1 2	Carbon dynamics at the river-estuarine transition: a comparison among tributaries of Chesapeake Bay.
3	Paul A. Bukaveckas
4	Center for Environmental Studies
5	Virginia Commonwealth University
6	Submitted to: Biogeosciences
7	August 3, 2021
8	revised December 10, 2021
9	Corresponding author: Paul Bukaveckas (pabukaveckas@vcu.edu)
10	Keywords: carbon, estuaries, mass balance CO <sub>2</sub> flux
11	

## 12 Abstract

13 Sources and transformation of C were quantified using mass balance and ecosystem metabolism

- 14 data for the upper segments of the James, Pamunkey and Mattaponi Estuaries. The goal was to
- assess the role of external (river inputs & tidal exchange) vs. internal (metabolism) drivers in
  influencing the forms and fluxes of C. C forms and their response to river discharge differed
- influencing the forms and fluxes of C. C forms and their response to river discharge differed
   among the estuaries based on their physiographic setting. The James, which receives the bulk of
- inputs from upland areas (Piedmont and Mountain), exhibited a higher ratio of inorganic to
- 19 organic C, and larger inputs of POC. The Pamunkey and Mattaponi receive a greater proportion
- 20 of inputs from lowland (Coastal Plain) areas, which were characterized by low DIC and POC,
- and elevated DOC. I anticipated that transport processes would dominate during colder months
- 22 when discharge is elevated and metabolism is low, and that biological processes would
- predominate in summer, leading to attenuation of C through-puts via de-gassing of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- 24 Contrary to expectations, highest retention of OC occurred during periods of high through-put, as
- elevated discharge resulted in greater loading and retention of POC. In summer, internal cycling
- of C via production and respiration was large in comparison to external forcing despite the large
- 27 riverine influence in these upper estuarine segments. The estuaries were found to be net
- 28 heterotrophic based on retention of OC, export of DIC, low GPP relative to ER, and a net flux of
- 29  $CO_2$  to the atmosphere. In the James, greater contributions from phytoplankton production
- resulted in a closer balance between GPP and ER, with autochthonous production exceeding
   allochthonous inputs. Combining the mass balance and metabolism data with bioenergetics
- 32 provided a basis for estimating the proportion of C inputs utilized by the dominant metazoan.
- The findings suggest that invasive catfish utilize 15% of total OM inputs and up to 40% of
- 34 allochthonous inputs to the James.
- 35 <u>Non-technical summary</u>: Inland waters play an important role in the global carbon cycle by
- 36 storing, transforming and transporting carbon from land to sea. Comparatively little is known
- about carbon dynamics at the river-estuarine transition. A study of tributaries of Chesapeake
- Bay showed that biological processes exerted a strong effect on carbon transformations. Peak
- carbon retention occurred during periods of elevated river discharge and was associated with
- 40 trapping of particulate matter.

Page 3

#### 41 **1. Introduction**

Inland waters occupy a small proportion of surface area but play a disproportionately large role 42 in global C cycling (Cole et al. 2007; Butman et al. 2016; Tranvik et al. 2018; Holgerson and 43 Raymond 2016). River networks act as transport systems delivering C products of mineral 44 45 weathering (DIC) and plant decomposition (DOC, POC) from the terrestrial realm to the coastal ocean (Meybeck 2003). Inland waters also function as reactors in which biotic and abiotic 46 processes act to augment, transform or attenuate C fluxes. Aquatic primary production 47 supplements terrestrial DOC and POC inputs, and by providing more labile forms of C, may 48 facilitate the decomposition of older, recalcitrant terrestrial C. Decomposition of aquatic and 49 terrestrial organic matter returns C to the atmosphere, which, along with C sequestration via 50 sediment burial, results in the attenuation of C fluxes to the coastal zone (Richey et al. 2002; 51 Vorosmarty et al. 2003; Middelburg and Herman 2007; Tranvik et al. 2009). Acting against 52 these processes are fluvial forces that hasten through-puts of C and favor transport over 53 54 processing. Along the flowpath from mountains to the sea, aquatic systems differ greatly in their capacity to attenuate C fluxes depending on factors such as water residence time, ecosystem 55 metabolism and capacity for sediment accrual. Biological processes are expected to exert a 56 stronger influence over C transport in lakes relative to streams and rivers, owing to their longer 57 58 water residence time (Hotchkiss et al. 2018). Current efforts focus on understanding the net effect of inland waters on landscape scale fluxes of C. In this context, comparatively little 59 attention has been focused on processes occurring at the river-estuarine transition. 60

The river-estuarine ecotone is defined by the transition from fluvial- to tidal-dominated forces, 61 which results in a shift from unidirectional to bidirectional flow. In some settings, the point of 62 transition may migrate in response to changing discharge conditions, with fluvial forces 63 64 extending seaward during high discharge, and tidal forces gaining inland during periods of low freshwater inputs. Along the mid-Atlantic coast, the landward extent of tidal influence is 65 delineated by a geologic feature (the Fall Line), a zone of rapid elevation change at the transition 66 from upland (Piedmont) to lowland (Coastal Plain) physiographic regions. Below the Fall Line, 67 hydrodynamics are estuarine in that they are subject to bi-directional flows associated with 68 incoming and outgoing tides, whereas chemistry is riverine (freshwater). These conditions arise 69

because tidal forces propagate inland beyond the point where mixing of fresh and marine watersoccurs.

Tidal freshwaters are a common feature of river-dominated estuaries throughout the world but 72 have received relatively little attention in landscape-scale assessments of biogeochemical 73 74 processes (Hoitink and Jay 2016; Ward et al. 2017; Jones et al. 2020). A key feature of tidal freshwaters is their prolonged residence time relative to non-tidal rivers (Jones et al. 2017). 75 76 Water and materials exported during an out-going tide are returned on the incoming tide, thereby 77 increasing residence time. For example, plankton community development in rivers is often 78 constrained by short transit time (Soballe and Kimmel 1987; Pace et al. 1992; Basu and Pick 1996; Sellers and Bukaveckas 2003; Lucas et al. 2009), whereas the back and forth of tidal flows 79 reduces net seaward movement resulting in longer transit time (Shen and Lin 2006; Qin and 80 Shen 2017). Bi-directional flows in tidal freshwaters create more favorable water residence time 81 conditions (relative to non-tidal rivers) that allow for the development of phytoplankton 82 83 communities and the potential for greater biological influence on C forms and retention. Our prior work in the James Estuary has documented higher rates of ecosystem metabolism in the 84 tidal freshwater segment relative to adjacent riverine and lower estuarine segments (Tassone and 85 Bukaveckas 2019; Bukaveckas et al. 2020). The occurrence of a chlorophyll-a and productivity 86 87 maxima in the tidal fresh zone was attributed to longer water residence time and proximal nutrient inputs from riverine and local point sources (Bukaveckas et al. 2011; Qin and Shen 88 89 2017). Other studies have also documented tidal freshwaters as potential biogeochemical hotspots (Vincent et al. 1996; Muylaert et al. 2005; Hoffman et al. 2008; Lionard et al. 2008; 90 91 Amann et al. 2015; Young et al. 2021; Xu et al. 2021).

The goal of this study was to assess the relative importance of external (river inputs & tidal 92 exchange) vs. internal (metabolism) drivers in influencing C forms and retention in the upper 93 estuary. Long water residence time and high rates of ecosystem metabolism in the tidal fresh 94 zone were expected to favor the importance of internal processes over external hydrologic forces 95 in regulating C throughputs. During periods of low river discharge, longer water residence in the 96 97 estuary allows accrual of phytoplankton biomass and greater GPP, which may result in net autotrophy and greater export of organic C relative to DIC. Alternatively, the production of 98 99 autochthonous labile C may facilitate mineralization of allochthonous C inputs ("priming

100 effect") resulting in CO<sub>2</sub> release and attenuation of organic and total C exports (Bianchi 2011; Steen et al. 2015; Ward et al. 2016). During periods of elevated discharge, freshwater 101 102 replacement time in the upper estuary is short, thereby favoring transport over retention. However, our recent work has shown that the bulk of N and P retention in the tidal fresh zone of 103 104 the James Estuary occurs during periods of high sediment loading (Bukaveckas et al. 2018). Although retention of dissolved N and P was highest during peak production in summer, the 105 106 trapping of particulate N and P in winter accounted for the bulk of total N and P retention. These findings suggest that retention of particulate and total C may be highest during periods of 107 elevated river discharge. 108

109 In this study, mass balance results and ecosystem metabolism data were used to assess C inputs,

110 outputs, transformation and retention in the upper estuarine segments of two Chesapeake Bay

tributaries. For the James Estuary, these data are also used to estimate allochthonous and

autochthonous inputs and to assess constraints on food web energetics.

113

#### **114 2. Methods**

2.1 Study Sites. This study focuses on the upper segments of the two southern tributaries of 115 Chesapeake Bay (James and York Estuaries), the latter of which is comprised of two sub-116 estuaries (Pamunkey and Mattaponi). This is the third in a series of papers that rely in part on 117 comparisons among these estuaries to draw inferences about processes occurring at the river-118 estuarine transition. Previous papers focused on the influence of storm events on river and 119 120 estuarine metabolism and water quality (Bukaveckas et al. 2020), and on factors regulating water clarity and primary production (Henderson & Bukaveckas 2021). The proximity of the estuaries 121 122 facilitated frequent sampling (1-2 week intervals) that is needed to characterize C fluxes. The study reach within the James Estuary is the tidal fresh segment, which extends 88 km from the 123 124 Fall Line (Richmond, VA) to the confluence with the Chickahominy River, and accounts for  $\sim$ 50% of the length of the estuary. Study reaches for the Pamunkey and Mattaponi Estuaries 125 126 encompassed the tidal fresh and oligohaline segments, extending 86 km to their confluence with 127 the York Estuary. A key difference among the estuaries is their geographic setting across lowland (Coastal Plain) and upland (Piedmont and Mountain) areas (Figure 1). Freshwater 128 inputs to the James tidal fresh segment are largely (90%) derived from upland sources (i.e., 129

гаде

above the Fall Line), whereas local (Coastal Plain) tributaries contribute  $\sim 10\%$  (based on the 130 proportion of contributing area below the Fall Line). By contrast the Pamunkey and Mattaponi 131 132 Estuaries receive a greater proportion of freshwater inputs from local (Coastal Plain) sources (36% and 51%, respectively). Higher sediment yield from upland sources should result in 133 greater POC inputs to the James relative to the Pamunkey and the Mattaponi. I also expected 134 that higher GPP and R in the phytoplankton-dominated James Estuary would exert a stronger 135 136 influence on C transformations relative to the Pamunkey and Mattaponi, which are dominated by submerged and emergent aquatic vegetation. Lastly, extensive floodplain and wetland areas 137 along the Pamunkey and Mattaponi would be expected to contribute greater DOC inputs relative 138

to the James.

