1

Late Neogene evolution of modern deep-dwelling plankton

- Flavia Boscolo-Galazzo^{1‡*}, Amy Jones², Tom Dunkley Jones², Katherine A. Crichton¹, Bridget
 S. Wade³, Paul N. Pearson¹
- ¹Cardiff University, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Cardiff (UK).
- ²Birmingham University, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Birmingham
 (UK).

³University College London, Department of Earth Sciences, London (UK).

8 ‡Now at Bergen University, Department of Earth Science and Bjerknes Center for Climate
9 Research, Bergen (Norway).

10 ¹Now at Exeter University, Department of Geography, Exeter (UK)

11 *corresponding author: flavia.boscologalazzo@uib.no

12

13 The fossil record of marine microplankton provides insights into the evolutionary drivers which 14 led to the origin of modern deep-water plankton, one of the largest component of ocean biomass. 15 We use global abundance and biogeographic data combined with depth habitat reconstructions to 16 determine the environmental mechanisms behind speciation in two groups of pelagic microfossils 17 over the past 15 million years. We compare our microfossil datasets with water column profiles 18 simulated in an Earth System model. We show that deep-living planktonic foraminiferal 19 (zooplankton) and calcareous nannofossil (mixotroph phytoplankton) species were virtually absent globally during the peak of the middle Miocene warmth. Evolution of deep-dwelling planktonic 20 21 foraminifera started from subpolar-midlatitude species during late Miocene cooling, via allopatry. 22 Deep-dwelling species subsequently spread towards lower latitudes and further diversified via 23 depth sympatry, establishing modern communities stratified hundreds of meters down the water 24 column. Similarly, sub-euphotic zone specialist calcareous nannofossils become a major 25 component of tropical and sub-tropical assemblages during the latest Miocene to early Pliocene. Our model simulations suggest that increased organic matter and oxygen availability for 26

planktonic foraminifera, and increased nutrients and light penetration for nannoplankton, favored
the evolution of new deep water niches. These conditions resulted from global cooling and the
associated increase in the efficiency of the biological pump over the last 15 million years.

30 1. Introduction

31 The biodiversity of planktonic and nektonic organisms is difficult to explain given the uniform character and vastness of pelagic environments, where genetic isolation seems difficult to 32 maintain (Norris, 2000). Planktonic microorganisms with mineralized shells have often been used 33 34 as a model to study the mode and tempo of species origination in the open ocean, due to the 35 abundance, widespread distribution, and temporal continuity of their fossil record (e.g., Pearson et 36 al., 1997; Norris, 2000; Bown et al., 2004; Ezard et al., 2011; Norris et al., 2013). Because of the 37 great fossilization potential of their calcium carbonate tests across much of the global ocean, their 38 relatively simple and well-established taxonomy, and highly resolved biostratigraphy, planktonic 39 foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils are amongst the most thoroughly studied . Planktonic foraminifera are heterotrophic zooplankton, with different species specialized to feed on different 40 41 types of food, from other plankton to sinking detritus. In the modern ocean, planktonic 42 foraminifera live stratified across a range of depths spanning from the surface to hundreds of meters down the water column (Rebotim et al., 2017; Meilland et al., 2019). Properties such as 43 food quantity and quality, oxygen, light and pressure all change markedly across the first few 44 45 hundreds of meters of the ocean. Depending on such down-column variability in environmental conditions, planktonic foraminifera can actively control their living depth of preference, which 46 47 remains relatively stable during their adult life-stage (Hull et al., 2011; Weiner et al., 2012; 48 Rebotim et al., 2017; Meilland et al., 2019; Duan et al., 2021). A key advantage of using planktonic foraminifera for evolutionary studies is the ability to extract ecological information from their shell 49

chemistry. This provides invaluable information about species-specific functional ecology (e.g.,
feeding strategy) and habitat preferences (e.g., surface versus deep waters), which in combination
with biogeographic, taxonomic, biometric, and stratigraphic data have often been used to infer
speciation and extinction mechanisms (Norris et al., 1993; Norris et al., 1994; Pearson et al., 1997;
Hull and Norris, 2009; Pearson and Coxall, 2012; Woodhouse et al., 2021) and reconstruct
phylogenetic relationships (Aze et al., 2011).

Calcareous nannoplankton also have a highly resolved and continuous fossil record; they 56 57 are the most abundant microfossils in oceanic pelagic sediments, and similar to planktonic 58 foraminifera, their spatial distribution ranges from tropical to subpolar latitudes (Poulton et al. 2017). In the modern ocean they also live stratified in the water column, with species adapted to 59 euphotic waters, and species adapted to live deeper (Poulton et al., 2017). In contrast to planktonic 60 61 foraminifera, nannoplankton are predominantly autotrophic, performing photosynthesis in water 62 where light penetration is sufficient, although there is evidence for heterotrophy (mixotrophic 63 behavior) in some extant (Godrjian et al., 2020) and fossil (Gibbs et al., 2020) species. In euphotic waters, organic matter production from nannoplankton is at the base of pelagic food chains and of 64 the functioning of the ocean biological carbon pump. Taxonomic, biometric and stratigraphic data 65 66 have been used to establish phylogenetic relationships between fossil nannoplankton species 67 (Young and Bown, 1997).

Little emphasis has been given to the long-term drivers of evolutionary patterns observed in fossil plankton from species to phylum level, although more recently, a broad connection with changing climate and ocean properties has been suggested (e.g., Ezard et al., 2011; Norris et al., 2013; Frass et al., 2015; Henderiks et al., 2020; Lowery et al., 2020). Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021) showed that over the last 15 million years the remineralization of particulate organic 73 carbon (POC) in surface waters declined markedly driven by climate and ocean cooling (Kennett 74 and Von der Borch, 1985; Kennett and Exon, 2004; Cramer et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014; Herbert 75 et al., 2016; Sosdian et al., 2018; Super et al., 2020), increasing the efficiency of the ocean biological pump in delivering organic matter at depth. Such a mechanism was key to promote the 76 77 evolution of life in deep waters, allowing the development of the modern twilight zone ecosystem 78 (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021). The goal of this study is to combine the fossil record of 79 two ecologically complementary calcareous microplankton groups seldom analyzed together, 80 planktonic foraminifera and nannoplankton, and together with model simulations, help disentangle 81 the evolutionary drivers of modern deep-dwelling plankton. We use the planktonic foraminiferal 82 dataset from Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021) and extend our analysis to calcareous 83 nannofossils in coeval sediment samples to assess their abundance and distribution pattern. We compare the results from these two groups and contrast them against time and site-specific model 84 85 water column profiles for POC and oxygen (O_2) obtained from the cGENIE Earth System model. 86 Further, using stable isotopes, depth habitat reconstructions, abundance and biogeography data we reconstruct the speciation mechanisms which led to the evolution of modern deep-dwelling 87 planktonic foraminiferal species. 88

89

90 **2. Methods**

91 **2.1 Planktonic foraminifera**

In this study we focus on the deep-dwelling groups of macroperforate planktonic foraminifera of the hirsutellids, globorotaliids, truncorotaliids and globoconellids, which in the modern ocean calcify and live mostly in the twilight zone of the ocean, i.e. between 200-1000 m (Birch et al., 96 2012; Rebotim et al., 2017), and have a more complete fossil record than deep-dwelling
97 microperforate planktonic foraminifera (Kennett and Srinivasan, 1983).

98 Planktonic foraminiferal data and depth habitat reconstructions (Fig. 1) are from Boscolo-99 Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021). They were obtained from globally and latitudinally distributed 100 DSDP (Deep Sea Drilling Project)/ODP (Ocean Drilling Program)/IODP (Integrated Ocean 101 Drilling Program & International Ocean Discovery Program) sites and from cores drilled onshore 102 and offshore Tanzania, all characterized by abundant calcareous microfossils (Boscolo-Galazzo, 103 Crichton et al., 2021). The work was focused on seven target ages (15 Ma, 12.5 Ma, 10 Ma, 7.5 104 Ma, 4.5 Ma, 2.5 Ma, 0 Ma/Holocene). To avoid sample-aliasing, bulk sediment stable isotopes 105 were measured on an average of ten samples per target age at each site. The sample displaying 106 mean oxygen stable isotope values was chosen for subsequent analyses (Boscolo-Galazzo, 107 Crichton et al., 2021). Taxonomy follows: Berggren (1977), Kennett and Srinivasan (1983), Bolli 108 et al. (1989), Scott et al. (1990), Berggren (1992), Pearson (1995), Majewski (2010), Fox and 109 Wade (2013), Spezzaferri et al. (2015), Wade et al. (2018), Lam and Leckie, (2020a), with 110 phylogenetic genus names from Aze et al. (2011).

Ages were determined based on biostratigraphic analysis mostly following the biozonation
scheme by Wade et al. (2011).

For aminiferal picking for stable isotope measurements were conducted from the size fractions: 180-250 μ m, 250-300 μ m, 300-355 μ m (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021). Stable isotopes were measured on an average of 15 different species per sample, using ~25 specimens for common species, and as many specimens as possible for rare species. Stable isotopes were measured at Cardiff University. Stable isotope results are shown in Fig. S1 to S9 in the Supplement. Only data from the largest of the three measured size fractions are shown when data 119 from more than one size fraction are available. Data from size fractions other than those above are 120 shown only when a species did not occur within the preferred size interval. Foraminiferal 121 abundance counts were carried out in two size fractions, 180-250 μ m and >250 μ m, counting up 122 to 300 specimens in each. Total abundances were derived by summing up abundances from these 123 two size fractions.

Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021) reconstructed planktonic foraminiferal depth habitat (Fig. 1) using a combined model-data approach, solving the paleotemperature equation of Kim and O'Neill (1997) for each data point using measured foraminiferal δ^{18} O, global ice volume estimates, and the cGENIE modeled salinity field to determine local water δ^{18} O, and then use the model temperature-depth curve to determine depth. The full method is described in Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021).

130

131 2.2 Calcareous Nannofossils

132 Quantitative calcareous nannofossil data were collected from the same samples as used for 133 planktonic foraminiferal analysis or, when this was not possible, stratigraphically adjacent samples (Table S1 in the Supplement). A cascading count technique was used to maximise nannofossil 134 135 diversity recovery and quantification of low abundance species (Styzen, 1997). Nannofossils were counted per field of view (FOV) until a minimum of 400 specimens were achieved for each sample. 136 137 However, if a high abundance species exceeded an average of 25 specimens per FOV, it was 138 excluded from subsequent counts in that sample and its abundance scaled-up based on its average 139 abundance and the total numbers of FOV counted. Only specimens directly counted contributed 140 to the minimum count threshold of 400 specimens. An additional scan of two slide transects were 141 undertaken to record rare species not observed during the extended count and are included in the

total species richness and diversity analyses. Samples for nannofossil analysis were prepared using
the smear slide technique (Bown & Young, 1998). Calcareous nannofossils were observed using
both plane-polarised (PPL) and cross-polarised light (XPL) on a Zeiss Axioscope light-microscope
at x1000 magnification. Identification and taxonomy used herein follows Young et al. (1997) and
is coherent with the recent Neogene calcareous nannofossil taxonomy (Ciummelli et al., 2016;
Bergen et al., 2017; Blair et al., 2017; Boesiger et al., 2017; Browning et al., 2017; de Kaenel et
al., 2017).

149 **2.3 Plankton Ecogroups**

150 In order to compare the datasets obtained from the planktonic foraminiferal and nannofossil 151 analysis, we grouped species into ecogroups based on depth-habitat preferences. Planktonic 152 foraminiferal ecogroups are defined based on paleodepth habitat reconstructions from Boscolo-153 Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021): the euphotic zone ecogroups includes species with an average 154 depth habitat shallower than 200 m (the bottom of the euphotic zone), the twilight zone ecogroup 155 includes species with an average depth habitat coinciding with the twilight zone (200-1000 m). 156 The twilight zone ecogroup is largely composed of species within the globoconellids, the 157 *Globorotalia merotumida-tumida* lineage, the hirsutellids and the truncorotaliids, but also includes 158 species from other genera, such as Globigerinella calida, Globorotaloides hexagonus, G. 159 variabilis, and Pulleniatina obliquiloculata. Dentoglobigerina venezuelana has a changeable 160 depth habitat through time (Matsui et al., 2016; Wade et al., 2018); following the depth habitat 161 reconstructions from Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., (2021) it was grouped as euphotic zone 162 species for target ages 15, 12.5, 7.5, 4.5 Ma and as twilight zone species for target age 10 Ma. Species were excluded from the grouping when they are known to have a marked seasonality in 163 164 abundance and depth habitat (Globigerina bulloides, Globigerinella praesiphonifera and the

7

neogloboquadrinids) (e.g., Jonkers and Kucera, 2015; Greco et al., 2019), and if they were too rare
and depth habitat reconstruction was not possible (*Candeina nitida*).

167 Three ecogroups for calcareous nannofossils are used: upper-euphotic, lower-euphotic and 168 sub-euphotic. The upper-euphotic group is represented by: Discoaster spp., Rhabdosphaera 169 *xiphos*, *Reticulofenestra* spp. and *Gephyrocapsa* spp. (excluding *G. ericsonii*); the lower-euphotic 170 ecogroup contains: Rhabdosphaera clavigera, Gephyrocapsa ericsonii and Ceratolithus spp., 171 finally the subeuphotic ecogroup includes: Florisphaera profunda and Calciosolena murrayi. 172 Because species specific stable isotope measurements and depth habitat reconstructions are 173 difficult for calcareous nannofossils, species depth-habitat preference was assigned based on the 174 literature (Poulton et al., 2017; Tangunan et al., 2018). In particular, Poulton et al. (2017) described 175 vertically separated coccolithophores communities sampled during a meridional cruise in the 176 Atlantic Ocean. Here we use their criteria for assigning nannofossil species into ecogroups, 177 whereby in the upper-euphotic zone ecogroup we include species found to live in waters with 178 >10% surface irradiance, in the lower-euphotic zone ecogroup we group species found to live in 179 waters with 10-1% irradiance, and in the sub-euphotic zone ecogroup we group species found to 180 live in waters with <1%, i.e. too low to support photosynthesis (Poulton et al., 2017). Discoaster 181 become extinct in the early Pleistocene, therefore, its depth habitat remains under debate as the 182 group has no extant relative (Schueth and Bralower, 2015; Tangunan et al., 2018). However, 183 geochemical evidence from oxygen isotope values of *Discoaster* and planktonic foraminifera 184 (Globorotalia menardii, Dentoglobigerina altispira and Globigerinoides obliquus), reveal comparable values and signifies that Discoaster likely inhabited the upper euphotic zone 185 186 (Minoletti et al., 2001).

For each target age, the relative abundance of ecogroups was calculated summing up the abundance counts of all the individual species pertaining to an ecogroup at each site, hence, ecogroup abundance data represent global mean values. For both nannofossils and planktonic foraminifera, the percentage of each ecogroup per time bin was converted into pie-charts (Fig. 2-5). Diversity indexes for both foraminiferal and nannofossil ecogroup were calculated using the statistical software Past (Hammer et al., 2001) (Fig. 6).

2.4 cGENIE model

194 We extracted model output for Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) and oxygen 195 concentration from the cGENIE simulations for each of the seven target ages as described fully in 196 Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021). To facilitate a general discussion of near-surface changes, 197 we divided the data latitudinally by calculating the arithmetic mean for low latitudes ($<16^{\circ}$ 198 latitude), two mid-latitude bands (mid 1: 16° to 40° , mid 2: 40° to 56°) and high latitudes (> 56°). 199 The cGENIE simulations take account of changing boundary conditions including CO₂ forcing, 200 bathymetry and ocean circulation (Crichton et al., 2020). The model's ocean biological carbon 201 pump is temperature dependent, where temperature affects both nutrient uptake rates at the surface 202 and remineralization rates of sinking particulate organic matter down the water column (Crichton 203 et al., 2021).

204 **3. Results**

205 **3.1 Plankton Ecogroups**

For both calcareous nannoplankton and planktonic foraminifera, the variation in abundance of euphotic zone and deeper-dwelling ecogroups show global patterns recognised across sites. Additionally both group indicate a long-term directionality towards increased abundance of deepdwelling ecotypes. Among planktonic foraminifera, the twilight zone ecogroup increases in 210 abundance through time starting at 7.5 Ma (Fig. 6). The relative abundance of the twilight zone ecogroup in the middle Miocene is 15% and it increases to ~30% in the Holocene time slice (Fig. 211 212 6). The average abundance of the euphotic zone species ecogroup in the middle Miocene is 85% 213 and it decreases through time until reaching 60% in the Holocene (Fig. 6). In the twilight zone 214 ecogroup we observe an increase in the total number of species from about 1-2 species at 15 Ma, 215 to 14 species in the Holocene (Fig. 6). In the middle Miocene this group comprised 1/6 of the total 216 number of species in our samples, while in the Holocene it represents almost the half. All the 217 diversity indexes show a late Miocene to Holocene increasing trend for the twilight zone ecogroup 218 (Fig. 6).

219 Calcareous nannofossil assemblages are dominated by the upper-euphotic ecogroup from 220 15 to 10 Ma at all sites (Fig. 2-5). At 7.5 Ma the sub-euphotic ecogroup first becomes a significant 221 component of assemblages at Indian Ocean sub-tropical Sites U1482 and to some extent Site 242, 222 but it is not until the 4.5 Ma time slice that the sub-euphotic ecogroup becomes a significant 223 component of assemblages at the majority of locations (Sites 516, 871/872, 242, U1338, U1482, 224 U1489; Fig. 2-5). By the Holocene time slice, coccoliths of sub-euphotic species are dominant at most locations, except at Eastern Equatorial Pacific Site U1338 (Fig. 6 and 4). At the southern 225 226 high latitude Site 1138 there is no significant contribution from coccoliths of either lower-euphotic 227 or sub-euphotic species at any point, although there is no data from the Pliocene to Holocene time 228 slices at this location (Fig. 5). Global average compositions of calcareous nannofossil assemblages 229 reflect the changes noted above, with a marked and rapid decline in the relative contribution of the 230 upper-euphotic ecogroup, and a corresponding increase in the sub-euphotic zone ecogroup through the Pliocene and to Holocene (Fig. 6). 231

