Stability of alkalinity in Ocean Alkalinity Enhancement (OAE) approaches - consequences for durability of CO₂ storage
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Abstract. According to modelling studies, ocean alkalinity enhancement (OAE) is one of the proposed carbon dioxide removal (CDR) approaches with large potential, and the beneficial side effect of counteracting ocean acidification. The real-world application of OAE, however, remains unclear as most basic assumptions are untested. Before large-scale deployment can be considered, safe and sustainable procedures for the addition of alkalinity to seawater must be identified and governance established. One of the concerns is the stability of alkalinity when added to seawater. Seawater is already supersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate minerals, and an increase in total alkalinity together with a corresponding shift in carbonate chemistry towards higher carbonate ion concentrations would result in further increase in supersaturation, and potentially to solid carbonate precipitation. Precipitation of carbonate minerals consumes alkalinity and increases dissolved CO₂ in seawater, thereby reducing the efficiency of OAE for CO₂ removal. In order to address the application of alkaline solution as well as fine particulate alkaline solids, a set of six experiments was performed using natural seawater with alkalinity of around 2,400 µmol/kgw. The application of CO₂-equilibrated alkaline solution bears the lowest risk of losing alkalinity due to carbonate formation if added total alkalinity (ΔTA) is less than 2,400 µmol/kgw. The addition of reactive alkaline solids can cause a net loss of alkalinity if ΔTA > 600 µmol/kgw (e.g., for Mg(OH)₂). Commercially available Ca(OH)₂ causes in general a net loss in TA for the tested amounts of TA addition, which has consequences for suggested use of slurries supplied from ships. The application of excessive amounts of Ca(OH)₂, exceeding a threshold for alkalinity loss, resulted in a massive increase in TA (> 20,000 µmol/kgw) at the cost of lower efficiency and resultant high pH values > 9.5.

Our results indicate that using an alkaline solution instead of reactive alkaline particles can avoid carbonate formation, unless alkalinity addition shifts the system beyond critical supersaturation levels. To avoid the loss of alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) from seawater, the application of reactor techniques can be considered. These techniques produce an equilibrated solution from alkaline solids and CO₂ prior to application. Differing behaviours of tested materials suggest that...
35 standardized engineered materials for OAE need to be developed to achieve safe and sustainable OAE with solids, if reactors technologies should be avoided.

1 Introduction

The impacts of climate warming are increasingly severe (IPCC, 2021). To tackle this problem, the 2°C target was stipulated in the 2015 Paris Agreement (UNFCCC, 2015). While many countries claim to have shown efforts to decrease greenhouse gas emissions since, the overall emissions are still rising (Friedlingstein et al., 2021) and it seems now improbable to reach the target by mitigation alone, without the help of negative emission technologies (NETs) (IPCC, 2021). However, most discussed NETs or carbon dioxide removal (CDR) technologies are still immature for upscaling or even ready for operation. Ocean Alkalinity Enhancement (OAE) is one of the CDR strategies being considered (NAS, 2021). However, it is far from the application stage because its potential impacts have yet to be understood as well as practicalities of employment, like suitable monitoring and verification schemes. This is due to the lack of laboratory and field experiments. Consequences of application and its optimal deployment need to be determined. There are a number of model studies (Köhler et al., 2010; Ilyina et al., 2013; Lenton et al., 2018; Khashgi, 1995; González and Ilyina, 2016) focusing on the consequences of OAE at the global scale or the applicability via (cargo) ships (Caserini et al., 2021). They are, however, based on a series of assumptions, e.g., that added alkalinity remains stable, is not lost or negative TA could be a consequence, and that alkalinity can be technically added to seawater.

One of the key questions to be solved for OAE is the stability of the added alkalinity (Moras et al., 2021). Additional alkalinity loss via carbonate phase formation (causing CO₂ leakage), either via inorganic processes or triggered by biological activity, should be avoided. The carbonate supersaturation level is a driver for possible formation of new carbonate phases (Koishi, 2017). After nucleation from a bulk solution and for the growth to proceed, an energy barrier at the interface between the new phase and the surrounding solution matrix must be overcome (Koishi, 2017; Sear, 2007).

Carbonate formation in seawater, filtered with 0.2 μm filters to remove particles, with a salinity around 35 at 25°C, starts at a saturation level of Ωcalcite around 19-20 (Morse and He, 1993), which corresponds to an Ωaragonite of around 12.5-13.5. Filtering of water is for avoiding nuclei for condensation or providing surfaces for carbonate formation (Wurgaft et al., 2021).

Addition of alkalinity without equilibrating the water with the atmospheric CO₂ causes high saturation levels (due to more pronounced shifts in the carbonate system). Even under optimal conditions for air-sea gas exchange, CO₂ equilibration in a natural system will take weeks to months (Jones et al., 2014). Such a non-equilibrated system would be representative for any OAE application where CO₂ uptake is not actively facilitated, or where ocean mixing of waters and ingassing is slow.

In order to test for the stability of alkalinity after simulated OAE application, this study aims to address the following five questions:
1) What is the efficiency advantage using preproduced, CO₂-equilibrated alkaline solutions over non-equilibrated alkaline solutions?

2) Do naturally occurring particles in the ocean water affect the stability range of added alkalinity?

3) Are fast reacting solid alkaline materials like Ca(OH)₂ or even slower ones like Mg(OH)₂ useful for adding alkalinity to the seawater?

4) Does lost alkalinity due to carbonate formation recover due to redissolution to some part?

5) At which elevated bulk solution saturation levels can potential loss of alkalinity be expected?

2 Methods

In order to understand the consequences of different application procedures, materials and boundary conditions for sustainable application of OAE, a set of six experiments was designed (Table 1) using these basic system setups:

- I A CO₂-equilibrated system, using an aqueous solution for OAE, testing 4 days.
- II A non-CO₂-equilibrated system using an aqueous solution for OAE, testing 4 days.
- III A CO₂-equilibrated system with/without added carbonate particles and, using aqueous solution for OAE, testing a period of ~90 days.
- IV A non CO₂-equilibrated system, using solid particles of magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)₂) for OAE, testing 4 days.
- V A non CO₂-equilibrated system, using solid alkalinity of Ca(OH)₂ for OAE and forcing TA loss to study TA recovery potential, testing 10 days.
- VI Adding excessive amounts of alkaline particles to test if TA-loss can be avoided, testing 24 hours.

Table 1: Overview on the 6 experiments conducted (I-VI). Abbreviations: abiotic (a), seawater filtered with 0.2 µm filters to remove plankton, and biotic (b), seawater filtered with 55 µm filters to remove larger plankton. The column CO₂ refers to equilibrated to atmospheric CO₂ levels (eq.) or not (neq.). ΔTA is the added alkalinity range per experiment.
To examine how planktonic organisms and particles naturally occurring in the ocean might affect OAE performance, e.g., by serving as condensation nuclei for mineral precipitation, we performed two separate sets of experiments including and excluding biogenic particles (termed biotic and abiotic hereafter). For the abiotic approach seawater was filtered through a 0.2 μm PC-filter. Seawater for the 'biotic' approach was filtered through a 55 μm filter to exclude larger and rare organisms (e.g., mesozooplankton), but keep the remainder.

