1	An analysis of the variability of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in macroalgae from the Gulf of California: indicative of
2	carbon concentration mechanisms and isotope discrimination during carbon assimilation
3	
4	Roberto Velázquez-Ochoa ^a , María Julia Ochoa-Izaguirre ^b , Martín Federico Soto-Jiménez ^{c*}
5	^a Posgrado en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Unidad
6	Académica Mazatlán, Mazatlán, Sinaloa 82040, México
7	^b Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa. Paseo Claussen s/n, Mazatlán,
8	Sinaloa 82000, México
9	^c Unidad Académica Mazatlán, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional
10	Autónoma de México (UAM-ICMyL-UNAM), Mazatlán Sinaloa, 82040, México.
11	
12	Correspondent author:
13	Telephone number: +52 (669) 9852845 to 48
14	Fax number: +52 (669) 9826133
15	E-mail: martin@ola.icmyl.unam.mx
16	
17	
18	

Abstract

- 20 The isotopic composition of carbon in macroalgae (δ^{13} C) is highly variable, and its prediction is 21 complex concerning terrestrial plants. The determinants of δ^{13} C-macroalgal variations were 22 analyzed in a large stock of specimens that vary in taxa and morphology, collected in shallow 23 marine habitats in the Gulf of California (GC) with distinctive environmental conditions. A large 24 δ^{13} C variability (-34.6% to -2.2%) was observed. Life forms (taxonomy 57%, morphology and 25 structural organization 34%) explain the variability related to carbon use physiology. 26 Environmental conditions influenced the δ^{13} C-macroalgal values but did not change the 27 physiology, which is most likely inherently species-specific. Values of δ^{13} C were used as 28 indicators of the presence or absence of carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) and as 29 integrative values of the isotope discrimination during carbon assimilation in the lifecycle macroalgae. Based on δ^{13} C signals, macroalgae were classified in three strategies relatives to the 30 capacity of CCM: 1) HCO₃⁻ uptake (δ¹³C>-10‰), 2) using a mix of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ uptake (-31 $10 < \delta^{13}$ C>-30%), and 3) CO₂ diffusive entry (δ^{13} C<-30%). Most species showed a δ^{13} C that 32 33 indicates a CCM using a mix of CO₂ and HCO₃ uptake. HCO₃ uptake is also widespread among 34 GC macroalgae, with many Ochrophyta species. Few species belonging to Rhodophyta relied on 35 CO₂ diffusive entry exclusively, while calcifying macroalgae species using HCO₃ included only 36 Amphiroa and Jania. The isotopic signature evidenced the activity of CCM, but it was 37 inconclusive about the preferential uptake of HCO₃⁻ and CO₂ in photosynthesis and the CCM type 38 expressed in macroalgae. In the carbon use strategies study, diverse and species-specific, 39 complementary techniques to the isotopic tools are required.
- 40 **Keywords:** $δ^{13}$ C-macroalgal, carbon-concentrating mechanisms, CO₂ diffusive proxy

1. Introduction

42

43 Macroalgae show a wide diversity of thallus morphologies (e.g., filamentous, articulated, flattened), 44 structural organization (e.g., surface area/volume ratio), and various photosynthetic pigments (e.g., 45 Chlorophyll a, b, phycocyanin) (Lobban and Harrison, 1994). According to the predominant pigment 46 contents in the thallus, macroalgae are classified into three Phyla. The interaction of morphologies 47 and photosynthetic pigments is classified into dozens of groups (Balata et al., 2011; Littler and 48 Littler, 1980; Littler and Arnold, 1982). For example, the mixture of chlorophyll (a, b) and 49 carotenoids is dominant in Chlorophyta; chlorophyll (a, c) and fucoxanthin carotenoid is dominant 50 in Ochrophyta, while Rhodophyta contains chlorophyll (a, d), carotenoid, and a mixture of 51 phycobilin (e.g., phycocyanin, phycoerythrin, allophycocyanin) (Bold and Wynne, 1978; Gateau et 52 al., 2017; Masojidek et al., 2004). Both traits work as an excellent approximation to explain the 53 fundamentals of metabolism, growth, zonation, and colonization (Littler and Littler, 1980; Littler 54 and Arnold, 1982; Nielsen and Sand-Jensen, 1990; Vásquez-Elizondo and Enríquez, 2017). 55 In marine environments, where pH \sim 8.1 \pm 1, the diffusion rate of CO₂ in seawater is low. Thus, HCO₃⁻ 56 accounts for 98% of the total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), resulting in a high HCO₃-:CO₂ ratio 57 (150:1) (Sand-Jensen and Gordon, 1984). Low CO₂ concentrations in seawater, which limit 58 macroalgae growth, are compensated by carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) that increase the 59 internal inorganic carbon concentration near the site of RuBisCo activity (Giordano et al., 2005). 60 Therefore, the absorption of HCO₃ by most macroalgae is the primary source of inorganic carbon 61 for photosynthesis, but some species depend exclusively on the use of dissolved CO₂ that enters cells 62 by diffusion (Beardall and Giordano, 2002; Giordano et al., 2005; Maberly et al., 1992; Raven et al., 63 2002a, Raven et al., 2002b). Hence, macroalgal species with productivity limited by lacking CCM's 64 (have low plasticity for carbon inorganic forms uptake) seems to be restricted to subtidal habitats 65 and composed mainly by red macroalgae (but without a morphological patron apparent) (Cornwall

et al., 2015; Kübler and Dungeon, 2015). The rest of the macroalgae with CCM occupies from the intertidal to the deep subtidal. The habitat features and environmental conditions in marine ecosystems modify the main macroalgae photosynthesis drivers, such as light (Anthony et al., 2004; Johansson and Snoeijs, 2002), DIC (Brodeur et al., 2019; Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001), and inorganic nutrients (Ochoa-Izaguirre and Soto-Jiménez, 2015; Teichberg et al., 2010). These factors could generate negative consequences for their productivity, principally when they cause resources limitation. Each factor varies from habitat to habitat (e.g., local scale: from intertidal to subtidal and global scale: from temperate to tropical regions), and as in response to these environmental changes, macroalgae can modulate their photosynthetic mechanism (Dudgeon et al., 1990; Kübler and Davison, 1993; Lapointe and Duke, 1984; Young and Beardall, 2005). The modulation, to increase their photosynthetic activity (up-and-down-regulation processes), implies a physiological acclimation enhancing the transport of DIC (CO₂, HCO₃⁻) into the cell and its fixation rates (Enriquez and Rodríguez-Román, 2006; Giordano et al., 2005; Klenell et al., 2004; Madsen and Maberly, 2003; Rautenberger et al., 2015; Zou et al., 2004). The δ^{13} C-macroalgal indicates the carbon source used (CO₂ or HCO₃⁻) in photosynthesis and allows inferring the presence or absence of CCM's (Giordano et al., 2005; Maberly et al., 1992; Raven et al., 2002a). However, the isotopic signature may be inconclusive for determining the carbon source's preference (Roleda and Hurd, 2012). Also, the δ^{13} C signal in the algal thallus can be used to indicate of the physiological state of photosynthetic metabolism (Kim et al., 2014; Kübler and Dungeon, 2015). For example, δ^{13} C variability depends, in part, on the life forms as taxonomy, morphology, and structural organization (Lovelock et al., 2020; Marconi et al., 2011; Mercado et al., 2009; Roleda and Hurd, 2012). δ^{13} C is also modulated by the interaction to environmental conditions (e.g., light, DIC, and nutrients) (Carvalho et al., 2010a; Carvalho et al., 2010b; Cornelisen et al., 2007; Dudley

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

et al., 2010; Mackey et al., 2015; Rautenberger et al., 2015; Roleda and Hurd, 2012). In this study, our objective was to investigate the contributions of life forms, the changes in the habitat features, and environmental conditions to the δ^{13} C macroalgal variability in communities in the Gulf of California (GC). We collected a large stock of macroalgae specimens of a diversity of species characterized by various morphological and physiological properties to reach our objective. Besides high diversity, in terms of life forms, we selected various shallow marine habitats along a latitudinal gradient in the GC or the sample collection, characterized by unique and changing environmental factors. The GC features abundant and diverse macroalgae populations, acclimated and adapted to diverse habitats with environmental conditions, determining the light, DIC, and nutrients availability. The δ^{13} C signal from the thallus of macroalgae was used as indicative of the presence or absence of CCMs and as integrative values of the isotope discrimination during carbon assimilation and respiration along lifecycle macroalgae in macroalgae communities in the GC in the function of taxa and environmental factors (Díaz-Pulido et al., 2016; Hepburn et al., 2011; Maberly et al., 1992; Raven et al., 2002a). Because the GC is a subtropical zone with high irradiance and specimens were collected in the intertidal and shallow subtidal zone, we expect to find a high proportion of species with active uptake $HCO_3^-(\delta^{13}C>-10\%)$. A third objective was to explore any geographical pattern in the δ^{13} C macroalgal along and between the GC bioregions. Previous studies have indicated changes in the δ^{13} C signal with latitude, mainly related to the light and temperature (Hofmann and Heesch, 2018; Lovelock et al., 2020; Marconi et al., 2011; Mercado et al., 2009; Stepien, 2015). Macroalgae as biomonitors constitute an efficient tool in monitoring programs in large geographical regions (Balata et al., 2011) and for environmental impact assessments (Ochoa-Izaguirre and Soto-Jiménez, 2015).

2. Materials and Methods

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

2.1. Gulf of California description

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

The Gulf of California is a subtropical, semi-enclosed sea of the Pacific coast of Mexico, with exceptionally high productivity being the most important fishing region for Mexico and one of the most biologically diverse worldwide marine areas (Espinosa-Carreón and Valdez-Holguín 2007; Lluch-Cota et al., 2007; Páez-Osuna et al., 2017; Zeitzschel, 1969). The Gulf of California represents only 0.008% of the area covered by the seas of the planet (265,894 km², 150 km wide, and 1000 km long covering >9 degrees latitude). However, the GC has a high physiographic diversity and is biologically mega-diverse with many endemic species, including ~ 766 macrofauna species and/or sub-species where the major number belong to Arthropoda (118 spp) and Mollusca (460) taxa (Brusca et al., 2005; Espinosa-Carreón and Escobedo-Urías, 2017; Wilkinson et al., 2009) and 116 macroalgae species (Espinoza-Avalos, 1993; Norris, 1975, 1985). Regionalization criteria of the GC include phytoplankton distribution (Gilbert and Allen, 1943), topography (Rusnak et al., 1964) and depth (Álvarez-Borrego, 1983), oceanographic characteristics (Álvarez-Borrego, 1983; Marinone and Lavin 2003; Roden and Emilson, 1979), biogeography (Santamaría-del-Ángel et al., 1994), and bio-optical characteristics (Bastidas-Salamanca et al., 2014). The topography is variable along with GC, includes submarine canyons, basins, and variable continental platforms. Besides, GC presents complex hydrodynamic processes, including internal waves, fronts, upwelling, vortices, mixing of tides. The gulf's coastline is divided into three shores: extensive rocky shores, long sandy beaches, numerous scattered estuaries, coastal lagoons, and open muddy bays, tidal flats, and coastal wetlands (Lluch-Cota et al., 2007). The Gulf of California is different in the north and the south, related to a wide range of physicochemical factors. The surface currents seasonally change direction and flow to the southeast

with maximum intensity during the winter and to the northwest in summer (Roden, 1958). The northern part is very shallow (<200 m deep averaged), divided into upper Gulf, northern Gulf, and Midriff Islands region (Roden, 1958, Roden and Groves, 1959). The surrounding desserts largely influence this region (Norris, 2010) shows marked seasonal changes in coastal surface seawater temperatures (Marinone, 2007; Martínez-Díaz de León et al., 2006). Tidal currents induce a significant cyclonic circulation through June to September and anticyclonic from November to April (Bray, 1988; Carrillo et al., 2002; Martínez-Díaz-de-León, 2001; Velasco-Fuentes and Marinone, 1999). The southern part consists of a series of basins whose depths increase southwards (Fig. 1). The intertidal macroalgae in the southern region are subject to desiccation, mostly during summer. The water column's physicochemical characteristics are highly influenced by the contrasting climatic seasons in the GC, the dry season (nominally from November to May), and the rainy season (from June to October). Annual precipitation (1,080 mm y⁻¹) and evaporation (56 mm y⁻¹) rates registered during the past 40 years were 881±365 mm y⁻¹ and 53±7 mm y⁻¹, respectively (CNA, 2012). In the GC exist around 669 species, including 116 endemic species (Espinoza-Avalos, 1993; Norris, 1975; Pedroche and Senties, 2003). Many endemic species currently have a wide distribution along the Pacific Ocean coast, but with GC origin (Aguilar-Rosas et al., 2014; Dreckman, 2002). Based on oceanographic characteristics (Roden and Groves, 1959) and in the endemic species distribution (Aguilar-Rosas and Aguilar-Rosas, 1993; Espinoza-Avalos, 1993), the GC can be classified into three phycofloristic zones: 1) the first zone located from the imaginary line connecting San Francisquito Bay, B.C. to Guaymas, Sonora, with 51 endemic species. 2) the second zone with an imaginary line from La Paz Bay (B.C.S.) to Topolobampo (Sinaloa) with 41 endemic species. 3) the third zone is located with an imaginary line from Cabo San Lucas (B.C.S.) to Cabo Corrientes (Jalisco) with ten endemic species. Besides, 14 endemic species are distributed throughout the GC

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

(Espinoza-Ávalos, 1993). The macroalgal communities are subject to the changing environmental conditions in the diverse habitats in the GC that delimits their zonation, which tolerates a series of anatomical and physiological adaptations to water movement, temperature, sun exposure, and light intensities, low pCO2, desiccation (Espinoza-Avalos, 1993).

2.1 Macroalgae sampling

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

In this study, the GC coastline (21°-30°N latitude) was divided into six coastal sectors based on the three phycofloristic zones along peninsular and continental GC coastlines (Fig. 1a). In each coastal sector, selected ecosystems and representative habitats were sampled based on macroalgae communities' presence and habitat characterization. Habitats were classified by substrate type (e.g., sandy-rock, rocky shore), hydrodynamic (slow to faster water flows), protection level (exposed or protected sites), and immersion level (intertidal or subtidal) (Fig. 1b). Based on the local environmental factors, 4-5 macroalgae specimens of the most representative species were gathered by hand (free diving) during low tide. A total of 809 composite samples were collected from marine habitats along both GC coastlines. The percentages of specimens collected for the substrate type were sandy-rock 28% and rocky shores 72% based on the habitat features. In the hydrodynamic, 30% of the specimens were collected in habitats with slow to moderate and 70% with moderate to fast water movement. Regarding the protection level, 57% were exposed specimens, and 43% were protected. Finally, 56% were intertidal and 44% subtidal macroalgae organisms concerning the emersion level. About half of the protected specimens were collected in isolated rock pools, which was noted. In 4-5 sites of each habitat, we measured in situ the salinity, temperature, and pH by using a calibrated multiparameter sonde (Y.S.I. 6600V) and the habitat characteristics mentioned above

noted. Besides, composite water samples were collected for a complimentary analysis of nutrients, alkalinity (and their chemical components), and δ^{13} C-DIC (data non-included). Briefly, the representative habitats were classified by pH levels in >9.0 "alkalinized", 7.9-8.2 'typical' and <7.9 "acidified". Based on the temperature in colder <20°C, typical 20-25°C, and warmer >25°C. 72% of the specimens were collected at typical pH values, 22% alkalinized, and 6% in acidified seawater. Regarding the temperature, about 55% of the specimens were collected at typical, 31% at warmer, and 14% at colder seawaters. Regarding salinity, most of the ecosystems showed typical values for seawater (35.4±0.91 ups, from 34.5 to 36.1 ups). In this study, the collection surveys were conducted during spring (March-April) and dry season (nominally from November to May) from 2008 to 2014. Only in a few selected ecosystems located at C1, C2, and C3 sectors, one sampling survey was conducted at the end of the rainy season (nominally from June to October in 2014). Thus, these ecosystems were possible to include habitat with a salinity range varying from estuarine (23.5±3.0 ups) to hypersaline (42.7±7.0 ups) values. These habitats were mainly isolated rock pools, and only a few were sites near tidal channels receiving freshwater discharges. About 95% of the specimens were collected at typical seawater salinity (34-36 ups) and only 1.5 and 3.5% in estuarine (<30 ups) and hypersaline (>37 ups) environments, respectively. Detailed information on the selected shallow marine ecosystems, habitat characterization, and environmental conditions is summarized in the inserted table in Fig. 1.