3.2 Planktonic foraminiferal deep-dwelling species: depth habitat, abundance and biogeography

234 **3.2.1 Hirsutellids**

The only hirsutellid species occurring in our Miocene samples is Hirsutella scitula. At 15 235 236 Ma this morphospecies is common only at Site 1138 (\sim 8%), sporadically occurs at Site 516 (<1%) 237 and is absent at the other investigated sites (Fig. 7). Oxygen isotopes range from 0.5 to 1.3‰ (Fig. S1-S2). Depth-habitat reconstructions for Site 1138 are unattainable from δ^{18} O data due to the 238 239 overprinting effect of subpolar front shifts at this location, but habitat reconstruction at Site 516 240 suggests a paleodepth habitat shallower than 200 m. By 12.5 Ma, H. scitula appears at Site U1338 and U1489 in very low abundance (<0.5%) (Fig. 7). At Site U1489 the species was so rare that it 241 242 was encountered when picking for stable isotopes and no more when counting for species 243 abundances, despite the use of different splits of the residue. No differences were observed 244 between 12.5 and 10 Ma in the biogeography of H. scitula (Fig. 7). However, by 7.5 Ma, H. scitula 245 occurs at all our low latitude sites (Fig. 8) with oxygen isotopes ranging from -0.5 to 2.0% (Fig. 246 S3-S9), which according to depth habitat reconstructions translates to 250 and 500 m water depth 247 (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021). This is similar to that of *Globorotaloides hexagonus* 248 (Fig. 1), the only twilight zone dweller we observed at tropical sites at 15 Ma, displaying stable 249 isotopes ranging from 0 to 1‰, which translates to depths around 300-500 m. In the late middle 250 Miocene the stable isotope values of G. hexagonus increase to 2-2.5‰ (Fig. S3-S9). Similarly, the 251 oxygen isotope values of tropical *H. scitula* increased through time, reaching 2-3‰ in the youngest 252 target ages. In line with this, the reconstructed depth habitat of H. scitula and G. hexagonus 253 increases through time in a stepwise fashion, and in the Holocene it reaches down to 800-1500 m 254 (Fig. 1) (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021). Hirsutella scitula becomes gradually more

common at low latitude sites through the Miocene-Pliocene, although it never becomes abundant.
In our record, *Hirsutella margaritae* and *H. theyeri* first appear in the early Pliocene at a depth
between 200-300 m (Fig. 1) (oxygen isotopes range -1 to -0.5‰), similar to that of *H. hirsuta*(oxygen isotopes range 0 to 1‰) when it first appears in the Holocene (Fig. S3-S9).

259 **3.2.2 Truncorotaliids**

260 The earliest appearances of Truncorotalia crassaformis in our record corresponds to our 261 4.5 Ma time slice at Site 1138 in the Indian Ocean sector of the Southern Ocean where it represents 262 >20% of the assemblage, and in coeval sediments at mid-latitude Site 516 in the southwest 263 Atlantic, where it represents ~9% of the assemblage (Fig. 9), with oxygen isotopes ranging from 264 1.5 to 3.0‰ (Fig. S1-S2). At Site 516 we observe the co-occurrence of T. oceanica (~14%) and T. 265 crassaformis in the early Pliocene (4.5 Ma), and of T. viola (~5%) and T. crassaformis (~13%) in 266 the late Pliocene (2.5 Ma) (Fig. 10). We did not observe T. oceanica and T. viola anywhere else. T. oceanica and T. crassaformis display almost overlapping δ^{18} O values and depth habitat (Fig. 267 S2) but *T. crassaformis* has 0.5‰ lower δ^{13} C values. Oxygen stable isotope data (1‰; Fig. S2) 268 269 and habitat reconstructions for Site 516 indicate that a subsurface habitat (>200 m) was already 270 occupied by T. crassaformis at the beginning of its evolutionary history (Fig. 1). The late Pliocene 271 appearance of *T. viola* at Site 516, which differs from *T. crassaformis* in having a more convex 272 umbilical side, a triangular outline and a subacute profile, is associated with a shift to more positive 273 oxygen isotope values of *T. crassaformis* (1.5‰) and to slightly greater depths (Fig. 1).

We find *T. crassaformis* by the late Pliocene at our investigated tropical and subtropical sites (2.5 Ma, Site U1338, U1489, 872, U1490, 242, U1482) (Fig. 10-11), with oxygen isotope values ranging from 1.0 to 2.0‰ which translate to depth habitats of 400-600 m (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021; Fig.1). The appearance of *T. crassaformis* at our low latitude sites is coeval 278 with the appearance in our record of Truncorotalia tosaensis, morphologically transitional 279 between T. crassaformis and T. truncatulinoides (Lazarus et al., 1995) (Fig. 10). Truncorotalia tosaensis displays oxygen isotopes values ranging from 0 to 0.5‰ (Fig. S1-S9), which translate to 280 281 300-350 m depth (Fig. 1). Consistent with earlier findings (Jenkins and Srinivasan 1986; Lam and 282 Leckie 2020b; Lazarus et al., 1995), we record the first occurrence of *T. truncatulinoides* in the 283 late Pliocene in the south-west Pacific (2.5 Ma, Site U1482), and only later in the North Pacific (0 284 Ma, Site 872), Indian Ocean (0 Ma, Site 242) and South Atlantic (0 Ma, Site 516) (Fig. 11). 285 Truncorotalia truncatulinoides records oxygen isotope values ranging from -1 to 2‰, more 286 negative than coeval T. crassaformis (Fig. S3-S9). Truncorotalia truncatulinoides, although reported in the modern tropical ocean as one of the species living at the greatest depths, occupies 287 288 a shallower depth habitat than T. crassaformis when it first appears in our tropical to subtropical 289 records (2.5 Ma).

290 **3.2.3 Globorotaliids**

291 With globorotaliids here we refer to the Globorotalia merotumida-tumida lineage 292 composed by G. merotumida, G. pleosiotumida, G. tumida and G. ungulata (Kennett and 293 Srinivasan, 1983). This group first appears with *Globorotalia plesiotumida* in our 10 Ma time slice 294 at Site 871 (Fig. 7). At all the investigated low latitude sites, we find G. tumida by 4.5 Ma with 295 abundances between 2-24% (Fig. 9). Globorotalia plesiotumida co-occurs with G. tumida only at 296 Sites 872 and 242 corresponding to our 4.5 Ma time slice (Fig. 9; Fig. S9). In our records, G. 297 tumida consistently displays oxygen isotope values between -1 to 0‰ (Fig. S3-S9), and an average 298 depth habitat around 250 m, with a shallowest occurrence at 50 m and a deepest occurrence around 600 m (Fig. 1). Similar oxygen isotope values and depth habitat preference are recorded for G. 299 300 plesiotumida and G. ungulata (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3-S9).

301

3.2.4 Globoconellids

302 In our records, Globoconella miozea is a dominant component of planktonic foraminiferal assemblage at Site 1138 at 15 Ma (65%) and occurs in moderate abundance at Site 516 (5%) (Fig. 303 304 7). The distribution of globoconellids appears restricted to southern high to mid-latitudes during 305 the middle Miocene and the late Miocene to Pliocene. At Site 1138 globoconellids decrease in 306 abundance through time, with *Globoconella panda* the only late Miocene (7.5 Ma) species (<1%; with δ^{18} O of 3‰), followed only by *Globoconella inflata* in the Holocene (4.2%; with δ^{18} O of 307 3.5%) (Fig. 11). On the contrary, at Site 516 globoconellids increase in abundance through time 308 309 becoming a characteristic feature of the planktonic foraminifera assemblage as noted in previous 310 studies of this area (Berggren, 1977; Norris et al., 1994) (Fig. 7-11). In the Holocene G. inflata is most abundant at mid-latitude Site 516 (22.7%; with δ^{18} O of 1‰), but also occurs in the subtropical 311 (<0.5%; with δ^{18} O of 0.9‰) and subpolar Indian ocean (4.2%; with δ^{18} O of 3.5‰) (Fig. 11; Fig. 312 313 S1-S3). Depth habitat reconstructions for the globoconellids show a deepening trend through time although less marked compared to those of other deep-dwelling groups considered in this study 314 315 (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021; Fig. 1). At Site 516, the depth habitat is just above 200 m for middle Miocene *Globoconella miozea* (δ^{18} O 0.9‰), just below 200 m for late Miocene G. 316 *miotumida* and G. conomiozea (δ^{18} O 1‰), and around 350 m for late Pliocene Globoconella 317 *puncticulata* (δ^{18} O 1.6‰) the precursor of G. *inflata*, which shows a similar depth habitat at this 318 site (δ^{18} O 1-1.2‰; Fig. S2), (Fig. 1). At Site 242 the average depth reconstruction for the Holocene 319 G. inflata is of ~450 m (δ^{18} O 0.9‰; Fig. S3), similar to that of T. crassaformis and T. 320 truncatulinoides (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021; Fig. 1). 321

4. Discussion 322

323 4.1 Evolution of a deep-plankton ecological niche linked to late Neogene cooling

324 We observe a trend of increasing ecological importance of deep-dwelling species in both 325 calcareous nannofossil and foraminiferal communities from the late Miocene to Recent. The 326 foraminiferal twilight zone ecogroup shows a marked increase in abundance and diversity at 7.5 327 Ma, at the same time as the first significant appearance of the sub-euphotic ecogroup within 328 coccolithophore assemblages, and coinciding with a possible acceleration of global cooling 329 (Cramer et al., 2011; Crichton et al., 2020). Fossil deep-dwelling coccoliths are dominated by one 330 species, *Florisphaera profunda*, which is often very abundant in Plio-Pleistocene sediments. This 331 makes our sub-euphotic ecogroup a low diversity – high abundance assemblage, whose origin 332 significantly impacts the relative abundance balance between ecogroups, but with little change in diversity metrics towards the modern. Although there are morphological variants of F. profunda 333 334 in the modern oceans, potentially representing sub-species or pseudo-cryptic species (Quinn et al., 335 2005), these are not distinguished in fossil assemblages and documenting their divergence times 336 requires either further molecular genetic or detailed morphological analyses. The one clear signal 337 in our diversity analyses is a late Miocene – early Pliocene peak in upper-euphotic species richness, 338 followed by a marked decline through the late Pliocene to the Holocene. The late Miocene-339 Pliocene peak diversity is present in previous global compilations of total nannofossil diversity 340 (Bown et al. 2004; Lowery et al. 2020), but here we show that this signal is driven by first a diversification and then progressive extinction almost entirely within upper-euphotic taxa. 341

Modern planktonic foraminifera evolved in two main diversification pulses in the middle Miocene (16-14 Ma) and during the late Miocene-Pliocene transition (6-4.5 Ma) (Kennett and Srinivasan, 1983; Kucera and Schönfeld, 2007). Our species abundance and diversity data show that this diversification was mostly driven by the origin of lineages of deep/subsurface dwelling species (Fig. 7-11 and Fig. 6). Diversity among euphotic zone species remained constant from the middle Miocene to the early Pliocene, then declined (Fig. 6). This pattern, similar to calcareous
nannofossils, may explain early records pointing to a decrease in Pliocene to Recent planktonic
foraminiferal diversity (Wei and Kennett, 1986).

