Carbon sequestration potential of street tree plantings in Helsinki

Minttu Havu¹, Liisa Kulmala^{2,3}, Pasi Kolari¹, Timo Vesala^{1,3,4}, Anu Riikonen³, and Leena Järvi^{1,5} ¹Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research / Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland. ²Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland. ³Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research / Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland. ⁴Yugra State University, 628012, Khanty-Mansiysk, Russia ⁵Helsinki Institute of Sustainability Science, University of Helsinki, Finland. **Correspondence:** Minttu Havu (minttu.havu@helsinki.fi)

Abstract.

Cities have become increasingly interested in reducing their greenhouse gas emissions , and increasing carbon sequestration and storage in urban vegetation and soil as part of their climate mitigation actions. However, most of our knowledge on of the biogenic carbon cycle is based on data and models from forested ecosystems even though despite urban nature and

- 5 microclimate are very different to microclimates differing greatly from those in natural or forested ecosystems. There is a need for modelling tools that can correctly consider temporal variations of in the urban carbon cycle and take the urban specific specific urban conditions into account. The main aims of this our study are to 1) examine the carbon sequestration potential of two commonly used street tree species (*Tilia x vulgaris* and *Alnus glutinosa*) and their soils growing in three different growing media by taking into account the complexity of urban conditions , and evaluate and 2) to evaluate the urban land
- surface model SUEWS and soil carbon model Yasso15 in simulating the carbon sequestration of these street tree plantings at different temporal scales (diurnal, monthly, and annual). SUEWS provides data on the urban microclimate, and and on street tree photosynthesis and respiration of street trees whereas the , whereas soil carbon storage is estimated with Yasso. Both models were run for 2002–2016 and within this periodthe. These models were used to study the urban carbon cycle throughout the expected lifespan of street trees (2002–2031). Within this period, model performances were evaluated against transpiration
- 15 estimated from sap flow, soil carbon content, and soil moisture measurements from two street tree sites located in Helsinki, Finland.

The models were able to capture the variability in the urban carbon cycle and transpiration due to changes in environmental conditions, soil type, and tree species. SUEWS simulated the stomatal control and transpiration well (RMSE<0.31 mm h⁻¹) and was able to produce correct soil moisture in the street soil (nRMSE<0.23). Yasso was able to simulate the strong decline

- 20 in initial carbon content but later overestimated respiration and thus underestimated carbon stock slightly (MBE>-5.42 kg C m⁻²)Carbon sequestration potential was estimated for an average street tree and for the average of diverse soils present in the study area. Over the study period, soil respiration dominated the carbon exchange over carbon sequestration, due to the high initial carbon loss from the soil after the street construction. However, the street tree plantings turned into a modest sink of carbon from the atmosphere on annual scale as the an annual scale, as tree and soil respiration approximately balanced the
- 25 photosynthesis. The compensation point when street trees tree plantings turned from annual source to an annual source into a

sink was reached faster more rapidly by *Alnus* trees after 12 years, while this point was reached by *Tilia* trees after 14 years, but naturally these moments. However, these moments naturally vary from site to site depending on the growing media, planting density, tree species, and climate. Overall, the results indicate the importance of soil in urban carbon sequestration estimations.

1 Introduction

- 30 The ongoing Ongoing climate warming is caused by anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs). A large proportion of these emissions, especially carbon dioxide (CO₂), originate from urban areas (Marcotullio et al., 2013). In order to To fight against the climate crisis, significant amount a significant number of cities have declared themselves to be targets for becoming carbon neutral in the future decades. Carbon neutrality in a city scale City-scale carbon neutrality means that either the GHG emissions and sinks are in balance or, alternatively, part of the emissions are compensated elsewhere. Urban green
- 35 areas have been found to sequester up significant levels of city GHG emissions. For example, the biogenic carbon fluxes in Boston, USA, and Florence, Italy amounted to 14% (Vaccari et al., 2013; Hardiman et al., 2017) of (Hardiman et al., 2017) and 6.2% (Vaccari et al., 2013) of both cities' GHG emissions, respectively. However, urban nature is highly diverse which brings a lot of uncertainty to in terms of soil properties, plant species, and biomass, which create a great deal of uncertainty in the estimates. In order for For cities to reliably quantify their own carbon sinks to urban vegetation and soil, more information
- 40 of on the biogenic carbon cycle in urban areas is required.

Urban trees can offer a variety of ecosystem services ranging from carbon sequestration to cooling of local temperatures, stormwater storm water mitigation, and improving air quality (Pataki et al., 2011; Pickett et al., 2011). The efficiency of the these ecosystem services depends on the local growing and climatic conditions of trees. In cities, for trees. City trees are affected for example by the urban heat island effect (Oke, 1982), soil moisture availability, limited growth conditionsand management

- 45 practises , and management practices (Dahlhausen et al., 2018; Nielsen et al., 2007; Raciti et al., 2014). Quantifying the carbon storage and sequestration of urban trees has been previously studied with previously been studied using field campaigns (Riikonen et al., 2017), biomass estimations (Stoffberg et al., 2010), remote sensing (Myeong et al., 2006; Zhao and Sander, 2015), and most widely with GIS-based i-Tree software, including i-Tree Eco and i-Tree Streets (Nowak and Crane, 2000). The i-Tree software uses data on tree characteristics and estimates the carbon sequestration and storage by biomass equations
- 50 developed for urban trees based on US urban tree data. Most of the these studies are from the US (McPherson et al., 2005, 2011), but studies outside of US have some studies outside the US have also applied these models as well-(Soares et al., 2011; Russo et al., 2014). However, these methods are incapable of <u>catching_detecting</u> the correct response of the urban biogenic carbon cycle to local environmental conditions and changes in local climate, as climate conditions have been adjusted for US, the US and thus lack high temporal resolution. In addition, the model cannot simulate carbon cycling in future climates. Moreover, the
- 55 methods focus on urban trees, ignoring other vegetation types and commonly often urban soil altogether.

Urban land surface models (LSMs) can be used to simulate the carbon cycle in urban areas (e.g. SURFEX, Goret et al., 2019) but commonly vegetation is , but vegetation is commonly treated in a separate tile without any interaction with the interactions with built surfaces. The photosynthesis, and In reality, the built environment in urban areas allows the formation of

the urban heat island effect, strong variation in soil moisture, and lateral water flows between built-up and vegetative surfaces.

- 60 Photosynthesis, along with plant and soil respiration in interaction with urban surfaces were recently included to in the urban land surface model SUEWS (Surface Urban Energy and Water balance Scheme, Järvi et al., 2019) allowing to examine (Surface Urban Energy and Water Balance Scheme, Järvi et al., 2019), which allows examining the net carbon sink of urban vegetation. In SUEWS, photosynthesis is modelled with the empirical canopy model that takes into account the accounts for local conditions affecting the plant 's plant stomatal control, such as , air temperature, specific humidity, soil moisture, and
- 65 shortwave radiation (Järvi et al., 2019). Plant and soil respiration is modelled to exponentially depend on air temperature. The urban land surface models Urban LSMs focus on the exchange of carbon between vegetation and the atmosphere, taking into account soil respiration, on a local scalelocal-scale soil respiration into account. Overall, LSMs are ideal for partitioning observed net CO₂ fluxes into anthropogenic and biogenic components, particularly considering the effect of the interaction of urban structure and vegetation on the urban climate and thus on carbon sequestration. LSM simulated LSM-simulated car-
- ⁷⁰ bon sinks can also be used to reduce uncertainties in satellite and atmospheric in situ observation derived observation-derived anthropogenic CO₂ emissions.

Urban soils can differ extremely from natural soils (Pickett et al., 2011), as they are usually man-made when the streets and parks are built. Also management practices, e.g., Management practices, such as irrigation, litter removaland fertilization affect soil directly, and fertilization, also directly affect the soil. Previous studies have shown that the soil organic carbon (SOC)

- 75 stocks in urban soils vary widely (Lorenz and Lal, 2015), with most studies showing urban soils containing to contain more SOC than non-urban areas (Pataki et al., 2006; Pouyat et al., 2006; Raciti et al., 2012; Edmondson et al., 2012, 2014; Lindén et al., 2020), but contradicting results have also been published (Sarzhanov et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2013). The consensus has been that initially after construction, the soil loses carbon rapidly initially after construction, but in the next few decades , the amount of SOC upcoming decades SOC levels will increase more in urban soils than in the natural environment
- 80 (Pataki et al., 2006). The impact is visible on in parks, but in general, the structure of cities affects the soil beneath buildings and paved areas, preventing such processes. In addition to higher amounts of SOC, urban soil respiration has been found to be higher some cases, higher soil respiration levels have been observed in urban than in the natural environment (Kaye et al., 2005; Pataki et al., 2006; Sarzhanov et al., 2015; Decina et al., 2016). Depending on the management practices, more or less litter, i.e. carbon input, can reach the soil. Turf grasses are usually irrigated, fertilized, and clipped regularly through out throughout
- the growing season, leading to higher soil carbon <u>levels</u> (Pouyat et al., 2009). On the contrary, <u>above-ground above-ground</u> plant litter is usually <u>taken away removed</u> from gardens, parks, and roadsides and<u>therefore less above-ground</u>, <u>therefore less</u> above-ground carbon reaches the soil to decompose.

Soil carbon decomposition depends on the size of the SOC pool, and on temperature and precipitation (Davidson and Janssens, 2006). Therefore, there exist multiple Multiple climate-driven ecosystem soil decomposition models that are driven

90 by climate, such as, therefore exist, e.g. Yasso15 (Viskari et al., 2020), CENTURY (Parton et al., 1988), Millennial (Abramoff et al., 2018), and ORCHIDEE-SOM (Camino-Serrano et al., 2018). Soil carbon models are developed especially for native ecosystems, such as forests, and for agricultural soils (Karhu et al., 2012). None, as of our knowledge, has have been developed to simulate the complexity of urban soilsand therefore, it remains still, and therefore it remains unclear whether these

models are suitable for urban areas. So far, the CENTURY model has been used to evaluate soil organic carbon for turf grass

- 95 in golf courses (Bandaranayake et al., 2003) and to simulate how clippings affect SOC storage (Qian et al., 2003). In addition, CENTURY simulations of lawn SOC were more successful when management practices were considered (Trammell et al., 2017). Recently, the Yasso model was used to estimate city wide citywide SOC in Finland (HSY, 2021), but it lacked verification against measurements. Because the urban environment and management have a large impact on the soil carbon cycle, the use of these models in cities requires more testing.
- The aim of this our study is to use SUEWS and Yasso to estimate the carbon cycle dynamics in urban nature. We had two specific objectives: 1) to describe the diurnal, seasonal, and interannual CO₂ flux dynamics by of planted urban street trees, and 2) to describe the temporal dynamics of the organic carbon pool in their soil beneath. For the the soil beneath these street trees. For this purpose, we evaluated the performance of both models using measurements from two street tree sites in Helsinki, Finland. On both sites, three different growing media were applied. The stomatal control model in SUEWS was parametrized
- 105 to meet the leaf-scale measurements of street trees and verified against whole-tree transpiration of the trees whereas, whereas the Yasso model was evaluated against SOC pools.

2 Materials and methods

SUEWS and Yasso models were used to simulate the two street tree sites in 2002–2016. The sites represent typical suburban neighborhoods neighborhoods of Helsinki.

110 2.1 Site description

In 2002, the eity of Helsinkiin collaboration with City of Helsinki, collaborating with the University of Helsinki, established two street tree study sites in Viikki (N60°15', E25°03', Fig. 1, Table 1), 9 km northeast of the Helsinki city centercentre, as part of the Viikki Street Tree Research project (2002–2016, Riikonen et al., 2011). The main aim of the project was to examine the impact of growing media on the growth and well-being of street trees, and during the study period, intensive. Intensive

- 115 monitoring of tree properties, gas exchange, and soil carbon content was madewere conducted during the study period. On one street (hereafter, the Tilia site), 15 *Tilia x vulgaris* Hayne trees were planted whereas on another street (hereafter Alnus site), , while 22 *Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn. f. *pyramidalis* 'Sakari' trees were planted . Approximately, on another street (hereafter, the Alnus site). Approximately 15–30 m³ and 45–50 m³ rooting volumes were provided for each *Tilia* and *Alnus* tree, respectively. The spacing between the trees was 15 m for *Tilia* and 4–5 m for the Alnus trees. The Tilia site is surrounded
- by a park and office buildings, and the Alnus site is surrounded by 2-floor apartment buildings. The trees were irrigated weekly for two years after street construction. Irrigation was however However, irrigation was neglected in the model simulations, as Yasso cannot currently include irrigation and the irrigation model in SUEWS is designed for typical garden irrigation. This is expected to have a minor impact on the our results. Hereafter, we call the unity formed by the trees and their growing media, i.e. soil, as street tree plantings. Tilia and Alnus sites can be characterized by Local Climate Zone-local climate zones (LCZ,
- 125 Stewart and Oke, 2012) 9 and 6, respectively.



