## **Supplementary Material** 1

## Resolving temperature limitation on spring productivity in an 2

## evergreen conifer forest using a model-data fusion framework 3

- Stephanie G. Stettz<sup>1,\*</sup>, Nicholas C. Parazoo<sup>2</sup>, A. Anthony Bloom<sup>2</sup>, Peter D. Blanken<sup>3</sup>, David R. 4
- Bowling<sup>4</sup>, Sean P. Burns<sup>3,5</sup>, Cédric Bacour<sup>6</sup>, Fabienne Maignan<sup>7</sup>, Brett Raczka<sup>5</sup>, Alexander J. 5
- Norton<sup>2</sup>, Ian Baker<sup>8</sup>, Mathew Williams<sup>9,10</sup>, Mingjie Shi<sup>11</sup>, Yongguang Zhang<sup>12</sup>, Bo Qiu<sup>12</sup> 6
- 7 <sup>1</sup> Department of Earth System Science, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA
- 8 9 <sup>2</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Geography, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 10 <sup>4</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA
- 11 <sup>5</sup> National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 12 <sup>6</sup>NOVELTIS, 153 rue du Lac, 31670 Labège, France
- 13 <sup>7</sup> Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-14 Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 15 <sup>8</sup> Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA
- 16 <sup>9</sup> School of GeoSciences and National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 17 <sup>10</sup> National Centre for Earth Observation, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, Edinburgh, UK
- 18 <sup>11</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902 Battelle Blvd, Richland, WA 99354
- 19 <sup>12</sup> International Institute for Earth System Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China
- 20
- 21 \*Correspondence to: Stephanie Stettz (sstettz@uci.edu)

## 22 **Contents:**

- 23
- 24 Fig. S1-8, Tables S1-3
- 25



Figure S1. Timeseries of mean annual GPP derived from the AmeriFlux Niwot Ridge eddy covariance tower (US-NR1) from 2000-2018.



**Figure S2.** Scatter plots showing the relationship between observed meteorological data (a.) mean annual winter (Dec-Feb) precipitation, b.) mean summer (Jun-Sep) precipitation, c.) mean spring (Mar-May) air temperature, d.)mean summer (Jun-Sep) air temperature, e.) mean annual vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and f.) mean annual shortwave irradiance, and mean annual GPP at Niwot Ridge (US-NR1). Dot color varies by year (2000-2018).'r' is the Pearson's linear correlation coefficient.



Figure S3. Histograms of a.)  $T_0$  (photosynthesis shutdown air temperature) and b.)  $T_g$  (photosynthesis initiation air temperature) parameters included in CARDcold experiments (N = 4000 ensembles).



**Figure S4.** Tower-derived (black line) mean monthly GPP with simulated seasonal cycles at US-NR1 for 2000-2018, for a.) CARD, b.) CARDcold, c.) CARD-Half and d.) CARDcold-Half experiments. Model outputs include the median value of each experiment (bold color line) with the  $25^{th}$ -75th percentiles of the ensembles (shaded area). The median is plotted instead of the mean to avoid impact of outlier ensemble members (N = 4000 members). Error bars = tower-derived GPP multiplied/divided by exp(sqrt(log(2)^2\*n)/n), n=# of years in average (n = 19). 'r' is Pearson's r coefficient. Experiments are described in more detail in Table 1.



**Figure S5.** Tower-derived (black line) mean summer (June-September) GPP with model interquartile range (shaded area) and median (bold color line) of summer GPP outputs for a.) CARD and b.) CARDcold experiments. Error bars = tower-derived GPP multiplied/divided by  $exp(sqrt(log(2)^2*n)/n)$ , n=# of months in average (n = 4).



**Figure S6.** Tower-derived (black line) mean annual GPP with model interquartile range (color shaded area) and median (bold color line) of annual GPP outputs for a.) CARD, b.) CARDcold, c.) CARD-Half, and d.) CARDcold-Half experiments. The grey regions indicate no data assimilation (i.e. testing window). Error bars = tower-derived GPP multiplied/divided by  $exp(sqrt(log(2)^{2*n})/n)$ , n=# of years in average (n = 19).



**Figure S7.** Histograms comparing standard deviation in mean annual GPP across all ensembles (N=4000) for CARD (red bars) and CARDcold (blue bars) experiments with a.) full assimilation, b.) half assimilation, c.) full assimilation for the second decade (2010-2018), and d.) half assimilation for the second decade (2010-2018). Black line indicates standard deviation in tower-derived mean annual GPP (std = 0.14 gC m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> for full period, std = 0.15 gC m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> for 2010-2018).



96 97 98 Uncertainty =  $\exp(\operatorname{sqrt}(\log(2)^2 n)/n)$ , where n = # years in average (n = 19).