350 The observed evolutionary patterns in planktonic foraminifera and coccolithophores can be explained by the development of environmental conditions favourable to deep living plankton 351 352 with cooling. For the deep-dwelling planktonic foraminifera, survival requires the availability of 353 food at depth, and with the exception of few species adapted to oxygen minimum zones (Davis et 354 al., 2021), the absence of severe oxygen depletion. In the published literature there is a general tendency for planktonic for a miniferal δ^{18} O values to be tightly grouped during times of warm 355 356 climate, becoming more spread-out during cooling episodes, for instance the transition from mid-357 to late Cretaceous (Ando et al., 2010) and early to middle Eocene (John et al., 2013). In light of our findings such tight δ^{18} O values, may indicate times when food and oxygen availability at depth 358 359 were limited, allowing planktonic foraminifera to live at shallower depths only. Because our data 360 are restricted to certain time slices and locations, we only capture a part of the overall pattern for the Neogene, but other examples of depth-related evolution in the Neogene include the Fohsella 361 362 *peripheroronda – F. fohsi* lineage in the middle Miocene (Hodell and Vayavananda, 1993; Norris 363 et al., 1993) and the appearance of various deep-dwelling digitate species in the Plio-Pleistocene 364 (Coxall et al., 2007).

Deep-dwelling coccolithophores, most notably *Florisphaera profunda*, require dissolved macronutrients (N, P) and at least some degree of light penetration (Quinn et al., 2005; Poulton et al., 2017). The requirement for light penetration to depth is most clearly shown by the absence of *F. profunda* beneath high surface productivity regions where nutrients are not limited at depth but light is rapidly attenuated by more abundant mixed layer microplankton (Beaufort et al., 1999). For coccolithophores, the cooling-driven shift from nutrient recycling within to below the mixed layer, may have provided the ecological driver for species to live in deeper, more nutrient-rich waters, but, as mixed layer waters cleared, also allowed the irradiance necessary for photosynthesis to penetrate to these new deeper habitats. Additionally, the capability of coccolithophores to absorb carbon and nutrients from seawater under low light conditions (Godrjian et al., 2020; 2021) may have also aided in the occupation of new deep water niches.

376 Our model outputs from cGENIE is consistent with this interpretation, organic matter 377 export (POC at 40 m in Fig. 12) reduced with cooling, suggesting an overall decrease in primary 378 productivity at all 4 latitudinal bands considered in this study. Fewer particles in surface waters would have allowed greater light penetration (Fig. 12), at the same time, the model indicates 379 380 enhanced organic matter delivery at >200 m with cooling. Greater organic matter delivery below 381 the euphotic zone, suggests a deeper remineralization depth and increased dissolved nutrients 382 availability at depth. This is most clearly shown in the modelled low and mid latitudes near-surface 383 waters. Oxygen availability also increased, particularly below 100 m depth in low latitudes and further down the water column, below 200 m depth (Fig. 12). 384

385 Nonetheless, planktonic foraminifera and nannoplankton have distinct trophic statuses (zoo- versus phytoplankton), further coccolithophore species require light for their dominantly 386 387 photosynthetic mode of life. In our data, such differences between the requirements of zoo- and 388 phytoplankton deep-dwellers is clearly observed in the biogeographic patterns. Sub-euphotic 389 coccolithophores are consistently more abundant in low nutrient sub-tropical locations (e.g. DSDP 390 Site 242 and IODP Site U1482; Fig. 2). The end-member of this biogeographic difference is ODP 391 Site 1138, in the Southern Ocean. Here, twilight foraminifera dominate most time intervals, 392 presumably due to high export production. However, lower-euphotic and sub-euphotic

17

393 coccolithophores are effectively excluded, due to turbid, high-productivity surface waters (Fig. 5). 394 This pattern is also supported through a comparison of high to low productivity tropical sites in 395 the Holocene – the Eastern Equatorial Pacific Site U1338 has abundant twilight foraminifera, but 396 relatively low abundances of sub-euphotic coccolithophores (Fig. 4), whereas the more 397 oligotrophic Site U1482 (Fig. 2) has lower abundances of twilight foraminifera and higher 398 abundances of sub-euphotic coccolithophores.

399 Despite these ecological differences between zoo- and phytoplankton, we suggest there is 400 a shared environmental driver for the evolution of deep-dwelling coccolithophores and planktonic 401 foraminifera linking the evolution of deep-dwelling specialists in each group. Efficient nearsurface recycling of organic carbon in past warm climates, such as the middle Miocene (Fig. 12), 402 403 precluded the occupation of the deep habitat for both groups by reducing both organic carbon transfer (food limitation for foraminifera and for foraminiferal prays such copepods) and light 404 405 penetration (photosynthesis for coccolithophores) to depth (Fig. 2-6). Global cooling since the 406 middle Miocene (Kennett and Von der Borch, 1985; Kennett and Exon, 2004; Cramer et al., 2011; 407 Zhang et al., 2014; Herbert et al., 2016; Sosdian et al., 2018; Super et al., 2020), however, led to a 408 decreased export of organic matter and a deepening of the mean organic matter remineralization 409 depth, which in turn favoured the evolution of deep water niches in planktonic foraminifera (Fig. 410 1) and nannoplankton via increased availability of organic matter, oxygen, and likely nutrients at 411 depth (Fig. 12), and clearing of surface waters.

412

4.2 Mechanisms of speciation of deep-dwelling planktonic foraminifera

413 The hirsutellids gave rise to a large late Neogene radiation among planktonic foraminifera, 414 leading to the origin of modern phyletic groups such as the menardellids, globoconellids, 415 truncorotaliids, and the globorotaliids of the Globorotalia merotumida - tumida lineage (Kennett 416 and Srinivasan, 1983; Scott et al., 1986; Aze et al., 2011). The majority of the modern 417 representatives of these groups are lower euphotic zone to twilight zone species. The hirsutellids 418 originated about 18 Ma (Wade et al., 2011) from *Globorotalia zealandica* (Kennett and Srinivasan, 419 1983), the first representative of the group being Hirsutella praescitula (Kennett and Srinivasan, 420 1983; Aze et al., 2011). Extant hirsutellids include H. scitula, H. hirsuta and H. theyeri; genetic 421 data available for *H. hirsuta* indicate a single genotype (Schiebel and Hembleben, 2017). Modern 422 H. scitula and H. hirsuta are deep water forms. Depth habitat reconstructions show H. scitula at 423 the greatest water depth (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021; Fig. 1), consistent with it being 424 reported to have a deeper average depth habitat than *H. hirsuta* in the modern ocean (Birch et al., 2013; Stainbank et al., 2019), where it feeds on suspended organic matter (Itou et al., 2001). 425 426 Hirsutella hirsuta has been reported to feed on dead diatoms and to predominantly live at depths around 250 m (Schiebel and Hemleben, 2017), consistent with our habitat reconstructions placing 427 428 H. hirsuta and other hirsutellids shallower than H. scitula, between the bottom of the euphotic 429 zone and the upper twilight zone. Our depth habitat reconstruction for *H. scitula* at Site 516 for 430 the 15 Ma time slice, indicates an initial euphotic zone depth habitat preference for this species. By the 7.5 Ma time slice, we find *H. scitula* at most of our low latitude sites, at depth comprised 431 432 between 300-500 m (Fig. 1). We suggest that the spread of H. scitula from high-mid latitudes 433 towards the tropics after the middle Miocene warmth (Fig. 7-11) tracks increasing availability of 434 POC and oxygen at depth (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021; Fig. 12), allowing this species 435 to find food in deep tropical twilight zone waters, profiting from a new ecological niche. We suggest that moving from a surface to a deep-water habitat was for H. scitula an ecological 436 437 innovation which allowed the species to move outside its high-mid latitude areal, consistent with 438 observations from the modern ocean documenting *H. scitula* dwelling at progressively deeper

439 depth from high to tropical latitudes (Schiebel and Hemleben, 2017). The proceeding ocean 440 cooling (and increasing efficiency of the biological pump) explains the stepwise depth habitat 441 increase of *H. scitula* through time at tropical and subtropical sites (Fig.1): more food became 442 available at increasingly greater depth in association with improved oxygen availability (Fig. 12), 443 allowing the species to expand its habitat vertically other than geographically. At low latitude sites 444 this was accompanied by speciation, with the appearance of *Hirsutella margaritae* and *H. theyeri* in the early Pliocene, and of *H. hirsuta* in the Holocene. These new species display depth habitats 445 446 shallower than *H. scitula* at their appearance. Hence, we suggest that it was the occupation of a 447 new deep water habitat in tropical waters that triggered speciation from *H. scitula* through depth sympatry, i.e. genetic isolation attained in the same area but at different depths (Weiner et al., 448 449 2012). Earlier studies on speciation among planktonic foraminifera based on the fossil record, 450 highlighted a predominance of sympatric speciation, possibly linked to changes in ecology (Norris 451 et al., 1993; Lazarus et al., 1995; Pearson et al., 1997). This has more recently been supported by 452 genetic analysis, which reveals a consistent depth separation between intra-specific genotypes at a global scale, suggesting that depth sympatry could be a universal mechanism generating diversity 453 among microplankton (Weiner et al., 2012). 454