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

2.2 Macroalgae processing and analysis of the isotopic composition of carbon

The collected material was washed *in situ* with surface seawater to remove the visible epiphytic organisms, sediments, sand, and debris and then thoroughly rinsed with MilliQ water. The composite samples were double-packed in a plastic bag, labeled with the locality's name and collection date, placed in an ice-cooler box to be kept to 4°C, and immediately transported to the laboratory UAS-

Facimar in Mazatlán. In the field, sample aliquots were also preserved in 4% v/v formaldehyde solution for taxonomic identification to the genus or species level (when possible). The following GC macroalgal flora identification manuals were consulted (Abbot and Hollenberg, 1976; Dawson, 1944; 1954; 1956; 1961; 1962; 1963; Norris, 2010; Ochoa-Izaguirre et al., 2007; Setchell and Gardner, 1920; 1924). In the laboratory, macroalgae samples were immediately frozen at -30°C until analysis. Then, samples were freeze-dried at -38°C and 40 mm Hg for 3 days, upon which they were ground to a fine powder and exposed to HCl vapor for 4 h (acid-fuming) to remove carbonates and dried at 60°C for 6 h (Harris et al., 2001). Aliquots of ~5 mg were encapsulated in tin cups (5x9 mm) and stored in sample trays until analysis. Macroalgae samples were sent to the Stable Isotope Facility (SIF) at the University of California at Davis, CA, USA. Natural ¹³C relative abundance relative to ¹²C in samples was determined with mass spectrometry, using a Carlo Erba elemental analyzer attached to a Finnigan Delta S mass spectrometer equipped with a Europa Scientific stable isotope analyzer (ANCA-NT 20-20) and a liquid/solid preparation unit (PDZ, Europa, Crewz, UK). Isotope ratios of the samples were calculated using the equation δ (%)=(R_{sample}/R_{standard}-1)]x1000, where R= 13 C/ 12 C. The R_{standard} is relative to the international V-PDB (Vienna PeeDee Belemnite) standard. During the isotopic analysis, the SIF lab used different certified reference materials (e.g., IAEA-600, USGS-40, USGS-41, USGS-42, USGS-43, USGS-61, USGS-64, and USGS-65) for the analytical control quality. The analytical uncertainties reported for the SIF lab were 0.2% for δ^{13} C (https://stableisotopefacility.ucdavis.edu/13cand15n.html). We also included triplicate aliquots of several specimens of the same species and condition, collected from one patch, or attached to the same substrate, to assess the method error by sampling and processing procedural. The methodological uncertainties were <0.4%.

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

2.3. Analysis of δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability

226

231

241

The variability of δ^{13} C values in macroalgae was analyzed in function of the taxonomy (phylum, 227 228 genus, and species) and morpho-functional groups (e.g., thallus structure, growth form, branching 229 pattern, and taxonomic affinities; Balata et al., 2011; Ochoa-Izaguirre and Soto-Jiménez, 2015). 230 The carbon fixation strategies in the macroalgae communities of the GC were identified by δ^{13} C (Díaz-Pulido et al., 2016; Hepburn et al., 2011), in agreement with the Maberly et al. (1992) and 232 Raven et al. (2002a) thresholds. So, macroalgae were classified into three strategies for DIC 233 uptake: 1) CCM-only by active uptake HCO_3^- ($\delta^{13}C > -10\%$), 2) CCM active uptake HCO_3^- and 234 diffusive uptake CO₂ (δ^{13} C<-11 to -30%), and 3) Non-CCM, CO₂ by diffusion only (δ^{13} C<-30%). The measured δ^{13} C-macroalgal signals are integrative of the discrimination by photosynthesis 235 $(\Delta^{13}C_p)$ on the carbon source ($\delta^{13}C$ -DIC in seawater), respiration ($\Delta^{13}C_r$), and probable CO₂ leak 236 237 out inside the cell during the CCM process (Carvalho et al., 2009a; Carvalho et al., 2009b; Raven 238 et al., 2005; Sharkey and Berry, 1985). 239 Macroalgae were grouped according to their morpho-functional characteristics proposed initially by 240 Littler and Littler (1980) and modified by Balata et al. (2011). Most of the macroalgae species showed a limited distribution along the GC coastlines. Few cosmopolites' species included 242 Colpomenia tuberculata, Sargassum sinicola, Padina durvillei, and Ulva lactuca. Also, not all 243 morphofunctional groups and taxon were present in every site during each sampling survey, and the 244 sample size in each group varied for taxa, location, and time. 245 A basic statistical analysis of δ^{13} C values in different macroalgae groups was applied to distribute 246 and calculate the arithmetic mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum. Because not all 247 macroalgal species were present in sufficient numbers at different collection habitats, several macroalgal groups were not considered for statistical analysis. We compared taxon and morphofunctional groups collected in the same habitat (within-subjects factor) by multivariate analysis of variance. When differences were noted, a Tukey-Kramer HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) test was performed. Besides, variations of δ^{13} C macroalgal in specimens of the same morpho-functional and taxon collected in different habitats were also investigated with a Kruskal-Wallis test. The relationships between δ^{13} C with the inherent macroalgae properties (taxon and morphology), biogeographical collection zone (GC coastline and coastal sector), habitat features (substrate, hydrodynamic, protection, and emersion level), and environmental conditions (temperature, pH, and salinity) were examined through simple and multiple linear regression analyses. Excepting temperature, pH, and salinity, most of the independent variables are categorical independent variables. Simple linear regression analyses were performed to establish the relationships between δ^{13} C-macroalgal with each environmental parameter analyzed as possible driving factors (e.g., temperature, salinity, and pH). Multiple linear regression analyses were conducted to evaluate the combined effects of those independent variables (macroalgae properties, biogeographical collection zone, habitat features, and environmental conditions) on the δ^{13} C-macroalgal. In the multivariable regression model, the dependent variable, δ^{13} C-macroalgal, is described as a linear function of the independent variables X_i , as follows: $\delta^{13}C$ -macroalgal = $a + b_1(X_1) + b_2(X_2) + ... + b_n(X_n)$ (1). Where a is regression constant (it is the value of intercept and its value is zero); b₁, b₂, and b_n, are regression coefficients for each independent variable Xi. From each one of the fitted regression models, we extracted the estimated regression coefficients for each of the predictor variables (e.g., Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), root-mean-square error (RMSE),

Mallow's Cp criterion, F Ratio test, the p-value for the test (Prob > F), coefficients of determination

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

(R²) and the adjusted R² statistics) (Stroup et al., 2018). All regression coefficients were used as indicators of the quality of the regression (Burnham and Anderson, 2002; Draper and Smith, 1998). Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was applied for all variables, and all were normally distributed. Most of the δ¹³C values in each group showed a normal distribution. For all statistical tests, a probability P<0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. The statistical analysis of the results was using JMP 14.0 software (SAS Institute Inc.).

277

278

279

292

3. Results

3.1. Taxonomy and morpho-functional groups

280 Sampled specimens belong to three Phyla, 63 genera, and 170 species. The Phyla were identified as 281 Chlorophyta (25%), Ochrophyta (22%), and Rhodophyta (53%). The most representative genus (and 282 their species) were Ulva (U. lactuca, U. lobata, U. flexuosa, and U. intestinalis), Codium (C. 283 amplivesiculatum and C. simulans), Chaetomorpha (C. antennina), Padina (P. durvillei), Dictyota 284 (D. dichotoma), Colpomenia (C. tuberculata and C. sinuosa), Sargassum (S. sinicola and S. 285 horridum), Amphiroa (Amphiroa spp.), Spyridia spp, Polysiphonia spp., Gymnogongrus spp., 286 Gracilaria (G. vermiculophylla, G. pacifica and G. crispata), Hypnea (H. pannosa and H. johnstonii) 287 Grateloupia (G. filicina and G. versicolor), and Laurencia (L. papillosa and L. pacifica). The 288 endemic species included Chlorophyta Codium amplivesiculatum, Rhodophyta Laurencia papillosa, 289 Chondracanthus squarrulosa, Gracilaria spinigera, and G. subsecundata, and Ochrophyta Cutleria 290 hancockii, Sargassum herphorizum, S. johnstonii. 291 An analysis of the biogeographical diversity among sectors evidenced that P3 (43 genera of 63, 68%)

and C3 (63%) at north recorded the highest number of the genus, followed by C1 (38%) and P1

293 (29%) at the south, and P2 (27%) and C2 (22%). The same pattern was observed in the species 294 diversity, zones P3 (94 of 167 species, 56%) and C3 (52%) at the north, C1 (34%) and P1 (25%) at 295 the south, and C2 and P2 (19-20%) at the center.

296 The morphofunctional groups identified were 21. The most common were C-tubular (6 spp., n=69; 297 C-Blade-like (6 spp, n=55); C-Filamentous uniseriate (17 spp, n=49); C-Erect thallus (5 spp, n=33); 298 O-Compressed with branched or divided thallus (19 spp., n=92); O-Thick leathery macrophytes (12 299 spp., n=104); O-Hollow with spherical or subspherical shape (4 spp, n=87); R-Large-sized corticated 300 (57 spp., n=225); R-Filamentous uniseriate and pluriseriate with erect thallus (9 spp., n=48); and R-301 Large-sized articulated corallines (6 spp, n=17). The diversity, in terms of presence/absence of the 302 morphofunctional groups, varied among coastline sectors, higher in C3 (16 of 21, 76%) and P3 303 (71%) at the north, followed by C1 (57%) and P1 (48%) at the south, and C2 and P2 and (42-48%) 304 at the center of both GC coastlines.

3.2. δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability in function of taxonomy and morpho-functional groups

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

The variability of δ^{13} C values in macroalgae was analyzed by taxon (phylum, genus, species) and morphofunctional groups classified by habitat, coastal sector, and collection season. A complete list of the results of δ^{13} C in 170 macroalgae species is provided in Supporting Information (Table SI-1). Firstly, δ^{13} C values analyzed by phylum showed a unimodal distribution with a peak at -14±1.4‰ (Fig 2). Ochrophyta (-21.5 to -2.2‰, -12.5±3.7‰), displayed significantly higher values than Chlorophyta (-25.9 to -5.5‰, -14.5±3.0‰) and Rhodophyta (-34.6 to -4.5‰, -14.8±3.9‰). The δ^{13} C-macroalgal values (average±SD) for the genus of Chlorophyta, Ochrophyta, and Rhodophyta (Fig. 3) varied from -33.8±1.1‰ for *Schizymenia* to -7.8±0.7‰ for *Amphiroa*. Based on the highest values, specimens of three Phyla showed δ^{13} C values>-10‰, evidenced the presence of CCM's by

315 active uptake of HCO₃ (strategy 1) (Fig. 3). For example, Caulerpa, Cladophora, Codium, Ulva for 316 Chlorophyta Colpomenia, Dictyota, Padina, Sargassum for Ochrophyta, and Hypnea and *Polysiphonia* for Rhodophyta showed δ^{13} C values >-10%. Likewise, high δ^{13} C values were observed 317 in the calcifying macroalgae genus *Amphiroa* and *Jania*, under strategy 1 (Fig. 3c). δ^{13} C values 318 319 lower than -30% that denote uptake of CO₂ by diffusion (strategy 3), were observed only in 320 Rhodophyta Schizymenia, Halymenia, and Gigartina. However, most species showed large δ^{13} C 321 variabilities that evidence a mechanism that uses a mix of HCO₃⁻ and CO₂ for photosynthesis 322 (strategy 2). 323 Multiple comparison analyses revealed significant differences in the δ^{13} C-macroalgal values among 324 genera, ordered as Schizymenia < Polysiphonia < Ulva, Gracilaria and Spyridia (-16.1±0.6‰ to -325 15.1±0.2‰) < Gymnogongrus, Laurencia, Hypnea, Cladophora, Dictyota, Sargasumm, 326 Chaetomorpha, and Grateloupia (from -15.4±0.7% to -13.8±0.8%) < Codium and Padina (-327 $12.5\pm2.4\%$ to $-12.4\pm2.5\%$) < Colponenia and Amphiroa (-9.2±0.3 to -7.8±0.7%) (F=16.81, 328 p<0.001). 329 Aggrupation of δ^{13} C values based on morpho-functional features is displayed in Fig. 4. The most 330 representative groups in the phylum Chlorophyta varied from -15.8±0.3% for C-Tubular to -331 12.4±0.5% for C-Thallus erect. The phylum Ochrophyta includes O-Thick leathery with the lowest 332 mean (-14.8±0.3‰) and O-Hollow with a spherical or subspherical shape with the highest values (-9.2 \pm 0.3%). The lowest and highest δ^{13} C values for Rhodophyta were observed for R-flattened 333 334 macrophytes (-24.0 ± 9.6%) and R-Larger-sized articulated coralline (-7.9±0.8%), respectively. 335 Significant differences were observed among groups, which were ordered as follows: R-Flattened 336 macrophytes < R-Blade like < C-Tubular < O-Tick leathery and R-Large size corticated < C-Blade 337 like and C-Filamentous uniseriate < C- Thallus erect and O-Compressed with branch < O-Hollow

with spherical < R-Larger-sized articulated coralline.

338

358

339 High intraspecific variability in δ^{13} C signal for the more representative genera of each taxon is 340 showed in Table 1-3. For Codium, C. brandegeei (11.8±1.2%) and C. simulans (-11.4±2.2%) 341 showed higher δ^{13} C values than C. amplivesculatum (-14.4±2.7‰). Colpomenia species had higher 342 δ^{13} C values than the other genera, with higher values for C. tuberculata (-8.7±3.2%) than 343 Colpomenia sp. (-10.9 \pm 3.6‰) and C. sinuosa (-10.2 \pm 2.9‰). Gracilaria showed comparable δ^{13} C 344 values in the four species (from -16.4±1.6% for G. pacifica to -15.5±2.4% for Gracilaria sp.). 345 Hypnea showed non-significant δ^{13} C differences in three representative species (-16.4±1.7% for H. 346 spinella to -14.9±2.3‰ for Hypnea sp.). Laurencia sp. (-12.9±1.2‰) was higher than L. pacifica (-347 $14.9\pm2.2\%$), while Padina sp. $(-11.1\pm1.5\%)$ higher than P. durvillei $(-13.2\pm2.6\%)$. Sargassum was 348 one of the most diverse genera studied with six representative species, with δ^{13} C values ordered as 349 follow: S. horridum = S. sinicola = S. johnstonii $(-15.5\pm2.9 \text{ to } -15.1\pm2.4\%)$ < S. lapazeanum $(-15.5\pm2.9 \text{ to } -15.1\pm2.4\%)$ 350 $14.5\pm1.6\%$) = Sargassum sp. (-14.2±2.3%) < S. herphorizum (-13.6±1.6%). Spyridia sp. (-351 17.0±1.2‰) and S. filamentosa (-15.8±3.8‰) showed non-significant differences. The six 352 representative species of *Ulva* were divided into two morphological groups, filamentous and 353 laminates. Filamentous species that averaged -16.3±2.0% for *U. clathrata*, -16.0±3.6% for *U.* 354 flexuosa, -15.7±1.7‰ for *U. acanthophora* and -15.3±2.5‰ for *U. intestinalis* and *Ulva* laminates 355 that included *U. linza* (-15.5±2.4‰) and *U. lactuca* (-14.1±3.1‰). Non-significant differences were 356 observed between morphological groups and among species. A high intra-specific variability, 11-357 28%, explains average overlapping.

3.3. δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability in coastal sectors

A diversity of macroalgal assemblages were documented along the GC coastlines, with differences in the taxonomic composition according to their fico-floristic region. Multiple comparison analyses of δ^{13} C signals evidenced significant differences between the most common genus and species of macroalgae between and within assemblages grouped by coastal sector, season and collecting year (Supplementary Information Tables SI-2-3). For example, genus *Padina* (e.g., *P. durvillei*) and *Ulva* (e.g., *U. lactuca*), collected in C1 sector during the rainy season, showed lower δ^{13} C values than in other sectors. Differences in the δ^{13} C signal are mainly related to the carbon uptake strategies of the macroalgae (Fig. 5). Even though most species inhabiting the GC coastal sectors dominated strategies based on active CCM's, the tendencies differed between taxa and coastal regions. Strategy 2 with mixing DIC sources is dominant in all regions and taxa (60-90%). Exceptions were observed in the P1 (68%) and C1 (37%) regions for Ochrophyta, where the specialized strategy 1 (the HCO₃-user) was significant. Strategy 3 based on the use of CO₂ was observed in the peninsular coast in P2 and P3 for Rhodophyta with 2-3.3%. Overall, more negative δ^{13} C values were observed at continental (C2) compared to the peninsular coastline (P1-P3) and southward than northward.

