Neodymium budget in the Mediterranean Sea: Evaluating the role of atmospheric dusts using a high-resolution dynamical-biogeochemical model
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Abstract. The relative importance of river solid discharge, deposited sediment remobilisation and atmospheric dust as sources of neodymium (Nd) to the ocean is the subject of ongoing debate, the magnitudes of these fluxes being associated with a significant uncertainty. The Mediterranean basin is a specific basin; it receives a vast amount of emissions from different sources and is surrounded by continental margins, with a significant input of dust as compared to the global ocean. Furthermore, it is largely impacted by the Atlantic water inflow via the Strait of Gibraltar. Here, we present the first simulation of dissolved Nd concentration ([Nd]) and Nd isotopic composition (εNd) in the Mediterranean Sea using a high-resolution regional model (NEMO/MED12/PISCES) with an explicit representation of all Nd inputs, and the internal cycle, i.e. the interactions between the particulate and dissolved phases. The high resolution of the oceanic model (at 1/12°), essential to the simulation of a realistic Mediterranean circulation in present-day conditions, gives a unique opportunity to better apprehend the processes governing the Nd distribution in the marine environment. The model succeeds in simulating the main features of εNd and produces a realistic distribution of [Nd] in the Mediterranean Sea. We estimated the boundary exchange (BE, which represents the transfer of elements from the margin to the sea and their removal by scavenging) flux at 89.43 × 10⁶ g(Nd)/yr, representing ~84.4 % of the total external Nd source to the Mediterranean basin. The river discharge provided 3.66 × 10⁶ g(Nd)/yr, or 3.5 % of the total Nd flow into the Mediterranean. The flux of Nd from partially dissolved atmospheric dusts was estimated at 5.2 × 10⁶ g(Nd)/yr, representing 5 % of the total Nd input, and 7.62 × 10⁶ g(Nd)/yr comes from the Atlantic across the Strait of Gibraltar, i.e. 7.1 % of the total Nd input. The total quantity of Nd in Mediterranean Sea was estimated to 7.28 × 10⁹ g(Nd) this leads to a new calculated Nd residence time of ~ 68 year. This work highlights that the impact of river discharge on [Nd] is localized near the catchments of the main rivers. In contrast, the atmospheric dust input has a basin-wide influence, correcting for a too radiogenic εNd when only the BE input is considered, and improving the agreement of simulated dissolved Nd concentration with field data. This work also suggests that εNd is sensitive to the spatial distribution of Nd in the atmospheric dust, and that the parametrisation of the vertical cycling (scavenging/remineralisation) considerably constrains the ability of the model to simulate the vertical profile of εNd.
1 Introduction

The Nd isotopic composition (εNd) is one of the most useful tracers to fingerprint water mass provenance (see Tachikawa et al. 2017, for a review). Substantial progress has been made during the last few decades in our knowledge of processes/mechanisms controlling the Nd oceanic cycle, through coordinated high-quality sampling and measurements (e.g. GEOTRACES program) and modeling efforts (e.g., Tachikawa et al., 2003; Arsouze et al., 2007; Siddall et al., 2008; Arsouze et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2008; Rempfer et al., 2011). However, the use of εNd as a water mass tracer is hampered by the lack of adequate quantification of the external sources, including inputs from river discharge, atmospheric dusts, benthic fluxes, submarine ground water discharge, hydrothermal sources, and exchange with the sediments at the continental margins (Fig. 1) (e.g., Goldstein and O’nions, 1981; Piepgras and Wasserburg, 1987; Frank, 2002; Goldstein and Hemming, 2003; Lacan and Jeandel, 2005; Johannesson and Burdige, 2007; Abbott et al., 2015; Morrison et al., 2019; Pöppelmeier et al., 2019).

The Mediterranean basin provides an excellent opportunity to improve our understanding of the Nd oceanic cycle and further develop the existing modeling approach. The Mediterranean Sea is a semi-enclosed basin with a relatively short water residence time (∼ 100 years; Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005). The Mediterranean is a concentration basin in which evaporation exceeds precipitation and river runoff. Warmer, fresher water enters at the surface from the Atlantic (Atlantic water – AW) through Gibraltar and colder saline water leaves below. Spreading at intermediate depths throughout the Mediterranean Sea (150-700 m, Pinardi and Masetti, 2000), the Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) represents one of the main water masses of the Mediterranean Sea. The LIW participates in the deep convection processes of the western Mediterranean deep water (WMDW) occurring in the Gulf of Lion and in the Adriatic sub-basin for the eastern Mediterranean deep water (EMDW) (Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005). The Mediterranean basins is strongly connected to continental margins, receiving vast amounts of inputs from various sources with a coastline of more than 45 000 km and significant freshwater inputs compared with the open ocean (Ludwig et al., 2009; Ayache et al., 2020). Many studies have shown that dust deposition from the Sahara and Middle East is a significant source of dissolved trace elements to the upper layers of the Mediterranean Sea (e.g., Dulac et al., 1989; Guieu et al., 2002; Richon et al., 2018). The impacts of dust deposition on the Nd distribution are not fully understood and may change in the future as a result of the effects of climate change on land and sea (e.g., Peñuelas et al., 2013). The vertical profile of dissolved Nd in the Mediterranean Sea is atypical, with a high concentration in the surface water that suggests a significant impact of external sources. Thus, the Mediterranean Sea is ideal to examine the influence of external sources of Nd versus that of the internal cycle (i.e. scavenging/remineralisation). Recently, the Meteor and MedBlack/GEOTRACES projects have led to a large increase in the number of observations of Nd in the Mediterranean basin (Tachikawa et al., 2004; Garcia-Solsona and Jeandel, 2020; Montagna et al., 2022). These authors have shown that seawater εNd values behave overall conservatively in the open Mediterranean Sea and confirmed that water masses are distinguishable by their Nd isotope signature (Tachikawa et al., 2004; Montagna et al., 2022). This data set provides a unique opportunity to test models describing the cycling of Nd in the Mediterranean Sea. Modeling represents an interesting approach to investigate the impact of external inputs on the oceanic Nd
cycle, and we dispose of a high spatial resolution regional model (NEMO-MED12), essential to the simulation of a realistic Mediterranean Sea circulation.

Many modeling studies contributed to improve our understanding of the Nd oceanic cycle. Arsouze et al. (2007) highlighted the importance of boundary exchange (BE) as a source/sink of Nd; however, in their simplified preliminary study, they neglected the Nd inputs from river and atmospheric dust. Jones et al. (2008) used in-situ observations to prescribe surface εNd (i.e., they considered no external inputs) and εNd as a quasi-conservative of mixing on a global oceanic scale. Siddall et al. (2008) explicitly simulated the [Nd] and εNd using a reversible-scavenging model and fixed surface boundary conditions. They concluded that reversible scavenging is an active and important component in the cycling of Nd and should be considered a necessary component in explaining the Nd paradox. Arsouze et al. (2009) simulated [Nd] and εNd simultaneously using a fully coupled dynamical/biogeochemical model and a reversible scavenging model. They also explicitly represented the BE, river, and dust deposition Nd sources. Their study confirmed that sediment dissolution is the main Nd source to the oceanic reservoir, representing 95% of the total Nd source, with the associated boundary scavenging process representing up to 64% of the total Nd sink. In this global study, river discharge (2.6 × 10^8 g(Nd)/yr) and dust atmospheric inputs (1.0 × 10^8 g(Nd)/yr) are significantly lower than the Nd BE inputs. Using a similar approach, Gu et al. (2019) assessed the response of the Nd cycle to freshwater forcing. Ayache et al. (2021) used the simplified version of the εNd simulation proposed by Arsouze et al., (2007) to investigate with idealized hosing experiments in the IPSL-CM5 model the link between the intensification of the upper AMOC (Atlantic meridional overturning circulation) and the Mediterranean overflow explores the impact of drastic changes in Mediterranean thermohaline circulation on the North Atlantic Circulation, using the simplified version of the εNd modelling approach (Arsouze et al. 2007) with idealized hosing experiments implemented in the IPSL-CM5 model. Pöppelmeier et al. (2020) used the Nd-enabled Bern3D model, and included a parametrization of the benthic Nd source extended over all water depths. This study suggested that the contributions of the Nd sources are ~60% boundary/benthic source, ~32% riverine source, and ~9% dust; however, the coarse resolution of this model limits its ability to sufficiently resolve the processes affecting the Nd oceanic cycle. Later, the same authors have investigate the evolution of the AMOC as it responds to freshwater perturbations under improved LGM boundary conditions in the Bern3D intermediate complexity model (Pöppelmeier et al., 2021). Pasquier et al. (2022) presented the first inverse model of the global marine biogeochemical cycle of Nd using the Global Neodymium Ocean Model (GNOM) v1.0. This relatively simple model compares well to previous models, however the GNOM model does not represent scavenging by calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) which could have a very important impact on the vertical cycle of Nd.