For all experiments I to IV, oligotrophic coastal seawater (TA ≈2,411 μmol/kgw, S ≈36.6, T ≈23°C) was taken at 4 metres depth in the vicinity of the harbour of Taliarte, Gran Canaria, on 2021-09-16 (I+II+IV) and 2021-09-30 (III). Seawater for the alkalinity recovery test, experiment V, was taken from the open North Sea (German Bight, N 54.93 E 6.43). CO2SYS (Pierrot et al., 2006) was used to determine the amount of alkaline solution/solid material needed to increase TA in several steps.

2.1 Experiment I and II: Comparing CO2 equilibrated versus non-equilibrated alkalinity enhancement

For the abiotic equilibrated system (Ia) nine batch solutions were prepared adding TA in nine steps of 300 μmol/kgw, starting at an initial alkalinity value of ~2,400 μmol/kgw (fresh untreated seawater, ΔTA0), with a maximum final treatment of ~4,800 μmol/kgw (ΔTA2400). The TA-enhancement was achieved by adding calculated volumes of 0.5 M NaHCO₃ and 0.5 M Na₂CO₃ solutions using calculations from CO2SYS to ensure equilibrated conditions. While preparing stock solutions with NaHCO₃/Na₂CO₃, solids dissolved entirely within seconds to several minutes. After taking an initial sample (day 0) from every batch, the remaining solutions were filled in portions of 400.0 g ± 0.5 g into 500 ml Dickson bottles (bottles for seawater TA and DIC standards), each for a duration of 24 h or 96 h. All bottles were stored in the dark, unsealed - open to the laboratory atmosphere and kept at a constant temperature of 24 °C.

The exact same procedure was followed for the abiotic non-equilibrated system (IIa), except using a 0.5 M NaOH solution for the alkalinity enhancement and preventing gas exchange by filling the bottle to the top (~525 g) and capping them airtight without headspace.

For the biotic equilibrated system (Ib) and non-equilibrated system (IIb) 1.2-L polycarbonate bottles were used, both filled to the top and capped airtight. Bottles were stored in a climate chamber (24 °C and 12/12 hours light/dark intervals) over the duration of the experiment to ensure a stable environment for the microbial community. The same seawater, resources and procedures for alkalinity enhancement were utilized as in the abiotic experiments Ia and IIa. Samples were taken just at the start (day 0) and the end of the experiment (day 4) to avoid headspace in the bottles. Starting with the initial value of seawater (ΔTA0) the alkalinity was increased in eight 150 μmol/kgw steps to 3,600 μmol/kgw (ΔTA1200).

2.2 Experiments III: Testing the role of particles as condensation nuclei for mineral precipitation

We prepared a solution in equilibrium with the atmosphere as described for experiment I for the two highest ΔTAs, namely ΔTA2100, ΔTA2400. Solutions were placed into 8L PET bottles in two treatments: one without adding particles and one with adding previously precipitated carbonates (120 mg wet weight each) as seed material (precipitation nuclei), gained from a
preceding experiment. The closed bottles were placed next to the pier in the harbour of Taliarte, Gran Canaria, about 1.5 m below the water surface. Samples were taken at days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10. After the 10 days of processing the content of the bottles was transferred to 500 ml Dickson bottles and left alone closed to the atmosphere and in the dark for another 80 days. Two additional samples were collected at days 46 and 90.

2.3 Experiments IV, V and VI: Testing alkalisation with solid Mg(OH)$_2$ and Ca(OH)$_2$.

2.3.1 Experiment IV: Mg(OH)$_2$

While for experiments I and II fast dissolving materials with relatively high solubilities were used to produce an alkaline solution, solid materials were used in experiments IV, V & VI. Magnesium hydroxide in experiment IV was added as a powder directly into the reactor bottles. Because of a lower reactivity the material was not to be expected to dissolve immediately, so dissolution took place throughout the experimental duration of four days. Two different Mg(OH)$_2$ materials from the companies (Negative Emissions Materials (brucite I) and Carl Roth (brucite II)) were utilized to compare their behaviour in dissolution and generation of alkalinity. Brucite I is a substance derived from the dissolution of olivine rich material, brucite II an industrial product prepared for rapid dissolution. Both, abiotic and biotic approaches (distinction 0.2 µm filtration) were conducted in 1.2-L PC bottles and followed the same procedure as in Ib and IIb, filled airtight to the top and kept in the climate chamber, after mixing them gently for 5 minutes. The mass of added magnesium hydroxide was calculated to yield the same increase in alkalinity as in experiments I and II, assuming total dissolution could be achieved. The target concentrations ranged from 12.8 mg/kgw to 666 mg/kgw and representing solid alkalinity addition steps of $\Delta T A_{600}$, $\Delta T A_{1200}$, $\Delta T A_{1800}$, $\Delta T A_{2100}$, $\Delta T A_{2400}$, and $\Delta T A_{34,288}$. The latter high addition was intended to simulate an approach assuming large amounts of particles being added, e.g., via ship disposal. In experiment IV subsamples were taken at the start of the experiment and after 24 and 96 hours. The brucite material was dried at 40°C for 72 hours before the experiments.

2.3.2 Experiment V: Alkalinity recovery after mineral precipitation

This experiment focused on redissolution of alkalinity, initially consumed due to carbonate precipitation after Ca(OH)$_2$ application. 0.2 g Ca(OH)$_2$ ($\Delta T A_{5400}$) were dissolved in 500 ml PC-Erlenmeyer-flasks filled with 300 g of North Sea water (0.2 µm filtered) and left open to the laboratory atmosphere. The experiment was conducted in 12 timesteps from 15 min to 10 days, each time with three replicates and one control flask each without any material. All experimental flasks were permanently placed on a shaking table (120 rpm). The pH value of the seawater was continuously monitored in 5 minutes steps.

2.3.3. Experiment VI: Thresholds – the swing from TA loss to TA gain again

The experiment was set up to check if potential threshold values for TA loss/gain after 24 hours exist in case larger amounts of solids are dissolved than in the previous experiments, resulting in the loss of TA. A gradient of added solid masses to
seawater, using the brucite II (experiment IV) and the Ca(OH)$_2$ (experiment V) were used. For this the same seawater as in experiment V was used adding up to 13.3 g brucite or 2 g Ca(OH)$_2$ per kg of seawater and measuring TA after 24 hours. The same bottles (500 ml PC flasks) and experimental setup (shaking table) as in experiment V was used.