3.4. δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability in function of taxonomy and habitat features and

environmental conditions

Variability of δ^{13} C values for the most representative genera was evaluated by multiple comparative analyses in the habitat features' function, including the substrate, hydrodynamic, and emersion level. Large δ^{13} C variability observed between specimens of the same genus collected in the different habits do not show any significant pattern, and non-significant differences were observed. An exception was observed with the emersion level (shown in Fig. 6), where intertidal specimens recorded lesser negative values than subtidal in most macroalgae genus. For example, for *Hydroclathrus* (intertidal -5.7±0.9‰; subtidal -11.4±5.9‰), *Amphiroa* (intertidal -6.9±1.5; subtidal

382 -9.9±6.1‰), Hypnea (intertidal -13.5±2.5‰; subtidal -18.6±1.8‰), and Laurencia (intertidal -383 13.5±1.3%; subtidal -17.1±1.8%). Exceptions were observed for *Polysiphonia* (intertidal -384 19.7±2.2‰, subtidal -14.9±6.7‰), Spyridia (intertidal -16.9±3.3‰, subtidal -13.2±0.7‰), and 385 *Colpomenia* (intertidal -9.4±3.4‰, subtidal -7.7±1.3‰). 386 Non-significant differences were observed for the same genera at different temperatures ranges, 387 except for *Grateloupia* (cold, -19.2±4.7%, typical -14.4±2.2%, warm -14.5±2.2%) and 388 Polysiphonia (cold, $-21.0\pm0.4\%$, typical $-18.1\pm5.5\%$, warm $-17.9\pm2.3\%$) with more negative values 389 in colder than warmer waters (F=6.42, p<0.001). Neither significant difference was observed in δ^{13} C 390 values in macroalgae specimens from the different genus in the same temperature range (Fig. 7a). 391 Significant differences were observed among the genus related to the pH level at seawater (Fig. 7b). 392 Under typical pH seawater, Amphiroa and Colpomenia were 1-2% more negatives than in alkaline 393 waters, while *Ulva* and *Spyridia* were 3-5% less negative than in acidic waters. *Amphiroa* and 394 Colpomenia were not collected in acidic water, and neither Spyridia in alkaline waters to compare. 395 Another genus also showed extremes values between alkaline (Tacanoosca -7.6±1.0%) and acidic 396 waters (Schizymenia -32.9±2.0%). The following order was observed in the genus collected at the 397 three pH ranges: alkaline > typical > acidic. Significant differences were observed for genus 398 Ahnfeltiopsis, Caulerpa, Gymnogongrus, Padina, and Ulva, with higher values at alkaline than in 399 acidic waters. Values of δ^{13} C for specimens of the same genus collected at typical pH waters are 400 mostly overlapped between alkaline and acidic seawaters. Non-significant differences in δ^{13} C values 401 were observed for *Grateloupia*, *Hypnea*, and *Polysiphonia* concerning pH-type waters. 402 We analyzed the carbon uptake strategies on macroalgal assemblages in the function of

environmental factors like temperature, pH, and salinity (Fig. 8). The temperature and salinity non-

significantly explained the δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability. A poor but significant correlation was observed between δ^{13} C and pH (R² = 0.04) (Table 4). The proportion of specimens with a strategy of only HCO₃⁻ use was different between environmental factors and taxa (previously described). For example, Ochrophyta showed the highest proportion (35%) in colder temperatures, in pH-Alkaline (31%), and at a typical salinity regimen (27%). Chlorophyta was enhanced to 30% in acid pH, and Rhodophyta recorded 21% at normal seawater. The opposite strategy (only use of dissolved CO₂) was observed only in Rhodophyta. The highest percentage was observed in the estuarine salinity regimen (10%).

3.5. Variation latitudinal of δ^{13} C-macroalgal

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

424

- The δ^{13} C-macroalgal variation in the GC biogeography was evaluated by linear regression analysis between δ^{13} C values along the nine degrees latitude in both GC coastlines. A non-significant
- latitudinal trend was observed for datasets, but for the three phyla's most representative genera, $\delta^{13}C$
- values correlated with latitude (Fig. 9). In Chlorophyta, with the higher genera number, δ^{13} C values
- 417 increased with latitude, with low but significant correlation. Contrarily, in Ochrophyta and
- Rhodophyta specimens, the δ^{13} C values decreased non-significantly with latitude.
- In the most representative morphofunctional groups, significant correlations (p<0.001) were
- observed for δ^{13} C-macroalgal *versus* latitude (Fig. 10). Representative morphofunctional groups of
- 421 Chlorophyta (e.g., C-Tubular, C-Filamentous uniseriate), showed a positive correlation, while those
- belonging to Ochrophyta (e.g., O-Thick leathery;) and Rhodophyta (e.g., R-Large sized corticated)
- showed a negative trend with latitude.

3.6. Analyses of δ¹³C macroalgal variability

The δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability was analyzed in function of the life form and environmental factors.

Firstly, simple linear regression analyses were performed to evaluate the dependent variable's prediction power (δ^{13} C-macroalgal) in the function of several independent variables controlling the main macroalgae photosynthesis drivers (light, DIC, and inorganic nutrients). Regression coefficients were estimated for each fitted regression model, which is used as indicators of the quality of the regression (Burnham and Anderson, 2002; Draper and Smith, 1998) as was described in Methods; however, our results description focused on the coefficients of determination (R² and adjusted R^2). The coefficient R^2 describes the relationship between the independent variables X_i with the dependent variable Y (δ^{13} C-macroalgal). R² is interpreted as the % of contribution to the δ^{13} C variability. In comparison, the adjusted R² statistics compensate for possible confounding effects between variables. Results of the analysis of the relationships between δ^{13} C with each independent variable are summarized in Table 4. Phyla explain only 8% variability regarding the inherent macroalgae properties, the morphofunctional properties 35%, genus 46%, and species 57%. The biogeographical collection zone, featured by coastline (continental vs. peninsular) and coastal sectors (C1-C3 and P1-P3), explained a maximum of 5% variability. Only the emersion level (6%) contributed to the δ^{13} C variability related to the habitat features. The contribution of the seawater's environmental conditions was marginal for pH (4%) and negligible for temperature and salinity. A marginal reduction in the percentage of contribution was observed for Phyla (1%) and morphofunctional properties (1%), but significant for genus (5%) and species (10%). Multiple regression analyses were also performed to interpret the complex relationships among δ^{13} Cmacroalgal, considering the life form (morphofunctional and taxon by genus) and their responses to environmental parameters. Results for the fitted regression models performed for morphofunctional groups (Table 5) and genus (Table 6) evidenced that the effect of the coastal sector and pH ranges

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

449 on the δ^{13} C-macroalgal increased the contribution by 9-10% each one. The emersion level increased 450 by 5-6%, the contribution respect to individual effect of morphofunctional group and genus, the 451 temperature and pH in 1 and 3%, respectively, while salinity decreased by 1-2%. The combined 452 effect of the biogeographical collection zone (e.g., coastline sector) and morphofunctional group 453 (Table 5) and genus (Table 7), increased in 11-12%. 454 Considering the combined effect of the coastline sector + Habitats features for Morphofunctional 455 group or Genus (Table 7), the full model showed R² of 0.60 and 0.71. In contrast, Coastline sector + Environmental conditions + Morphofunctional group or Genus the R² increased to 0.62 and 0.72, 456 457 respectively. The interactive explanations of environmental factors increased the explanation 458 percentage of δ^{13} C variability; however, these contributions were significantly lower than the 459 explained by life forms, such as the morphofunctional properties and taxa by genus and species. 460 The combined effect of environmental conditions on the δ^{13} C variability was tested for the best-461 represented genus and morphological groups. Results evidenced that 9 of 21 morphological groups showed significant effects on the δ^{13} C variability (Table 8), five increasing and four decreasing the 462 model constant of δ^{13} C=-14.2%. For example, for the O-Hollow with spherical or subspherical shape 463 464 (+4.9%) and R-Larger-sized articulated corallines (+6.3%), the predicted values are -7.9±0.8% and 465 -9.2±0.4\%. For R-Filamentous uniseriate and pluriseriate with erect thallus (-2.1\%) and C-Tubular 466 (-1.6%), the predicted values are -16.3±0.5% and -15.8±0.5%, respectively. Regarding taxon, a 467 significant effect was observed only in 13 genera, including Colpomenia (+5.4%), Amphiroa 468 (+6.8%), and *Padina* (+2.2%) increasing the signal, and *Polysiphonia* (-3.7%), *Gracilaria* (-0.9%), 469 and Spyridia (-1.4%) decreasing the signal of the model constant (Table 9). In 33 species was observed a significant effect on the δ^{13} C variability, including C. tuberculate +5.9%, C. sinuosa 470 +4.4%, H. pannosa +4.4%, H. johnstonii +4.4%, and Amphiroa spp. (+4.4 to 8.2%) increasing the 471 472 model constant $\delta^{13}C = -14.6\%$, and *Spyridia* sp. (-2.5%), *G. filicina* (-2.3%), *P. mollis* (-5.2%) and

473 *S. pacifica* (-19.2‰) (Table 10).

474

475

3.7. Preliminary estimations of Δ^{13} C-macroalgal

476 Concurrent analysis of surface seawater for alkalinity, proportions of the chemical species of DIC (CO₂, HCO₃⁻, and CO₃²-), and δ^{13} C-DIC evidenced that δ^{13} C-DIC in GC seawater averages 477 478 $1.4\pm0.4\%$ (-1 to 4.9%) (Supplementary Information Fig. SI-1). In our preliminary data, the δ^{13} C-479 DICseawater slightly (in 0.5%) decreased during the rainy season in those zones influenced by river 480 discharges along the continental coastline. Non-significant differences were observed among coastal 481 sectors. δ^{13} C-DIC values in GC seawater are comparable to the averages 1.4-1.6% reported for the 482 surface seawaters in the Eastern North Pacific in the 1970s-2000s (Hinger et al., 2010; Quay et al., 483 2003; Santos et al., 2011). 484 Based on the subtraction of δ^{13} Cmacroalgae to δ^{13} C-DICseawater, the integrative discrimination factor against ¹³C averaged 16.0±3.1‰, 16.8±4.3‰, and 14.0±3.8‰ for Phyla Chlorophyta, 485 486 Rhodophyta, and Ochrophyta, respectively. Five groups were identified in the function of the Δ^{13} C 487 values, one for Chlorophyta (Δ^{13} C=16.0±3.1%), two for Rhodophyta (16.6±3.8% and 34.6±1%), and two for Ochrophyta $(9.1\pm1.7\%$ and $15.7\pm2.7\%$) (Fig. S2). Values of Δ^{13} C were comparable to 488 489 δ^{13} C of the thallus of macroalgae. Thus, δ^{13} C-macroalgal reflect mainly the discrimination during 490 carbon assimilation. Like δ^{13} C-macroalgal, the Δ^{13} C values were subject to considerable variation.

491

492

493

4. Discussions

4.1. Explaining the δ^{13} C macroalgal variability

A high variability in the δ^{13} C values was revealed in the large inventory of macroalgae collected along the GC coastline. A linear regression analysis of the effects of life forms revealed that the δ^{13} C variability in the macroalgal community is mainly explained by taxonomic (genus 46%, species 57%) and morphofunctional groups (35%). This result is consistent with Lovelock et al. (2020) report, which found that 66% of δ^{13} C variability was explained by taxonomy. Even so, the variability associated with each genus is not the same and can be classified in three groups: 1) high variability (e.g., Schizymenia =±19.1%), moderate variability (e.g., Hydroclathrus=±7.3%; Amphiroa = $\pm 6.8\%$) and low variability (e.g., Gracilaria = ± 0.89 ; Spyridia = $\pm 1.46\%$). The observed δ^{13} C variability in this study is comparable with those reported in the literature, compiled in Table SI-4. Most authors studying the isotopic composition of C in macroalgae have reported the high isotopic variability, which has been attributable to the taxon-specific photosynthetic DIC acquisition properties (Díaz-Pulido et al., 2016; Lovelock et al., 2020; Marconi et al., 2011; Mercado et al., 2009, Raven et al., 2002a; Stepien, 2015)). Our study observed that the intrinsic characteristics of each morpho-functional group of macroalgae (e.g., thallus structure, growth form, branching pattern, and taxonomic affinities) also influence the δ^{13} C-macroalgal signals. The thallus thickness, morphology propriety influences the diffusion boundary layer on the surface of the macroalgal, where they carry out the absorption of essential ions and dissolved gases (Hurd, 2000; SanFord and Crawford, 2000). Thus, morphology can modulate the photosynthesis rates. However, a nonbiological or ecological explanation of the δ^{13} C variability, and therefore carbon use physiology, can be given in terms of morphology. The δ^{13} C-macroalgal depends on the carbon source (δ^{13} C-DIC in seawater), the isotope discrimination during carbon assimilation in the photosynthesis ($\Delta^{13}C_p < 29\%$ in a variable degree),

and the plant respiration ($\Delta^{13}C_r$ average $\pm 2.3\%$) (Carvalho et al., 2009a,b; 2010a; Carvalho and Eyre,

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

2011; Rautenberger et al., 2015). Comparatively, the $\Delta^{13}C_r$ value is relatively small regarding $\Delta^{13}C_p$. 517 518 Thus, δ^{13} C-macroalgal is an integrative value of the isotope discrimination during DIC seawater assimilation [Δ^{13} C= (δ^{13} C-DIC seawater – δ^{13} Cmacroalgae)] (Carvalho et al., 2009a). Based on the 519 Δ^{13} C values, five groups were identified in our study: one for Chlorophyta (Δ^{13} C=16.0±3.1%), two 520 521 for Rhodophyta (16.6±3.8‰ and 34.6±1‰), and two for Ochrophyta (9.1±1.7‰ and 15.7±2.7‰). Values of Δ^{13} C were comparable to δ^{13} C of the thallus of macroalgae. The δ^{13} C-macroalgal values 522 523 reflect the discrimination during carbon assimilation attributable to the taxon-specific photosynthetic DIC acquisition properties. Δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability, captured in the δ^{13} C-macroalgal signals, is 524 525 related to the thickness of the boundary layer around the thallus (Raven et al., 1982), the leakage 526 during carbon uptake (Maberly et al., 1992; Sharkey and Berry 1985), photosynthetic intensity 527 (Kübler and Raven 1995, 1996; Wiencke and Fischer 1990), and respiration rates (Carvalho et al., 528 2010a; Carvalho and Eyre, 2011; Rautenberger et al., 2015). All intrinsic properties are related to 529 the life form. 530 Many species that recorded high δ^{13} C values (and low Δ^{13} C values) were fleshy macroalgae that are 531 characterized to be bloom-forming macroalgae belonging to genera *Ulva*, *Gracilaria*, *Cladophora*, 532 Spyridia, and Sargassum (Páez-Osuna et al., 2013; Valiela et al., 2018). It is not surprising that 533 species with high photosynthetic activity and high relative growth rates (Hiraoka et al., 2020) have 534 high carbon demand that results in lower isotopic discrimination against ¹³C (Carvalho et al., 2010ab; 535 Cornelisen, et al., 2007; Kübler and Dungeon, 2015; Rautenberger et al., 2015). Bloom-forming 536 macroalgae (e.g., Ulva, Gracilaria, Sargassum) have been remarked as facultative species capable 537 of switching from C3 to C4 pathway (Valiela et al., 2018). C4 pathway reduces photorespiration, the 538 antagonist process of RuBisCo, enhancing the DIC assimilation in 25-40% and increasing the δ^{13} C 539 values (Bauwe et al., 2010; Ehleringer et al., 1991; Zabaleta et al., 2012). C4 pathway has more

energy investment in CCM's than in RuBisCo protein content than C3 pathway (Young et al., 2016). Also, the reports of C4 or C4-like pathway features in algae have increased in the last years (Doubnerová and Ryslavá, 2011; Roberts et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2012, 2013). For example, high activity of key enzymes of C4 metabolisms, such as pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase (PPDK), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK), has been described in many algae species. But the establishment of a true C4 pathway in marine algae is not clear since the massive changes in gene expression patterns seem to be incomplete, and it is suggested that many marine algae have high plasticity to use a combination of CCM to overcome Ci limitations (Doubnerová and Ryslavá, 2011; Roberts et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2012, 2013). A Stepwise model of the path from C3 to C4 photosynthesis is explained by Gowik and Westhoff (2011). More research is required on this topic considering the increasing the frequency, intensity, and extension of bloom-forming macroalgae events worldwide (Teichberg et al., 2010; Valiela et al., 2018) and in México (Ochoa-Izaguirre et al., 2007; Ochoa-Izaguirre and Soto-Jiménez, 2015; Páez-Osuna et al., 2017). Changes in the habitat features and environmental conditions, such as light intensity and DIC availability, influencing the growth rate and photosynthetic intensity, have a strong influence on δ^{13} C signal (Carvalho et al., 2007, 2009a; Carvalho and Eyre, 2011; Mackey et al., 2015; Rautenberger et al., 2015; Stepien, 2015). The light intensity is the external factor with more influence on the Δ^{13} Cmacroalgal due to the regulation of carbon assimilation intensity (Carvalho et al., 2009a,b; Cooper and DeNiro 1989; Grice et al., 1996). Experimental studies found the light levels as a critical factor affecting the δ^{13} C values. For example, under saturating light conditions, *Ulva* switched from a carbon uptake of HCO₃ and CO₂ to increased HCO₃ use (Rautenberger et al., 2015). Furthermore, field studies have shown that species growing in low light habitats as deep subtidal tend to have

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

more negative δ^{13} C values than those in higher light environments (Cornwall et al., 2015; Díaz-

Pulido et al., 2016; Hepburn et al., 2011; Marconi et al., 2011; Mercado et al., 2009; Stepien 2015).