A large proportion of BE is thought to occur predominantly within estuarine sediments and on continental margins (Rousseau et al., 2015). Thus, the dissolution of only a small proportion (1–3 %) of particulate material and bottom sediments deposited on continental margins can have a large impact on marine Nd budgets and cycling (Jeandel and Oelkers, 2015). Arsouze et al. (2007) suggested that the BE rate is poorly sensitive to the lithology of the margin sediments (e.g. granitic vs. basaltic). Contrastingly, it is suspected to be enhanced when the local dynamic is intensified, which is the case at the land-ocean contact

---

1Nd paradox: define decoupling of εNd [Nd] in the water column, i.e. εNd behave quasi-conservatively, while [Nd] in the water column generally increase with depth, showing a broadly nutrient-like behavior (Tachikawa et al., 2003; Goldstein and Hemming, 2003; Lacan and Jeandel, 2005)
and in the upper layers. Nevertheless, the magnitudes and variations of Nd fluxes related to the partial dissolution of river particles and atmospheric dust still bear a significant uncertainty because the estimated dissolution rates of Nd from dust vary from 2 to 50% (Tachikawa et al., 1999; Greaves et al., 1994; van de Flierdt et al., 2004). Nd concentration in the river discharge is generally prescribed in modelling experiments using a subtraction percentage of dissolved Nd, which varies from 30% to 70% (Rempfer et al., 2011; Gu et al., 2019; Arouze et al., 2009; Nozaki and Zhang, 1995; Sholkovitz et al., 1994; Elderfield et al., 1990).

The Nd influx brought by the Atlantic inflow through the Strait of Gibraltar is smaller than the Nd outflux exiting with the Mediterranean outflow (16 pmol/kg, 23 pmol/kg respectively), and the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ value of the Mediterranean outflow is higher than that of Atlantic inflow water (-9.4, -11.8 respectively, Tachikawa et al., 2004; Henry et al., 1994, Greaves et al., 1991; Spivack and Wasserburg, 1988). Thus, a source of radiogenic Nd in the Mediterranean Sea is required to balance these fluxes. The first Nd budget for the Mediterranean Sea was proposed by Frost et al. (1986) and Spivack and Wasserburg (1988). These authors suggested that the additional Nd source could be river particles and/or dust particles. Greaves et al. (1991), using the Rare Earth Elements (REE) patterns of seawater, argued that the missing source might rather be of marine origin. Schijf et al. (1991) proposed that the Black Sea was a net source to the Mediterranean Sea. Based on a two-box model, Henry et al. (1994) highlighted that the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the North West deep waters required an exchange involving 30 ± 20% of the sinking particles of atmospheric origin. More recently, Tachikawa et al. (2004) proposed that the missing term could be partially dissolved Nile river particles. Montagna et al. (2022) suggest that the relative importance of dust in modifying the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ signature of surface waters in the Mediterranean Sea is minor, and they associate the very radiogenic $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ signature in the Leventine sub-basin to the dispersion of Nile river particles in the surface layer. However, the Nile water discharge was drastically reduced after the construction of the Aswan High Dam in 1964. Furthermore, the few existing estimations of Nd in atmospheric dusts are based on local observations that are not necessarily representative of the whole basin. River inputs and water exchange with the Black sea (via the Dardanelles strait) are still not fully constrained as a whole.

Ayache et al. (2016) proposed the first simulation of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ using a regional high-resolution dynamical model (at 1/12° of horizontal resolution) of the Mediterranean including only BE, and using a relaxing term applied to the first 540 m of the continental margin. Their work confirms previous findings that boundary exchange is a major process in the Nd oceanic cycle, even at the regional scale and in a semi-enclosed basin such as the Mediterranean basin. Nevertheless, this simplified approach simulated too radiogenic $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values compared to the modern Mediterranean Sea waters values, and did not represent the Nd inputs from river and atmospheric dust. In the present study, we extend this Nd cycle modeling effort in the Mediterranean Sea by simulating both $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and the Nd concentration following the protocol proposed by Arrouze et al. (2009) for the global ocean. We use a high-resolution regional model with an explicit representation of all Nd sources (i.e. margin sediment re-dissolution, dissolved river fluxes, and atmospheric dusts) and sinks (i.e. scavenging). Vertical cycling is simulated using a reversible scavenging model developed for the simulation of trace elements using the biogeochemical circulation model NEMO–PISCES (Dutay et al., 2009; Arrouze et al., 2009; Hulten et al., 2018). We performed several sensitivity tests to better understand how the internal cycle (scavenging/remineralisation) and the various external sources affect the Nd cycle in the Mediterranean Sea, and particularly assess how it is impacted by atmospheric conditions.
inputs in this region, where desert dust deposition events are more frequent affecting a large spatial domain compared to the global ocean.

2 Methods

2.1 Circulation via NEMO-MED12 model

The dynamical model used in this work is the NEMO (Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean) free surface ocean general circulation model (Madec and NEMO-Team., 2008) in a regional high-resolution configuration (at 1/12° = ∼ 7 km) called NEMO-MED12 (Beuvier et al., 2012a). The NEMO-MED12 domain covers the whole Mediterranean Sea and includes part of the Atlantic Ocean west of Gibraltar (buffer zone) from 30-47°N in latitude and from 11°W-36°E in longitude, where temperature and salinity (3-D fields) are relaxed to the observed climatology (Beuvier et al., 2012b). Water exchange with the Black Sea is represented as a two-layer flow with net budget estimates from Stanev and Peneva (2002).

The NEMO-MED12 model is forced at the surface by the momentum, evaporation and heat fluxes over the period 1958–2013 from the ARPERA model (Herrmann and Somot, 2008; Herrmann et al., 2010). The sea-surface temperature (SST) and water-flux correction term are applied using ERA-40 (Beuvier et al., 2012b). River and runoff discharge are derived from the model of Ludwig et al. (2009) and the inter-annual data set of Vörösmarty et al. (1996). The initial conditions (salinity and temperature) are provided by the MedAtlas-II (MEDAR-MedAtlas-group, 2002; Rixen et al., 2005). The initial state in the buffer zone is prescribed from the World Ocean Atlas 2005 (Locarnini et al., 2006; Antonov et al., 2006). The sea-surface height (SSH) is restored in the buffer zone toward the GLORYS1 reanalysis (Ferry et al., 2010) in order to conserve the total volume of water in the Mediterranean Sea.

The NEMO-MED12 model has been used previously for many oceanic investigations in the Mediterranean Sea (e.g., Brossier et al., 2011; Beuvier et al., 2012b; Soto-Navarro et al., 2014; Ayache et al., 2015a, b, 2016, 2017; Palmiéri et al., 2015; Guynennon et al., 2015; Richon et al., 2018). The NEMO-MED12 model simulates the main structures of the thermo-haline circulation of the Mediterranean Sea, with mechanisms having a realistic timescale compared to observations (Ayache et al., 2015a). However, some aspects of the model still need to be improved: for instance, the too weak formation of Adriatic Deep Water (AdDW) as shown by Ayache et al. (2015a) using anthropogenic tritium simulations. In the western basin, the production of WMDW is well reproduced, but the spreading of the recently ventilated deep water to the south of the basin is too weak (Ayache et al., 2015a). Full details of the model and its parametrizations are described by Beuvier et al. (2012a, b); Palmiéri et al. (2015); Ayache et al. (2015a).