Figure 1. Study areas in Viikki, Helsinki (Kaupunkimittausosasto, Helsinki, 2019). The Tilia site is marked by a red square and the Alnus site by a black square.

Both sites had three different structural soils constructed as 1 m deep and 3 m wide layers. The different soils were installed as planting pockets separated by compacted gravel at the Alnus site or as continuous strip at strips at the Tilia site. Soil 1 composition is mainly sand, clay, and peat, soil 2 is composted of sewage sludge mixed with sand, pine bark, and peat, and soil 3 is a mix of fine gravel, sand, clay, leaf compost, and pine bark. Soils 1 and 2 are commercial soils, but soil 3 is a mixture done made specifically for the research project. Riikonen et al. (2017) estimated initial loss-on-ignition (LOI) for each soil type. The initial LOI was Initial LOIs were 6, 20, and 4.4% for soil soils 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The initial LOI, fine soil dry bulk density(BD), and stone matrix were measured in a laboratory (Riikonen et al., 2011) and used in evaluating the SOC pools of the soils to evaluate the soil SOC pools. On average, 32% of the 1 m deep soil layer is fine soil, and the averaged saturated soil water capacity of the fine soil is 45%. The measured fine soil permanent wilting point (WP) is 6%.

135 2.2 Ecophysiological measurements

130

The A portable gas exchange sensor (CIRAS-2, PP Systems, UK) was used to determine leaf-level responses of transpiration and CO₂ exchange to environmental drivers (light, CO₂). A total of 22–25 leaf samples located at different various positions in the crown in six to seven trees of each studied species were measured during five field campaigns in 2007–2009 (Riikonen et al., 2011). The campaign measurements were normally carried out between 8 am and 4 pm. The measured light and CO₂

140 responses of leaf-level CO₂ exchange were scaled to the stand-level using the forest stand gas exchange model SPP (Mäkelä et al., 2006) and meteorological measurements from Kumpula (See Sect. 2.3). The optimal stomatal control model (Hari et al., 1986) was used as the photosynthesis model in SPP. The stand-level Stand-level photosynthetic responses were used to derive stomatal conductance parameters representative of *Tilia* and *Alnus* street trees in the SUEWS model (See Sect. 2.4.3).

To get estimation for form an estimate of whole-tree transpiration, sap flow sf_m (l m⁻² h⁻¹ or mm h⁻¹) was measured with 145 Granier type a Granier-type heat dissipation sensor pair (Hölttä et al., 2015) from three *Tilia* and three *Alnus* trees (Riikonen et al., 2016). The measured sap flow was divided with the projected canopy area (PCA) and averaged over the trees. The

Table 1. Site characteristics and model parameters for Tilia and Alnus sites in Viikki, Helsinki.

Variable	Tilia site	Alnus site
Latitude	60° 13' 32.60' N	60° 13' 35.58" N
Longitude	25° 0' 46.34" E	25° 1' 40.97" E
Time zone	2	2
Modelling height (m)	31	31
Altitude (m)	5	5
	9	6
LCZ-Local Climate Zone (LCZ)		
	1.50	2.19
A Area (na)		
fraction	0.02	0.20
J. build	0.59	0.57
$\frac{fr_{paved}}{Paved}$ Paved fraction	0.07	0.07
	0.23	0.21
$\frac{fr_{decid}}{2}$ Deciduous tree fraction		
	0.16	0.02
f_{bsoit} Bare soil fraction		
	12.20	5.90
26 Dunung neight (iii)	5 40 0 4C	7 14 16 66
$\frac{z_t}{Tree height}$ (m) ^[a]	5.48-8.40	/.14-10.00
Trunk diameter at breast height (cm) $^{[b]}$	11.1-13.9	12.4-16.1
Projected canopy area $(m^2)^{[b]}$	8.9-10.6	3.5-6.0
Daytime population density $(inh \cdot ha^{-1})^{[c]}$	0.001	8.887
Night-time population density (inh \cdot ha ⁻¹)	0.001	109.590
Traffic rate (veh km \cdot m ⁻² \cdot dav ⁻¹) ^[d]	0.006	0.018
······································		

[a] Tree height grows exponentially through the years

[b] Measured in 2008-2011

[c] HSY (2011)

[d] HEL (2016)

measurements Measurements were available for summers 2008–2011and only, and only the months from June to August were used in this study to evaluate the SUEWS model. The time lag between the sap flow measurements, transpiration, and environmental conditions varied between 30–90-30 to 90 min (Riikonen et al., 2016). The best fit between transpiration and sap flow measurements for the most cases was found with a 60 min lag timeand that, which was chosen for the whole study

150

period.

Soil volumetric water content (SWC), also used to evaluate SUEWS model performance, was measured at the below-surface depths of 10 and 30 cm below the surface with Theta-probes with Theta probes (ML2x, Delta T Devices Ltd., Cambridge, UK). SWC was averaged over different various trees, soil types, and depths separately for the Tilia and Alnus sites.

The soil carbon stock measurements used to evaluate the Yasso model were available in for 2002, 2005, 2008, 2011, and 2014 (Riikonen et al., 2017). The soil Soil samples were collected in autumn from each soil type from depths varying between 30 to 90 cm.

2.3 Meteorological measurements

Meteorological variables used to force the models with hourly resolution for years 2002–2016 were primarily from the nearby 160 (4 km) SMEAR III urban measurement station in Kumpula (Järvi et al., 2009). Air temperature (T_{air}) (Pt-100, "in-house"), wind speed (u, v, z) (Thies Clima 2.1x, Gottingen, Germany), and incoming shortwave radiation (K_{\downarrow}) (CNR1, Kipp& Zonen, Delft, the Netherlands) were measured on from the top of a 31 meters m high measurement mast. Air pressure (DPA500, Vaisala Oyj, Vantaa, Finland), relative humidity (HMP243, Vaisala Oyj), and precipitation (rain gauge, Pluvio2, Ott Messtechnik GmbH, Germany) were measured on the roof of a nearby building at 24 m above the ground. Additional precipitation

165 measurements started began in 2014 (PWD-11, Vaisala Oyj), and these were primarily used when available due to their better higher quality than the Ott measurements.

In order to To create continuous meteorological forcing files for the modelled years, missing data from Kumpula were gap filled gap-filled with observations from a station at Helsinki-Vantaa airporthosted by, hosted by the Finnish Meteorological Institute and located 10 km northwest from Viikki. More detailed information of the gap filling gap-filling procedure is given in Appendix A.

2.4 SUEWS

170

The Surface Urban Energy and Water balance Balance Scheme (SUEWS) was originally developed to simulate the urban surface energy and water balance at a local or neighborhood neighbourhood scale (Järvi et al., 2011; Ward et al., 2016). The model includes several submodels for net all-wave radiation (Offerle et al., 2003), storage (Grimmond et al., 1991; Sun et al.,

- 175 2017), and anthropogenic heat fluxes, snow, and irrigation (Järvi et al., 2014) to take appropriately account for urban features in the balances appropriately into account(see Appendix B). Recently, the surface-atmosphere surface-atmosphere exchange of anthropogenic and biogenic CO_2 have been included to the modelinto the model, providing integrated information of the energy, water, and CO_2 cycles in urban areas, including the impact of increased air temperatures on the water and CO_2 cycles (Järvi et al., 2019). This study used the most recent SUEWS version available (V2020a). The model is forced with commonly
- 180 measured meteorological variables , such as , such as wind speed, wind direction, air temperature, air pressure, precipitation, and shortwave radiation. Specific site information are also needed in the model simulations, such as, e.g. surface cover fractions, and tree and building heights.

2.4.1 Biogenic CO₂ flux

The biogenic Biogenic CO₂ flux components include the carbon uptake by photosynthesis (F_{GPP}) and carbon emissions by

185 vegetation respiration (F_R). Soil respiration can be included if integrated vegetation and soil parameters are used in the model runs. An empirical canopy-level photosynthesis model (Järvi et al., 2019) was used for the connection of transpiration to photosynthesis via stomatal conductance , and its dependency on local environmental conditions. F_{GPP} (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) for deciduous trees is calculated from

$$F_{GPP} = fr_{decid}F_{GPP,max,decid}LAI_{decid}g(T_{air})g(\Delta q)g(\Delta \theta)g(K_{\downarrow}), \tag{1}$$

190 where the potential photosynthesis ($F_{GPP,max,decid}$) is scaled with leaf area index (LAI_{decid}, m² m⁻²), surface cover fraction (fr_{decid}), and by the environmental response functions $g(T_{air})$, $g(\Delta q)$, $g(\Delta \theta)$, and $g(K_{\downarrow})$ on air temperature, specific humidity deficit, soil moisture deficit, and shortwave radiation, respectively. The functions have forms (Ward et al., 2016)

$$g(K_{\downarrow}) = \frac{K_{\downarrow}/(G_2 + K_{\downarrow})}{K_{\downarrow,max}/(G_2 + K_{\downarrow,max})},$$
(2)

195
$$g(\Delta q) = G_3 + (1 - G_3)G_4^{\Delta q},$$
 (3)

$$g(T_{air}) = \frac{(T_{air} - T_L)(T_H - T_{air})^{T_C}}{(G_5 - T_L)(T_H - G_5)^{T_C}},$$
(4)

where

$$T_C = \frac{(T_H - G_5)}{(G_5 - T_L)},\tag{5}$$

200 and

205

$$g(\Delta\theta) = \frac{1 - \exp(G_6(\Delta\theta - \Delta\theta_{WP}))}{1 - \exp(-G_6\Delta\theta_{WP})}.$$
(6)

Parameter Parameters $G_2 - G_6$ describe the responses of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance on to each environmental variable. $K_{\downarrow,max}$ (W m⁻²) is the maximum observed shortwave radiation, T_L and T_H (°C) are the lower and upper limits for temperature to determine when photosynthesis and transpiration switch off, and $\Delta\theta_{WP}$ (mm) is the wilting point deficit. The variables Δq (g kg⁻¹), K_{\downarrow} (W m⁻²), and T_{air} (°C) are given to the model as an input at of the modelling height, typically well-above well above the urban surface, but SUEWS has an option to model local values of Δq and T_{air} at 2-m height (Sun and Grimmond, 2019) allowing to take into account a 2 m height (Sun and Grimmond, 2019; Tang et al., 2021).

which allows account for the impact of local climate conditions on the spatial variability of F_{GPP} . $\Delta\theta$ (mm) is simulated within SUEWS (Järvi et al., 2017).

In SUEWS, F_R increases exponentially with measured input or modelled local air temperature. Air temperature is used instead of soil temperature due to its common availability. F_R (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) is simulated with empirical constants *a* and *b* following

$$F_R = fr_{decid} max(a_{decid} \cdot exp(T_{air}b_{decid}), 0.6).$$
⁽⁷⁾

The lower limit of F_R (0.6 μ molm⁻²s⁻¹) takes into account earbon emissions in winter that can not wintertime carbon emissions that cannot be achieved with the simple exponential model (Järvi et al., 2019). In this study, F_R included only aboveground respirationas the above-ground respiration, as soil respiration was determined with Yasso (see Sect. 2.5). In order to To correctly simulate the carbon sequestration and respiration of street trees, the empirical parameters in both Eq. (1) and (7) were derived from urban leaf-level photosynthetic observations for deciduous street trees in Helsinki (Riikonen et al., 2011) (See Sect. 2.4.3).

220 2.4.2 Evapotranspiration

The latent heat flux (Q_E , W m⁻²), including both evaporation and transpiration, is calculated with the modified Penman– Monteith equation for urban areas (Grimmond and Oke, 1991)

$$Q_E = \frac{s(Q^* + Q_F - \Delta Q_s) + \rho c_p V P D / r_{av}}{s + \gamma (1 + r_s / r_{av})},$$
(8)

where Q^* (W m⁻²) is the net all-wave radiation, Q_F (W m⁻²) the anthropogenic heat flux, ΔQ_S (W m⁻²) the net storage heat flux, ρ (kg m⁻³) the density of air air density, c_p (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹) the specific heat capacity of air at constant pressure, VPD (Pa) the vapour pressure deficit, s (Pa °C⁻¹) the slope of the saturation vapour pressure curve, γ (Pa °C⁻¹) the psychrometric constant, r_{av} (s m⁻¹) the aerodynamic resistance for water vapour, and r_s (s m⁻¹) the surface resistance. The surface resistance, or its

inverse surface conductance g_s (m s⁻¹), depends on the same environmental factors as photosynthesis (Ward et al., 2016)

$$g_s = \frac{1}{r_s} = g_{max,decid} \frac{LAI_{decid}}{LAI_{max,decid}} fr_{decid} G_1 g(T_{air}) g(\Delta q) g(\Delta \theta) g(K_{\downarrow}), \tag{9}$$

230 where the maximum conductance $g_{max,decid}$ is scaled with maximum leaf area index (LAI_{max,decid}), fr_{decid} and the environmental response functions. G_1 (mm s⁻¹) is a constant obtained from latent heat (Q_E) and sensible heat (Q_H , W m⁻²) observations and it connects stomatal conductance to canopy conductance.

