



#### Blue Carbon Stocks and Exchanges Along the Pacific West 1 Coast 2

- 3
- 4 Melissa A. Ward<sup>1,2</sup>, Tessa M. Hill<sup>1</sup>, Chelsey Souza<sup>1</sup>, Tessa Filipczyk<sup>1</sup>, Aurora M. Ricart<sup>1,3</sup>, Sarah

5 Merolla<sup>1</sup>, Lena R. Capece<sup>1</sup>, Brady C. O'Donnell<sup>1</sup>, Kristen Elsmore<sup>1</sup>, Walter C. Oechel<sup>2</sup>, and

- 6 Kathryn M. Beheshti<sup>4</sup>.
- 7 Correspondence to: Melissa A. Ward (maward@ucdavis.edu)
- 8 9 <sup>1</sup>Bodega Marine Laboratory, University of California, Davis, 95616, USA
- <sup>2</sup>San Diego State University, 92182, USA
- 10 <sup>3</sup>Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, 04544, USA
- 11 <sup>4</sup>University of California, Santa Cruz, 95064, USA
- 12
- 13

14 Abstract. Salt marshes and seagrass meadows can sequester and store high quantities of organic 15 carbon (OC) in their sediments relative to other marine and terrestrial habitats. Assessing carbon 16 stocks, carbon sources, and the transfer of carbon between habitats within coastal seascapes are 17 each integral in identifying the role of blue carbon habitats in coastal carbon cycling. Here, we 18 quantified carbon stocks, sources, and exchanges in seagrass meadows, salt marshes, and 19 unvegetated sediments in six bays along the Pacific coast of California. The salt marshes studied 20 here contained approximately twice as much OC as did seagrass meadows,  $23.51 \pm 1.77$  kg OC m<sup>-3</sup> compared to  $11.01 \pm 1.18$  kg OC m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Both seagrass and salt marsh sediment 21 22 carbon stocks were higher than previous estimates from this region but lower than global and 23 U.S.-wide averages, respectively. Seagrass-derived carbon was deposited annually into adjacent marshes during fall seagrass senescence. However, isotope mixing models estimate that 24 25 negligible amounts of this seagrass material were ultimately buried in underlying sediment. 26 Rather, the vast majority of OC in sediment across sites was likely derived from 27 planktonic/benthic diatoms and C3 salt marsh plants. 28 29 Keywords: Carbon cycling, lateral fluxes, seagrass meadow, salt marsh, carbon sequestration 30 31 32 **1** Introduction 33 As carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  concentrations in the oceans and atmosphere continue to rise, 34 interest in measuring the relative quantities of carbon stored within natural ecosystems has 35 increased. These assessments can help improve global and regional climate models, the 36 prediction of future CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations related to sources and sinks, and our broader 37 understanding of nature-based climate change solutions (Serrano et al., 2019). Coastal habitats

38 including seagrasses, salt marshes, and mangroves have earned the moniker 'blue carbon'





39	habitats for their ability to store disproportionally high levels of organic carbon (OC) in their
40	sediments relative to other habitat types (McLeod et al., 2011). This can be attributed largely to
41	the tendency for these habitats to exhibit high sediment accretion rates and low decomposition
42	rates, driven by their exposure to permanent or regular inundation (Peck et al., 2020; Serrano et
43	al., 2019). This value has led to increasing interest in blue carbon habitats, given their
44	conservation can prevent significant emissions of carbon (Lovelock et al., 2017; Pendleton et al.,
45	2012) and their restoration can lead to increased drawdown of atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> (Freedman et al.,
46	2009; Greiner et al., 2013).
47	

### 48 **1.1 Carbon stock assessments and their significance**

49 Despite global interest, many regions are still lacking basic information on carbon stocks 50 and burial rates in blue carbon habitats. This information forms the foundation for more 51 advanced scientific research and can be extremely valuable within management contexts to 52 develop informed local and regional climate assessments. Salt marshes and seagrass meadows 53 cover extensive portions of North America's west coast, yet their carbon stocks have been 54 relatively understudied compared to other habitats in North America and other blue carbon 55 habitats in different regions of the world (Ouyang and Lee, 2014; Postlethwaite et al., 2018). 56 Eelgrass (Zostera marina) is the dominant seagrass species in North America and 57 occupies coastal waterways from Alaska to Mexico (Green & Short, 2003). Until recently, 58 seagrass carbon stock data were almost entirely absent along the west coast of North America (See Capece et al., 2019; Kauffman et al., 2020; O'Donnell et al., 2017; Poppe & Rybczyk, 2018; 59 60 Postlethwaite et al., 2018; Röhr et al., 2018). Of these studies, very few data came from Central 61 or Southern California seagrass meadows, despite the fact that this region represents a key





62	temperate to subtropical transition in Z. marina's range (Cabello-Pasini et al., 2003). As a result
63	of the previous paucity of data from the west coast of North America, this region was not
64	represented in previous global syntheses of seagrass carbon stocks (Duarte et al., 2010;
65	Fourqurean et al., 2012; Mazarrasa et al., 2015). Existing work on global seagrass carbon storage
66	identifies that two species of seagrasses in the Mediterranean (Posidonia oceanica) and Australia
67	(Posidonia australis) store significantly more carbon than other seagrass species, including Z.
68	marina (Fourqurean et al., 2012; Lavery et al., 2013; Prentice et al., 2020). These Posidonia
69	species were overrepresented in some early assessments of total global seagrass carbon storage -
70	making these global estimates unreliable when applied to management decisions or climate
71	models (Johannessen & Macdonald, 2016; Kennedy et al., 2010). In reality, the geographic and
72	interspecies variability in carbon stocks is likely greater than was initially anticipated (Macreadie
73	et al., 2018; Postlethwaite et al., 2018).
74	Salt marsh carbon stocks are similarly understudied in western North America, with
75	published carbon stock data from only four estuaries in the region and very minimal spatial
76	coverage and analyses performed in three of these locations (Brevik and Homburg, 2004;
77	Callaway et al., 2012; Kauffman et al., 2020; Patrick and DeLaune, 1990). Existing analyses of
78	North American freshwater wetlands and salt marshes are typically dominated by studies along
79	the east coast (Nahlik & Fennessy, 2016; Wilkinson et al., 2018; with the exception of Holmquist
80	et al. 2018). Within west coast studies, considerable variation in carbon stocks is observed
81	(Callaway et al., 2012; Chmura et al., 2003). Similar to seagrass meadows, these regions have
82	distinctly different oceanographic and geomorphological regimes, which can drive differences in
83	sediment carbon storage. Thus, seagrass and salt marsh carbon storage data collected from





- 84 understudied regions and across varying environmental gradients are necessary for understanding
- 85 carbon stock variability and its drivers.
- 86

#### 87 **1.2 Blue carbon sources and drivers**

88 Despite an increasing breadth of information on blue carbon habitats in the last decade, a 89 number of questions remain before we can fully understand their role in regional carbon cycling 90 and climate adaptation (Macreadie et al., 2019). We do not yet fully understand what drives 91 variation in carbon stocks – a key aspect of ensuring the protection and enhancement of these 92 carbon services in the future. Previous work demonstrates that numerous factors can control 93 carbon accumulation in coastal sediments including overlying biomass, topography, hydrology, 94 mineralogy, and remineralization rates (Kelleway et al., 2016; Lima et al., 2020; Mazarrasa et 95 al., 2018; Prentice et al., 2019). In particular, sediment grain size has been demonstrated as a 96 significant predictor of carbon stocks (Dahl et al., 2016; O'Donnell et al., 2017; Serrano et al., 97 2016), as it affects decomposition rates, which is likely related to the deposition of small 98 particles and low resuspension from the attenuation of water flow by seagrasses (Bos et al., 99 2007; Conley et al., 2017; Gambi et al., 1990; Hendriks et al., 2008). Through similar pathways, 100 fine, silty sediments trapped in tidal salt marshes can also increase carbon storage (e.g. Zhou et 101 al., 2007). 102 The relative importance of each potential driver of carbon stock variability may be highly 103 dependent on the environmental setting, species under study, and the interactive effects of these 104 drivers. For example, in Australian salt marshes, overlying vegetation was shown to only 105 significantly affect carbon stocks in sandy and mixed grain size sediments, having no effect on 106 stocks in fine sediments (Kelleway et al., 2016). However, in other cases vegetation has been the