2.2 Particle dynamics via PISCES model

The biogeochemical model PISCES (Aumont and Bopp, 2006; Aumont et al., 2015) is coupled to the regional physical model NEMO-MED12 (Palmiéri, 2014; Richon et al., 2018). PISCES simulates the biogeochemical cycles of carbon, oxygen and five nutrients (nitrates, phosphates, ammonium, silicates and iron) that can limit phytoplankton growth. It explicitly simulates
two trophic levels: phytoplankton groups (nanophytoplankton and diatoms) and zooplankton groups (microzooplankton and mesozooplankton). PISCES is a Redfieldian model where the C/N/P ratio used for plankton growth is fixed to 122/16/1. PISCES is a Redfieldian model where the C:N:P ratio used for plankton growth is fixed to 122:16:1.

There are three non-living compartments simulated by PISCES: dissolved organic carbon (DOC), large particles and small particles, the latter two differing by their sinking velocities. The large particle pool includes: particulate organic carbon with a diameter larger than 100 $\mu$m (POC$_b$), biogenic silica (BSi), carbonate (CaCO$_3$) and lithogenic particles (atmospheric dust), sinking with a velocity of 50 m/day. Small particles consist of particulate organic carbon between 2 and 100 $\mu$m in size (POC$_s$) and a sinking velocity of 3 m/day. The small particle pool represents the principal stock of particles at the surface (Dutay et al., 2009). The content of the particulate pools is controlled by mineralization, mortality, grazing, and the two POC classes interact via the processes of disaggregation and aggregation (see Aumont and Bopp, 2006 and Dutay et al., 2009). We use PISCES in its offline mode, where biogeochemical tracers are transported using an advection–diffusion scheme driven by dynamical variables (velocities, pressure, mixing coefficients) previously calculated by the oceanic model NEMO-MED12 (Palmiéri et al., 2015).

2.3 The reversible scavenging model

The observation indicate that Nd concentrations generally increase in the ocean with depth (Baar et al., 1985) as a consequence of a continuous and reversible exchange between the particulate and dissolved phases (Nozaki and Alibo, 2003). This process is called the reversible scavenging, i.e. isotope adsorption into onto sinking particles in the surface and is redissolved at depth. The equilibrium scavenging approach is commonly used in Nd and Pa/Th modelling (Siddall et al., 2005; Dutay et al., 2009; Arsouze et al., 2009; Gu and Liu, 2017; Hulten et al., 2018). It allows the model to use partition coefficients that can be directly constrained by observations (although consensus values for these coefficients are still not available). This approach considers that the partition between dissolved and particulate phase is in equilibrium, as suggested by observations (e.g., Roy-Barman et al., 1996), and their relative contribution is set using an equilibrium partition coefficient $K$, defined as:

$$K = \frac{Nd_p}{Nd_dC_p}$$

where $C_p$ is the mass of particles per mass of water. This coefficient $K$ is defined for each type of particles represented in the model: big (POC$_b$) and small (POC$_s$) Particulate Organic Carbon, calcite (CaCO$_3$), Biogenic Silica (BSi), and lithogenic atmospheric dust (litho). Following Arsouze et al. (2009) we simulate the two $^{144}$Nd and $^{143}$Nd isotopes independently (simulated as two tracers) then we calculate the total Nd concentration and $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ as a diagnostic parameters in the model. In-situ observation do not suggest any fractionation between the two isotopes of Nd (i.e. $^{144}$Nd and $^{143}$Nd), and their masses are quite similar (Dahlqvist et al., 2005). Hence, partition coefficients ($K$) are assumed as being identical for the two isotopes for each particle type (Arsouze et al., 2009).

The total concentration (Nd$_T$), defined as the sum of large (Nd$_{pg}$: POC$_b$, CaCO$_3$, BSi, litho), small (Nd$_{ps}$: POC$_s$) particulate concentration, and dissolved concentration (Nd$_d$).
\[ N_{dT} = N_{d_{ps}} + N_{d_{pb}} + N_{d_d} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Applying Eq. (1) to the particulate pools in Eq. (2) to express total concentration as a function of dissolved Nd concentration, we obtain:

\[ N_{dT} = (K_{POCs} \cdot C_{POCs} + K_{POCb} \cdot C_{POCb} + K_{BSi} \cdot C_{BSi} + K_{CaCO3} \cdot C_{CaCO3} + K_{litho} \cdot C_{litho} + 1) \cdot N_{d_d} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

From that we can calculate the Nd in small particulate concentration by (equation 4):

\[ N_{d_{ps}} = \frac{K_{POCs} \cdot C_{POCs}}{K_{POCs} \cdot C_{POCs} + K_{POCb} \cdot C_{POCb} + K_{BSi} \cdot C_{BSi} + K_{CaCO3} \cdot C_{CaCO3} + K_{litho} \cdot C_{litho} + 1} \cdot N_{dT} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

the Nd in large particulate concentration by (equation 5):

\[ N_{d_{pb}} = \frac{K_{POCb} \cdot C_{POCb} + K_{BSi} \cdot C_{BSi} + K_{CaCO3} \cdot C_{CaCO3} + K_{litho} \cdot C_{litho}}{K_{POCs} \cdot C_{POCs} + K_{POCb} \cdot C_{POCb} + K_{BSi} \cdot C_{BSi} + K_{CaCO3} \cdot C_{CaCO3} + K_{litho} \cdot C_{litho} + 1} \cdot N_{dT} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

This approach allows us to define the [Nd] in large and small particles as a function of the total Nd concentration (\(N_{dT}\)) and the partition coefficients (K). This method confers a great advantage in that only the two isotope of Nd (\(^{144}\text{Nd}\) and \(^{143}\text{Nd}\)) are transported by the model, rather than concentration in every phase (all large particles, small particles and dissolved phase, \(i.e.\) 12 tracers), which implies a substantial gain of computational cost.

The evolution of the simulated total Nd concentration (\(N_{dT}\)) is equal to the sum of all sources of Nd, impact of vertical cycling (equation 6) and the three-dimensional advection and diffusion (\(i.e.\) physical transport).

\[
\frac{\delta N_{dT}}{\delta t} = \left( \frac{\delta (S(N_{dT}))}{\delta z} \right) + \left( \frac{\delta (\omega_{s} N_{d_{ps}})}{\delta z} \right) + \left( \frac{\delta (\omega_{b} N_{d_{pb}})}{\delta z} \right) - U \cdot \nabla N_{dT} + \nabla \cdot (K \nabla N_{dT}) \hspace{1cm} (3-D \text{ advection and diffusion})
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \(S(N_{dT})\) represents the Source term of the Nd in the model (\(c.f.\) Sect. 2.4).

The vertical cycling represents the scavenging of Nd by the large and small particles (\(\omega_{s}\) and \(\omega_{b}\) are the sinking velocities of small and large particles, respectively, \(c.f.\) Table 1). Moreover the simulations are performed in off-line mode using the pre-computed transport fields and particles fields (POC\(_{s}\), POC\(_{b}\), CaCO\(_{3}\) and BSi) at the monthly time scale. This method requires considerably lower computational cost which allowed to run a relatively long simulation with a high resolution regional model, and to perform some sensitivity tests on Nd values in atmospheric dusts and the values of the partition coefficients.
2.4 External inputs and boundary conditions of Nd

Our main goal is to tackle the issue of the Nd inputs to the Mediterranean Sea, and to contribute to the active debate exposed in section 1. To do so, we first used the map of published [Nd] and εNd for the whole Mediterranean basin, based on various types of samples: river discharge, sedimentary material, and/or geological material outcropping above or close to a margin established by Ayache et al. (2016). There is an ongoing debate on the Nd inputs to the ocean (see Sec. 1). Ayache et al., (2016) established a new map of published [Nd] and εNd for the whole Mediterranean basin, based on various types of samples: river discharge, sedimentary material, and/or geological material outcropping above or close to a margin. We therefore use this database to explicitly represent the various sources of Nd in the Mediterranean Sea.