In this study, intertidal specimens recorded lesser negative values than subtidal in most macroalgae

genus. However, our study did not record the vertical effect in the δ^{13} C signal related to the light

limitation because only shallow habitats (non-light limited) were studied.

 δ^{13} C-DICseawater is reasonably uniform in surface seawater (-4.8 to 3.6%, median 1.5%), with

δ¹³C values for CO₂, HCO₃⁻, and CO₃²- nearly -10, -0.5 and 2‰, respectively (Kroopnick, 1985;

Mook et al., 1974). Exceptions can be expected where variations in the salinity, alkalinity, and

proportions of the chemical species of DIC (CO₂, HCO₃- or CO₃²-) occur (e.g., in coastal

environments influenced by river and groundwater discharges) (Carvalho et al., 2015; Chanton and

Lewis 1999; Hinger et al., 2010; Mook et al., 1974). Regarding DIC sources for macroalgae in the

GC surface seawater, the availability, chemical proportions, and δ^{13} C-DIC were also relatively

constant and uniform. Thus, the influence of the δ^{13} C-DIC variations on the δ^{13} C-macroalgal

variability is negligible in the GC.

564

565

566

567

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

578

579

580

581

583

584

577 The effect of other environmental factors, such as salinity and pH, on δ^{13} C-macroalgal signals, was

evaluated. Regarding salinity, the influence of freshwater discharge by rivers and groundwater

decreases the δ^{13} C signal, which could be explained by the reduction in the salinity regimen that

follows a decrease in δ^{13} C-DIC in water (Hinger et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2011). In our study, a

non-significant correlation between δ^{13} C-macroalgal and salinity was observed.

Based on pH, differences in δ^{13} C were found only for a few genera (e.g., Amphiroa, Colpomenia,

Ulva, Spyridia), with a trend to increase in the δ^{13} C values with pH increase, such as was reported

by Maberly et al. (1992) and Raven et al. (2002b). Similar results were reported for Cornwall et al.

(2017) in the field study, with the differential response of the $\delta^{13}C$ signals to pH among 19 species, in which only four species were sensitive to pH changes. A very weak but significant positive linear regression was observed between $\delta^{13}C$ and pH. Also, a trend to decrease in the $\delta^{13}C$ was recorded in the following order: alkaline > typical > acidic. According to Stepien (2015), the result of meta-analyses between pH drift experiments and $\delta^{13}C$ thresholds was positive only for Rhodophyta and Ochrophyte but not for Chlorophyta. About 86% of the Stepien metadata met the theoretical CCM assignation based on both parameters, exceptions for species with $\delta^{13}C$ <-30% that have been capable of raising pH>9. A strong association between pH compensation point and $\delta^{13}C$ was reported by Iñiguez et al. (2019) in three taxa of polar macroalgae. Environmental conditions may influence the $\delta^{13}C$ -macroalgal values but not change the carbon use physiology in the macroalgae, which is most likely inherently species-specific.

4.2. Using δ^{13} C-macroalgal to indicate the presence of an active CCM

In our study, the δ^{13} C macroalgae signals were used to evidence the presence of an active CCM. This tool was first used in macroalgal shallows communities of the GC. Most macroalgae species displayed δ^{13} C values that exhibit active CCM's. Then, macroalgae were classified into three strategies for DIC uptake, in agreement with the Maberly et al. (1992) and Raven et al. (2002a) thresholds: 1) CCM-only by active uptake HCO₃⁻ (δ^{13} C>-10‰), 2) CCM active uptake HCO₃⁻ and diffusive uptake CO₂ (δ^{13} C<-11 to -30‰), and 3) Non-CCM, CO₂ by diffusion only (δ^{13} C<-30‰). About 84% of the analyzed specimens showed the facultative uptake of HCO₃⁻ and CO₂, the most common strategy identified in macroalgal shallow communities (Cornwall et al., 2015; Díaz-Pulido et al., 2016; Hepburn et al., 2011; Stepien 2015). Based on the carbon uptake strategies, the most abundant macroalgae were those able to use both HCO₃⁻ and CO₂ using active uptake plus passive diffusion (strategy 2).

Macroalgae collected in GC also involved only HCO₃ users (strategy 1) and those relying on diffusive CO₂ uptake (strategy 3). Photosynthesis that relies on CO₂ uptake (lack of CMM), the most primitive mechanism (Cerling et al., 1993), has fewer energy costs than HCO₃- uptake, which requires complex machinery with a high operational cost (Giordano et al., 2005; Hopkinson et al., 2011; Hopkinson et al., 2014; Raven and Beardall, 2016). The energy for macroalgae to uptake HCO₃-, cross the plasma membrane, and covert to CO₂ for photosynthesis, is obtained through irradiance (Cornelisen et al., 2007). Based on our sampling effort, focused on intertidal and shallow subtidal habitats featured by high-light intensities, we expected high proportions of species with the carbon uptake strategy that uses only HCO₃-. Results evidenced that strategy 1 was recorded in specimens belonging to 58 species of 170 total species. The higher proportions of CCM species (HCO₃- users) with high-energetic requirements are explained by those elevated irradiances (Cornwall et al., 2015; Hepburn et al., 2011). Ochrophyta showed the highest proportion of species that depend only on HCO₃ uptake on both coastlines in the southern region of GC (P1, C1). The low solubility of CO₂ is related to high temperatures in subtropical waters (Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001) that impulse the development of CCM (Raven et al., 2002b) and by the high affinity to DIC by Ochrophyta, such as has been described before by Diaz-Pulido et al. (2016). Only three non-calcifying species (Schizymenia pacifica, Halymenia sp., Gigartina sp.) belonging to Rhodophyta were CO₂ exclusive users (δ^{13} C=-33.2±1‰). Based on measurements of pH drift, Murru and Sandgren (2004) reported Schizymenia pacifica and two species of Halymenia (e.g., H. schizymenioides and H. gardner) as restricted CO₂ users. Measurements of δ¹³C in Halymenia dilatate confirmed the CO₂-restricted photosynthesis in specimens collected offshore in deep reefs of the Great Barrier reef (Díaz-Pulido et al., 2016). Red macroalgae that lack CCM, tend to inhabit low-light habitats like subtidal or low intertidal and are abundant in cold waters (Cornwall et al.,

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

2015; Raven et al., 2002a). According to these authors, approximately 35% of the total red algae tested globally are strictly CO₂ dependents. The percentage of macroalgae species representative of Arctic and Antarctic ecosystems that lack CCM is 42-60% (Iñiguez et al., 2019; Raven et al., 2002b), 50% for temperate waters of New Zealand (Hepburn et al., 2011), and up to 90% found for a single site of Tasmania, Australia (Cornwall et al., 2015). Our study sampled 91 red macroalgae species (of 453 red macroalgae species reported in the GC, Pedroche and Sentíes, 2003), of which <3% were CO₂ dependents. This low percentage could be related to the fact that deep habitats (>2 m depth low tide) were not explored in our surveys. Few calcifying macroalgae species using HCO₃ were also collected, including the genera *Amphiroa* (-7.8±3.7‰) and Jania (-9.4±0.7‰), both Rhodophyta with articulated-form. Padina, a genus with less capacity to precipitate CaCO₃ (Ilus et al., 2017), displayed relatively high δ¹³C values (-12.5±2.4‰), suggesting the presence of CCM using HCO₃. Some species of *Padina* can use HCO₃-, but their efficiency may differ from species to species (Enríquez and Rodríguez-Román, 2006; Raven et al., 2002a). Stepien (2015) reported a global mean of -14.8±1.0% for calcifying species compared to $-20.1\pm0.3\%$ for non-calcifying species. Calcifying macroalgae species showed a δ^{13} C signal indicative of HCO₃⁻ use, the same source described as the substrate for calcification (Digby 1977, Roleda et al., 2012) and other sources as respiratory CO₂ for the calcifying process (Borowitzka and Larkum 1976). Also, the boundary layers acidified by an excess of H⁺ released as residuals products of the calcifying benefit the HCO₃ uptake (Comeau et al., 2012; McConnaughey et al.,1997). Another possibility to explain high δ^{13} C values can also be related to the highly efficient light properties enhanced by the carbonate skeleton, resulting in an optimization of photosynthetic activity (Vásquez-Elizondo et al., 2017). Hofmann and Heesch (2018) reported high δ^{13} C values in eight rhodoliths species (calcifying species) for the organic matter thallus and for thallus, including

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

CaCO₃ structure collected in deep habitats (25-40 m) where light availability is limited. Because the ocean acidification in progress, negative impacts are expected on calcifying organisms, more attention as ecological sentinels is warranted in the GC. Measurements of δ^{13} C signal evidence of the presence or absence of CCMs in macroalgae and indicate carbon use physiology (Giordano et al., 2005). However, the isotopic signature may be inconclusive in determining of the efficient use of one or more DIC species (CO₂ and HCO₃-) (Roleda and Hurd, 2012). The preferential DIC uptake of macroalgae is assessed by pH drift experiments (Fernández et al., 2014; Fernández et al., 2015; Hepburn et al., 2011; Narvarte et al., 2020; Roleda and Hurd, 2012). Also, it can be determined by simultaneously measuring the CO₂ uptake and O₂ production rates using membrane-inlet mass spectroscopy (MIMS) (Burlacot et al., 2020; Douchi et al., 2019). Macroalgae that are unable to raise the seawater pH>9.0 are primarily CO₂-users, while those that can raise the seawater pH>9.0 (absence of CO₂) are HCO₃-users (Roleda and Hurd, 2012). Those differences in the carbon uptake strategies can be easily deduced by pH drift experiments, which were not done in our study but reported in the literature (Supplementary Information Table SI-4). Also, the change in δ^{13} C signature within the range specific to a carbon use strategy (e.g., mix HCO₃/CO₂-user) can be complemented by simultaneous measurements of O₂ and CO₂ produced and consumed, respectively using MIMS. For example, photosynthetic O₂ production in a certain macroalgae species with an active CCM preferring (e.g., CO₂) is about ten times higher than no active CCM (Burlacot et al., 2020). Based on the δ^{13} C values, it is possible to assume that at least one basal CCM is active. However, it is not possible to discern what type of CCM is expressed in the organisms (e.g., direct HCO₃⁻ uptake by the anion-exchange protein AE; Drechsler and Beer, 1991; Drechsler et al., 1993) or types of mitochondrial carbonic anhydrase (e.g., internal and external) that enhance the fixation of Ci by

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

recycling mitochondrial CO₂ (Bowes, 1969; Jensen et al., 2020; Zabaleta et al., 2012). Also, the co-existence of different CCMs has been described for the same species (Axelsson et al., 1999, Xu et al., 2012), even that different CCM's can operate simultaneously, generating different Ci contributions to RuBisCo internal pool (Rautenberger et al., 2015). The variety of CCMs and their combinations could contribute to the high δ^{13} C variability for the same species. In our field study, it is impossible to explain the variations of δ^{13} C or Δ^{13} C-macroalgal relative to CCM or CA activity types. Controlled experiments, like those conducted by Carvalho and collaborators (e.g., Carvalho et al., 2010a), are required to obtain this knowledge.

4.3. Variability of δ^{13} C macroalgal between the GC bioregions

Changes in the δ^{13} C signal with latitude, mainly related to the light and temperature, have been reported in the literature (Hofmann and Heesch, 2018; Lovelock et al., 2020; Marconi et al., 2011; Mercado et al., 2009; Stepien, 2015). For example, a negative correlation between latitude and δ^{13} C-macroalgal was described by Stepien (2015). The authors concluded that the δ^{13} C signal increased by 0.09‰ for each latitude degree from the Equator. Hofmann and Heesch (2018) showed a robust latitudinal effect to decrease in δ^{13} C signals (R^2 = 0.43 δ^{13} C total and 0.13, for δ^{13} C organic-tissue, p=0.001) for rhodolite and macroalgae from coral reefs in Australia. In both cases, the latitude range is higher than we tested (30° to 80° and from 10° to 45°, respectively). These differences on a big scale tend to be associated with a temperature effect (Stepien, 2015) and their effect on CO₂ solubility in seawater (Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001). However, in our study, no geographical pattern in the δ^{13} C macroalgal was observed. Our linear regression analyzes for latitudes showed a low but significant correlation for the dataset classified by morphofunctional groups and genus, negative in the cases of Rhodophyta and Ochrophyta groups, and positive for Chlorophyta.

communities in the GC were high-light environments. In agreement with the literature, the surface seawater temperature across the GC varies in only 1°C annual mean (Escalante et al., 2013, Robles-Tamayo, 2018). However, larger temperature variations of 5-10°C were recorded in the coastal waters across the GC bioregions in both climatic seasons. The combined effect of the coastline sector, habitats feature, or environmental condition for Morphofunctional group or Genus explained 60-62 and 71-72% of the δ^{13} C variability, respectively. Our analysis of variability for the bestrepresented morphological groups (e.g., R-Filamentous uniseriate and pluriseriate with erect thallus and C-Tubular) and genus (e.g., Colpomenia, Padina, Polysiphonia, and Gracilaria) revealed that certain life forms are better monitors explaining the variability of δ^{13} C-macroalgal (and Δ^{13} C values) than others. The δ^{13} C variability in morphological groups refers to change within a specific carbon use strategy, but not change in the carbon use physiology that is inherently species-specific. The biological or ecological relevance of the δ^{13} C variability in function of the morphology, in terms of the efficiency in the use of DIC and the isotope discrimination during carbon assimilation and respiration, must be investigated in species of same genus morphologically different or between same morphological structures belonging to a different taxon. The proportion of specimens with different carbon uptake strategies also showed regional variations. For example, the facultative uptake of HCO₃ and CO₂ was dominant in the macroalgal shallow communities in the GC (60 to 90% of specimens). Exceptions were observed for Ochrophyta in the P1 (68%) and C1 (37%) regions, where the strategy using only HCO₃⁻ dominated. While the strategy based on only use of CO₂ was observed in the peninsular coast in P2 and P3 for Rhodophyta with 2-3.3%. Finally, the coastal sector C2 showed more negative δ^{13} C values in macroalgae specimens of the same genus compared to the peninsular coastline (P1-P3). Small but detectable changes were

Light is not limited along the GC latitudes. Most of the shallow habitats occupied by macroalgal

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

observed in the Phyla distribution based on environmental conditions. For example, Ochrophyta showed the highest proportion (35%) in colder temperature, in pH-Alkaline (31%), and at typical salinity regimen (27%), while Chlorophyta enhanced to 30% in acid pH and Rhodophyta recorded 21% at normal seawater. The opposite strategy (only use of dissolved CO₂) was observed only in Rhodophyta. The highest percentage was observed in the estuarine salinity regimen (10%). Again, more research is required to obtain valuable information on the physiological and environmental status of macroalgae.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we observed high δ¹³C-macroalgal variability in macroalgae communities in the Gulf of California, such as reported in other worldwide marine ecosystems. The life form is the principal cause of δ¹³C-macroalgal variability, which explains up to 57%. Changes in habitat characteristics and environmental conditions also influence the δ¹³C-macroalgal variability within a specific carbon use strategy. Considering the combined effect of the life form, coastline sector, and environmental conditions, the full model explains up to 72% (genus) of the variability. The effect of the coastal sector, pH ranges, and emersion level were significant, while for salinity and temperature, negligible.