107	primary predictor of carbon stocks in salt marshes, irrespective of grain size (Lovelock et al.,
108	2014; Saintilan et al., 2013). Similar region and species-specific complexities between carbon
109	stocks, overlying vegetation, and mineralogy have been described in seagrass meadows (e.g.
110	Lima et al., 2020; Serrano et al., 2016), meriting further investigation to understand these
111	complex interactions.
112	Knowing the relative contributions of locally produced (autochthonous) and imported
113	(allochthonous) carbon also elucidates the underlying mechanisms by which blue carbon habitats
114	store and accumulate carbon. Overlying vegetation can significantly impact sediment carbon
115	stocks; however, it is rarely the dominant source of carbon buried within blue carbon habitats
116	(Kennedy et al., 2010; Lewis et al., 2020; Mazarrasa et al., 2015; Prentice et al., 2019). In many
117	cases, contributions from terrestrial habitats, macroalgae, and suspended particulate organic
118	material contribute as much or more to carbon buried in blue carbon sediments than do
119	autochthonous sources (Drexler et al. 2020; Kennedy et al., 2010; Leorri et al., 2018; Ricart et
120	al., 2020). Thus, local primary production could significantly contribute to net annual carbon
121	drawdown within a given habitat yet play a minor role in carbon burial due to lateral export or
122	remineralization of this carbon. Understanding this phenomenon elucidates the role of blue
123	carbon habitats in broader, system-wide energy flows and carbon cycling (Hyndes et al., 2014;
124	Ricart et al., 2015). For instance, much of the carbon from laterally exported biomass may be
125	remineralized in the water column or as wrack in nearby habitats (Attard et al., 2019; Liu et al.,
126	2019), serving an important ecological role and altering the production and biogeochemical
127	cycles of recipient systems (Hyndes et al., 2014; Ince et al., 2007; Valiela and Cole, 2002).
128	Given that blue carbon habitats are highly productive (Duarte & Cebrián, 1996), support high
129	carbon burial (e.g. McLeod et al., 2011), and can co-occur within small geographic ranges





- 130 (Alongi et al., 2018; Bouillon & Connolly, 2009), laterally exported carbon from one blue carbon
- 131 habitat may be entrapped and buried in a neighboring habitat. From this landscape-scale
- 132 perspective, exchanges between blue carbon habitats could increase their capacity for carbon
- 133 burial or alter the sources of buried carbon. While some coastal studies have estimated lateral
- 134 carbon fluxes (Jiménez et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019), very few studies place these lateral fluxes
- 135 into landscape-scale contexts that also address carbon burial in recipient habitats (Bouillon &
- 136 Connolly, 2009; Duarte & Krause-Jensen, 2017; Ricart et al., 2017).
- 137
- 138 The study presented here addresses this globally relevant topic of research in a relatively
- 139 understudied region by answering the following questions:
- 140 1) How much organic carbon is stored in seagrass meadow and salt marsh sediments across141 a California latitudinal gradient?
- 142 2) What are the sources of carbon buried within these sediments?
- 143 3) Is carbon exchanged between blue carbon habitats within the coastal landscape?
- 144

145 2 Methods

- 146 **2.1 Study Sites**
- 147 Sediment cores from salt marshes and seagrass meadows, along with neighboring
- 148 unvegetated sediments (hereafter 'bare sediment' near seagrass meadows, and 'pan' near salt
- 149 marshes) were collected from six bays across a latitudinal gradient in California (Fig. 1a). A total
- 150 of 82 sediment cores were collected, 30 of which have been discussed previously (O'Donnell et
- al., 2017) and are included here for comparison. The number of cores collected in each site and
- 152 general site characteristics are described in Table 1. While all sampled seagrass meadows were







155 Figure 1: Sediment cores were collected from six estuaries across a latitudinal gradient in 156 California, where N = number of cores (A). Seagrass wrack deposition into Walker salt marsh 157 from surrounding seagrass meadows (Merkel & Associates, 2017) was estimated, while sediment 158 cores were taken from all four labeled habitats (B). Three sediment cores were collected beneath 159 persistent wrack lines in Walker salt marsh, while three were collected from areas that do not 160 receive regular, annual tidal deposition of wrack (C).

161

162 dominated by a single seagrass species (Zostera marina), salt marshes contained a mixed

community of halophytes, predominantly composed of pickleweed (Sarcocornia pacifica), and 163





164	to a lesser extent salt grass (Distichlis spicata) and marsh jaumea (Jaumea carnosa). Bare
165	sediment cores were collected in unvegetated sediment nearby each seagrass meadow at a
166	minimum of 20 meters away from the meadows and from similar depths. Pan cores
167	were collected from patches of unvegetated sediment (2-4m diameter) found within the salt
168	marsh interior, a natural and semi-permanent feature of salt marsh habitats formed by elevational
169	depressions (Escapa et al., 2015). None of our sampling sites were actively restored and, to our
170	knowledge, have persisted through time.
171	We estimated OC stocks in seagrass, salt marsh, pan, and bare sediments (see details below).
172	In addition, we analyzed sediment OC sources for two individual salt marshes in Elkhorn Slough
173	('Elkhorn salt marsh') and Tomales Bay ('Walker salt marsh'), and we examined carbon
174	exchange between a single seagrass meadow and the neighboring Walker salt marsh. Walker salt
175	marsh is located approximately 5.5 km from the mouth of Tomales Bay and is in close proximity
176	to extensive meadows of Z. marina – the dominant seagrass in each of the meadows sampled
177	(Fig. 1b). This marsh lies where the mouth of Walker Creek meets bay waters, and thus can
178	receive terrestrial and riverine inputs, while simultaneously receiving marine inputs from tidal
179	exchange.

Вау	Coordinates	Number of cores	Site description
Humboldt	40°48'12 N,	Seagrass: 4	A large semi-enclosed bay with a narrow mouth,
Вау	124°10'52 W	Bare sediment: 3	stabilized by jetties. Primary freshwater sources come from the Elk River and its tributaries, with Freshwater Creek inputs entering the bay near the sampling site. Freshwater input is small relative to tidal flushing but can locally effect sedimentation rates within the bay (Schlosser and Eicher, 2012)
Bodega	38°19′60 N,	Seagrass: 15	There are no significant rivers or creeks that
Harbor	123°02′53 W	Bare sediment: 3	provide freshwater or sediment inputs.
			Sediment input in Bodega Bay is largely
			controlled by jetties at the mouth of the harbor
			and is influenced by its history of routine
			dredging to maintain shipping channels and





			control wind-blown sand entering from nearby dunes
Tomales Bay	38°10′17 N, 122°54′46 W	Seagrass: 15 Bare sediment: 9 Salt Marsh: 6	A long, narrow bay that receives periodic freshwater inputs from two primary tributaries, Walker Creek and Lagunitas Creek, which peaks seasonally during winter storms.
Elkhorn Slough	36°49'15 N, 121°45'06 W	Salt Marsh: 11 Pan: 3	Receives periodic freshwater inputs from the Old Salinas River and Bennett and Moro Coho Sloughs. After a long history of increasing sediment deposition and slowing tidal flow to the bay, levee breaches and removals in 1953 rapidly converted it to a higher tidal energy, erosional system, as it remains today (Van Dyke and Wasson, 2005). As a result, the extent of 'high-quality' salt marsh in 2000 was 23% of what it had been a century earlier (Van Dyke and Wasson, 2005)
Newport Bay	33°38'07 N, 117°53'17 W	Seagrass: 4 Bare sediment: 4	An urban bay, that receives terrestrial and urban inputs from the San Diego Creek Watershed. After a long history of high sedimentation and water quality nutrient impairments from urban and agricultural sources, a program was implemented in 1998 to meet water quality targets. Since its commencement, both sedimentation and nutrients in the bay have significantly decreased (County of Orange, 2019).
Mission Bay	32°47'24 N, 117°13'40 W	Seagrass: 3 Bare sediment: 2	An urban, enclosed bay, that receives terrestrial and urban input from the San Diego River watershed and the Rose Creek tributary. Experiences long residence times and is primarily controlled by tidal flushing processes rather than the minimal freshwater inputs (Cyronak et al., 2018: Largier et al., 1997)

180

181 Table 1: The location and a brief description of each bay sampled is included here, along with 182 the total number of cores collected from each habitat type in each of these bays.

# 184 **2.2 Carbon stocks**

185 All sediment cores were sampled by manually inserting transparent, open-barrel PVC pipes

186 (20 cm length, 5.08 cm diameter). Compaction occurred in 19% of cores, and a compaction

187 factor was applied when calculating carbon stocks according to Howard et al. (2014). Once

<sup>183</sup> 





188	extracted, cores were capped and transported to the laboratory upright to prevent mixing of
189	sediment layers. Cores were then immediately extruded into sections at 2 cm intervals. Coarse
190	living plant material (> 1cm) was manually removed. Each section was dried at 60°C and
191	weighed, and dry bulk density (DBD) was determined by dividing dry bulk mass by the volume
192	of sampling interval.
193	Each section was then homogenized and divided into three subsamples of 10 g each, and the
194	remaining sample was archived. One of the three subsamples was acidified using 1.12 M HCl to
195	remove and measure total inorganic carbon (Milliman 1974). The second subsample was
196	analyzed for total organic material (TOM) by loss-on-ignition method for 4 hours at 550° C
197	(Dean 1974). Total C content (%) and $\delta^{13}$ C were additionally determined on a set of 44 of the
198	acidified subsamples randomly selected across each habitat type using an elemental analyzer
199	(PDZ Europa ANCA-GSL, SD $\pm$ 0.25 %) integrated with a continuous flow isotope ratio mass
200	spectrometer (PDZ Europa 20-20, SD $\pm$ 0.2 ‰) at the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility. $\delta^{13}$ C
201	ratios are expressed in parts per thousand (‰) relative to VPDV (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite)
202	according to standard notation ( $\delta^{13}C = [(R_{sample} / R_{standard}) - 1] \times 1000$ , where R is the ratio
203	$^{13}C/^{12}C$ ). Organic carbon in each core section was then estimated using a power model developed
204	between measured TOM and measured OC in this set of subsamples (as in Craft et al., 1991).
205	Specifically, the equation $y = 0.22x^{1.1}$ was applied ( $r^2 = 0.84$ ; Fig. S1). A power model was
206	selected over a linear model for these data to avoid negative estimates of carbon stocks at low
207	levels of TOM (Fig. S1). Carbon stocks were determined by multiplying DBD (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) by $\%$
208	OC.
•••	