The BE source is implemented in the model as the Nd input from sediment remobilization following the parametrization proposed by Arsouze et al. (2009). This source is imposed in the model as an input flux \( S(NdT)_{sed} \), cf. equation 7) for each grid point of the continental shelf:

\[
S(NdT)_{sed} = \int_{S} F_{sed} \times mask_{margin}
\]

where \( F_{sed} \) is the source flux of sedimentary Nd to the ocean and \( mask_{margin} \) is the percentage of continental margin in the grid box and represents the proportion of the surface in the grid where the BE process occurs. We computed \( F_{sed} \) for both \(^{144}\)Nd and \(^{143}\)Nd by using the Nd concentration and the isotopic composition along the margin presented in figure 2 (Fig. 2a and 2b, see Sect. 1). The oceanic margin extension of the Mediterranean Sea has been chosen to be between 0 and \( \sim 540 \) m following the margin definition used to model the biogeochemical cycles in the Mediterranean Sea by Palmié (2014). To date, there is no estimation of the Nd flux from the sediment (i.e., the Boundary Source) in the Mediterranean Sea. Based on our modeling approach, we estimate the BE flux at \( 89.43 \times 10^6 \) g(Nd)/yr for the whole Mediterranean basin (as presented above, see Table 2). To date, there was no estimate of the Nd flux from the sediment (i.e., the Boundary Source) in the Mediterranean Sea so far. Based on our modeling approach, we estimate an input resulting from BE processes at \( 89.43 \times 10^6 \) g(Nd)/yr for the whole Mediterranean basin (as presented above, see Table 2). Investigating the role of the variability of the lithology of margin sediments would require more laboratory experiments, targeted on the nature and reactivity of the sediments. Hence, we assume the sediment flux as geographically constant with a uniform dissolution rate as first approximation after many sensitivity simulations on the representation of this flux, the same assumptions were used in other modelling study (e.g., Arsouze et al., 2009).

We compared the compilation of [Nd] (Fig. 2a) and εNd (Fig. 2b) along the Mediterranean continental margin proposed by Ayache et al. (2016) with the new global database of Nd provided by Blanchet (2019) and the recent update of global continental and marine Nd by Robinson et al. (2021). Margin Nd isotopic signatures vary from radiogenic values (up to +6) in the Aegean and Levantine sub-basins to non-radiogenic values in the Gulf of Lion (\( \sim -11 \)), and [Nd] globally varies from low [Nd] in the western basin (\( \sim 25 \) µg/g) to a higher [Nd] in the southeastern basin (\( \sim 40 \) µg/g). The two maps of εNd and [Nd] provided by Ayache et al. (2016) are in good agreement with the new database (Robinson et al., 2021), except on the Libyan
coast where the new update suggests a less radiogenic $\varepsilon$Nd ($\sim$-13) and a relatively lower [Nd] of $\sim$35 $\mu$g/g (Robinson et al., 2021).

In addition to the sediment remobilization source, we implemented the Nd inputs from river/runoff discharge and atmospheric dusts deposition in surface waters as follows:

$$S(Nd_T)_{surf} = \int_s F_{surf}$$

where $F_{surf}$ is the Nd flux from river discharge and atmospheric dusts (in g(Nd)/m2/yr) as presented in Fig. 2.

River discharge is derived from the inter-annual data sets of Ludwig et al. (2009) and Vörösmarty et al. (1996), and we used the runoff estimation provided by the NEMO-MED12 model in Beuvier et al. (2010, 2012b) and Palmiéri et al. (2015). [Nd] (Fig. 2c) and $\varepsilon$Nd (Fig. 2d) in river inputs are from Ayache et al. (2016). The main river systems of the Mediterranean basin are the Nile, Po and Rhone. The Nile river is the largest source of radiogenic Nd to the eastern basin as suggested by Tachikawa et al. (2004). The Rhone river accounts for most of the riverine discharge in the northwestern basin. Based on the runoff estimation of the NEMO-MED12 model, we obtain a dissolved Nd flux from river waters of $3.66 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr (see Table 3).

Atmospheric deposition forcing of dust is provided by the monthly maps from the ALADIN-Climate model (Nabat et al., 2015) used by (Richon et al., 2018) to simulate the impacts of atmospheric deposition of nitrogen and desert dust-derived phosphorus on the biological budgets of the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 2g). $\varepsilon$Nd values were extracted from Scheuvens et al. (2013) and Blanchet (2019). In the areas where no data were available, $\varepsilon$Nd and [Nd] of the atmospheric dust were determined based on the average values estimated by Tachikawa et al. (2004) for African dust and the value for the region of origin of the dusts provided by Scheuvens et al. (2013). The regional distribution of the Nd values shows that these values are relatively high ($\sim$-9.2) in the eastern part of northern Africa (e.g., Egypt), compared with the central and western parts of northern Africa, where Nd ranges from -17.9 to -11.8 (Scheuvens et al., 2013). Atmospheric dust deposits are taken into account as Nd inputs in surface waters (first vertical level). As the Nd solubility is uncertain, we performed many sensitivity test simulations on the dissolution rates of particulate Nd from atmospheric dusts, and on the spatial distribution of Nd concentration and isotopic composition in atmospheric dust (cf. section 2.5).

### 2.5 Simulations and sensitivity tests

The main objective of this study was to identify and quantify the various sources involved in the Nd cycle in the Mediterranean Sea. With this aim, five distinct simulations were performed (SedOnly, SedRiv, SedRivDust, Dust-CST and Dust-EWbasin, Table 2). The SedOnly experiment considered sediment remobilization as the unique source of Nd. The SedRiv simulation considered dissolved river discharge in addition to sediment remobilization. In the SedRivDust simulation, we explicitly represented the three main inputs of Nd (i.e. sediment remobilization, river discharge, and atmospheric dust). In order to explore the sensitivity of simulated Mediterranean water Nd concentration and isotopic composition to the spatial distribution of the atmospheric Nd flux, we performed two more simulations under different dust supplies. In the Dust-CST simulation, the con-
ditions were the same as in SedRivDust except that $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $[\text{Nd}]$ in atmospheric dusts were set constant at -12 and 30 $\mu g/g$, respectively (estimated as the average values of previously published data over the whole Mediterranean basin). In the Dust-EWbasin simulation, we applied constant values of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $[\text{Nd}]$ in each basin (i.e. average value for each basin): $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}= -11$ and $[\text{Nd}]= 31 \mu g/g$ in the eastern basin, and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}= -12.5$ and $[\text{Nd}]= 27.5 \mu g/g$ in the western basin.

Yet, significant uncertainty remains about the dissolution rates of particulate Nd from atmospheric dusts, which are suggested to vary from 2 to 50 % (e.g., Greaves et al., 1994; Tachikawa et al., 1999). More recently, Zhang (2008) estimated that this percentage does not exceed 10%. Arsouze et al. (2009) and Gu et al. (2019) used a ratio of 2 % for the global Nd budget, i.e. only 2 % of Nd brought by aeolian dusts are dissolved by contact with seawater and 98 % sinks directly with the particles to the seafloor. Arsouze et al. (2009) performed sensitivity tests on the dissolution rate of Nd in atmospheric dusts, which did not significantly change the results of the simulation at the global scale. In order to examine the impact of greater dust dissolution on the Nd distribution at the regional scale, we performed an additional simulation in which we increased the Nd dissolution rate in the atmospheric dusts from 2 to 10 % (i.e. the maximum value as suggested by Zhang, 2008).