140 <u>2.2 Data Collection</u>. For the James, I am able to present a relatively complete C budget inclusive

of Fall Line loads, local tributary inputs and tidal fluxes of inorganic and organic fractions (DIC,
DOC, POC). These results are based on data collected from river and estuarine stations over a

143 10-year span (2010-2019). For the Pamunkey and Mattaponi, the scope is more limited both in

the time span over which data were collected (2017-2019) and, due to the lack of data on Fall

Line DIC and chloride inputs, which precludes estimation of tidal exchange using Cl mass

balance. For the James and Pamunkey, previously published estimates of GPP and ER derived

147 from in situ diel oxygen cycles are used to assess their effect on C transformations. Seasonal

148 patterns in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and air-water exchange are provided for all three estuaries.

149 2.3 C Inputs & Estuarine Export. External C loads for the three estuaries were derived from (a) measured discharge and concentration at the Fall Line, and (b) estimated contributions from 150 ungauged tributaries below the Fall Line. Fall Line loads were based on data collected by the 151 USGS at gauging stations located on the James, Pamunkey and Mattaponi Rivers. Fall Line 152 153 samples were collected at approximately monthly intervals, with supplemental samples collected during periods of high discharge. Approximately 200 measurements of DOC and POC were 154 obtained at each of the gauging sites over the 10-year span (Table 1), along with continuous 155 measurements of river discharge. For the James, the USGS data were supplemented by 156 157 measuring DIC and Cl at the Fall Line at 1-2 week intervals during 2012-2019 (189 samples collected). Seasonal, inter-annual and discharge-dependent variation in riverine C concentrations 158 was analyzed using Generalized Additive Models (see Statistics). The models were used to 159

predict daily concentrations at each site, and, in combination with daily discharge, to derive daily 160 161 loading values at the Fall Line. Local (ungauged) runoff was estimated as a constant fraction of 162 the daily Fall Line discharge based on the proportion of catchment area represented by tributaries entering below the Fall Line. Daily concentrations were used in combination with Fall Line 163 discharge, below Fall Line discharge, and total discharge to derive daily input and export fluxes. 164 Daily fluxes were summed over the budget interval (typically 1-2 weeks) and used, in 165 166 conjunction with the change in mass of Cl in the estuary between the start and end of each interval, to solve for the net tidal flux of Cl. 167

168 Estuary  $Cl Mass_{t+1} = Estuary Cl Mass_t + Riverine Cl - Export Cl \pm Net Tidal Cl (1)$ 

169 The mass of Cl required to balance each budget interval was used in combination with 170 measurements of Cl concentrations in tidal inflow and outflow, as represented by stations located 171 on either side of the seaward boundary of our study reach (JMS69 and JMS56), to derive the effective volume of tidal exchange. This represents the volume of "new" water entering the 172 173 study reach from the lower estuary with each tidal cycle. The James has an elongate shape that is typical of estuaries that occupy flooded river valleys. The back and forth of tidal flows means 174 that the bulk of the water leaving on an outgoing tide returns on the subsequent incoming tide, 175 and only a small proportion of the large tidal flux is "new" water. For the James, the effective 176 volume of exchange is equivalent to 8% of the tidal prism (Bukaveckas and Isenberg 2013). For 177 this study, estimates of the volume of tidal exchange were derived for each budget interval (N = 178 309 for 2011-19). The effective volume of exchange was used along with measured C 179 concentrations of tidal inflows and outflows to determine the net exchange of C at the seaward 180 boundary of the study reach. Net tidal fluxes for each budget interval were aggregated to 181 monthly values and presented as daily areal values for comparison to riverine input and export 182 fluxes. Lastly, monthly estimates of estuarine C retention were derived based on the difference 183 between input and output fluxes taking into account changes in mass storage within the estuary. 184 *Estuary* C *Mass*<sub>t+1</sub> = *Estuary* C *Mass*<sub>t</sub> + *Riverine* C - *Export*  $C \pm Net$  *Tidal*  $C \pm Retention$  (2) 185 186 For DIC, our estimation of retention also took into account air-water CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (see below). 2.5 Estuarine Metabolism. Previously published estimates of Gross Primary Production (GPP) 187 and Ecosystem Respiration (ER) were used to assess internal C transformations for the James 188

and Pamunkey (Bukaveckas et al. 2020). Rates of metabolism were derived from continuous (15 189 min) monitoring of dissolved oxygen at stations located within our study segments of the James 190 191 and Pamunkey (Figure 1). The James monitoring station is located at the VCU Rice Center Research Pier, approximately 2 km from our JMS75 sampling location. The Pamunkey station 192 (White House Landing) is operated by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science and located near 193 the mid-point of our study segment. Similar equipment (YSI 6600 or EXO sondes) and 194 protocols are used at the two stations including routine (2-3 week) maintenance and calibration 195 of sondes as per manufacturer recommendations. Daily GPP and ER were derived using the 196 single-station open-water method. Following Caffrey (2003; 2004), 15-minute DO 197 measurements were smoothed to 30-minute averages and multiplied by water depth to obtain 198 areal rates of oxygen flux at 30 minute intervals throughout the day. 199

200

$$O_2 \text{ flux } (g O_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}) = (DO_{t2} - DO_{t1}) * \text{ Water Depth} - AE$$
 (3)

Atmospheric exchange (AE) was derived at 30-minute intervals based on water column DO 201 202 saturation and a generic estuarine gas transfer coefficient. A previous analysis using 23 years of 203 station data for the James showed that estimates of atmospheric exchange derived from oxygen saturation and the fixed gas transfer coefficient were not significantly different from exchange 204 coefficients derived using variable water velocity and wind speed (Tassone and Bukaveckas 205 206 2019). ER was derived by extrapolating nightly O<sub>2</sub> fluxes to a 24-hour period. GPP was derived as the sum of daytime oxygen production and ER during daylight hours. Oxygen-based values 207 were converted to C assuming a photosynthetic quotient of 1.2 and a respitory quotient of 1. 208

2.6 Sampling and Analysis. Methods were described previously (Bukaveckas et al. 2011; 209 Bukaveckas et al. 2020; Henderson and Bukaveckas 2021) and are summarized here. Data were 210 collected from 4 stations in the James tidal fresh segment, 3 stations in each of the Pamunkey 211 and Mattaponi study reaches, and one tributary stream (Kimages Creek) located at the VCU Rice 212 Center (Figure 1; Table 1). Estuarine sites were sampled by boat in the main channel except in 213 214 the upper, narrow sections of the Pamunkey and Mattaponi where samples were collected from 215 shore in areas of active flow. Owing to vertically well-mixed conditions (no temperature or salinity stratification) water samples and in situ measurements were obtained near the surface 216 217 (~0.5 m). Water temperature and salinity were measured using a YSI Pro DDS sonde. The 218 partial pressure of carbon dioxide in water and air was measured in the field using a PP Systems

EGM 4 portable infrared CO<sub>2</sub> analyzer calibrated at 0 and 2000 ppm. Water samples were

- analyzed for chlorophyll-a (CHLa), POC, DIC, DOC and Cl. Samples for CHLa and POC were
- 221 filtered through Whatman GF/A glass filters (0.5-µm nominal pore size). Filters for CHLa
- analyses were extracted for 18 h in buffered acetone and analyzed on a Turner Design TD-700

Fluorometer (Arar and Collins 1997). Filters for POC analysis were dried at 60 C for 48 h,

fumed with HCl to remove inorganic carbon and analyzed on a Perkin–Elmer CHN analyzer.

225 Chloride concentrations were determined using a Skalar segmented flow analyzer by the

- 226 ferriccyanide method (APHA 1998). Samples for DIC and DOC were filtered in the field
- through Whatman GF/A filters and analyzed using a Shimadzu TOC analyzer.
- 228 2.7 Air-Water CO<sub>2</sub> Fluxes. Air-water exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> was calculated using the equation from
- 229 Cai and Wang (1998):

Flux 
$$CO_2 = K_T K_H (pCO_{2-water} - pCO_{2-air})$$
 (4)

231 where K<sub>T</sub> is the gas transfer velocity, K<sub>H</sub> is the solubility constant and pCO<sub>2</sub> is the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in water and air. The solubility constant was derived according to the equation 232 of Weiss (1974) taking into account water temperature and salinity recorded at the time of CO<sub>2</sub> 233 measurement. Gas transfer velocities were initially derived from daily average wind speed (U10 234 235 corrected) measured at the VCU Rice Center Research Pier (James) and the Taskinas Creek NERR station (Pamunkey and Mattaponi). Gas transfer velocities derived from wind speed 236 generally fell within the range of 1 to 1.5 m d<sup>-1</sup>, which is low in comparison to the global average 237 (5.7 m d<sup>-1</sup>, Raymond et al. 2017) and to values that are considered appropriate for large rivers 238 (4.3 m d<sup>-1</sup>, Alin et al. 2011; Reiman and Xu 2019). Based on these considerations, a value of 4.3 239 m d<sup>-1</sup> was used for all calculations (see Discussion for further consideration of gas transfer 240 velocities). 241

242 <u>2.8 Statistics.</u> Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) were used to model river and estuarine C
243 and Cl concentrations based on discharge, day of year (to capture seasonal patterns) and decimal
244 date (to depict inter-annual variation). GAMs are gaining increasing usage for modeling water
245 chemistry due to their ability to account for non-linear effects and to fit trends of a form that is
246 not known *a priori* (Morton & Henderson 2008; Murphy et al. 2019; Yang and Moyer 2020;
247 Wiik et al. 2021). The GAM analysis was performed using the "mgcv" package in R (Wood
248 2006). The package default thin plate regression spline was used to depict the effect sizes of

249 discharge and decimal date; a cyclic cubic regression spline was used to depict seasonal effects.