Truncorotaliids start their evolutionary history at ~4.31 Ma (Raffi et al., 2020), when *Truncorotalia crassaformis* splits from *Hirsutella cibaoensis* (Kennett and Srinivasan, 1983; Aze et al., 2011), one of the first new species originating from *H. scitula* after its spread to low latitudes (Kennett and Srinivasan, 1983). Our reconstructed geographic and temporal distribution for *T. crassaformis* suggests that the early Pliocene split from the hirsutellids happened in cold subpolar water. By the early Pliocene *Truncorotalia crassaformis* was an abundant component of the subpolar assemblage at Site 1138 (>17%) and had already successfully spread to middle latitudes 462 (>8%) but does not occur in our low latitude samples (Fig.9). Although modern planktonic 463 foraminifera have a high dispersal potential (Darling et al., 2000; Norris and De Vergas, 2000), our data suggest that the evolution of Truncorotalia crassaformis from the hirsutellids might have 464 happened through allopatry, with low latitude population of *H. cibaoensis* becoming isolated from 465 466 subpolar populations and eventually evolving into T. crassaformis (e.g., following the 467 development of diachrony reproductive seasons in high and low latitude populations). Similar to 468 H. scitula, from subpolar latitudes, Truncorotalia crassaformis appears to have subsequently 469 spread to lower latitudes occupying progressively deeper habitats (Fig. 1; Fig. 7-11), and 470 originating numerous daughter species, some of which are intermediate morphospecies with 471 limited geographic distributions no longer extant today (Lazarus et al., 1995). At Site 516 we find *Truncorotalia viola*, with lighter δ^{18} O and δ^{13} C values than *T. crassaformis* (Fig. S2), pointing to 472 473 a clear ecological differentiation. Together with the marked morphological differentiation between 474 the two, this suggests T. viola may have been a different biological species. Truncorotalia 475 *truncatulinoides*, the most representative species of this group, appears to have originated from T. 476 crassaformis at about 2.7 Ma in the tropical southwest Pacific, and subsequently spread in the global ocean (Dowsett, 1988; Lazarus et al., 1995). According to our reconstruction, depth 477 478 sympatry associated with gradual morphological changes characterizes speciation among the 479 truncorotaliids, as depth habitat deepening of the ancestor/precursor is clear in the transition T. 480 crassaformis-T. viola and T. crassaformis-T. tosaensis-T. truncatulinoides in our record (Fig. 1). 481 The possibility to colonize deeper water habitats may have led to progressive reproductive isolation between "deeper" and "shallower" populations of *T. crassaformis*, resulting in biological 482 483 speciation. Depth sympatry as speciation mechanism for the truncorotaliids was already proposed 484 by Lazarus et al. (1995) based on biogeography, but without a definitive test.

485 In the modern ocean the *Globorotalia merotumida-tumida* lineage is represented by G. 486 *tumida* and *G. ungulata*, distributed in tropical to temperate regions. Genetic analysis has revealed 487 that they are two distinct biological species with a single genotype each (Schiebel and Hemleben, 488 2017). Little is known about the ecology of these two species, although G. tumida is known to 489 dwell in subsurface waters at the deep chlorophyll maximum (Schiebel and Hemleben, 2017). 490 According to the phylogeny of Aze et al. (2011), Menardella menardii gave rise to the 491 Globorotalia merotumida-tumida lineage around 9 Ma. However, Menardella menardii is absent 492 in our late Miocene samples while other menardellids such Menardella limbata and M. pertenuis 493 are common at several of our investigated middle latitudes to tropical sites. By 4.5 Ma, Globorotalia tumida had evolved and is common at all of our low latitude sites (Fig. 9), while M. 494 495 menardii is extremely rare, occurring only at Sites U1338 and U1490 (0.1%), becoming more 496 common only by the Holocene. Based on this biogeographic pattern, we propose that the G. 497 merotumida-tumida lineage originated from a late Neogene menardellid, such for instance M. 498 *limbata* which is morphologically very similar to G. merotumida. Given that G. plesiotumida and 499 G. tumida display a deeper habitat (> 200 m) than M. limbata and other Miocene menardellids 500 (100-200 m), we suggest that such transition may have happened through depth sympatry in 501 tropical waters, with forms which remained reproductively isolated in the twilight zone generating 502 the G. merotumida-tumida lineage. Morphometric measurements on M. limbata and G. 503 *merotumida* shells are required to test for an evolutionary relationship between these two species. 504 According to our depth habitat reconstruction, G. plesitumida and G. tumida occupied a similar 505 depth habitat at 4.5 Ma, so it is not clear from our dataset which evolutionary mechanism may 506 have led to the origination of the latter from the first. Hull and Norris (2009) analyzed speciation 507 within this lineage and suggested that the evolution from G. plesiotumida to G. tumida happened

abruptly within 44 kyr. *Globorotalia ungulata*, appears in our record by the late Pliocene and often display a habitat shallower than *G. tumida*, suggesting depth sympatry as the evolutionary mechanism leading from *G. tumida* to *G. ungulata*. However, because depth habitat reconstructions for these two species are more variable and shallower than that of other twilight zone species, more data are required to more conclusively infer speciation mechanisms.

513 The globoconellids originated in the late early Miocene (~17 Ma) with Globoconella miozea, which is considered to descend directly from Hirsutella praescitula (Kennett and 514 515 Srinivasan, 1983; Scott et al., 1990; Norris et al., 1994; Aze et al., 2011). Globoconella miotumida 516 originated in the middle Miocene and, after the extinction of G. miozea at about 10 Ma, remained 517 the only representative of the globoconellids until the latest Miocene. At this time, the evolutionary 518 turnover within the group accelerated and a number of different morphospecies originate from G. 519 *miotumida* and go extinct very rapidly, until the appearance in the late Pliocene of G. *inflata* which 520 persists until today (Wei and Kennett, 1988; Wei, 1994; Aze et al., 2011). Compared to other 521 Neogene to Recent taxa, the globoconellids display a more restricted geographic distribution 522 throughout their evolutionary history. They tend to be common at mid latitude hydrographic fronts (Schneider and Kennett, 1999; Schiebel and Hemleben, 2017; Lam and Leckie, 2020; Brombacher 523 524 et al., 2021), except G. puncticulata and G. inflata, which extend into low latitude regions (Norris 525 et a., 1994). The geographic distribution of globoconellids as shown here suggests that this group 526 was already specialized to live at hydrographic fronts in the middle Miocene, possibly feeding on 527 phytoplankton. Starting at ~5.5 Ma, cooling and the possibility to feed on sinking detritus in deeper 528 waters (Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al., 2021) may have stimulated evolutionary turnover within 529 this otherwise rather static group. The closely spaced temporal succession of morphospecies at this 530 time may reflect ongoing evolutionary experiments in an attempt to profit from new ecological

531 possibilities opening up at depth and outside the area of the group. The depth habitat 532 reconstructions for G. puncticulata and G. inflata suggests that from the Pliocene this group started 533 to progressively adapt to greater depths, consistent with the distinctive change in morphology 534 between G. sphericomiozea (and other Miocene globoconellids) and its Pliocene descendants G. 535 *puncticulata* and G. *inflata* (Kennett and Srinivasan, 1983), as well as with previous stable isotope 536 reconstructions for these species (Schneider and Kennett, 1996). We suggest that an evolutionary 537 transition began with the morphospecies G. puncticulata, transitional between G. sphericomiozea 538 and G. inflata and led to the late Pliocene speciation of G. inflata. It is not clear from our data 539 whether depth sympatry or allopatry allowed the speciation of G. inflata, as G. puncticulata and G. inflata show similar depth habitat in our record. It may have been a combination of the two, 540 541 given G. inflata genotypes display a characteristic allopatric distribution in the ocean (Morard et 542 al., 2011).

543 Our data indicate that combining stable isotopes and model-derived water column 544 temperature is a promising approach to quantify the depth habitat of extinct planktonic 545 foraminiferal species. When combined with abundance and biogeographic data, depth habitat reconstructions offer insights into speciation mechanisms not resolvable with the use of one 546 547 technique alone (e.g., stable isotopes). Our reconstructions indicate that both allopatry and depth 548 sympatry played a role in the origin of modern deep-dwelling planktonic foraminiferal species. 549 Both allopatry and depth sympatry appear to have been involved with the cladogenesis of the 550 truncorotaliid and the globorotaliid lineages, while depth sympatry seems to be mostly involved 551 for intra-lineage speciation.

552

553 **5. Conclusions**

554 Our global abundance and biogeographic data combined with our depth habitat 555 reconstructions allow us to piece together the environmental drivers behind speciation in two of 556 the most extensively studied group of pelagic microfossils, planktonic foraminifera and calcareous 557 nannofossils over the last 15 million years. The evolution of the new Neogene deep-water lineages 558 of the hirsutellids, globorotaliids, globoconellids and truncorotaliids, and of nannoplankton lower-559 euphotic zone and sub-euphotic zone species, resulted in the vertical stratification of species seen 560 in the modern ocean, in particular at low latitudes. For planktonic foraminifera such vertical 561 stratification of species, hundreds of meters below the surface, originated through depth sympatry 562 as well as cladogenesis of new lineages via both sympatry and allopatry.