In the present study, we use equilibrium partition coefficients, "K," from previous modeling studies (Arsouze et al., 2009; Rempfer et al., 2011; Gu et al., 2019). However, the K values of the partition coefficients are still difficult to constrain because of the very limited data are available and because all the modeling studies were made at the global scale. We first used the partition coefficient previously considered in previous Nd modelling studies in the global ocean with the PISCES model, and we performed some sensitivity simulations on the K values (see section 4.3 and Fig. A1 and Fig. A2 in appendix).

3 Results

3.1 Nd concentration

We performed a series of simulations sequentially integrating the various external sources: SedOnly, SedRiv, and SedRivDust. The resulting horizontal distributions of $[\text{Nd}]$ in the surface (0–200 m), intermediate (200–600 m), and deep waters (600–3500 m) are represented in Fig. 3, together with a compilation of in situ observations from Spivack and Wasserburg (1988), Greaves et al. (1991), Tachikawa et al. (2004), Vance et al. (2004), Henry et al. (1994), Dubois-Dauphin et al. (2017), Gacic et al. (2010), and Montagna et al. (2022).

Figure 4 shows the Nd concentrations along a longitudinal transect in both the eastern (EMed) and western (WMed) basins for the three experiments. Without atmospheric dust (SedOnly and SedRiv), the simulated Nd concentrations are globally similar, homogeneous and very low compared to observations in the whole water column (Figs. 3, 4). More particularly, in surface waters, the simulated Nd concentrations are lower than 4 pmol/kg while observations indicate values of $\sim 30$ pmol/kg (Tachikawa et al., 2004). Nd concentration is increasing with depth in these two experiments, however, simulated concentrations only amount to roughly half the observed concentrations in intermediate and deep waters. Adding atmospheric deposition in the SedRivDust experiment considerably enhances Nd concentrations and improves the modeling results. Simulated $[\text{Nd}]$ are increasing in the whole water column, towards levels similar to the observations (Fig. 3g, h, and i). However, the surface layer Nd concentration increase leads to values up to 10 pmol/kg in the western basin and of the order of 25 pmol/kg on aver-
age in the eastern basin (Fig. 4e); these values are more comparable to but still lower than the observations, of 30 pmol/kg on average in the whole basin. Including the atmospheric dust inputs in the SedRivDust experiment also changes drastically the vertical distribution of the tracer. It is particularly well illustrated by the averaged vertical profiles against in-situ observations constructed in the eastern and western basin and the whole Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 5). The consideration of atmospheric dust inputs generates a more realistic vertical profile and produces a Nd concentration maximum in the subsurface layer (200–800 m depth) detected in the observations that was not simulated in the first two experiments. The two experiments, with a constant [Nd] value for the whole basin (Dust-CST) and with constant [Nd] values for each basin (Dust-EWbasin), lead to relatively similar results for [Nd] in the surface water and average [Nd] vertical profiles to those of the SedRivDust experiment, as shown in Fig. 5.

3.2 Isotopic composition

In surface waters, the three experiments generate an E-W gradient in $\varepsilon$Nd, with more radiogenic values in the eastern basin than in the western basin. This is consistent with the observations (Fig. 6). However, the first two simulations, SedOnly and SedRiv, globally overestimate the surface isotopic signatures with unrealistic radiogenic values in the Aegean Sea and around the Egyptian coast. In intermediate and deep waters, modeled Nd isotopic composition values are globally in agreement with the observations in the eastern basin (Figs. 5, 6, and 7) but largely too radiogenic in the western basin. Considering atmospheric deposition (SedRivDust) again significantly improves the results, producing more realistic Nd isotopic signatures in the surface water of the western basin (Fig. 6g).

The SedRivDust model simulates the observed $\varepsilon$Nd east–west gradient characterizing the surface waters (Fig. 6g), with unradiogenic waters from the Atlantic progressively shifting toward more radiogenic values in the Levantine basin (Tachikawa et al., 2004). The extrema in the Aegean sub-basin and along the Egyptian coast that are simulated in SedOnly and SedRiv are reduced toward more realistic values. The modelled isotopic composition now reproduces more correctly the observed E–W gradients in the intermediate and deep waters, which are less pronounced than in the surface water (Figs. 6 and 7). Overall, the average vertical profile of $\varepsilon$Nd simulated in the SedRivDust experiment is more consistent with the observed vertical profile (Fig. 5f) in the surface and intermediate water, especially in the western basin where SeOnly and SedRiv largely overestimate the observations (Fig. 5d). This larger impact in the western basin is due to an input of dust with a low isotopic value in the southwestern basin ($\varepsilon$Nd = ~ -14) while in the eastern basin, the dust input has a value more comparable with in-situ observations ($\varepsilon$Nd = ~ -12 for both). The Nd isotopic composition is largely affected by the $\varepsilon$Nd value in the atmospheric dusts, as shown by the Dust-CST and Dust-EWbasin experiments, which largely underestimate $\varepsilon$Nd in the Mediterranean Sea as compared with in-situ data and the SedRivDust experiment (Fig. 5).

4 Discussion

We simultaneously modeled $\varepsilon$Nd and [Nd] and explicitly represented all sources of Nd in the Mediterranean Sea by using a high-resolution coupled model (NEMO-MED12-PISCES), which includes the transport of Nd both by ocean dynamics and
particle scavenging. This modelling study confronted with observations provided new insights on the impact of the various sources of Nd on the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ distribution and Nd budget in the Mediterranean Sea.

### 4.1 The Nd budget in the Mediterranean Sea

The SedRivDust experiment provided the best agreements between simulation and in-situ observations, and allowed us to derive a global Nd budget in the Mediterranean Sea. In this simulation, the total BE input (the flux of Nd resulting from BE processes) is estimated at $89.43 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr, and represents $\sim$84.44% of the total flux of Nd into the Mediterranean basin. This estimation is relatively low but comparable to the estimation of the net Nd release from the sediment by BE processes at the global scale (96.7%, Arsouze et al., 2009). This result confirms that sediment deposited at the ocean boundaries (i.e. margins) should be considered as a major Nd source to the ocean and must be considered to simulate a realistic Nd oceanic cycle. Dissolved Nd input by rivers amounts to $3.6\times10^6$ g(Nd)/yr, which represents 3.46% of the total Nd input to the Mediterranean Sea (2.3% at the global scale, Arsouze et al., 2009). The atmospheric Nd input simulated here is $5.2 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr, representing 5.3% of the total Nd input. This flux is more than 5 times the global ocean one (0.96% of the total Nd flux, Arsouze et al., 2009). Although significant, the relative contribution of the atmospheric source to the Mediterranean basin remains low compared to the BE input. Yet, it was essential to simulate more realistic Nd concentrations and isotopic compositions in the Mediterranean basin. Finally, $7.62 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr comes from the Atlantic across the Strait of Gibraltar, representing 7% of the total Nd input to the Mediterranean Sea. The residence time of Nd in the Mediterranean Sea was calculated using the sum of flux and the total quantity of Nd simulated in the sea ($\tau=Q_{\text{Nd}}/S_{(\text{Nd_T})}$) for each experiment (see Tab. 2). The residence time of SedRivDust experiment is 68 year which is shorter than the residence time of Mediterranean Sea water (up to $\sim$150 years, Roether et al., 1996), and consistent with the variations of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ between the major oceanic water masses. The simulated Nd concentrations are too low in the surface water of Gibraltar strait (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4), leading to an underestimation of Nd inflow from the Atlantic ocean. Hence, the residence time calculated here could be overestimated, and provides a higher limit of Nd residence time in the Mediterranean Sea.

