# **Revisiting and attributing the global controls on terrestrial**

# 2 ecosystem functions of climate and plant traits at FLUXNET

# 3 sites via causal graphical models

Haiyang Shi<sup>1,6</sup>, Geping Luo<sup>2,3,4,6</sup>, Olaf Hellwich<sup>7</sup>, Alishir Kurban<sup>2,3,4,6</sup>, Philippe De Maeyer<sup>2,3,5,6</sup> and Tim Van de
 Voorde<sup>5,6</sup>

6

<sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing 211100, China.

- 8 <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese
- 9 Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, Xinjiang, 830011, China.
- <sup>3</sup>College of Resources and Environment, University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19 (A) Yuquan Road,
   Beijing, 100049, China.
- 12 <sup>4</sup>Research Centre for EcologyThe National Key Laboratory of Ecological Security and Environment of Central
- 13 Asia, Sustainable Development in Arid Region (proposed), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, China.
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Geography, Ghent University, Ghent 9000, Belgium.
- <sup>6</sup>Sino-Belgian Joint Laboratory of Geo-Information, Ghent, Belgium.
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Computer Vision & Remote Sensing, Technische Universität Berlin, 10587 Berlin, Germany.
- 17

18 Correspondence to: Geping Luo (luogp@ms.xjb.ac.cn) and Olaf Hellwich (olaf.hellwich@tu-berlin.de)

19 Submitted to: *Biogeosciences* 

#### 21 Abstract

22 Using statistical methods that not directly representing the causality between variables to attribute climate and 23 plant traits to control ecosystem function may producelead to biased perceptions. We revisit revisited this issue 24 using a causal graphical model, the Bayesian network (BN), capable of quantifying causality by conditional 25 probability tables. Based on expert knowledge and climate, vegetation, and ecosystem function data from the 26 FLUXNET flux stations, we constructed a BN containing representing the causal relationship of 'climate-plant 27 trait-ecosystem function'. Based on the sensitivity analysis function of the BN, we attributed the controls of 28 climate and plant traits to ecosystem function and compared the results with those based on Random forests and 29 correlation analysis. The main conclusions of this study include: BN can be used for the quantification of causal 30 relationships between complex ecosystems in response to climate change and enables the analysis of indirect 31 effects among variables. The causality reflected in the BN is as good as the expert knowledge of the causal links. 32 Compared to BN, the feature importance difference between 'mean vapor pressure deficit and cumulative soil 33 water index' and 'maximum leaf area index and maximum vegetation height' reported by Random forests is 34 higher and can be overestimated. With the causality relation between correlated variables constructed, BN-based 35 sensitivity analysis can reduce the uncertainty in quantifying the importance of correlated variables. The 36 understanding of the mechanism of indirect effects of climate variables on ecosystem function through plant

37 traits can be deepened by the chain casuality quantification in BNs.

### 38 1 Introduction

39 Ecosystem function is the capacity of natural processes and components to provide goods and services that 40 satisfy human needs, either directly or indirectly (de Groot et al., 2002). Ecosystem functions include the 41 physicochemical and biological processes within the ecosystem to maintain terrestrial life. Terrestrial 42 ecosystems have provided a variety of important ecosystem functions for our society (Manning et al., 2018). 43 Plant traits' role as important determinants of ecosystem functions has been widely recognized (Chapin Iii et al., 44 2000), and various trait syndromes can result in distinct broad differences in ecosystem functions (Reichstein et 45 al., 2014). In the context of global climate change, it is also essential to understand the potential changes in 46 ecosystem functions (Grimm et al., 2013). The response of terrestrial ecosystem function to changes in climate, 47 plant traits, and the corresponding mechanisms, are complex due to enormous spatial and temporal variations 48 across ecosystems, climate zones, and also space-time scales (Diaz and Cabido, 1997; Madani et al., 2018; 49 Myers-Smith et al., 2019). Given the enormous variations, on the global scale, these issues have not been 50 clarified well.

