

A modeling study of temporal and spatial $p\text{CO}_2$ variability on the biologically active and temperature-dominated Scotian Shelf

Krysten Rutherford¹, Katja Fennel¹, Dariia Atamanchuk¹, Douglas Wallace¹, Helmuth Thomas^{1,2}

¹Department of Oceanography, Dalhousie University, 1355 Oxford Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada

5 ²Institute of Coastal Research, Helmholtz Center Geesthacht, D-215502 Geesthacht, Germany

Correspondence to: Krysten Rutherford (krysten.rutherford@dal.ca)

Abstract. Continental shelves are thought to be affected disproportionately by climate change and are a large contributor to global air-sea carbon dioxide (CO_2) fluxes. It is often reported that low-latitude shelves tend to act as net sources of CO_2 whereas mid- and high-latitude shelves act as net sinks. Here, we combine a high-resolution regional model with surface water
10 time-series and repeat transect observations from the Scotian Shelf, a mid-latitude region in the northwest North Atlantic, to determine what processes are driving the temporal and spatial variability of partial pressure of CO_2 ($p\text{CO}_2$) on a seasonal scale. In contrast to the global trend, the Scotian Shelf acts as a net source. Surface $p\text{CO}_2$ undergoes a strong seasonal cycle with an amplitude of $\sim 200\text{-}250 \mu\text{atm}$. These changes are associated with both a strong biological drawdown of Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) in spring (corresponding to a decrease in $p\text{CO}_2$ of $100\text{-}200 \mu\text{atm}$), and pronounced effects of temperature, which
15 ranges from 0°C in the winter to near 20°C in the summer, resulting in an increase in $p\text{CO}_2$ of $\sim 200\text{-}250 \mu\text{atm}$. Throughout the summer, events with low surface-water $p\text{CO}_2$ occur nearshore associated with coastal upwelling. This effect of upwelling on $p\text{CO}_2$ is also in contrast to the general assumption that upwelling increases surface $p\text{CO}_2$ by delivering DIC-enriched water to the surface. Aside from these localized events, $p\text{CO}_2$ is relatively uniform across the shelf. Our model agrees with regional observations, reproduces seasonal patterns of $p\text{CO}_2$, and simulates annual outgassing of CO_2 from the ocean of $+1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the Scotian Shelf, net uptake of CO_2 by the ocean of $-0.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the Gulf of Maine and uptake
20 by the ocean of $-1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the Grand Banks.

1 Introduction

The global ocean acts as a major sink of CO_2 from the atmosphere (e.g., Le Quéré et al 2018; Gruber et al. 2019; Landschützer et al. 2014; Rodenbeck et al. 2015), but it has been suggested that flux density (or flux per unit area) on continental shelves is
25 larger than in the open ocean (Chen et al. 2013; Laruelle et al. 2014). Therefore, compared to their size, continental shelves are thought to disproportionately contribute to global air-sea CO_2 fluxes (Laruelle et al. 2010). Additionally, they are susceptible to climate change on much shorter timescales than the open ocean (Cai et al. 2010) and are experiencing increasing impacts of human activity (Cai 2011; Doney 2010; Gruber 2015). Given their high susceptibility to negative impacts from

climate change, and their potentially significant contribution to global air-sea CO₂ fluxes, it is important to understand the drivers underlying inorganic carbon dynamics on continental shelves.

It is generally thought that continental shelves at mid to high latitudes act as net sinks of atmospheric CO₂ while those at low latitudes act as net sources (e.g. Chen & Borges 2009; Cai et al. 2006; Laruelle et al. 2014; Roobaert et al. 2019). There are, however, notable deviations from this global-scale pattern. The Scotian Shelf, a mid-latitude shelf off the coast of eastern Canada, is one example with large discrepancies between independent estimates of air-sea CO₂ flux (Fennel et al. 2019). Direct measurements made using a moored CARIOCA buoy on the Scotian Shelf indicate that the shelf acts as a net source of CO₂ to the atmosphere (Shadwick et al. 2010; Shadwick et al. 2011; Shadwick & Thomas 2014). These findings are in contrast to other studies using observations from the SOCAT database, indicating that the Scotian Shelf follows the global trend and acts as a net sink of CO₂ (Laruelle et al. 2014; Laruelle et al. 2015; Signorini et al. 2013). These contrasting results for the Scotian Shelf emphasize the large uncertainty inherent in shelf-wide CO₂ flux estimates.

Continental shelves are highly complex and dynamic regions where many biological and physical processes modulate CO₂ flux (Laruelle et al. 2014; Laruelle et al. 2017; Roobaert et al. 2019). The partial pressure of CO₂ ($p\text{CO}_2$) in the ocean is one of the key factors which determines the air-sea CO₂ flux. Recent global studies found that thermal controls dominate the seasonality of $p\text{CO}_2$ but that these alone cannot describe observed $p\text{CO}_2$ variations, particularly in temperate and high latitudes (Roobaert et al. 2019). High rates of primary production on continental shelves (Chen & Borges 2009) are another important driver of seasonal changes in $p\text{CO}_2$.

Continental margins are also subject to intense horizontal transport processes, which act as additional drivers of CO₂ fluxes. For example, the Continental Shelf Pump, a term first coined by Tsunogai et al. (1999) in relation to the East China Sea, describes the movement of shelf water high in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) across the shelf break to the subsurface open ocean leading to an influx of atmospheric CO₂. This mechanism is thought to mainly occur at mid- to high-latitude shelves since it relies on winter cooling to create dense shelf water that is transported to the open ocean's subsurface layers. Upwelling is another well-studied transport mechanism driving shelf-wide CO₂ dynamics. The California Current system is a typical example of an upwelling system (Chavez et al. 2017; Hickey 1998; Fennel et al. 2019; Feely et al. 2008). Here, winds drive coastal upwelling, which brings DIC-rich water to the surface along the continental shelf and creates favourable conditions for CO₂ outgassing to the atmosphere.

Altogether, these complex shelf dynamics lead to large spatial and temporal variability of $p\text{CO}_2$ (Previdi et al. 2009). Such large variability combined with limited data availability for many continental shelves make it difficult to accurately constrain CO₂ fluxes. Limited data availability in space and time, often with seasonal biases, is a prime source of uncertainty in flux estimates that can only be overcome with more uniformly distributed sampling. To fully capture how ocean margins are reacting to perturbations caused by the steady input of anthropogenic CO₂ to the atmosphere, it is important to understand the processes underlying both spatial and temporal evolution of shelf-wide $p\text{CO}_2$.

Numerical models can be useful when investigating such complex interactions and constraining CO₂ flux since they can interpret sparse measurements through the mechanistic representations of relevant processes. In the present study, we

employ a high-resolution biogeochemical model of the northwest North Atlantic to examine the magnitude, variability and sign of the air-sea CO₂ flux on the Scotian Shelf. Previous studies have evaluated our model's ability to represent the physical (Brennan et al. 2016, Rutherford & Fennel 2018) and biological (Laurent et al. 2020) dynamics of the region. Here, we focus solely on the model representation of inorganic carbon dynamics, especially the spatial and temporal variability of *p*CO₂ on a seasonal scale on the Scotian Shelf in light of new, high-resolution, shelf-wide observations.

Our overall goal is to show how both biological and transport processes work together seasonally on the Scotian Shelf to set shelf-wide surface *p*CO₂. We additionally discuss event-based variability of the air-sea CO₂ flux, and, especially, how short-term, upwelling-favourable wind events throughout the summer create spatial variability of CO₂ on the Scotian Shelf. To accomplish these goals, our paper: (1) discusses the seasonal cycle of *p*CO₂ across the shelf; (2) investigates the spatial variability of *p*CO₂, particularly during the summer months and (3) reports shelf-wide air-sea CO₂ flux estimates in comparison to previously reported estimates. We discuss the importance of our findings in terms of global patterns of air-sea CO₂ flux and carbon cycling.

2 Study Region

The Scotian Shelf (Figure 1) is uniquely located at the junction of the subpolar and subtropical gyres (Loder et al. 1997; Hannah et al. 2001). Regional circulation is dominated by southward transport of the Labrador Current (Loder et al. 1998; Fratantoni & Pickart 2007). As a result, cool Arctic-derived water accumulates along the northwestern North Atlantic continental shelf separating fresh shelf waters from warmer and salty slope waters (Beardsley & Boicourt 1981; Loder et al. 1998; Fratantoni & Pickart 2007).

The Scotian Shelf in particular is controlled by inshore and shelf-break branches of the southwestward moving current. The shelf-break branch inhibits the movement of water across the shelf break of the Scotian Shelf (Rutherford & Fennel 2018). As a result, water moves predominantly along-shelf so that residence times in the region are relatively long, with water being retained on the Scotian Shelf for an average of 3 months before moving further southwest on the shelf (Rutherford & Fennel 2018). In terms of vertical structure, the Scotian Shelf shifts between a two-layer system in the winter, when a cold, fresh layer sits over a warm, salty deep layer, and a three-layer system in the spring and summer, when a warm surface layer forms in the top 20 m above the cold intermediate layer between 20 – 100 m, and the warm and salty deep layer (Dever et al. 2016).