### 4.2 Evaluation of the impact of the external sources on the Nd Mediterranean Sea cycle

The first simulation, considering only sediment remobilization effects along the continental margin (i.e. boundary source, SedOnly experiment), generates some characteristics of large-scale distribution of [Nd] and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and confirms sediment remobilization as the major source of Nd in the marine environment. It reinforces previous conclusions derived from the global ocean that BE is a major process in the Nd oceanic cycle. Nevertheless, on its own, sediment remobilization leads to a too-radiogenic isotopic Nd signature in the surface and intermediate waters as compared with in-situ observations, as was previously observed by Ayache et al. (2016) and more recently by Vadsaria et al. (2019), both using more simplified modelling approaches. The results of this experiment also generated low and homogeneous Nd concentrations in the surface waters that largely underestimated in-situ observations compared with the in-situ observations. This suggests that this unique source could not control alone the general distribution of [Nd] in the Mediterranean Sea.
Adding the dissolved river discharge in the second experiment (SedRiv) is not significantly affecting the modelling results. The main river systems of the Mediterranean basin (i.e. the Nile, Po and Rhone) are characterized by [Nd] of ∼34 ppm for the Nile, 25.77 ppm for the Rhone and 26.85 ppm for the Po river (Frost et al., 1986). They also display a wide range of Nd isotopic signatures, with an average $\epsilon_{Nd}$ value of -10.2 for the Rhone, and more radiogenic Nd isotopic ratios for the Nile ($\epsilon_{Nd} \sim -4$). The SedRiv experiment generated $\epsilon_{Nd}$ values very close to those of the SedOnly experiment, as the river source has a very similar isotopic signature to its neighboring continental margin. Moreover, the detectable impacts of river discharge on the modeled Nd concentration were limited to the areas near the catchments of the main rivers. This is clearly visible for the surface waters in the vicinity of the Rhone river mouth (not shown). Overall, despite the consideration of the dissolved river input, [Nd] remained low and $\epsilon_{Nd}$ too radiogenic in the surface waters. Moreover, the impact of river discharge on Nd concentration are limited to the areas near the catchment of the main rivers, i.e. the Rhone river where the impact is clear in the surface water (see Fig. A6). Almost all the main rivers presented a significant discharge decreases (Ludwig et al., 2009) as a consequence of massive dam constructions (e.g. Aswan high dam for Nile river). Finely, it is worth noting that the influence of river sediments is implicitly integrated in the BE term.

The Saharan and middle east deserts located south and east of the Mediterranean Sea are sources of intense dust deposition events that affect the whole basin (Guerzoni et al., 1997). The Nd isotopic signatures of aerosols generated by these deserts range from -9.2 in the eastern part of northern Africa to -16 in the central and western parts of northern Africa (Grousset and Biscaye, 2005; Scheuvens et al., 2013). Previous studies suggest that the $\epsilon_{Nd}$ distribution at the near surface largely reflects river and aerosol inputs (Piepgras and Wasserburg, 1987; Jones et al., 2008; Arsouze et al., 2009). Including the atmospheric dust input in the SedRivDust experiment greatly improved our simulation of the Nd Mediterranean cycle, with a more realistic simulation of $\epsilon_{Nd}$ of the main water masses of the Mediterranean Sea, and corrected globally the too-radiogenic bias simulated in the first two experiments (i.e. SedOnly and SedRiv). Even if the Nd isotopic compositions appear relatively too low in the Eastern Basin surface waters, they are more realistic in subsurface and deep-water masses. In addition, including aeolian dust added a significant amount of dissolved Nd to the surface water in all sub-basins, which greatly improved the simulated [Nd] concentrations toward the range of observed values. This increase in surface concentration also allows us to reproduce a more realistic average vertical profile, with a subsurface maximum detected in some in-situ data, as shown in Fig. A7, especially in the Ionian and Algerian sub-basins (see Fig. A7). This signal corresponds to the presence of a well-documented deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) in the Mediterranean Sea (Cullen, 1982), whose associated primary production generates maxima in particle concentration (Annexed figure A5) where Nd molecule can be adsorbed and maintained in the water column.

The fundamental difference between the dust and river water flux is the fact that the atmospheric input contributes Nd to the whole Mediterranean surface water whereas the riverine influence is geographically localised. Spatial extension of the external source influence can be determined by the balance between water advection that transports the source signal from the source region and scavenging that removes added Nd from the water column. When the scavenging effect is dominant, the influence of external source would be localised. This could be the case for riverine inputs with visible influence is limited to river mouths. In contrast, the dust inputs affect the whole Mediterranean surface water including areas with low marine particle concentrations (Fig. A5), allowing wider spatial extension of the source influence. This hypothesis is supported by the strong increase in
The N\textsuperscript{d} amount in the Mediterranean Sea reflecting dust contribution (Table 2). The N\textsuperscript{d} added from dust source is vertically transported, leading to an increase in N\textsuperscript{d} concentration in the intermediate and deep waters, leading to better agreement with the field observation (Fig. A7). In addition, the contribution of unradiogenic N\textsuperscript{d} from dust corrects the positive bias of seawater N\textsuperscript{d} isotopic composition induced by strong BE influence (Fig. 5). Although the N\textsuperscript{d} flux associated with atmospheric deposition is much smaller than the BE flux (Tables 2 and 3), the results of our simulations show a significant impact of atmospheric dust on the N\textsuperscript{d} distribution in the whole basin. It seems paradoxical, because dust input represents only \( \sim 5\% \) of the total N\textsuperscript{d} input to the Mediterranean Sea. Sensitivity tests performed to better understand the influence of this source on the N\textsuperscript{d} oceanic cycle show that an increasing dust dissolution ratio is associated with more efficient scavenging, i.e. a more efficient transfer of tracer to the intermediate and deep waters and a lower concentration in subsurface waters (figure A3). Sensitivity tests performed to better understand the influence of this source on the N\textsuperscript{d} oceanic cycle show that an increasing dust dissolution ratio give a globally higher N\textsuperscript{d} concentration (figure A3) and less radiogenic water in the surface water (Fig. A4) as a consequence of a more efficient scavenging, i.e. a more efficient transfer of tracer to the intermediate and deep waters. Hence, the best model-data fit is obtained when applying a solubility of 2% as suggested in many previously published studies (e.g., Tachikawa et al., 1999; Lacan and Jeandel, 2001; Arraes-Mescoff et al., 2001; Arsouze et al., 2009; Rempfer et al., 2011; Gu et al., 2019; Pöppelmeier et al., 2019). Considering various spatial distributions of N\textsuperscript{d} dust input led to similar results for surface water [N\textsuperscript{d}] as well as N\textsuperscript{d} vertical profiles (Fig. 5). This was however not the case for the N\textsuperscript{d} isotopic composition. Low dust \( \varepsilon \)N\textsuperscript{d} values characterize the western basin (-14) while they are more radiogenic in the eastern basin (Fig. 2f). As the magnitude of dust deposition is globally larger in the eastern than in the western basin (Fig. 2g), imposing constant values or averaged basin values (eastern and western basins) to the dust N\textsuperscript{d} isotopic composition led to unrealistically low radiogenic values suggesting that considering the spatial distribution of the N\textsuperscript{d} isotopic composition in dust is essential. These results underlined that the modelled Mediterranean seawater N\textsuperscript{d} isotopic composition distribution is more sensitive than the modelled N\textsuperscript{d} concentration to the spatial characteristics of \( \varepsilon \)N\textsuperscript{d} in the atmospheric dust.