In each core section, the proportion of fine sediments – the silt and clay fraction (<63 μm),</li>
hereafter "mud" – was quantified. The grain size analysis was conducted by mass loss in the





- 211 third subsample from each section in 70 of the 82 total cores. The subsample was rinsed through 212 a 63  $\mu$ m sieve with deionized water, and the remaining sediments were dried at 60°C and re-
- 213 weighed.
- 214

#### 215 **2.2 Carbon sources and exchange**

216 We applied mixing models to assess salt marsh sediment carbon sources to understand 217 within-estuary exchange of carbon in the sampled habitats. Two separate mixing models were 218 produced in 1) Elkhorn salt marsh in Elkhorn Slough and Walker salt marsh in Tomales Bay, and 219 2) salt marsh sediments under seagrass wrack, versus not under wrack in Walker salt marsh. At 220 Walker salt marsh, a total of six sediment cores were collected. Three of these sediment cores 221 were collected from the interior marsh, while three were collected from underneath a seagrass 222 wrack line along the tidal edge of the marsh (Fig. 1c). Z. marina in this region is known to 223 undergo a period of senescence as photoperiod shortens in the fall, as is common in all temperate 224 seagrasses (Fourqurean et al., 1997). Historical imagery of the site shows persistent seagrass wrack concentrated along these tide lines that consistently appears in early fall as seagrass 225 226 senesces (Fig. S2; Google Earth, 2020). The biomass of seagrass wrack along this tide line at the 227 time of core collection was quantified along an 80 m transect within the marsh by collecting all seagrass present in a 1  $m^2$  quadrat every 10 meters. This material was taken back to the lab, 228 229 sorted into above ground biomass (AGB) and below ground biomass (BGB), rinsed, dried 230 (60°C), and weighed. Biomass data from seagrass in nearby meadows was previously published (see O'Donnell et al., 2017) and is used here for reference. Sediment cores collected from 231 232 beneath wrack lines were sectioned at 2 cm intervals, analyzed for total OC and  $\delta^{13}$ C content according to the methods described above. Total nitrogen content was also determined on an 233





234	unacidified portion of the same 44 sediment subsamples used in carbon analyses
235	(ThermoFinnigan Flash 1112 Series elemental analyzer, SD $\pm$ 0.02%). Data from Walker salt
236	marsh are also displayed as 'shallow' (surface sediment to 10 cm deep) and 'deep' (10 cm and
237	deeper), to facilitate interpretation of changes with depth.
238	The contributions of carbon sources to each core section were then estimated with a mixing
239	model using $\delta^{13}$ C and N:C ratios as tracers. Given $\delta^{15}$ N can be altered during early diagenesis
240	(e.g. Benner et al., 1991), we selected $\delta^{13}$ C and C:N ratios as the primary geochemical tracers,
241	and therefore did not include fractionation factors into the model (Craven et al., 2017). N:C
242	ratios are utilized rather than C:N ratios because mixed fractions returned by the model are based
243	on the denominator, and thus $^{13}\mathrm{C}/^{12}\mathrm{C}$ and N:C both estimate the fractional contribution of $^{12}\mathrm{C}$
244	(Craven et al., 2017; Perdue and Koprivnjak, 2007). However, C:N ratios are used in text and
245	figures given this format is more typically presented in the literature. We used a Bayesian mixing
246	model SIAR 4.2 (Parnell and Jackson, 2013) to estimate the contributions of four source groups
247	selected according to the dominant plant and algal species observed within the selected salt
248	marshes. The four sources included C3 salt marsh plants (Sarcocornia sp., Grindelia stricta), C4
249	salt marsh plants (Distichlis spicata), seagrass (Z. marina), and plankton/benthic diatoms.
250	Representative geochemistry for all of these sources (aside from seagrass) have been published
251	previously and were used here, from samples collected in San Francisco Bay, approximately 60
252	km south of Walker salt marsh (see Cloern et al., 2002 for full methods). All salt marsh sediment
253	cores were collected from areas of the marsh that were dominated by these four species, and as
254	such, other marsh species were not considered (e.g. Spartina sp.). While lower densities of other
255	C3 marsh plants may have been present in some cored sites, the variability demonstrated by the
256	selected source samples likely encompasses much of this variability given the utilization of the





257	same photosynthetic pathway (Cloern et al., 2002). Plankton samples were collected from
258	estuarine water samples while benthic diatom samples were collected from both salt marsh
259	surface sediments and neighboring mudflats (Cloern et al., 2002). Isotopic signatures from the
260	benthic diatoms and estuarine plankton measured here are distinct from the other selected
261	sources but overlap with one another (Cloern et al., 2002). Thus, we pooled these data and refer
262	to this source as 'diatoms' hereafter, acknowledging that this also encompasses contributions
263	from other planktonic and benthic sources. Seagrass geochemistry was estimated from seagrass
264	leaves collected from meadows near the selected salt marshes in Newport Bay, Tomales Bay,
265	and Bodega Bay (Fig. 1a; Capece et al., 2019).

266

#### 267 2.3 Statistical Analyses

268 To evaluate decay of carbon through time, we tested for significant changes in OC in each 269 habitat type with core section depth (a proxy for time) by fitting data to a generalized linear 270 mixed model (GLMM) using maximum likelihood with 'depth' and 'site' as fixed effects and 271 'core' as a random effect, using a gamma distribution and log link function to account for non-272 normality. In sites and habitats that demonstrated significant OC changes with depth, the rate of 273 decay was estimated from the slope of its associated model. In all analyses to follow, OC across 274 all sections in each core were averaged, and statistics were performed on these core averages. 275 After inspecting data for normality and homogeneity of variance, differences in OC and grain 276 size between habitat types and between sites were analyzed using simple linear models (SLM; 277 significance defined by  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Specifically, these data were fit to a linear model using ordinary least squares with 'site' and 'habitat' (i.e. seagrass, salt marsh, bare sediment, pan) as 278 fixed effects, including their interaction. The relationships between TOM (%) and grain size 279





- 280 were analyzed using simple linear regressions, whereby a grain size filter was selectively applied
- 281 to determine the point at which the relationship between the two was no longer significant (p <
- 282 0.05). Differences in  $\delta^{13}$ C (‰) or C:N ratios between sediment depth ('surface' versus 'deep'),
- and between sediments collected under wrack versus not under wrack, were also tested with
- 284 SLMs using ordinary least squares with 'depth' or 'under wrack' as fixed effects. When
- 285 necessary, data were log transformed. Tukey's post-hoc analyses were conducted for multiple
- comparisons. All statistical analyses were performed in R software (R Core Team, 2018).
- 287
- 288 **3 Results**

### 289 3.1 Carbon Stock Assessments



Figure 2 (left): Down core trends (surface = 0 cm) in average ( $\pm$  SE) OC (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) for each habitat type and site. Data show an insignificant decline in OC through time (downcore) in all sites except Newport Bay salt marshes.





309	Down core OC demonstrates high variability, resulting in few significant differences in
310	OC with depth (Fig. 2). Specifically, only Newport Bay salt marsh sediments exhibited
311	significant loss of OC down core, which declined at a rate of 0.95 kg C m <sup>-3</sup> per cm (Fig 2e;
312	GLMM, T-value = -4.7, SE = 0.01, $p < 0.05$ ). Significant differences between OC stocks
313	emerged when cores were compared between habitats, with salt marshes containing significantly
314	more carbon than both bare sediment and seagrass meadows (Fig. 3b; SLM, $p < 0.01$ , F-statistic
315	= 13.3, DF =3). Specifically, salt marsh sediments contained $23.51 \pm 1.77$ kg OC m <sup>-3</sup> , while
316	seagrass meadows contained 11.01 $\pm$ 1.18 kg OC m $^{\text{-3}}$ (Fig. 3b; mean $\pm$ SE). Seagrass meadow
317	carbon stocks were not significantly different than those of nearby bare sediments (Tukey's post-
318	hoc analysis, p > 0.05), which contained an average of $12.34 \pm 1.57$ kg OC m <sup>-3</sup> . Pan sediments
319	also contained higher carbon than did seagrass meadows (Tukey's post-hoc analysis, $p > 0.05$ ).
320	A full list of carbon stocks is displayed in Table S1. Tukey's post-hoc analysis indicates that in
321	Tomales Bay, salt marshes contained significantly more carbon than seagrass meadows (Fig. 3a;
322	p < 0.05) while bare sediment and seagrass carbon stocks did not significantly differ from one
323	another (p > 0.05). We did not detect any other significant differences when comparing carbon
324	stocks across habitat types within each individual site (Tukey's post-hoc analysis, $p > 0.05$ ).











**Figure 3:** Average ( $\pm$  SE) total organic carbon (OC) stocks (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) across all cores collected from each habitat type within each site in this study (A). Average ( $\pm$  SE) total organic carbon stocks (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) across all cores collected within each habitat type in this study (B).