Most macroalgae inhabiting in GC displayed the presence of CO₂ concentrating mechanisms to uptake HCO₃⁻ for photosynthesis, 84% of the total analyzed specimens were able to use both HCO₃⁻ and/or CO₂ employing active uptake plus passive diffusion (strategy 2: -10<δ¹³C>-30‰). Specimens belonging to 58 species of 170 total species showed carbon uptake strategy 1 that use only HCO₃⁻. A higher proportion of CCM species (HCO₃⁻ users) was expected because we focused on intertidal and shallow subtidal habitats featured by high-light intensities. Only three non-calcifying species

(Schizymenia pacifica, Halymenia sp., Gigartina sp.) belonging to Rhodophyta (3%) were CO2 exclusive users (strategy 3: δ^{13} C<-30%). The low percentage of CO₂ dependents versus 40-90% reported for temperate regions could be related to the shallow habitat sampled in our surveys (<2 m depth low tide). The calcifying macroalgae genera Amphiroa and Jania using HCO_3^- (high $\delta^{13}C$ values) were present in the macroalgal communities along with the GC. Because of the ongoing ocean acidification, these calcifying organisms constitute excellent ecological sentinels in the GC. Finally, diverse authors have reported significant correlations between δ^{13} C signal and latitude, mainly related to the light and temperature. However, in our study's latitude range (21°-31°N), the linear regression analyses showed a low correlation for the δ^{13} C-macroalgal dataset classified by morphofunctional groups and genus, which was negative for Rhodophyta and Ochrophyta and positive for Chlorophyta. Non-clear δ^{13} C-macroalgal patterns occur along the GC latitudes. However, detectable changes were observed in the δ^{13} C-macroalgal and the proportion of specimens with different carbon uptake strategies among coastal sectors. For example, the facultative uptake of HCO₃ and CO₂ was dominant in the macroalgal shallow communities in the GC (60 to 90% of specimens), but in the P1 (68%) and C1 (37%) the only use of HCO₃ was the dominant strategy. Our research is the first approximation to understand the δ^{13} C-macroalgal variability in one of the most diverse marine ecosystems in the world, the Gulf of California. We did not pretend to resolve the intricate processes controlling the variations of δ^{13} C or Δ^{13} C-macroalgal during carbon assimilation and respiration and determine the isolated influence of each environmental factor. Despite the large dataset and corresponding statistical analyses, our study faces limitations due to research design and because no research on δ^{13} C-macroalgal analysis was developed previously in the GC. The primary deficiency is the lack of pH drift experiments to discriminate δ^{13} C signal variations to the carbon uptake strategies to determine preferential DIC uptake of macroalgae (CO₂

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

or HCO₃-). The second limitation concerns the lack of controlled experiments to discern what type of CCM is expressed in macroalgae (e.g., direct HCO₃ uptake by the anion-exchange protein AE, types of mitochondrial AC, or the co-existence of different CCMs). Also, more research is required to assess the biological or ecological relevance of the δ^{13} C variability in function of the morphology (e.g., DIC uptake efficiency and isotope discrimination during carbon assimilation and respiration). Future studies assessing the ability of macroalgae to use CO₂ and/or HCO₃- can be assessed by pH drift experiments and MIMS in the cosmopolites' species and within of genus with differences in the δ^{13} C values between species (e.g., *Ulva* and *Sargassum*). Finally, controlled experiments in laboratory and mesocosm type combined with field studies are required to elucidate what type of CCM is expressed in macroalgae. Even so, the δ^{13} C-macroalgal was a good indicator to infer the presence or absence of CCM's and identify the macroalgae lineages that could be in a competitive advantage based on their carbon uptake strategy and identify their geographical distribution along with GC. Under the current climate change conditions and their effects as ocean acidification progresses and the bloom-forming macroalgae events increase in México and worldwide, the analysis of δ^{13} C-macroalgal constitutes an excellent tool to help to predict the prevalence and shift of species in macroalgal communities' focused on carbon metabolism. However, to obtain the maximum benefit from isotopic tools in the carbon-use strategies study, diverse and species-specific, it is necessary to use them in combination with other techniques referred to herein.

6. Data Availability Statement

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

- Data set are each permanently deposited Soto-Jimenez, Martin F; Velázquez-Ochoa, Roberto; Ochoa
- 787 Izaguirre, Maria Julia. Earth and Space Science Open Archive ESSOAr; Washington, Nov 25, 2020.
- 788 DOI:10.1002/essoar.10504972.1

- 789 https://search.proquest.com/openview/2060de58b217ca47495469b53ae2f347/1?pq-
- 790 origsite=gscholar&cbl=4882998

7. Author contribution

791

796

798

- Velázquez-Ochoa R. participate in the collection, processing, and analysis of the samples as a part
- of his master's degree thesis. Ochoa-Izaguirre M.J. also participated in sample collections and
- 794 identified macroalgae specimens. Soto-Jiménez M.F. coordinated the research, was the graduate
- 795 thesis director, and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

8. Competing interests

797 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

9. Acknowledgements

- 799 The authors would like to thank H. Bojórquez-Leyva, Y. Montaño-Ley, and A. Cruz-López for
- their invaluable field and laboratory work assistance. Thanks to S. Soto-Morales for the English
- revision. UNAM-PAPIIT IN206409 and IN208613 provided financial support, and UNAM-
- 802 PASPA supported MF Soto-Jimenez for Sabbatical year.

803 10. References

- Abbot, I. A., and Hollenberg, G.: Marine algae of California. Standford University Press, California,
- 805 827pp, 1976.
- 806 Aguilar-Rosas, L. E., and Aguilar-Rosas, R.: Ficogeografía de las algas pardas (Phaeophyta) de la
- 807 península de Baja California, in: Biodiversidad Marina y Costera de México (Comisión Nacional
- Biodiversidad y CIQRO, México), edited by: Salazar-Vallejo, S. I. and González, N. E., 197-206,
- 809 1993.

- 810 Aguilar-Rosas, L. E., Pedroche, F. F., and Zertuche-González, J. A.: Algas Marinas no nativas en la
- 811 costa del Pacífico Mexicano. Especies acuáticas invasoras en México, Comisión Nacional para el
- 812 Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad, México, 211-222, 2014.
- Alvarez-Borrego, S.: Gulf of California., in: Ecosystems of the World, 26, Estuaries and Enclosed
- Seas, (Elsevier, Amsterdam), Edited by: Ketchum BH., 427–449, 1983.
- Anthony, K. R., Ridd, P. V., Orpin, A. R., Larcombe, P., and Lough, J.: Temporal variation of light
- availability in coastal benthic habitats: Effects of clouds, turbidity, and tides, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
- 49(6), 2201-2211, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2004.49.6.2201, 2004.
- Axelsson, L., Larsson, C., and Ryberg, H.: Affinity, capacity and oxygen sensitivity of two different
- mechanisms for bicarbonate utilization in *Ulva lactuca* L. (Chlorophyta), Plant Cell Environ., 22,
- 969–978, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00470.x, 1999.
- Balata, D., Piazzi, L., and Rindi, F.: Testing a new classification of morphological functional groups
- of marine macroalgae for the detection of responses to stress, Mar. Biol., 158, 2459–2469,
- 823 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-011-1747-y, 2011.
- Bastidas-Salamanca, M., Gonzalez-Silvera, A., Millán-Núñez, R., Santamaria-del-Angel, E., and
- Frouin, R.: Bio-optical characteristics of the Northern Gulf of California during June 2008, Int. J.
- 826 Oceanogr., https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/384618, 2014.
- Bauwe, H., Hagemann, M., and Fernie, A. R.: Photorespiration: players, partners and origin, Trends
- 828 Plant Sci., 15(6), 330–336, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.03.006, 2010.
- 829 Beardall, J., and Giordano, M.: Ecological implications of microalgal and cyanobacterial CO₂
- 830 concentrating mechanisms, and their regulation, Funct. Plant Biol., 29(3), 335–347,
- 831 https://doi.org/10.1071/PP01195, 2002.
- 832 Bold, C. H., and Wynne, J. M.: Introduction to the Algae: Structure and reproduction. Prentice-Hall,
- 833 Incorporated, 706pp, 1978.
- Borowitzka, M. A. and Larkum, A. W. D.: Calcification in green alga *Halimeda*. III. Sources of
- inorganic carbon for photosynthesis and calcification and a model of mechanism of calcification. J.
- 836 Exp. Bot. 27:879–93, 1976.

- 837 Bowes, G. W.: Carbonic anhydrase in marine algae, Plant Physiol., 44:726-732,
- 838 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.44.5.726, 1969.
- Bray, N. A.: Thermohaline circulation in the Gulf of California, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans., 93(C5),
- 4993–5020, https://doi.org/10.1029/JC093iC05p04993, 1988.
- Brodeur, J. R., Chen, B., Su, J., Xu, Y. Y., Hussain, N., Scaboo, K. M., Zhang, Y., Testa, J. M. and
- 842 Cai, W. J.: Chesapeake Bay inorganic carbon: Spatial distribution and seasonal variability, Front.
- 843 Mar. Sci., https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.000996, 2019.
- Brusca, R. C., Findley, L. T., Hastings, P. A., Hendrickx, M. E., Cosio, J. T., and van der Heiden, A.
- M.: Macrofaunal diversity in the Gulf of California, Biodiversity, ecosystems, and conservation in
- 846 Northern Mexico, 179, 2005.
- 847 Burlacot, A., Burlacot, F., Li-Beisson, Y., and Peltier, G.: Membrane inlet mass spectrometry: a
- 848 powerful tool for algal research, Front. Plant Sci., 11, 1302,
- 849 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01356, 2020.
- 850 Burnham, K. P., and Anderson, D. R.: A practical information-theoretic approach, Model selection
- and multimodel inference, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2002.
- 852 Carrillo, L., and Palacios-Hernández, E.: Seasonal evolution of the geostrophic circulation in the
- 853 northern Gulf of California, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 54(2), 157-173,
- 854 https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2001.0845, 2002.
- 855 Carvalho, M. C. and Eyre, B. D.: Carbon stable isotope discrimination during respiration in three
- seaweed species, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 437:41–49. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09300, 2011.
- 857 Carvalho, M. C., Hayashizaki, K., Ogawa, H., and Kado, R.: Preliminary evidence of growth
- influence on carbon stable isotope composition of *Undaria pinnatifida*, Mar. Res. Indones., 32, 185-
- 859 188, 2007.
- 860 Carvalho, M. C., Hayashizaki, K., and Ogawa, H.: Carbon stable isotope discrimination: a possible
- 861 growth index for the kelp *Undaria pinnatifida*, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 381, 71-82,
- 862 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07948, 2009a.

- 863 Carvalho, M. C., Hayashizaki, K. I., and Ogawa, H.: Short-term measurement of carbon stable
- 864 isotope discrimination in photosynthesis and respiration by aquatic macrophytes, with marine
- 865 macroalgal examples, J. Phycol., 45(3), 761-770, 2009b.
- 866 Carvalho, M. C., Hayashizaki, K., and Ogawa, H.: Effect of pH on the carbon stable isotope
- fractionation in photosynthesis by the kelp *Undaria pinnatifida*, Coast. Mar. Sci, 34(1), 135-139,
- 868 2010a.
- 869 Carvalho, M. C., Hayashizaki, K., and Ogawa, H.: Temperature effect on carbon isotopic
- 870 discrimination by *Undaria pinnatifida* (Phaeophyta) in a closed experimental system, J. Phycol,
- 871 46(6), 1180-1186, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2010.00895.x, 2010b.
- 872 Carvalho, M. C., Santos, I. R., Maher, D. T., Cyronak, T., McMahon, A., Schulz, K. G., and Eyre,
- B. D.: Drivers of carbon isotopic fractionation in a coral reef lagoon: Predominance of demand over
- 874 supply, Geoch. Cosmoch. Acta, 153, 105-115, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.01.012, 2015.
- 875 Cerling, T. E., Wang, Y., and Quade, J.: Expansion of C4 ecosystems as an indicator of global
- 876 ecological change in the late Miocene, Nature, 361 (6410), 344–345,
- 877 https://doi.org/10.1038/361344a0, 1993.
- 878 Chanton, J. P., and Lewis, F. G.: Plankton and dissolved inorganic carbon isotopic composition in a
- 879 river-dominated estuary: Apalachicola Bay, Florida, Estuaries, 22(3), 575-583,
- 880 https://doi.org/10.2307/1353045, 1999.
- 881 CNA (Comisión Nacional del Agua): Atlas del agua en México, 2012.
- 882 Comeau, S., Carpenter, R. C., and Edmunds, P. J.: Coral reef calcifiers buffer their response to ocean
- acidification using both bicarbonate and carbonate, Proc. Bio. Sci., 280(1753), 20122374,
- 884 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2374, 2012.
- 885 Cooper, L. W., and DeNiro, M. J.: Stable carbon isotope variability in the seagrass *Posidonia*
- 886 oceanica: Evidence for light intensity effects, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., Oldendorf, 50(3), 225-229,
- 887 1989.
- 888 Cornelisen, C. D., Wing, S. R., Clark, K. L., Hamish Bowman, M., Frew, R. D., and Hurd, C. L.:
- Patterns in the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N signature of *Ulva pertusa*: interaction between physical gradients and

- nutrient source pools, Limnol. Oceanogr, 52(2), 820-832, 2007.
- 891 Cornwall, C. E., Revill, A. T., and Hurd, C. L.: High prevalence of diffusive uptake of CO₂ by
- 892 macroalgae in a temperate subtidal ecosystem, Photosynth. Res., 124, 181–190,
- 893 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-015-0114-0, 2015.
- 894 Cornwall, C. E., Comeau, S., and McCulloch, M. T.: Coralline algae elevate pH at the site of
- calcification under ocean acidification, Glob. Chang. Biol., 23(10), 4245-4256, 2017.
- Dawson, E. Y.: The marine algae of the Gulf of California, Allan Hancock Pac. Exped., 3(10), [i-
- 897 v+1 189–453, 1944.
- Dawson, E. Y.: Marine red algae of Pacific México. Part 2. Cryptonemiales (cont.), Allan Hancock
- 899 Pac. Exped., 17(2), 241–397, 1954.
- Dawson, E. Y.: How to know the seaweeds, Dubuque, Iowa, USA. W.M.C. Brown. Co. Publishers.
- 901 197 pp, 1956.
- Dawson, E. Y.: The marine red algae of Pacific Mexico, Part 4, Gigartinales. Allan Hancock Pacific
- 903 Exped., 2, 191-343, 1961.
- 904 Dawson, E. Y.: Marine red algae of Pacific México. Part 7. Ceramiales: Ceramiaceae,
- 905 Delesseriaceae, Allan Hancock Pac. Exped., 26(1), 1–207, 1962.
- Dawson, E. Y.: Marine red algae of Pacific México. Part 8. Ceramiales: Dasyaceae, Rhodomelaceae.
- 907 Nova Hedwigia, 6, 437–476, 1963.
- 908 Díaz-Pulido, G., Cornwall, C., Gartrell, P., Hurd, C., and Tran, D. V.: Strategies of dissolved
- 909 inorganic carbon use in macroalgae across a gradient of terrestrial influence: implications for the
- 910 Great Barrier Reef in the context of ocean acidification, Coral Reefs, 35(4), 1327-1341,
- 911 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1481-5, 2016.
- 912 Digby, P. S. B.: Growth and calcification in coralline algae, *Clathromorphum circumscriptum* and
- 913 Corallina officinalis, and significance of pH in relation to precipitation. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK

- 914 57:1095–109, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400026151, 1977.
- Douchi, D., Liang, F., Cano, M., Xiong, W., Wang, B., Maness, P. C., Lindblad, P. and Yu, J.
- 916 Membrane-Inlet Mass Spectrometry enables a quantitative understanding of inorganic carbon uptake
- 917 flux and carbon concentrating mechanisms in metabolically engineered cyanobacteria. Front.
- 918 Microbiol, 10, 1356–1356, https://doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.01356, 2019.
- Doubnerová, V., and Ryšlavá, H.: What can enzymes of C4 photosynthesis do for C3 plants under
- 920 stress?, *Plant Sci.*, 180(4), 575–583, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.12.005, 2011.
- Draper, N. R., and Smith, H.: Applied regression analysis, edited by: John Wiley and Sons (Vol.
- 922 326), 1998.
- 923 Drechsler, Z., and Beer, S.: Utilization of inorganic carbon by *Ulva lactuca. Plant Physiol.*, 97,
- 924 1439–1444, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.97.4.1439, 1991.
- 925 Drechsler, Z., Sharkia, R., Cabantchik, Z. I., and Beer, S. Bicarbonate uptake in the marine
- macroalga *Ulva* sp. is inhibited by classical probes of anion exchange by red blood cells, *Planta*,
- 927 191(1), 34–40, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00240893, 1993.
- 928 Dreckmann, K. M.: El género *Gracilaria* (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta) en el Pacífico centro-sur
- 929 mexicano, Monografías ficológicas, 1, 77-118, 2002.
- 930 Dudgeon, S. R., Davison, I. R., and Vadas, R. L.: Freezing tolerance in the intertidal red algae
- 931 Chondrus crispus and Mastocarpus stellatus: Relative importance of acclimation and adaptation,
- 932 Mar Biol., 106(3), 427–436, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01344323, 1990.
- Dudley, B. D., Barr, N. G., and Shima, J. S.: Influence of light intensity and nutrient source on δ^{13} C
- and δ^{15} N signatures in *Ulva pertusa*, Aquat. Biol., 9(1), 85–93, https://doi.org/10.3354/AB00241,
- 935 2010.
- Ehleringer, J. R., Sage, R. F., Flanagan, L. B., and Pearcy, R. W.: Climate change and the evolution
- 937 of C4 photosynthesis, Trends Ecol. Evol., 6(3), 95–99, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1718988115,
- 938 1991.
- 939 Enríquez, S., and Rodríguez-Román, A.: Effect of water flow on the photosynthesis of three marine