2.4.3 Fitting environmental response functions

To get correct response of obtain a correct response from street trees to environmental factors in SUEWS, the environmental response functions $(g(T_{air}), g(\Delta q), g(\Delta \theta), \text{ and } g(K_{\downarrow}))$ in Eqs. (1) and (9), were separately fitted for *Tilia* and *Alnus* trees using a non-linear least-square method. In a previous study at the Tilia site, similar fittings were made but only to fit $F_{GPP,max}$ and $g(\Delta q)$, assuming the other function forms from a park located in England (Järvi et al., 2019). To get obtain more precise parameters to describe the behaviour of street treesstreet tree behaviour, all the response functions were fitted against observations to get parameters $G_2 - G_6$ and $F_{GPP,max}$.



Figure 2. The fitted dependencies of surface conductance on environmental factors for (a) incoming shortwave radiation K_{\downarrow} , (b) specific humidity deficit Δq , (c) air temperature T_{air} , and (d) soil moisture deficit $\Delta \theta$ in SUEWS separately for Tilia (black solid line) and Alnus (red dashed line) trees.

- 240 The previously Previously calculated stand-level photosynthesis estimates for 2016 were used in the fitting as a dependent variable while for independent variables observed T_{air}, Δq, and K_↓ from Kumpula and SWC from the study sites were used as independent variables. Fitting was made when K_↓ > 10 W m⁻² and Δq > 1 g kg⁻¹, as otherwise the stomatal conductance may deviate from the fits seen in Fig. 2 (Bosveld and Bouten, 2001). This resulted all together in a total of 2492 data points. In the fitting, a bootstrapping method was used by randomly selecting 100 times 7/8th randomly selecting seven eighths of the available observations with the final parameters calculated as medians with uncertainty from the fittings. Table 2 gives the fitted
- parameter values needed in Eqs. (2)–(6). In the calculation of When calculating $g(\Delta\theta)$ wilting point (WP), WP is needed to calculate the limit $\Delta\theta_{WP}$. A site specific site-specific estimate for $\Delta\theta_{WP}$ was calculated with soil information from Riikonen et al. (2011).

Figure 2 shows the environmental response functions and their dependence on the corresponding variable. The parameter values are $G_2 = 476.727 \pm 2.324$ W m⁻², $G_3 = 0.661 \pm 0.011$, $G_4 = 0.891 \pm 0.007$, $G_5 = 30.000 \pm 0.000$ °C, $G_6 = 0.361 \pm 0.042$ mm⁻¹, and $F_{GPP,max,decid} = 8.346 \pm 0.035 \ \mu$ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ for the Tilia site. Similarly for the Alnus site $G_2 = 474.483 \pm 2.046$ W m⁻², $G_3 = 0.800 \pm 0.004$, $G_4 = 0.901 \pm 0.010$, $G_5 = 30.000 \pm 0.000$ °C, $G_6 = 0.083 \pm 0.001$ mm⁻¹, and $F_{GPP,max,decid} = 13.178 \pm 0.073 \ \mu$ mol m⁻²s⁻¹.

The respiration parameters a and b in Eq. (7) were obtained by fitting canopy-level respiration estimates from the street trees for year 2016 against air temperature measurements from Kumpula. The estimations represent respiration from leaves and branches. To estimate whole tree whole-tree respiration, one third of the canopy respiration was added to the values before the fittings to represent respiration from the trunk. Using the bootstrapping method described above, for Tilia site, parameter values $a = 0.78 \pm 0.002$ and $b = 0.08 \pm 0.0001$, and for Alnus site are obtained for the Tilia site and $a = 1.11 \pm 0.003$ and $b = 0.08 \pm 0.0001$ are obtained for the Alnus site.

260 2.4.4 SUEWS run

SUEWS was run around the street trees tree sites within modelling areas of 1.5 ha at the Tilia site and 2.19 ha at the Alnus site (Fig. 1). The first modelled year 2002 was used as a spin-up year, leaving 2003–2016 for the analysis on carbon balance

Table 2. SUEWS parameters used to simulate photosynthesis, respiration, and transpiration of the studied street trees.

Parameter	Tilia site	Alnus site	Reference
$LAI_{decid,max} (m^2 m^{-2})$	4.80	4.80	Breuer et al. (2003), Eschenbach and Kappen (1996)
Soil depth _{decid} (m)	1.00	1.00	Riikonen et al. (2011)
Soil water storage capacity $_{decid}(m)$	0.14	0.14	
$F_{pho,max,decid} \ (\mu \mathrm{mol} \ \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	8.3463	13.1778	This study
$g_{max,decid} \ (\mathrm{mm} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	3.1	8.7	Breuer et al. (2003), Eschenbach and Kappen (1999)
G_1	3.5	3.5	
G_2	476.7266	474.4833	This study
G_3	0.6613	0.8001	This study
G_4	0.8907	0.8013	This study
G_5	30	30	Ward et al. (2016), this study
G_6	0.3612	0.0827	This study
$\Delta heta_{WP}$ (mm)	132	132	This study
$K_{\downarrow,max} (\mathrm{W} \mathrm{m}^{-2})$	1200	1200	Järvi et al. (2014)
T_L (°C)	-10	-10	Ward et al. (2016)
T_H (°C)	55	55	Ward et al. (2016)
a_{decid}	0.78	1.11	This study
b_{decid}	0.08	0.08	This study

carbon balance analysis. Years 2008–2011 were used to evaluate the model against the street tree observations. The hourly meteorological forcing data were used to force the model, however; however, the model calculations had a time step of 5 min.
265 The surface cover fractions and building heights (Table 1) for both sites were obtained from an airborne laser scanning data with a resolution of 1 m (StromJan, 2020). The modelling areas had buildings, paved surfaces, bare soil, grass, and deciduous trees. As SUEWS gives provides integrated evapotranspiration, photosynthesis, and respiration for the whole simulation domain, grass surfaces present in the areas were set to as impervious surfaces. This had a minor impact on modelled local air temperature (on average averaging 0.16 °C warmer in summer) and humidity, and furthermore on tree functioning, but this was seen
270 considered a more suitable approach when model outputs were compared with tree observations.

The trees at both sites were planted in 2002 and as SUEWS does not currently include tree growth, information of the development of the trees tree development during the modelled period were obtained from the local measurements. Tree height and maximum LAI were given to the SUEWS as model input for each year, whereas the seasonal development of LAI was based on growing degree days within the model. The tree Tree heights were measured from 2002 until 2011 (Riikonen et al.,

275 2016) and as the tree growths follow exponential curves, the same exponential growth was assumed for the rest of the years. The maximum LAI for both *Tilia* and *Alnus* trees was set to 4.8 m² m⁻², as obtained for *Tilia cordata* in Breuer et al. (2003) and *Alnus glutinosa* in Eschenbach and Kappen (1996), respectively. The observations as such were not used for the maximum LAI, as they present values for individual trees and not for neighborhood_the neighbourhood (stand) level, as expected by SUEWS.

- 280 The vegetation type specific type-specific maximum stomatal conductance values ($g_{max,decid}$) needed in the model input are significantly different between the two tree species. Alnus glutinosa have larger water use than Tilia x vulgaris. Similarly to maximum LAI values, $g_{max,decid} = 8.7$ mm s⁻¹ were chosen for the Alnus site based on a study made in Germany (Eschenbach and Kappen, 1999), and $g_{max,decid} = 3.1$ mm s⁻¹ was chosen for the Tilia site based on Breuer et al. (2003).
- The modelled soil depth under the street trees was 1 mand, and a soil water storage capacity of 0.141 m was calculated from laboratory measurements. The amount of water water quantity in the top 1 m of soil was not sufficient to maintain the high transpiration rates of *Alnus* trees. This can may be due to many different reasons, reasons; for example, that street trees may not receive enough drainage from paved areas in the model, or tree roots may reach deeper than 1 m, from where they may receive more water if they reach groundwater, which SUEWS can not cannot take into account yet. In order to To estimate tree transpiration correctly in the Alnus site, a modified simulation was run with additional water input (0.06 mm h⁻¹) to represent
- 290 the groundwater intakewas madegroundwater intake. The limit was chosen by sensitivity testing such that the soil does not dry and limit the modelled transpiration. The model run without water input is hereafter called the base run and the modified run the final run (See Sect. 3.1.2).

2.5 Yasso

Yasso15 (Viskari et al., 2020) is the most recent version of the soil carbon decomposition model Yasso (Tuomi et al., 2009;

- Liski et al., 2005), where the rate of decomposition decomposition rate depends on climatic conditions and the chemical composition of the soil organic matter. The model can be run as on an annual or monthly basis. The annual Annual precipitation, air temperature, and air temperature amplitude, or monthly precipitation and monthly average air temperatures are needed as model drivers. The model simulates the change in carbon stock based on the balance between the decomposition of soil organic matter and possible litter input. The decomposition rate varies for the four carbon compound groups included in the model:
 compounds soluble in ethanol (E) , or in water (W), and compounds hydrolysable in acid (A)and, and compounds that are neither soluble nor hydrolysable at all (N). There is also a mass flow towards recalcitrant humus (H). Litter input can be added into the model, such as , leaf or fine root litter and woody litter such as branches, stems, and coarse roots. The AWENH ratios are defined for the initial soil carbon pool and for the litter input separately. The parameters for decomposition rates of different
- In this study, a monthly time-step time step was used to simulate the SOC at the study sites. The model was forced with 2-meter 2 m local air temperature estimations simulated by SUEWS (Sun and Grimmond, 2019; Tang et al., 2021) and precipitation measurements from Kumpula, using the monthly precipitation and mean temperature for each month. As the streets were build built in 2002 and the initial soil carbon amount and composition were known, the initial carbon pool was given to entered into the model. The decomposition rates for each chemical compound were estimated based on the soil composition

various compounds are based on global litter decomposition measurements.

310 (Table 3). The organic matter in soil 1 was peat, therefore and AWENH fractions for peat were therefore chosen (Kalliokoski et al., 2019). The decomposition matter in soil 2 was a mixture of peat, sewage sludge, and pine bark, but the shares of the

Table 3. AWENH fractions used in the Yasso model runs for the soil types and for fine roots.

	А	W	Е	Ν	Н	Reference
Soil 1	0.0633	0.0077	0.0026	0.8421	0.0842	Kalliokoski et al. (2019)
Soil 2	0.618	0.049	0.023	0.311	0.000	Heikkinen et al. (2021)
Soil 3	0.408	0.198	0.099	0.295	0.000	Aleksi Lehtonen, personal communication
Fine roots	0.551	0.133	0.067	0.250	0.000	Akujärvi et al. (2014)
Branches	0.4747	0.0190	0.0783	0.4302	0.0000	Aleksi Lehtonen, personal communication

components were not known. For the soil 2, we used AWENH values determined for a mixture of composted sludge (70%) and peat litter (30%) (Heikkinen et al., 2021). Finally, soil 3 had only leaf compost as a the sole decomposition matter, therefore the AWENH of birch leaves (Personal communication with Aleksi Lehtonen) were used. Air temperature goes below freezing

- 315 during the studied periodbut typically the snow cover prevents, but snow cover typically prevents the soil from freezing. Even if there would be some ice formation some ice is formed in the soil, notable share of the soil water a notable soil water share would still be in liquid phase and the soil temperature stays would remain close to zero. Also, in Yasso there is no Yasso does not incorporate a mechanism to account for completely frozen soil. Thus, the decomposition rate in the model runs the decomposition rate follows the changes in air temperature, also in frozen conditions.
- 320 The aboveground Above-ground litter was assumed to contribute only a little slightly in the urban SOC stock, because it was mostly removed from the sites. Therefore, the effect of leaves was ignored in the local SOC estimations, whereas, the impact to their impact to the total carbon sequestration of street trees was estimated also with aboveground litter above-ground litter, i.e. leaves and pruned branches. The pruned branches were estimated to be on-average 0.5 cm of their diameter, in diameter, with their AWENH fractions that of a equalling that of woody matter (Table 3), and the annual amount-number of pruned branches
- and their carbon <u>levels</u> were based on <u>a</u> previous estimate (0.18 kg C per tree, Riikonen et al., 2017). The AWENH shares in the leaves were estimated to be of birch leaves (Table 3). The leaf biomass for the study trees was estimated in 2005, 2008, and 2011 (Riikonen et al., 2017). The missing years <u>in between in between</u> were linearly interpolated. The growth rate before the first and after the last observations were extrapolated using the growth rates estimated between the first two and last two <u>measurementmeasurements</u>, respectively. However, the litter input of fine roots needs to be taken into account in the local SOC
- estimations, as those naturally stay remain in the soil. The annual root litter input was estimated assuming that the fine root biomass equals that of leaves and the life time lifetime of fine roots was one year. The roots were assumed to be evenly spread in the soil volume, which were approximately 20 m³ and 48 m³ for Alnus and Tilia, respectively. The annual estimates were assumed to evenly distribute over the months. The AWEN AWENH shares in the root litter were estimated to be as in Akujärvi et al. (2014) (Table 3)and, and the carbon content in the fine root litter was estimated to be 50%. The model run without roots is hereafter called the base run and the model run with roots is the final run (See Sect. 3.2).