250 The default output for the effect size was shifted to center on the mean of the modeled dependent

variable to show the response of the GAM model within the range of dependent variable values.

252

## **3. Results**

### 254 <u>3.1 Estuarine Hydrology</u>

The James, Pamunkey and Mattaponi Rivers exhibit similar hydrographs with highest monthly 255 average discharge during January-May and lowest discharge in July-November (Figure 2). 256 Average monthly discharge in winter-spring is approximately 4-fold higher in comparison to 257 summer-fall. Median freshwater replacement times (FRT), taking into account Fall Line inputs 258 plus local (ungauged) tributaries, were 30 d (James), 46 d (Mattaponi) and 60 d (Pamunkey) 259 during the period of study. The mass of Cl in the James tidal fresh segment varied by >20-fold 260 from seasonal minimum values during high discharge ( $\sim 7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) to peak values (>100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) 261 during summer base flow (Figure 3). Despite the large seasonal variation, Cl changed relatively 262 slowly within the estuary (median =  $0.5 \% d^{-1}$ ). The gradual change in estuarine Cl belies the 263 underlying dynamics in which input and output fluxes largely offset. Riverine inputs (Fall Line 264 plus local) ranged from 1 to 3 g Cl  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$  over the seasonal cycle. These displaced a larger mass 265 of Cl (export = 2-5 g Cl m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) owing to higher Cl concentrations in the estuary relative to river 266 inputs. In late summer (August-October), the development of strong Cl gradients across the 267 seaward boundary of the study reach resulted in high rates of Cl gain and loss via tidal exchange 268 (up to 10-20 g Cl m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). As the lower tidal fresh segment accounts for the bulk of total volume 269 (80%), increases in Cl at the seaward end of the study reach had a large effect on estuarine Cl 270 271 mass. These seasonal increases in estuarine Cl were most pronounced in summers with low freshwater inputs (e.g., 2012, 2017, 2019). By volume, the effective tidal exchange derived from 272 the Cl mass balance was equivalent to 7.4% (median) and  $14 \pm 1\%$  (mean and SE) of the tidal 273 274 prism.

## 275 <u>3.2 Discharge Effects on River and Estuarine C</u>

276 Discharge was a significant factor influencing riverine C concentrations, though the strength of

these effects differed among C fractions and among the three tributaries. Increasing discharge

was associated with increasing river DOC in the Mattaponi (from 6 to 12 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and Pamunkey 278 (from 5 to 9 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), but had little effect on James River DOC, which was generally low over the 279 range of observed discharge (3-4 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; Figure 4). Generalized Additive Models incorporating 280 discharge, seasonal and inter-annual variation accounted for 50 to 81% of the variation in river 281 282 DOC (Table 2). Seasonal patterns were characterized by peak river DOC in summer and minimum values in spring, with a seasonal range of 2-3 mg  $L^{-1}$  (Supplemental Figure 1). 283 284 Increasing discharge was associated with large increases of POC in the James River (from 1 to 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; Figure 4). Discharge accounted for the bulk of the variation in James River POC 285 (71%) with little additional variation explained by season or inter-annual effects (76% for full 286 model). The effects of discharge on river POC were weaker in the Mattaponi and Pamunkey, 287 where concentrations were generally low over the range of discharge (<2 and <4 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, 288 respectively). Models incorporating discharge, seasonal and inter-annual variation accounted for 289 38% and 51% (respectively) of the variation in river POC at these sites (Supplemental Figure 2). 290 Increasing discharge was associated with large decreases in DIC of the James River (from 20 to 291 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; Figure 4). The GAM analysis accounted for 44% of the variation in DIC at this site 292 (no river DIC data for Pamunkey and Mattaponi). Overall, increasing discharge resulted in 293 higher DOC concentrations in the Pamunkey and Mattaponi Rivers, higher POC concentrations 294 in the James River, and lower DIC concentrations in the James River. 295 Although increases in discharge had a positive effect on riverine DOC and POC, estuarine 296

297 concentrations were only weakly, and in some cases negatively affected by increasing discharge (Figure 5). In the James, estuarine DOC concentrations were typically higher than riverine 298 299 values (Supplemental Figure 3), such that increases in river discharge resulted in a reduction in estuarine DOC (from 7 to 2 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). In the Pamunkey and Mattaponi, increasing discharge had 300 301 little effect on estuarine DOC as estuarine concentrations were similar to river concentrations (Figure 5). Discharge was not a significant predictor of variation in DOC for the Pamunkey and 302 303 Mattaponi Estuaries (Table 2). Seasonal and inter-annual effects were also weak, resulting in a low proportion of variation in estuarine DOC explained by the GAMs (13-27%). Similar 304 findings for POC showed weak seasonal, inter-annual and discharge dependent effects and a low 305 proportion of explained variation for the Pamunkey and Mattaponi Estuaries (40% and 14%, 306 307 respectively). In contrast, POC concentrations in the James Estuary were strongly influenced by season, with predicted concentrations rising from 1 to 2 mg L<sup>-1</sup> during winter to summer. POC 308

Page | 12

concentrations were negatively related to discharge, declining by  $\sim 0.5$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> over the lower 309 range of discharge (up to 400 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The overall model accounted for 75% of the variation in 310 POC for the James Estuary. Increasing discharge had a significant negative effect on DIC in all 311 three estuaries, which decreased by 5-6 mg L<sup>-1</sup> over the observed range of discharge. Seasonal 312 and inter-annual effects on estuarine DIC were weaker; the full models accounted for 68-76% of 313 the variation in estuarine DIC. Overall, these findings show that river discharge had strong 314 negative effects on estuarine DIC, but little influence on estuarine DOC and POC. Significant 315 seasonal variation in POC was observed in the James, but not the Pamunkey or Mattaponi. 316

### 317 <u>3.3 Estuarine pCO<sub>2</sub></u>

GAM analysis revealed significant seasonal and discharge-dependent variation in estuarine pCO<sub>2</sub> 318 319 (Table 2). The effects of discharge on estuarine pCO<sub>2</sub> differed among the 3 tributaries (Figure 320 6). In the Pamunkey and Mattaponi, there was little effect of discharge, except in the upper quartile of the range, which was associated with rising estuarine pCO<sub>2</sub>. In the James, estuarine 321 322 pCO<sub>2</sub> increased linearly over the lower one-third range of discharge, and thereafter plateaued. The Mattaponi and Pamunkey exhibited large seasonal variations in estuarine pCO<sub>2</sub>. Peak 323 summer concentrations (~2600 ppmv) were two-fold higher in comparison to winter minimum 324 values (~1200 ppmv;). A more complex seasonal pattern was observed in the James with bi-325 326 model peaks in spring and fall (850 and 1250 ppmv, respectively) bracketing low concentrations in mid-summer. In summer, significantly lower pCO<sub>2</sub> was observed at sites located at the CHLa 327 maximum (JMS75 = 789 ppmv, JMS69 = 644 ppmv) relative to stations in the upper tidal fresh 328 segment (JMS99 = 1007 ppmv) and the most seaward station (JMS56 = 909 ppmv; p < 0.01). 329 The two stations located at the CHLa maximum were the only sites to exhibit periodic under-330 saturation of pCO<sub>2</sub> (Supplemental Figure 4). The low values at these stations were not observed 331 in winter. There was little longitudinal variation in pCO<sub>2</sub> among stations in the Pamunkey and 332 Mattaponi. Overall, annual average concentrations in the Pamunkey ( $2010 \pm 117$  ppmv) and 333 Mattaponi (1900  $\pm$  120 ppmv) were more than 2-fold higher relative to the James (784  $\pm$ 77 334 ppmv). Higher pCO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the Pamunkey and Mattaponi estuaries were associated 335 with larger air-water CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes ( $2.97 \pm 0.17$  and  $2.77 \pm 0.17$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) relative 336 to the James  $(0.87 \pm 0.05 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}; \text{Figure 7})$ . Strong seasonal patterns were observed in the 337

- Pamunkey and Mattaponi with monthly average fluxes ranging from 1-2 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in winter to 3-
- $4 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in summer, whereas fluxes from the James were similar year-round (~1 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>).

# 340 <u>3.4 C Fluxes & Retention</u>

C fluxes into and out of the James Estuary varied seasonally (Figure 8). DOC inputs followed 341 expected seasonal patterns with peak values  $(1-2 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  during months with elevated 342 discharge (January-May) and minimum values (~0.3 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) during predominantly low 343 discharge in July-November. Seasonal variation in DOC inputs was closely matched by export 344 fluxes. Net tidal fluxes were negligible by comparison  $(-0.03 \pm 0.01 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  owing to small 345 differences in concentration across the segment boundary. Monthly DOC retention ranged from 346 -0.30 to 0.12 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, and was generally negative, indicating net export of DOC. On an annual 347 basis, the DOC balance was  $-0.10 \pm 0.02$  g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, with export exceeding inputs by  $11 \pm 5\%$ . 348 Riverine inputs of POC varied seasonally with highest values in January-May (0.5 to 1.9 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-</sup> 349 <sup>1</sup>) and generally low values in June-December ( $< 0.3 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ). By contrast, estuarine export of 350 POC was consistently low throughout the year ( $< 0.5 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ). As a result, POC retention was 351 highest in January-May (0.3 to 1.5 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). Net tidal fluxes were positive indicating a loss of 352 POC with each tidal cycle, but these fluxes were small  $(0.09 \pm 0.03 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  in comparison to 353 river inputs. On an annual basis, the net retention of POC was  $0.59 \pm 0.11$  g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, 354 corresponding to  $72 \pm 4\%$  of inputs. DIC input and output fluxes followed a similar pattern as 355 for DOC, with peak values in months with high discharge. Taking into account estuarine export 356

and atmospheric fluxes, the James was a net source of DIC with losses (4.25 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) exceeding inputs (2.82 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) by 51%.

