Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-74-AC5, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



BGD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Changes in population depth distribution and oxygen stratification explain the current low condition of the Eastern Baltic Sea cod (*Gadus morhua*)" by Michele Casini et al.

Michele Casini et al.

michele.casini@slu.se

Received and published: 10 June 2020

We thank the reviewer for the helpful comments.

Reply to the general comments:

- Tendency to oversell the results: this is recurring through the title, abstract and discussion. For example, the study title is not in line with the results. The title implies that the study results alone explain the low condition of Baltic cod, when in reality, the study sheds additional light on one potential mechanism, direct exposure to low oxy-





gen waters, which does not rule out alternative mechanisms (both linked to expanding oxygen minimum zones and to other factors) that have been proposed before. Abstract L27-29: point out more clearly that the study is assessing the role of direct exposure to low oxygen waters, not "the processes". Discussion L223: should be "one mechanism" not "the mechanisms". Conclusion L293: should be "shown here one mechanism", not "the mechanisms".

We have now gone through the manuscript and edited some sentences not to oversell our results.

- Delineation of results from previous work: Exposure to low oxygen water was already previously linked to the Baltic cod condition decline by Limburg and Casini (2019) using otolith microchemistry. This study is cited and referred to by Casini et al., but still, the apparent narrative here is that the exposure to low oxygen waters is shown via the identiiňAcation of increasing overlap of the depths of low oxygen waters and the cod depth distribution, and that this is then coniňArmed with otolith microchemistry in this manuscript (e.g., abstract LL 29-34, Introduction LL 89-93, Discussion LL 224-228). This really has it backwards. I suggest to instead clearly lay out key results and conclusions from Limburg and Casini 2019 in the Introduction, and then use this as rationale for the (relevant and interesting) independent coniňArmation and new insights into the speciiňAc patterns of exposure to low oxygen waters in this manuscript.

We have now followed the suggestion from the Reviewer, specifying in the Introduction that in Limburg & Casini (2019) it was shown that fish in low condition at capture were exposed during their lives to lower oxygen levels than those in good condition (at least from the mid-1990s), without saying anything about the distribution of the population, and therefore whether or not a large part of the population indeed experienced stressful circumstances, that could explain the low population condition found in Casini et al. (2016).

- Use and presentation of otolith microchemistry dataset from Limburg and Casini 2019

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



in this study (connected to previous comment): I would strongly recommend the exclusion of these data from the present manuscript. To me, the analysis and results mirror the previous publication by Limburg and Casini too closely to warrant inclusion here. The authors acknowledge the previous study, but without going into details. However, the dataset, analyses, discussion points (Section4.2) and conclusions are largely the same. Also, the results from otolith microchemistry analyses are not formally correlated to the depth distribution analyses, and appear rather like an "afterthought" in this manuscript. The inclusion in the manuscript thus unnecessarily duplicates previous work. If conclusions from the previous work are instead clearly presented in the Introduction, this will provide the rationale for the real strength and novelty of the present study, the depth distribution analyses. New insights from this independent approach compared to the insights from the original otolith microchemistry approach could then also be discussed more explicitly in the Discussion. Interestingly, all conclusions in the conclusion section of the manuscript (LL293-306) relate to this aspect of the study anyway.

We have now followed the suggestion from the Reviewer, specifying in the Introduction that in Limburg & Casini (2019) it was shown that fish in low condition at capture were exposed during their lives to lower oxygen levels than those in good condition (at least from the mid-1990s), without saying anything about the distribution of the population, and therefore whether or not a large part of the population indeed experienced stressful circumstances, that could explain the low population condition found in Casini et al. (2016). In the current submitted paper we however would like to show the otolith figure (that is a rearrangement and slightly different analysis of Limburg & Casini (2019)) and insert it in the Supplementary material explaining that it is a modification from Limburg & Casini (2019).

- Statistical analyses: Right now, the manuscript is lacking in formal statistical assessments. This includes statistical approaches to assess the signiiňAcance and nature of temporal trends in the depths of low oxygen waters, cod depth distributions and over-

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



lap, as well as the formal assessment of the link of overlap and cod condition over time. The Material and Methods should then also include a dedicated section outlining statistical approaches. In this context, looking at Figure 4 of the manuscript, many of the observed temporal changes do not look linear. E.g., for SD26-28, cod mean depth was essentially stable after 1990, and for SD25, neither cod depth distribution nor depth of low oxygen water appears to change signiïňĄcantly between 2008 and 2018. Formal statistical analysis would therefore have the potential to lead to additional insights beyond the points included in the manuscript.