The Scotian Shelf is additionally characterized by a large, shelf-wide spring bloom initiated in late-March (Ross et al. 2017; Fournier et al. 1977; Mills & Fournier 1979), when the mixed layer is still relatively deep and temperature is at its coldest (Craig et al. 2015). The initiation of the spring bloom in late-March has rapid and large impacts on the observed *p*CO₂ seasonality (Shadwick et al. 2010; Shadwick et al. 2011).

3 Methods

3.1 Model setup & initialization

95 3.1.1 Physical Model Setup

We employ a biogeochemical model, based on Fennel et al. (2006), Fennel & Wilkin (2009) and Laurent et al. (2020) that is part of the Regional Ocean Modelling System (ROMS, v.3.5; Haidvogel et al. 2008). The physical model implementation, described in more detail in Brennan et al. (2016), has 30 vertical levels and approximately 10-km horizontal resolution (240x120 horizontal grid cells), uses the GLS vertical mixing scheme (Umlauf & Burchard 2003; Warner et al. 2005),
100 atmospheric surface forcing from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) global atmospheric reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011) and the “high-order spatial interpolation at the middle temporal level” (HSIMT) advection scheme for tracers (Wu & Zhu 2010). Physical initial and boundary conditions are defined using the regional physical ocean model of the northwest North Atlantic of Urrego-Blanco & Sheng (2012). Temperature and salinity are nudged toward the climatology of Geshelin et al. (1999) in a 10-grid-cell wide buffer zone along open boundaries. Nudging strength decays linearly away
105 from the boundaries to a value of zero in the 11th grid cell from the boundary. Tides are imposed from Egbert & Erofeeva (2002). Climatological river discharge is imposed for 12 major rivers and uses observed long-term monthly means from Water Survey Canada. Full details on the physical model setup and its validation can be found in Brennan et al. (2016) and Rutherford & Fennel (2018). These studies have shown that our model simulates the vertical structure and seasonal cycling of temperature and salinity on the shelf well. The model captures mesoscale features and the coastal upwelling events, and simulates the
110 volume transport of throughout the region in agreement with observation-based estimates.

3.1.2 Biogeochemical Module

The biogeochemical model is based on the nitrogen-cycle model with inorganic carbon component of Fennel et al. (2006) and Fennel & Wilkin (2009) but was recently expanded to include 2 phytoplankton and 2 zooplankton functional groups (Laurent et al. 2021). For a detailed description and validation of the biological model, we refer to Laurent et al. (2021), who compared
115 the model output with glider transects of temperature, salinity and chlorophyll and in situ measurements of chlorophyll and nitrate. The model was evaluated on a seasonal scale for the entire model domain, mainly in the surface (top 100 m). Laurent et al. (2021) showed that the model outperforms global models for the region for all variables and that the timing of the spring bloom is well represented, but the model slightly underestimates the magnitude of the bloom and tends to overestimate nitrate throughout the year.

120 For calculating air-sea CO₂ flux, according to the carbonate chemistry model of Zeebe & Wolf-Gladrow (2001), we use dissociation constants (K1 and K2) from Millero et al. (1995) using Mehrbach et al. (1973) data on the seawater scale which are deemed appropriate for the typical salinity ranges from 27 to 36.6 in the model domain (lower salinities are highly localized in the Gulf of St. Lawrence Estuary). Atmospheric *p*CO₂ is set to the seasonal cycle and secular trend derived from Sable Island monitoring data contributed by Environment Canada’s Greenhouse Gas Measurement Program (Environment and

125 Climate Change Canada, 2017). The long term linear trend in the atmospheric pCO_2 is $\sim +2 \mu atm \text{ year}^{-1}$ (see Supplement for
the full trend equation and figure). CO_2 solubility is calculated with the Weiss (1974) formulation. The gas transfer coefficient
of Ho et al. (2006) is used and depends on wind speed at 10 m above the sea surface and the Schmidt number. Further details
of the biogeochemical model, including the carbonate chemistry equations, can be found in Laurent et al. (2017, Supporting
Information). Carbon initialization, boundary conditions and climatological nudging are calculated from relationships with
130 temperature and salinity determined from bottle data for the region. DIC is nudged in an 80-grid-cell wide buffer zone along
the eastern boundary, with nudging linearly decaying away from a nudging timescale of 60 days at the boundary to a value of
0 in the 81st grid cell. At all other boundaries, a 10-grid buffer zone is used, as with temperature and salinity. Use of a wider
boundary nudging zone along the eastern boundary was found to be beneficial in imposing low-frequency variability from the
Labrador Sea at the northeastern boundary. The nudging zones are not used in the analysis.

135 Nitrate concentrations in rivers are prescribed from Global NEWS model output Seitzinger et al. (2005). DIC and
total alkalinity (TA) in rivers were calculated by fitting a linear relationship with salinity from Gulf of St. Lawrence bottle data
and extrapolating to river water salinity. The model is initialized on January 1, 1999 from Urrego-Blanco and Sheng's (2012)
solution for temperature and salinity. Nitrate (NO_3^-) concentrations are initialized from the regional climatologies as in Laurent
et al. 2020. DIC and TA initial and boundary conditions were created from observationally based relationships with
140 temperature (T) and salinity (S) using bottle data from regional cruises from 1997-2011 encompassing as far south as the Gulf
of Maine and as far north as the Labrador Sea (observations from DFO's AZMP program, see: [dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/data-
donnees/azmp-pmza/index-eng.html#publications](http://dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/data-donnees/azmp-pmza/index-eng.html#publications)). Initialization relationships used only observations from December,
January and February ($TA = 43S + 800$, $r^2 = 0.96$; $DIC = 1153 - 21.6T + 29.1S - 0.41T^2 + 0.63ST$, $r^2 = 0.90$). Boundary
conditions used observations that encompassed the entire year ($TA = 41S + 875$, $r^2 = 0.92$; $DIC = 912.6 - 2.5T + 35.7S -$
145 $0.45T^2 + 0.12ST$, $r^2 = 0.80$). The model is run for 16 years (1999-2014) with daily output. The present study analyses the
model output from 2006-2014, with focus on year 2006. See the Supplement for a comparison of surface pCO_2 throughout the
simulation and a brief validation of TA and DIC.

3.1.3 Taylor Decomposition of Upwelling Events

To better understand the effects of coastal upwelling on surface pCO_2 , we perform a Taylor Decomposition on the model
150 output during one of the upwelling events focused on in this study, following a similar methodology to Rheuban et al. (2019)
and Hauri et al. (2020). Here, we investigate into the influence of T, S, DIC and TA on pCO_2 following the equation:

$$pCO_2 = f(T, S, DIC, TA) \quad (1)$$

where f indicates the CO2SYS set of equations. We calculated anomalies, ΔpCO_2 , from a reference value, $pCO_{2,0}$:

$$\Delta pCO_2 = pCO_2 - pCO_{2,0} \quad (2)$$

155 The reference values for each variable were calculated as the average of that variable along the Condor transect (see Fig 1) in
the upper 40 m (i.e., the part of the water column affected by the upwelling event). We decomposed ΔpCO_2 relatively simply
into perturbations related to T, S, DIC, and TA calculated as follows:

$$\Delta pCO_{2,T} = f(T, S_0, DIC_0, TA_0) - pCO_{2,0} \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta pCO_{2,S} = f(T_0, S, DIC_0, TA_0) - pCO_{2,0} \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta pCO_{2,DIC} = f(T_0, S_0, DIC, TA_0) - pCO_{2,0} \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta pCO_{2,TA} = f(T_0, S_0, DIC_0, TA) - pCO_{2,0} \quad (6)$$

We refer the reader to Rheuban et al. (2019) for a more detailed description of the Taylor Decomposition methodology.

3.2 Observational Datasets

The moored CARIOCA buoy was located at Station 2 on the Halifax Line. Station 2 (HL2; 44.3°N, 63.3°W) is located about 30 km offshore from Halifax, Nova Scotia, and occupied monthly by Bedford Institute of Oceanography. The buoy measured surface water (at approximately 1 m depth) temperature, conductivity, pCO_2 , salinity and Chl-a fluorescence every hour and was deployed from 2007 to 2014 with several gaps in data due to calibration and maintenance (see Table S1 in Supplement). pCO_2 was estimated using an automated spectrophotometric technique (Lemay et al. 2018). The raw pCO_2 data contained high-amplitude spikes, with increases from 400 μatm to over 1000 μatm within a few hours, which were measuring artifacts and did not represent pCO_2 of surrounding water. These spikes were removed by binning all years of the pCO_2 observations into a 365-day of year (DOY) seasonal cycle. Any points that were outside 1.5 standard deviations of the 1-month moving average pCO_2 were discarded. This method removed only the extreme values and maintained much of the observed variability (see Figure 2).