Our mass balance analysis does not explicitly consider the role of point source inputs in the 359 estuarine C budget. Point sources that discharge to the tidal fresh segment of the James are 360 principally wastewater treatment plants, and some industries associated with the Richmond 361 metro area. The volume of effluent discharged to the James is small (annual average =  $15-21 \text{ m}^3$ 362  $s^{-1}$  during 2007-14) in comparison to annual average river discharge (~225 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). But as 363 364 effluent may contain elevated C concentrations, point sources could potentially contribute an appreciable fraction of C inputs. Point sources typically do not report C concentrations as part of 365 their effluent monitoring, therefore we carried out a 2-year study of DIC, DOC and POC 366 367 concentrations in effluent from the largest point source (City of Richmond WWTP). Effluent

- 368 POC concentrations  $(1.54 \pm 0.13 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$  were comparable to riverine values, whereas effluent
- 369 DOC  $(13.1 \pm 1.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$  and DIC  $(22.7 \pm 1.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$  were two-fold higher relative to riverine
- 370 concentrations. These values were extrapolated to all point source inputs to the James as a first
- approximation of their potential importance to the estuarine C budget. Daily average POC loads
- from point sources (0.02 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) were too small to appreciably affect our estimate of estuarine
- POC retention. Point source inputs of DOC (0.21 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and DIC (0.36 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) were
- equivalent to 23% and 12% (respectively) of riverine inputs. Taking into account point source
- 375 contributions, the mass balance shows that the James tidal fresh segment is a net sink for DOC
- $(0.12 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  and POC (0.61 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and a net source of DIC (1.07 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). Overall, the
- James tidal fresh segment was nearly in balance (within 6%) for total C inputs and outputs.
- Annual average DOC loads to the Pamunkey  $(0.67 \pm 0.11 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  and Mattaponi  $(0.89 \pm 0.12 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$
- $g \text{ m}^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) were similar to the James (0.91 ± 0.12 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) on an areal basis. Seasonal variation
- in DOC inputs followed patterns in discharge with peak values  $(0.7 1.7 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  in winter-
- spring and minimum values  $(0.2 0.7 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  in summer-fall (Figure 9). Export fluxes closely
- matched river inputs on a seasonal basis, and balanced to within 10% on an annual basis.
- Riverine POC inputs to the Pamunkey and Mattaponi ( $0.17 \pm 0.03$  and  $0.14 \pm 0.02$  g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>,
- respectively) were considerably lower relative to the James  $(0.81 \pm 0.15 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ . For the
- James, POC inputs were nearly equal to DOC inputs, whereas for the Pamunkey and Mattaponi,
- 386 DOC accounted for the bulk of OC inputs (79% and 86%, respectively). Export of POC from
- the Pamunkey and Mattaponi matched inputs to within 10% on an annual basis.

## 388 <u>3.5 Estuarine Metabolism</u>

Rates of GPP and ER were compared to standing stocks (areal values) of DIC and POC to assess 389 the potential influence of C fixation and remineralization on estuarine C concentrations (Figure 390 **10**). In the James, GPP and ER followed expected seasonal patterns with peak values (3.5 - 4.0)391  $g C m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) during June-September and low values (<1  $g C m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) in colder months. GPP and 392 ER tracked closely throughout the year, with ER exceeding GPP in colder months, and being 393 equal, or occasionally smaller (June-July) than GPP in warmer months. C fluxes associated with 394 GPP and ER were small in comparison to ambient concentrations of DIC, which ranged from 30 395 to 40 g m<sup>-2</sup>. By contrast, POC production via GPP was comparable to ambient concentrations of 396 POC, which ranged from 3 g m<sup>-2</sup> in colder months to 6 g m<sup>-2</sup> in warmer months. Metabolism of 397

the Pamunkey Estuary was lower and more heterotrophic in comparison to the James. ER varied seasonally from 0.5 to 1.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, whereas GPP was persistently low throughout the year (< 0.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). Standing stocks of DIC were large by comparison, ranging from 10 to 40 g m<sup>-2</sup>. GPP was small in comparison to standing stocks of POC (3 to 5 g m<sup>-2</sup>).

# 402 **4.0 Discussion**

### 403 <u>4.1 Riverine C Inputs & Estuarine Concentrations</u>

An analysis of C dynamics in the upper portions of the James, Mattaponi and Pamunkey 404 405 estuaries revealed differences in dominant forms of C and variable responses to changes in river discharge. The James was dominated by products of mineral weathering as DIC accounted for 406 407 73% of total C with smaller contributions from DOC (20%) and POC (7%). By contrast, organic forms accounted for a larger fraction (49%) of total C in the Pamunkey and Mattaponi. These 408 409 differences are attributed to variable contributions from local (Coastal Plain) vs. upland (Mountain and Piedmont) runoff. The James Estuary receives inputs from a large catchment 410 411 with the bulk of runoff (90%) derived from above the Fall Line. By contrast, the Pamunkey and Mattaponi Estuaries receive a greater proportion of their inputs from local tributaries situated 412 within the Coastal Plain. Local floodplains and tidal marshes contribute DOC, while the 413 predominantly sandy soils of the Coastal Plain have low capacity for retaining DOC, and 414 contribute little DIC. Differences in source waters may also account for contrasting response in 415 river and estuarine C to high discharge events. Larger increases in POC were observed during 416 discharge events in the James, relative to the Pamunkey and Mattaponi. Prior studies 417 documented higher sediment yields from Mountain and Piedmont regions in comparison to the 418 Coastal Plain (Gellis et al. 2009). In the James River, changes in C concentrations with 419 increasing discharge were asynchronous as DIC was negatively related to discharge, whereas 420 421 POC showed a positive relationship. These findings suggest that DIC export from the watershed is limited by weathering rates (source limited) whereas POC export is transport limited (Wymore 422 et al. 2021). For DIC, this resulted in a dilution response in both the river and estuary, whereas 423 high discharge resulted in a flushing response (enrichment) of POC in the river and estuary. 424 Dilution of estuarine DIC during high discharge was also reported in the nearby Delaware 425 426 Estuary and linked to reductions in acid neutralizing capacity and greater sensitivity to acidification (Joesef et al. 2017). For DOC, a strong flushing response was observed in the 427

Pamunkey and Mattaponi Rivers, but not the James. Higher DOC concentrations following 428 429 storm events has been attributed to greater leaching from soils due to higher water elevation and 430 soil inundation (Zarnetske et al. 2018; Patrick et al. 2020). The extensive wetlands and floodplains along the Mattaponi and Pamunkey likely serve as source areas for DOC. Prior work 431 showed that differences in source waters played a role in determining underwater light 432 conditions in these estuaries, as light attenuation in the James was strongly regulated by 433 434 suspended particulate matter, whereas dissolved organic matter had a greater role in attenuating light in the Pamunkey and Mattaponi estuaries (Henderson and Bukaveckas 2021). Overall, our 435 findings showed strong concentration-discharge relationships in riverine waters, whereas 436 estuarine responses were weaker and more variable. Inter-estuarine differences in C forms and 437 response to discharge were linked to differences in the physiographic setting of the estuarine 438 catchments. 439

#### 440 <u>4.2 C Mass Balance</u>

441 The tidal freshwater segment of the James Estuary was a net sink for POC and DOC, and a net 442 source of DIC. On an annual basis, external organic matter inputs were attenuated by 28% (±3) within the tidal fresh segment. The mass balance indicates that a high proportion (72%) of POC 443 inputs were retained in the tidal fresh segment and that retention of POC accounted for the bulk 444 (84%) of organic matter retention. Amann et al. (2012) similarly documented high retention of 445 POC in tidal freshwaters of the River Elbe. The transition from fluvial to tidal conditions favors 446 the settling of suspended particulate matter, which contained ~10-20% organic matter 447 (Bukaveckas et al. 2019). Peak retention occurred during periods of elevated discharge when 448 inputs of particulate matter to the estuary were highest. Our findings do not support the view 449 that inlands waters function primary as transport systems ("pipes") during periods of elevated 450 discharge (Zarnetske et al. 2018) as the bulk of organic matter retention occurred during high 451 flows in winter, and was associated with the retention of particulates. High retention of 452 particulate C is consistent with prior results showing that peak retention of N and P occurred 453 during colder months with elevated river discharge (Bukaveckas and Isenberg 2013). Retention 454 455 of dissolved N and P was highest during low discharge in summer, but this accounted for a relatively small proportion of total N and P retention on an annual basis. For C, as for N and P, 456 the mass of particulate matter delivered to the estuary during high discharge appears to be the 457

458 most important determinant of the amount retained within the estuary. The counter-intuitive 459 finding that peak retention occurs during periods of high transport (when "pipe" conditions 460 might prevail) is based on a consideration of the fate of both dissolved and particulate organic 461 matter, as the former largely passes through, while the latter is highly retained. The retention of 462 particulate matter reflects the underlying hydrodynamics of estuaries, and lakes, where the rapid 463 dissipation of fluvial forces promotes high retention of particulate matter during periods of 464 elevated discharge.

- 465 For the James, atmospheric losses were a small component of the C budget, equivalent to 18% of
- riverine total C inputs and 15% of total C export. Volta et al. (2016) similarly report that CO<sub>2</sub>
- 467 loss via evasion was  $\sim 15\%$  of C export from North Sea estuaries. By contrast, CO<sub>2</sub> evasion from
- the Pamunkey and Mattaponi was appreciably greater (by 3-fold) relative to the James. Our
- 469 pCO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for the Pamunkey were similar to those previously reported by Raymond et
- 470 al. (2000), whereas our air-water flux values were higher (~3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> vs. ~0.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>).

471 Comparisons of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are complicated by uncertainty regarding atmospheric exchange

472 (Raymond and Cole 2001; Borges et al. 2004; Raymond et al. 2017; Ward et al. 2017).