331 We observed a very strong relationship between grain size and storage of organic material,

332 especially at lower TOM (%) values (Fig. 4). Specifically, the observed linear relationship

between grain size and TOM is strongest when sediment is 8.5% TOM or less (linear model,  $r^2 =$ 

334 0.95, p <0.05, F-statistic = 4957, DF = 269). However, as the proportion of fine sediments in

335 each sample increases, the relationship between grain size and TOM weakens, with no

336 significant relationship between the two in sediments consisting of more than 36% mud (SLM, p

337 > 0.05, F-statistic = 3.9, DF = 232). Our results indicate that grain size was similar between sites

338 (SLM, p > 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fig. 5a; SLM, p < 0.05, F-statistic = 2.7, DF = 3) but differed between habitat types (Fi

0.05, F-statistic = 5.7, DF = 3), with salt marsh sediments demonstrating significantly greater %

340 mud than both seagrass and bare sediment (Tukey's post-hoc analysis, p < 0.05). Recognizing

that the sample size of pan cores was low, pan sediments displayed comparable % mud to salt

- 342 marsh sediments but there were no significant differences between pan grain size and the other
- habitat types' grain sizes (Tukey's post-hoc analysis, p > 0.05). Tukey's post-hoc analyses did





344 not indicate any significant differences in grain size between habitat types within each site (Fig.

345 5a; 
$$p > 0.05$$
).



Figure 4: Biplot showing the relationship among total organic material (TOM) (%) of each 2-cm
core section from each habitat type plotted against sediment grain size (% Mud).











Figure 5: Average (± SE) % mud (fine grained particles) of all sediments quantified in each site
within each habitat type (A), average (± SE) % mud in each habitat type across all sites measured
(B).

357

## 358 **3.2 Carbon Sources and Exchange**

#### 359 3.2.1 Wrack Deposition

360 While we conducted only one ground survey of seagrass wrack lines within Walker salt 361 marsh in October 2019, historical aerial imagery was utilized to verify that these wrack lines 362 appear reliably in this season in similar locations each year (Fig. S2). This phenomenon can be 363 viewed as far back as 2002, before which images are of low quality or unavailable (Google 364 Earth, 2020). These wrack lines typically persist throughout the winter, becoming indiscernible 365 via aerial imagery by spring. Previous data collected by the authors (O'Donnell et al., 2017) 366 demonstrated this senescence within the nearby Tom's Point seagrass meadow (Fig. 1b), where 367 average summer seagrass biomass was  $440 \pm 59.4$  g m<sup>-2</sup> and winter biomass was  $115 \pm 16.5$  g m<sup>-2</sup> 368 <sup>2</sup> (AGB and BGB, mean  $\pm$  SE; Table 2). Within the neighboring Walker salt marsh, fall estimates of wrack demonstrated that  $106 \pm 24.6$  g m<sup>-2</sup> of seagrass (dry weight) was deposited along tide 369 370 lines (Table 2). While both seagrass AGB and BGB are included in this value, seagrass BGB





- 371 only accounted for 3.5% of total seagrass biomass measured (Table 2). Analysis of seagrass
- 372 leaves collected from Tomales Bay demonstrated that seagrass material was composed of 31.6%
- 373 of OC. Thus, we estimate Walker salt marsh receives  $33.4 \pm 7.6$  g OC m<sup>-2</sup> in the form of seagrass
- along wrack lines each year.

	Seagrass (winter) (g/m2)	Seagrass (summer) (g/m2)	Wrack (g/m2)	Wrack carbon (gC/m2)
AGB	58.6 ± 14	361.3 ± 47.8	102.8 ± 24	$32.5 \pm 7.6$
BGB	57.1 ± 4.6	79 ± 15.5	$3.7 \pm 2.6$	$1.2 \pm 0.8$
Total	115.7 ± 16.5	$440.3 \pm 59.4$	105.7 ± 24.6	$33.4 \pm 7.8$

375

Table 2: Living seagrass above ground biomass (AGB) and below ground biomass (BGB) was
collected in Tom's Point seagrass meadow (Fig. 1b) in both summer and winter (gm<sup>-2</sup>). Total
seagrass wrack delivered to Walker salt marsh was quantified as AGB and BGB (gm<sup>-2</sup>) and
converted to carbon (g C m<sup>-2</sup>) using a 31.6% carbon conversion rate.

380

## 381 3.2.2 Sediment Carbon Sources

382	In Walker salt marsh, surface (< 10 cm) wrack sediments had higher $\delta^{13}$ C values than
383	sediments collected from the interior of the marsh (non-wrack sediments) and thus were more
384	similar to the $\delta^{13}$ C values of seagrass (Fig. 6; SLM, p < 0.05; F-statistic =27.3; DF = 18).
385	Shallow wrack sediments had an average $\delta^{13}$ C of -22.5 ± 0.38 ‰, while non-wrack sediments
386	had an average $\delta^{13}$ C of -24.9 ± 0.26 ‰ (mean ± SE). However, when both shallow and deep
387	(>10cm) sediments were included, wrack sediment $\delta^{13}$ C did not significantly differ from non-
388	wrack sediments (SLM, $DF = 31$ , F-statistic = 0.34, p > 0.05). C:N sediment ratios did not
389	significantly differ from one another regardless of collection depth or location (SLMs, $p > 0.05$ ).
390	When data were applied to a mixing model, apparent discrepancies in seagrass-derived carbon
391	contributions between shallow and deep, and wrack and non-wrack sediments were insignificant





- 392 (SIAR mixing model, Table S2). Similarly, no significant quantity of seagrass-derived carbon
- 393 was detected in Elkhorn salt marsh sediments (SIAR mixing model, Table S2). Rather, models
- 394 estimate that sediments were derived from an estimated 47% salt marsh C3 plants and 42%
- diatoms in Elkhorn Slough, and 49% salt marsh C3 plants and 46% diatoms in Walker salt marsh
- 396 (Fig. 7; Table S2). Thus, regardless of site, depth, or the presence of wrack, model results
- 397 estimate no significant storage of seagrass-derived carbon in sediment (Table S2). Instead, C3
- 398 plants and diatoms are the only two significant contributors to underlying carbon storage across
- 399 salt marshes in all locations.



400

401 **Figure 6**: Points represent the  $\delta^{13}$ C and C:N ratios of Walker Salt Marsh sediment data, collected 402 from underneath persistent wrack lines (blue) and from areas free of wrack (red) (Fig. 1c). 403 Sediment subsamples collected from the surface to 10cm deep are labelled as surface samples 404 (square) and below 10cm are labelled as deep samples (circle). Colored boxes represent sources 405 (means ± SD) from diatoms (purple), seagrass (green), C4 salt marsh plants (red), and C3 salt 406 marsh plants (yellow).







**Figure 7** (left): Bayesian mixing model results displaying the average source contributions to salt marsh sediment organic carbon (OC) for two salt marshes. Exact values and significance are shown in Table S2.

#### 421 4 Discussion

#### 422 4.1 Carbon Stocks

423 The lack of clear downcore trends suggests relative OC stability with depth and through time 424 (Fig. 2). This is further supported by the persistence of this pattern in seagrass and bare sediment 425 sites where longer cores were available (Fig. S3). In the only site with significant downcore OC losses (Newport Bay salt marsh), this pattern may be explained by historic hydrographic 426 427 changes. Specifically, previous sediment profiles from this region also found finer surface 428 sediments above coarser materials - associated with the conversion from an erosional to a depositional system in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century due to urbanization of the surrounding area 429 430 (Trimble, 2003). This change in grain size downcore may drive the associated OC loss down 431 core, discussed further below. Although we did not quantify sediment carbon accumulation rates 432 here, previous work in Tomales Bay seagrass meadows indicate rates of 11.37 - 15.16 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-</sup> 433 <sup>1</sup> (O'Donnell et al., 2017). By these accumulation rate estimates, we estimate the top 20 cm of 434 sediment sampled here accumulated over approximately 100-130 years. Given the relatively





- 435 slow accumulation rates and lack of down core trends, we interpret that our data represent
- 436 realistic stock assessments for each respective habitat and location, rather than being reflective of
- 437 shallow surface sediment carbon stocks.
- 438 Determining drivers of OC storage variation across habitats is notably complex, where a mix 439 of factors such as grain size, elevation, hydrodynamic energy, and vegetation type may influence 440 underlying sediment OC storage (Kelleway et al., 2016; Lima et al., 2020; Miyajima et al., 441 2015). Here, sediment grain size and its associated drivers allow us to further examine variation 442 in sediment OC storage variation. Specifically, grain size likely contributed to the observed inter-443 habitat differences in OC storage, given its strong correlation with TOM. Despite no significant 444 differences in grain size between habitat types within each site (likely due to high grain size 445 variability and low relative sample sizes), apparent qualitative differences elude to possible 446 explanations of stock differences. For example, seagrass sediments had lower % mud than salt 447 marsh sediments in Tomales Bay, a trend that was reversed in Newport Bay (Fig. 5a; Table S1). 448 Similarly, seagrass sediments did not always contain a higher % mud than neighboring bare 449 sediments, contrary to what is typically reported (Bos et al., 2007; Conley et al., 2017; Mazarassa 450 et al., 2015), which may explain their apparent lack of sediment OC differences. Overall, salt 451 marshes had greater OC storage and smaller average grain size than seagrass meadows. The 452 periodic, lower energy inundation of the sampled marsh and pan habitats may facilitate the
- 453 observed smaller grain sizes by preventing the resuspension of small particles that might occur
- 454 in higher hydrodynamic energy or submerged systems (Christiansen et al., 2000; Yang et al.,
- 455 2008). In marsh and pan habitats, biological factors such as primary production and
- 456 decomposition rates may become more important drivers of carbon burial than would be true in
- 457 dominantly sandy sediments (Miyajima et al., 2017). Yet despite the absence of vegetation in