2.3 Measurements

Immediately after the end of an experiment each sample was filtered (0.2 µm) to stop possible further reaction on larger particles and remove the biomass from the biotic approaches for further analysis. While filtering, all systems were kept airtight as much as possible to prevent gas exchange with the atmosphere. Samples were measured for total alkalinity (TA), pH, salinity, conductivity, temperature, and some for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). TA was determined by titration with 0.1 M sulfuric acid within an 862 Compact Titrosampler (Metrohm) - for samples of day 46 and 90 in experiment III and all samples of experiment V a different titrator (888 Titrando, Metrohm) with a 0.02 M hydrochloric acid was used. DIC was analysed by infrared absorption, using a LI-COR LI-7000 on an AIRICA system (MARIANDA, Kiel). Calibration of TA and DIC measurements was determined against certified reference materials (CRM batches 143 and 190), supplied by A. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography (USA). Measurements were made on two technical replicates for TA and three for DIC. Final values are numerical averages of these replicates. To analyse for pH, salinity, conductivity and temperature a WTW multimeter (MultiLine® Multi 3630 IDS, pH-probe: SenTix 940 pH-electrode, conductivity: TetraCon 925 cell, Xylem) was employed. The pH-probe was calibrated with WTW buffer solutions according to NIST/PTB in 4 steps (1.679-9.180 at 25°C) For the TetraCon 925 cell 0.01 mol/L KCl calibration standards for conductivity cells (WTW, tracible to NIST/PTB) was used. Variables such as pCO$_2$ or aragonite saturation state (Ω$_{aragonite}$) were calculated using measured values of TA and pH (or DIC in case of experiment I (biotic) and experiment II) with CO2SYS (Pierrot et al., 2006) Excel sheet. The carbonate dissociation constants (K1 and K2) of Lueker et al. (2000) were used.
3 Results

3.1 Experiment I: Equilibrated alkalinisation

By adding defined amounts of a NaHCO$_3$/Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution, CO$_2$-equilibrated TA addition in steps of 300 µmol/kgw for abiotic and 150 µmol/kgw for biotic approaches was achieved, yielding a range from ΔTA$_0$ (TA=2,411 µmol/kgw, Ω$_{aragonite}$=3.5, pH=8.07) up to ΔTA$_{2400}$ (TA=4,750 µmol/kgw, Ω$_{aragonite}$=11.4, pH=8.36) for the abiotic and ΔTA$_{1200}$ (TA=3,567 µmol/kgw, Ω$_{aragonite}$=6.4, pH=8.15) for the biotic experiment (Fig. 1). The alkalinity enhancement has taken place almost in a linear manner close to ideal (’1:1’ dotted-grey line in Fig. 1a and d). As the solution was initially CO$_2$-equilibrated with current atmospheric conditions, DIC, Ω$_{aragonite}$ and pH-value increased proportionally. Neither in the abiotic (0.2 µm filtered) nor in the biotic (55 µm filtered) treatment, including living planktonic organisms, a detectable loss in TA could be observed after 1 and 4 days. Ω$_{aragonite}$ values remained with its highest value of 11.4 just below the critical threshold of aragonite at salinity of 36.5 (corresponding to Ω$_{calcite}$ ~19 as identified by Morse and He (1993)), and prevented a potential loss of alkalinity due to precipitation. The pH-value followed a quasi-linear trend with added TA, and increased to 8.36 for the abiotic ΔTA$_{2400}$ (Fig. 1c). For the investigated time period of 4 days a doubling of the original TA of filtered natural ocean water up to 4,750 µmol/kgw could be realised, while carbonate chemistry remained stable in all TA treatments.
Figure 1: Temporal development after CO₂ equilibrated alkalinisation: (a, b, c) abiotic set-up, (d, e, f) biotic set-up; (a, d) added vs. measured alkalinity; (b, e) aragonite saturation state (Ω_{aragonite}) in relation to added alkalinity; (c) pH in relation to added alkalinity; (f) DIC in relation to added alkalinity. Black/grey symbols correspond to start of the experiment, red after 1 day and blue after 4 days. Initial conditions of used seawater: ΔTA₀ ≈ 2,411 µmol/kgw, Ω_{aragonite} = 3.5, pH = 8.07.
3.2 Experiment II: Non-equilibrated alkalinisation

In the non-equilibrated experiments II, solely TA was increased by using a 0.5 M NaOH stock solution while DIC remained at the initial seawater level of 2,120 µmol/kgw. Equilibration with the atmosphere was inhibited by closing the sample containers air-tight. TA increased at the highest level (ΔTA2400 with target level ~4,800 µmol/kgw) to only 4,622 µmol/kgw in the abiotic approach, with Ωaragonite ~28 and a pH of 9.80. An immediate precipitation of 0.1-2 cm long mineral needles was observed floating in the abiotic reactor bottles just seconds after TA addition, suggesting nucleation happens in the water.

Precipitation on the wall of the vessel was not visible by eye. This is also reflected by a slight deviation from the idealised TA-addition line in Fig. 2a (‘1:1’ dotted-grey line), which indicates a noticeable decrease for the two highest TA additions (ΔTA2100 and ΔTA2400). ΔTA0 to ΔTA1800 maintained their intended alkalinity in both the abiotic and biotic treatments on day 0. After 1 day alkalinity loss can also be observed for ΔTA1800. The decline in TA for the three highest TA additions was now accompanied by visible precipitation on the inner wall of the reactors, suggesting that at this stage wall surfaces of the vessel acted as nucleation points. Alkalinity loss continued and on day 4 was also detected in the next lower treatment. At this stage the alkalinity in the four highest treatments dropped below values prior to alkalinity addition, marking a net loss of alkalinity compared to the initial seawater water level. Associated to this, Ωaragonite declined to values of 5.6 to 6.3 on day 4 (Fig. 2b), approaching the initial value of ~3.5. pH, on the other hand, remained comparatively high, with only small decreases of 0.3-0.4 units down to values of 9-9.5 (Fig 2). In the abiotic treatments ΔTA0 to ΔTA1200 alkalinity was stable and no precipitation was observed within the first four days. In contrast, in the biotic setup alkalinity loss already occurred in ΔTA1050 and ΔTA1200 treatments at least on day 4, i.e. at lower alkalinity additions than in the abiotic treatments (Fig. 2d), with corresponding declines in Ωaragonite and DIC (Fig. 2e and f).
Figure 2: Temporal development after non-equilibrated alkalinisation: (a, c) abiotic set-up, (d, e, f) biotic set-up; (a, d) added vs. measured alkalinity; (b, e) aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$) in relation to added alkalinity; (c) pH in relation to added alkalinity; (f) DIC in relation to added alkalinity. Black/grey symbols correspond to start of the experiment, red after 1 day and blue after 4 days. Note the different ranges of added alkalinity in the abiotic and biotic set-up. The slightly higher start $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ for the biotic treatment at day 4 compared to day 1 is because $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ was calculated by TA and DIC, while for the abiotic experiment using TA and pH.
3.3 Experiments I & II: TA/DIC development in equilibrated versus non-equilibrated alkalinisation