2.6 Model evaluation and statistics

The modelled soil moisture from SUEWS was evaluated against observations to examine the simulation of water balance in the model. Additionally, the performance of the surface conductance and photosynthesis models were evaluated against transpiration estimations from sap flow and leaf gas exchange measurements. The evaluation years were 2008–2011, when most of the measurements were qualitable. Only months from June to August were included in the evaluation between

340 most of the measurements were available. Only months from June to August were included in the evaluation, however, ... However, measurements in 2008 measurements were available only were only available for July and August.

In order to compare the To compare modelled and observed soil moisture, the modelled soil moisture deficits ($\Delta\theta$) were changed to soil water contents (SWC). The observed SWC. Observed SWC is an average from depths-measured from depths of 10 and 30 cmwhereas the , whereas modelled SWC represents the average from the whole modelling area, excluding soil

345

beneath buildings. The modelled Modelled soil depth depends on the surface type, varying between 23 cm for paved areas and 1 m for the street trees. Thus, for the comparisons, both observed and modelled SWC have been normalized between 0 (dry soils) and 1 (wet soils) for each year.

In SUEWS, the evapotranspiration for the whole simulation area is estimated from the modified Penman–Monteith model (Eq. 8). The However, the sap flow measurements, against which SUEWS was evaluated, however provide estimation for

- 350 transpiration of street trees provide an estimation for street tree transpiration only. To overcome the different representativeness of the model and observations, comparisons between the two were only made for hours with no rain and over two hours after each rain event. The model output was scaled with street tree surface fraction to get_obtain the transpiration per tree area. Similarly, the observed sap flow was scaled with projected canopy area (PCA) to estimate the tree transpiration per tree area. The lag time between the sap flow measurements and the modelled transpiration was taken into account (See Sect. 2.2).
- 355 Simulated CO_2 uptake by photosynthesis and emissions by respiration were evaluated against leaf-level measurements that were scaled to the canopy level for year 2016. These measurements were used for the stomatal conductance model parameter

were scaled to the canopy level for year 2016. These measurements were used for the stomatal conductance model parameter fittings in SUEWS and thus are not an independent data set. However, the comparison was made to show that SUEWS indeed reproduces similar responses to environmental conditions as the estimations from leaf-level measurements.

Yasso model simulations were compared with the carbon pool estimates driven from LOI based LOI based soil carbon 360 contents. The proportion of carbon in the LOI was assumed as 0.56 (Hoogsteen et al., 2015). However, the first measurements

contents. The proportion of carbon in the LOI was assumed as 0.56 (Hoogsteen et al., 2015). However, the first measurements point in 2002 was not used in the model evaluation, as it was given to the model.

SUEWS can consider increase increases in tree height and increase increases of the canopy horizontally through surface cover fractions, but it cannot currently take densification of the canopy into account. This however must be taken into account account for canopy densification. However, this must be considered when calculating the long-term carbon sequestration of

365 street tree plantings. In the calculation of When calculating the carbon sequestration of the street tree plantings for 2003–2016, the modelled tree gas exchanges were thus scaled with measured leaf area (LA) to obtain the densification of the canopy to obtain canopy densification. The canopy was allowed to grow (densify) between 2002 and 2008after which the, after which its growth was assumed to cease due to regular pruning of the trees. The calculations for annual carbon sequestration and respiration were done-performed based on how much space was allocated to one street tree. The soil-Soil respiration was

scaled to \underline{a} 25 m² area typical for street trees, and the trees were scaled to 9.5 and 4.7 m² for the Tilia and Alnus sitesites, respectively, based on estimations of canopy area canopy area estimations from Riikonen et al. (2016). The soil respiration estimation was an average from of the three soil types.

A simplified estimation of carbon sequestration potential throughout the expected street tree lifespan was made using both models. The estimation was made for 30 years (2002–2031) after the street tree planting, as the expected lifespan of a street

375 tree is approximately 20–30 years (Roman and Scatena, 2011). For SUEWS, both annual photosynthesis and plant respiration were averaged from pruned pruning years (2008–2016) and assumed that the calculated average rate rates of photosynthesis and plant respiration will continue for 2017–2031. For Yasso runs, the mean monthly air temperature and precipitation were used from for the same years with stable root litter input. In addition to these runs, the change in soil carbon pool was simulated in a scenario where aboveground above-ground litter (i.e. leaves and pruned branches) was kept at the site. The latter reveals the actual sequestration potential, as the litter produced by these trees is eausing causes emissions outside the sites.

Common statistical metrics are used to evaluate the model performance, including , root-mean-square error (RMSE), normalized RMSE (nRMSE), mean bias error (MBE) , and normalized MBE (nMBE). The RMSE is done with RMSE is calculated with the summed square of residuals:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n}},$$
(10)

385 where \hat{y}_i is the modelled and y_i the measurement value. The normalization of RMSE is done performed with maximum and minimum values of the observations:

$$nRMSE = \frac{RMSE}{y_{i,max} - y_{i,min}}.$$
(11)

The MBE is defined as follows:

$$MBE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)$$
(12)

and similarly to nRMSE, the nMBE is calculated using maximum and minimum values of the observations. The normalized metrics are mainly used in the analysis, as they allow comparison between different scales. The various scales, nRMSE is used to evaluate the accuracy of the models and the , and nMBE indicates whether the models have a systematic over- or underestimation.

3 Results

395 3.1 SUEWS model performance

3.1.1 Soil moisture

Simulated soil moisture covaried with the observations at both sites, as shown in Fig. 3. The model Model performance was reasonably good, the nRMSE varied between 0.13 - 0.22 and 0.22 at the Tilia site, whereas and between 0.16 and 0.23 at the



Figure 3. Modelled (MOD, blue) and observed (OBS, black) 1-day running mean of normalized soil water content (SWC) from June to August in 2008–2011. The normalization uses minimum and maximum values of modelled and observed SWC, respectively. The normalization is **done** performed separately for each year.

- Alnus site it varied between 0.16–0.23 (Table 4). In general, the Tilia site was more moist than Alnus site the Alnus site, as also the observed groundwater level was continuously high and the catchment area large, whereas the Alnus site was fed mainly mainly fed with local rainfall (Riikonen et al., 2011). For the summers from 2008 to 2011, the SWC was on average SWC averaged 27 and 13% for the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively. The model was not always able to catch the changes in SWC at Tilia site particularly in early summers the Tilia site, particularly in the early summers of 2009 and 2011 (Fig. 3b, d). At the Alnus site, SUEWS was able to simulate SWC reasonably well (Fig. 3e–h). However, the base run showed on a few occasions
- 405 exhaustion of the soil moisture the base run showed soil moisture exhaustion under the street trees, which can be seen when the normalized modelled SWC approaches zero.

3.1.2 Transpiration

SUEWS was able to simulate the observed diurnal dynamics of tree transpiration at the Tilia site (Fig. 4 a). At the same time, SUEWS underestimated transpiration greatly at Concurrently, SUEWS greatly underestimated transpiration at the Alnus site when transpiration was compared with sap flow in the base run (Fig. 4 b). The model Model performance improved on a diurnal

410 when transpiration was compared with sap flow in the base run (Fig. 4 b). The model Model performance improved on a diurnal scale in the final runwhen a, when an additional external water input of 0.06 mm h⁻¹ was included into in the soil to represent the groundwater input to the tree roots.

The diurnal maximum of observed transpiration reached 0.27 mm h^{-1} at the Tilia site in the morning. The model did not show the morning maximum and overestimated slightly slightly overestimated the daytime transpiration with maxima maximal

values reaching 0.38 mm h⁻¹. At the Alnus site, the modelled median transpiration reached 0.42 and 1.12 mm h⁻¹ for the base run and final run, respectively, whereas the estimated transpiration from sap flow measurements was 1.12 mm h⁻¹ (Fig. 4b).

Figure 5 shows the correlation between hourly values of modelled transpiration and transpiration estimated from sap flow measurements for summers from 2008 to 2011 separately for the two sites. The model performance varied between the different

Site Year RMSE nRMSE MBE nMBE Ν 2008 0.13 0.04 2185 _ _ Tilia 2009 0.23 0.25 2012 _ 2010 0.13 0.19 2185 _ SWC 2011 0.22 _ 0.30 2185 2008 0.23 -0.11 2185 _ _ Alnus 2009 0.21 -0.142080 _ _ 2010 0.16 -0.11 2185 _ _ 2011 0.20 -0.10 2185 _ _ 2008 0.10 0.06 820 0.34 0.21 Tilia 2009 0.12 0.27 0.02 0.05 1608 2010 0.13 0.14 -0.05 -0.05 1389 Transpiration 2011 0.11 0.34 0.08 0.25 1583 0.23 0.07 0.05 1029 2008 0.17 Alnus 2009 0.24 0.13 -0.09 -0.05 1691 2010 0.23 0.11 -0.31 -0.15 1380 2011 0.31 0.22 0.09 0.06 1585 Respiration Tilia 2016 0.13 0.02 0.02 0.00 2147 0.03 0.08 Alnus 2016 0.18 0.01 2147 Photosynthesis Tilia 2016 1.49 0.05 -0.36 -0.01 2147 Alnus 2016 2.32 0.05 -1.16 -0.03 2147

Table 4. SUEWS model	performance statistics for soil	water content (SWC), t	ranspiration, and the CO_2	exchange components a	t the Tilia
and Alnus sites.					



Figure 4. Median diurnal cycle of modelled transpiration (blue solid line) - and transpiration estimated from observed sap flow (black dashed line) from June to August 2008–2011 for (a) the Tilia site and (b) the Alnus site. In panel b, the red line represent represents model simulation without an additional water source (the base run). The shadings are the $\frac{2525th}{75th}$ percentiles.



Figure 5. Correlation between hourly values of modelled transpiration (MOD) and transpiration estimated from sap flow measurements (OBS) from June to August for the Tilia site (a–d) and Alnus site (e–h) for each year 2008–2011. The red dashed line is the 1:1 line, and the black solid line represents the linear fit.

years. At Tilia site the nRMSE years. The nRMSE at the Tilia site varied between 0.14 -0.34 whereas at Alnus site the and
0.34, whereas performance was slightly better at the Alnus site, as the values ranged between 0.11 -0.22 and 0.22. Moreover, the nMBE at the Tilia site the nMBE-varied between -0.05 -0.25 whereas the Alnus site the and 0.25, whereas performance was again better at the Alnus site, as the values ranged between -0.06. Both sites showed higher transpiration in 2010, with measured 95th percentiles reaching 0.68 and 1.83 mm h⁻¹ for the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively, whereas on other years the 95th percentiles during other years remained below 1.48 mm h⁻¹. The modelled transpiration at Alnus site was slightly underestimating the Alnus site slightly underestimated transpiration in 2010, as the nMBE was poor (-0.15) - even though nRMSE showed despite nRMSE showing good model performance (0.11).

3.1.3 Photosynthesis and respiration

Figure 6 shows the median diurnal behaviour of photosynthesis and autotrophic respiration over-from June to August for 2016. Both the photosynthesis and respiration were larger for the Alnus site. The daytime maxima photosynthesises maximal photosyntheses were 22.5 and 35.9 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively. Similarly, maximum respiration was higher at the Alnus site (5.1 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) than at the Tilia site (3.7 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). The model performed well at both sites, nRMSE nRMSEs for respiration were 0.02 and 0.03 for the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively, and photosynthesis was 0.05 for both sites. Although , the nMBE the nMBE values for photosynthesis were negative, the modelled underestimation of photosynthesis remained small.

435 3.2 Yasso model performance

Overall from 2002 until 2016, the soil carbon pool decreased from 14.5, 27.9, and 9.6 kg C m⁻² to 5.1, 4.5, and 1.7 kg C m⁻² for the Tilia site for soil soil 1, 2, and 3, respectively, and to 5.7, 5.4, and 2.2 kg C m⁻² for the Alnus site (Fig. 7). Yasso



Figure 6. Median diurnal cycle of modelled (blue line) and observed (black dashed line) CO_2 emissions in tree respiration (a-b) and photosynthesis (c-d) from June to August 2016 for the Tilia site (a,c) and Alnus site (b,d). The shadings show the 2525th/75-75th percentiles.

model performance was evaluated using only four measurement points in time and therefore, the following statistical values should be treated with caution. The model Model performance was best in soil 3, as nMBE was lowest at both sites (Table

- 440 5). Yasso underestimated the soil carbon pool in soil 2 at both sites whereas the performance was mixed, whereas it showed mixed performance in soil 1 (Fig. 7). In general, the nRMSE ranged from 0.59 to 0.88 at the Tilia site, indicating better model performance than at the Alnus site, with values ranging where values ranged from 0.73 to 1.36 (Table 5). Overall, the nMBE showed also also showed better performance at the Tilia site, with values ranging from -0.91 to -0.75, whereas at the Alnus site, the range was values ranged from -1.63 to 2.21 at the Alnus site. The role of decomposing fine roots was small and barely detectable before the later phase of the simulation period, as seen in the model run with roots deviating very little from the base 445
- run without roots (Fig. 7).