The sensor-based underway system, Dal-SOOP (Arruda et al., 2020), was installed on the multipurpose platform supply vessel Atlantic Condor (operated by Atlantic Towing Ltd.) and has been measuring a suite of biogeochemical parameters, including pCO_2 , in the surface water since May 2017. The ship transits weekly to biweekly between the Halifax Harbour (Bin 1) and the Deep Panuke gas platform off Sable Island (Bin 2) on the Scotian Shelf (Figure 1). The Atlantic Condor pCO_2 data underwent standard QA/QC procedures, which included pre-, post-deployment and regular zero-calibration of the pCO_2 sensor (Pro-Oceanus Inc, Canada) and associated data corrections. The QC'd data has been deposited into the Surface Ocean CO_2 Atlas (SOCAT v.2020), where it was attributed an accuracy of $\pm 10 \mu\text{atm}$. Performance of the novel Dal-SOOP system was assessed during a 2-month transatlantic cruise in comparison with a conventional pCO_2 equilibrator and showed good agreement with the latter (i.e. $-5.7 \pm 4.0 \mu\text{atm}$; Arruda et al., 2020).

During the QC/QA procedure, some data collected in close proximity to Halifax, and corresponding to the outbound transects, were removed. Some of these data were biased high and attributed to prolonged ship layover in port allowing for a build-up of high pCO_2 within the Dal-SOOP system due to respiration. The active pumping that delivers fresh seawater to the measurement system is triggered by a GPS signal when the ship leaves the harbour; as a result, there can be a delayed response from the pCO_2 sensor to the much lower pCO_2 signals observed immediately outside the harbour. To account for the bias, values that were 2 standard deviations from the mean pCO_2 value for the latitudinal bin closest to the Halifax Harbour were removed for some transects. Only three transects were removed.

190 The CARIOCA and Atlantic Condor transect observations were mapped onto year 2006 for comparison directly with this year in the model using the linear trend in atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$ ($+ 2 \mu\text{atm year}^{-1}$). Where numbers are reported comparing the model mean to observations, the observations were mapped to year 2010 (the median year of our model simulation). For comparison of the modelled flux to the flux estimates from the CARIOCA buoy, years 2006-2014 in the model were used and no mapping of the observations was performed.

195 4 Results

4.1 CO_2 Timeseries and Transect

Both the model and observations at the CARIOCA buoy location (see Figure 1) are shown as a seasonal cycle in Figure 2 (chlorophyll, $p\text{CO}_2$, temperature and temperature normalized $p\text{CO}_2$). The buoy observations show a distinct and recurring seasonal cycle in $p\text{CO}_2$. Specifically, $p\text{CO}_2$ slightly decreases (from ~ 450 to $425 \mu\text{atm}$) from day 0 to 75. In late March, at approximately day 75, there is a large ($100\text{-}200 \mu\text{atm}$) and rapid (over ~ 25 days) drop of $p\text{CO}_2$ associated with DIC drawdown due to the spring bloom (the dashed line indicates the peak in chlorophyll and its alignment with the lowest $p\text{CO}_2$ value). This drawdown of DIC occurs while the surface temperature is relatively constant and at its annual minimum.

Following the drop in $p\text{CO}_2$ associated with the spring bloom, around day 100, surface water starts to warm, and this warming dominates the $p\text{CO}_2$ seasonal cycle with a maximum value of approximately $450\text{-}500 \mu\text{atm}$ reached around day 200-250 (mid to late summer). Around day 250, temperatures and $p\text{CO}_2$ start to decrease. Also shown is the temperature-normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ using the Takahashi et al. (2002) method for removing the thermal component of $p\text{CO}_2$ variations. The biological drawdown of DIC is visible in the temperature-normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ during the spring bloom starting around day 75 and a further decline throughout summer from day 150 to 250. This indicates that the overall increase in the non-normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ in summer is driven by increasing temperatures, and that biological process tend to draw down DIC during this period.

210 Most of the Atlantic Condor observations at this location fall within the envelope of the buoy observations' $p\text{CO}_2$ seasonal cycle. The monthly mean SOCAT v2020 $p\text{CO}_2$ for the entire Scotian Shelf also fall within the spread of buoy observations for most months. Exceptions include February and August when the SOCAT observations are lower than the buoy observations, and September and October when the SOCAT observations are at the low end of the buoy observations.

In terms of quantitative metrics, the model (year 2006) at the buoy location has an overall bias of $32.2 \mu\text{atm}$ and RMSE of $64.0 \mu\text{atm}$ compared to the buoy data. The model underestimates $p\text{CO}_2$ throughout January and February (day 0 - 80) partly because its spring bloom starts earlier than in the observations. The bloom-related minimum in $p\text{CO}_2$ in the model is approximately $50\text{-}75 \mu\text{atm}$ higher than the buoy observations and approximately $25 - 50 \mu\text{atm}$ higher than the Atlantic Condor observations. Temperature then dominates the $p\text{CO}_2$ seasonality in the model over a similar period as in the observations. During the summer (day 150-300), the model overestimates $p\text{CO}_2$ but follows a similar cycle as the observations throughout the remainder of the year. The temperature-normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ has similar biases (underestimation from day 0-80; overestimation from day 150-300), an RMSE of $66.5 \mu\text{atm}$ and an overall bias of $23.0 \mu\text{atm}$ for year 2006.

A comparison of simulated $p\text{CO}_2$ with the Atlantic Condor Transect observations along the average ship track (Figure 1) is shown in Figure 3. Compared to the Atlantic Condor observations, the model (year 2006) has a bias of $13.9 \mu\text{atm}$ and an RMSE of $28.7 \mu\text{atm}$. The model tends towards slightly higher $p\text{CO}_2$ across the shelf compared to the ship data, but the bias along the ship track is about half the magnitude as that at the buoy. The seasonal cycle along the ship track (Figure 3) is similar to that at the buoy (Figure 2). The top panel of Figure 3 shows qualitatively good agreement between the model and observations across the whole transect, which is reflected in the averaged $p\text{CO}_2$ in the bottom panel. The model does a very good job at representing $p\text{CO}_2$ throughout the winter (November through March) but does not reproduce the full spring bloom drop in $p\text{CO}_2$ across the whole shelf throughout April as observed. The model also overestimates $p\text{CO}_2$ throughout most of June and July. The seasonal cycle across the transect is relatively uniform throughout most of the year; however, there are some exceptions, for example, throughout July $p\text{CO}_2$ is relatively low nearshore in both the model and observations.

4.2 Effects of Upwelling Events

To better understand the effect of physical events on shelf-wide $p\text{CO}_2$, this section focuses on the cross-shelf variations in year 2006. Figure 4 shows the evolution of $p\text{CO}_2$ along the Atlantic Condor transect throughout the year in both model (Figure 4a) and observations (Figure 4b). As in Figure 2 and Figure 3, the seasonal cycle of $p\text{CO}_2$ extends across the entire shelf. Starting in January (light beige), $p\text{CO}_2$ is around $400 \mu\text{atm}$. In March (~ day 50; golden orange colour), $p\text{CO}_2$ starts to decrease, reaching a minimum of approximately $325 \mu\text{atm}$ in the model and around $275\text{-}300 \mu\text{atm}$ in the observations (day 100; dark brown colour). $p\text{CO}_2$ subsequently increases again due to warming in the late-spring/early-summer and reaches a maximum of about $550 \mu\text{atm}$ in the model and $525 \mu\text{atm}$ in the observations (day 200; purple values). Following this peak in $p\text{CO}_2$, both the model and observations start to decline, associated with cooling (days 225 to 325; purple to light blue). Small-scale spatial variability in the observations is not captured by the model, but may, at least in part, be due to measurement artifacts of the underway system.

The insets in Figure 4 highlight events in summer (purple), in the northwestern half of the transect closest to Halifax, when $p\text{CO}_2$ decreases by $50 - 100 \mu\text{atm}$ within ~40 km off the coast in the model and approximately 25 km off the coast in the observations. With more obvious examples in the model than in the observations, we use the model to investigate into a possible explanation for this decreased $p\text{CO}_2$ nearshore. Figure 5 highlights the differences in $p\text{CO}_2$, air-sea CO_2 flux, temperature and DIC between two longitudinal bins along the Atlantic Condor transect throughout summer 2006 in the model. The bin locations are shown in Figure 1 and contrast data closest to the coastline (Halifax Harbour bin, 63.5°W to 63°W ; blue) with data closest to the shelf break (Deep Panuke Bin, 61°W to 60.5°W ; pink). In the model throughout June to August 2006, there are low $p\text{CO}_2$ events nearshore corresponding to low temperature which occurs during upwelling favourable winds. During some of these events, temperature nearshore is about 7°C lower than near the shelf break. These upwelling events and the subsequent low $p\text{CO}_2$ signal result in a short-term lowering of air-sea CO_2 fluxes nearshore (blue) compared to farther offshore (pink) throughout the summer (at approximately half the flux value nearshore versus offshore throughout July).