473 Raymond et al. (2000) used what they considered a conservative exchange coefficient (1.1 m d<sup>-</sup>

<sup>474</sup> <sup>1</sup>). More recent studies have adopted higher exchange coefficients, particularly for systems

where tidal and fluvial forces likely play a greater role in determining boundary layer conditions

than are predicted from wind-based models. Wind speeds are low in the upper segments of these

estuaries because the prevailing winds (SSW) are nearly perpendicular to the long axis of the

478 channel, which runs mostly east-west. Turbulence generated by strong tidal forces in shallow

479 channels likely plays a greater role in influencing boundary conditions for gas exchange

480 (Raymond and Cole 2001; Borges et al. 2004). These conditions support the use of higher

481 exchange coefficients than would be derived from wind speed alone.

482 Tidal fluxes were not a large component of the mass balance for any of the C fractions.

Although the volume of water exchanged during a tidal cycle was large (tidal prism = 28% of

- 484 estuarine volume), the elongate shape of the estuary dictates that water leaving on an out-going
- tide returns on the subsequent in-coming tide. Results from the Cl mass balance suggest that the
- 486 net tidal exchange was  $\sim$ 7% of the tidal prism, equivalent to 2% of estuarine volume. In

addition, weak C gradients across the lower boundary of the study reach indicate that tidal inputsand outputs largely offset.

#### 489 <u>4.3 Metabolism & Carbon</u>

Mass balance and metabolism data provide independent evidence that these estuaries are net 490 heterotrophic. The mass balance indicates that the James is a sink for organic C and a source of 491 inorganic C, consistent with metabolism data showing that ecosystem respiration exceeds GPP. 492 Greater heterotrophy was observed in the Pamunkey where respiration rates were comparable to 493 494 the James, but GPP was substantially lower. This finding was consistent with the observed higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and efflux. The evasion of CO<sub>2</sub> from the Pamunkey and Mattaponi 495 496 was large (3x) in comparison to riverine inputs of DOC and POC, whereas CO<sub>2</sub> loss from the 497 James was ~50% of riverine OM inputs. Greater heterotrophy of the former is attributed to 498 differences in hydrogeomorphology and forms of primary production. Higher chlorophyll-a values in the James indicate greater phytoplankton contributions to GPP, which brings the tidal 499 500 fresh segment more closely in balance with respect to production and respiration. The 501 Pamunkey and Mattaponi have low chlorophyll-a by comparison (Bukaveckas et al. 2020) but extensive lateral floodplains and emergent marshes (Hupp et al. 2009; Noe and Hupp 2009; Lake 502 et al. 2013). Decomposition of terrestrial organic matter during floodplain inundation may 503 account for the high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and air-water fluxes during high discharge conditions. 504 Van Dam et al. (2018) similarly reported that high CO<sub>2</sub> losses during flooding events accounted 505 for 30-40% of annual emissions from North Carolina estuaries. An accounting of changes in 506 floodplain C stores before and after inundation events is needed to better understand their role in 507 supporting respiration in these systems. Organic matter inputs following senescence of emergent 508 vegetation may also contribute to higher rates of respiration and CO<sub>2</sub> evasion. Emergent plant 509 510 production would not be captured in the diel dissolved-O<sub>2</sub> based estimates of ecosystem GPP, which may over-estimate heterotrophy in this system. Overall, C mass balance and ecosystem 511 metabolism data show that the upper segments of these estuaries are net heterotrophic. This 512 finding is consistent with a meta-analysis of metabolism data showing that estuaries are 513 generally net heterotrophic (Hoellein et al. 2013), but contrasts with recent work by Brodeur et 514 al. (2019) showing that the Susquehanna River and mainstem Chesapeake Bay are a net sink for 515 DIC, and therefore net autotrophic. In the case of Chesapeake Bay, it may be that much of the 516

terrestrial organic matter (or at least, the POC fraction) is captured in the tributaries, thereby
favoring a prevalence of autochthony over allochthony, and GPP in excess of R.

Despite the large riverine influence in these upper estuarine segments, internal cycling of C via 519 production and respiration was large in comparison to external forcing via fluvial and tidal 520 exchange (Figure 11). In summer, remineralization of C via respiration was almost 2-fold 521 greater in comparison to external DIC inputs. In winter, the balance tipped strongly in favor of 522 external inputs as riverine DIC contributions were 3-fold greater than internal production via 523 respiration. Internal production of POC via GPP was an order of magnitude higher than external 524 inputs of POC in summer. In winter, GPP contributions were approximately equal to external 525 inputs of POC. Based on GPP, the estimated turnover time of the POC pool was 1.5 d in 526 summer. Taking into account that 60% of POC in the James is algal (Wood et al. 2016), the 527 estimated phytoplankton turnover time was 0.9 d. The high rates of internal biological 528 529 processing relative to through-puts of C places the James toward the lake-end, rather than the 530 stream-river end, on the metabolism and residence time spectrum (Hotchkiss et al. 2018). This is likely a consequence of tidal conditions, which allow for longer water residence time compared 531 to non-tidal rivers. Proximal nutrient inputs (from riverine and point sources) and poor water 532 clarity (due to suspended sediments), likely also contribute to the dominance of phytoplankton 533 534 over aquatic plants in this system. If recent increases in water clarity continue (Henderson and Bukaveckas 2021), we would expect a shift toward macrophyte dominance, lower GPP:ER, and 535 536 a diminished influence of internal C cycling.

The tidal fresh segment of the James has moderately low DIC and high GPP, which raises the 537 question whether primary production is limited by the availability of inorganic C. Our data show 538 that daily autotrophic C demand is small ( $\sim 10\%$ ) relative to the available DIC pool. In summer, 539 DIC requirements to sustain GPP exceed the rate of external supply via river inputs, but 540 remineralization of C via respiration is approximately equal to GPP, indicating that internal 541 cycling is sufficiently large to preclude C limitation. However, a case could be made for 542 potential C limitation of photosynthesis due to depletion of pCO2. The diffusion of  $CO_2$  in water 543 occurs more slowly than in air, potentially resulting in depletion during periods of high 544 autotrophic demand. In the James, low CO<sub>2</sub>, with occasional under-saturation, was observed in 545 summer at stations corresponding to the CHLa maximum. Other studies in riverine settings have 546

547 shown that phytoplankton can reduce  $CO_2$  to near or below atmospheric equilibrium (Raymond et al. 1997; Crawford et al. 2017). As CO<sub>2</sub> is energetically favored for carbon fixation, depletion 548 549 of CO<sub>2</sub> may reduce production efficiency and alter community structure by favoring taxa capable of using bicarbonates. A number of prior studies have linked primary production and pCO2 550 (Jansson et al. 2012; Low-Decarie et al. 2015; Hasler et al. 2016). Our CO<sub>2</sub> data were collected 551 552 mid-morning, closer to the diel maximum than the afternoon minimum (Crosswell et al. 2017; 553 Reiman and Xu 2019), thereby potentially under-estimating CO<sub>2</sub> depletion. The possibility that phytoplankton-driven CO<sub>2</sub> depletion in the James may affect production and community 554 composition cannot be discounted, though this effect appears limited to mid-summer and stations 555

556 located at the CHLa maximum.

## 557 <u>4.4 C Sources & Consumer Energetics</u>

558 Lastly, I consider the utility of our C mass balance for understanding trophic energetics of the James food web, particularly with respect to autochthony and allochthony. Combining mass 559 560 balance, ecosystem metabolism and bioenergetics is a potentially powerful approach to 561 advancing our understanding of C cycles, but there are few examples, often, as in this case, due to a lack of data on consumer production (Ruegg et al. 2021). From a mass flux perspective, a 562 comparison of autochthonous (GPP =  $719 \pm 32$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and allochthonous (POC=  $298 \pm 56$ , 563 DOC =  $340 \pm 44$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) inputs suggests that internal C sources are nearly equal ( $54 \pm 4\%$ ) 564 to external inputs, despite the large riverine influence in the upper estuary. These estimates can 565 be refined to better reflect availability for consumers by discounting GPP by 40% to reflect loss 566 via autotrophic respiration (Ruegg et al. 2021) and taking into account the fraction of POC and 567 DOC that is retained ( $28 \pm 3\%$ ). By this estimate, autochthonous production contributes 70% 568 (431 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and allochthonous inputs 30% (203 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) of C available to consumers. 569 These percentages are based on annualized values though their relative importance varies 570 seasonally with the majority of GPP occurring in May to October, and the bulk of POC delivered 571 in January to May. 572

Comparisons of mass fluxes may not be indicative of C supporting secondary production if
consumers preferentially utilize one source over another. A number of studies have suggested
that autochthonous sources account for a disproportionately large fraction of C assimilation due
to the higher nutritional quality of algae over partially decomposed terrestrial plant matter (Brett

et al. 2009; Thorp and Bowes 2017). Stable isotope analysis of the James food web has shown
that the dominant metazoans by biomass, which are benthic omnivores (catfish, adult gizzard
shad), carry a predominantly terrestrial C signature, whereas zooplankton and planktivorous fish
(juvenile gizzard shad and threadfin shad) were dependent on autochthonous C sources (Wood et
al. 2016). These patterns were consistent with analysis of basal resources showing that the
sediments in the estuary were largely (90%) comprised of terrestrial C, whereas seston contained
a greater fraction of autochthonous C (60% in summer).