458	pan sites, we did not observe significant differences in carbon stocks between pan sediments and
459	surrounding salt marsh sediments. This could be attributed to 1) significant carbon contributions
460	from surrounding salt marsh biomass to pan sites overtime, 2) historical marsh recovery along
461	pan edges and subsequent burial of vegetation captured in pan cores, 3) variable decomposition
462	rates in both pan and salt marsh sediments, or 4) because the expected effect of canopy-
463	vegetation on salt marsh sediment is too small relative to other drivers, producing statistically
464	insignificant results. In sum, although seagrasses and salt marshes can facilitate carbon storage
465	by altering grain size distributions, hydrodynamics and geomorphology play a critical role in
466	grain size distributions and therefore, carbon storage. The hydrographic changes in Newport Bay
467	salt marshes associated with decreased grain sizes further emphasize this point - drawing
468	attention to the importance of watershed and sediment management to regional carbon storage.
469	Furthermore, although grain size is clearly a key driver in carbon storage, our data demonstrate
470	that it becomes of limited use as a predictor of carbon stocks after the proportion of mud exceeds
471	36% (Fig. 4). This demonstrates that using grain size as a cost-effective way to estimate carbon
472	stocks (as has been suggested, e.g. Serrano et al., 2016) only appears possible in sandy and
473	mixed grain size sediments within the sites studied here. Stocks in fine sediment sites above this
474	threshold cannot be estimated using grain size alone – a management-relevant finding for efforts
475	to incorporate habitat-specific carbon storage into regional climate plans.

476

#### 477 4.2 Global and Regional Comparisons

478 With recent meta-analyses on coastal carbon stocks in salt marshes and seagrass meadows,

479 we can compare our data to global and regional averages (no sufficient syntheses of pan or bare





- 480 sediment carbons stocks were identified). We find that carbon storage in California seagrass
- 481 sediments studied here is lower than global estimates yet is higher than regional estimates of Z.

Study	Habitat Type/Species	Location(s)	Value (Mg C <sub>org</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
Seagrass						
This study	Seagrass (Z. marina)	California	110 ± 11.8			
Kauffman et al. (2020)	Seagrass (Z. marina)	* U.S. west coast	80 ± 12			
Prentice et al. (2020)	Seagrass (Z. marina)	U.S. west coast	65.12			
Rohr et al. (2018)	Seagrass (Z. marina)	* U.S. west coast	69.4 ± 8.4			
		* Temperate Northern Hemisphere	108.9 ± 39.56			
<sup>1</sup> Fourqurean et al. (2012)	Seagrass	Global	<sup>1</sup> 139.7			
	Salt Marsh					
This study	Salt marsh dominated by <i>Sarcocornia</i> pacifica, Distichlis spicata and Jaumea carnosa	California	235 ± 17.7			
Kauffman et al. (2020)	Salt marsh dominated by Distichlis spicata and Sarcocornia perennis	* U.S. west coast	190 ± 16			
Holmquist et al. (2018)	Tidal wetlands (mixed, dominated by estuarine emergent vegetation)	* Conterminous U.S. tidal wetlands	270 ± 1.4			

482

**Table 3:** Summary of previously reported carbon stock assessments in seagrass meadows and

484 tidal wetlands, all normalized to the top 1m of sediment. Studies that included any data from

485 California are denoted by an asterisk. Values are reported as mean ± SE, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>1</sup>Fourqurean et al. (2012) value represents median OC storage.

487

488 *marina* from the U.S. west coast (Table 3). Early estimates from the US west coast were

489 markedly low, with one study of Z. marina from British Columbia measuring average stocks of

490 1.34 kg C m<sup>-3</sup> with a maximum OC of 1.3%, compared to the  $11.01 \pm 1.18$  kg C m<sup>-3</sup> and a

491 maximum OC of 7.0 % estimated here (Postlethwaite et al., 2018). While estimates from studies

492 averaging over broader U.S. West coast regions are higher than this Canadian case study (Table

493 3), the data presented here are still higher than regional estimates, suggesting possible latitudinal





494	difference in carbon storage between the northern and southern regions of the North American
495	west coast. This is not unsurprising given the variation in environmental and geomorphic
496	conditions over this large stretch of coastline, which likely influence the carbon storage capacity
497	along with the factors discussed here such as hydrodynamics or grain size conditions.
498	Recent studies of the U.S. west coast and of conterminous U.S. tidal wetlands allow for
499	comparison with salt marsh data collected here. Much like with seagrass meadows, California
500	salt marsh carbon storage was also higher than previous estimates from the U.S. west coast
501	(Kauffman et al., 2020; Table 3). Both the data presented here as well as those from Kauffman et
502	al. (2020) (Table 3) were collected from similar marsh zones and species compositions, reducing
503	some potential for these factors to result in the observed differences in carbon stocks. Previous
504	work has found that high-marsh zone sediments are likely to contain greater OC than mid- and
505	lower-marsh zones, and that less inundation can facilitate increased root productivity and
506	increased OC (the sites presented here would be considered 'lower-marsh zones') (Blum, 1993;
507	Connor et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2007). Moreover, sediments beneath other common marsh
508	species in this region (e.g. Spartina sp.) were not sampled, yet may have differing productivity
509	levels, resulting in OC stock differences. This may contribute to the variation between our
510	estimated salt marsh carbon stock and that of conterminous U.S. tidal wetlands (Holmquist et al.,
511	2018), which includes a variety of habitat types including forested and shrub-dominated tidal
512	wetlands.
513	

514 **4.1 Carbon Sources and Exchanges** 

515Across both salt marshes assessed in this study, diatoms and C3 plants were the dominant516carbon sources in sediment. Although the isotopic signatures resulting from C4 and seagrass





517	sources are poorly resolved, lack of a significant signature from either source allows for
518	interpretation. Specifically, neither C4 plants nor seagrasses significantly contributed to the OC
519	sources in sediment. Thus, despite similar isotopic signatures, if some seagrass-derived organic
520	matter was buried under wrack sediments, we would expect to see higher $\delta^{13}$ C values in wrack
521	sediments with depth. The insignificant differences in $\delta^{13}$ C between wrack and non-wrack
522	sediments suggest that seagrass is not ultimately being buried, but rather, carbon derived from
523	C3 plants and diatoms are ultimately retained in sediment.
524	Several underlying causes may lead to this high proportion of diatom and C3-derived carbon
525	(Fig. 7). The recalcitrance of both autochthonous and allochthonous material in sediment can
526	vary depending on the material's composition (e.g. Burdige, 2007), and thus knowing the
527	sources and composition of deposited material aids in understanding its chance of long-term
528	burial. In seagrass meadows, previous work demonstrated that seagrass BGB likely contributes
529	most to autochthonous carbon burial when compared to AGB, due to higher proportions of
530	refractory compounds and decreased grazing pressure (Trevathan-Tackett et al., 2017). This may
531	serve to explain our lack of seagrass signal in salt marsh sediments under wrack. Seagrass wrack
532	material deposited on top of the salt marsh is predominantly AGB, likely breaking down or being
533	transported elsewhere before any appreciable portion reaches long-term sediment carbon pools.
534	Instead, the dominant C3 signal is likely driven by the presence of pickleweed species
535	(Sarcocornia sp.), which have a considerable portion of below ground biomass. Despite the
536	presence of salt grass (Distichilis spicata), the lack of C4-derived carbon in sediment may be due
537	to a few potential factors: 1) salt grass is less common relative to pickleweed within our sites, 2)
538	based on our field observations, root systems of salt grass do not seem to penetrate as deeply or
539	contain as much BGB as do those of pickleweed, and 3) salt grass contains less woody tissue





540	than pickleweed, making it less refractory (Jepson Flora Project, 2020). In the case of diatom and
541	planktonic sources, their significant presence in sediment may be due to a greater abundance
542	overall, or due to complex preservation pathways such as the facilitation of carbon burial by
543	binding sediments through extracellular polymeric substances (Drexler et al., 2020; Macreadie et
544	al., 2019; Oakes and Eyre, 2013).
545	From the seasonal senescence indicated by seagrass meadow biomass data (Table 2), we
546	infer that a significant amount of seagrass AGB biomass is either degraded within the meadow or
547	exported for remineralization or deposition elsewhere. Given that strong tidal flows can occur
548	within meadows and that high densities of seagrass wrack were observed in a neighboring salt
549	marsh, lateral export of AGB from the meadow is likely. Nonetheless, the majority of OC
550	deposited into the marsh in the form of seagrass wrack is likely remineralized over the course of
551	the year – with wrack decomposition outpacing a sediment accumulation rate that might support
552	carbon preservation. While wrack remineralization can support local estuarine food web
553	metabolism, it can also produce emissions (Jiménez et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019). However,
554	these emissions are small when compared to the levels of carbon sequestration within the marsh
555	- if all of the seagrass wrack along the wrack lines was remineralized annually, this degradation
556	would only contribute $33 \pm 7.8$ g C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> to the atmosphere (Table 2). For comparison, dating
557	(primarily $^{137}\text{Cs})$ from salt marshes nearby estimate carbon accumulation as $174\pm45~g~C~m^{-2}yr^{-1}$
558	(Ouyang & Lee, 2014). Thus, even along wrack lines, carbon accumulation far outpaces carbon
559	release from breakdown of allochthonous material. Although estimates of decomposition and
560	accumulation rates in this site could further inform these concepts, the slow annual cycle of
561	seagrass wrack deposition and disappearance observed in the aerial imagery (Fig. S2), and the