The top panel in Figure 6 shows a snapshot of surface $p\text{CO}_2$ from the model during one of the upwelling events (July 3, 2006; vertical dashed line in Figure 5). $p\text{CO}_2$ is relatively uniform across most of the shelf. However, in a narrow band along the coastline, $p\text{CO}_2$ values are nearly $100 \mu\text{atm}$ lower than the rest of the shelf. The bottom panels in Figure 6 show transects of $p\text{CO}_2$, temperature and DIC with density contours along the Atlantic Condor transect for the same time slice (July 3, 2006). In these panels, the density gradients move upwards towards the coastline, consistent with upwelling events. This upwelling brings cooler temperatures and higher DIC concentrations to the surface along the coastline of Nova Scotia. The low $p\text{CO}_2$ bin ranges from 63.5°W to 63°W longitude in the model (approximately 63.5°W to 63.3°W longitude in the observations; Figure 4), and aligns with the surface area affected by the upwelling events (Figure 6) in the model. See the Supplement for more variables along the Condor transect during the July 3, 2006 upwelling event.

Figure 7 illustrates the results of the Taylor decomposition during the July 3, 2006 upwelling event with lower $p\text{CO}_2$ nearshore compared to a snapshot without upwelling (June 9, 2006) where surface $p\text{CO}_2$ is relatively uniform. The $p\text{CO}_2$ anomalies ($\Delta p\text{CO}_2$), show the deviations in each time slice from the mean $p\text{CO}_2$ in the upper 40 m. In both time slices, the surface $p\text{CO}_2$ is $\sim 50 \mu\text{atm}$ higher than the mean $p\text{CO}_2$ value in the upper 40 m. However, in the upwelling case, the upwelled water is $40\text{-}50 \mu\text{atm}$ lower than the mean $p\text{CO}_2$. In both time slices, across most of the transect, temperature is acting to increase $p\text{CO}_2$ ($\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,T}$; by $\sim 50\text{-}60 \mu\text{atm}$ on June 9, 2006 and by $\sim 75\text{-}100 \mu\text{atm}$ on July 3, 2006) in the top 10-15 m from the mean value whereas DIC is acting to decrease $p\text{CO}_2$ ($\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,\text{DIC}}$; by $\sim 10\text{-}20 \mu\text{atm}$ on June 9, 2006 and by $\sim 40\text{-}50$ on July 3, 2006). However, in the upwelling region on July 3, temperature has the opposite effect and is acting to decrease $p\text{CO}_2$ by $\sim 50\text{-}60 \mu\text{atm}$ and DIC is acting to increase $p\text{CO}_2$ by only $\sim 5\text{-}10 \mu\text{atm}$ from the mean $p\text{CO}_2$ in the top 40 m. The effects of alkalinity ($\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,\text{TA}}$) and salinity ($\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,\text{S}}$) are much smaller across the shelf and in both time slices (see Supplement Figure S11). Comparisons of $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,T}$ and $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,\text{DIC}}$ illustrate that in the upwelled region, anomalies in $p\text{CO}_2$ from temperature are larger than those from DIC. However, if water from below 30m was upwelled, DIC would likely start to outweigh the effect of temperature on $p\text{CO}_2$.

4.3 Regional Flux Estimates

The model-simulated air-sea CO_2 fluxes, integrated by month and year, and averaged over the simulation from 2006-2014, for the Scotian Shelf and at the buoy location are shown in Figure 8 in comparison to the flux calculated from the CARIOCA buoy observations. The uncertainty in the model estimates is calculated as the standard deviation between years. Annually, the averaged flux between the model and observations is comparable, and the flux estimates at the buoy location are significantly larger than the shelf-wide flux estimates. The model-estimated, annually integrated flux for the Scotian Shelf shows outgassing of CO_2 at $+1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. At the buoy location, just outside the upwelling region, the model estimates net outgassing of $+2.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. From the buoy observations, the annually integrated CO_2 flux is estimated as net outgassing at $+1.5 \pm 1.4 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Although our model-derived estimate is within the upper error-bound of the observation-based estimate, it is higher which may be due to the model's overestimation of $p\text{CO}_2$, particularly throughout the summer months. There are also some differences on the seasonal scale. In the model, the Scotian Shelf flux is lower in magnitude than the flux

at the buoy location during most of the year, and particularly from June to January. Bin 1 along the Atlantic Condor transect (Halifax Harbour/upwelling bin, Figure 1) has an annually integrated flux of $+2.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which is comparable to the annual flux of bin 2 (Deep Panuke/shelf break bin, Figure 1) at $+2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and the simulated flux at the
290 buoy location. These results indicate that cross-shelf variability in air-sea CO_2 fluxes is small.

Figure 9 compares the model-derived, annual flux estimates from the present study for the Scotian Shelf ($+1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), Grand Banks ($-1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and Gulf of Maine ($-0.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) to previously reported estimates. The model estimate for the Scotian Shelf agrees well with the estimates from Shadwick et al. (2011) but disagrees with those from Signorini et al. (2013), Laruelle et al. (2014) and Laruelle et al. (2015). Laruelle et al. (2014) define the shelf
295 region as a larger area that encompasses both the Scotian Shelf and Gulf of Maine. Laruelle et al. (2015) calculate one flux estimate for both the Scotian Shelf and Gulf of Maine. Signorini et al. (2013) calculates separate estimates for Gulf of Maine and Scotian Shelf. The model estimate for the Gulf of Maine agrees best with the estimates from Laruelle et al. (2014) and Laruelle et al. (2015), and disagrees with the estimates from Signorini et al. (2013) and Vandemark et al. (2011).

5 Discussion

300 We have compared the inorganic carbon dynamics in our medium complexity biogeochemical model of the northwest North Atlantic against two different observational datasets of $p\text{CO}_2$, one of them highly resolved in time from a CARIOCA buoy and the other with high spatial resolution along a cross-shelf transect that is occupied approximately bi-weekly. The largest limitation of the model is that it is unable to capture the speed and magnitude of the DIC drawdown associated with the spring bloom throughout March and April (Figure 2 and Figure 3). The simulated $p\text{CO}_2$ starts to decline earlier and over a longer
305 period than in both the buoy and transect observations, and the transect shows that this timing is consistent across the whole shelf. Additionally, the model does not reach the observed $p\text{CO}_2$ minimum during the bloom across the whole shelf. This discrepancy appears to be a result of the bloom initiation occurring slightly too early and the bloom spanning a longer period of time in the model, and also because chlorophyll levels in the model do not reach the peak values that are observed (Figure 2a). This limitation aside, the overall seasonal cycle and switch between biological- and temperature-dominated signals in
310 $p\text{CO}_2$ are well captured and the model simulates both the seasonal spatial and temporal variability of $p\text{CO}_2$ across the Scotian Shelf reasonably well.

Notable occurrences of spatial variability of $p\text{CO}_2$ on the Scotian Shelf occur throughout the summer months in both the model and observations. With only 1-2 clear examples of lower $p\text{CO}_2$ within $\sim 25 \text{ km}$ of shore in the observations, we used our model to hypothesize about a possible mechanism driving this variability. In the model, we found that coastal upwelling
315 events are driving the summertime spatial variability of $p\text{CO}_2$ on the Scotian Shelf and could explain the variability in the observations as well. The physical dynamics of coastal upwelling is well-documented on the Scotian Shelf (Petrie et al. 1987; Shan et al. 2016). This upwelling only affects the nearshore region (within $\sim 20\text{-}40 \text{ km}$ of shore in the model, depending on the event) where water from the cold intermediate layer is transported to the surface. In the model, this creates a coastal band of