The lack of secondary production data does not allow us to align C supply from autochthonous 584 585 and allochthonous sources with C demands of consumers. However, the rate of biomass removal for one of the dominant metazoans (catfish) can be used as a first approximation of their annual 586 production. Catfish were introduced to the James during the 1970's and 1980's and now 587 dominate the fishery (Fabrizio et al. 2018), which has led to questions about their influence on 588 food webs and ecosystem processes (Greenlee and Lim 2011; Hilling et al. 2019; Schmitt et al. 589 590 2019). The biomass of catfish removed from the James represents a conservative estimate of their annual production in that current harvest rates have not brought about declines in the catfish 591 population, indicating that annual production exceeds the amount of biomass removed (Orth et 592 593 al. 2017). During 2010-2020, the commercial harvest of catfish in the tidal James averaged 1,000,000 lbs y<sup>-1</sup> (data provided by Virginia Marine Resources Commission), which taking into 594 account the area of the fresh-brackish estuary, yields a harvest rate of 8.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. In addition 595 596 to the commercial harvest, piscivorous birds are an important component of biomass removal. Here we focus on predation by bald eagles and osprey as there are census data during the 597 598 breeding season (from areal surveys) and estimates of catfish contributions to adult and nestling diets (from direct observations and stable isotopes; Garman et al. 2010). Based on census data 599 600 and bioenergetics modeling, fish consumption by bald eagles and osprey was estimated at 0.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for the James tidal fresh segment. Taking into account the contribution of catfish to the 601 602 diet of bald eagles and osprey (~35%) yields an estimate of catfish biomass removal of 77 kg ha<sup>-</sup>  $^{1}$  y<sup>-1</sup>, which is ~9-fold higher than for commercial fisheries. With further corrections for the 603 moisture content (75%; Cresson et al. 2017) and C content of fish tissues (45%; Tanner et al. 604 2000), the total catfish removal by birds and commercial fishing is  $0.96 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ . Their 605 trophic position in the James (trophic level = 3.1; Orth et al. 2017) suggests a production 606 efficiency of ~1% (Ruegg et al. 2021), which yields an estimated C demand to maintain this 607

level of production/harvest of 96 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. The C demand for this introduced species 608 corresponds to 15% of C available to consumers from allochthonous and autochthonous sources. 609 610 Stable isotope data indicate that catfish in the James tidal fresh obtain 9% of their C from autochthonous sources and 81% from allochthonous sources (Wood et al. 2016). Applying these 611 612 values suggests that 2% of GPP and 41% of allochthonous inputs are required to sustain current levels of catfish biomass removal from the James tidal fresh. The high rate of utilization for 613 614 allochthonous inputs is consistent with our prior finding that consumer-mediated recycling is an important component of nutrient supply, and may account for the lack of response in primary 615 production to large reductions in point source nutrient inputs (Wood et al. 2014). 616

#### 617 <u>4.5 Summary</u>

618 Relatively complete C budgets are relatively rare, in part due to the effort involved in quantifying 619 C fluxes from various sources (Hanson et al. 2015). This paper provides an accounting of C fluxes at the river-estuarine transition for three tributaries of Chesapeake over a span of years 620 621 and discharge conditions. The findings show that the relative importance of external (river 622 inputs & tidal exchange) vs. internal (metabolism) drivers differed among the three estuaries based on their physiographic setting and forms of primary production. Estuarine C forms were 623 influenced by variable contributions from upland (DIC-rich, POC-rich) and lowland (DOC-rich) 624 sources. Peak organic matter retention was associated with trapping of POC during high 625 discharge conditions. Tidal exchange was not an important component of the C budget, whereas 626 biological transformations via production and respiration were large in the phytoplankton-627 dominated James Estuary. Contrary to expectations, autochthonous sources accounted for the 628 bulk of organic matter inputs despite the large riverine influence on the upper estuary. 629 Commercial harvest data and previously derived estimates of piscivory by birds provided a basis 630 631 for estimating consumer C demand, albeit for a single dominant species, and at a coarse (annualized) scale. Further progress in aligning C flows to food web energetics depends on the 632 availability of production data for a greater range of consumers and at shorter time intervals. 633 Bringing together C mass balance, ecosystem metabolism and consumer production data would 634 enable a potentially powerful approach for advancing our understanding of how the timing and 635 sources of C inputs constrain trophic energetics. 636

638 Acknowledgements

- 639 Thanks to Samantha Rogers who drafted figures for this paper, to D. Hopler, S. Tassone and W.
- 640 M. Lee who carried out the field and lab work, and to Donald Orth for helpful discussions
- regarding catfish in the James. I am grateful to the USGS for providing discharge, DOC and
- 642 POC data for Fall Line stations and to the Virginia Institute of Marine Science for making
- available dissolved oxygen data from the Pamunkey. This paper is dedicated to Jon Cole in
- appreciation for his ability to turn numbers into interesting stories.
- 645 Data availability
- 646 Data can be accessed upon request to the corresponding author.
- 647 Competing interests
- 648 The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

- 650 Reference List
- 651
- Alin, S. R., F. L. de Fatima, M. Rasera, C. I. Salimon, J. E. Richey, G. W. Holtgrieve, A. V.
  Krusche, and A. Snidvongs 2011. Physical controls on carbon dioxide transfer velocity
  and flux in low-gradient river systems and implications for regional carbon budgets.
  Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 116: G01009.
- Amann, T., A. Weiss, and J. Hartmann. 2012. Carbon dynamics in the freshwater part of the
   Elebe estuary, Germany: Implications of improving water quality. Estuarine, Coastal and
   Shelf Science 107: 112-121.
- Amann, T., A. Weiss, and J. Hartmann. 2015. Inorganic carbon fluxes in the inner Elbe Estuary,
   Germany. Estuaries and Coasts 38: 192-210.
- Basu, B. K., and F. R. Pick 1996. Factors regulating phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass in
   temperate rivers. Limnology and Oceanography 41: 1572-1577.
- Bianchi, T. S. 2011. The role of terrestrially derived organic carbon in the coastal ocean: a
   changing paradigm and the priming effect. Proceedings of the National Academy of
   Sciences USA 108: 19473-19481.
- Borges, A. V., B. Delille, L.-S. Schiettecatte, F. Gazeau, G. Abril, and M. Frankignoulle 2004.
  Gas transfer velocities of CO2 in three European estuaries (Randers Fjord, Scheldt, and Thames). Limnology and Oceanography 49: 1630-1641.
- Brett, M. T., M. Kainz, S. Taipale, and H. Seshan 2009. Phytoplankton, not allochthonous
  carbon, sustains herbivorous zooplankton production. Proceedings of the National
  Academy of Sciences USA 106: 21197-21201.
- Brodeur, J. R., B. Chen, J. Su, Y.-Y. Xu, N. Hussain, K. M. Scaboo, Y. Zhang, J. M. Testa, and
  W.-J. Cai 2019. Chesapeake Bay inorganic carbon: distribution and seasonal variability.
  Frontiers in Marine Science 6: 99.
- Bukaveckas, P. A., L. E. Barry, M. J. Beckwith, V. David, and B. Lederer 2011. Factors
  determining the location of the chlorophyll maximum and the fate of algal production
  within the tidal freshwater James River. Estuaries and Coasts 34: 569-582.
- Bukaveckas, P. A., and W. N. Isenberg. 2013. Loading, transformation and retention of nitrogen
  and phosphorus in the tidal freshwater James River (Virginia). Estuaries and Coasts 36:
  1219-1236.
- Bukaveckas, P. A., and J. D. Wood 2014. Nitrogen retention in a restored tidal stream (Kimages
   Creek, VA) assessed by mass balance and tracer approaches. Journal of Environmental
   Quality 43: 1614-1623.
- Bukaveckas, P. A., M. Beck, D. Devore, and W. M. Lee 2018. Climate variability and its role in
  regulating C, N and P retention in the James River Estuary. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
  Science 205: 161-173.
- Bukaveckas, P. A., M. Katarzyte, A. Schlegel, R. Spuriene, T. A. Egerton, and D. Vaiciute 2019.
  Composition and settling properties of suspended particulate matter in estuaries of the
  Chesapeake Bay and Baltic Sea regions. Journal of Soils and Sediments 19: 2580-2593.

690 691 692	Bukaveckas, P. A., S. Tassone, W. M. Lee, and R. B. Franklin 2020. The influence of storm events on metabolism and water quality of riverine and estuarine segments of the James, Mattaponi and Pamunkey Rivers. Estuaries and Coasts 43: 1585-1602.
693 694 695	Butman, D., S. Stackpoole, E. G. Stets, C. P. McDonald, D. W. Clow, and R. G. Striegl 2016. Aquatic carbon cycling in the conterminous United States and implications for terrestrial carbon accounting. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 113: 58-63.
696 697	Caffrey, J. M. 2003. Production, respiration and net ecosystem metabolism in U.S. estuaries. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 81: 207-219.
698 699	Caffrey, J. M. 2004. Factors controlling net ecosystem metabolism in U.S. estuaries. Estuaries 27: 90-101.
700 701 702	Cai, WJ., and Y. Wang 1998. The chemistry, fluxes and sources of carbon dioxide in the estuarine waters of the Satilla and Altamaha Rivers, Georgia. Limnology and Oceanography 43: 657-668.
703 704 705 706	Cole, J. J., Y. T. Prairie, N. F. Caraco, W. H. McDowell, L. J. Tranvik, R. G. Striegl, C. M. Duarte, P. Kortelainen, J. A. Downing, J. J. Middelburg, and J. M. Melack 2007. Plumbing the global carbon cycle: integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget. Ecosystems 10: 171-184.
707 708 709	Crawford, J. T., D. Butman, L. C. Loken, P. Stadler, C. Kuhn, and R. G. Striegl 2017. Spatial variability of CO2 concentrations and biogeochemistry in the Lower Columbia River. Inland Waters 7: 417-427.
710 711 712 713	Cresson, P., M. Travers-Trolet, M. Rouquette, C-A. Timmerman, C. Giraldo, S. Lefebvre, and B. Ernande. 2017. Underestimation of chemical contamination in marine fish muscle tissue can be reduced by considering variable wet:dry weight ratios. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 123: 279-285.
714 715 716 717	Crosswell, J. R., I. C. Anderson, J. W. Stanhope, B. Van Dam, M. J. Brush, S. H. Ensign, M. F. Piehler, B. McKee, M. Bost, and H. W. Paerl 2017. Carbon budget of a shallow lagoonal estuary: transformations and source-sink dynamics along the river-estuary-ocean continuum. Limnology and Oceanography 62: S29-S45.
718 719 720	Fabrizio, M. C., T. D. Tuckey, R. J. Latour, G. C. White, and A. J. Norris 2018. Tidal habitats support large numbers of invasive blue catfish in a Chesapeake Bay sub-estuary. Estuaries and Coasts 41: 827-840.
721 722 723 724 725	Garman, G., C. Viverette, B. Watts, and S. Macko. 2010. Predator-prey Interactions among Fish-eating Birds and selected Fishery Resources in the Chesapeake Bay: Temporal and Spatial Trends and Implications for Fishery Assessment and Management. William & Mary Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report #349. https://scholarworks.wm.edu/ccb_reports/349.
726 727	Gellis, A.C. and others. 2009. Sources, transport, and storage of sediment in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2008–5186.
728 729 730	Greenlee, R. S., and C. N. Lim 2011. Searching for equilibrium: population parameters and variable recruitment in introduced blue catfish populations in four Virginia tidal river systems. American Fisheries Society Symposium 77: 349-367.