Carbon sequestration 3.3

The seasonal distribution of tree gas exchange and soil respiration slightly varied between the years (Fig. 8). The tree canopy area grew until 2008, after which the canopy was regularly pruned, and the annual changes in carbon sequestration and tree respiration were then mainly due to the prevailing weather. Autotrophic respiration was at its highest in July, while photo-450 synthesis peaked in either June or July depending on the year. In 2010, the model estimated the highest monthly autotrophic respiration rates in July, with values 0.16 and 0.22 kg C m⁻² month⁻¹ for the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively. However, the maxima maximal photosynthesis values were simulated in July 2014, with values 0.39 and 0.63 kg C m⁻² month⁻¹ for



Figure 7. Modelled monthly soil carbon stock using Yasso without roots (dashed black line) and with roots (dashed blue line) from 2002 to 2016, and measured average LOI based loss-on-ignition -based soil carbon stock estimations (\pm SD) (red dots) for the three studied soil types at the Tilia site (a–c) and for the Alnus site (d–f).

Site	Soil	RMSE	nRMSE	MBE	nMBE
	Soil 1	2.05	0.62	-2.78	-0.84
Tilia	Soil 2	3.53	0.59	-5.42	-0.91
	Soil 3	1.27	0.88	-1.08	-0.75
Alnus	Soil 1	1.20	0.73	3.62	2.21
	Soil 2	2.63	0.94	-4.56	-1.63
	Soil 3	0.74	1.36	0.18	0.33

Table 5. *Yasso model performance statistics for soil carbon stock at the Tilia and Alnus sites by soil type.*

the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively. Leaf onset began began at different times in different years depending on the simulated
growing degree days, leading to a difference of up to 20 days in the model simulations. This is most evident in May 2015, when photosynthesis was 0.16 kg C m⁻² month⁻¹, which is only 55% of the largest photosynthesis highest photosynthesis level in May (in 2016). However, photosynthesis did not differ from the other years on an annual basis because the growing season lasted longer in 2015, with vegetation remaining more active even in August when compared to compared with the other years. Soil respiration estimations (Fig. 8e, f) were higher in the initial years after the street construction. In July 2004,
the model estimated highest soil respiration rates of 0.73 kg C m⁻² month⁻¹. After the initial soil carbon loss, the maximum monthly values ranged between 0.08 and 0.26 kg C m⁻² month⁻¹. According to the model, the highest monthly values could be reached from May to October, depending on the year. The variability in the seasonality of soil respiration soil respiration seasonality is due to both temperature and moisture. In June 2010, the average monthly temperature was exceptionally high



Figure 8. Simulated monthly street trees tree respiration (a,b), photosynthesis (c,d), and soil respiration (e,f) at the Tilia (a,c) and Alnus (b,d) sites during the simulation period (2003–2016).

(22.5 °C, however in August 2011,), although the monthly precipitation amount was high at level was high in August 2011
 (253.5 mm), leading to high soil respiration in both cases.

Over the whole study period (2003–2016), uptake by photosynthesis of the trees increased while the emissions from soil tree photosynthesis increased while soil emissions decreased (Fig. 9). As a result, the sites turned from annual CO_2 sources to being carbon neutral or even small sinks. The estimated annual uptake by photosynthesis varied between the years from



Figure 9. Estimated annual net exchange (NE, black) of street tree plantings, CO_2 uptake by photosynthesis (PHO SUEWS, dark blue), and emissions from tree respiration (RES SUEWS, light blue) simulated with SUEWS, and emissions from soil respiration simulated with Yasso (RES Yasso, light rose) at the Tilia (a) and Alnus (b) sites. Dashed The dashed line separates the actual simulations from the estimations made with mean meteorological forcing. Here, positive values indicate a release of CO_2 to the atmosphere and negative values indicate uptake from the atmosphere.

- 3.55 to 13.44 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Tilia site and from 2.68 to 10.73 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Alnus site. Similarly,
 respiration from trees tree respiration varied between 1.87 and 6.80 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Tilia site and 1.22 and 4.68 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Alnus site. Soil respiration varied from 6.16 to 56.68 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Tilia site and from 4.41 to 56.21 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Alnus site. Overall, the net exchange (NE) of street tree plantings varied between -0.86 and 54.92 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Tilia site and -1.82 and 54.70 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Alnus site.
- We also examined the carbon sequestration potential of the street tree plantings during their expected lifespan of 30 years. In 2031, the estimated annual NE-net exchange was -4.66 kg C year⁻¹ per tree at the Tilia site and -4.18 kg C year⁻¹ per tree at the Alnus site if we assume that the aboveground above-ground litter is removed from the site as previously (Fig. 9). The annual estimated uptake by photosynthesis was -12.83 and -10.22 kg C year⁻¹ per tree, respiration by trees was 6.35 and 4.36 kg C year⁻¹ per tree, and soil respiration was 1.81 and 1.68 kg C year⁻¹ per tree at the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively. The estimated annual sink was stronger due to the weakened soil respiration, as the soil carbon pool decreased over time. The NE net exchange was less negative if we considered also aboveground also considered above-ground litter. Leaves over the 30 year
- period accumulated earbon-1.0 and 4.3 kg C m⁻² at carbon in the *Tilia* and *Alnus* trees. Approximately -24% of their carbon accumulated to in soil carbon storage and the rest was emitted back to the atmosphere during the simulation period. As a result, soil respiration was 5 and 23% higher at the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively, in the scenario where the decomposition of leaves was taken into account that accounted for leaf decomposition. The estimated pruned branches accumulated earbon to soil storage approximately 50% of their carbon into soil storage during the simulation period. However, their respiration was

only 5% of that of the leaves that of leaf respiration, and their impact small to was small in the annual carbon sequestration estimations. Cumulatively over the 30 year period, the trees sequestered carbon 172 and 156 kg C per tree at the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively. At the Tilia site, the soil respired 390 kg C per tree, and the effect of the leaves and pruned branches added 41 kg C per tree to the estimations. At the Alnus site, the soil respiration was smaller (359 kg C per tree), however, yet the effect of the leaves and pruned branches was slightly larger (68 kg C per tree).

4 Discussion

490

In this work, we estimated the CO₂ exchange dynamics in common urban street trees and their growing media using validated models. We found that these ecosystems turned from source to sink sources to sinks of atmospheric carbon on an annual level during the first 14 years after soil preparation and plantation of the treestree planting. Cumulatively over the years, these street tree plantings would not become sinks until 30 years after the streets were built or even later (Riikonen et al., 2017). Commonly used methods to asses assess the carbon sequestration of street trees, such as i-Tree, estimate the sink strength with biomass equations and growth rate estimations (Nowak and Crane, 2000). However, these methods are unable to provide high temporal variations. Furthermore, these studies have been focusing mostly mainly focused on the carbon cycle of trees, leaving the soil carbon out of the estimations. The models used in this study allow to consider considering the temporal variations in urban carbon sequestration and respiration by vegetation and soil, and ean take they can account for climate and local meteorological conditions into account in their estimations.

The carbon sequestration by Urban areas are heterogeneous with variation in soil properties, plant species, and biomass. Even streets have diverse soil types, making it difficult to assess the carbon sequestration potential of street tree plantings. Here, we estimated the sequestration potential for street trees by utilizing an average calculated over diverse soil types and

- 505 taking into account the most common city-wide planting pocket size for street trees (25 m²). The carbon sequestration of each tree and the tree and soil beneath ranged from a strong carbon source to the atmosphere in the initial years (54.9 kg C year⁻¹ per tree) to a weak carbon sink at the end of the simulation period (-1.8 kg C year⁻¹ per tree). In the initial years after construction, the high soil carbon decomposition dominated the gas exchange. At the latest stages of the main study period, i.e. after app. 12-14 approximately 12-14 years, the soil respiration roughly equalled tree respiration (approximately 5 kg C year⁻¹ per tree) and photosynthesis balanced these two components.
 - The mean lifetime of street trees is estimated to be at only 20–30 years (Roman and Scatena, 2011). If we continued the simulations up to 30 years, the sink grew during the study period mainly as the because soil respiration decreased, and by the end of the simulation, the street tree plantings were clearly carbon sinks on an annual basis. However, cumulatively the street tree plantings remained sources of CO_2 to the atmosphere, mainly due to the their high soil respiration rates during
- 515 the first years after the plantationplanting. In the main simulations, the contribution of above-ground litter was excluded from the site based site-based estimations of carbon sequestration, as the initial aim was to test the soil module in the system where the a system where litter was removed. Nevertheless, the litter collected is part of the whole street tree carbon sequestration and even the decomposition of leaves if leaf decomposition did not happen on the street tree site, it probably

took place occurred somewhere else. Based on the 30 year simulations, soil respiration increased 5–23% due to the leaves, as

- 520 approximately 24% of their carbon would have accumulated to the soil carbon stock. On the other hand, the soil that caused notable initial emissions consisted of waste and residues (such as composted sewage sludge and leaf litter), which would have caused emissions even if not circulated as growing mediums. Therefore, the overall carbon sequestration potential of this kind of such street plantings should not be seen as negative, as these cumulative net exchange values indicate. As the growth rate changes are not included here and the study does not represent the full variety of soils, tree species, growing rates, or densities
- 525 used in street tree plantings, these simulations should not be <u>upscaled up-scaled</u> to a larger area without caution. Instead, these results highlight the importance of soil and its respiration in the urban carbon balance, which is often neglected in urban studies but as shown can have which can be of similar magnitude as tree carbon sequestration, as shown.

4.1 Dynamics of tree carbon gas exchange

We found that tree CO₂ exchange varied between days, seasons, and years due to changes in environmental factors, tree species, and tree size. The diurnal cycle of photosynthesis was mainly driven by the changes in incoming shortwave radiation, limiting the uptake at <u>night time_night-time</u> and on cloudy days. Additionally, the decrease in air humidity slightly limited the uptakeat day time. The seasonal daytime uptake. Seasonal variability was driven by variations in incoming shortwave radiation, air temperatureand LAI whereas the , and LAI, whereas year-to-year variability was driven by changes in air temperature and LAI only, as the growing season length varied by 26 days between the years , and therefore , and therefore had a clear impact on carbon sequestration. In this study, the size of the tree canopy was assumed to remain constant after 2008, which is why the annual variations in carbon sequestration and tree respiration thereafter were mainly determined by prevailing weather. These

- annual variations in carbon sequestration and tree respiration thereafter were mainly determined by prevailing weather. These street trees had access to water outside the growing medium, and therefore, the top 1 meter soil moisture therefore did not limit CO_2 uptake by photosynthesis in this study.
- Here, the annual tree respiration varied between 1.2 and 6.8 kg C year⁻¹ per tree and photosynthesis ranged between 2.7 and
 13.4 kg C year⁻¹ per tree. In the last simulation year (2016), the net uptakes were 7.0 and 6.2 kg C year⁻¹ per tree for the Tilia and Alnus sites, respectively. These estimations are lower than those resulted by resulting from other methods used to estimate carbon sequestrated sequestered by street trees in Europe. Russo et al. (2014) used models (UFORE and CUFR Tree Carbon Calculator), allometric equations, and field data to estimate the average aboveground above-ground carbon sequestration of street trees in Bolzano, Italy, ranging from 12.1 to 17.4 kg C year⁻¹ per tree. Moreover, street trees in Lisbon, Portugal , the street trees were estimated to sequester 43.1 kg C year⁻¹ per tree (Soares et al., 2011). However, the these street trees grew in a warmer temperate zone and were probably more mature and therefore, could could therefore sequester more carbon than the

younger trees examined in this study.

Tree biomass equations have been used to estimate the carbon accumulated to in woody biomass, roots, and leaves in 2003–2011 for the same street trees as used in this in our study. Riikonen et al. (2017) estimated that 26.1 and 38.2 kg C per tree for

550 *Tilia* and *Alnus* trees, respectively, was sequestrated sequestered during the first 10 years after planting. Correspondingly, 39.4 and 35.9 kg C per tree was estimated to accumulate based on the balance between simulated tree respiration and photosynthesis during the decade. However, respiration from roots root respiration was not taken into account in these simulations, which

would decrease the accumulated carbon estimations. Moreover, urban biomass estimations have still uncertainty still contain uncertainty, and Riikonen et al. (2017) noted that the estimation for *Tilia* trees might may be an underestimation. Furthermore,

- the i-Tree model has been used to estimate the carbon sequestration of potential *Tilia* trees in Helsinki, using weather from Maine, USA (Ariluoma et al., 2021). The sequestration potential in 50 years was at best 1.7 t CO_2 at best, corresponding on average to 7.6 kg C year⁻¹ per tree. The estimation was possibly overestimating. This estimation possibly overestimated the carbon sequestration potential in Helsinkias the weather from Maine had more precipitation than what has been observed in , as Maine has higher precipitation levels than Helsinki. In addition, how the models handle leaves varies depending on the
- 560 method, as in these. With our streets, we assume that all the leaves end up out of the simulation area, so their decomposition is not taken into account. Overall, the annual carbon sequestration estimated with i-Tree was close to the estimations for *Tilia* trees in this study.