cold water at the surface that is high in DIC and low in $p\text{CO}_2$ (Figure 6). The difference between inshore and offshore
320 temperatures (7°C and 15°C , respectively) during these events has a larger influence on the $p\text{CO}_2$ spatial variability than the
DIC variations ($2050 \text{ mmol C m}^{-3}$ inshore and $2020 \text{ mmol C m}^{-3}$ offshore; Figure 6) because the thermodynamic influence of
temperature outweighs the effect of a slight increase in DIC, thus lowering $p\text{CO}_2$ (see the Taylor decomposition in Figure 7).
In the example explored in the present study, the upwelled water comes from $\sim 20\text{-}25 \text{ m}$ depth that has a $p\text{CO}_2$ approximately
100 μatm lower than the rest of the shelf. Temperature in the upwelled water is acting to lower $p\text{CO}_2$ by $\sim 150 \mu\text{atm}$ whereas
325 DIC is acting to increase $p\text{CO}_2$ by $\sim 50 \mu\text{atm}$ compared to the rest of the shelf. If deeper water was being upwelled to the
surface, DIC would likely start to be the dominant factor in setting $p\text{CO}_2$ during these events (Figure 7). For the given range
of DIC values (2060 to $2020 \text{ mmol C m}^{-3}$) and a mean temperature of 11°C , the thermodynamic effect outweighs the effect of
DIC differences for temperature changes larger than 4°C . Typically, it is thought that upwelling of subsurface waters rich in
DIC leads to increased surface $p\text{CO}_2$ as is the case for the California Current System (CCS), encompassing the continental
330 shelves off of Washington, Oregon and California, where nearshore outgassing of CO_2 during upwelling events is well
documented (Fennel et al. 2019, Chavez et al. 2017, Evans et al. 2015, Fiechter et al. 2014, Turi et al. 2014). There are,
however, large differences between the Scotian Shelf and the typical upwelling scenario of the CCS. For instance, the size and
geometry of these shelves are quite different, which affects the type of water being upwelled to the surface. The California
Shelf is an active margin approximately 10 km wide (Fennel et al. 2019) compared to the passive-margin Scotian Shelf with
335 approximately $120\text{-}240 \text{ km}$ width (Shadwick et al. 2010). As a result, the upwelling in the CCS brings DIC rich water ($\sim 2200\text{-}$
 $2250 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) from deep in the water column (below $150\text{-}200\text{m}$) of the open ocean across the shelf break to the surface of
the shelf (Feely et al. 2008). On the Scotian Shelf, it is only subsurface shelf water from between $\sim 20\text{-}25 \text{ m}$ depth that is being
upwelled, which is at a similar temperature to the upwelled water in the CCS ($7\text{-}8^\circ\text{C}$) but at a much lower DIC concentration
($2050 \text{ mmol C m}^{-3}$).

340 Our regional model shows that upwelling events could be a large contributor to setting the CO_2 signal in the summer
on the inner portion of the Scotian Shelf, acting to lower $p\text{CO}_2$ here and slightly reducing outgassing compared to the outer
shelf. Throughout the remainder of the year, the $p\text{CO}_2$ distribution across the Scotian Shelf is relatively uniform (Figure 3).
Comparison of the inner and outer shelf $p\text{CO}_2$ (Figure 4) shows the similar seasonality that is seen across the shelf, both in the
model results and Atlantic Condor observations. Additionally, the simulated annual air-sea CO_2 flux in bin 1 (upwelling bin,
345 Figure 1) is $+2.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and similar to bin 2 (shelf break bin, Figure 1) where the annual flux is $+2.0 \pm 0.2$
 $\text{mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. For comparison, the annual flux for the entire shelf flux is $+1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and the flux at the
CARIOCA buoy is $2.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Our results indicate that the short-term upwelling events in the summer do not
significantly affect the shelf-wide fluxes on an annual scale. Overall, the location of the CARIOCA buoy slightly overestimates
shelf-wide fluxes but is fairly representative of the shelf-wide $p\text{CO}_2$ dynamics overall.

350 According to the model, the Scotian Shelf acts as a net source of CO_2 to the atmosphere ($+1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$),
the Gulf of Maine is a net sink of CO_2 ($-0.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and the Grand Banks act as a net sink of CO_2 ($-1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ mol}$
 $\text{C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). These results are in agreement with Shadwick et al. (2014) for the Scotian Shelf, and Laruelle et al. (2014, 2015)

for the Gulf of Maine. Our results disagree, however, with results from other global (Laruelle et al. 2014) and regional studies (Laruelle et al. 2015; Signorini et al. 2013; Vandemark et al. 2011). The discrepancy in reported air-sea CO₂ flux between these studies is partly a result of how each study defines the area of the Scotian Shelf and Gulf of Maine. For example, Laruelle et al. (2015) calculates one estimate for both the Scotian Shelf and Gulf of Maine. The shelves of eastern North America are diverse, particularly in width and circulation features, and defining them as a single region is not representative. Additionally, the Scotian Shelf waters are strongly influenced by cold, carbon-rich Labrador Sea water, which is not the dominant endmember south of the Gulf of Maine (Loder et al. 1998, Rutherford & Fennel 2018; Fennel et al. 2019). Calculating a single flux estimate for the entirety of this dynamically diverse region is problematic and will yield a different estimate than when considering smaller and more specific regions. However, this only partially explains the difference in flux estimates.

Another reason is that the global SOCAT database was missing important regional data until recently. Signorini et al. (2013) used data from version 1.5 and Laruelle et al. (2014, 2015) used data from version 2.0 of the SOCAT database. Neither of the observational datasets used in the present study were included in SOCAT versions 1.5 and 2.0. Figure 10 illustrates the difference between different SOCAT versions for seasonal *p*CO₂ on the Scotian Shelf. SOCAT v2020 has consistently higher average *p*CO₂ values than v1.5 and v2, with at least double the number of years and a much larger number of observations going into each monthly average (on the order of 1000 to 10000 measurements in v2020 versus 100 to 1000 in v1.5 and v2). We believe that flux estimates using the updated SOCAT v2020 will agree better with our estimates and those of Shadwick et al. (2014) since SOCAT v2020 includes more observations with higher spatial and temporal resolution to better capture the distinct seasonal cycle here. Our study, however, only focuses on the recent seasonality of *p*CO₂, making it difficult to distinguish if earlier SOCAT versions miss the regional dynamics solely due to low resolution of observations, or if the estimates from the different SOCAT versions are reflective of a shift in the behaviour of the shelf system. More work should therefore be done to better understand how variability on longer timescales could be affecting regional *p*CO₂ and if that variability could also be a reason for the disagreement between the different SOCAT version.

In the present study, we have synthesized and compared our model simulations with high-resolution observations to highlight the dependence of Scotian Shelf *p*CO₂ seasonality on: (1) biological drawdown of DIC during the spring bloom, (2) temperature effects throughout the summer months, and (3) wind-driven coastal upwelling events. In Figure 2d, the temperature-normalized *p*CO₂ shows the non-thermal *p*CO₂ signal, which distinguishes the influence of biological and transport processes on *p*CO₂ (Takahashi et al. 2002). There is a clear decrease of *p*CO₂ associated with the spring bloom. The simulated decrease in *p*CO₂ is smaller than in the observations, likely due to the bloom occurring too early and over a more extended period in the model than the observations. In summer, temperature-normalized *p*CO₂ continues to decrease rather than follow the increasing temperature signal of non-normalized *p*CO₂. Previous studies have noted that, in summer, the thermodynamic signal in *p*CO₂ outweighs the influence of biological activity (Shadwick et al. 2011; Shadwick & Thomas 2014), which could explain the differences in seasonality between *p*CO₂ and temperature-normalized *p*CO₂ in the present study. We believe this thermodynamic influence is an important factor driving the net outgassing observed on the Scotian Shelf, particularly when combined with the delivery of DIC-rich water from the Labrador Sea.

Understanding what processes presently control CO₂ dynamics is important for projecting how the region will be affected by changes in climate. Previous studies have suggested that the frequency and intensity of coastal upwelling could increase (e.g., Xiu et al. 2018). In the case of the Scotian Shelf, increased upwelling would lead to less outgassing or even net ingassing during summer along the coast of Nova Scotia. Climate change could therefore disproportionately affect the nearshore region here and lead to an intensification of spatial gradients. Such an upwelling signal would be in addition to the effect of increasing atmospheric CO₂, which may be driving the entire Scotian Shelf towards a more neutral system with less outgassing. The effect of the thermal control on Scotian Shelf *p*CO₂ is also an important aspect to consider. As temperatures continue to rise, summer *p*CO₂ values will also likely increase, potentially offsetting some of the effect of increased atmospheric CO₂ but also affecting production and respiration rates. Of course, none of these factors act independently and will instead combine to alter both the seasonal and spatial patterns of *p*CO₂ in the region, making the overall outcome of climate-related perturbations on the Scotian Shelf difficult to predict. However, the implementation of a regional model that resolves current conditions well, as in the present study, is an important step towards projecting future climate-related changes in the region.