- 562 lack of seagrass-derived carbon in underlying sediment make a compelling case that little of this
- 563 material is ultimately buried.
- 564

## 565 5 Conclusions

566 We find that California salt marshes can store approximately twice as much carbon than do 567 seagrass meadows within this region. Grain size - an easier metric to quantify than carbon stock 568 - can be used to estimate regional carbon storage in sandy and mixed-grain size sediments. This 569 information can serve to inform local and regional management plans in efforts to prioritize and 570 quantify carbon storage across these habitat types. While seagrass meadows may act as local 571 sinks for carbon, they also export substantial amounts of AGB annually, which can be rapidly 572 remineralized and converted to CO<sub>2</sub>, rather than buried in neighboring blue carbon habitats. Both 573 C3 salt marsh plants and diatoms contributed to the OC pools in all three salt marshes under 574 study – likely due to their prevalence within our sites and their resistance to degradation. This 575 comprehensive study assesses several key research needs in blue carbon science informing 576 current efforts to prioritize and quantify carbon storage across these habitat types.

577 578

### 579 6 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Al Carranza, Grant Susner, Amanda Nordstrom, Siena Watson, Camille
Frias, Daphne Bradley, Anya Morrill, Natalie Rossi, and Jezebel Powers for laboratory and field

- 582 assistance. This work was supported by California Sea Grant (R/HCME-03, support to Tessa
- 583 Hill, Brian Gaylord, Eric Sanford, and Kristy Kroeker), the California Ocean Protection Council
- 584 (support to Tessa Hill, Brian Gaylord, Eric Sanford, and Kristy Kroeker), the CSU Council on
- 585 Ocean Affairs, Science & Technology (support to Melissa Ward), and the San Diego ARCS





586	foundation (support to Melissa Ward). Dr. Jim Cloern also generously provided data to support
587	isotopic mixing models. Lastly, we would like to thank Dr. Peter Bowler, whose mentorship and
588	legacy in wetland conservation has sparked lifelong collaborations and scientific careers. All
589	data included in this manuscript will be made publicly available on the Dryad data repository.
590	
591	
592	
593	
594	
595	
596	
597	
598	
599	
600	
601	
602 603	
604	
605	
606	
607	
608	
609	
610	
611	
612	
613	
614 615	
616	
617	
618	
619	
620	
621	
622	
623	
624	
625	
626	
627	





#### 628 References

- 629
- 630 Alongi, D. M. Blue Carbon Coastal Sequestration for Climate Change Mitigation.
- Springer International Publishing, Briefs in Climate Studies, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-91698-9, 631 2018.
- 632
- 633
- 634 Attard, K. M., Rodil, I. F., Berg, P., Norkko, J., Norkko, A. and Glud, R. N.: Seasonal
- 635 metabolism and carbon export potential of a key coastal habitat: The perennial canopy-forming
- 636 macroalga Fucus vesiculosus: Fucus vesiculosus canopy metabolism, Limnol Oceanogr, 64(1),
- 637 149-164, doi:10.1002/lno.11026, 2019.
- 638 Benner, R., Fogel, M. L. and Sprague, E. K.: Diagenesis of belowground biomass of Spartina
- alterniflora in salt-marsh sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 36(7), 1358-1374, 639
- 640 doi:10.4319/lo.1991.36.7.1358, 1991.
- 641 Blum, L. K.: Spartina alterniflora root dynamics in a Virginia marsh, Marine Ecology Progress 642 Series, 102, 169-178, 1993.
- 643 Bos, A. R., Bouma, T. J., de Kort, G. L. J. and van Katwijk, M. M.: Ecosystem engineering by
- 644 annual intertidal seagrass beds: Sediment accretion and modification, Estuarine, Coastal and
- 645 Shelf Science, 74(1–2), 344–348, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2007.04.006, 2007.
- 646 Bouillon, S. and Connolly, R. M.: Carbon Exchange Among Tropical Coastal Ecosystems, in
- Ecological Connectivity among Tropical Coastal Ecosystems, edited by I. Nagelkerken, pp. 45-647 70, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht., 2009. 648
- 649 Brevik, E. C. and Homburg, J. A.: A 5000 year record of carbon sequestration from a coastal
- 650 lagoon and wetland complex, Southern California, USA, CATENA, 57(3), 221-232, 651 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2003.12.001, 2004.
- 652 Burdige, D. J.: Preservation of Organic Matter in Marine Sediments: Controls, Mechanisms, and
- 653 an Imbalance in Sediment Organic Carbon Budgets?, Chem. Rev., 107(2), 467–485,
- 654 doi:10.1021/cr050347q, 2007.
- 655 Cabello-Pasini, A., Muñiz-Salazar, R. and Ward, D. H.: Annual variations of biomass and
- 656 photosynthesis in Zostera marina at its southern end of distribution in the North Pacific, Aquatic 657 Botany, 76(1), 31-47, doi:10.1016/S0304-3770(03)00012-3, 2003.
- 658 Callaway, J. C., Borgnis, E. L., Turner, R. E. and Milan, C. S.: Carbon Sequestration and
- 659 Sediment Accretion in San Francisco Bay Tidal Wetlands, Estuaries and Coasts, 35(5), 1163-
- 660 1181, doi:10.1007/s12237-012-9508-9, 2012.
- 661 Capece, L. The origin of sedimentary organic carbon in temperate seagrass meadows in
- California estuaries. Thesis 22619435, University of California, Davis, ProQuest Dissertations 662 663 Publishing, 2019.
- 664





- 665 Chmura, G. L., Anisfeld, S. C., Cahoon, D. R. and Lynch, J. C.: Global carbon sequestration in
- tidal, saline wetland soils, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 17(4), doi:10.1029/2002GB001917,
  2003.
- 668 Christiansen, T., Wiberg, P. L. and Milligan, T. G.: Flow and Sediment Transport on a Tidal Salt
- Marsh Surface, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 50(3), 315–331,
- 670 https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2000.0548, 2000.
- 671 Cloern, J. E., Canuel, E. A. and Harris, D.: Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of
- aquatic and terrestrial plants of the San Francisco Bay estuarine system, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
- 673 47(3), 713–729, doi:10.4319/lo.2002.47.3.0713, 2002.
- 674 Conley, D. C., Austin, M., Davidson, I., Buscombe, D. and Masselink, G.: Grain Size Selection
  675 in Seagrass Beds, Coastal Dynamics, (200), 11, 2017.
- 676 Connor, R. F., Chmura, G. L. and Beecher, C. B.: Carbon accumulation in bay of fundy salt
- marshes: Implications for restoration of reclaimed marshes, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 15(4),
  943–954, doi:10.1029/2000GB001346, 2001.
- 679 Craft, C. B., Seneca, E. D. and Broome, S. W.: Loss on Ignition and Kjeldahl Digestion for
- Estimating Organic Carbon and Total Nitrogen in Estuarine Marsh Soils: Calibration with Dry
  Combustion, Estuaries, 14(2), 175, doi:10.2307/1351691, 1991.
- Craven, K. F., Edwards, R. J. and Flood, R. P.: Source organic matter analysis of saltmarsh
  sediments using SIAR and its application in relative sea-level studies in regions of C<sub>4</sub> plant
  invasion, Boreas, 46(4), 642–654, doi:10.1111/bor.12245, 2017.
- 685 Cyronak, T., Andersson, A. J., D'Angelo, S., Bresnahan, P., Davidson, C., Griffin, A.,
- Kindeberg, T., Pennise, J., Takeshita, Y. and White, M.: Short-Term Spatial and Temporal
- 687 Carbonate Chemistry Variability in Two Contrasting Seagrass Meadows: Implications for pH
- 688 Buffering Capacities, Estuaries and Coasts, 41(5), 1282–1296, doi:10.1007/s12237-017-0356-5, 2018.
- 690 Dahl, M., Deyanova, D., Gütschow, S., Asplund, M. E., Lyimo, L. D., Karamfilov, V., Santos,
- 691 R., Björk, M. and Gullström, M.: Sediment Properties as Important Predictors of Carbon Storage
- 692 in Zostera marina Meadows: A Comparison of Four European Areas, PLOS ONE, 11(12),
- 693 e0167493, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167493, 2016.
- 694 Drexler, J. Z., Davis, M. J., Woo, I. and De La Cruz, S.: Carbon Sources in the Sediments of a
- Restoring vs. Historically Unaltered Salt Marsh, Estuaries and Coasts, 43(6), 1345–1360,
- 696 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00748-7, 2020.
- 697 Duarte, C., Marbà, N., Gacia, E., Fourqurean, J. W., Beggins, J., Barrón, C. and Apostolaki, E.
- 698 T.: Seagrass community metabolism: Assessing the carbon sink capacity of seagrass meadows,
- 699 Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 24(4), doi:10.1029/2010GB003793, 2010.
- Duarte, C. M. and Cebrián, J.: The fate of marine autotrophic production, Limnology and
  Oceanography, 41(8), 1758–1766, doi:10.4319/lo.1996.41.8.1758, 1996.