731	Hanson, P. C., M. L. Pace, S. R. Carpenter, J. J. Cole, and E. H. Stanley 2015. Integrating
732	landscape carbon cycling: research needs for resolving organic carbon budgets of lakes.
733	Ecosystems 18: 363-375.
734 735	Hasler, C. T., D. Butman, J. D. Jeffrey, and C. D. Suski 2016. Freshwater biota and rising pCO2? Ecology Letters 19: 98-108.
736	Henderson, R. and P.A. Bukaveckas. 2021. Factors governing light attenuation in upper
737	segments of the James and York Estuaries and their influence on primary producers.
738	Estuaries & Coasts https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-021-00983-6.
739	Hilling, C. D., A. J. Bunch, J. A. Emmel, J. D. Schmitt, and D. J. Orth 2019. Growth and
740	mortality of invasive flathead catfish in the tidal James River, Virginia. Journal of Fish
741	and Wildlife Management 10: 641-652.
742	Hoellein, T. J., D. A. Bruesewitz, and D. C. Richardson 2013. Revisiting Odum (1956): a
743	synthesis of aquatic ecosystem metabolism. Limnology and Oceanography 58: 2089-
744	2100.
745	Hoffman, J. C., D. A. Bronk, and J. E. Olney 2008. Organic matter sources supporting lower
746	food web production in the tidal freshwater portion of the York River estuary. Estuaries
747	and Coasts 31: 898-911.
748 749 750	Hotchkiss, E. R., S. Sadro, and P. C. Hanson 2018. Toward a more integrative perspective on carbon metabolism across lentic and lotic inland waters. Limnology and Oceanography: Letters 3: 57-63.
751 752	Hoitink, A. J. F., and D. A. Jay 2016. Tidal river dynamics: implications for deltas. Reviews of Geophysics 54: 240-272.
753 754	Holgerson, M. A., and P. A. Raymond 2016. Large contribution to inland water CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions from very small ponds. Nature Geoscience doi: 10.1038/ngeo2654.
755	Hupp, C. R., A. R. Pierce, and G. B. Noe 2009. Floodplain geomorphic processes and
756	environmental impacts of human alteration along Coastal Plain rivers, USA. Wetlands
757	29: 413-429.
758 759	Jansson, M., J. Karlsson, and A. Jonsson 2012. Carbon dioxide super-saturation promotes primary production in lakes. Ecology Letters 15: 527-532.
760 761	Joesoef, A., D. L. Kirchman, C. K. Sommerfield, and WJ. Cai 2017. Seasonal variability of the inorganic carbon system in a large coastal plain estuary. Biogeosciences 14: 4949-4963.
762 763 764	Jones, A. E., B. R. Hodges, J. W. McClelland, A. K. Hardison, and K. B. Moffett 2017. Residence-time-based classification of surface water systems. Water Resources Research 53: 5567-5584.
765	Jones, A. E., A. K. Hardison, B. R. Hodges, J. W. McClelland, and K. B. Moffett 2020. Defining
766	a riverine tidal freshwater zone and its spatiotemporal dynamics. Water Resources
767	Research 56: e2019WRR026619.
768	Lake, S.J., M.J. Brush, I.C. Anderson, and H.I. Kator. 2013. Internal versus external drivers of
769	periodic hypoxia in a coastal plain tributary estuary: the York River, Virginia. Marine
770	Ecology Progress Series 492: 21-39.

771 772 773	Lionard, M., K. Muylaert, A. Hanoutti, T. Maris, M. Tackx, and W. Vyverman 2008. Inter- annual variability in phytoplankton summer blooms in the freshwater tidal reahes of the Schelde estuary (Belgium). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 79: 694-700.
774 775	Low-Decarie, E., G. Bell, and G. F. Fussman 2015. CO2 alters community composition and response to nutrient enrichment of freshwater phytoplankton. Oecologia 177: 875-883.
776 777 778	Lucas, L. V., J. K. Thompson, and L. R. Brown 2009. Why are diverse relationships observed between phytoplankton biomass and transport time? Limnology and Oceanography 54: 381-390.
779 780	Meybeck, M. 2003. Global analyses of river systems: from Earth system controls to Anthropocene syndromes. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 358: 1935-1955.
781 782	Middelburg, J. J., and P. M. J. Herman 2007. Organic matter processing in tidal estuaries. Marine Chemistry 106: 127-147.
783 784 785	Morton, R., and B. L. Henderson 2008. Estimation of non-linear trends in water quality: an improved approach using generalized additive models. Water Resources Research 44: W07420.
786 787 788	Murphy, R. R., E. Perry, J. Harcum, and J. Keisman 2019. A Generalized Additive Model approach to evaluating water quality: Chesapeake Bay case study. Environmental Modelling and Software 118: 1-13.
789 790 791	Muylaert, K., M. Tackx, and W. Vyverman 2005. Phytoplankton growth rates in the tidal freshwater reaches of the Schelde estuary (Belgium) estimated using a simple light-limited primary production model. Hydrobiologia 540: 127-140.
792 793	Noe, G. B., and C. R. Hupp 2009. Retention of riverine sediment and nutrient loads by Coastal Plain floodplains. Ecosystems 12: 728-746.
794 795 796 797	Orth, D.J., Y. Jiao, J.D. Schmidt, C.D. Hilling, J.A. Emmel and M.C. Fabrizio. 2017. Dynamics and Role of Non-native Blue Catfish <i>Ictalurus furcatus</i> in Virginia's Tidal Waters. Final Report submitted to Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.35917.54246.
798 799 800	Pace, M. L., S. E. G. Findlay, and D. Lints 1992. Zooplankton in advective environments: the Hudson River community and a comparative analyses. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 49: 1060-1069.
801 802	Patrick, C. J., and others 2020. A system level analysis of coastal ecosystem responses to hurricane impacts. Estuaries and Coasts 43: 943-959.
803 804 805	Qin, Q., and J. Shen 2017. The contribution of local and transport processes to phytoplankton biomass variability over different time scales in the Upper James River, Virginia. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 196: 123-133.
806 807 808	Raymond, P. A., J. E. Bauer, and J. J. Cole 2000. Atmospheric CO2 evasion, dissolved inorganic carbon production, and net heterotrophy in the York River estuary. Limnology and Oceanography 45: 1707-1717.
809 810	Raymond, P. A., N. F. Caraco, and J. J. Cole 1997. Carbon dioxide concentration and atmospheric flux in the Hudson River. Estuaries 20: 381-390.

- Raymond, P. A., and J. J. Cole 2001. Gas exchange in rivers and estuaries: Choosing a gas
   transfer velocity. Estuaries 24: 312-317.
- Raymond, P. A., J. Hartmann, R. Lauerwald, S. Sobek, C. P. McDonald, M. Hoover, D. Butman,
  R. G. Striegl, E. Mayorga, C. Humborg, P. Kortelainen, H. Durr, M. Meybeck, P. Ciais,
  and P. Guth 2017. Global carbon dioxide emissions from inland waters. Nature 503: 355359.
- Reiman, J. H., and Y. J. Xu 2019. Diel variability of PCO2 and CO2 outgassing from the lower
   Mississippi River: implications for riverine CO2 outgassing estimation. Water 11: 43.
- Richey, J. E., J. M. Melack, A. Aufdenkampe, V. M. Ballester, and L. L. Hess 2002. Outgassing
  from Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO2.
  Nature 416: 617-620.
- Robson, B. J., P. A. Bukaveckas, and D. P. Hamilton 2008. Modelling and mass balance
  assessments of nutrient retention in a seasonally-flowing estuary (Swan River Estuary,
  Western Australia). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 76: 282-292.
- Ruegg, J., C. C. Conn, E. P. Anderson, T. J. Battin, E. S. Bernhardt, M. B. Canadell, S. M.
  Bonjour, J. D. Hosen, N. S. Marzolf, and C. B. Yackulic 2021. Thinking like a consumer:
  linking aquatic basal metabolism and consumer dynamics. Limnology and
  Oceanography: Letters 6: 1-17.
- Schmitt, J. D., B. K. Peoples, L. Castello, and D. J. Orth 2019. Feeding ecology of generalist
   consumers: a case study of invasive blue catfish Ictalurus furcatus in Chesapeake Bay,
   Virginia, USA. Environmental Biology of Fishes 102: 443-465.
- Sellers, T., and P. A. Bukaveckas 2003. Phytoplankton production in a large, regulated river: A
   modeling and mass balance assessment. Limnology and Oceanography 48: 1476-1487.
- Shen, J., and J. Lin 2006. Modeling study of the influences of tide and stratification on age of
  water in the tidal James River. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 68: 101-112.
- Soballe, D. M., and B. L. Kimmel 1987. A large-scale comparison of factors influencing
   phytoplankton abundance in rivers, lakes, and impoundments. Ecology 68: 1943-1954.
- Steen, A.D., L. M. Quigley and A. Buchan. 2015. Evidence for the priming effect in a
  planktonic estuarine microbial community. Frontiers in Marine Science 3:6.
  doi:10.3389/fmars.2016.00006.
- Tassone, S., and P. A. Bukaveckas 2019. Seasonal, interannual and longitudinal patterns in
  estuarine metabolism derived from diel oxygen data using multiple computational
  approaches. Estuaries and Coasts 42: 1032-1051.
- Thorp, J. H., and R. E. Bowes 2017. Carbon sources in riverine food webs: new evidence from
   amino acid isotope techniques. Ecosystems 20: 1029-1041.
- Tranvik, L. J., J. A. Downing, J. B. Cotner, and others 2009. Lakes and reservoirs as regulators
   of carbon cycling and climate. Limnology and Oceanography 54: 2298-2314.
- Tranvik, L. J., J. J. Cole, and Y. T. Prairie 2018. The study of carbon in inland waters from
  isolated ecosystems to players in the global carbon cycle. Limnology and Oceanography:
  Letters 3: 41-48.

