

Editor's Comments on Authors' Response to RC1: Referee #3

Title:

Authors may like to consider following title for the manuscript:

“Impact of North Brazil Current rings on air-sea CO₂ flux variability in western tropical Atlantic: Evidence for larger CO₂ uptake during wintertime”

Abstract:

Suggested changes:

The key processes driving the air-sea CO₂ fluxes in the western tropical Atlantic (WTA) in winter are poorly known. The WTA is a highly dynamic oceanic region, with expected to have large dominant role of ocean physics on the variability of CO₂ air-sea fluxes. In early 2020 (February), this region was the site of a large in situ survey which was put into and studied in a wider context through satellite measurements. In this season the winter (February), the North Brazil Current (NBC) flows northward along the coast of south America, retroflects close to 8°N and pinches off the world's largest eddies, the NBC rings. The rings are formed to the north of the Amazon River mouth, which when freshwater export discharge is still significant in winter, despite being (a time period of relatively low runoff). We show that in February 2020, the region [50°W-59°W – 5°N-16°N] is a CO₂ sink from the atmosphere to the ocean (-1.7 TgC.month⁻¹), a factor of 10 greater than previously estimated. The spatial distribution of CO₂ fugacity is strongly influenced by eddy-stirring eddies south of 12°N. During the campaign, a nutrient rich freshwater plume from the Amazon River is entrained by a ring from the shelf up to 12°N leading to high phytoplankton concentration and to a significant carbon drawdown (~20 % of the total sink). Trapping In trapping equatorial waters, the NBC rings themselves are a small source of CO₂. The less variable North Atlantic subtropical water extends from 12°N northward. They and represents ~60 % of the total sink due to their lower temperature associated with winter cooling and strong winds. Our results, in identifying the key processes influencing the air-sea CO₂ flux in the WTA, highlight the role of eddy interactions with the Amazon River plume. It sheds light on how the previous lack of data impeded a correct assessment of the flux in the past, and on the necessity of taking into account features at meso and small scale.