol, 134, 286–294 [online] Available from: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF00407804.pdf
- 955 (Accessed 22 April 2018), 1983.
- 956 Wilson, W. W., Wade, M. M., Holman, S. C. and Champlin, F. R.: Status of methods for assessing bacterial cell surface
- 957 charge properties based on zeta potential measurements, J. Microbiol. Methods, 43(3), 153–164, doi:10.1016/S0167-
- 958 7012(00)00224-4, 2001.
- 959 Winther, M., Balslev-Harder, D., Christensen, S., Priemé, A., Elberling, B., Crosson, E. and Blunier, T.: Continuous
- 960 measurements of nitrous oxide isotopomers during incubation experiments, Biogeosciences, 15(3), 767–780,
- 961 doi:10.5194/bg-15-767-2018, 2018.
- 962 Wunderlin, P., Lehmann, M. F., Siegrist, H., Tuzson, B., Joss, A., Emmenegger, L. and Mohn, J.: Isotope Signatures of N 2
- 963 O in a Mixed Microbial Population System: Constraints on N₂ O Producing Pathways in Wastewater Treatment, Environ.
 964 Sci. Technol., 130118101927005, doi:10.1021/es303174x, 2013.
- 965 Ye, R. W., Averill, B. A. and Tiedje, J. M.: Denitrification: production and consumption of nitric oxide, Appl. Environ.
- 966 Microbiol., 60(4), 1053–1058 [online] Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC201439/, 1994.
- 967 Zeitvogel, F., Burkhardt, C. J., Schroeppel, B., Schmid, G., Ingino, P. and Obst, M.: Comparison of Preparation Methods of
- 968 Bacterial Cell-Mineral Aggregates for SEM Imaging and Analysis Using the Model System of Acidovorax sp. BoFeN1,
- 969 Geomicrobiol. J., 34(4), 317–327, doi:10.1080/01490451.2016.1189467, 2017.
- 970 Zumft, W. G.: Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 61(4), 533-+ [online]
- 971 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9409151 (Accessed 19 February 2018), 1997.
- 972 Zweier, J. L., Samouilov, A. and Kuppusamy, P.: Non-enzymatic nitric oxide synthesis in biological systems, Biochim.
- 973 Biophys. Acta Bioenerg., 1411(2–3), 250–262, doi:10.1016/S0005-2728(99)00018-3, 1999.
- 974