- Van Dam, B. R., J. R. Crosswell, and H. W. Paerl 2018. Flood-driven CO2 emissions from
  adjacent North Carolina estuaries during Hurricane Joaquin (2015). Marine Chemistry
  207: 1-12.
- Vincent, W. F., J. J. Dodson, N. Bertrand, and J.-J. Frenette 1996. Photosynthetic and bacterial
   production gradients in a larval fish nursery: The St. Lawrence River transition zone.
   Marine Ecology Progress Series 139: 227-238.
- Volta, C., G. G. Laruelle, and P. Regnier 2016. Regional carbon and CO2 budgets of North Sea
   tidal estuaries. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 176: 76-90.
- Vorosmarty, C. J., M. Meybeck, B. M. Fekete, K. P. Sharma, P. Green, and J. P. M. Syvitski
   2003. Anthropogenic sediment retention: major global impact from registered river
   impoundments. Global and Planetary Change 39: 169-190.
- Ward, N. D. and others. 2016. The reactivity of plant-derived organic matter and the potential
   importance of priming effects in the lower Amazon River. JGR-Biogeosciences 121:
   1522–1539.
- Ward, N. D., T. S. Bianchi, P. M. Medeiros, M. Seidel, J. E. Richey, R. G. Keil, and H. O.
  Sawakuchi 2017. Where carbon goes when water flows: carbon cycling across the
  aquatic continuum. Frontiers in Marine Science 4: 7.
- Wiik, E., H. A. Haig, N. M. Hayes, K. Finlay, G. L. Simpson, R. J. Vogt, and P. R. Leavitt 2021.
   Generalized additive models of climatic and metabolic controls of subannual variation in
   pCO2 in productive hardwater lakes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences
   123: 1940-1959.
- Wood, J. D., and P. A. Bukaveckas 2014. Increasing severity of phytoplankton nutrient
  limitation following reductions in point source inputs to the tidal freshwater segment of
  the James River Estuary. Estuaries and Coasts 37: 1188-1201.
- Wood, J. D., D. Elliott, G. C. Garman, D. Hopler, W. Lee, S. McIninch, A. J. Porter, and P. A.
  Bukaveckas 2016. Autochthony, allochthony and the role of consumers in influencing the sensitivity of aquatic systems to nutrient enrichment. Food Webs 7: 1-12.
- Wood, S., 2006. Generalized Additive Models: an Introduction with R, 1 ed. Chapman and
   Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- Wymore, A. S., H. M. Fazekas, and W. H. McDowell 2021. Quantifying the frequency of
   synchronous carbon and nitrogen export to the river network. Biogeochemistry 152: 1-12.
- Xu, X., and others 2021. Tidal freshwater zones as hotspots for biogeochemical cycling:
  sediment organic matter decomposition in the lower reaches of two South Texas rivers.
  Estuaries and Coasts 44 : 722-733.
- Yang, G., and D. L. Moyer 2020. Estimation of non-linear water quality trends in high-frequency
   monitoring data. Science of the Total Environment 715: 136686.
- Young, M., E. Hoew, T. O'Rear, K. Berridge, and P. Moyle 2021. Food web fuel differs across
  habitats and seasons of a tidal freshwater estuary. Estuaries and Coasts 44: 286-301.

Zarnetske, J. P., M. Bouda, B. W. Abbott, J. Saiers, and P. A. Raymond 2018. Generality of
 hydrologic transport limitation of watershed organic carbon flux across ecoregions of the
 United States. Geophysical Research Letters 45: 11702-11711.

- Table 1. Data collection sites for this study include USGS Fall Line gauging stations (Q denotes
- discharge), estuarine sampling sites and an ungauged Coastal Plain tributary of the James
- 894 (Kimages Creek). Station numbers denote distance in river miles from the confluence with
- 895 Chesapeake Bay (James) or the York (Pamunkey and Mattaponi). Observations denote the
- number of sampling dates for water chemistry within the specified time span.

Tributary	Segment	Stations	Parameters	Years	Observations	Source
James	River	JMS110	Q, DOC, POC	2010-19	197	USGS (02037500)
		JMS110	Cl, DIC, pCO <sub>2</sub>	2012-19	189	This Study
	Estuary	JMS99,75,69,56	Cl, DOC, POC, DIC, pCO <sub>2</sub>	2015-19	105	This Study
	Ungauged	Kimages Creek	Cl, DOC, POC, DIC, pCO2	2012-19	211	This Study
Pamunkey	River	PMK82	Q, DOC, POC	2010-19	202	USGS (01673000)
	Estuary	PMK50,39,6	DOC, POC, DIC, pCO <sub>2</sub>	2017-19	60	This Study
Mattaponi	River	MPN54	Q, DOC, POC	2010-19	203	USGS (01674500)
	Estuary	MPN36,29,4	DOC, POC, DIC, pCO <sub>2</sub>	2017-19	60	This Study

899	Table 2. GAM analysis of seasonal (day of year; DOY), inter-annual (date) and discharge
900	dependent variation in river, tributary and estuarine DOC, POC, DIC, pCO2 and Cl. Data are for
901	riverine and upper estuarine segments of the James, Mattaponi and Pamunkey as well as a local
902	(below Fall Line) tributary (Kimages Creek). Statistics include the adjusted R <sup>2</sup> , root mean
903	square error (RMSE as mg $L^{-1}$ , except pCO <sub>2</sub> = ppmv), and significance of s values with their
904	effective degrees of freedom (** denotes $p < 0.001$ ; * $p < 0.05$ ).

Model	Fraction	Site	Adj R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	s(DOY)	s(date)	s(discharge)
River	DOC	James	0.50	0.82	3.42**	8.52**	3.00**
		Mattaponi	0.81	1.00	5.66**	8.93**	5.43**
		Pamunkey	0.67	1.06	4.64**	8.61**	5.54**
	POC	James	0.76	1.74	3.67**	7.89**	8.20**
		Mattaponi	0.38	0.61	3.99**	6.34	6.25**
		Pamunkey	0.51	1.08	2.39**	8.95**	7.79**
	DIC	James	0.44	4.19	2.42**	7.89**	8.20**
	pCO <sub>2</sub>	James	0.67	149	3.37**	6.43**	3.59**
	Cl	James	0.48	4.36	7.23**	8.30**	6.73**
Tributary	DOC	Kimages	0.33	3.22	4.70**	8.26**	NA
	POC	Kimages	0.24	0.57	4.61**	7.63**	NA
	DIC	Kimages	0.19	3.00	0.41	8.26**	NA
	Cl	Kimages	0.23	8.63	6.46**	6.48**	NA
Estuary	DOC	James	0.13	3.44	4.29	1.96	1.91*
		Mattaponi	0.27	2.37	5.65	3.42**	1.00
		Pamunkey	0.27	2.61	5.94*	3.95**	1.00
	POC	James	0.75	0.22	5.77**	2.64**	3.68**
		Mattaponi	0.14	0.53	1.79*	1.00	4.13**
		Pamunkey	0.40	0.30	2.46**	1.27	7.59**
	DIC	James	0.76	1.55	1.27**	4.41**	2.50**
		Mattaponi	0.74	2.05	1.74**	2.27**	1.48**
		Pamunkey	0.68	2.10	1.30*	3.16**	1.00**
	pCO <sub>2</sub>	James	0.40	241	5.84**	3.48	2.38*
		Mattaponi	0.82	367	3.31**	2.65**	4.14**
		Pamunkey	0.81	357	3.81**	2.73**	4.01**
	Cl	James	0.46	24.7	6.26**	8.54**	6.97**

- 908 Figure 1. Map showing USGS discharge gauging locations, estuarine sampling sites and
- 909 continuous dissolved oxygen monitoring locations on the Mattaponi, Pamunkey and James.
- 910 Inset: James and York watersheds in relation to physiographic provinces.






Figure 2. Seasonal variation in instantaneous discharge measured at the Fall Line of the James, Mattaponi and Pamunkey Rivers. Here and in subsequent figures, symbols denote median (bar), 25 and 75 %-tiles (box), 5 and 95 %-tiles (whiskers) and outliers (dots).



Estuary (upper panel) and Cl fluxes associated with river inputs, estuarine export and net Figure 3. Time series of Cl concentrations in the tidal fresh segment of the James tidal exchange (lower panels).






Figure 4. Results from GAM analysis depicting changes in riverine DOC, POC and DIC as a function of discharge (Q) for the James Mattaponi and Pamunkey Rivers.




Figure 5. Results from GAM analysis depicting the effects of discharge (Q) on estuarine DOC, POC and DIC for the James Mattaponi and Pamunkey Estuaries. Concentrations are volume-weighted averages among estuarine sampling locations.

Figure 6. Results from GAM analysis depicting seasonal (day of year; DOY), inter-annual (decimal date) and discharge dependent variation in pCO<sub>2</sub> of the James, Mattaponi and Pamunkey Estuaries. Analyses were based on volume-weighted averages from 3-4 sampling locations in each estuary.






Figure 7. Monthly average values of air-water  $CO_2$  fluxes for the James, Mattaponi and Pamunkey Estuaries. Positive values denote efflux of  $CO_2$  from the estuary.



estuarine retention for the tidal freshwater segment of the James Estuary (note differences in y axis scaling). Negative values for Figure 8. Seasonal variation in DOC, POC and DIC fluxes associated with riverine inputs, estuarine export, tidal exchange and estuarine retention denote a net loss. DIC retention estimates take into account atmospheric losses of CO<sub>2</sub>.








Figure 9. River input and estuarine export fluxes of DOC and POC for the Pamunkey (PMK) and Mattaponi (MPN) estuaries.





z

0

S

∢

7

~

FMAM

7

0

z 0 S

> A 7 7

MAW

ш

7

0

z

0

S

∢

~

~

≥

∢

≥ ш

7

ò

ō




Figure 11. Carbon pools and fluxes within the tidal fresh segment of the James Estuary during winter (Jan-May) and summer (June-Sept). Inputs include riverine, local tributary and point source contributions; exports include tidal exchange and atmospheric losses of CO<sub>2</sub>. Carbon pools (boxes) are g C m<sup>-2</sup>; fluxes (arrows) are g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>.