4.3 Internal cycle

The internal cycle also has a crucial role on the vertical distribution of N\textsuperscript{d}, reversible scavenging being the major process to transfer the tracer into the deep layers (Nozaki et al., 1981; Siddall et al., 2005; Dutay et al., 2009; Arsouze et al., 2009). Internal N\textsuperscript{d} cycle depends on several parameters, particle fields (POCs, POCh, CaCO\textsubscript{3} and BSi), partition coefficients (K) and settling velocities (w). Running many simulations for tuning these parameters is out of reach with the high computational cost of our high-resolution model. The scavenging process is controlled by the partition coefficients and particle concentration. PISCES includes two categories of particles, small POC particles with a low sinking speed (3m/d) and large particles with a larger sinking speed (50m/d). The pool of large particles contains three types of particles: POC, CaCO\textsubscript{3}, and BSi. There is currently not enough data to constrain the partition coefficients for all these kinds of particles. We carried out sensitivity tests to assess the impact of the internal cycle on the N\textsuperscript{d} distribution and try to reach a better agreement with the observations. The best compromise was found by increasing the partition coefficient for the small particles only (cf. Figure A1). We carried out sensitivity tests to assess the impact of this process on the N\textsuperscript{d} distribution and try to reach a better agreement with the observations.
The best compromise was found by increasing only the partition coefficient for the small particles (cf. Figure A1). This result agrees with our previous modelling studies on various tracers indicating that the internal cycle and vertical transport of Nd are mainly controlled by the small particle pool (Arsouze et al., 2009), as was observed for $^{231}$Pa and $^{230}$Th (Dutay et al., 2009) and also in classical analytical studies (Nozaki et al., 1981; Bacon and Anderson, 1982). The scavenging process also affects the vertical profile of the Nd isotopic composition, lowering the Nd isotopic value in the water column. This study illustrates the role of scavenging in regulating the vertical distribution of Nd in the Mediterranean basin. Our objective is not to estimate the most realistic values of $K$ for our simulation, as the simplification of our model could also be revisited and considered in the interpretation of our results. The parametrization of the vertical cycling (scavenging/remineralisation) considerably constrains the ability of the model to simulate the vertical profile of Nd concentrations, as shown in Fig. 5 the model underestimates the [Nd] of surface water as a consequence of an important transfer of tracer to the intermediate and deep water. For instance, the equilibrium hypothesis between the dissolved and particulate phases may not be always valid, especially for the large particles, whose rapid sinking may not lead to equilibrium between the two phases.

Additionally, the concentration of particles is an important parameter to consider. An evaluation of the particle fields simulated by PISCES at the global scale revealed that the small particles field (POC$_s$) is largely underestimated in deep water (up to factor 4), and by a factor 2 for CaCO$_3$ concentration as compared to observations (Dutay et al., 2009; Hulten et al., 2018). This issue highlights the need to consider more carefully the representation of the various particle fields, for the regional configuration of the Mediterranean basin (NEMO-MED12/PISCES) as well, but this work is out of the scope of this preliminary study.

5 Conclusions

This study proposes the first high-resolution simulation of both Nd concentration and isotopic composition in the Mediterranean Sea, using a regional coupled dynamical/biogeochemical model and a reversible scavenging model to represent the reversible exchange between the particulate and dissolved phases. We explicitly represented the main Nd sources from sedimentary remobilization along continental margins (i.e. boundary exchange) as well as river discharge and atmospheric deposition at the surface water. The objective was to determine and quantify the various sources involved in the Nd cycle, and to explore the sensitivity to atmospheric dust deposition in the Mediterranean Sea.

It was confirmed that the sediment deposited on the margins is a major source of Nd to the ocean and is fundamental to simulate a realistic Nd oceanic cycle. We estimated the BE flux at $89.43 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr, which represents $\sim 84.4$ % of total flux of Nd entering the Mediterranean basin, but is relatively lower than that estimated at the global scale (96.7 %). The rivers provide $3.66 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr, which represents 3.5 % of the total flow into the Mediterranean, compared with 2.3 % on the global scale. The flux of Nd from atmospheric dusts is estimated at $5.2 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr, representing 5 % of the total Nd input, higher than in the global ocean, with only 0.96 % of the total Nd flux. The Atlantic inflow adds $7.62 \times 10^6$ g(Nd)/yr across the Strait of Gibraltar, which constitutes 7.1 % of the total Nd input. The total quantity of Nd in Mediterranean Sea was estimated...
to $7.28 \times 10^9$ g(Nd) this leads to a new calculated Nd residence time of $\sim 68$ year. The Nd residence time calculated here could be overestimated, and provides a higher limit because the simulated $[\text{Nd}]$ are too low in the surface water of Gibraltar strait.

The impacts of river discharge on Nd concentration are limited to the areas near the catchments of the main rivers, e.g., the Rhone river, and lead to very low $[\text{Nd}]$ in the surface water and too radiogenic $\varepsilon$Nd as compared with in situ data. Considering atmospheric dust inputs largely improved our simulation of the Nd oceanic cycle, with more realistic simulations of $\varepsilon$Nd in the main water masses of the Mediterranean Sea, and corrected the too-radiogenic bias simulated in our first two experiments (considering only the BE and river inputs), especially in the western basin. It also greatly improved the simulation of $[\text{Nd}]$, generating values closer to the observed data, as well as a characteristic specific to the Mediterranean basin, a maximum in subsurface associated to the DCM that was detected in the observations also. Based on the results of these sensitivity experiments, we suggest that the Nd cycle in the Mediterranean Sea is more impacted by atmospheric dust as compared to the global ocean due to its almost landlocked situation highly affected by dust deposition from the Sahara and Middle East deserts. This work also suggests that $\varepsilon$Nd is more sensitive to the spatial distribution of Nd in the atmospheric dust, and confirmed that more in situ data and a better constraint of Nd fluxes from dissolved aeolian particles are necessary to improve our knowledge of the cycles of Nd in the Mediterranean Sea.

Atmospheric dusts are only deposited in the surface layer (first model level) with a solubility ratio of 2%, but uncertainty remains significant regarding their dissolution rates; a better constraint of this process would contribute to improve our constraint on the Nd cycle, especially in the Mediterranean basin where atmospheric deposition has a relatively greater influence. Additionally, more constraints on the K partition coefficient for the various types of particles will help to refine the representation of the scavenging processes in the water column that control the transfer of the tracer into the intermediate and deep layers.

Clearly, more simulations, laboratory experiments and field observations are needed to better assess the influence of external sources (e.g. atmospheric dust, river, etc.) versus that of the internal cycle (i.e. scavenging/remineralisation). For instance, it would be useful to conduct similar analyses using other tracers (e.g. Sr, Si, etc.), or to use a more statistical analysis (e.g. TMM method) based on a multi-tracer approach. We demonstrated here the significant impact of atmospheric dusts on the Nd oceanic cycle; it may be worth investigating in future studies their impact in other regions strongly affected by atmospheric input.