4.2 SUEWS performance and tree measurements

- We found that SUEWS is able to simulate evapotranspiration dynamics correctly even though despite the study sites greatly
 differed differing in soil water availability. It is reported that *Alnus glutinosa* trees reportedly tend to have deep roots that can access groundwater (Claessens et al., 2010)and therefore, and therefore the trees are not dependent only only dependent on precipitation but they can access to can also access deep water sources. Our study supports the phenomenonthis phenomenon, as the modelled transpiration at the Alnus site notably improved when an external water input was fed into the soilat the same time when without additional water, while soil moisture in the top layer was simulated wellconcurrently simulated well
 without additional water. Therefore, the possible existence of unidentified water pools might complicate further simulations of
- urban photosynthesis may further complicate urban photosynthesis simulations in soils with access to groundwater. Modeling Modelling photosynthesis is a relatively new addition to the SUEWS model (Järvi et al., 2019), combining evapotranspiration and photosynthesis with stomatal opening. Model parameters $G_1 - G_6$ have been previously fitted against surface conductance values estimated from observed latent and sensible heat fluxes (Järvi et al., 2011; Ward et al., 2016), representing
- 575 integrated conductance for all surface types. The effect of evaporation is eliminated by doing performing the parameter fittings only for dry conditions . These kind of only. Such general parameters represent the environmental response functions for all vegetation types compared to with the method used in this study, where the parameters represent only only represent street trees. Compared to with general parameters derived from eddy covariance measurements from Swindon, England (Ward et al., 2016) ($G_2 = 200 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, $G_3 = 0.13$, $G_4 = 0.7$, $G_5 = 30 \text{ °C}$, $G_6 = 0.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, $\Delta \theta_{WP} = 120 \text{ mm}$), $g(\Delta q)$ parameters G_3
- and G_4 show significant difference. Δq seems to be less relevant for street trees, however although extreme dry conditions were not reached in during the fitting period, which could may affect the fitted parameters. The same behaviour was found in Riikonen et al. (2016), where they studied the Δq relation to sap flow measurements. $g(K_{\downarrow})$ is slightly more restricting for street trees than the general parameters. $g(T_{air})$ is the same for the general parameters as for the street trees, because the shape and upper and lower limits are the same. The peak air temperature G_5 does not change as this, as such high temperatures are
- rarely measured in Helsinki. $\Delta \theta_{WP}$ is slightly smaller for the Swindon site than what was estimated here we estimated. $g(\Delta \theta)$ for the general parameters is similar to the Alnus site.

The dependencies of the different trees two tree species on K_{\downarrow} and T_{air} are very similar, whereas clearly different responses on Δq and $\Delta \theta$ are seen. The Δq relation to stomatal conductance has already been reported to be smaller for these street trees, especially for the Alnus site (Riikonen et al., 2016), whereas, in both sites, the soil moisture is expected to have little effect until on both sites until a significant deficit is reached. Especially on SWC is high especially on the Tilia site, SWC is high and therefore no clear dependence to $\Delta \theta$ is found. The high soil water availability can also affect the stomatal conductance

590

Carbon sequestration and evapotranspiration both depend on the tree leaf stomata control. In this study, leaf-level gas exchange measurements were used to parameterize the stomatal control model in SUEWS, whereas sap flow measurements were used to evaluate the functionality of the model model functionality. However, both measuring methods have known uncertainties. The leaf-level photosynthetic responses were not used as such, but were scaled to <u>canopy-level the canopy level</u> with a forest stand gas exchange model SPP (Mäkelä et al., 2006). The measurements Measurements were made manually, so no continuous measurement data were available, but rather continuous photosynthesis data were created separately with SPP. For further research, automatic chambers would be recommended to <u>get-gain</u> more realistic environmental response functions. The

response to Δq , as even in dry air conditions, the trees have access to water in soil even in dry air conditions.

- 600 Granier type Granier-type heat dissipation method (Granier, 1987; Hölttä et al., 2015) used in this study to measure sap flow and estimate whole-tree transpiration has some uncertainties, caused by method related method-related issues, such as , the sensors respond slowly to the changes in flow rate , and by tree related the sensors responding slowly to flow rate changes, and tree-related issues, such as , the water stores in trees itself are the trees themselves being utilized (Clearwater et al., 1999; Burgess and Dawson, 2008). These issues in the measurement method lead to a time lag between the measured sap flow and the
- 605 actual tree transpiration , and moreover, with and likewise between the meteorological conditions affecting the transpiration. Riikonen et al. (2016) estimated the time lag for the street trees to range between 30 and 90 min depending on the year. Here, the an average of 60 min was used for all cases, which may lead to a slight error. The *Tilia* trees showed a slight morning maximum in the observations, which might may be due to transpiration from internal water reservoirs in the tree trunk. Furthermore, the observed sap flows may not be accurate representation representations of tree transpiration, as the sensor location may not
- 610 represent the whole tree trunk. However, Riikonen et al. (2016) estimated the possible overestimation to be 21% at the highest. The sap Sap flow values also varied between the measurements years, partly due to meteorological conditions. In 2010, the sap flow values could be were at times twice as high than during other years, due to the higher air temperature and increased VPD observed that year. However, long-term measurements have some uncertainty , contain some uncertainty because as trees grow, the sensors may be buried more deeply, leading to changes in the flow rates (Moore et al., 2010).

615 4.3 Soil carbon

620

Here, we demonstrated the relative importance of soil carbon in the carbon cycle of street trees. Cities have already used soil carbon models to estimate their soil carbon stocks, but relatively few studies exist about concerning the applicability of these models to urban soils (Bandaranayake et al., 2003; Qian et al., 2003; Trammell et al., 2017). We showed that the Yasso soil model is mainly able to simulate the initial decrease in the soil carbon pool after planting of trees tree planting, but there seems to be an increasing misfit over the simulation period. The reasons behind Reasons behind this remain unsolved in this study,

but we assume that the differences arises from arise from the unknown initial AWENH of the soil substrates, spatially limited sampling of soil carbon pool, and possibly overestimated soil moisture on paved systems. Next, we discuss these in detail.

Yasso simulates the decomposition of soil carbon depending on the solubility of the carbon compounds. The used AWENH fractions were based on a qualitative description of the soil composed of different various organic materials (Riikonen et al.,

- 625 2017). Their proportions in the mixture, such as the share of peat, were unclearand, therefore leading to uncertainty in the initial AWENH. Further, setting of these initial fractions had high impact to a high impact on the model results. For example, bark was ignored in the soil 2, as we assumed the share of it its share to be minorbut the lack of it, but its absence in the model runs might may explain some of the underestimation in comparison with the measurements. On the other hand, the soil measurements have also a soil measurements also have large uncertainty, as they were spatially measured only from two
- 630 locations even though vertically from only two locations although measurements were vertically taken from multiple depths. The samples were taken app. 2–3 meters from trees m from the trees, whereas we simulated the whole soil volume, where the distance especially between the *Tilia* trees were notably higherlonger. According to the measurements, the soil carbon pool was stable or even increasing 7–15 years after the planting. Such a finding in nature can result only from notable litter input, a notable decrease in the decomposition of organic matter, or most likely combination on those from a combination of the two.
- In the simulations, the fine roots had a minor impact in on the soil carbon stock, as the study trees were still young and thus the root biomass was low. As the fine roots were assumed to be evenly spread in the model runs, the simulated fine root litter input and decomposition represent an average from of the whole soil volume. In nature, fine roots probably are denser occur more densely close to the trees, i.e. at the sampling locations, than further away. Besides, high root mass decreases soil moisture and therefore subsequently also the decomposition rate. Higher root litter input and a decreased decomposition rate at the sampling locations could cause the observed underestimation in the model simulation in the long run. With current knowledge, quantifying the fine root litter input is difficultas the , as its amount and the turnover rate are still unknown, especially in urban areas. The turnover rates have been estimated to vary between one to nine years in forest ecosystems (Matamala et al., 2003)and therefore, and future estimations would therefore benefit from studies revealing more accurate root lifetime
- lifetimes in urban ecosystems.
 The forcing meteorology for Yasso was generated from the 2-m-2 m local air temperature simulated by SUEWS to get the obtain local temperatures. Local temperatures vary spatially in urban areas , because built environments tend to

warm more , and while vegetative environments cool down because of due to evapotranspiration (Oke, 1982). However, the study sites in Viikki are similar to the measurement site in Kumpula, so the difference between measured air temperature from Kumpula and the modelled local temperatures in Viikki remained small. In theory, increased soil temperature would lead into

650 increased decomposition of to increased soil organic matter . At the same time, the decomposition. The role of soil moisture is more complex as the decomposition is decreased both in concurrently more complex, as decomposition decreases in both high and low soil moisture conditions (Moyano et al., 2012). Yasso soil carbon model is driven by precipitation but in these kind of paved systems, the soil moisture might soil moisture may be lower than expected as in paved systems such as ours, as a notable part of the water never enters the soil volume. Changing the drivers belowground below-ground would probably lead to improved model performance but on the other hand, observations of soil moisture and temperature are rare. Nevertheless, further efforts are needed in for studying the role of soil moisture in the decomposition of the urban soil carbon pool.

The estimated SOC densities in 2016 ranged from 1.7 to 5.7 kg C m⁻², mostly depending on the soil type. Soils 1 and 2 reached similar SOC in 2016 (4.5–5.7 kg C m⁻²) even though despite the initial SOC was almost being nearly twice as high for soil 2. These street soil estimates are much lower than those previously measured in the parks of city parks in the City of

- 660 Helsinki (10.4 kg C m⁻²; Lindén et al. (2020)) and even lower than forest soils in Finland (6.3 kg C m⁻²; Liski et al. (2006)). However, a direct comparison between SOC estimations can may be challenging due to the different soil types, vegetation, and age. On the other hand, a limited amount of new carbon enters the soil soils of these streets, which may explain part of partly explain the difference. The time of construction or renovation of the park had a major impact on SOC (Scharenbroch et al., 2005; Setälä et al., 2016), as also Lindén et al. (2020) found-observed by Lindén et al. (2020) in the parks of the city of
- 665 Helsinkiin the City of Helsinki, where SOC accumulation stabilized after 50 years. The effect of construction of the streets is clearly seen also street construction is clearly also seen from the street SOC estimations. The estimations show a decrease of SOC during the study period, as the root litter input is not enough to stabilize the decomposition of SOC. Compared to SOC decomposition. Compared with other urban soil studies outside of Finland, the average SOC storage in greenspace a green space was 9.9 kg C m⁻² in Leicester, UK (Edmondson et al., 2014), which show shows similar estimates as parks in Helsinki.
- 670 However, in warmer climates, the estimated SOC values have been lower in warmer climates. In Singapore, under turfgrass, the SOC was estimated to be 2.0 kg C m⁻² (Velasco et al., 2021). Furthermore, in Auckland, New Zealand, parkland soils were estimated to have 4.8 kg C m⁻² and urban forest soils 2.7 kg C m⁻² (Weissert et al., 2016).

The maximum monthly soil respiration estimates varied between 0.08 and 0.26 kg C m⁻² month⁻¹ after the high initial carbon loss, which corresponds to 2.5 and 8.1 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹. These estimates compare reasonably well to previous
675 research on soil respiration in urban areas. In greater Boston's residential areas (Decina et al., 2016), the soil respiration of urban forests, lawns, and landscaped cover types were 2.6, 4.5, and 6.7 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. In Singapore, turfgrass soil respiration was measured to be an average 2.4 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹and, with a highest mean value of 4.4 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ (Velasco et al., 2021). No seasonal trends were observed as the , as tropical weather is favourable to constant soil respiration. In New Zealand, the median soil respiration was for parklands 5.2 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ and for urban forest sites
680 for parklands and 4.5 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ (Weissert et al., 2016) for urban forest sites.