While in the experiment simulating equilibrated alkalinisation TA and DIC were added (as NaHCO$_3$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$) in proportions (Fig. 3a, c) to maintain close to atmospheric CO$_2$ levels, in the non-equilibrated alkalinisation experiment only TA was added (as NaOH) (Fig. 3b, d), simulating alkalinisation without any CO$_2$ equilibration. Whereas the former yielded comparatively moderate changes in seawater carbonate chemistry, with $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ values remaining below the critical threshold of around 12.5 (identified by Morse and He, 1993), the non-equilibrated approach caused strong changes in the carbonate system with $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ values above 20 for $\Delta$TA$_{1500}$ to $\Delta$TA$_{2400}$ and between 15 and 17 for $\Delta$TA$_{1050}$ to $\Delta$TA$_{1200}$, i.e. well above the critical threshold. Consequently, while precipitation was absent in the abiotic and biotic set-up in the equilibrated treatment, strong alkalinity loss through precipitation occurred in the non-equilibrated experiment. While in the biotic set-up precipitation was observed at $\Delta$TA$_{900}$ and $\Delta$TA$_{1200}$ (starting $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ 15 and 18, Fig. 2b), in the abiotic set-up precipitation only occurred at and above $\Delta$TA$_{1500}$, with no detectable precipitation at $\Delta$TA$_{1050}$ and $\Delta$TA$_{1200}$ (starting $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ 15 and 17, Fig. 2c). The loss-ratio in TA:DIC was about 2:1 (Fig. 3b, d) in all treatments, indicating the loss of alkalinity due to the precipitation of carbonates. Loss-ratio in TA:DIC for the abiotic set-up were 2.1 for $\Delta$TA$_{1500}$, 2.1 for $\Delta$TA$_{1800}$, 2.0 for $\Delta$TA$_{2100}$, and 2.0 for $\Delta$TA$_{2400}$ and for the biotic set-up 2.1 for $\Delta$TA$_{1050}$ and 2.1 for $\Delta$TA$_{1200}$. 
Figure 3: TA versus DIC at the start of the experiment and after precipitation; (a-b) abiotic after 0, 1 and 4 days, (c-d) biotic after 0 and 4 days, equilibrated alkalinisation (left), non-equilibrated alkalinisation (right). The ΔTA:ΔDIC change ratio of 2:1 indicates that alkalinity loss was due to carbonate precipitation. Sampling days (0, 1 and 4) are written on top of each datapoint marker. Due to overlapping in case of no change in data, the marker description is set up to down.
3.4. Experiment III: Long-term stability of added alkalinity in CO$_2$ equilibrated approach

Experiment III was an extension of experiment I, testing for the long-term (up to 90 days) stability of added alkalinity in the two highest treatment levels (abiotic, $\Delta$TA$_{2100}$ & $\Delta$TA$_{2400}$), where for $\Delta$TA$_{2400}$ the start $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ is 11.9, close to the critical $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$, while for $\Delta$TA$_{2100}$ $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ ~ 10.8. Without adding extra particles for the treatment $\Delta$TA$_{2100}$ just 50 µmol/kgw TA were lost after 90 days, while the loss is significantly higher in case of the treatment $\Delta$TA$_{2400}$ (693 µmol/kgw TA loss), which was closer to the $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ of about 12.5, identified as being critical for using filtered seawater. While untreated approaches have shown stable values in the first 10 days of runtime, an immediate and persistent decline in TA could be observed for the treatments with added precipitates from previous experiments, functioning as seed material. $\Delta$TA$_{2100}$ with particles decreased by 199 µmol/kgw after 10 days and 757 µmol/kgw after 90 days, while the $\Delta$TA$_{2400}$ treatment dropped by 258 µmol/kgw after 10 days and 945 µmol/kgw after 90 days, see Fig. 4a.

As in experiment II precipitation at the walls of the reactors were observed. As the treatments here are close to the supersaturation level where naturally carbonate formation starts, it cannot be ruled out a wall effect caused in the setup with $\Delta$TA$_{2400}$ without extra particles the higher loss compared to $\Delta$TA$_{2100}$. Nonetheless experiments in the free water would not face such a wall-effect problem but might be facing a comparable fate due to other surfaces abundant.

![Figure 4: Long-term stability of added alkalinity in CO$_2$ equilibrated approach](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2022-126)
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3.5 Experiment IV: Alkalinity addition with brucite

Unlike in the equilibrated and non-equilibrated experiments I and II, in which an alkaline solution was applied, brucite (Mg(OH)₂) was added as a solid to enhance alkalinity. While NaHCO₃/Na₂CO₃ (equilibrated) and NaOH (non-equilibrated) were fully dissolved in the stock solutions after seconds to several minutes, Mg(OH)₂ dissolved relatively slowly. Due to a comparatively moderate reactivity in seawater it never reached the point of full dissolution, with the exception of the ΔTA₆₀₀ treatment, which is relatively close to the theoretical solubility of brucite in seawater (determined using PHREEQC, equilibrating seawater with atmospheric conditions) that corresponds to an increase of ΔTA₆₀₀ (Fig. 5d). The consequences were transparent to increasingly blurry suspensions, dependent on the added amounts of material. Except from the ΔTA₆₀₀ each reactor had visible residua at the bottom, right after the addition of the solid material. Consequently, the aspired ΔTA steps have not been reached like in the equilibrated and non-equilibrated treatments in experiments I and II. This also counts for the highest dosage of 1,000 mg, corresponding to ΔTA of 34,288 µmol/kgw, see Fig. 5a and d.

Excluding the highest dosage, after the addition of brucite I, TA reached only 2,746 µmol/kgw (ΔTA=335 µmol/kgw) for the target of ΔTA₂₄₀₀ at day 0, while for brucite II maximum increase in TA was achieved at day 1 for ΔTA₆₀₀. After 1 day of runtime no treatment achieved the target ΔTA (Fig. 5a). TA collapsed in all reactors below or close to the initial seawater level at day 4 with exception of biotic treatment ΔTA₆₀₀. The decline of TA occurred in the form of a ‘runaway precipitation’ as labelled by Moras et al. (2021), meaning carbonate formation causes lower TA-levels than the initial values. This process led to lower TA values with higher amounts of added brucite at the end of the experiments. Ωragonite was enhanced moderately up to a maximum of 7.1 in the bulk solution in the abiotic ΔTA₂₄₀₀ reactor at day 0, then increased to 11.7 after day 1, and finally declined to relative stable values of 6.0-7.5 after 4 days. pH-values also just experienced a slight increase up to 8.4 at day 0, reached values of 8.4-8.6 in regular steps after 1 day and continued rising in the same stable proportions to 8.5-9.0 (also see Fig. 5c.).

While brucite I formed aggregates of particles being insoluble for the duration of the experiment, brucite II (a commercial product for industrial use optimized) did not form aggregates and dissolved more efficiently, leading to higher TA-levels at day 0. Comparably to brucite I, TA levels for brucite II declined in the following four days well below start TA for treatments > ΔTA₆₀₀, declining to ~1,200 µmol/kgw for ΔTA₁₈₀₀₋₂₄₀₀, while Ωragonite for the same range consistently reached values of ~5, or lower. Only ΔTA₆₀₀ remained slightly above the initial seawater TA level after 4 days, but also declined significantly compared to day 0. For experiment IV all treatments showed the same general trends, independent of treatment (abiotic, biotic) or type of brucite.