- 702 Duarte, C. M. and Krause-Jensen, D.: Export from Seagrass Meadows Contributes to Marine
- 703 Carbon Sequestration, Front. Mar. Sci., 4, doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00013, 2017.
- 704 Escapa, M., Perillo, G. M. E. and Iribarne, O.: Biogeomorphically driven salt pan formation in
- Sarcocornia-dominated salt-marshes, Geomorphology, 228, 147–157,
- 706 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.08.032, 2015.
- 707 Fourqurean, J. W., Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marbà, N., Holmer, M., Mateo, M. A.,
- 708 Apostolaki, E. T., Kendrick, G. A., Krause-Jensen, D., McGlathery, K. J. and Serrano, O.:
- 709 Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock, Nature Geoscience, 5(7), 505–509,
- 710 doi:10.1038/ngeo1477, 2012.
- 711 Freedman, B., Stinson, G. and Lacoul, P.: Carbon credits and the conservation of natural areas,
- 712 Environ. Rev., 17(NA), 1–19, doi:10.1139/A08-007, 2009.
- 713 Gambi, M., Nowell, A. and Jumars, P.: Flume observations on flow dynamics in Zostera marina
- 714 (eelgrass) beds, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 61, 159–169, doi:10.3354/meps061159, 1990.
- 715 Google Earth. Tomales Bay, California, USA. 38°12'42.4"N 122°55'39.7"W. 2020.
- 716
- 717 Greiner, J. T., McGlathery, K. J., Gunnell, J. and McKee, B. A.: Seagrass Restoration Enhances
- 718 "Blue Carbon" Sequestration in Coastal Waters, PLOS ONE, 8(8), e72469,
- 719 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072469, 2013.
- Hendriks, I., Sintes, T., Bouma, T. and Duarte, C.: Experimental assessment and modeling
- evaluation of the effects of the seagrass Posidonia oceanica on flow and particle trapping, Mar.
- 722 Ecol. Prog. Ser., 356, 163–173, doi:10.3354/meps07316, 2008.
- Holmquist, J. R., Windham-Myers, L., Bliss, N., Crooks, S., Morris, J. T., Megonigal, J. P.,
- 724 Troxler, T., Weller, D., Callaway, J., Drexler, J., Ferner, M. C., Gonneea, M. E., Kroeger, K. D.,
- 725 Schile-Beers, L., Woo, I., Buffington, K., Breithaupt, J., Boyd, B. M., Brown, L. N., Dix, N.,
- Hice, L., Horton, B. P., MacDonald, G. M., Moyer, R. P., Reay, W., Shaw, T., Smith, E., Smoak,
- J. M., Sommerfield, C., Thorne, K., Velinsky, D., Watson, E., Grimes, K. W. and Woodrey, M.:
- Accuracy and Precision of Tidal Wetland Soil Carbon Mapping in the Conterminous United
- 729 States, Scientific Reports, 8(1), 9478, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-26948-7, 2018.
- 730 Hyndes, G. A., Nagelkerken, I., McLeod, R. J., Connolly, R. M., Lavery, P. S. and Vanderklift,
- 731 M. A.: Mechanisms and ecological role of carbon transfer within coastal seascapes, Biological
- 732 Reviews, 89(1), 232–254, doi:10.1111/brv.12055, 2014.
- 733 Ince, R., Hyndes, G. A., Lavery, P. S. and Vanderklift, M. A.: Marine macrophytes directly
- right enhance abundances of sandy beach fauna through provision of food and habitat, Estuarine,
- 735 Coastal and Shelf Science, 74(1–2), 77–86, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2007.03.029, 2007.
- 736 Jiménez, M. A., Beltran, R., Traveset, A., Calleja, M. L., Delgado-Huertas, A. and Marbà, N.:
- 737 Aeolian transport of seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) beach-cast to terrestrial systems, Estuarine,
- 738 Coastal and Shelf Science, 196, 31–44, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2017.06.035, 2017.





- Johnson, K., Purvis, G., Lopez-Capel, E., Peacock, C., Gray, N., Wagner, T., März, C., Bowen,
- L., Ojeda, J., Finlay, N., Robertson, S., Worrall, F. and Greenwell, C.: Towards a mechanistic
- viderstanding of carbon stabilization in manganese oxides, Nat Commun, 6(1), 7628,
- 742 doi:10.1038/ncomms8628, 2015.
- 743 Kauffman, J. B., Giovanonni, L., Kelly, J., Dunstan, N., Borde, A., Diefenderfer, H., Cornu, C.,
- Janousek, C., Apple, J. and Brophy, L.: Total ecosystem carbon stocks at the marine-terrestrial
- 745 interface: Blue carbon of the Pacific Northwest Coast, United States, Glob. Change Biol., 26(10),
- 746 5679–5692, doi:10.1111/gcb.15248, 2020.
- 747 Kelleway, J. J., Saintilan, N., Macreadie, P. I. and Ralph, P. J.: Sedimentary Factors are Key
- 748 Predictors of Carbon Storage in SE Australian Saltmarshes, Ecosystems, 19(5), 865–880,
- 749 doi:10.1007/s10021-016-9972-3, 2016.
- 750 Kennedy, H., Beggins, J., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean, J. W., Holmer, M., Marbà, N. and
- Middelburg, J. J.: Seagrass sediments as a global carbon sink: Isotopic constraints, Global
  Biogeochem. Cycles, 24(4), GB4026, doi:10.1029/2010GB003848, 2010.
- 753 Largier, J. L., Hollibaugh, J. T. and Smith, S. V.: Seasonally Hypersaline Estuaries in
- 754 Mediterranean-climate Regions, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 45(6), 789–797,
- 755 doi:10.1006/ecss.1997.0279, 1997.
- Lavery, P. S., Mateo, M.-Á., Serrano, O. and Rozaimi, M.: Variability in the Carbon Storage of
- Seagrass Habitats and Its Implications for Global Estimates of Blue Carbon Ecosystem Service,
   edited by J. F. Valentine, PLoS ONE, 8(9), e73748, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073748, 2013.
- Leorri, E., Zimmerman, A. R., Mitra, S., Christian, R. R., Fatela, F. and Mallinson, D. J.:
- Refractory organic matter in coastal salt marshes-effect on C sequestration calculations, Science of The Total Environment, 633, 391–398, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.120, 2018.
- Lewis, C. J., Young, M. A., Ierodiaconou, D., Baldock, J. A., Hawke, B., Sanderman, J., Carnell,
  P. E. and Macreadie, P. I.: Drivers and modelling of blue carbon stock variability in sediments of
- 764 southeastern Australia, Biogeosciences, 17(7), 2041–2059, doi:10.5194/bg-17-2041-2020, 2020.
- Lima, M. do A. C., Ward, R. D. and Joyce, C. B.: Environmental drivers of sediment carbon
  storage in temperate seagrass meadows, Hydrobiologia, doi:10.1007/s10750-019-04153-5, 2020.
- 767 Liu, S., Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Ewers Lewis, C. J., Ollivier, Q. R., Jiang, Z., Huang, X. and
- 768 Macreadie, P. I.: Beach-cast seagrass wrack contributes substantially to global greenhouse gas
- remissions, Journal of Environmental Management, 231, 329-335,
- 770 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.10.047, 2019.
- 771 Lovelock, C. E., Adame, M. F., Bennion, V., Hayes, M., O'Mara, J., Reef, R. and Santini, N. S.:
- 772 Contemporary Rates of Carbon Sequestration Through Vertical Accretion of Sediments in
- 773 Mangrove Forests and Saltmarshes of South East Queensland, Australia, Estuaries and Coasts,
- 774 37(3), 763–771, doi:10.1007/s12237-013-9702-4, 2014.