5 Conclusions

685

carbon neutrality assessments , and urban climate studies. In this study, an urban land surface model SUEWS and soil carbon model Yasso were evaluated and used to estimate the carbon sequestration of street trees and soil in Helsinki, Finland. The compensation point when street tree plantings turn from annual source to sink was achieved after sources to sinks was achieved 14 years of the planting of the street trees after street tree planting, but as the setup set-up does not represent the full variety of soil growing mediums, planting densities, and plant types, these results should be upsealed up-scaled with caution. The

Quantification of the carbon cycle of urban nature is needed in planning of when planning green areas, and when conducting

annual carbon sequestration depended on environmental factors, such as air temperature and humidity, indicating the need for modelling techniques allowing to take appropriately the that allow appropriately accounting for local climate conditionsinto

- 690 account. Yasso and SUEWS are able to simulate the carbon cycle of street tree plantings, as shown against observed soil moisture, sap flow, and soil carbon from two street tree sites, but the used substrates vary widely and the indeterminable soil properties cause great uncertainty in estimations of estimating the longevity of soil organic carbon. However, Yasso, developed for a non-urban areaperforms reasonably, performs reasonably well, but further studies especially on root litter input and on the role of soil moisture in the decomposition process would decrease the model's uncertainties.
- 695 *Code and data availability.* The data sets are openly available at Havu et al. (2022), including the model runs for SUEWS and Yasso, the fittings of the environmental response functions, the gap-filling of the meteorological measurements, and codes to reproduce the figures.

Appendix A: Gap filling Gap-filling the meteorological data

Data from two locations were used to generate the continuous meteorological data set for 2002–2016 used to force the SUEWS and Yasso models. The measurements from SMEAR III station tower and the nearby roof (Järvi et al., 2009)

700 were primary used and gap filled primarily used and gap-filled with measurements from Helsinki-Vantaa airporthosted by , hosted by the Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI) and located 10 km from Viikki. Additional SYNOP weather station precipitation measurements from Kumpula hosted by FMI were also used.

Precipitation was gap filled gap-filled with multiple measurement devices and locations. The order of measurements used in the gap filling gap-filling was: hourly PWD (since 2014), hourly SYNOP from Kumpula (since 2006), hourly Ott (since summer 2002), daily SYNOP from Kumpula (since 2006), and daily SYNOP from the airport (since 2002). Daily SYNOP data

were divided evenly over the day to get the obtain hourly values.

705

Temperature, wind speed, wind direction, and incoming radiation were measured at from the tower, rooftop, and airport, whereas , relative humidity and air pressure only from were measured from the rooftop and airport only. Primary measurements were either the tower and rooftop measurements which were gap filled or rooftop measurements, which were gap-filled using

710 airport measurements using a linear correlation. Rest of the The remaining missing hours were gap filled gap-filled by linear interpolation if less than 5 hours were missing (2 hours for radiation), or with the average of the same hour from the previous day and the following day , if less than a day was missing. If more than a day was missing, the values were filled in by calculating the average for the same hour of the three previous days and three following days.

	<u>SUEWS</u>	Yasso
Anthropogenic heat emissions from traffic and buildings	×	<u></u> *
Radiative and thermal properties of built-up surfaces	$\stackrel{\mathbf{X}}{\sim}$	
Soil moisture variations	$\stackrel{x}{\sim}$	
Irrigation		
Lateral water flows between impervious and pervious surfaces	$\stackrel{x}{\sim}$	
Diverse plant species	$\stackrel{\mathbf{X}}{\sim}$	
Initial soil carbon stock from constructed soils		$\stackrel{\mathbf{X}}{\sim}$
AWENH values for constructed soils		$\stackrel{X}{\sim}$

* 2 m air temperature from SUEWS

Appendix B: Specific urban processes used in CO₂ models

715 *Author contributions.* MH, LJ, and LK conceptualized the study. MH performed the SUEWS and Yasso model runs, formal analysis, and prepared the figures. AR and PK collected the data, PK performed the SPP model runs. LJ, LK, and TV supervised the study. All authors contributed to writing and preparing the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. The work was supported by the Tiina and Antti Herlin Foundation, the Academy of Finland funded_funded CarboCity
 project (decisions: 321527 and 325549), and the Atmosphere and Climate Competence Center (ACCC, decisions: 337549 and 337552),
 the Strategic Research Council funded the CO-CARBON project (decisions: 335201 and 335204), and the Tyumen region government in accordance with the Program of the World-Class West Siberian Interregional Scientific and Educational Center (National Project "Nauka"). We also thank Toni Viskari for the introduction of an introduction to the Yasso model.

References

- 725 Abramoff, R., Xu, X., Hartman, M., O'Brien, S., Feng, W., Davidson, E., Finzi, A., Moorhead, D., Schimel, J., Torn, M., et al.: The Millennial model: in search of measurable pools and transformations for modeling soil carbon in the new century, Biogeochemistry, 137, 51–71, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-017-0409-7, 2018.
 - Akujärvi, A., Heikkinen, J., Palosuo, T., and Liski, J.: Carbon budget of Finnish croplands—effects of land use change from natural forest to cropland, Geoderma Regional, 2, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2014.09.003, 2014.
- 730 Ariluoma, M., Ottelin, J., Hautamäki, R., Tuhkanen, E.-M., and Mänttäri, M.: Carbon sequestration and storage potential of urban green in residential yards: A case study from Helsinki, Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 57, 126 939, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126939, 2021.
 - Bandaranayake, W., Qian, Y., Parton, W., Ojima, D., and Follett, R.: Estimation of soil organic carbon changes in turfgrass systems using the CENTURY model, Agronomy Journal, 95, 558–563, https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2003.5580, 2003.
- 735 Bosveld, F. C. and Bouten, W.: Evaluation of transpiration models with observations over a Douglas-fir forest, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 108, 247–264, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(01)00251-9, 2001.
 - Breuer, L., Eckhardt, K., and Frede, H.-G.: Plant parameter values for models in temperate climates, Ecological Modelling, 169, 237–293, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(03)00274-6, 2003.

Burgess, S. S. and Dawson, T. E .: Using branch and basal trunk sap flow measurements to estimate whole-plant water capacitance: a caution,

- 740 Plant and Soil, 305, 5–13, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9378-2, 2008.
 - Camino-Serrano, M., Guenet, B., Luyssaert, S., Ciais, P., Bastrikov, V., Vos, B. D., Gielen, B., Gleixner, G., Jornet-Puig, A., Kaiser, K., et al.: ORCHIDEE-SOM: modeling soil organic carbon (SOC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) dynamics along vertical soil profiles in Europe, Geoscientific Model Development, 11, 937–957, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-937-2018, 2018.

Chen, Y., Day, S. D., Wick, A. F., Strahm, B. D., Wiseman, P. E., and Daniels, W. L.: Changes in soil carbon pools and microbial

- 745 biomass from urban land development and subsequent post-development soil rehabilitation, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 66, 38–44, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.06.022, 2013.
 - Claessens, H., Oosterbaan, A., Savill, P., and Rondeux, J.: A review of the characteristics of black alder (Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn.) and their implications for silvicultural practices, Forestry, 83, 163–175, https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpp038, 2010.
- Clearwater, M. J., Meinzer, F. C., Andrade, J. L., Goldstein, G., and Holbrook, N. M.: Potential errors in measurement of nonuniform sap flow using heat dissipation probes, Tree Physiology, 19, 681–687, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/19.10.681, 1999.
 - Dahlhausen, J., Rötzer, T., Biber, P., Uhl, E., and Pretzsch, H.: Urban climate modifies tree growth in Berlin, International journal of biometeorology, 62, 795–808, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-017-1481-3, 2018.
 - Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165–173, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04514, 2006.
- 755 Decina, S. M., Hutyra, L. R., Gately, C. K., Getson, J. M., Reinmann, A. B., Gianotti, A. G. S., and Templer, P. H.: Soil respiration contributes substantially to urban carbon fluxes in the greater Boston area, Environmental Pollution, 212, 433–439, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.01.012, 2016.
 - Edmondson, J. L., Davies, Z. G., McHugh, N., Gaston, K. J., and Leake, J. R.: Organic carbon hidden in urban ecosystems, Scientific reports, 2, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00963, 2012.

- 760 Edmondson, J. L., Davies, Z. G., McCormack, S. A., Gaston, K. J., and Leake, J. R.: Land-cover effects on soil organic carbon stocks in a European city, Science of the total Environment, 472, 444–453, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.025, 2014.
 - Eschenbach, C. and Kappen, L.: Leaf area index determination in an alder forest: a comparison of three methods, Journal of Experimental Botany, 47, 1457–1462, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/47.9.1457, 1996.

Eschenbach, C. and Kappen, L.: Leaf water relations of black alder [Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn.] growing at neighbouring sites with different water regimes, Trees, 14, 28–38, https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680050004, 1999.

Goret, M., Masson, V., Schoetter, R., and Moine, M.-P.: Inclusion of CO2 flux modelling in an urban canopy layer model and an evaluation over an old European city centre, Atmospheric Environment: X, 3, 100 042, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeaoa.2019.100042, 2019.

Granier, A.: Evaluation of transpiration in a Douglas-fir stand by means of sap flow measurements, Tree physiology, 3, 309–320, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/3.4.309, 1987.

- 770 Grimmond, C., Cleugh, H., and Oke, T.: An objective urban heat storage model and its comparison with other schemes, Atmospheric Environment. Part B. Urban Atmosphere, 25, 311–326, https://doi.org/10.1016/0957-1272(91)90003-W, 1991.
 - Grimmond, C. S. B. and Oke, T. R.: An evapotranspiration-interception model for urban areas, Water Resources Research, 27, 1739–1755, https://doi.org/10.1029/91WR00557, 1991.

Hardiman, B. S., Wang, J. A., Hutyra, L. R., Gately, C. K., Getson, J. M., and Friedl, M. A.: Accounting for urban biogenic fluxes in regional

- carbon budgets, Science of the Total Environment, 592, 366–372, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.028, 2017.
 - Hari, P., Mäkelä, A., Korpilahti, E., and Holmberg, M.: Optimal control of gas exchange, Tree physiology, 2, 169–175, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/2.1-2-3.169, 1986.
 - Havu, M., Kulmala, L., Kolari, P., Vesala, T., Riikonen, A., and Järvi, L.: Data used in manuscript Carbon sequestration potential of street tree plantings in Helsinki, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5870101, 2022.
- 780 Heikkinen, J., Ketoja, E., Seppänen, L., Luostarinen, S., Fritze, H., Pennanen, T., Peltoniemi, K., Velmala, S., Hanajik, P., and Regina, K.: Chemical composition controls the decomposition of organic amendments and influences the microbial community structure in agricultural soils, Carbon Management, pp. 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2021.1947386, 2021.
 - HEL: Syksyn arkivuorokauden liikenne Helsingissä 2016, https://www.hel.fi/hel2/ksv/aineistot/liikennesuunnittelu/liikennetutkimus/ liikennemaarat.pdf, 2016.
- 785 Hölttä, T., Linkosalo, T., Riikonen, A., Sevanto, S., and Nikinmaa, E.: An analysis of Granier sap flow method, its sensitivity to heat storage and a new approach to improve its time dynamics, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 211, 2–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.05.005, 2015.
 - Hoogsteen, M. J., Lantinga, E. A., Bakker, E. J., Groot, J. C., and Tittonell, P. A.: Estimating soil organic carbon through loss on ignition: effects of ignition conditions and structural water loss, European Journal of Soil Science, 66, 320–328, https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12224, 2015.

790

765

HSY: SeutuCD'11 database, 2011.

- HSY: Selvitys pääkaupunkiseudun hiilinieluista ja -varastoista. Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority, https://julkaisu.hsy.fi/ selvitys-paakaupunkiseudun-hiilinieluista-ja--varastoista.pdf, 2021.
- Järvi, L., Hannuniemi, H., Hussein, T., Junninen, H., Aalto, P. P., Hillamo, R., Mäkelä, T., Keronen, P., Siivola, E., Vesala, T., et al.: The
- 795 urban measurement station SMEAR III: Continuous monitoring of air pollution and surface–atmosphere interactions in Helsinki, Finland, 2009.