563 Our study places the evolution of modern plankton groups in the context of large scale 564 changes in ocean macroecology driven by the global climate dynamics of the past fifteen million 565 years. The late Miocene to present evolutionary history of planktonic foraminifera and nannoplankton was linked, wherein increased efficiency of the biological pump with cooling since 566 567 the middle Miocene was a shared evolutionary driver. Lower rates of organic matter 568 remineralization in the upper part of the water column allowed the creation of new ecological 569 niches in deep waters, by increasing food delivery and oxygen at depth for heterotrophic planktonic 570 foraminifera, and by clearing surface waters and augmenting the concentration of macronutrients 571 at depth for nannoplankton.

572

573 **Data availability:** All data associated with this article are available in the Supplement or have 574 been previously published. The code is tagged as v0.9.18 and is available at DOI: 575 10.5281/zenodo.4469673 and at: DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4469678.

576

577 Author contributions:

578 Conceptualization, F.B.G. and P.N.P.; investigation, F.B.G. (planktonic foraminifera) and A.J.

579 (nannofossils); software, K.A.C.; writing-original draft, F.B.G.; writing-review and editing,

580 F.B.G., A.J., T.D.J, K.A.C., P.N.P., B.S.W.; visualization, F.B.G., A.J., K.A.C.; project

administration and funding acquisition, P.N.P. and B.S.W.

582

583 **Competing interests:**

584 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

585 Acknowledgements:

586 Supported by Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) grant NE/N001621/1 to P.N.P.

587 (F.B.G. and K.A.C.), NERC grant NE/P019013/1 to B.W and NERC grant NE/P016375/1 to

588 participate in IODP Expedition 363 (P.N.P.). A.J. was founded by a CENTA Doctoral Training

589 Programme as part of NERC grant: NE/L002493/1 to T.D.J. We thank Ian Hall for becoming

acting Principal Investigator in the later stages of the grant and Jamie Wilson for insightfuldiscussions.

592

593 **References**

Ando, A., Huber, B.T., and MacLeod, K.G.: Depth-habitat reorganization of planktonic
foraminifera across the Albian/Cenomanian boundary, Paleobiology, 36, 357-373, 2010.

- 596 Aze, T., Ezard, T.H.G., Purvis, A., Coxall, H.K., Stewart, D.R.M., Wade, B.S., and Pearson, P.N.:
- A phylogeny of Cenozoic macroperforate planktonic foraminifera from fossil data, Biological
 Reviews, 86, 900–927, 2011.
- 599 Bergen, J.A., de Kaenel, E., Blair, S.A., Boesiger, T.M., and Browning, E.: Oligocene-Pliocene
- taxonomy and stratigraphy of the genus Sphenolithus in the circum North Atlantic Basin: Gulf of
- 601 Mexico and ODP Leg 154, J. Nannoplankt. Res. 37, 77–112, 2017.
- Berggren, W.A.: Late Neogene planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Rio Grande Rise
 (SouthAtlantic). Mar. Micropaleontol., 2, 265-313, 1977.
- Berggren, W.A.: Neogene planktonic foraminifer magnetostratigraphy of the southern Kerguelen
 Plateau (Sites 747, 748, 751). In: Wise, SW; Schlich, R; et al. (eds.), Proceedings of the Ocean
 Drilling Program, Scientific Results, College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 120, 631-647,
 1992.
- Birch, H., Coxall, H.K., Pearson, P.N., Kroon, D., and O'Regan, M.: Planktonic foraminifera
 stable isotopes and water column structure: Disentangling ecological signals, Marine
 Micropaleontology, 101, 127–145, 2013.
- Blair, S.A., Bergen, J.A., de Kaenel, E., Browning, E., and Boesiger, T.M.: Upper Miocene-Lower
 Pliocene taxonomy and stratigraphy in the circum North Atlantic Basin: radiation and extinction
 of Amauroliths, Ceratoliths and the D. quinqueramus lineage, Journal of Nannoplankton Research.
 37, 113–144, 2017

- Boesiger, T.M., de Kaenel, E., Bergen, J.A., Browning, E., and Blair, S.A.: Oligocene to
 Pleistocene taxonomy and stratigraphy of the genus Helicosphaera and other placolith taxa in the
 circum North Atlantic Basin, Journal of Nannoplankton Research. 37, 145–175, 2017.
- Bolli, H.M., Saunders, J.B., and Perch-Nielsen, K.: Plankton Stratigraphy: Volume 1, Planktic
- 619 Foraminifera, Calcareous Nannofossils and Calpionellids. Cambridge University Press, 599 pp.,
- 620 1989.Boscolo-Galazzo, F., Crichton, K.A., Ridgwell, A., Mawbey, E.M., Wade, B.S., and Pearson
- P.N.: Temperature controls carbon cycling and biological evolution in the ocean twilight zone,
 Science, 371, 1148–1152, 2021.
- Bown, P.R., and Young, J.R, Techniques. In: P.R. Bown (Ed.). Calcareous Nannofossil
 Biostratigraphy, British Micropalaeontological Society Publications Series/Kluwer Academic,
 London, 16–28 pp., 1998.
- Bown, P.R., Lees, J.A., and Young, J.R.: Calcareous nannoplankton evolution and diversity
 through time. In: H.R. Thierstein and J.R. Young (Editors), Coccolithophores: From Molecular
 Processes to Global Impact. Springer, Berlin, 481-508, 2004.
- Brombacher, A., Wilson, P.A., Bailey, I., and Ezard, T.H.: The Dynamics of Diachronous
 Extinction Associated with Climatic Deterioration near the Neogene/Quaternary Boundary,
 Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, e2020PA004205, 2021.
- Browning, E., de Kaenel, E., Bergen, J.A., Blair, S.A., and Boesiger, T.M.: Oligocene-Pliocene
 taxonomy and stratigraphy of the genus *Sphenolithus* in the circum North Atlantic Basin: Gulf of
 Mexico and ODP Leg 154, Journal of Nannoplankton Research, 37, 77–112, 2017.

- Ciummelli, M., Raffi, I., and Backman, J.: Biostratigraphy and evolution of Miocene Discoaster
 spp. from IODP Site U1338 in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, J. Micropalaeontology, 36,
 jmpaleo2015-034, 2016.
- Coxall, H.K., Wilson, P.A., Pearson, P.N., and Sexton, P.F.: Iterative evolution of digitate
 planktonic foraminifera. Paleobiology, 33, 495–516, 2007.
- 640 Cramer, B.S., Miller, K.G., Barrett P.J., and Wright, J.D.: Late Cretaceous–Neogene trends in deep
 641 ocean temperature and continental ice volume: Reconciling records of benthic foraminiferal
 642 geochemistry (d18O and Mg/Ca) with sea level history. J. Geophys. Res., 116, C12023, 2011.
- 643 Crichton K.A., Ridgwell A., Lunt D.J., Farnsworth A., and Pearson P.N.: Data-constrained
 644 assessment of ocean circulation changes since the middle Miocene in an Earth system model. Clim.
 645 Past https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2019-151, 2020.
- Crichton, K.A., Wilson, J.D., Ridgwell, A., and Pearson P.N.: Calibration of key temperaturedependent ocean microbial processes in the cgenie.muffin Earth system model, Geosciences
 Model Development, 14, 125–149, 2021.
- Darling, K.F., Christopher, M.W., Stewart, I.A., Kroon, D., Dinglek, R. and Leigh Brown A.J.,
 Molecular evidence for genetic mixing of Arctic and Antarctic subpolar populations of planktonic
 foraminifers, Nature, 405, 43-47, 2000.
- De Kaenel, E., Bergen, J.A., Browning, E., Blair, S.A., and Boesiger, T.M.: Uppermost Oligocene
 to Middle Miocene Discoaster and Catinaster taxonomy and stratigraphy in the circum North
 Atlantic Basin: Gulf of Mexico and ODP Leg 154, Journal of Nannoplankton Research, 37, 77–
 112, 2017.

De Vargas, Renaud, C.S., Hilbrecht, H., and Pawlowski, J.: Pleistocene adaptive radiation in
Globorotalia truncatulinoides: genetic, morphologic, and environmental evidence, Paleobiology,
27, 104–125, 2001.

- Davis, C.V., Wishner, K., Renema, W., and Hull, P.M.,: Vertical distribution of planktic
 foraminifera through an oxygen minimum zone: how assemblages and test morphology reflect
 oxygen concentrations, Biogeosciences, 18, 977–992, 2021.
- bowsett, H.J.: Diachrony of late Neogene microfossils in the Southwest Pacific Ocean: application
 of the graphic correlation method, Paleoceanography, 3, 209-222, 1988.
- Ezard, T.H.G., Aze, T., Pearson, P.N., and Purvis, A.: Interplay Between Changing Climate and
 Species' Ecology Drives Macroevolutionary Dynamics, Science, 332, 349-351, 2011.
- Fox, L.R., and Wade, B.S.: Systematic taxonomy of early–middle Miocene planktonic
 foraminifera from the equatorial Pacific Ocean: Integrated Ocean Drilling Program, Site U1338,
 The Journal of Foraminiferal Research 43, 374-405, 2013.
- Fraass, A.J., Kelly, D.C., and Peters, S.E.: Macroevolutionary History of the Planktic
 Foraminifera, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 431, 39–66, 2015.
- 671 Godrijan, J., Drapeau, D., and Balch, W.M.: Mixotrophic uptake of organic compounds by 672 coccolithophores, Limnology and Oceanography 00, 1–12, 2020.
- 673 Gibbs, S.J., Bown, P.R., Ward, B.A., Alvarez, S.A., Kim, H., Archontikis, O.A., Sauterey, B.,
- 674 Poulton, A.J., Wilson, J., and Ridgwell, A.: Algal plankton turn to hunting to survive and recover
- 675 from end-Cretaceous impact darkness, Sci. Adv. 6, eabc9123, 2020.