The high ΔTA approach using 1,000 mg brucite (corresponding to a theoretical solid material TA addition of 34,288 µmol/kgw) reached TA values above 4,000 µmol/kgw at day 1, an Ωragonite of 20 and pH-values of 9.6. Immediate precipitation at the base of the bottles was observed. The total TA increase on day 0, however, is on the same general trend.
Figure 5: Dissolution of particulate brucite (types I and II) over time; (a, d) development of alkalinity (TA), (b, e) aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$) and (c, f) pH immediately after addition (day 0 – black/grey), 1 day (red) and 4 days after (blue); abiotic treatments – solid lines, biotic – dotted lines. Brucite additions range from 19.2 mg ($\Delta TA_{600}$) to 1,000 mg ($\Delta TA_{34,288}$). Comparable trends are observed in all treatments, independent of substrate type (brucite I and II) and set-up (abiotic/biotic).
3.6 Experiment IV: TA/DIC evolution brucite

Although for some treatments the $\Delta$TA:$\Delta$DIC development from day 0 to 1 shows some TA increase, loss in DIC is the general pattern (Fig. 6). Towards day 4 a loss of TA and DIC can be observed in most treatments. The TA:DIC change ratio thereby differs from that observed in experiment II, where a ratio of 2:1 indicated pure carbonate precipitation, in this case it ranges between 1.2:1 and 1.9:1, clearly showing that in parallel to carbonate precipitation brucite dissolution was still ongoing. In all four treatments there is a tendency of higher $\Delta$TA:$\Delta$DIC change-ratios with higher brucite addition, indicating alkalinity loss due to carbonate precipitation to increase relative to the alkalinity gain due to brucite dissolution. The higher $\Delta$TA:$\Delta$DIC ratios for brucite II (1.6:1 to 1.9:1) compared to brucite I (1.2:1 to 1.7:1) indicate higher carbonate precipitation for the former.

Figure 6: Development of TA versus DIC during brucite dissolution; (a, b) abiotic after 0, 1 and 4 days, (c, d) biotic after 0 and 4 days. The day of sampling (0, 1 and 4) are written on top of each data point marker. $\Delta$TA:$\Delta$DIC change ratios for brucite I (1:1.2) and brucite II (1:1.6) are indicating simultaneous precipitation and dissolution, while their difference could be described by their differing dissolution characteristics.
3.7. Experiment V: Alkalinity recovery after mineral precipitation in the presence of CO₂ equilibration

This experiment was intended to test for possible recovery of alkalinity after its loss due to mineral precipitation. Addition of 0.66 g/kgw Ca(OH)₂ and its fast dissolution led to an immediate collapse of alkalinity down to 832 µmol/kgw within 15 minutes, losing more than half of the initial seawater alkalinity (Fig. 7). At the same time, pH increased to above 10 and Ω_{aragonite} to about 9.5. In the following hour part of the alkalinity recovered, while Ω_{aragonite} further increased, accompanied by a steady decline in pH, caused by invasion of CO₂ into the water. After an hour, Ω_{aragonite} and TA started to decline for the next 48 hours.

After that a shift in the carbonate system causes a drastic decline in pH (inflection point at hour 60.4 at pH 8.988) and slight increase in TA, indicating that despite declining Ω_{aragonite} some lost alkalinity recovers, which is likely due the redissolution of carbonate forms, not crystallized yet into stable calcite or aragonite. The results show that it is possible to recover, on a time scale of days, some of the lost alkalinity, but despite using a shaking bath to accelerate CO₂ invasion, even after 10 days about half of the initial alkalinity remains lost and the Ω_{aragonite} levelled off below the start values.

Figure 7: Partial recovery of alkalinity after carbonate precipitation; Change in alkalinity, pH and Ω_{aragonite} after adding 0.66 g Ca(OH)₂ / kgw to North Sea water in a shaking bath (simulating wave processes to allow accelerated air-water-CO₂-exchange). Ca(OH)₂ dissolution is so fast, that it is hard to track. While the water gradually equilibrates with the atmosphere, a series of loss and gain of alkalinity can be observed.
3.8 Experiment VI: Threshold of alkalinity addition to avoid carbonate precipitation

The addition of increasingly larger amounts of Mg(OH)$_2$ confirms that the increase in added TA after 24 hours stops at $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ close to 12.5 (Fig. 8a). For larger additions net loss of alkalinity was generated, which would subsequently lead to CO$_2$ evasion. In the case of Ca(OH)$_2$ (Fig. 8b) the net loss switches to alkalinity gain at the highest addition. However, the measured increase in alkalinity (~21,000 $\mu$mol/kgw) is less than expected, based on the mineral addition (~27,000 $\mu$mol/kgw), suggesting a lower efficiency and therefore higher costs of application. In later experiment, the highest dosage of added Ca(OH)$_2$, shows that still a gain in TA can be achieved. Therefore, two threshold types are demonstrated with this experiment and experiment IV: one showing that a threshold level for losing alkalinity after adding a certain amount of solid alkalinity exist, based on material quality, and one gaining at higher levels TA by adding excess solid alkalinity to seawater with a high enough dosage. Interestingly, this effect is not visible using Mg(OH)$_2$: at given higher amounts added, suggesting material specific behaviour with different outcome for OAE application. In case of brucite, an important difference to experiment IV is the use of a shaking bath, likely making the influence of particle surface processes less effective due enforced advection of water around the particle surfaces. In addition, the shaking conditions causing faster exchange of CO$_2$ with the atmosphere do not reflect water bodies below the wave line.
Figure 8: Change in alkalinity after adding Mg(OH)$_2$ or Ca(OH)$_2$ to North Sea water in a shaking bath (simulating wave processes to allow accelerated air-water-\(\text{CO}_2\)-exchange). (a) Net loss occurs when alkalinity addition exceeds the critical saturation level of aragonite, while in (b) it is shown, using Ca(OH)$_2$ that for high added amounts of solid the TA-loss can be turned into a gain. Note for data in 8b no pH values are available for calculation of aragonite saturation levels.
4 Discussion

4.1 General discussion

Results show that the way alkalinity is added to the ocean is crucial to have sustained elevated alkalinity values, and more importantly, to avoid an alkalinity net-loss through carbonate precipitation. Major controlling factors are (i) the type of mineral used, (ii) whether TA is introduced in solid form or as a solution, (iii) in the case of a solution, if it is already enriched with DIC for pCO₂-equilibrium with the atmosphere or not, and (iv) the presence of biogenic or mineral particles in seawater, affecting 'runaway precipitation' of carbonate (Moras et al., 2021) and associated loss of TA.