- 940 macrophytes from a fringing-reef lagoon, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 323, 119-132,
- 941 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps323119, 2006.
- 942 Escalante, F., Valdez-Holguín, J. E., Álvarez-Borrego, S., and Lara-Lara, J. R.: Temporal and spatial
- variation of sea surface temperature, chlorophyll a, and primary productivity in the Gulf of
- 944 California, Cienc. Mar., 39(2), 203-215, 2013.
- 945 Espinoza-Avalos, J.: Macroalgas marinas del Golfo de California, Biodiversidad marina y costera
- 946 de México (CONABIO- CIQRO, México), edited by: Salazar-Vallejo, S.I., González, N. E., 328-
- 947 357, 1993.
- 948 Espinosa-Carreón, T. L., and Valdez-Holguín, E.: Variabilidad interanual de clorofila en el Golfo de
- 949 California, Ecol. Apl., 6(1-2), 83–92, 2007.
- 950 Espinosa-Carreón, T. L., and Escobedo-Urías, D.: South region of the Gulf of California large marine
- 951 ecosystem upwelling, fluxes of CO₂ and nutrients, Environ Dev., 22, 42–51,
- 952 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2017.03.005, 2017.
- 953 Fernández, P. A., Hurd, C. L., and Roleda, M. Y.: Bicarbonate uptake via an anion exchange protein
- 954 is the main mechanism of inorganic carbon acquisition by the giant kelp Macrocystis pyrifera (L
- 955 aminariales, Phaeophyceae) under variable pH, J. Phycol., 50(6), 998-1008,
- 956 https://doi:10.1111/jpy.12247., 2014.
- 957 Fernández, P. A., Roleda, M. Y., and Hurd, C. L.: Effects of ocean acidification on the photosynthetic
- 958 performance, carbonic anhydrase activity and growth of the giant kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera*,
- 959 Photosynth. Res., 124(3), 293-304, 2015.
- 960 Gateau, H., Solymosi, K., Marchand, J., and Schoefs, B.: Carotenoids of microalgae used in food
- 961 industry and medicine, Mini-Rev. Med. Chem., 17(13), 1140–1172,
- 962 https://doi.org/10.2174/1389557516666160808123841, 2017.
- Gilbert, J. Y., and Allen, W. E.: The phytoplankton of the Gulf of California obtained by the "E.W.
- 964 Scripps' in 1939 and 1940, J. Mar. Res., 5, 89–110, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(67)90008-
- 965 1, 1943.
- 966 Giordano, M., Beardall, J., and Raven, J. A.: CO₂ concentrating mechanisms in algae: mechanisms,

- 967 environmental modulation and evolution, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 66:99-131,
- 968 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.56.032604.144052, 2005.
- Grice, A. M., Loneragan, N. R., and Dennison, W. C.: Light intensity and the interactions between
- physiology, morphology and stable isotope ratios in five species of seagrass. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol,
- 971 195(1), 91-110, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(95)00096-8, 1996.
- Gowik, U., and Westhoff, P.: The path from C3 to C4 photosynthesis, Plant Physiol., 155(1), 56–63,
- 973 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.165308, 2012.
- Harris, D., Horwáth, W. R., and Van Kessel, C.: Acid fumigation of soils to remove carbonates prior
- 975 to total organic carbon or carbon-13 isotopic analysis, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 65(6), 1853-1856,
- 976 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2001.1853, 2001.
- 977 Hepburn, C. D., Pritchard, D. W., Cornwall, C. E., McLeod, R. J., Beardall, J., Raven, J. A., and
- 978 Hurd, C. L.: Diversity of carbon use strategies in a kelp forest community: implications for a high
- 979 CO₂ ocean, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 2488–2497, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02411.x.,
- 980 2011.
- Hinger, E. N., Santos, G. M., Druffel, E. R. M., and Griffin, S.: Carbon isotope measurements of
- 982 surface seawater from a time-series site off Southern California, Radiocarbon 52(1):69–89, 2010.
- Hiraoka, M., Kinoshita, Y., Higa, M., Tsubaki, S., Monotilla, A. P., Onda, A., and Dan, A.: Fourfold
- daily growth rate in multicellular marine alga *Ulva meridionalis*, Sci. Rep., 10(1), 1-7, 2020.
- 985 Hofmann, L., and Heesch, S.: Latitudinal trends in stable isotope signatures and carbon-
- oncentrating mechanisms of northeast Atlantic rhodoliths, Biogeosciences, 15, 6139-6149,
- 987 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-6139-2018, 2018.
- Hopkinson, B. M., Dupont, C. L., Allen, A. E., and Morel, F. M. M.: Efficiency of the CO₂-
- 989 concentrating mechanism of diatoms, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 108, 3830-3837,
- 990 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1018062108, 2011.
- 991 Hopkinson, B. M., Young, J. N., Tansik, A. L., and Binder, B. J.: The minimal CO₂ concentrating
- mechanism of *Prochlorococcus* MED4 is effective and efficient, Plant Physiol., 166, 2205–2217,
- 993 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.247049, 2014.

- 994 Hurd, C. L.: Water motion, marine macroalgal physiology and production, J. Phycol., 36, 453–472,
- 995 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2000.99139.x, 2000.
- 996 Iluz, D., Fermani, S., Ramot, M., Reggi, M., Caroselli, E., Prada, F., Dubinsky, Z., Goffredo, S. and
- 997 Falin, G.: Calcifying response and recovery potential of the brown alga *Padina pavonica* under ocean
- 998 acidification, ACS Earth Space Chem., 1(6), 316-323,
- 999 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00051, 2017.
- 1000 Iñiguez, C., Galmés, J., and Gordillo, F. J.: Rubisco carboxylation kinetics and inorganic carbon
- 1001 utilization in polar versus cold-temperate seaweeds, J. Exp. Bot., 70(4), 1283–1297.
- 1002 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery443, 2019.
- Jensen, E. L., Maberly, S. C., and Gontero, B.: Insights on the functions and ecophysiological
- relevance of the diverse carbonic anhydrases in microalgae, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 21(8), 2922,
- 1005 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082922, 2020.
- Johansson, G., and Snoeijs, P.: Macroalgal photosynthetic responses to light in relation to thallus
- morphology and depth zonation, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 244, 63-72, https://doi:10.3354/meps244063,
- 1008 2002.
- 1009 Kim, M. S., Lee, S. M., Kim, H. J., Lee, S. Y., Yoon, S. H., and Shin, K. H.: Carbon stable isotope
- ratios of new leaves of Zostera marina in the mid-latitude region: implications of seasonal variation
- in productivity, J. Exp. Mar Biol. Ecol., 461, 286–296, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2014.08.015.
- 1012 2014.
- Klenell, M., Snoeijs, P., and Pedersen, M.: Active carbon uptake in *Laminaria digitata* and *L*.
- saccharina (Phaeophyta) is driven by a proton pump in the plasma membrane, Hydrobiologia, 514,
- 1015 41–53, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:hydr.0000018205.80186.3e, 2004.
- 1016 Kroopnick, P. M.: The distribution of 13 C of Σ CO₂ in the world oceans. Deep Sea Res. Part I
- 1017 Oceanogr. Res. Pap, 32(1), 57-84, https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(85)90017-2, 1985.
- 1018 Kübler, J. E., and Davison, I. R.: High-temperature tolerance of photosynthesis in the red alga
- 1019 Chondrus crispus, Mar. Biol., 117(2), 327–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00345678, 1993.
- 1020 Kübler, J. E., and Dudgeon, S. R.: Predicting effects of ocean acidification and warming on algae

- 1021 lacking carbon concentrating mechanisms, PLoS One, 10 (7),
- 1022 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132806, 2015.
- Kübler, J. E., and Raven, J. A.: The interaction between inorganic carbon acquisition and light supply
- in Palmaria palmata (Rhodophyta), J. Phycol., 31(3), 369-375, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-
- 1025 3646.1995.00369.x,1995.
- Kübler, J. E., and Raven, J. A.: Inorganic carbon acquisition by red seaweeds grown under dynamic
- light regimes, Hydrobiologia, 326(1), 401-406, 1996.
- Lapointe, B. E., and Duke, C. S.: Biochemical strategies for growth of *Gracilaria tikvahiae*
- 1029 (Rhodophyta) in relation to light intensity and nitrogen availability, J. Phycol., 20(4), 488–495.
- 1030 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1984.00488.x, 1984.
- Littler, M. M., and Littler, D. S.: The evolution of thallus form and survival strategies in benthic
- marine macroalgae: field and laboratory tests of a functional form model, Am Nat., 116, 25-44,
- 1033 1980.
- Littler, M. M., and Arnold, K. E.: Primary productivity of marine macroalgal functional-form groups
- 1035 from south-western North America, J. Phycol., 18, 307–311, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-
- 1036 8817.1982.tb03188.x, 1982.
- Lobban, C. S., Harrison, P. J., and Harrison, P. J.: Seaweed ecology and physiology. Cambridge
- 1038 University Press, 1994.
- Lovelock, C. E., Reef, R., Raven, J. A., and Pandolfi, J. M.: Regional variation in δ^{13} C of coral reef
- macroalgae, Limnol. Oceanogr., https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11453, 2020.
- 1041 Lluch-Cota, S. E., Aragón-Noriega, E. A., Arreguín-Sánchez, F., Aurioles-Gamboa, D., Bautista-
- Romero, J. J., Brusca, R. C., Cervantes-Duarte, R., Cortes-Altamirano, R., Del-MonteLuna, P.,
- 1043 Esquivel-Herrera, A., Fernández, G., Hendrickx, M. E., Hernandez-Vazquez, S., Herrera-Cervantes,
- H., Kahru, M., Lavin, M., Lluch-Belda, D., Lluch-Cota, D. B., López-Martínez, J., Marinone, S. G.,
- Nevarez-Martinez, M. O., Ortega-García, S., Palacios-Castro, E., Pares-Sierra, A., Ponce-Díaz, G.,
- Ramirez-Rodríguez, M., Salinas-Zavala, C. A., Schwartzlose, R. A., and Sierra-Beltrán, A. P.: The
- 1047 Gulf of California: Review of ecosystem status and sustainability challenges, Prog. Oceanogr., 73,

- 1048 1–26, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2007.01.013, 2007.
- 1049 Maberly, S. C., Raven, J. A. and Johnston, A. M.: Discrimination between ¹²C and ¹³C by marine
- plants, *Oecologia*, 91,481–492, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00650320, 1992.
- Mackey, A. P., Hyndes, G. A., Carvalho, M. C., and Eyre, B. D.: Physical and biogeochemical
- 1052 correlates of spatio-temporal variation in the δ^{13} C of marine macroalgae, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.,
- 1053 157, 7-18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.12.040, 2015.
- 1054 Madsen, T. V., and Maberly, S. C.: High internal resistance to CO₂ uptake by submerged
- macrophytes that use HCO₃⁻: measurements in air, nitrogen and helium, Photosynth. Res., 77(2-3),
- 1056 183–190, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025813515956, 2003.
- Marinone, S. G., and Lavín, M. F.: Residual flow and mixing in the large islands' region of the
- central Gulf of California: Nonlinear processes in geophysical fluid dynamics, Springer, Dordrechm,
- 1059 http://doi-org-443.webvpn.fjmu.edu.cn/10.1007/978-94-010-0074-1 13, 2003.
- 1060 Marinone, S. G.: A note on "Why does the Ballenas Channel have the coldest SST in the Gulf of
- 1061 California?". Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(2), https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028589, 2007.
- Marconi, M., Giordano, M., and Raven, J. A.: Impact of taxonomy, geography and depth on the δ^{13} C
- and $\delta^{15}N$ variation in a large collection of macroalgae, J. Phycol., 47, 1023–1035,
- 1064 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2011.01045.x, 2011.
- 1065 Martínez-Díaz-de-León, A.: Upper-ocean circulation patterns in the Northern Gulf of California,
- 1066 expressed in Ers-2 synthetic aperture radar imagery, Cienc. Mar., 27(2), 209-221,
- 1067 https://doi.org/10.7773/cm.v27i2.465, 2001.
- Martínez-Díaz-de-León, A., Pacheco-Ruíz, I., Delgadillo-Hinojosa, F., Zertuche-González, J. A.,
- 1069 Chee-Barragán, A., Blanco-Betancourt, R., Guzmán-Calderón, J. M., and Gálvez-Telles, A.: Spatial
- and temporal variability of the sea surface temperature in the Ballenas-Salsipuedes Channel (central
- 1071 Gulf of California), J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 111(C2), https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JC002940,
- 1072 2006.
- Masojidek, J., Kopecká, J., Koblížek, M., and Torzillo, G.: The xanthophyll cycle in green algae

- 1074 (Chlorophyta): its role in the photosynthetic apparatus, Plant Biol., 6(3), 342-349,
- 1075 https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-820884, 2004.
- 1076 McConnaughey, T. A., Burdett, J., Whelan, J. F., and Paull, C. K.: Carbon isotopes in biological
- 1077 carbonates: respiration and photosynthesis, Geochim. Cosmochim Ac., 61(3), 611–622,
- 1078 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(96)00361-4, 1997.
- 1079 Mercado, J. M., De los Santos, C. B., Pérez-Lloréns, J. L., and Vergara, J. J.: Carbon isotopic
- 1080 fractionation in macroalgae from Cadiz Bay (Southern Spain): comparison with other bio-
- 1081 geographic regions, Estuar, Coast. Shelf Sci., 85, 449–458,
- 1082 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.09.005, 2009.
- 1083 Mook, W. G., Bommerson, J. C., and Staverman, W. H.: Carbon isotope fractionation between
- dissolved bicarbonate and gaseous carbon dioxide, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 22(2), 169-176,
- 1085 https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(74)90078-8, 1974.
- 1086 Murru, M., and Sandgren, C.D.: Habitat matters for inorganic carbon acquisition in 38 species of red
- macroalgae (Rhodophyta) from Puget Sound, Washington, USA. J. Phycol., 40, 837-845.
- 1088 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2004.03182.x, 2004.
- Narvarte, B. C. V., Nelson, W. A., and Roleda, M. Y.: Inorganic carbon utilization of tropical
- calcifying macroalgae and the impacts of intensive mariculture-derived coastal acidification on the
- physiological performance of the rhodolith Sporolithon sp., Environ. Pollut., 266, 115344,
- 1092 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115344, 2020.
- Nielsen, S. L., and Jensen, K. S.: Allometric settling of maximal photosynthetic growth rate to
- 1094 surface/volume ratio, Limnol. Oceanogr., 35(1), 177–180,
- 1095 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1990.35.1.0177, 1990.
- Norris, J. N.: The marine algae of the northern Gulf of California, Ph. D. dissertation, University of
- 1097 California, Santa Barbara, 575 pp., 1975.
- Norris, J. N.: Studies on *Gracilaria* Grev. (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta) from the Gulf of California,
- 1099 Mexico. Taxonomy of Economic Seaweeds, California Sea Grant College Program, California, I,
- 1100 123-135, 1985.