- 52 In the past decades, measurements of ecosystem functions are have been increasingly available to support studies
- 53 of the relations between ecosystem functions and climate variables. For example, eddy-covariance flux tower
- 54 observations (Baldocchi, 2014) for carbon flux (i.e., net ecosystem exchange (NEE)) and water flux (i.e.,
- 55 evapotranspiration (ET)) have been widely used to investigate changes in ecosystem functions and their
- responses to climate change, vegetation condition changes, etc (Jung et al., 2020, 2010; Migliavacca et al., 2021;
- 57 Peaucelle et al., 2019). With the increase in such observations, various statistical analysis methods such as
- 58 emerging machine learning (Barnes et al., 2021; Migliavacca et al., 2021; Reichstein et al., 2019; Shi et al.,

- 59 2022b, a, 2020b; Tramontana et al., 2016) With the increase in such observations, various statistical analysis
- 60 approaches such as machine learning (Barnes et al., 2021; Migliavacca et al., 2021; Reichstein et al., 2019; Shi
- 61 <u>et al., 2022b, a; Tramontana et al., 2016</u>) have been used to mine the hidden information on the effects of
- 62 climate change and its induced changes in vegetation, etc. on ecosystem function variables such as carbon and
- 63 water flux, which has not been understood in depth by process-based models (e.g., biogeochemistry models
- 64 (Sakschewski et al., 2016)). For example, using Random forests (RF) and principal component analysis (PCA),
- a recent study (Migliavacca et al., 2021) quantified the three main axes of terrestrial ecosystem function and
- 66 their drivers based on observations of carbon and water fluxes of FLUXNET stations (Pastorello et al., 2020)
- and various climate and plant trait variables. Generally, data-driven approaches have become increasingly
- 68 important recently in this area (Reichstein et al., 2019).
- 69

70 However, compared to the process-based models, most of these data-driven approaches lack representation of 71 the causality and detailed processes in the relations between ecosystem function and climate, despite the widely 72 recognized complex causal interactions of between ecosystems withand climate systems (Reichstein et al., 2014). 73 Conventional methods such as multiple linear regression have been questioned in attribution studies of the 74 relationship between climate and the carbon cycle (Wang et al., 2022). For example, the use of multiple linear 75 regression may underestimate the direct effect of soil moisture possibly due to the covariance between variables 76 (Wang et al., 2022). For machine learning techniques, current common algorithms such as RF (Migliavacca et 77 al., 2021) can report the importance of features (IMP) to measure their contributions to the prediction model. 78 However, IMP-based attribution to the target variable can also be unreliable if considerable confounders and 79 correlations between predictor variables exist (Strobl et al., 2008; Toloşi and Lengauer, 2011). The less relevant 80 predictors can replace the predictive predictors (due to correlation) and thus receive undeserved high feature 81 importance (Strobl et al., 2008). Correlations between predictors can lead to biased feature importanceIMP-82 based findings. It is thus important to recognize the difference between correlation and causality in these 83 approaches, and represent detailed causal relations between features, rather than the unreliable feature 84 importanceIMP rankings generated from correlated features. 85 86 Bayesian network (BN) is a causal graphical model based on conditional probability representation (Friedman et

- al., 1997; Pearl, 1985) that characterizes the transmission of cause and effect through conditional probabilities
- 88 between variables. Currently, BN has been used in modeling causal relationships in many fields and has
- 89 demonstrated advantages in causal interpretation, including in the fields such as hydrology and ecology (Chan et
- 90 al., 2010; Keshtkar et al., 2013; Milns et al., 2010; Pollino et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2021a, b; Trifonova et al.,
- 91 <u>2015)(Chan et al., 2010; Keshtkar et al., 2013; Milns et al., 2010; Pollino et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2021a, b;</u>
- 92 Trifonova et al., 2015). However, BN has rarely been used in the study of the attribution of changes in
- 93 ecosystem function. Therefore, this study used BN to attribute the controls of climate and plant traits to
- 94 ecosystem function by quantifying the causal relationships involved. The data used arewas from a previous
- 95 study (Migliavacca et al., 2021) which extracted ecosystem function, climate, and plant trait variables for
- 96 FLUXNET flux stations. The construction of the causal structure of BN referred to the previous expert
- 97 knowledge of this system (Reichstein et al., 2014). Further, by comparing BN-based attribution analysis, linear

- 98 correlation analysis, and RF-based IMP reported by the previous study (Migliavacca et al., 2021), we
- 99 investigated the adding-values of using BN for causal analysis and discussed its prospects in this paper.