In the approach using alkaline solutions equilibrated with atmospheric CO₂ (experiment I) no TA loss was observed after 4 days, even at high delta TA. The reason is that the carbonate system (based on Ω of the bulk solution) stayed within boundaries to avoid mineral precipitation for the duration of 4 days. In contrast, application of a solution with elevated alkalinity not equilibrated with atmospheric CO₂ (experiment II; compare Figs. 1 and 2) caused already at the first day a sustained loss of alkalinity, for the high alkalinity addition of 2,400 µmol/kgw (Fig. 2). The reason is that, for the same ΔTA, perturbations of the carbonate system that affect mineral precipitation are much stronger in this non-equilibrated scenario. Consequently, treatments with non-equilibrated conditions in experiment II reflect water bodies not being able to equilibrate in time before a loss due critical oversaturation happens, likely to be close below the seawater surface given the slow equilibration with the atmosphere (Jones et al., 2014). This would indicate that usage of reactors to prepare suitable CO₂-equilibrated alkaline solutions should be considered for large scale OAE (see section below).

Relevant losses for the biotic treatment in experiment II, simulating non-equilibrium conditions, after four days were visible already for treatments with additions > 900 µmol TA/kgw (Fig. 2). Results of experiment II indicate that a lack of condensation nuclei in the filtered abiotic treatments prevented the start of precipitation at lower TA additions. The difference between biotic and abiotic treatments in experiment II is additional potential seed surface due to particles and plankton >0.2 µm and < 55 µm, which may act as nucleation sites ('seed') for precipitation. In fact, this has resulted in loss of TA at lower ΔTA levels in the biotic treatment. The loss ratio of TA and DIC (ΔTA:ΔDIC) of 2:1 (Fig. 3b, d) indicates that the precipitate is composed of carbonates. Comparable observations were made using quartz particles in Moras et al. (2021), because the abundance of potential surface nucleation sites lowers the threshold for alkalinity loss via precipitation.

Notably, once carbonate precipitation is initiated (Fig. 2), TA-loss does not stop at Ωaragonite levels of 12.5-13.5 (corresponding to Ωcalcite levels of 19-20), which were reported (Morse and He, 1993) as threshold levels above which spontaneous carbonate formation occurs (in filtered seawater at 25°C and salinity of 35). Once the process of carbonate formation is triggered it continues until a certain specific thermodynamic equilibrium is reached, which may not have been reached considering the duration of the experiments. Experiment III demonstrates that the loss of alkalinity can start without particles also at lower
than the described critical $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ for filtered seawater if time is longer than 10 days and that the presence of carbonate precipitates from former experiments accelerated this process (Fig. 4). Precipitation of minerals at the surface of the walls of the experimental vessel without adding particles underlines the relevance of surfaces added and their quality as can be seen by the difference between adding carbonate precipitates and not (Fig. 4).

Experiment IV differs from experiments I to III, using alkaline solids Mg(OH)$_2$ to produce alkalinity in the seawater while providing at the same time a reactive surface area. Carbonate formation and TA loss started in this experiment at $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ well below 12.5 of the bulk solutions. Dissolution-precipitation processes at the surface of the particles happen at the same time (Figs. 5 and 6), as indicated by the $\Delta TA: \Delta DIC$ change ratios in the bulk water between 1.2:1 and 1.9:1, clearly lower than 2:1 as expected for carbonate formation alone (compare experiment 2, Fig. 3). The differences in $\Delta TA: \Delta DIC$ change ratios for brucite I (1:1.2) and brucite II (1:1.6) for moderate solid TA-additions up to $\Delta TA_{2400}$ indicate significant product specific differences in simultaneous mineral dissolution and carbonate formation characteristics at their surfaces. This result calls for detailed product specific evaluation of solid alkaline materials before application, and quality control in case a certain material might be identified as being useful. In addition, this finding suggests that simple modelling of alkalinity addition based on a given mineral type provides no realistic insights into real world ocean alkalinity enhancement applications. Not only particle surface processes on added solid alkaline particles are to be considered. As shown in the equilibrated approach of experiment III with an added solute alkalinity instead of reactive particles, on longer time scales (here up to 90 days) also the presence of potential nucleation sites, in the form of suspended particles or other exposed surfaces, affect the stability of added alkalinity and hence have to be considered for OAE applications. Importantly, alkalinity loss processes identified in these laboratory scale experiments need to be investigated in field trials for further verification and quantification.

4.2 Loss of alkalinity, carbonate formation, temporal stability and potential recovery of alkalinity

The question of potential recovery of lost alkalinity is even more complicated (experiment V, Fig. 7) and is a critical aspect for OAE application: If lost alkalinity recovers after a certain time and target TA is reached, then such transient losses could be accepted. The simple experiment V with Ca(OH)$_2$, lasting 10 days, illustrates that alkalinity consumed via carbonate formation may partly be released again. Here probably facilitated by accelerated ingassing of CO$_2$ using a shaking bath (Fig. 7), as indicated by the decline in pH after ~2.5 days. This shaking bath experiment may not be representative for open ocean application, but shows the problem to face, a net loss of TA under certain conditions. Future experiments should gain insights under which conditions a temporal loss of alkalinity can be accepted if a net increase would be the result. This was not achieved in experiment V, but could be given different application scenarios or dilution in time (c.f. Moras et al., 2021). In general, amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) with variable proportion of Mg contents precipitates from water as a precursor prior to the crystallisation of minerals like aragonite or calcite via transformation processes (Rodriguez-Blanco et al., 2017). Direct calcite or aragonite precipitation from water occurs only at high supersaturation levels (Rodriguez-Blanco et al., 2017), which were exceeded in some of the experiments here.
The precursor ACC leading to an alkalinity loss via nucleation is not stable and can redissolve (Mergelsberg et al., 2020; Brečević and Nielsen, 1989; Clarkson et al., 1992), with reported solubilities more than 100 times larger than for calcite in pure water. Under which conditions ACC precursors formed during OAE can redissolve, to avoid longer-term alkalinity loss, and how fast mixing with untreated water has to be to avoid permanent loss of TA, is - based on few existing data (c.f. Moras et al., 2021) - difficult to assess for OAE. Further experiments in context of OAE are therefore needed. A good understanding of mixing rates of treated and untreated water at OAE application sites is therefore critical to avoid permanent TA loss.

The observations from the threshold experiment VI (Fig. 8) are making the application more non-trivial, as an excess application of alkaline solids could cause an addition of TA instead of a loss, but with for now unknown consequences for ecosystems due temporal high pH-values above 9.5 for longer time (c.f. Fig. 7 using lower doses compared to excess addition in experiment VI).