400 **6 Conclusions**

In this study, we have validated surface *p*CO₂ fields on a seasonal scale from a medium-complexity regional biogeochemical model for the northwest North Atlantic shelf region against *p*CO₂ observations from a CARIOCA buoy and repeated cross-shelf transects from a ship of opportunity that crosses the Scotian Shelf. Except for the strength and speed of the *p*CO₂ drawdown associated with the spring bloom, the model simulations represent the observed spatial and temporal variability of *p*CO₂ on the Scotian Shelf well. Contrary to most coastal upwelling systems, upwelling events in summer are acting to lower *p*CO₂ within ~25 km of the coastline, as cold, carbon-enriched intermediate layer water is brought to the surface. The lowering of surface *p*CO₂ during these events occurs because the temperature effect leading to a lowering of *p*CO₂ overwhelms the increase in *p*CO₂ associated with DIC enrichment. We found *p*CO₂ to be relatively uniform across the shelf, with the exception of a narrow band near shore impacted by summer upwelling events. Overall, the Scotian Shelf acts as a net source of CO₂ (+1.7 ± 0.2 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹), the Gulf of Maine is a net sink of CO₂ (-0.5 ± 0.2 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹) and Grand Banks acts as a net sink of CO₂ (-1.3 ± 0.3 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹) in our simulation. Combination of the model simulation and the highly resolved observational data sets emphasizes that the seasonal cycle of *p*CO₂ is driven by strong biological drawdown of DIC in early spring and a dominant thermal control throughout the summer months. Except for the short spring bloom period, surface *p*CO₂ is oversaturated with respect to atmospheric values, which results in net outgassing. Ongoing changes in climate and carbon cycling will likely alter both the seasonal and spatial patterns of *p*CO₂ on the Scotian Shelf.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding by the Marine Environmental Observation, Prediction and Response Network (MEOPAR). This work was additionally funded in part by the Canada Excellence Research Chair (CERC) in Ocean Science and Technology at Dalhousie University and Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) project number 29011. We would like to thank the captain and crew of Atlantic Condor for their continuing support in operating the Dal-SOOP underway system. Mike Vining, Jeremy Lai, Dan Kehoe, Kitty Kam and Jordan Sawler contributed to the design, installation and maintenance of the underway system. We also are grateful for the use of observational datasets to initialize our model from Kumiko Azetsu-Scott (Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada) and Alfonso Mucci (McGill University). We would also like to acknowledge the use of the scientific colourmaps lapaz, vikO, and batlow (Cramer 2018) used in this study.

References

- Arruda, R., Atamanchuk, D., Cronin, M., Steinhoff, T., and Wallace, D. W.: At-sea intercomparison of three underway pCO₂ systems, *Limnology and Oceanography Methods*, 18 (2), 63-76, doi:10.1002/lom3.10346, 2020.
- Beardsley, R. C. and Boicourt, W. C.: On estuarine and continental shelf circulation in the Middle Atlantic Bight, in: *Evolution of Physical Oceanography*, pp 198-233, 1981.
- Brennan, C. E. , Bianucci, L., and Fennel, K.: Sensitivity of northwest North Atlantic shelf circulation to surface and boundary forcing: A regional model assessment. *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 54(3), 230-247, doi:10.1080/07055900.2016.1147416, 2016.
- Cai, W.-J.: Estuarine and coastal ocean carbon paradox: CO₂ sinks or sites of terrestrial carbon incineration? *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 3 (1), 123-145, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142723, 2011.
- Cai, W.-J., Chen, L., Chen, B., Gao, Z., Lee, S. H., Chen, J., Pierrot, D., Sullivan, K., Wang, Y., Hu, X., et al.: Decrease in the CO₂ uptake capacity in an ice-free arctic ocean basin. *Science*, 329(5991), 556-559, doi: 10.1126/science.1189338, 2010.
- Cai, W.-J. , Dai, M., and Wang, Y.: Air-sea exchange of carbon dioxide in ocean margins: A province-based synthesis, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(12), doi:10.1029/2006GL026219, 2006.
- Chavez, F. P., Pennington, J. T., Michisaki, R. P., Blum, M., Chavez, G. M., Friederich, J., Jones, B., Herlien, R., Kieft, B., Hobson, B., et al.: Climate variability and change: Response of a coastal ocean ecosystem, *Oceanography*, 30, 128-145, 2017.
- Chen, C.-T. A. and Borges, A. V.: Reconciling opposing views on carbon cycling in the coastal ocean: Continental shelves as sinks and near-shore ecosystems as sources of atmospheric CO₂, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 56(8-10), 578-590, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2009.01.001, 2009.
- Chen, C.-T. A., Huang, T.-H., Chen, Y.-C., Bai, Y., He, X., and Kang, Y.: Air sea exchanges of CO₂ in the world's coastal seas, *Biogeosciences*, 10(10), 6509-6544, doi:10.5194/bg-10-6509-2013, 2013.
- Craig, S. E., Thomas, H., Jones, C. T., Li, W. K. W., Greenan, B. J. W., Shadwick, E. H., and Burt, W. J. The effect of seasonality in phytoplankton community composition on CO₂ uptake on the Scotian Shelf, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 147, 52-60, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.07.006, 2015.


- Crameri, F.: Scientific colour-maps, Zenodo, doi:10.5281/zenodo.1243862, 2018.
- Dee, D.P., Uppala S., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M., Balsamo, G., Bauer, d.P., et al.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137, 553-597, 2011.
- Dever, M., Hebert, D., Greenan, B., Sheng, J., and Smith, P.: Hydrography and coastal circulation along the Halifax Line and the connections with the Gulf of St. Lawrence, *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 54(3), 199-217, doi:10.1080/07055900.2016.1189397, 2016.
- Doney, S. C.: The growing human footprint on coastal and open ocean biogeochemistry, *Science*, 328(5985), 1512-1516, doi:10.1126/science.1185198, 2010.
- Egbert, G. D., and Erofeeva, S. Y.: Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean tides, *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 19(2), 183-204, doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019, 2002.
- Environment and Climate Change Canada: Canadian Greenhouse Gas Measurement Program, [online] Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/climate-change/science-research-data/greenhouse-gases-aerosols-monitoring/canadian-greenhouse-gas-measurement-program.html>, 2017.
- Evans, W., Hales, B., Strutton, P. G., Shearman, R. K., and Barth, J. A.: Failure to bloom: Intense upwelling results in negligible phytoplankton response and prolonged CO₂ outgassing over the Oregon Shelf, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120(3), 1446-1461, doi:10.1002/2014JC010580, 2015.
- Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Hernandez-Ayon, J. M., Ianson, D., and Hales, B.: Evidence for Upwelling of Corrosive “Acidified” Water onto the Continental Shelf, *Science*, 320 (5882), 1490-1492, doi:10.1126/science.1155676, 2008.
- Fennel, K., Alin, S., Barbero, L., Evans, W., Bourgeois, T., Cooley, S., Dunne, J., Feely, R. A., Hernandez-Ayon, J. M., Hu, X., et al.: Carbon cycling in the North American coastal ocean: A synthesis. *Biogeosciences*, 16(6), 1281-1304, doi:10.5194/bg-16-1281-2019, 2019.
- Fennel, K., and Wilkin, J.: Quantifying biological carbon export for the northwest North Atlantic continental shelves, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36 (18), L18605, doi: 10.1029/2009GL039818, 2009.
- Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Levin, J., Moisan, J., O'Reilly, J., and Haidvogel, D.: Nitrogen cycling in the Middle Atlantic Bight: Results from a three-dimensional model and implications for the North Atlantic nitrogen budget, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 20(3), doi:10.1029/2005GB002456, 2006.
- Fiechter, J., Curchitser, E. N., Edwards, C. A., Chai, F., Goebel, N. L., and Chavez, F. P.: Air-sea CO₂ fluxes in the California Current: Impacts of model resolution and coastal topography, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28 (4), 371-385, doi:10.1002/2013GB004683, 2014.
- Fournier, R. O., Marra, J., Bohrer, R., and Det, M. V.: Plankton dynamics and nutrient enrichment of the Scotian Shelf, *Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada*, doi: 10.1139/f77-153, 2011.
- Fratantoni, P. S., and Pickart, R. S.: The western North Atlantic shelfbreak current system in summer, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 37(10), 2509-2533, doi:10.1175/JPO3123.1, 2007.