## 100 2 Methodology

# 101 **2.1 Data**

102 The used variables (Table 1) include the carbon and water fluxes of the FLUXNET flux tower sites and the

- ecosystem function variables derived from them, and information on the corresponding climate variables as wellas plant traits:
- a) Ecosystem function variables: underlying Water Use Efficiency (uWUE), maximum evapotranspiration
   (ETmax), maximum surface conductance (GSmax), maximum net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of the ecosystem
- 107 (NEPmax), Gross Primary Productivity at light saturation (GPPsat), Mean basal ecosystem respiration at a
   108 reference temperature of 15 °C (Rb), and apparent carbon-use efficiency (aCUE).
- b) Plant trait variables: ecosystem scale foliar nitrogen concentration (Nmass), Maximum Leaf Area Index
- (LAImax), Maximum vegetation height (Hc). Of the total 202 sites (Migliavacca and Musavi, 2021), 101
  sites have Nmass data, 153 sites have LAImax data, and 199 sites have Hc data. Only 98 have data on all
- 112 these three plant trait variables.
- c) Climate variables: mean incoming shortwave radiation (SWin), Mean temperature (Tair), Mean Vapor
  Pressure Deficit (VPD), Mean annual precipitation (P), and cumulative soil water index (CSWI).
- 115
- 116 These data have different producing processes, including those calculated from flux data, site records, extracted
- 117 from remote sensing data, etc. The detailed calculation methods can be found in the ref. (Migliavacca et al.,
- 118 2021).Migliavacca et al., 2021.
- 119

## 120 Table 1. The variables used and the discretization of their values in BN.

Variable	Definition and	Туре	Approach (Migliavacca et al., 2021)	Discretization in BN
node	units			(equal quantile
				thresholds: 0%,
				33.33%, 66.67%, and
				100% percentile
				values)
uWUE	underlying Water	Ecosystem	It was calculated from GPP, VPD, and ET	0.068, 2.51, 3.18,
	Use Efficiency [gC	function	(Zhou et al., 2014). The median of the half- hourly retained uWUE values was used for	5.332
	kPa^0.5 kgH <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-1</sup> ]		each site. It was further filtered by the	
			following conditions: (i) SWin > 200 W m <sup>-2</sup> ; (ii) no precipitation event for the last 24 hours	
			when precipitation data are available; and (iii)	
			during the growing season: daily $GPP > 30\%$ of its seasonal amplitude	
			its seasonal amplitude.	
ETmax	maximum	Ecosystem	ETmax was computed as the 95th percentile of	0.059, 0.17, 0.23,
	evapotranspiration	function	by the same filtering applied to the uWUE	0.423
	in the growing		calculation.	
	season [mm]			