100

- 112 113 114 115 116

117 118 119 Table S1. Pearson's linear r, R-squared, p-value, standard deviation, root mean square error (RMSE), and mean bias error (MBE)

for TBM-MIP and all CARDAMOM experiments to Niwot Ridge tower-derived mean spring GPP for 2015-2018. All relevant statistics calculated at 5% significance level.

model	r-value	R- squared	p-value ( $\alpha$ = 0.05)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{RMSE} \\ (\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}) \end{array}$	MBE (gC m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	standard deviation (gC $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ )
CARD-Half	0.82	0.67	0.18	0.08	0.06	0.05
CARD	0.82	0.67	0.18	0.13	0.12	0.04
CARDcold-Half	0.78	0.61	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.18
CARDcold	0.76	0.58	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.19
SiB3-exp1	0.44	0.20	0.56	1.24	1.24	0.08
SiB3-exp2	0.42	0.17	0.58	1.16	1.16	0.09
SiB4	0.57	0.33	0.43	1.01	1.01	0.06
ORCHIDEE-exp1	0.67	0.45	0.33	1.43	-1.42	0.19
ORCHIDEE-exp2	-0.09	0.01	0.91	1.38	-1.37	0.20
ORCHIDEE-exp3	-0.26	0.07	0.74	0.93	-0.92	0.08
BEPS	0.84	0.70	0.16	2.46	-2.46	0.16
CLM4.5	0.74	0.55	0.26	0.36	-0.35	0.15
CLM5.0	0.91	0.82	0.09	1.10	-1.09	0.24

Table S2. Pearson's linear r, R-squared, p-value, standard deviation, root mean square error (RMSE), and mean bias error (MBE) for TBM-MIP and all CARDAMOM experiments to Niwot Ridge tower-derived mean annual GPP for 2001-2018. All relevant statistics calculated at 5% significance level.

model	r-value	R-squared	p-value	RMSE	MBE (gC	standard
			$(\alpha = 0.05)$	$(gC m^{-2} d^{-1})$	$m^{-2} d^{-1}$ )	deviation (gC m <sup>-</sup>
				1)		$^{2} d^{-1}$
CARD-Half	0.23	0.05	0.35	0.14	0.05	0.05
CARD	0.19	0.04	0.44	0.15	0.07	0.04
CARDcold-Half	0.21	0.04	0.41	0.17	0.08	0.09
CARDcold	0.22	0.05	0.37	0.18	0.11	0.08
SiB3-exp1	0.32	0.10	0.19	0.78	0.77	0.09
SiB3-exp2	0.10	0.01	0.69	0.19	0.02	0.15
SiB4	-0.25	0.06	0.32	0.45	0.39	0.14
ORCHIDEE-exp1	0.21	0.04	0.40	1.38	-1.36	0.23
ORCHIDEE-exp2	0.60	0.36	0.01	0.94	-0.87	0.43
ORCHIDEE-exp3	0.64	0.41	0.00	0.50	-0.42	0.34
BEPS	-0.25	0.06	0.75	2.48	-2.47	0.20
CLM4.5	0.34	0.12	0.17	0.54	-0.52	0.11
CLM5.0	0.03	0.00	0.96	1.30	-1.27	0.25

Table S3. Pearson's linear r, R-squared, p-value, standard deviation, root mean square error (RMSE), and mean bias error (MBE)

136 137 138 for TBM-MIP and all CARDAMOM experiments to Niwot Ridge tower-derived mean monthly GPP for 2015-2018. All relevant statistics calculated at 5% significance level.

model	r-value	R-squared	p-value ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )	RMSE (gC m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	MBE (gC m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	standard deviation (gC m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )
CARD-Half	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.09	0.007	1.76
CARD	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.09	0.04	1.75
CARDcold-Half	0.99	0.98	0.00	0.23	0.04	1.79
CARDcold	0.99	0.98	0.00	0.22	0.07	1.80
SiB3-exp1	0.91	0.84	0.00	1.02	0.76	1.50
SiB3-exp2	0.92	0.84	0.00	1.06	0.01	2.47
SiB4	0.94	0.88	0.00	0.73	0.42	1.77
ORCHIDEE-exp1	0.98	0.95	0.00	1.80	-1.55	2.58
ORCHIDEE-exp2	0.95	0.91	0.00	1.48	-1.20	2.40
ORCHIDEE-exp3	0.96	0.93	0.00	0.95	-0.81	1.90
BEPS	0.98	0.95	0.00	2.56	-2.46	2.32
CLM4.5	0.99	0.98	0.00	1.20	-0.65	2.76
CLM5.0	0.97	0.95	0.00	1.60	-1.38	2.45

Table S3. Pearson's linear r, R-squared, p-value, standard deviation, root mean square error (RMSE), and mean bias error (MBE)

for TBM-MIP and all CARDAMOM experiments to Niwot Ridge tower-derived mean monthly GPP for 2015-2018. All relevant

statistics calculated at 5% significance level.