- Norris, J. N.: Marine algae of the northern Gulf of California: Chlorophyta and Phaoephyceae,
- 1102 Smithsonian contr. Bot., no. 94, https://doi.org/10.5479/si.19382812.96, 2010.
- Ochoa-Izaguirre, M. J., Aguilar-Rosas, R., and Aguilar-Rosas, L. E.: Catálogo de Macroalgas de las
- lagunas costeras de Sinaloa, Serie Lagunas Costeras, Edited by Páez-Osuna, F., UNAM, ICMyL,
- 1105 México, pp 117, 2007.
- Ochoa-Izaguirre, M. J., and Soto-Jiménez, M. F.: Variability in nitrogen stable isotope ratios of
- macroalgae: consequences for the identification of nitrogen sources, J. Phycol., 51, 46-65,
- 1108 https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12250, 2015.
- 1109 Páez-Osuna, F., Piñón-Gimate, A., Ochoa-Izaguirre, M. J., Ruiz-Fernández, A. C., Ramírez-
- Reséndiz, G., and Alonso-Rodríguez, R.: Dominance patterns in macroalgal and phytoplankton
- biomass under different nutrient loads in subtropical coastal lagoons of the SE Gulf of California,
- Mar. Pollut. Bull., 77(1-2), 274-281, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.09.048, 2013.
- Páez-Osuna, F., Álvarez-Borrego, S., Ruíz-Fernández, A. C., García-Hernández, J., Jara-Marini, E.,
- Bergés-Tiznado, M. E., Piñón-Gimate, A., Alonso-Rodríguez, R., Soto-Jiménez, M. F., Frías-
- Espericueta, M. G., Ruelas-Inzunza, J. R., Green-Ruíz, C. R., Osuna-Martínez, C. C., and Sánchez-
- 1116 Cabeza, J. A.: Environmental status of the Gulf of California: a pollution review, Earth-Sci. Rev.,
- 1117 166, 181–205, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.09.015, 2017.
- Pedroche, F. F., and Sentíes, A.: Ficología marina mexicana: Diversidad y Problemática actual,
- 1119 Hidrobiológica, 13(1), 23–32, 2003.
- Quay, P., Sonnerup, R., Westby, T., Stutsman, J., and McNichol, A.: Changes in the ¹³C/¹²C of
- dissolved inorganic carbon in the ocean as a tracer of anthropogenic CO₂ uptake, Glob. Biogeochem,
- 1122 Cycles, 17(1), 4-1, 2003.
- Rautenberger, R., Fernández, P. A., Strittmatter, M., Heesch, S., Cornwall, C. E., Hurd, C. L., and
- Roleda, M. Y.: Saturating light and not increased carbon dioxide under ocean acidification drive
- photosynthesis and growth in *Ulva rigida* (Chlorophyta), Ecol. Evol., 5(4), 874–888,
- 1126 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1382, 2015.
- Raven, J., Beardall, J., and Griffiths, H.: Inorganic C-sources for Lemanea, Cladophora, and
- Ranunculus in a fast-flowing stream: measurements of gas exchange and of carbon isotope ratio and
- their ecological implications, Oecologia, 53: 68–78, https://doi:10.1007/BF00377138.,1982.
- Raven, J. A., Johnston, A. M., Kübler, J. E., Korb, R. E., McInroy, S. G., Handley, L. L., Scrimgeour,

- 1131 C. M., Walker, D. I., Beardall, J., Clayton, M. N., Vanderklift, M., Fredriksen, S., and Dunton, K.
- H.: Seaweeds in cold seas: evolution and carbon acquisition, Ann. Bot., 90, 525-536.
- 1133 https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcf171, 2002a.
- Raven, J. A., Johnshton, A. M., Kübler, J. E., Korb, R. E., McInroy, S. G., Handley, L. L.,
- 1135 Scrimgeour, C. M., Walker, D. I., Beardall, J., Vanderklift, M., Fredriksen, S., and Dunton, K. H.:
- Mechanistic interpretation of carbon isotope discrimination by marine macroalgae and seagrasses,
- Funct. Plant Biol., 29:355–378, https://doi.org/10.1071/PP01201, 2002b.
- Raven, J. A., Ball, L. A., Beardall, J., Giordano, M., and Maberly, S. C.: Algae lacking carbon-
- 1139 concentrating mechanisms, Can. J. Bot., 83(7), 879–890, https://doi.org/10.1139/b05-074, 2005.
- 1140 Raven, J. A., and Beardall, J.: The ins and outs of CO₂, J. Exp. Bot., 67(1), 1–13,
- 1141 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv451, 2016.
- Roberts, K., Granum, E., Leegood, R. C., and Raven, J. A.: C3 and C4 pathways of photosynthetic
- carbon assimilation in marine diatoms are under genetic, not environmental control, Plant Physiol.,
- 1144 145(1), 230–235, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.102616, 2007.
- Robles-Tamayo, C. M., Valdez-Holguín, J. E., García-Morales, R., Figueroa-Preciado, G.,
- Herrera-Cervantes, H., López-Martínez, J., and Enríquez-Ocaña, L. F.: Sea surface
- temperature (SST) variability of the eastern coastal zone of the gulf of California. Remote
- 1148 Sensing, 10(9), 1434, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10091434, 2018.
- Roden, G. I.: Oceanographic and meteorological aspects of the Gulf of California, Pac. Sci, 12, 21-
- 1150 45,1958.
- Roden, G. I., and Groves, G. W.: Recent oceanographic investigations in the Gulf of California, J.
- 1152 Mar. Res., 18(1), 10–35, 1959.
- Roden, G. I., and Emilsson, L.: Physical oceanography of the Gulf of California. Simposium Golfo
- de California, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mazatlán, Sinaloa, México, 1979.
- Roleda, M. Y., Boyd, P. W., and Hurd, C. L.: Before ocean acidification: calcifier chemistry lessons,
- 1156 J. Phycol, 48(4), 840-843, 2012.
- Roleda, M. Y., and Hurd, C. L.: Seaweed responses to ocean acidification, in: Seaweed biology

- 1158 (Novel Insights into Ecophysiology, Ecology and Utilization), edited by: Caldwell, M. M.,
- Heldmaier, G., Jackson, R. B., Lange, O. L., Mooney, H. A., Schulze, E.-D., and Sommer, U.,
- 1160 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 407-431, 2012.
- Rusnak, G. A., Fisher, R. L., and Shepard, F. P.: Bathymetry and faults of Gulf of California. In: van
- Andel, Tj. H. and G.G. Shor, Jr. (editors), Marine Geology of the Gulf of California: A symposium,
- 1163 AAPG Memoir, 3, 59–75, https://doi.org/10.1306/M3359C3, 1964.
- Sand-Jensen, K., and Gordon, D.: Differential ability of marine and freshwater macrophytes to utilize
- 1165 HCO₃⁻ and CO₂, Mar. Biol., 80, 247–253, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1981.tb03198.x,
- 1166 1984.
- 1167 Sanford, L. P., and Crawford, S. M.: Mass transfer versus kinetic control of uptake across solid-
- 1168 water boundaries, Limnol. Oceanogr., 45, 1180–1186, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000.45.5.1180,
- 1169 2000.
- 1170 Santamaría-del-Angel, E., Alvarez-Borrego, S., and Müller-Karger, F. E.: Gulf of California
- biogeographic regions based on coastal zone color scanner imagery, J. Geophys. Res., 99,
- 7411–7421, https://doi.org/10.1029/93JC02154, 1994.
- 1173 Santos, G. M., Ferguson, J., Acaylar, K., Johnson, K. R., Griffin, S., and Druffel, E.: Δ^{14} C and δ^{13} C
- of seawater DIC as tracers of coastal upwelling: A 5-year time series from Southern California,
- 1175 Radiocarbon, 53(4), 669-677, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200039126, 2011.
- 1176 Setchell, W., and Gardner, N.: The marine algae of the Pacific Coast of North America. Part II
- 1177 Chlorophyceae, Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot., 8, 139–374, https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.5719, 1920.
- 1178 Setchell, W., and Gardner, N.: The marine algae: Expedition of the California Academy of Sciences
- to the Gulf of California in 1921, Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., 4th series, 12(29), 695–949, 1924.
- Sharkey, T. D., and Berry, J. A.: Carbon isotope fractionation of algae as influenced by an inducible
- 1181 CO₂ concentrating mechanism. Inorganic carbon uptake by aquatic photosynthetic organisms, 389-
- 1182 401, 1985.
- Stepien, C. C.: Impacts of geography, taxonomy and functional group on inorganic carbon use
- 1184 patterns in marine macrophytes, J. Ecol., 103(6), 1372–1383, https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-
- 1185 2745.12451, 2015.

- Stroup, W. W., Milliken, G. A., Claassen, E. A., & Wolfinger, R. D. (2018). SAS for mixed models:
- introduction and basic applications. SAS Institute.
- Teichberg, M., Fox, S. E., Olsen, Y. S., Valiela, I., Martinetto, P., Iribarne, O., Muto, E. Y., Petti,
- 1189 M. A., Cobrisier, T. N., Soto-Jiménez, M., Páez-Osuna, F., Castro, P., Freitas, H., Zitelli, A.,
- 1190 Cardinaletti, M. and Tagliapietra, D.: Eutrophication and macroalgal blooms in temperate and
- tropical coastal waters: nutrient enrichment experiments with *Ulva* spp., Glob, Chang. Biol., 16(9),
- 2624-2637, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02108.x, 2010.
- Valiela, I., Liu, D., Lloret, J., Chenoweth, K., and Hanacek, D.: Stable isotopic evidence of
- nitrogen sources and C4 metabolism driving the world's largest macroalgal green tides in the
- Yellow Sea, Sci. Rep., 8(1), 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35309-3, 2018.
- 1196 Vásquez-Elizondo, R. M., and Enríquez, S.: Light absorption in coralline algae (Rhodophyta): a
- morphological and functional approach to understanding species distribution in a coral reef lagoon,
- 1198 Front. Mar. Sci., 4, 297, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00297, 2017.
- 1199 Vásquez-Elizondo, R. M., Legaria-Moreno, Pérez-Castro, M.A., Krämer, W. E., Scheufen, T.,
- 1200 Iglesias-Prieto, R., and Enríquez, S.: Absorptance determinations on multicellular tissues,
- 1201 Photosynth, Res., 132, 311–324, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-017-0395-6, 2017.
- 1202 Velasco-Fuentes, O. V., and Marinone, S. G.: A numerical study of the Lagrangian circulation in the
- 1203 Gulf of California, J. Mar. Syst., 22(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(98)00097-9,
- 1204 1999.
- Young, E. B., and Beardall, J.: Modulation of photosynthesis and inorganic carbon acquisition in a
- marine microalga by nitrogen, iron, and light availability, Can. J. Bot., 83(7), 917–928,
- 1207 https://doi.org/10.1139/b05-081, 2005.
- Young, J. N., Heureux, A. M., Sharwood, R. E., Rickaby, R. E., Morel, F. M., and Whitney, S. M.:
- 1209 Large variation in the Rubisco kinetics of diatoms reveals diversity among their carbon-
- 1210 concentrating mechanisms, J. Exp. Bot., 67(11), 3445–3456, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw163,
- 1211 2016.
- 1212 Xu, J., Fan, X., Zhang, X., Xu, D., Mou, S., Cao, S., Zheng, Z., Miao, J., Ye, N.: Evidence of
- 1213 coexistence of C3 and C4 photosynthetic pathways in a green-tide-forming alga, *Ulva prolifera*, PloS

- one, 7(5), e37438, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037438, 2012.
- 1215 Xu, J., Zhang, X., Ye, N., Zheng, Z., Mou, S., Dong, M., Xu, D. and Miao, J.: Activities of principal
- photosynthetic enzymes in green macroalga *Ulva linza*: functional implication of C4 pathway in CO₂
- assimilation, Sci. China Life Sci., 56(6), 571–580, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-013-4489-x,
- 1218 2013.
- 1219 Wiencke, C., and Fischer, G.: Growth and stable carbon isotope composition of cold-water
- macroalgae in relation to light and temperature, Mar. Ecol Prog. Ser., 283-292, 1990.
- Wilkinson, T. E., Wiken, J., Bezaury-Creel, T., Hourigan, T., Agardy, H., Herrmann, L., Janishevski,
- 1222 C. Madden, L. Morgan and M. Padilla.: Marine Ecoregions of North America. CEC, Montreal,
- 1223 Canada, 2009.
- Zabaleta, E., Martin, M. V., and Braun, H. P.: A basal carbon concentrating mechanism in plants?,
- 1225 Plant Sci., 187, 97–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2012.02.001, 2012.
- 1226 Zeebe, R. E., and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: CO₂ in seawater: equilibrium, kinetics, isotopes (No. 65) Gulf
- 1227 Professional Publishing, 2001.
- 1228 Zeitzschel, B.: Primary productivity in the Gulf of California, Mar. Biol., 3(3), 201–207,
- 1229 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00360952, 1969.
- Zou, D., Xia, J., and Yang, Y.: Photosynthetic use of exogenous inorganic carbon in the agarophyte
- 1231 Gracilaria lemaneiformis (Rhodophyta), Aquac, 237, 421-431,
- 1232 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.04.020, 2004.

Figure captions

1234

1235 Fig. 1. Sites collection along the continental (C1-C3) and peninsula (P1-P3) Gulf of California 1236 coastlines (A), range of environmental factors supporting or limiting the life processes for the 1237 macroalgal communities within a habitat (B), and inserted Table with the features and 1238 environmental conditions in the diverse habitats in the GC bioregions that delimits the macroalgal 1239 community's zonation. Fig. 2. Variability of δ^{13} C values for specimens of different macroalgae genera collected along GC 1240 1241 coastlines classified by taxon: (A) Chlorophyta, (B) Ochrophyta and (C) Rhodophyta. Shaded 1242 background represents the cutoff limits for using CO₂ Only users and HCO₃ only users, 1243 respectively, according to Raven et al. (2002). 1244 Fig. 3. Variability of δ^{13} C values for the genus collected along coastline of the Gulf of California 1245 according to their taxon: (A) Chlorophyta, (B) Ochrophyta and (C) Rhodophyta. Genus with n=1 is 1246 not shown, and genus n=2 was not considered to the statistical comparison. Different letters 1247 indicate significant differences (P<0.05): a>b>c>d>e. Shaded background represent the cutoff 1248 limits for using CO₂ Only users and HCO₃ only users, respectively, according to Raven et al., 1249 (2002). For Chlorophyta: Bry= Bryopsis, Cau=Caulerpa, Cha= Chaetomorpha, Cla= Cladophora, 1250 Cod= Codium, Phy= Phyllodictyon, Str= Struveopsis, Ulv=Ulva. Phaeophyta: Col= Colpomenia, 1251 Dic= Dictyota, Ect= Ectocarpus, End= Endarachne, Hyd= Hydroclathatrus, Pad= Padina, Ros= 1252 Rosenvingea, Sar= Sargassum, Spa= Spatoglossum, Zon= zonaria. Rhodophyta: Aca: 1253 Acantophora, anf: Anfeltiopsis, Amp= Amphiroa, Cen= Centroceras, Cer¹= Ceramium, Cer²= 1254 Ceratodictyon, Cho¹= Chondracanthus, Cho²= Chondria, Das= Dasya, Dig= Digenia, Euc= 1255 Euchema, Gel= Gelidium, Gig= Gigartina, Gra¹= Gracilaria, Gra²= Grateloupia, Gra³=

- 1256 Gracilariopsis, Gym= Gymnogongrus, Hal= Halymenia, Hyp= Hypnea, Jan= Jania, Lau=
- 1257 Laurencia, Lom= Lomentaria, Neo= Neosiphonia, Pol= Polysiphonia, Pri= Prionitis, Rho¹=
- 1258 Rhodoglossum, Rho²= Rhodymenia, Sch= Schizymenia, Spy= Spyridia, Tac= Tacanoosca.
- Fig. 4. Variability of δ^{13} C values for morphofunctional groups by taxa along coastline of the Gulf
- 1260 of California.
- Fig. 5 Proportion of species using different DIC sources according to their carbon uptake
- strategies: HCO₃ only users (CO₂ concentrating mechanism active), Users of both sources (HCO₃
- 263 & CO₂) and CO₂ only users (non-CO₂ concentrating mechanism active) in function of coast along
- 1264 GC.
- Fig. 6. Variability of δ^{13} C values in macroalgae specimens for the most representative genera in
- function of habitat features (emersion level). Green circles represent genus of Chlorophyta, Brown
- circles represent genus of Ochrophyta; red circles represent genus Rhodophyta.
- Fig. 7. Variability of δ^{13} C values in macroalgae specimens for the most representative genus in
- function of temperature (a) and pH (b) ranges in samples collected along Gulf of California
- 1270 coastline.
- Fig. 8. Proportion of species using different DIC sources according to their carbon assimilation
- strategies: HCO₃ only users (CO₂ concentrating mechanism active), Users of both sources (HCO₃
- 273 & CO₂) and CO₂ only users (non-CO₂ concentrating mechanism active) in function of : (A) pH
- ranges, (B) temperature ranges and (C) salinity ranges.
- Fig. 9. Trends in the δ^{13} C-macroalgal in specimens collected along continental (C1-C3) and
- peninsula (P1-P3) Gulf of California coastline in function of latitudinal gradient.

Table 1. Carbon isotopic composition (‰) in species of Phylum Chlorophyta collected along Gulf of California coastlines.

Species (n composite samples)	δ ¹³ C±SD (Min to Max, ‰)
Chaetomorpha sp. (3)	-13.7±0.8 (-14.6 to -12.9)
C. antennina (10)	-14.6±1.1 (-16.3 to -12.8)
C. linum (5)	-16.8±1.6 (-18.4 to -14.6)
Codium sp. (5)	-11.6±3.0 (-14.1 to-6.7)
C. amplivesiculatum (8)	-14.4±2.7 (-20.4 to -11.3)
C. brandegeei (7)	-11.8±1.2 (-13.7 to -10.4)
C. fragile (4)	-13.0±2.7 (-14.8 to -9.0)
C. simulans (9)	-11.4±2.2 (-14.9 to -8.3)
<i>Ulva</i> sp. (12)	-14.0±3.9 (-19.2 to -7.1)
U. acanthophora (25)	-15.8±1.7 (-18.3 to -11.4)
U. clathrata (8)	$-16.4 \pm 2.0 \ (-20.5 \ \text{to} \ -14.5)$
U. compressa (4)	-17.8±2.4 (-20.6 to -15.4)
U. flexuosa (13)	-16.0±3.7 (-25.9 to -10.4)
U. intestinalis (16)	-15.3±2.5 (-20.3 to -8.9)
U. lactuca (31)	-14.1±3.1 (-19.6 to -7.7)
U. linza (6)	-15.6±2.4 (-19.4 to -13.2)
U. lobata (5)	-13.2±1.9 (-15.3 to -11.1)
U. prolifera (3)	-14.2±1.8 (-15.5 to -12.2)

Table 2. Carbon isotopic composition (‰) in species of Phylum Ochrophyta collected along Gulf of California coastlines.