- Järvi, L., Grimmond, C., and Christen, A.: The surface urban energy and water balance scheme (SUEWS): Evaluation in Los Angeles and Vancouver, Journal of Hydrology, 411, 219–237, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.10.001, 2011.
- Järvi, L., Grimmond, C. S. B., Taka, M., Nordbo, A., Setälä, H., and Strachan, I. B.: Development of the Surface Urban Energy and Water
 Balance Scheme (SUEWS) for cold climate cities, Geoscientific Model Development, 7, 1691–1711, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-1691-2014, 2014.
 - Järvi, L., Grimmond, C., McFadden, J., Christen, A., Strachan, I., Taka, M., Warsta, L., and Heimann, M.: Warming effects on the urban hydrology in cold climate regions, Scientific Reports, 7, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05733-y, 2017.
 - Järvi, L., Havu, M., Ward, H. C., Bellucco, V., McFadden, J. P., Toivonen, T., Heikinheimo, V., Kolari, P., Riikonen, A., and Grimmond, C.
- S. B.: Spatial modeling of local-scale biogenic and anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions in Helsinki, Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Atmospheres, 124, 8363–8384, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029576, 2019.
 - Kalliokoski, T., Heinonen, T., Holder, J., Lehtonen, A., Mäkelä, A., Minunno, F., Ollikainen, M., Packalen, T., Peltoniemi, M., Pukkala, T., et al.: Skenaarioanalyysi metsien kehitystä kuvaanvien mallien ennusteiden yhtäläisyyksistä ja eriosta, Tech. rep., 2019.
- Karhu, K., Gärdenäs, A. I., Heikkinen, J., Vanhala, P., Tuomi, M., and Liski, J.: Impacts of organic amendments on
 carbon stocks of an agricultural soil—comparison of model-simulations to measurements, Geoderma, 189, 606–616, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.geoderma.2012.06.007, 2012.
 - Kaye, J. P., McCulley, R., and Burke, I.: Carbon fluxes, nitrogen cycling, and soil microbial communities in adjacent urban, native and agricultural ecosystems, Global Change Biology, 11, 575–587, 2005.
- Lindén, L., Riikonen, A., Setälä, H., and Yli-Pelkonen, V.: Quantifying carbon stocks in urban parks under cold climate conditions, Urban
 Forestry & Urban Greening, 49, 126 633, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126633, 2020.
- Liski, J., Palosuo, T., Peltoniemi, M., and Sievänen, R.: Carbon and decomposition model Yasso for forest soils, Ecological Modelling, 189, 168–182, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.005, 2005.
 - Liski, J., Lehtonen, A., Palosuo, T., Peltoniemi, M., Eggers, T., Muukkonen, P., and Mäkipää, R.: Carbon accumulation in Finland's forests 1922–2004–an estimate obtained by combination of forest inventory data with modelling of biomass, litter and soil, Annals of Forest
- 820 Science, 63, 687–697, https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2006049, 2006.
 - Liu, X., Li, T., Zhang, S., Jia, Y., Li, Y., and Xu, X.: The role of land use, construction and road on terrestrial carbon stocks in a newly urbanized area of western Chengdu, China, Landscape and Urban Planning, 147, 88–95, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.12.001, 2016.
 - Lorenz, K. and Lal, R.: Managing soil carbon stocks to enhance the resilience of urban ecosystems, Carbon Management, 6, 35-50,
- 825 https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2015.1071182, 2015.
 - Mäkelä, A., Kolari, P., Karimäki, J., Nikinmaa, E., Perämäki, M., and Hari, P.: Modelling five years of weather-driven variation of GPP in a boreal forest, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 139, 382–398, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.08.017, 2006.
 - Marcotullio, P. J., Sarzynski, A., Albrecht, J., Schulz, N., and Garcia, J.: The geography of global urban greenhouse gas emissions: An exploratory analysis, Climatic Change, 121, 621–634, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0977-z, 2013.
- 830 Matamala, R., Gonzalez-Meler, M. A., Jastrow, J. D., Norby, R. J., and Schlesinger, W. H.: Impacts of fine root turnover on forest NPP and soil C sequestration potential, Science, 302, 1385–1387, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1089543, 2003.
 - McPherson, E. G., Simpson, J. R., Xiao, Q., and Wu, C.: Million trees Los Angeles canopy cover and benefit assessment, Landscape and Urban Planning, 99, 40–50, 2011.

McPherson, G., Simpson, J. R., Peper, P. J., Maco, S. E., and Xiao, Q.: Municipal forest benefits and costs in five US cities, Journal of

- 835 forestry, 103, 411–416, 2005.
 - Moore, G. W., Bond, B. J., Jones, J. A., and Meinzer, F. C.: Thermal-dissipation sap flow sensors may not yield consistent sap-flux estimates over multiple years, Trees, 24, 165–174, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-009-0390-4, 2010.
 - Moyano, F. E., Vasilyeva, N., Bouckaert, L., Cook, F., Craine, J., Curiel Yuste, J., Don, A., Epron, D., Formanek, P., Franzluebbers, A., et al.: The moisture response of soil heterotrophic respiration: interaction with soil properties, Biogeosciences, 9, 1173–1182,
- 840 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-1173-2012, 2012.
 - Myeong, S., Nowak, D. J., and Duggin, M. J.: A temporal analysis of urban forest carbon storage using remote sensing, Remote Sensing of Environment, 101, 277–282, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.12.001, 2006.
 - Nielsen, C. N., Buhler, O., and Kristoffersen, P.: Soil Water Dynamics and Growth of Street and Park Trees, Arboriculture and Urban Forestry, 33, 231, 2007.
- 845 Nowak, D. J. and Crane, D. E.: The Urban Forest Effects (UFORE) Model: quantifying urban forest structure and functions, In: Hansen, Mark; Burk, Tom, eds. Integrated tools for natural resources inventories in the 21st century. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-212. St. Paul, MN: US Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 714-720., 212, 2000.
 - Offerle, B., Grimmond, C., and Oke, T. R.: Parameterization of net all-wave radiation for urban areas, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 42, 1157–1173, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2003)042<1157:PONARF>2.0.CO;2, 2003.
- 850 Oke, T. R.: The energetic basis of the urban heat island, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 108, 1–24, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710845502, 1982.
 - Parton, W. J., Stewart, J. W., and Cole, C. V.: Dynamics of C, N, P and S in grassland soils: a model, Biogeochemistry, 5, 109–131, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02180320, 1988.
 - Pataki, D. E., Alig, R., Fung, A., Golubiewski, N., Kennedy, C., McPherson, E., Nowak, D., Pouyat, R., and Romero Lankao, P.:
- Urban ecosystems and the North American carbon cycle, Global Change Biology, 12, 2092–2102, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01242.x, 2006.
 - Pataki, D. E., Carreiro, M. M., Cherrier, J., Grulke, N. E., Jennings, V., Pincetl, S., Pouyat, R. V., Whitlow, T. H., and Zipperer, W. C.: Coupling biogeochemical cycles in urban environments: ecosystem services, green solutions, and misconceptions, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 9, 27–36, https://doi.org/10.1890/090220, 2011.
- 860 Pickett, S. T., Cadenasso, M. L., Grove, J. M., Boone, C. G., Groffman, P. M., Irwin, E., Kaushal, S. S., Marshall, V., McGrath, B. P., Nilon, C. H., et al.: Urban ecological systems: Scientific foundations and a decade of progress, Journal of environmental management, 92, 331–362, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.08.022, 2011.
 - Pouyat, R. V., Yesilonis, I. D., and Nowak, D. J.: Carbon storage by urban soils in the United States, Journal of environmental quality, 35, 1566–1575, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2005.0215, 2006.
- 865 Pouyat, R. V., Yesilonis, I. D., and Golubiewski, N. E.: A comparison of soil organic carbon stocks between residential turf grass and native soil, Urban Ecosystems, 12, 45–62, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-008-0059-6, 2009.
 - Qian, Y., Bandaranayake, W., Parton, W., Mecham, B., Harivandi, M., and Mosier, A.: Long-term effects of clipping and nitrogen management in turfgrass on soil organic carbon and nitrogen dynamics: The CENTURY model simulation, Journal of Environmental Quality, 32, 1694–1700, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2003.1694, 2003.
- 870 Raciti, S. M., Hutyra, L. R., Rao, P., and Finzi, A. C.: Inconsistent definitions of "urban" result in different conclusions about the size of urban carbon and nitrogen stocks, Ecological Applications, 22, 1015–1035, https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1250.1, 2012.

- Raciti, S. M., Hutyra, L. R., and Newell, J. D.: Mapping carbon storage in urban trees with multi-source remote sensing data: Relationships between biomass, land use, and demographics in Boston neighborhoods, Science of the Total Environment, 500, 72–83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.070, 2014.
- 875 Riikonen, A., Lindén, L., Pulkkinen, M., and Nikinmaa, E.: Post-transplant crown allometry and shoot growth of two species of street trees, Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 10, 87–94, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2010.09.001, 2011.
 - Riikonen, A., Järvi, L., and Nikinmaa, E.: Environmental and crown related factors affecting street tree transpiration in Helsinki, Finland, Urban Ecosystems, 19, 1693–1715, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-016-0561-1, 2016.
- Riikonen, A., Pumpanen, J., Mäki, M., and Nikinmaa, E.: High carbon losses from established growing sites delay the carbon se-
- 880 questration benefits of street tree plantings-A case study in Helsinki, Finland, Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 26, 85–94, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2017.04.004, 2017.
 - Roman, L. A. and Scatena, F. N.: Street tree survival rates: Meta-analysis of previous studies and application to a field survey in Philadelphia, PA, USA, Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 10, 269–274, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2011.05.008, 2011.
 - Russo, A., Escobedo, F. J., Timilsina, N., Schmitt, A. O., Varela, S., and Zerbe, S.: Assessing urban tree carbon storage and
- 885 sequestration in Bolzano, Italy, International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management, 10, 54–70, https://doi.org/10.1080/21513732.2013.873822, 2014.
 - Sarzhanov, D., Vasenev, V., Sotnikova, Y. L., Tembo, A., Vasenev, I., and Valentini, R.: Short-term dynamics and spatial heterogeneity of CO 2 emission from the soils of natural and urban ecosystems in the Central Chernozemic Region, Eurasian Soil Science, 48, 416–424, https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064229315040092, 2015.
- 890 Sarzhanov, D., Vasenev, V., Vasenev, I., Sotnikova, Y., Ryzhkov, O., and Morin, T.: Carbon stocks and CO2 emissions of urban and natural soils in Central Chernozemic region of Russia, Catena, 158, 131–140, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2017.06.021, 2017.
 - Scharenbroch, B. C., Lloyd, J. E., and Johnson-Maynard, J. L.: Distinguishing urban soils with physical, chemical, and biological properties, Pedobiologia, 49, 283–296, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2004.12.002, 2005.
- Setälä, H. M., Francini, G., Allen, J. A., Hui, N., Jumpponen, A., and Kotze, D. J.: Vegetation type and age drive changes in
 soil properties, nitrogen, and carbon sequestration in urban parks under cold climate, Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 4, 93, https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2016.00093, 2016.
 - Soares, A. L., Rego, F. C., McPherson, E., Simpson, J., Peper, P., and Xiao, Q.: Benefits and costs of street trees in Lisbon, Portugal, Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 10, 69–78, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2010.12.001, 2011.

Stewart, I. D. and Oke, T. R.: Local climate zones for urban temperature studies, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93,

900 1879–1900, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00019.1, 2012.

905

Stoffberg, G. H., Van Rooyen, M., Van der Linde, M., and Groeneveld, H.: Carbon sequestration estimates of indigenous street trees in the City of Tshwane, South Africa, Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 9, 9–14, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2009.09.004, 2010.
StromJan: StromJan/Raster4H: Final version, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4005833, 2020.

Sun, T. and Grimmond, S.: A Python-enhanced urban land surface model SuPy (SUEWS in Python, v2019. 2): development, deployment and demonstration, Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 2781–2795, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-2781-2019, 2019.

Sun, T., Wang, Z.-H., Oechel, W. C., and Grimmond, S.: The Analytical Objective Hysteresis Model (AnOHM v1. 0): methodology to determine bulk storage heat flux coefficients, Geoscientific Model Development, 10, 2875–2890, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2875-2017, 2017. Tang, Y., Sun, T., Luo, Z., Omidvar, H., Theeuwes, N., Xie, X., Xiong, J., Yao, R., and Grimmond, S.: Urban meteorological forcing data for

building energy simulations, Building and Environment, 204, 108 088, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108088, 2021. Trammell, T., Pouvat, R., Carreiro, M., and Yesilonis, I.: Drivers of soil and tree carbon dynamics in urban residential lawns: a modeling approach, Ecological Applications, 27, 991-1000, https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1502, 2017.

910

- Tuomi, M., Thum, T., Järvinen, H., Fronzek, S., Berg, B., Harmon, M., Trofymow, J., Sevanto, S., and Liski, J.: Leaf litter decomposition-Estimates of global variability based on Yasso07 model, Ecological Modelling, 220, 3362-3371, 915 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.05.016, 2009.
 - Vaccari, F. P., Gioli, B., Toscano, P., and Perrone, C.: Carbon dioxide balance assessment of the city of Florence (Italy), and implications for urban planning, Landscape and Urban Planning, 120, 138–146, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.08.004, 2013.
 - Velasco, E., Segovia, E., Choong, A. M., Lim, B. K., and Vargas, R.: Carbon dioxide dynamics in a residential lawn of a tropical city, Journal of Environmental Management, 280, 111752, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111752, 2021.
- 920 Viskari, T., Laine, M., Kulmala, L., Mäkelä, J., Fer, I., and Liski, J.: Improving Yasso15 soil carbon model estimates with ensemble adjustment Kalman filter state data assimilation, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 5959-5971, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-5959-2020, 2020.
 - Ward, H. C., Kotthaus, S., Järvi, L., and Grimmond, C. S. B.: Surface Urban Energy and Water Balance Scheme (SUEWS): development and evaluation at two UK sites, Urban Climate, 18, 1-32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2016.05.001, 2016.
- 925 Weissert, L., Salmond, J., and Schwendenmann, L.: Variability of soil organic carbon stocks and soil CO2 efflux across urban land use and soil cover types, Geoderma, 271, 80-90, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.02.014, 2016.
 - Zhao, C. and Sander, H. A.: Quantifying and mapping the supply of and demand for carbon storage and sequestration service from urban trees, PLoS One, 10, e0136392, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136392, 2015.