- Geshelin, Y., Sheng, J., and Greatbatch, R. J.: Monthly mean climatologies of temperature and salinity in the western North Atlantic, Technical Report, Canadian Data Report of Hydrography and Ocean Sciences, 153, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 1999.
- 485 Gruber, N.: Carbon at the coastal interface. *Nature*, 517(7533), 148-149, doi:10.1038/nature14082, 2015.
- Gruber, N., Clement, D., Carter, B. R., Feely, R. A., Van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Key, R. M., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., et al.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ from 1994 to 2007, *Science*, 363(6432), 1193-1199, doi:10.1126/science.aau5153, 2019.
- Haidvogel, D. B., Arango, H., Budgell, W. P., Cornuelle, B. D., Curchitser, E., Di Lorenzo, E., Fennel, K., Geyer, W. R.,
490 Hermann, A. J., Lanerolle, L., et al.: Ocean forecasting in terrain-following coordinates: Formulation and skill assessment of the Regional Ocean Modeling System, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(7), 3595-3624, doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2007.06.016, 2008.
- Hannah, C. G., Shore, J. A., Loder, J. W., and Naimie, C. E.: Seasonal circulation on the western and central Scotian Shelf, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 31(2), 591-615, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(2001)031<0591:SCOTWA>2.0.CO;2, 2001.
- 495 Hauri, C., Schultz, C., Hedstrom, K., Danielson, S., Irving, B., Doney, S.C., Dussin, R., Curchitser, E.N., Hill, D.F, and Stock, C.A.: A regional hindcast model simulating ecosystem dynamics, inorganic carbon chemistry, and ocean acidification in the Gulf of Alaska, *Biogeosciences*, 17, 3837–3857, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3837-2020>, 2020.
- Hickey, B. M.: Coastal oceanography of western North America from the tip of Baja California to Vancouver Island, in: *The Global Coastal Ocean: Regional Studies and Syntheses*, volume 11, pp 345-393, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1998.
- 500 Ho, D. T., Law, C. S., Smith, M. J., Schlosser, P., Harvey, M., and Hill, P.: Measurements of air-sea gas exchange at high wind speeds in the Southern Ocean: Implications for global parameterizations, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(16), L16611, doi: 10.1029/2006GL026817, 2006.
- Kuhn, A.M.: Integration of observations and models for an improved understanding of marine ecosystem dynamics, Dalhousie University. [online] Available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10222/73354>, 2017.
- 505 Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Bakker, D. C. E., and Schuster, U.: Recent variability of the global ocean carbon sink, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28(9), 927-949, doi:10.1002/2014GB004853, 2014.
- Laruelle, G. G., Durr, H. H., Slomp, C. P., and Borges, A. V.: Evaluation of sinks and sources of CO₂ in the global coastal ocean using a spatially explicit typology of estuaries and continental shelves, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(15), doi:10.1029/2010GL043691, 2010.
- 510 Laruelle, G. G., Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Tison, J.-L., Delille, B., and Regnier, P.: Global high-resolution monthly pCO₂ climatology for the coastal ocean derived from neural network interpolation, *Biogeosciences*, 14, 4545-4561, doi:10.5194/bg-14-4545-2017, 2017.
- Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Pfeil, B., and Regnier, P.: Regionalized global budget of the CO₂ exchange at the air-water interface in continental shelf seas, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28(11), 1199-1214, doi:10.1002/2014GB004832, 2014.

- 515 Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Rotschi, J., Raymond, P. A., Hartmann, J., and Regnier, P.: Seasonal response of air-water CO₂ exchange along the land-ocean aquatic continuum of the northeast North American coast, *Biogeosciences*, 12(5), 1447-1458, doi:10.5194/bg-12-1447-2015, 2015.
- Laurent, A., Fennel, K., Cai, W.-J., Huang, W.-J., Barbero, L., and Wanninkhof, R.: Eutrophication-induced acidification of coastal waters in the northern Gulf of Mexico: Insights into origin and processes from a coupled physical-biogeochemical
520 model, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 946-956, doi:10.1002/2016GL071881, 2017.
- Laurent, A., Fennel, K., and Kuhn, A.: An observation-based evaluation and ranking of historical earth system model simulations for regional downscaling in the northwest North Atlantic ocean, *Biogeosciences Discussions*, doi: 10.5194/bg-2020-265, 2020.
- Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., Manning, A. C., Korsbakken, J. I., Peters, G. P.,
525 Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., et al.; Global carbon budget 2017, *Earth System Science Data*, 10(1), 405-448, doi: 10.5194/essd-10-405-2018, 2018.
- Lemay, J., Thomas, H., Craig, S. E., Burt, W. J., Fennel, K., and Greenan, B. J.: Hurricane Arthur and its effect on the short-term variability of pCO₂ on the Scotian Shelf, NW Atlantic, *Biogeosciences*, 15(7), 2111-2123, doi:10.5194/bg-15-2111-2018, 2018.
- 530 Loder, J. W., Han, G., Hannah, C. G., Greenberg, D. A., and Smith, P. C.: Hydrography and baroclinic circulation in the Scotian Shelf region: winter versus summer, *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 54 (S1), 40-56, doi: 10.1139/f96-153, 1997.
- Loder, J. W., Petrie, B., and Gawarkiewicz, G.: The coastal ocean northeastern North America: A large-scale view, in *The Sea, Volume 11: The Global Coastal Ocean - Regional Studies and Syntheses*, edited by Allan R. Robinson and Kenneth H. Brink, chap. 5, pp 105-133, John Wiley & Sons, 1998.
- 535 Mehrbach, C., Culberson, C. H., Hawley, J. E., and Pytkowicz, R. M.: Measurement of the apparent dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater at atmospheric pressure, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 18(6), 897-907, doi: doi:10.4319/lo.1973.18.6.0897, 1973.
- Millero, F. J.: Thermodynamics of the carbon dioxide system in the oceans, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 59(4), 661-
540 677, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(94)00354-O, 1995.
- Mills, E. L., and Fournier, R. O.: Fish production and the marine ecosystems of the Scotian Shelf, eastern Canada, *Marine Biology*, 54(2), 101-108, doi: 10.1007/BF00386589, 1979.
- Petrie, B., Topliss, B. J., and Wright, D. G.: Coastal upwelling and eddy development off Nova Scotia, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 92 (C12), 12979-12991, doi:10.1029/JC092iC12p12979, 1987.
- 545 Previdi, M., Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., and Haidvogel, D.: Interannual variability in atmospheric CO₂ uptake on the northeast U.S. continental shelf, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114(G4), G04003, doi:10.1029/2008JG000881, 2009.

- Rheuban, J. E., Doney, S. C., McCorkle, D. C., and Jakuba, R. W.: Quantifying the effects of nutrient enrichment and freshwater mixing on coastal ocean acidification, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 124, 9085–9100, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015556>, 2019.
- 550 Rodenbeck, C., Bakker, D. C. E., Gruber, N., Iida, Y., Jacobson, A. R., Jones, S., Landschützer, P., Metzl, N., Nakaoka, S., Olsen, A., et al.: Data-based estimates of the ocean carbon sink variability: First results of the Surface Ocean pCO₂ Mapping intercomparison (SOCOM), *Biogeosciences*, 12(23), 7251-7278, doi: 10.5194/bg-12-7251-2015, 2015.
- Roobaert, A., Laruelle, G. G., Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Chou, L., and Regnier, P.: The spatiotemporal dynamics of the sources and sinks of CO₂ in the global coastal ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 33(12), 1693-1714, doi:
555 10.1029/2019GB006239, 2019.
- Ross, T., Craig, S. E., Comeau, A., Davis, R., Dever, M., and Beck, M.: Blooms and subsurface phytoplankton layers on the Scotian Shelf: Insights from profiling gliders, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 172, 118-127, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2017.03.007, 2017.
- Rutherford, K., and Fennel, K.: Diagnosing transit times on the northwestern North Atlantic continental shelf, *Ocean Science*,
560 14(5),1207-1221, doi: 10.5194/os-14-1207-2018, 2018.
- Seitzinger, S. P., Harrison, J. A., Dumont, E., Beusen, A. H. W., and Bouwman, A. F.: Sources and delivery of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus to the coastal zone: An overview of Global Nutrient Export from Watersheds (NEWS) models and their application, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19(4), doi: 10.1029/2005GB002606, 2005.
- Shadwick, E. H. and Thomas, H.: Seasonal and spatial variability in the CO₂ system on the Scotian Shelf (northwest Atlantic),
565 *Marine Chemistry*, 160, 42-55, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2014.01.009, 2014.
- Shadwick, E., Thomas, H., Azetsu-Scott, K., Greenan, B., Head, E., and Horne, E.: Seasonal variability of dissolved inorganic carbon and surface water pCO₂ in the Scotian Shelf region of the northwestern Atlantic, *Marine Chemistry*, 124(1-4), 23-37, doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2010.11.004, 2011.
- Shadwick, E. H., Thomas, H., Comeau, A., Craig, S. E., Hunt, C. W., and Salisbury, J. E.: Air-sea CO₂ fluxes on the Scotian
570 Shelf: Seasonal to multi-annual variability, *Biogeosciences*, 7(11), 3851-3867, doi: 10.5194/bg-7-3851-2010, 2010.
- Shan, S., Sheng, J., and Greenan, B. J.: Physical processes affecting circulation and hydrography in the Sable Gully of Nova Scotia, *Deep Sea Re-search Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 104, 35-50, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.06.019, 2014.
- Shan, S., Sheng, J., Ohashi, K., and Dever, M.: Assessing the performance of a multi-nested ocean circulation model using satellite remote sensing and in-situ observations, *Satellite Oceanography and Meteorology*, 1(1), 39-59,
575 doi:10.18063/SOM.2016.01.004, 2016.
- Signorini, S. R., Mannino, A., Najjar Jr, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A., Cai, W.-J., Salisbury, J., Wang, Z. A., Thomas, H., and Shadwick, E.: Surface ocean pCO₂ seasonality and sea-air CO₂ flux estimates for the North American east coast, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118(10), 5439-5460, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20369, 2013.
- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Sweeney, C., Poisson, A., Metzl, N., Tilbrook, B., Bates, N., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A.,
580 Sabine, C., Olafsson, J., and Nojiri, Y.: Global sea air CO₂ flux based on climatological surface ocean pCO₂, and seasonal