GSmax	maximum surface conductance [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	Ecosystem function	GSmax was computed by inverting the Penman-Monteith equation after calculating the aerodynamic conductance. The 90th percentile of the half-hourly GS of each site was	0.0013, 0.0077, 0.0123, 0.0566
			calculated and used as the GSmax of each site.	
NEPmax	maximum net CO2	Ecosystem	NEPmax was computed as the 90th percentile	1.953, 15.3, 24.4,
	uptake of the	function	of the half-hourly net ecosystem production in the growing season (when daily GPP is $> 30\%$	42.82
	ecosystem [umol			
	CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]			
GPPsat	Gross Primary	Ecosystem	GPPsat was computed as the 90th percentile	3.042, 17.49, 27.74,
	Productivity at	function	hyperbolic light response curves. The 90th	47.6
	light saturation		percentile from the GPPsat estimates of each	
	$[\text{umol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$		she was extracted.	
Rb	Mean basal	Ecosystem	Rb was derived from night-time NEE	0.144, 2.07, 3.12,
	ecosystem	function	daily Rb value was computed.	10.67
	respiration at a			
	reference			
	temperature of			
	15 °C [umol CO <sub>2</sub>			
	m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]			
aCUE	apparent carbon-	Ecosystem	aCUE was calculated by aCUE = $1 - (Rb/GPP)$	-1.19, 0.4, 0.74, 1
	use efficiency	function	and the median value of daily aCUE is used.	
Nmass	ecosystem scale	Plant trait	Nmass was computed as the community-	0.65, 1.15, 1.76, 4.44
	foliar nitrogen		weighted average of foliar N% of the major	
	concentration [gN		species at the site sampled at the peak of the	
	100 g <sup>-1</sup> ]		growing season or gathered from the literature	
			(Musavi et al., 2016, 2015; Fleischer et al.,	
		<b>P1</b>	2015; Flechard et al., 2020).	
LAImax	Maximum Leaf	Plant trait	LAImax was collected from the literature	0.17, 2.27, 4.5, 12.9
	Area Index [m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>-</sup>		(Migliavacca et al., 2011; Flechard et al., 2020),	
	<sup>2</sup> ]		the FLUXNET Biological Ancillary Data	
			Management (BADM) product, and/or site	
II.	Mariana	Dlaut (	principal investigators.	0.04 1.7 160 00 1
Нс	Maximum	Plant trait	He was collected from the literature	0.04, 1./, 16.0, 80.1
	vegetation neight		(iniginavacca et al., 2011; Flechard et al., 2020),	
	[m]		investigators	
SWin	Maan incoming	Climeta	SWin was from ELUXNET data	54 43 134 19
Swin	shortwaye rediction	Chimate	Swiii was itoin FLUANET data.	182 14 266 04
	[W m <sup>-2</sup> ]			102.77, 200.04
Tair	Mean temperature	Climate	Tair was from FLUXNET data	-10.45, 6.62, 14.73
1411	[degree C]	Chinate		28.1
	[9 0]			

VPD	Mean Vapor	Climate	VPD was from FLUXNET data.	0.62, 3.38, 5.76,
	Pressure Deficit			26.08
	[hPa]			
Р	Mean annual	Climate	P was from FLUXNET data.	5.51, 45.28, 79.29,
	precipitation			256.61
	[cm/year]			
CSWI	cumulative soil	Climate-	CSWI was computed as a measure of water	-93.49, -1.24, 2.01,
	water index	related soil	availability (Nelson et al., 2018).	4.47
		water		
		availability		

# 122 **2.2 BN for analyzing causal relations**

## 123 **2.2.1 BN structures**

- 124 Based on expert knowledge (Reichstein et al., 2014), we constructed the structure of BN containing the causal
- 125 relationships between plant traits and ecosystem function variables: 'BN\_plant\_trait'. The causal links between
- 126 the variables were referred to the relationship diagram in the upper part of Figure 1. Further, we added the
- 127 climate variables and the corresponding causal relationships, expanding 'BN\_plant\_trait' to
- 128 'BN\_plant\_trait\_climate', which further incorporates the climate variables and their impacts on the system
- 129 (Figure 1). <u>The explanation of added causal links was shown in Table 2.</u>
- 130
- 131 Each node is discretized for the BN compiling by the software Netica. The equal quantile (Nojavan A. et al.,
- 132 2017) three-level discretization (the distribution of nodes (Figure S1) is divided into three levels) for each node
- 133 is applied by the discretization thresholds of 0%, 33.33%,66.67%, and 100% percentile values of the data
- 134 distribution (Table 1) given the limitation of the amount of training data.