Species (n composite samples)	δ ¹³ C±SD (Min to Max, ‰)
Colpomenia sp. (11)	-11.0±3.7 (-19.0 to -5.4)
C. ramosa (4)	-11.4±2.6 (-13.8 to-7.8)
C. sinuosa (7)	-10.2±3.0 (-16.3 to -7.2)
C. tuberculata (64)	-8.7±3.2 (-19.2 to -2.2)
Padina sp. (15)	-11.1±1.5 (-13.1 to-7.9)
P. crispata (3)	-11.3±1.7 (-12.5 to -10.1)
P. durvillei (36)	-13.2±2.6 (-20.0 to -9.2)
Sargassum sp. (34)	-14.3±2.4 (-18.7 to -8.0)
S. herporhizum (7)	-13.7±1.6 (-16.6 to -11.5)
S. horridum (12)	-15.5±2.9 (-19.7 to-9.5)
S. johnstonii (10)	-15.4±2.0 (-17.7 to -11.8)
S. lapazeanum (7)	-14.5±1.6 (-17.2 to -12.8)
S. sinicola (31)	-15.1±2.4 (-21.1 to -12.1)

Table 3. Carbon isotopic composition (‰) in species of Phylum Rhodophyta collected along Gulf of California coastlines.

Species (n composite samples)	δ^{13} C±SD (Min to Max, ‰)
Gracilaria sp. (18)	-15.5±2.4 (-21.8 to -12.2)
Gracilaria sp.2 (3)	-14.4±3.7 (-18.7 to -12.3)
G. crispata (7)	-15.1±3.0 (-19.1 to -10.1)
G. pacifica (6)	-16.5±1.6 (-18.6 to -13.6)
G. spinigera (3)	-14.9±3.8 (-17.7 to -12.2)
G. subsecundata (8)	-15.9±2.8 (-20.3 to -12.8)
G. tepocensis (3)	-15.1±1.9 (-17.0 to -13.2)
G. textorii (4)	-16.2±2.6 (-18.1 to -14.3)
G. turgida (5)	-15.3±3.6 (-20.7 to -12.0
G. vermiculophylla (16)	-15.9±3.8 (-23.4 to -8.8)
Hypnea sp. (14)	-14.9±2.6 (-20.9 to -11.4)
H. johnstonii (5)	-11.2±3.5 (-13.8 to -6.5)
H. pannosa (5)	-11.8±3.3 (-15.0 to -6.4)
H. spinella (6)	-16.4±1.8 (-19.2 to -14.9)
H. valentiae (6)	-15.2±2.3 (-19.2 to -12.7)
Laurencia sp. (8)	-12.9±1.2 (-14.7 to -10.5)
L. pacifica (8)	-14.9±2.2 (-19.0 to -12.7)
L. papillosa (3)	-15.7±0.3 (-15.9 to -15.6)
Spyrida sp. (5)	-17.1±1.12 (-19.1 to -16.1)
S. filamentosa (14)	-15.9±3.8 (-26.2 to -11.5)

Table 4. Summary of the estimated regression coefficients for each simple linear regression analyses and on the constant of fitted regression models. Estimated regression coefficients includes degrees of freedom for the error (DFE), root-mean-square error (RMSE), coefficients of determination (R^2) and the adjusted R^2 statistics, Mallow's Cp criterion (Cp), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) minimum, F Ratio test, and p-value for the test (Prob > F). Models information includes value of the constant a (δ^{13} C, ‰), standard error (SE), t ratio and Prob > |t| (values * are significant).

			F	Estimated r	egressio	n coeffici	ents			N	Iodel co	nstant (a))
Independent				Adjust				\mathbf{F}		$\delta^{13}C$			
variables	DFE	RMSE	R ²	R ²	Ср	AICc	BIC	ratio	Prob > F	(‰)	SE	t ratio	Prob > t
				I	nherent r	nacroalga	e proper	ties					
Phyla	806	3.66	0.08	0.07	3	4,401	4,420	33.1	<.0001**	-13.98	0.13	-107.4	<.0001**
Morphofunctional	788	3.10	0.35	0.34	21	4,149	4,251	21.6	<.0001**	-14.21	0.35	-40.80	<.0001**
Genus	746	2.92	0.46	0.41	63	4,104	4,393	10.1	<.0001**	-14.71	0.23	-62.64	<.0001*
Species	641	2.79	0.57	0.46	168	4,195	4,898	5.2	<.0001**	-14.60	0.16	-93.22	<.0001**
				В	iogeogra	phical co	llection z	zone					
GC coastline	807	3.79	0.01	0.01	2	4,456	4,470	7.4	0.0067*	-13.97	0.13	-104.5	<.0001**
Coastal sector	803	3.73	0.05	0.04	6	4,433	4,465	7.9	<.0001*	-14.12	0.16	-90.85	<.0001**
Latitude	807	3.80	0.00	0.00	2	4,462	4,476	1.5	0.23	-12.25	1.41	-8.71	<.0001**

Longitude	807	3.81	0.00	0.00	2 Ha	4,463 abitat feat	4,477 tures	0.1	0.80	-15.44	5.83	-2.65	0.0082*
Substrate	807	3.80	0.00	0.00	2	4,460	4,474	3.2	0.08	-13.82	0.15	-92.06	<.0001*
Hydrodynamic	807	3.80	0.00	0.00	2	4,462	4,476	1.3	0.26	-13.88	0.15	-95.00	<.0001**
Emersion level	807	3.69	0.06	0.06	2 Environ	4,412 nmental c	4,427	52.2	<.0001**	-14.05	0.13	-107.6	<.0001**
Temperature	802	3.70	0.01	0.01	2	4,390	4,404	5.4	0.0207*	-16.11	0.96	-16.78	<.0001*
рН	807	3.73	0.04	0.04	2	4,430	4,444	33.4	<.0001**	-32.45	3.21	-10.13	<.0001**
Salinity	806	3.80	0.00	0.00	2	4,456	4,470	0.9	0.34	-15.77	1.91	-8.27	<.0001**

^{*}p<0.05, **p<0.0001

Table 5. Summary of the estimated regression coefficients for each multivariate linear regression analyses and on their constant of fitted regression models performed in individuals binned by genus. Estimated regression coefficients include degrees of freedom for the error (DFE), root-mean-square error (RMSE), coefficients of determination (R²) and the adjusted R² statistics, Mallow's Cp criterion (Cp), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) minimum, F Ratio test, and p-value for the test (Prob > F). Model information includes value of the constant a (δ^{13} C, ‰), standard error (SE), t ratio and Prob > |t| (values * are significant).

	Estimated regression coefficients										Model constant (a)				
		Adjust						Prob >	$\delta^{13}C$		•	Prob >			
Independent variables	DFE	RMSE	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^2	Ср	AICc	BIC	F ratio	F	(‰)	SE	t ratio	t		
Coastal sector	652	2.78	0.57	0.47	157	4,169	4,834	20.0	<.0001*	-17.52	0.64	-27.24	<.0001*		
Substrate	711	2.90	0.49	0.42	98	4,140	4,577	0.4	0.52	-16.35	0.62	-26.20	<.0001*		
Hydrodynamic	714	2.87	0.50	0.43	95	4,120	4,545	0.1	0.78	-16.53	0.64	-25.95	<.0001*		
Emersion level	713	2.77	0.53	0.47	96	4,060	4,489	153.0	<.0001*	-16.65	0.60	-27.85	<.0001*		
Temperature	695	2.81	0.50	0.43	109	4,083	4,564	98.4	<.0001*	-14.60	0.92	-15.91	<.0001*		
Temperature ranges	686	2.87	0.49	0.40	118	4,128	4,645	97.7	<.0001*	-12.91	0.40	-31.97	<.0001*		
рН	701	2.86	0.51	0.43	108	4,134	4,611	156.6	<.0001*	-28.57	2.69	-10.64	<.0001*		

pH ranges	697	2.67	0.57	0.51	112	4,028	4,522	152.2 <.0001*	-16.39	0.58	-28.05	<.0001*
Salinity	697	2.89	0.50	0.42	111	4,151	4,640	162.2 <.0001*	-17.75	1.63	-10.88	<.0001*
Salinity ranges	721	2.91	0.47	0.41	86	4,117	4,504	167.8 <.0001*	-17.64	0.74	-23.68	<.0001*

Table 6. Summary of the estimated regression coefficients for each multivariate linear regression analyses and on their constant of fitted regression models performed in individuals binned by coastline sector and genus. Estimated regression coefficients include degrees of freedom for the error (DFE), root-mean-square error (RMSE), coefficients of determination (R²) and the adjusted R² statistics, Mallow's Cp criterion (Cp), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) minimum, F Ratio test, and p-value for the test (Prob > F). Model information includes value of the constant a (δ^{13} C, ‰), standard error (SE), t ratio and Prob > |t| (values * are significant).

	Estimated regression coefficients									N	Model c	onstant (a	a)
				Adjust	Ü				Prob >	$\delta^{13}C$		`	Prob >
Independent variables	DFE	RMSE	R ²	R ²	Ср	AICc	BIC	F ratio	F	(‰)	SE	t ratio	<u> t </u>
Substrate	590	2.76	0.62	0.47	219	4,287	5,155	15.8	<.0001*	-17.08	0.66	-25.72	<.0001*
Hydrodynamic	592	2.73	0.62	0.49	217	4,266	5,128	18.6	<.0001*	-17.18	0.67	-25.70	<.0001*
Protection level	590	2.75	0.62	0.48	219	4,285	5,153	20.0	<.0001*	-17.51	0.64	-27.22	<.0001*
Emersion level	603	2.69	0.63	0.50	206	4,217	5,045	18.6	<.0001*	-17.47	0.64	-27.49	<.0001*
Temperature ranges	569	2.74	0.61	0.46	235	4,293	5,202	28.0	<.0001*	-13.73	0.45	-30.32	<.0001*
pH ranges	580	2.50	0.69	0.57	229	4,155	5,051	9.7	0.0019*	-16.88	0.62	-27.15	<.0001*
Salinity ranges	631	2.76	0.58	0.47	176	4,183	4,913	21.2	<.0001*	-18.30	0.79	-23.05	<.0001*

Table 7. Summary of the estimated regression coefficients for each multivariate linear regression analyses and on their constant of fitted regression models performed in individuals binned in coastline sector, habitats features, environmental conditions, and Physiological performed separately by morpho-functional groups and genus. Estimated regression coefficients include degrees of freedom for the error (DFE), root-mean-square error (RMSE), coefficients of determination (R^2) and the adjusted R^2 statistics, Mallow's Cp criterion (Cp), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) minimum, F Ratio test, and p-value for the test (Prob > F). Model information includes value of the constant a (δ^{13} C, ‰), standard error (SE), t ratio and Prob > |t| (values * are significant).

	Estimated regression coefficients									I	Model c	onstant (a)
				Adjust					Prob >	$\delta^{13}C$			Prob >
Full model	DFE	RMSE	R ²	R ²	Cp	AICc	BIC	F ratio	F	(‰)	SE	t ratio	t
Coastline sector + Habita	ts featur	es + Morp	hofunct	ional gro	oup								
I-Morpho-functional	593	2.79	0.60	0.46	216	4,301	5,160	20.8	<.0001*	-13.49	0.57	-23.52	<.0001*
Coastline sector + Enviro	nmental	conditions	s + Mor	phofunc	tional	group							
II-Morpho-functional	680	2.90	0.51	0.42	129	4,189	4,750	25.1	<.0001*	-13.42	0.54	-24.74	<.0001*
Coastline sector + Habita	t feature	s+ Genus											
I-Genus	482	2.66	0.71	0.51	327	4,565	5,655	15.8	<.0001*	-16.93	0.73	-23.27	<.0001*
Coastline sector + Enviro	nmental	conditions	s + Gen	us									
II-Genus	494	2.49	0.72	0.55	310	4,374	5,438	14.8	0.0001*	-13.55	0.64	-21.17	<.0001*

Table 8. Constant of fitted regression model explaining the $\delta^{13}C$ variability by morpho-functional groups. Model information includes value of the constant a ($\delta^{13}C$, ‰), standard error (SE), t ratio and Prob > |t|. Only morpho-functional groups with significant effects are enlisted.

Term	Estimated	SE	Razón t Prob > t
Model constant	-14.2	0.4	-40.80 <.0001**
R-Smaller-sized articulated corallines	4.5	1.7	2.58 0.0100*
O-Compressed with branched or divided thallus	1.2	0.5	2.66 0.0079*
C-Erect thallus	1.8	0.6	2.84 0.0046*
R-Larger-sized articulated corallines	6.3	0.8	7.95 <.0001*
O-Hollow with spherical or subspherical shape	5.0	0.5	10.51 <.0001*
R-Blade-like with one of few layers of cells	-5.9	3.0	-1.98 0.0476*
C-Tubular	-1.6	0.5	-3.26 0.0012**
R-Filamentous uni&pluriseriate with erect thallus	-2.2	0.6	-3.92 <.0001*
R-Flattened macrophytes with cortication	-8.9	1.3	-7.10 <.0001*

Table 9. Constant of fitted regression model explaining the δ¹³C variability by genus. Model
 information includes value of the constant a (δ¹³C, ‰), standard error (SE), t ratio and Prob > |t|.
 Only genus with significant effects are enlisted.

	δ ¹³ C, ‰			
Term	estimated	SE	t value	Prob > t
Model constant	-14.7	0.2	-62.64	<.0001**
Amphiroa	6.8	0.8	9.05	<.0001**
Codium	2.3	0.6	4.08	<.0001**
Colpomenia	5.4	0.4	14.02	<.0001*
Corallina	6.4	2.9	2.22	0.0269*
Gracilaria	-0.9	0.4	-2.18	0.0294*
Hydroclathrus	7.3	1.1	6.59	<.0001**
Jania	5	1.7	2.97	0.0031*
Padina	2.2	0.5	4.8	<.0001**
Polysiphonia	-3.7	0.8	-4.82	<.0001**
Schizymenia	-19.1	2.1	-9.33	<.0001**
Spyridia	-1.5	0.7	-2.10	0.0361*
Struveopsis	4.1	1.3	3.15	0.0017*
Tacanoosca	3.5	1.3	2.71	0.0070*

^{*}p<0.05, **p<0.001

Table 10. Constant of fitted regression model explaining the δ¹³C variability by species. Model
 information includes value of the constant a (δ¹³C, ‰), standard error (SE), t ratio and Prob > |t|.
 Only genus with significant effects are enlisted.

Term	δ ¹³ C, ‰ estimated	SE	t value	Prob > t
101111	CStimated	<u>DL</u>	t varae	1100 [6]
Model constant	-14.6	0.2	-93.22	<.0001**
Amphiroa misakiensis	7.1	2.8	2.55	0.0110*
Amphiroa sp.	8.1	0.9	8.67	<.0001**
Amphiroa sp.2	6.6	1.6	4.1	<.0001**
Amphiroa sp.3	8.2	2.8	2.95	0.0033**
Caulerpa peltata	3.9	1.6	2.4	0.0165*
Cladophora microcladioides	-7.2	2	-3.64	0.0003**
Codium brandegeei	2.8	1.1	2.63	0.0088**
Codium simulans	3.2	0.9	3.41	0.0007**
Codium sp.	3	1.3	2.4	0.0167*
Colpomenia ramosa	3.2	1.4	2.27	0.0237*
Colpomenia sinuosa	4.4	1.1	4.17	<.0001**
Colpomenia sp.	3.6	0.9	4.27	<.0001**
Colpomenia tuberculata	5.9	0.4	15.45	<.0001**
Corallina vancouverensis	6.3	2.8	2.27	0.0238*
Grateloupia filicina	-2.4	1.1	-2.08	0.0382*
Halymenia actinophysa	-9.9	2.8	-3.57	0.0004**

Hydroclathrus clathratus	7.2	1.1	6.82 <.0001**
Hypnea johnstonii	3.4	1.3	2.74 0.0063**
Hypnea pannosa	2.8	1.3	2.24 0.0256*
Jania sp.	5	2	2.56 0.0106*
Padina durvillei	1.4	0.5	2.87 0.0043**
Padina sp.	3.5	0.7	4.77 <.0001**
Polysiphonia mollis	-5.2	1.1	-4.93 <.0001**
Polysiphonia sp.	-4.8	1.4	-3.44 0.0006**
Pyropia thuretii	-5.5	2.8	-1.98 0.0480*
Rhizoclonium riparium	-5.1	1.6	-3.15 0.0017**
Rhodymenia sp.	-4.1	2	-2.08 0.0380*
Schizymenia pacifica	-19.2	2	-9.76 <.0001**
Spyrida sp.	-2.5	1.3	-1.97 0.0496*
Struveopsis sp.	4	1.4	2.86 0.0044**
Tacanoosca uncinata	3.4	1.3	2.74 0.0062**
Ulva acanthophora	-1.2	0.6	-2.06 0.0399*
Ulva compressa	-3.2	1.4	-2.33 0.0203*

1341 *p<0.05, **p<0.001