- 775 Lovelock, C. E., Atwood, T., Baldock, J., Duarte, C. M., Hickey, S., Lavery, P. S., Masque, P.,
- 776 Macreadie, P. I., Ricart, A. M., Serrano, O. and Steven, A.: Assessing the risk of carbon dioxide
- emissions from blue carbon ecosystems, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 15(5), 257-
- 778 265, doi:10.1002/fee.1491, 2017.
- 779 Macreadie, P. I., Anton, A., Raven, J. A., Beaumont, N., Connolly, R. M., Friess, D. A.,
- 780 Kelleway, J. J., Kennedy, H., Kuwae, T., Lavery, P. S., Lovelock, C. E., Smale, D. A.,
- 781 Apostolaki, E. T., Atwood, T. B., Baldock, J., Bianchi, T. S., Chmura, G. L., Eyre, B. D.,
- 782 Fourqurean, J. W., Hall-Spencer, J. M., Huxham, M., Hendriks, I. E., Krause-Jensen, D.,
- 783 Laffoley, D., Luisetti, T., Marbà, N., Masque, P., McGlathery, K. J., Megonigal, J. P.,
- 784 Murdiyarso, D., Russell, B. D., Santos, R., Serrano, O., Silliman, B. R., Watanabe, K. and
- 785 Duarte, C. M.: The future of Blue Carbon science, Nat Commun, 10(1), 1–13,
- 786 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11693-w, 2019.
- 787 Mazarrasa, I., Lovelock, C. E., Serrano, O., Lavery, P. S., Fourqurean, J. W., Kennedy, H.,
- 788 Mateo, M. A., Krause-Jensen, D., Steven, A. D. L. and Duarte, C. M.: Seagrass meadows as a
- 789 globally significant carbonate reservoir, Biogeosciences, 12(16), 4993–5003,
- 790 doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4993-2015, 2015.
- 791 Mazarrasa, I., Samper-Villarreal, J., Serrano, O., Lavery, P. S., Lovelock, C. E., Marbà, N.,
- 792 Duarte, C. M. and Cortés, J.: Habitat characteristics provide insights of carbon storage in
- seagrass meadows, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 134, 106–117,
- 794 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.01.059, 2018.
- 795 Merkel & Associates, Inc. 2017 Tomales Bay Eelgrass Inventory, prepared the National Oceanic
- Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary. December2017.
- 798
- 799 Milliman, J. D.: Precipitation and Cementation of Deep-Sea Carbonate Sediments, in Deep-Sea
- 800 Sediments: Physical and Mechanical Properties, edited by A. L. Inderbitzen, pp. 463–476,
- 801 Springer US, Boston, MA., 1974.
- 802 Miyajima, T., Hori, M., Hamaguchi, M., Shimabukuro, H., Adachi, H., Yamano, H. and
- 803 Nakaoka, M.: Geographic variability in organic carbon stock and accumulation rate in sediments
- of East and Southeast Asian seagrass meadows, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29(4), 397–415,
   doi:10.1002/2014GB004979, 2015.
- Nahlik, A. M. and Fennessy, M. S.: Carbon storage in US wetlands, Nat Commun, 7(1), 13835,
  doi:10.1038/ncomms13835, 2016.
- 808 O'Donnell, B. C. Carbon Sequestration Within Northeastern Pacific Seagrass Meadows, Thesis
- 809 10607483, University of California, Davis, ProQuest Dissertations Publishing, 2017.
- 810
- 811 Oakes, J. M. and Eyre, B. D.: Transformation and fate of microphytobenthos carbon in
- subtropical, intertidal sediments: potential for long-term carbon retention revealed by 13C-
- 813 labeling, Biogeosciences, 11(7), 1927–1940, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-1927-2014, 2014.





- 814 Ouyang, X. and Lee, S. Y.: Updated estimates of carbon accumulation rates in coastal marsh
- 815 sediments, Biogeosciences, 11(18), 5057–5071, doi:10.5194/bg-11-5057-2014, 2014.
- 816 Parnell, A. C. and Jackson, A.L. SIAR: stable isotope analysisin R. R package version 4.2. http:
- 817 //CRAN.R-project.org/package=siar, 2013.
- 818
- 819 Patrick, Wm. H. and DeLaune, R. D.: Subsidence accretion and sea level rise in south San
- Francisco Bay marshes, Limnol. Oceanogr., 35(6), 1389–1395, doi:10.4319/lo.1990.35.6.1389,
  1990.
- 822 Peck, E. K., Wheatcroft, R. A. and Brophy, L. S.: Controls on Sediment Accretion and Blue
- 823 Carbon Burial in Tidal Saline Wetlands: Insights From the Oregon Coast, USA, J. Geophys. Res.
- 824 Biogeosci., 125(2), doi:10.1029/2019JG005464, 2020.
- 825 Pendleton, L., Donato, D. C., Murray, B. C., Crooks, S., Jenkins, W. A., Sifleet, S., Craft, C.,
- 826 Fourqurean, J. W., Kauffman, J. B., Marbà, N., Megonigal, P., Pidgeon, E., Herr, D., Gordon, D.
- 827 and Baldera, A.: Estimating Global "Blue Carbon" Emissions from Conversion and Degradation
- of Vegetated Coastal Ecosystems, edited by S. Thrush, PLoS ONE, 7(9), e43542,
- 829 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043542, 2012.
- 830 Perdue, E. M. and Koprivnjak, J. F.: Using the C/N ratio to estimate terrigenous inputs of organic
- 831 matter to aquatic environments, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 73(1–2), 65–72,
- doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.12.021, 2007.
- Poppe, K. L. and Rybczyk, J. M.: Carbon Sequestration in a Pacific Northwest Eelgrass (Zostera marina) Meadow, nwsc, 92(2), 80–91, doi:10.3955/046.092.0202, 2018.
- 835 Postlethwaite, V. R., McGowan, A. E., Kohfeld, K. E., Robinson, C. L. K. and Pellatt, M. G.:
- 836 Low blue carbon storage in eelgrass (Zostera marina) meadows on the Pacific Coast of Canada,
- 837 PLOS ONE, 13(6), e0198348, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0198348, 2018.
- Prentice, C., Hessing-Lewis, M., Sanders-Smith, R. and Salomon, A. K.: Reduced water motion
  enhances organic carbon stocks in temperate eelgrass meadows, Limnol Oceanogr, 64(6), 2389–
  2404, doi:10.1002/lno.11191, 2019.
- 841 R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
- 842 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- 843
- 844 Ricart, A., Dalmau, A., Pérez, M. and Romero, J.: Effects of landscape configuration on the
- exchange of materials in seagrass ecosystems, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 532, 89–100,
- 846 doi:10.3354/meps11384, 2015.
- 847 Ricart, A. M., Pérez, M. and Romero, J.: Landscape configuration modulates carbon storage in
- seagrass sediments, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 185, 69–76,
- 849 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2016.12.011, 2017.





- 850 Ricart, A. M., York, P. H., Bryant, C. V., Rasheed, M. A., Ierodiaconou, D. and Macreadie, P. I.:
- High variability of Blue Carbon storage in seagrass meadows at the estuary scale, Sci Rep, 10(1),
  5865, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-62639-y, 2020.
- 853 Saintilan, N., Rogers, K., Mazumder, D. and Woodroffe, C. Allochthonous and autochthonous
- contributions to carbon accumulation and carbon store in southeastern Australian coastal
- wetlands, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 128, 84–92, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.05.010,
  2013.
- Serrano, O., Mateo, M. A., Renom, P. and Julià, R.: Characterization of soils beneath a Posidonia
  oceanica meadow, Geoderma, 185–186, 26–36, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.03.020, 2012.
- 859 Serrano, O., Lavery, P. S., Duarte, C. M., Kendrick, G. A., Calafat, A., York, P. H., Steven, A.
- 860 and Macreadie, P. I.: Can mud (silt and clay) concentration be used to predict soil organic
- carboncontent within seagrass ecosystems?, Biogeosciences, 13(17), 4915–4926,
- 862 doi:10.5194/bg-13-4915-2016, 2016.
- 863 Serrano, O., Kelleway, J. J., Lovelock, C. and Lavery, P. S.: Conservation of Blue Carbon
- Ecosystems for Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation, in Coastal Wetlands, pp. 965–996,
  Elsevier., 2019.
- 866 Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Macreadie, P. I., Sanderman, J., Baldock, J., Howes, J. M. and Ralph,
- 867 P. J.: A Global Assessment of the Chemical Recalcitrance of Seagrass Tissues: Implications for
- Long-Term Carbon Sequestration, Front. Plant Sci., 8, 925, doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00925, 2017.
- 869 Trimble, S. W.: Historical hydrographic and hydrologic changes in the San Diego creek
- watershed, Newport Bay, California, Journal of Historical Geography, 29(3), 422–444,
  doi:10.1006/jhge.2002.0485, 2003.
- 872 Valiela, I. and Cole, M. L.: Comparative Evidence that Salt Marshes and Mangroves May
- 873 Protect Seagrass Meadows from Land-derived Nitrogen Loads, Ecosystems, 5(1), 92–102,
- 874 doi:10.1007/s10021-001-0058-4, 2002.
- Van Dyke, E. and Wasson, K.: Historical ecology of a central California estuary: 150 years of
  habitat change, Estuaries, 28(2), 173–189, doi:10.1007/BF02732853, 2005.
- 877 Wilkinson, G. M., Besterman, A., Buelo, C., Gephart, J. and Pace, M. L.: A synthesis of modern
- organic carbon accumulation rates in coastal and aquatic inland ecosystems, Sci Rep, 8(1),
  15736, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-34126-y, 2018.
- 880 Yang, S. L., Li, H., Ysebaert, T., Bouma, T. J., Zhang, W. X., Wang, Y. Y., Li, P., Li, M. and
- 881 Ding, P. X.: Spatial and temporal variations in sediment grain size in tidal wetlands, Yangtze
- 882 Delta: On the role of physical and biotic controls, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 77(4),
- 883 657–671, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.10.024, 2008.
- Zhou, J., Wu, Y., Kang, Q. and Zhang, J.: Spatial variations of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous
  and sulfur in the salt marsh sediments of the Yangtze Estuary in China, Estuarine, Coastal and
  Shalf Sairman, 71(1, 2), 47, 50, doi:10.1016/j.acm.2006.08.012, 2007.