- Greco, M., Jonkers, L., Kretschmer, K., Bijma, J., and Kucera, M.: Depth habitat of the planktonic
 foraminifera Neogloboquadrina pachyderma in the northern high latitudes explained by sea-ice
 and chlorophyll concentrations, Biogeosciences, 16, 3425–3437, 2019.
- 679 Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T., and Ryan, P.D.: PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package
- 680 for Education and Data Analysis, Palaeontologia Electronica 4, 9 pp., 2001.
- Henderiks, J., Bartol, M., Pige, N., Karatsolis, B.-T., and Lougheed, B.C.: Shifts in phytoplankton
 composition and stepwise climate change during the middle Miocene, Paleoceanography and
 Paleoclimatology, 35, e2020PA003915, 2020.
- Herbert, T.D., Lawrence, K.T., Tzanova, A., Peterson, L.C., Caballero-Gill, R., and Kelly, C.S.:
 Late Miocene global cooling and the rise of modern ecosystems. Nature Geoscience, 9, 843–847,
 2016.
- Hodell, D.A. and Vayavananda, A.: Middle Miocene paleoceanography of the western equatorial
 Pacific (DSDP Site 289) and the evolution of Globorotalia (Fohsella), Marine Micropaleontology,
 22, 279–310, 1993.
- Hull P.M., and Norris R.D.: Evidence for abrupt speciation in a classic case of gradual evolution,
 PNAS, 106, 21224–21229, 2009.
- Hull, P. M., Osborn, K. J., Norris, R. D., and Robison, B. H.: Seasonality and depth distribution
 of a mesopelagic foraminifer, Hastigerinella digitata, Monterey Bay, California, Limnol.
 Oceanogr., 56, 562–576, 2011.

- Itou, M., Ono, T., Olba, T., and Noriki, S.: Isotopic composition and morphology of living
 Globoborotalia scitula: a new proxy of sub-intermediate ocean carbonate chemistry? Marine
 Micropaleontology, 42, 189-210, 2001.
- 698 Jenkins, D.G. and Srinivasan, M.S.: Cenozoic planktonic foraminifers from the equator to the sub-
- antarctic of the southwest Pacific, In: Kennett, J.P., von der Borch, C.C., Baker, P.A., Barton, C.E.,
 Boersma, A., Caulet, J.P., et al., (Eds), Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project 90, 795–

701 834, 1986.

- John, E.H., P.N. Pearson, H.K. Coxall, H. Birch, B.S. Wade, and Foster G.L.: Warm ocean
 processes and carbon cycling in the Eocene, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A, doi:10.1098/rsta.2013.0099,
 2013.
- Jones, A.P., Dunkley Jones, T., Coxall, H., Pearson, P.N., Nala, D. and Hoggett, H.: Low-latitude calcareous nannofossil response in the Indo-Pacific Warm Pool across the Eocene–Oligocene Transition of Java, Indonesia. Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 34, 1–15, 2019
- Jonkers, L., and Kucera, M.: Global analysis of seasonality in the shell flux of extant planktonic
 Foraminifera, Biogeosciences, 12, 2207–2226, 2015.
- Kennett, J.P., and Srinivasan, M.S.: Neogene Planktonic Foraminifera. Hutchinson Ross
 Publishing Co., Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, 1-265 pp, 1983.
- 712 Kennett, J.P., and Von der Borch, C.C.: Southwest Pacific Cenozoic Paleoceanography. In
- 713 Kennett, J.P., von der Borch, C.C., et al., Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, 90:
- 714 Washington, DC (U.S. Government Printing Office), 1493–1517, 1986.

Kennett, J.P., and Exon, N.F.: Palaeoceanographic Evolution of the Tasmanian Seaway and its
Climatic Implications, In: Exon, N.F., Kennett, J.P., and Malone, M.J. (Eds.), The Cenozoic
Southern Ocean: Tectonics, Sedimentation, and Climate Change between Australia and
Antarctica. Geophysical Monograph, 151, 345–367, 2004.

Kim S.-T., and O'Neil J.R.: Equilibrium and non-equilibrium oxygen isotope effects in synthetic
carbonates. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 61, 3461–3475, 1997.

Kucera, M., and Schonfeld, J.: The origin of modern oceanic foraminiferal faunas and Neogene
climate change, in Williams, M., Haywood, A.M., Gregory, F.J. and Schmidt, D.N. (eds) DeepTime Perspectives on Climate Change: Marrying the Signal from Computer Models and Biological
Proxies. The Micropalaeontological Society, Special Publications, The Geological Society,
London, 409–425, 2007.

Lam A.R., and Leckie R.M.: Late Neogene and Quaternary diversity and taxonomy of subtropical
to temperate planktic foraminifera across the Kuroshio Current Extension, northwest Pacific
Ocean, Micropaleontology, 66, 177–268, 2020a.

Lam, A. R., and Leckie, R. M.: Subtropical to temperate late Neogene to Quaternary planktic
foraminiferal biostratigraphy across the Kuroshio Current Extension, Shatsky Rise, northwest
Pacific Ocean, PLoS ONE, 15, e0234351, 2020b.

732 Lazarus, D.B., Hilbrecht, H., Spencer-Cervato, C. and Thierstein, H.: Sympatric speciation and

phyletic change in Globorotalia truncatulinoides, Paleobiology, 21, 28–51, 1995.

- Lowery, C.M., Bown, P.R., Fraass, A.J. and Hull, P.M.,: Ecological Response of Plankton to
 Environmental Change: Thresholds for Extinction, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary
 Sciences, 48, 403-429, 2020.
- Matsui, H., Nishi, H., Takashima, R., Kuroyanagi, A., Ikehara, M., Takayanagi, H., and Iryu, Y.:
 Changes in the depth habitat of the Oligocene planktic foraminifera (Dentoglobigerina
 venezuelana) induced by thermocline deepening in the eastern equatorial Pacific,
 Paleoceanography, 31, 715-731, 2016.
- 741 Majewski, W.: Planktonic Foraminiferal Response to Middle Miocene Cooling in the Southern
- 742 Ocean (ODP Site 747, Kerguelen Plateau), Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, 55, 541-560,
- 743 2010.Meilland, J., Siccha, M., Weinkauf, M. F. G., Jonkers, L., Morard, R., Baranowski, U.,
- Baumeister, A., Bertlich, J., Brummer, G. J., Debray, P., Fritz-Endres, T., Groeneveld, J., Magerl,
- L., Munz, P., Rillo, M. C., Schmidt, C., Takagi, H., Theara, G., and Kucera, M.: Highly replicated
- 746 sampling reveals no diurnal vertical migration but stable species-specific vertical habitats in
- 747 planktonic foraminifera, J. Plank. Res., 41, 127–141, 2019.
- Morard, R., Quillevere, F., Douady, C.J., De Vargas, C., De Garidel-Thoron, T., and Escarguel,
 G.: Worldwide Genotyping in the Planktonic Foraminifer Globoconella inflata: Implications for
- Life History and Paleoceanography, PLoS ONE 6(10): e26665, 2011.
- Norris, R., D.: Pelagic Species Diversity, Biogeography, and Evolution, Paleobiology, 26, 236258, 2000.
- Norris, R.D., and Corfield, R.M.: Evolutionary ecology of Globorotalia (Globoconella) (planktic
- foraminifera), Marine Micropaleontology, 23, 121–145, 1994.

- Norris, R.D. and de Vargas, C.: Evolution all at sea, Nature, 405, 23-24, 2000.
- Norris, R.D., Corfield, R.M. and Cartlidge, J.E.: Evolution of depth ecology in the planktic
 foraminifera lineage Globorotalia (Fohsella). Geology, 21, 975–978, 1993.
- Norris, R. D., Kirtland Turner, S., Hull, P. M., and Ridgwell, A.: Marine Ecosystem Responses to
- 759 Cenozoic Global Change, Science, 341, 492-498, 2013.Pearson, P.N.: Planktonic foraminifer
- 760 biostratigraphy and the development of pelagic caps on guyots in the marshall islands group, In:
- 761 Haggerty, J.A., Premoli Silva, I., Rack, R., and McNutt, M.K. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Ocean
- 762 Drilling Program, Scientific Results, 144, 21-59, 1995.
- Pearson, P.N., and Coxall, K.H.: Origin of the Eocene planktonic foraminifer Hantkenina by
 gradual evolution, Palaeontology 57, 243–267, 2012.
- Pearson, P.N., N.J. Shackleton, and Hall M.A.: Stable isotopic evidence for the sympatric
 divergence of Globigerinoides trilobus and Orbulina uniriersa (planktonic foraminifera), Journal
 of the Geological Society, 154, 295-302, 1997.
- Quillevere F., Norris R.D., Moussa I., and Berggren W.A.: Role of photosymbiosis and
 biogeography in the diversification of early Paleogene acarininids (planktonic foraminifera),
 Paleobiology, 27, 311–326, 2001.
- Quinn, P.S., Cortés, M.Y. and Bollmann, J.: Morphological variation in the deep ocean-dwelling
 coccolithophore Florisphaera profunda (Haptophyta), European Journal of Phycology, 40, 123133, 2005.