In addition, solid particles of different quality, react differently if high application amounts per volume of seawater are used (Fig. 8). In the case of the used Ca(OH)$_2$: a gain in TA could be observed (Fig. 8b) using high dosages of material (2 g/kgw versus 1 g/kgw, which lead to a TA loss). For the brucite instead, even adding 13 g/kgw lead not to a positive TA after 24 hours. This simple experiment VI suggests that TA-loss must not be necessarily the case using excess amounts of fast dissolving minerals at the costs of high pH-levels, local critical oversaturation levels, and lower efficiency. As long as consequences of such excess treatment of seawater are not well enough understood for sustainable OAE management, the combination of results from the experiments I to IV suggest that adding alkalinity to the ocean should be undertaken such that the rate of increase in TA is equal to or less than the rate of re-equilibration of atmospheric CO$_2$ to avoid critical oversaturation levels. Such control seems to be difficult to achieve with the direct addition of tested fine solids or highly alkaline liquids not in equilibrium with the atmosphere. It is possible to obtain greater control if a reactor is used to prepare a pre-equilibrated solution with elevated alkalinity without triggering precipitation ($\Omega_{\text{aragonite}} < \sim$12.5, c.f. experiment I in Fig. 1b).

Before starting open ocean experiments, detailed model approaches are needed to assess these problems identified here: stability of added alkalinity, possible redissolution of temporary lost alkalinity, and excess application causing a net TA increase with co-precipitation of carbonates and possibly concerning duration of high pH-values. This in turn needs more laboratory or mesocosm scale experiments to determine which role particles, present in natural waters, play by lowering the threshold level for potential TA loss (c.f. experiment IIa and IIb, abiotic with biotic particles, or Wurgraft et al., 2021) to parameterize models. By now, there are simply not enough reliable data existing to allow for reliable, realistic model calibration. A serious aspect considering that models are used to assess global and regional potential of OAE, which in turn is used to discuss which CDR-methods are to be considered for future global CDR-schemes.

Considering that temporally unstable precursors of carbonate minerals form during the application of OAE, as indicated by experiment V (Fig. 7), knowledge about the structure and behaviour of such unstable carbonate species formed is essential for discussing further the application scenarios of OAE. It is relevant to know the fraction and quality of formed unstable carbonate phases which might redissolve under given local and seasonal conditions, as well as application scenarios, because the question of a net-TA loss or gain depend on it, and if a TA loss is only transient for a given acceptable time. Understanding which
quality of particle surfaces, and surface area amounts per volume of water, trigger TA-loss is further to investigate. It is known for a few particles, only. E.g., it is a common laboratory routine to ‘seed’ water with solid carbonates for the initiation of carbonate precipitation (Wurgaft et al., 2021; Morse et al., 2003; DeBoer, 1977). The abundance of suitable surfaces allow carbonate formation and alkalinity loss at lower bulk water saturation levels than used to artificially precipitate carbonates from filtered seawater as shown by Wurgaft et al. (2021) and supported by results from Molnár et al. (2021), and experiment III (addition of precipitates from previous experiments). In addition, biotic processes may support carbonate formation at the small scale, via photosynthesis, modulating the local carbonate system via uptake of inorganic carbon (Wolf-Gladrow and Riebesell, 1997). Experiment II indicate that ocean-based particles lead to carbonate formation at lower ΔTA levels compared to filtered water, despite that in this specific seawater only little particles were present. Because triggered carbonate precipitation aids or boosts further precipitation in seawater, it is in the context of an OAE application scenario essential to understand under which conditions alkalinity loss can be avoided, given the large variety of possible combinations of particles and biota in the world’s ocean. The continued loss of alkalinity well below critical values of $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ once triggered was labelled ‘runaway precipitation’ by Moras et al. (2021). This aspect needs further understanding based on the few experiments available, as TA-loss may stop under certain conditions and a possible regain of TA might happen (Fig. 7), which would be a relevant aspect of OAE-management.

Specifically, the question about the time window until an amorphous carbonate cluster can redissolve when mixed with untreated seawater is essential for OAE treatment plans and management, considering that sustainable techniques for the application of OAE and monitoring are still missing. Essential global and regional data on stability of TA are still missing and need to be collected at large scale, if OAE should be a practical CDR technology, which is one day emerging from the method idea to a real-world application technology.

### 4.3 Consequences for application strategies

Model assessments on the global potential of OAE for long-term CO$_2$ removal do not consider the risks of alkalinity loss through increased carbonate precipitation (Kheshgi, 1995; Köhler et al., 2013; González and Ilyina, 2016; Burt et al., 2021; Ilyina et al., 2013).

Global modelling studies so far assumed very idealized conditions of OAE, e.g., a spatially and temporally homogenous increase in TA over years or decades. In reality, OAE will likely be achieved via point source release of solid or liquid TA, leading to spatially and temporally much stronger perturbations of the carbonate system, which may in turn trigger carbonate precipitation and TA loss. This process might be further facilitated by the presence of particles in seawater, an aspect not considered in models so far.

Results from our study, as well as those from Moras et al. (2021) and Wurgaft et al. (2021) suggest that homogenous or heterogenous nucleation should be avoided to prevent TA loss.

The loss of alkalinity in unfiltered water with elevated alkalinity in disequilibrium with the atmosphere after the addition of a solution without particles (Fig. 3, experiment II) starts at lower alkalinity additions than in filtered water. The water used in
the experiments I to III is representative for oligotrophic ocean regions, which are low in particle abundance, but it cannot be ruled out that few suspended sediment grains are affecting the results due the close distance to the coast. Wurgaft et al. (2021) showed that particles from river plumes can trigger alkalinity and DIC loss via carbonate precipitation at lower alkalinity levels than used here (~2,400 μmol/kgw) (Figs. 1 and 2). This observation suggests that without further investigation, application of OAE in such areas should be avoided.

Caserini et al. (2021) modelled ship application of OAE simulating addition of a slurry of Ca(OH)_2. In their model results, pH levels of 9.2 to 9.5 are reached in open water. Even if these high pH levels would be reached for only a short time (minutes to hours), results here suggest (Figs. 5 to 7) that ship OAE application procedures could result in critical saturation levels, triggering alkalinity loss (c.f. experiment V and Moras et al. (2021)). Experiment VI, however, showed that at the expense of high pH, application of high doses, exceeding the second threshold identified here, results in a net TA gain, but no loss. But, in that case not all added solid alkalinity is transferred into liquid TA in seawater (Fig. 8: efficiency of 78% after 24 hours for the highest dose).

The addition of unequilibrated alkaline liquid from a ship would have to be in a way that the saturation state remains below critical thresholds at the site of release. The distribution of the alkaline liquid might be operated via large nets with a network of distributed pressure-nozzles causing small point turbulences for effective mixing of treated water with untreated. Slow point addition rates would increase the ship time needed to deliver a cumulative amount of alkalinity change to the ocean. This would increase the cost, and, where fossil bunker fuel is used, reduce the overall effectiveness of OAE, if ship CO₂-emissions are not used to produce alkaline solutions added to the ocean. The rate of the ship-based TA-addition would likely be constrained by the rate of CO₂ resupply to the treated seawater (in general the air-sea gas exchange), and the dilution rate of treated water with untreated.