We agree that the trends of the depth patterns are not linear, that is also why a statistical tests of the temporal patterns would not provide much additional information in our opinion. We now estimated the actual oxygen that the population has been experiencing over time (not only the overlap with low-oxygen levels below a certain threshold) and we perform statistical analysis relating this with fish condition.

Reply to the specific comments:

- Throughout the entire manuscript, I was waiting for an explanation for the discrepancy of the cod depth distribution trends over time between the very similar data sets and analyses in Orio et al. 2019 (showing cod distributions at least for SD26-28 becoming shallower since the 1990s) and this manuscript. This was then given in the second to last sentence of the conclusions:) I suggest to explicitly explain the difference between the datasets (fall versus other seasons) already in the Material and Methods, and then discuss this interesting difference between seasons in the main part of the Discussion, not just in the Conclusion.

We present shortly a discussion of the differences about this already in the Discussion now (before the Conclusions).

- L53: Would cite Chabot and Dutil 1999 here already.

Done

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version





- L60: Suggest addition of Reusch et al 2018 as probably best reference for combined strong temporal changes in temperature, eutrophication, oxygen in the Baltic Sea.

Done

- L60-61: to my knowledge, the degradation of benthic communities is NOT well documented in the Baltic Sea, and lack of time series on benthic communities has been one of the issues hampering understanding of consequences of expanding oxygen minimum ones. Rephrase.

Done

- L71: see major comments regarding previous results from Limburg and Casini 2019. Suggest to present in much more depth here and explain that link between low condition and exposure to low oxygen water was established in that study.

Done. We have now specified in the Introduction that in Limburg & Casini (2019) it was shown that fish in low condition at capture were exposed during their lives to lower oxygen levels than those in good condition (at least from the mid-1990s), without saying anything about the distribution of the population, and therefore whether or not a large part of the population indeed experienced stressful circumstances, that could explain the low population condition found in Casini et al. (2016).

- L73: suggest to mention the actual mechanism connected to this, density dependence.

Done, but we also meant change in the habitat occupation, not only contraction, we have now rephrased the sentence.

- L73-75: add mechanism proposed by Brandner 2020, mild hypoxia reducing rate of digestion.

The mechanism is already included in the sentence (stress due to hypoxia exposure), but we have clarified it adding the reference.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- L92-96: The otolith works comes in like an afterthought here, since it is not set up in any way in the Introduction section (linked to major comment regarding otolith work)

Done, we have now changed this part about the otolith analysis in the whole paper.

- Section 2.1: more clearly point out that this (or very similar) cod condition time series were previously published and are here updated to 2018?

Done.

- LL107-109: please explain rationale of using size class 20-29 and 40-49 cm for condition calculations.

Done.

- Section 2.2: suggest to point out more clearly the key difference between studies, focus on fall here versus all seasons in Orio et al 2019 (see my previous comment above).

Done.

- LL125-135: I am not a physiologist, but I guess in principle use of oxygen as continuous variable (instead of somewhat arbitrary boundaries) would make sense. I can see that use of speciïňĄc limits facilitates analysis, but would mention this possible limitation.

The sub-lethal boundary we used (4 ml/l) is from the experiment by Chabot and Dutil (1999), it is not arbitrary. However, we have now also shown the actual oxygen experienced by the population. About the boundary 1 ml/l (avoidance), it is a well known boundary for Baltic Sea cod (Schaber et al. 2012).

- L155: Explain the rationale of using a Fulton's k of 0.9. Also give other thresholds(e.g., "very low" used later in L163) here already.

This part has now been deleted and we refer now to the results of Limburg and Casini

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



(2019).

- Section 3.2: in the Discussion section (not here), suggest to discuss the patterns observed for fall here compared to the patterns in Orio et al 2019 reporting cod depth distribution contraction to shallower water for SD26-28 when looking at the entire year.

Done.

- Section 3.2, 3.3, 3.4: would all beneïňĄt a lot from formal statistics.

We agree that the trends of the depth patterns are not linear, that is also why a statistical tests of the temporal patterns would not provide much additional information in our opinion. We now estimated the actual oxygen that the population has been experiencing over time (not only the overlap with low-oxygen levels below a certain threshold) and we perform statistical analysis relating this with fish condition.

- L243: I think the discussion of mechanisms that can explain what drives cod into layers with low oxygen levels is quite central, since it relates to the key novel iňĄnding of this manuscript. Suggest to therefore not state that "beyond scope" of manuscript, but rather state that you can only speculate and will discuss possible causes as systematically as possible.