- Raffi, I., Wade, B.S., Pälike, H., Beu, A.G., Cooper, R., Crundwell, M.P., Krijgsman, W., Moore,
- T., Raine, I., Sardella, R., and Vernyhorova, Y.V.: Chapter 29 The Neogene Period, Editor(s):
- Felix M. Gradstein, James G. Ogg, Mark D. Schmitz, Gabi M. Ogg, Geologic Time Scale 2020,
- 777 Elsevier, 1141-1215 pp., 2020
- Rebotim, A., Voelker, A. H. L., Jonkers, L., Waniek, J. J., Meggers, H., Schiebel, R., Fraile, I.,
 Schulz, M., and Kucera, M.: Factors controlling the depth habitat of planktonic foraminifera in the
 subtropical eastern North Atlantic, Biogeosciences, 14, 827–859, 2017.
- Schiebel, R., and Hemleben, C.: Planktic Foraminifers in the Modern Ocean, Springer-Verlag,
 Berlin Heidelberg, 2017.
- 783 Schiebel R., Smart S.M., Jentzen A., Jonkers L., Morard R., Meilland J., Michel E., Coxall H.K.,
- Hull P.M., De Garidel-Thoron T., Aze T., Quillévéré F., Ren H., Sigman D.M., Vonhof H.B.,
- Martínez-García A., Kucera M., Bijma J., Spero H.J., and Haug G.H., Advances in planktonic
 foraminifer research: New perspectives for paleoceanography, Revue de micropaléontologie, 61,
 113–138, 2018.
- Schneider, C., and Kennett, J.: Isotopic evidence for interspecies habitat differences during
 evolution of the Neogene planktonic foraminiferal clade Globoconella, Paleobiology, 22, 282-303,
 1996.
- Schneider, C., and Kennett, J.: Segregation and speciation in the Neogene planktonic foraminiferal
 clade Globoconella, Paleobiology, 25, 383-395, 1999.

- 793 Scott, G.H., Bishop, S., and Burt, B.J.: Guide to some Neogene Globorotalids (Foraminiferida)
- from New Zealand. New Zealand Geological Survey Paleontological Bulletin 61, Lower Hutt,
 New Zealand, 176 pp., 1986.
- 796 Scott, G.H., Bishop, S., and Burt, B.J.: Guide to some Neogene Globorotalids (Foraminiferida)
- from New Zealand. New Zealand Geological Survey Paleontological Bulletin 61, Lower Hutt,
 New Zealand, 176 pp., 1990.
- Sosdian, S.M., Greenop, R., Hain, M.P., Foster, G.L., Pearson, P.N., and Lear, C.H.: Constraining
 the evolution of Neogene ocean carbonate chemistry using the boron isotope pH proxy, Earth and
 Planetary Science Letters, 498, 362–376, 2018.
- 802 Spezzaferri, S., Kucera, M., Pearson, P.N., Wade, B.S., Rappo, S., Poole, C.R., Morard, R.,
- 803 Stalder, C.: Fossil and genetic evidence for the polyphyletic nature of the planktonic foraminifera"
- Globigerinoides", and description of the new genus Trilobatus, PLoS One 10, e0128108, 2015.
- 805 Stainbank, S., Kroon, D., Ruggeberg, A., Raddatz, J., de Leau, E.S., Zhang, M., and Spezzaferri,
- S.: Controls on planktonic foraminifera apparent calcification depths for the northern equatorial
 Indian Ocean, PLoS ONE, 14, e0222299, 2019.
- Styzen, M.J.. Cascading counts of nannofossil abundance, Journal of Nannoplankton Research,
 19, 49, 1997.
- Super, J.R., Thomas, E., Pagani, M., Huber, M., O'Brien, C.L., and Hull, P. M.: Miocene evolution
 of North Atlantic Sea surface temperature. Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 35,
 e2019PA003748, 2020.

- Wade, B.S., Pearson, P.N. Berggren, W.A. and Palike, H.: Review and revision of Cenozoic
 tropical planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and calibration to the geomagnetic polarity and
 astronomical time scale, Earth-Science Reviews, 104, 111–142, 2011.
- Wade, B.S., Pearson, P.N., Olsson, R.K., Fraass, A. Leckie, R.M. and Hemleben, Ch.: Taxonomy,
 biostratigraphy, and phylogeny of Oligocene and lower Miocene Dentoglobigerina and
 Globoquadrina, in Wade, B.S., Olsson, R.K., Pearson, P.N., Huber, B.T. and Berggren, W.A.,
 Atlas of Oligocene Planktonic Foraminifera, Cushman Foundation of Foraminiferal Research,
 Special Publication, No. 46, p. 331-384, 2018.
- Wei, K.Y.: Stratophenetic tracing of phylogeny using SIMCA pattern recognition technique: A
 case study of the late Neogene planktic foraminifera Globoconella clade, Paleobiology, 20, 52-65,
 1994.
- Wei, K.Y., and Kennett, J.P.: Taxonomic evolution of Neogene planktonic foraminifera and paleoceanographic relations, Paleoceanography, 1, 67-84, 1986.
- Wei, K.Y., and Kennett, J.: Phyletic gradualism and punctuated equilibrium in the late Neogene
 planktonic foraminiferal clade Globoconella, Paleobiology, 14, 345-363, 1988.
- Weiner, A., Aurahs, R., Kurasawa, A., Kitazato, H., and Kucera, M.: Vertical niche partitioning
 between cryptic sibling species of a cosmopolitan marine planktonic protest, Mol. Ecol., 21, 4063–
 4073, 2012.
- Woodhouse, A., Jackson, S.L., Jamieson, R.A., Newton, R.J., Sexton, P.F., and Aze, T.: Adaptive
 ecological niche migration does not negate extinction susceptibility. Scientific Reports, 11, 15411,
 2021.

- Young, J.R., Bergen, J.A., Bown, P.R., Burnett, J.A., Fiorentino, A., Jordan, R.W., Kleijne, A.,
- van Niel, B.E., Romein, A.J.T. and Von Salis, K.: Guidelines for coccolith and calcareous
 nannofossil terminology, Palaeontology, 40, 875–912, 1997.
- Zhang, Y.G., Pagani, M., Liu Z.: A 12-Million-Year Temperature History of the Tropical Pacific
 Ocean, Science, 344, 84-87, 2014.
- 839 Zenodo DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4469673.
- 840 Zenodo DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4469678.

Figure 1. Depth-habitat reconstructions for middle Miocene to present planktonic foraminiferal species at the investigated sites. Surface dwellers (species living at depths shallower than 200 m) are indicated with a grey dot, deeper species are indicated with colored symbols. Relative size of symbols represents the size fractions of the sample. Reproduced from Boscolo-Galazzo, Crichton et al. (2021).

Figure 2. Foraminiferal and nannofossil ecogroup abundance at Site 242 and U1482.

Figure 3. Foraminiferal and nannofossil ecogroup abundance at Site 871/872 and U1490.

Figure 4. Foraminiferal and nannofossil ecogroup abundance at Site U1338 and U1489.

Figure 5. Foraminiferal and nannofossil ecogroup abundance at Site 516 and 1138.

Figure 6. Planktonic foraminiferal and nannofossil ecogroups relative abundance and diversityindexes.

Figure 7. Abundance and biogeography of planktonic foraminiferal species at 15, 12.5, and 10 Ma. In the pie-charts twilight zone planktonic foraminiferal species are in bold. Sites where twilight zone planktonic foraminifera were found are highlighted in green in the maps. The crossed square symbol in the maps indicate that the time interval of interest was not recovered for a given
site. Continental configuration follows: Ocean Drilling Stratigraphic Network (GEOMAR, Kiel,
Germany).

Figure 8. Abundance and biogeography of planktonic foraminiferal species at 7.5 Ma. In the piecharts twilight zone planktonic foraminiferal species are in bold. Sites where twilight zone planktonic foraminifera were found are highlighted in green in the maps. The crossed square symbol in the maps indicate that the time interval of interest was not recovered for a given site. Continental configuration follows: Ocean Drilling Stratigraphic Network (GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany).

Figure 9. Abundance and biogeography of planktonic foraminiferal species at 4.5 Ma. In the piecharts twilight zone planktonic foraminiferal species are in bold. Sites where twilight zone planktonic foraminifera were found are highlighted in green in the maps. The crossed square symbol in the maps indicate that the time interval of interest was not recovered for a given site. Continental configuration follows: Ocean Drilling Stratigraphic Network (GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany).

Figure 10. Abundance and biogeography of planktonic foraminiferal species at 2.5 Ma. In the piecharts twilight zone planktonic foraminiferal species are in bold. Sites where twilight zone planktonic foraminifera were found are highlighted in green in the maps. The crossed square symbol in the maps indicate that the time interval of interest was not recovered for a given site. Continental configuration follows: Ocean Drilling Stratigraphic Network (GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany).

Figure 11. Abundance and biogeography of twilight zone planktonic foraminiferal species at 0Ma. In the pie-charts twilight zone planktonic foraminiferal species are in bold. Sites where

40

879	square symbol in the maps indicate that the time interval of interest was not recovered for a given
880	site. Continental configuration follows: Ocean Drilling Stratigraphic Network (GEOMAR, Kiel
000	site. Continental configuration follows. Ocean Drining Stratigraphic Network (OEOWAR, Kiel,
881	Germany).

Figure 12. cGENIE model output for changes in Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) flux, and dissolved Oxygen in near-surface ocean waters from the middle Miocene to Present, with a temperature-dependent biological carbon pump. Inset map shows the modelled Present surface ocean temperatures. Depths are the middle of cGENIE's top three ocean layers.

886

887

888

889