6 Code availability
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### Table 1. List of variables, units and presentation of all simulations used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} )</td>
<td>Nd isotopic composition</td>
<td>unit of ( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Nd]</td>
<td>Total Nd concentration</td>
<td>pmol kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Equilibrium partition coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd(_d)</td>
<td>Nd dissolved concentrations</td>
<td>pmol kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd(_p)</td>
<td>Nd particulate concentrations</td>
<td>pmol kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_p )</td>
<td>Mass of particles per mass of water</td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC(_b)</td>
<td>Large particulate Organic Carbon</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC(_s)</td>
<td>Small particulate Organic Carbon</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSi</td>
<td>Biogenic Silica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>litho</td>
<td>Lithogenic atmospheric dust</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd(_T)</td>
<td>Total concentration of Nd</td>
<td>pmol kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd(_p_s)</td>
<td>Small particulate concentration</td>
<td>pmol kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd(_p_b)</td>
<td>Large particulate concentration</td>
<td>pmol kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(NdT)</td>
<td>Source term of the Nd in the model</td>
<td>g yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_s )</td>
<td>Sinking velocities of small and large particles</td>
<td>m yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_b )</td>
<td>Sinking velocities of large particles</td>
<td>m yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(NdT)sed</td>
<td>Source of BE (Boundary Exchange)</td>
<td>g yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(_{sed})</td>
<td>Source flux of sedimentary Nd to the ocean</td>
<td>g m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mask(_{margin})</td>
<td>Percentage of continental margin in the grid box</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(NdT)surf</td>
<td>Total source of Nd from river and from atmospheric dust</td>
<td>g yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(_{surf})</td>
<td>Nd flux of Nd from river discharge and from atmospheric dusts</td>
<td>g m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Simulations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulations</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SedOnly</td>
<td>Considers sediment remobilization (i.e. Boundary Exchange) as the unique source of Nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SedRiv</td>
<td>Considers the dissolved fluvial material discharge in addition to sediment remobilization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SedRivDust</td>
<td>Represents the three main inputs of Nd (i.e. boundary exchange + river discharge + atmospheric dust).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust-Cst</td>
<td>Same as RivSedDust run but with [Nd] ans ( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} ) constant from atmospheric dust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust-EWbasin</td>
<td>Same as RivSedDust run but with [Nd] ans ( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} ) constant in atmospheric dust from eastern and western basins.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2. Main characteristics of source fluxes and equilibrium partition coefficients for each simulation. Residence time of Nd in the ocean is calculated using the sum of flux (source or sink) and the total quantity of Nd simulated in the ocean: $\tau = \frac{Q_{Nd}}{S(NdT)}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiences</th>
<th>SedOnly</th>
<th>SedRiv</th>
<th>SedRivDust</th>
<th>Dust-Cst</th>
<th>Dust-EWbasin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantity of Nd from each source (g(Nd))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River discharge</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric dusts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.55 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$1.53 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$1.52 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium partition coef.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{POMs}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{POMb}$</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{BSi}$</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{CACO3}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{lith}$</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flux of Nd g(Nd)/yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>$89.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$89.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$89.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$89.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$89.4 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River discharge</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3.66 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.66 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.66 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.66 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric dusts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$5.25 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic inflow</td>
<td>$7.62 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$7.62 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$7.62 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$7.62 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$7.62 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total quantity of Nd (g(Nd))</td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$5.73 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$7.28 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$7.28 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$7.25 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence time ($\tau$ in years)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Estimation of the Nd flux from different sources in the Mediterranean Sea in comparison with the global ocean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Med Sea</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Global ocean</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sum of flux in g((Nd)/yr)</td>
<td>$89.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>84.44</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrouze et al., (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global flux Boundary Source</td>
<td>3.7 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>2.6 $\times 10^8$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolve fluvial material</td>
<td>5.2 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>1.0 $\times 10^8$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric dusts</td>
<td>7.62 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic inflow</td>
<td>10.6 $\times 10^7$</td>
<td>1.136 $\times 10^{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1.** Presentation of the main Nd oceanic modelling approach in the Mediterranean Sea. (a) modelling only the Nd isotopic composition ($\varepsilon$Nd), focused on the role of Boundary Exchange with the continental margin (on the first 540 m) using a relaxing term (Ayache et al., 2016; Arsouze et al., 2007). (b) Explicitly representing the different sources of Nd to the ocean, *e.g.* sediment remobilisation (which implicitly represents the Boundary Exchange process), fluvial discharge, and atmospheric dust as done in Arsouze et al. (2009).
Figure 2. Boundary conditions and input maps applied to the model. (a) Nd concentration ([Nd], in µg/g) along continental margin determined by Ayache et al. (2016); squares, and hexagon represent in-situ data from the new global database of Nd provided by Blanchet (2019). (b) Nd isotopic composition (εNd in εNd unit) along the continental margin determined by Ayache et al. (2016); squares, and hexagon represent in-situ data from the new global database of Nd provided by Blanchet (2019). (c) [Nd] of river runoff (in µg/g) from Ayache et al. (2016) with in-situ data from the new global database of Nd provided by Blanchet (2019). (d) εNd of river runoff (in εNd unit) presented in Ayache et al. (2016) with in-situ data from the new global database of Nd provided by Blanchet (2019). (e) [Nd] dust particle fields from the global database of Blanchet (2019; Robinson et al., 2021). (f) εNd dust particle fields from the global database (Blanchet, 2019; Robinson et al., 2021). (g) Average deposition fluxes of dust (in g.m⁻²) from the ALADIN Climate model (Nabat et al., 2015) (10⁶ kg.m⁻².s⁻¹). (h) Runoff map prescribed by the NEMO-MED12 model (in 10⁵ g.m⁻².s⁻¹).
Figure 3. Horizontal maps of Nd concentration (in pmol/mol) averaged over the depth ranges surface layer (0–200 m; left column), intermediate layer (250–600 m; middle column), and deep layer (600–3500 m; right column). Results from SedOnly experiment, with only sediment remobilisation (a, b, c), SedRiv experiment, with sediment and river input (d, e, f), and SedRivDust experiment, with inputs from sediment, river and atmospheric dusts (g, h, i). Colour-filled dots represent in-situ observations from (Tachikawa et al., 2004; Vance et al., 2004; Henry et al., 1994; Dubois-Dauphin et al., 2017; Garcia-Solsona and Jeandel, 2020; Montagna et al., 2022). Both model and in-situ data use the same colour scale.
Figure 4. E-W vertical section of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) in the western Mediterranean basin from SedOnly (a), SedRiv (c), and SedRivDust (e). E-W vertical section of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) in the western Mediterranean basin from SedOnly (b), SedRiv (d), and SedRivDust (f); colour-filled dots represent in-situ observations from (Tachikawa et al., 2004; Vance et al., 2004; Henry et al., 1994; Dubois-Dauphin et al., 2017; Garcia-Solsona and Jeandel, 2020; Montagna et al., 2022). Both model and in-situ data use the same colour scale.
Figure 5. Upper panel: comparison of average vertical profiles of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) in the western basin (a), eastern basin (b), and whole Mediterranean Sea (c), presenting model results against in-situ data from (Tachikawa et al., 2004; Vance et al., 2004; Henry et al., 1994; Dubois-Dauphin et al., 2017; Garcia-Solsona and Jeandel, 2020; Montagna et al., 2022). Lower panel: same as in upper panel for $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ (in $\varepsilon$ unit ).
Figure 6. Same as Figure 3 but for $\varepsilon$Nd (in $\varepsilon$Nd unit)
Figure 7. Same as Figure 4 but for $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ (in $\varepsilon$Nd unit).
Figure A1. Left panel: E-W vertical section of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) in the entire Mediterranean Sea using the kd value from (Arsouze et al., 2008) (a), using the kd value from this study (b), and the difference between the two (c). Right panel: Comparison of average vertical profiles of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) in the whole Mediterranean Sea from the two experiments (the experiment of kd value from (Arsouze et al., 2008) in green, the experiment using kd value from this study in blue and the experience with increased Kd in red) against in-situ data (black line) from (Tachikawa et al., 2004; Vance et al., 2004; Henry et al., 1994; Dubois-Dauphin et al., 2017; Garcia-Solsona and Jeandel, 2020; Montagna et al., 2022).
Figure A2. Same as Fig. A1 but for $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ unit)
Figure A3. Left panel E-W vertical section of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) in the entire Mediterranean Sea based on a 10% of dust solubility (a), a 2% of dust solubility (b), and the difference between the two (c). Right panel Comparison of average vertical profiles of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) in the whole Mediterranean Sea from the two experiments (the experiment of 2% of dust solubility in blue and the experiment based on a 10% of dust solubility in green) against in-situ data (black line) from (Tachikawa et al., 2004; Vance et al., 2004; Henry et al., 1994; Dubois-Dauphin et al., 2017; Garcia-Solsona and Jeandel, 2020; Montagna et al., 2022).
Figure A4. Same as Fig. A3 but for $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ (in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ unit)
Figure A5. E-W vertical section in the eastern Mediterranean basin (left panel), and comparison of average vertical profiles (right panel) for monthly mean climatological values of POC$_s$ (Small organic carbon Concentration), POC$_b$ (Big organic carbon Concentration), CaCO$_3$ (Calcite Concentration), and CHL total chlorophyll, for the western basin (red line) and eastern basin (blue line) in µ mol.l$^{-1}$.
Figure A6. Comparison of the vertical profiles between in-situ data (from Henry et al. (1994)) and model output for: a) [Nd] (in pmol/kg), \( \varepsilon_{Nd} \) in b).
Figure A7. (a) Map of the NEMO-MED12 model domain and bathymetry with location of the main Mediterranean sub-basins and in-situ observation. The solid lines (in red) represent the trans-Mediterranean vertical section. Comparison of the vertical profiles of [Nd] (in pmol/kg) between in-situ data and model output for Levantine b), Aegean c), Ionian d), Algerian e), Gulf of Lion f), and Alboran sub-basins.