- biological and temperature effects, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 49(9), 1601-1622, doi: 10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00003-6, 2002.
- Tsunogai, S., Watanabe, S., and Tetsuro, S.: Is there a "continental shelf pump" for the absorption of atmospheric CO₂? *Tellus*, (51B), 701-712, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.1999.t01-2-00010.x, 1999.
- 585 Turi, G., Lachkar, Z., and Gruber, N.: Spatiotemporal variability and drivers of pCO₂ and air-sea CO₂ fluxes in the California Current system: An eddy-resolving modeling study, *Biogeosciences*, 11(3), 671-690, doi:10.5194/bg-11-671-2014, 2014.
- Umlauf, L., and Burchard, H.: A generic length-scale equation for geophysical turbulence models, *Journal of Marine Research*, 61(2), 235-265, doi:10.1357/002224003322005087, 2003.
- Urrego-Blanco, J., and Sheng, J.: Interannual variability of the circulation over the Eastern Canadian shelf, *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 590 50(3), 277-300, doi:10.1080/07055900.2012.690430, 2012.
- Vandemark, D., Salisbury, J. E., Hunt, C. W., Shellito, S. M., Irish, J.D., McGillis, W. R., Sabine, C. L., and Maenner, S. M.: Temporal and spatial dynamics of CO₂ air-sea flux in the Gulf of Maine, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 116 (C1), C01012, doi:10.1029/2010JC006408, 2011.
- Warner, J. C., Sherwood, C. R., Arango, H. G., and Signell, R. P.: Performance of four turbulence closure models implemented 595 using a generic length scale method, *Ocean Modelling*, 8(1), 81-113, doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2003.12.003, 2005.
- Weiss, R.F.: Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: The solubility of a non-ideal gas, *Marine Chemistry*, 2(3), 203-215, 1974.
- Wu, H. and Zhu, J.: Advection scheme with 3rd High-order Spatial Interpolation at the Middle Temporal level and its application to saltwater intrusion in the Changjiang Estuary, *Ocean Modelling*, 33(1-2), 33-51, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.12.001, 2010.
- 600 Xiu, P., Chai, F., Curchitser, E. N., and Castruccio, F. S.: Future changes in coastal upwelling ecosystems with global warming: The case of the California Current System, *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 2866, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21247-7, 2018.
- Zeebe, R. E., and Wolf-Gladrow, D. A.: CO₂ in Seawater: Equilibrium, Kinetics, Isotopes, 1st Ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V., 2001.




605

Figure 1: Bathymetric maps of the model domain. (a) Map of North America, including the location of the model domain. (b) A zoomed in map of the model domain with mean current locations. (c) Zoomed in map of the Scotian Shelf, and indicates the location of the CARIOCA buoy (red diamond) and the Atlantic Condor Transect (black line). Bin 1 (Halifax Harbour) and bin 2 (Deep Panuke) are used for analyses of spatial variability. All maps show the 100 m and 200 m isobaths.


610




615 **Figure 2: Seasonal (from top to bottom, with RMSE and bias in references to year 2006) (a) chlorophyll (Glider: RMSE: 0.39 mg m^{-3} , bias: 0.0006 mg m^{-3} ; AZMP: RMSE: 0.62 mg m^{-3} , bias: -0.17 mg m^{-3}); (b) $p\text{CO}_2$ (RMSE: $64.0 \mu\text{atm}$, bias: $32.2 \mu\text{atm}$); (c)**
 620 **temperature (RMSE: $1.99 ^{\circ}\text{C}$, bias: $-0.26 ^{\circ}\text{C}$); (d) temperature normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ following Takahashi et al. (2002) (RMSE: $66.5 \mu\text{atm}$, bias: $23.0 \mu\text{atm}$) at STN 2 on the Scotian Shelf. The model year 2006 is shown with the thick black line and min-max in the model from years 2006-2014 with the grey shaded area in all panels. In (a) the dark green points are AZMP bottle data and light green points are glider data. In (b-d) observations from the moored CARIOCA buoy are shown as small blue points, with lighter shades of blue indicating earlier observations and darker shades indicating more recent observations, and observations from the Atlantic Condor transects at approximately the same location as the buoy are shown in large pink points. Both the Condor and CARIOCA buoy observations are mapped to year 2006 using the atmospheric trend in $p\text{CO}_2$. Light grey points are monthly mean SOCAT observations for the entire Scotian Shelf and the error bars are the 10th and 90th percentiles.**




625 Figure 3: Model-data comparison along the Atlantic Condor transect. The top panel shows $p\text{CO}_2$ (in colour) evolving over time (x-
 630 axis) along the transect (longitude on the y-axis; Halifax Harbour to shelf break). The background is the model average $p\text{CO}_2$
 along the transect and the points are the Atlantic Condor data binned into 0.1° longitudinal bins. The bottom panel shows the
 average $p\text{CO}_2$ along the transect (y-axis) as it evolves over the seasonal cycle (x-axis). The line is year 2006 from the model
 averaged across the transect, the dark grey shaded area is the standard deviation, and the light grey shaded area is the min-max
 $p\text{CO}_2$ across each transect. The Condor observations are mapped to year 2006 in both panels using the atmospheric trend in $p\text{CO}_2$.
 RMSE: 28.7 μatm ; Bias: 13.9 μatm




635 **Figure 4: Temporal evolution of $p\text{CO}_2$ across the Atlantic Condor transect. X-axis is longitude, with the Halifax Harbour indicated on the left-hand side and the shelf break indicated on the right-hand side of each panel; Y-axis is $p\text{CO}_2$; and the colour indicates the day of the year. The left panel is year 2006 of the model along the transect every 7 days. The right panel are all of the observations along the transect. The upper insets zoom in on the indicated boxes showing only the events with lower $p\text{CO}_2$ nearshore in the summer months (dark red/purple coloured lines).**



640 **Figure 5: Timeseries of variables in two bins along the Condor Transect (see Figure 1) during summer 2006. From top to bottom: (a) wind speed, (b) air-sea CO_2 flux, (c) $p\text{CO}_2$, (d) temperature and (e) dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). Shaded area indicates when there was upwelling-favourable winds nearshore (Bin 1). The blue lines indicate the values from the nearshore bin closest to the Halifax Harbour and the pink lines indicate values from the offshore bin near the Deep Panuke oil platform.**




645 **Figure 6: Surface map of $p\text{CO}_2$ (top panel), and transects along the average Atlantic Condor ship track of (top to bottom) $p\text{CO}_2$, temperature, and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) from the model taken during an upwelling event (Jul 3, 2006; see Figure 5). Contours in the transects are density. The top panel indicates the Condor transect with the black line and the location of the CARIOCA buoy with the red diamond.**




650


Figure 7: Taylor Decomposition of the upwelling event (right side; July 3, 2006) in Figure 6 compared to a non-upwelling event (left side; June 9, 2006). From top to bottom: (a) $p\text{CO}_2$, (b) overall anomaly in $p\text{CO}_2$ ($\Delta p\text{CO}_2$) from the mean $p\text{CO}_2$ in the upper 40 m, (c) anomaly in $p\text{CO}_2$ due to temperature changes ($\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,T}$), (d) anomaly in $p\text{CO}_2$ due to DIC changes ($\Delta p\text{CO}_{2,DIC}$).



655 **Figure 8: Monthly and annual air-sea CO₂ flux calculated from the model on the entire Scotian Shelf (pink), extracted at the CARIOCA buoy location (black), and from the buoy observations (blue). Flux is averaged over simulation years 2006-2014 for the model, and years 2007-2014 for the CARIOCA observations. Error bars are +/- 1 standard deviations between years.**



660 **Figure 9: Annually integrated air-sea CO₂ flux for the Grand Banks (GB), Scotian Shelf (SS) and Gulf of Maine (GoM) in the model (pink) compared to literature values (blue). Positive values are net outgassing, indicated by solid bars, and negative values are net ingassing, indicated by faded bars.**



665 **Figure 10: Comparison of the seasonal cycle of $p\text{CO}_2$ for the different versions of SOCAT for the Scotian Shelf, mapped to year 2006. The points indicate the mean for each month and the bars indicate the 5th and 95th percentile. Inset shows the number of years and number of observations used in each month for each version.**