Our paper is focusing on showing the processes explaining the link between the general Baltic deoxygenation and condition (as shown by Casini et al. (2016)) and putting in a population context what found previously in the cod otoliths by Limburg & Casini (2019). Therefore to link the population overlap with low-oxygen waters with fish condition. We really think that explaining the reasons why cod move deeper in autumn deserve a full analysis and this is beyond our scope. We have however provided a potential explanation to the deepening of the distribution in the paper and we say that focused analyses should be done to provide an answer to this interesting question.

- LL244-245: The role of temperature was also the inÅrst thing that came to my mind, but I then wondered about actual temperature proinÅles in fall, and whether they would

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



support these considerations. It would be useful to include information on prevailing temperature depth proïňĄles in fall as background for the discussion.

We have now added temperature and salinity data to support the Discussion.

- L283: Should read "although we have conïňĄrmed here that ..." and refer to Limburg and Casini 2019.

In Limburg & Casini (2019) it was shown that fish in low condition at capture were exposed during their lives to lower oxygen levels than those in good condition (at least from the mid-1990s), without saying anything about the distribution of the population, and therefore whether or not a large part of the population indeed experienced stressful circumstances.

- LL283-291: Discussion of other factors could be more extensive. Cite Brander et al 2020 here as well.

Done.

- L297: Agree, very interesting future direction, and a question that really results for the iňĄrst time from the analyses in this manuscript (not possible from Limburg and Casini 2019) – this would be worth pointing out.

We have now deleted the otolith part and we think the sentence can keep as it is.

- Figures: I suggest to add a ïňAgure to illustrate key ïňAndings regarding the correlation of cod condition and the overlap of cod depth distribution and low oxygen waters.

We have now analysed the relation between the actual oxygen experienced and condition that will change somewhat the disposition of the figures.

- Related to the general comment regarding the presentation of otolith microchemistry data in this manuscript, Figure 6 of this manuscript appear to be an alternative view of Figure 2 c in Limburg and Casini 2019, i.e., not adding new information here that could not be provided from that manuscript.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



We have now deleted the otolith analysis and referred to Limburg and Casini (2019) instead.

Technical corrections

- LL23-24, L62: wording should be more precise – "exponential increase" not really correct, suggest "strong increase"; "largest marine dead zone", unnecessarily dramatic.

We agree about the first suggestion, but not about the second since the low-oxygen zones are called indeed "dead zones" in literature.

- LL26: "elusive" does not really reïňĆect that speciïňĄc alternative mechanisms have been proposed.

Here we meant, as stated, that the processes behind the statistical relation between general hypoxia and cod population condition found previously remained elusive.

- LL29-32: rephrase, confusing wording.

Done.

- L59-60: Wording in Breitburg et al 2018 is more scientiïňĄc ("low O2 areas have become more extensive and severe") – suggest to follow this approach.

Dead-zones is a term used commonly in literature, named also in Breitburg et al. (2018), we prefer to keep this terminology.

- L81: start new paragraph, focusing on effects and not mechanisms from here on.

Done.

- L82-82: rephrase "lamented"

Done.

- L194: "in a couple..." - word missing?

Correct, done.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- L254: "hostile waters" - suggest to rephrase

We would like to keep this wording, giving a clear idea of the concept.

References

Breitburg, D., Levin, L. A., Oschlies, A., Grégoire, M., Chavez, F. P., Conley, D. J., Garçon, V., Gilbert, D., Gutiérrez, D., Isensee, K., Jacinto, G. S., Limburg, K. E., Montes, I., Naqvi, S. W. A., Pitcher, G. C., Rabalais, N. N., Roman, M. R., Rose, K. A., Seibel, B. A., Telszewski, M., Yasuhara, M., and Zhang, J. 2018. Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. Science, 359, eaam7240. Doi:10.1126/science.aam7240.

Casini, M., Käll, F., Hansson, M., Plikshs, M., Baranova, T., Karlsson, O., Lundström, K., Neuenfeldt, S., Gårdmark, G., and Hjelm J. 2016a. Hypoxic areas, density dependence and food limitation drive the body condition of a heavily exploited marine fish predator. R. Soc. Open Sci., 3, 160416.

Chabot, D., and Dutil, J.-D. 1999. Reduced growth of Atlantic cod in non-lethal hypoxic conditions. J. Fish Biol., 55, 472–491.

Limburg, K., and Casini, M. 2019. Otolith chemistry indicates recent worsened Baltic cod condition is linked to hypoxia exposure. Biol. Lett., 15, 20190352.

Schaber, M., Hinrichsen, H.-H, and Gröger, J. 2012. Seasonal changes in vertical distribution patterns of cod (Gadus morhua) in the Bornholm Basin, Central Baltic Sea. Fish. Oceanogr. 21, 33–43.

BGD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2020-74, 2020.