Expert Knowledge - Reichstein et al., 2014

# 135

136 Figure 1. The structure of two Bayesian networks (BNs) for attribution of variations in ecosystem functions.

137 'BN\_plant\_trait' in the median part incorporated the causal effects of plant traits (box in slight green) on

138 ecosystem functions (box in white) from expert knowledge as the relation diagram on the upper part (Reichstein

139 et al., 2014). 'BN\_plant\_trait\_climate' in the lower part further incorporated the causal impacts of climate

- 140 variables (box in light blue).
- 141

Table 2. Explanation of the added causal links between climate variable nodes, plant trait nodes, and ecosystemfunction variable nodes in the BNs.

Casual links		Explanation	References
Parent	Child		
node	node		

VPD	uWUE	$uWUE = GPP \cdot VPD^{0.5}/ET$	(Zhou et al., 2014)
VPD	GSmax	stomatal and surface conductance declines	(Grossiord et al., 2020; Wever et al.,
		under an increase in VPD	2002)
VPD	GPPsat	leaf and canopy photosynthetic rates decline	(Yuan et al., 2019; Konings et al.,
		when atmospheric VPD increases due to	2017)
		stomatal closure	
VPD	<u>CSWI</u>	CSWI declines under an increase in VPD	(Nelson et al., 2018)
Tair	VPD	higher air temperature corresponds to higher	(Yuan et al., 2019)
		saturated water vapor pressure and can drive an	
		increase in VPD	
Tair	Нс	the temperature limitation on canopy height	(Moles et al., 2009)
		variation	
Tair	Nmass	increase in air temperature may decrease plant	(Weih and Karlsson, 2001; Reich
		nitrogen concentration and leaf nitrogen	and Oleksyn, 2004)
		content.	
Tair	Rb	temperature strongly influences Rb through the	(Davidson and Janssens, 2006;
		laws of thermodynamics	Enquist et al., 2003; Brown et al.,
			2004)
SWin	LAImax	solar radiation affects vegetation conditions	(Günter et al., 2008; Liu et al.,
		and phenology	2016; Borchert et al., 2015; Wagner
			et al., 2017)
SWin	Нс	solar radiation affects the distribution and	(Borchert et al., 2015; Guisan and
		composition of ecosystems through	Zimmermann, 2000; Piedallu and
		photosynthesis and the water cycle	Gégout, 2007)
SWin	GPPsat	solar radiation affects ecosystem productivity	(Monteith, 1972; Borchert et al.,
		and plant growth	2015; Guisan and Zimmermann,
			2000)
Р	Нс	the hydraulic limitation hypothesis on canopy	(Moles et al., 2009; Ryan and
		height variation	Yoder, 1997; Koch et al., 2004)
Р	Nmass	leaf nitrogen concentration per unit mass may	(Santiago and Mulkey, 2005;
		decrease with increasing precipitation	Wright and Westoby, 2002)
<u>P</u>	<u>CSWI</u>	CSWI declines under a decrease in P	(Nelson et al., 2018)
CSWI	LAImax	soil moisture affects vegetation conditions	(Patanè, 2011)
CSWI	Rb	soil moisture affects the temperature	(Xu et al., 2004; Flanagan and
		dependence of ecosystem respiration	Johnson, 2005; Wen et al., 2006)
CSWI	GPPsat	soil moisture can reduce GPP through	(Green et al., 2019)
		ecosystem water stress	