To avoid that added solid particles act as seeds for carbonate precipitation, it may therefore be feasible to add engineered solid particles with sufficiently slow dissolution rate at the particle surface to prevent critical changes in seawater carbonate chemistry, while sink velocity is slow enough to allow for full dissolution close to the sea surface.

An alternative to open ocean addition is the use of reactors to produce equilibrated solutions for the addition into the sea (as shown by experiment I). Reactors for alkalinity production have been proposed for various purposes. For example, Kirchner et al. (2021) demonstrated on land that it is possible to produce alkaline solutions using limestone and seawater to scrub flue gases of a coal power plant. An alkalinity reactor at the industrial scale for counteracting water acidification in lakes after mining brown coal was built and operated in the Lausitz area, Germany (Koch and Mazur, 2016; LMBV, 2017). The pilot plant to this reactor was able to use solid CaO, Ca(OH)_2 or CaCO₃ and pure CO₂ for alkalinity production.

A further alternative is suggested by experiment I. Na₂CO₃ and NaHCO₃ dissolve quickly due to their high solubility in seawater. Results here (Figs. 1 and 3) show that the combination of Na₂CO₃ and NaHCO₃ to produce a targeted alkaline solution equilibrated with atmospheric conditions give the best outcome for a potentially sustainable alkalinity addition. The application of solid Na₂CO₃ was already suggested by Kheshgi (1995), but also discarded for global application due to limited natural resources.
It might therefore be worthwhile to study the production of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and NaHCO$_3$ from CO$_2$, NaCl and H$_2$O for OAE using solar thermal energy (Forster, 2014) or possibly as a product of electrochemical approaches (House et al., 2007; Rau et al., 2013). A review on NaHCO$_3$ production techniques showed that this topic is under-researched (Bonfim-Rocha et al., 2019), opening up the possibility for improvements in terms of cost and energy demand. In addition, new concepts for Na$_2$CO$_3$ production (Forster, 2012, 2014; Wu et al., 2019) provide energetically improved and environmentally friendly production schemes. Such improvements are relevant, in context of needed energy resources. Wu et al. (2019) suggest an energy demand of 5.32 GJ per tonne Na$_2$CO$_3$, a decrease by 61% compared to standard Solvay process production. Using solar thermal energy for Na$_2$CO$_3$ (Forster, 2014) and NaHCO$_3$ (Bonfim-Rocha et al., 2019) has the advantage that production is not a burden to the electrical energy grid. Energy costs would be in the range of other discussed CDR approaches, like 4 to 12 GJ/tonne CO$_2$ for direct air capture (NAS, 2021; Shayegh et al., 2021), or 3 – 6 GJ/tonne CO$_2$ for other methods of OAE (Renforth and Henderson, 2017). If the Na-carbonate solids were produced from NaCl solutions (e.g., through electrochemistry), methods for disposing of the waste HCl acid, or the evolved Cl$_2$ gas would need to be found (NAS, 2021). However, HCl could also be a resource to other processes, like the extraction of needed elements for the global energy-transformation from rocks.

4.4 Consequences of alkalinity leakage and impacts of OAE on biota

Model studies indicate that adding alkalinity to the ocean could remove between 3 and 10 Gt of carbon dioxide per year from the atmosphere, while at the same time counteracting ocean acidification (Feng et al., 2017; Harvey, 2008). However, the potential impact of adding alkaline materials on marine organisms and ecosystems is still largely unknown. Most of the alkalinity enhancement experiments carried out to date have used calcium or sodium hydroxides as alkaline substances (D'Olivo and McCulloch, 2017; Lenton et al., 2018; Albright et al., 2016; Cripps et al., 2013), and have been tested on a small number of calcifying organisms, like mollusks (Cripps et al., 2013; Waldbusser et al., 2014), corals (Comeau et al., 2012; Albright et al., 2016), and calcifying algae (Gore et al., 2018). In most cases, adding alkaline materials led to enhanced calcification in response to increased calcite and aragonite saturation states. The only in situ experiment in marine waters was carried out in a coral reef, leading to the restoration of calcification of corals that were affected by the decline in carbonate saturation state caused by acidification (Albright et al., 2016).

Other compounds (like iron, silica or heavy metals) occurring in alkaline rocks that could be added to the water might stimulate or inhibit organism growth, potentially benefiting some organism over others, thereby changing community structure. For instance, a shift towards diatoms and diazotrophic phytoplankton might occur if silica and iron-rich olivine is used as an alkaline agent. On the contrary, the use of alkaline solutions could benefit calcifying plankton, like coccolithophorids (Köhler et al., 2013; Bach et al., 2019), although diverse adaptations within this group may lead to different responses to OAE (Langer, 2009). Moreover, the addition of technical and natural mineral products may also release trace metals, like nickel in case of olivine dominated dunite (Montserrat et al., 2017).
Overall, the side effects of OAE on organisms, and more importantly on ecosystems, is largely unknown and deserves research at the experimental levels to provide a better knowledge in order to make informed decisions on whether or not alkalinity enhancement is a feasible mitigation strategy.

5 Conclusion

From the inorganic geochemical perspective, the amount of potentially formed carbonate phases, their stability (potential re-dissolution), and the subsequent loss of alkalinity after OAE application determines the sustainability of OAE. Understanding these processes and their management will be crucial for the decision-making process regarding OAE application and its subsequent potential for CO₂ sequestration.

For a given environmental setting, the risk of triggering the loss of alkalinity after OAE is determined by the carbonate saturation state, its temporal evolution and particle surface processes, with the possibility of being steered by the surface area per volume of water as well as the quality of such a surface. To avoid the loss of alkalinity and maximize alkalinity addition, the application of an alkaline solution in CO₂-equilibrium with the atmosphere and/or solutions with saturation levels that avoid loss of alkalinity is favourable over the application of tested solid materials. Reactor solutions preparing alkaline solutions have been developed for other purposes, like counteracting lake acidification or scrubbing CO₂ from flue gas, and their design could be a blueprint for OAE-reactors.

Formation and redissolution of (temporally) instable carbonate phases are not well understood from the viewpoint of OAE management. This knowledge gap includes natural mixing processes of alkalinity-enhanced water with untreated water, therefore diluting potentially critical conditions in time before permanent secondary precipitation occurs. A pre-application assessment as part of OAE-management, requires therefore detailed information on the hydrodynamics and advection of CO₂ into the water at the site of OAE application.

In addition, a broad spectrum of the effects of duration and degrees of oversaturation levels on permanent carbonate formation (Fig. 7) needs to be studied, including the effect of temperature affecting nucleation kinetics, which was not addressed here. It is likely that in colder waters than tested here, carbonate formation triggered by OAE is delayed via slower kinetics, offering more time for dilution with untreated water. A public database with increasing information on tested materials and gained parameters for OAE application might help in the future to refine OAE assessments on potentials and risks. Such database should include knowledge on the ecosystem response to TA and pH changes, one aspect being understudied.

In conclusion, this study outlined some of the relevant aspects where knowledge on applicability of OAE must be refined before safe and sustainable application boundary conditions and management procedures for OAE can be established.
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