145	2.2.2 BN evaluation and node sensitivity analysis
146	Based on the Bayesian network (BN), the joint impacts of multiple variables and their causal relations are
147	analyzed. A BN can be represented by nodes $X_1$ , $X_2$ , $X_3$ to $X_n$ and the joint distribution (Pearl, 1985):
148	$Pa(X) = Pa(X_1, X_2,, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} Pa(X_i   pa(X_i)) $ (1)
149	where $pa(X_i)$ is the probability of the parent node $X_i$ . Expectation-maximization (Moon, 1996) is used to address
150	the data with missing values and then compile the BN.
151	
152	We used k-fold cross-validation to verify the reliability of the BN. The k-fold approach has been widely used in
153	previous studies for the validation of BNs (Marcot, 2012). In this study, k is set as 10 as commonly used
154	(Marcot and Hanea, 2021). We choose ETmax, GPPsat, and NEPmax for cross-validation of accuracy, and the
155	predicted status (status with the highest probability bar value) of the nodes will be compared with the actual
156	status and the classification accuracy will be calculated. These three nodes are the main terminal nodes and
157	primary objectives of the BN and represent the main water and carbon-related ecosystem functions, respectively.
158	The accuracy of these three variables can largely reflect the overall performance of BN.
159	
160	Sensitivity analysis is used for the evaluation of the strength of the causal relations between nodes based on
161	mutual information (MI). MI is calculated as the entropy reduction of the child node resulting from changes
162	found at the parent node (Shi et al., 2020a):
163	
164	Sensitivity analysis is used for the evaluation of the strength of the causal relations between nodes based on
165	mutual information (MI). MI is calculated as the entropy reduction of the child node resulting from changes
166	found at the parent node (Shi et al., 2020):
167	$MI = H(Q)-H(Q F) = \sum_{q} \sum_{f} P(q, f) \log_2\left(\frac{P(q, f)}{P(q)P(f)}\right) $ (2)
168	where H represents the entropy, Q represents the target node, F represents the set of other nodes and q and f
169	represent the status of Q and F. In this study, we assessed the sensitivity of ecosystem function variables to
170	climate and plant trait variables.
171	2.2.3 Comparing different approaches used for attribution analysis

- 172 Further, to clarify the adding-values of considering causality in the attribution analysis of controls on ecosystem
- 173 functions, the results of the BN-based sensitivity analysis (BN\_sens) were compared with the other two
- approaches. They are the results of the absolute values of additional linear correlation analysis (linear\_corr) in
- this study and the findings from the ref. (Migliavacea et al., 2021)in Migliavacea et al., 2021 using RF feature
- importance (RF imp). BN sens and linear corr directly measure the effects of plant traits and climate variables
- 177 on ecosystem function variables, while RF imp measures their effects on the three principal components (PC1,
- 178 PC2, and PC3) of ecosystem function variables, which were reported as the three major axes of ecosystem
- 179 function by the ref. (Migliavacca et al., 2021). Migliavacca et al., 2021. It was obtained from principal
- 180 component analysis of 12 ecosystem function variables which included the six variables uWUE, ETmax,
- 181 GSmax, NEPmax, GPPsat, and Rb used in the methods BN\_sens and linear\_corr. The first axis (PC1) explains
- 182 39.3% of the variance and is dominated by maximum ecosystem productivity properties, as indicated by the
- 183 loadings of GPPsat and NEPmax, and maximum evapotranspiration (ETmax). The second axis (PC2) explains

- 184 21.4% of the variance and refers to water-use strategies as shown by the loadings of water-use efficiency
- 185 metrics, evaporative fraction, and GSmax. The third axis (PC3) explains 11.1% of the variance and includes key
- 186 attributes that reflect the carbon-use efficiency of ecosystems. PC3 is dominated by apparent carbon-use
- 187 efficiency, basal ecosystem respiration (Rb), and the amplitude of evaporative fraction (Migliavacca et al.,
- 188 2021).
- 189

### 190 3 Results

## 191 **3.1 Correlation analysis**

- 192 Linear correlation analysis of the variables (Figure 2) showed significant (P < 0.05) linear correlations between
- 193 the ecosystem function variables and some of the climate and plant trait variables. SWin and VPD showed
- 194 negative correlations with these ecosystem function variables. LAImax/ Hc showed significant positive
- 195 relationships with most of the ecosystem function variables and significant negative relationships with SWin and
- 196 VPD. Nmass only showed a positive relationship with ETmax. In addition, the majority of the ecosystem
- 197 function variables showed significant (P < 0.05) positive correlations with each other.



198

199 Figure 2. Correlation coefficient matrix of ecosystem functions and climate and plant trait variables for

200 FLUXNET sites. Only correlation coefficients with p-values less than 0.05 level of significance is shown.

## 201 3.2 BN-based analysis

- We compiled two different BNs (i.e., BN\_plant\_trait and BN\_plant\_trait\_climate) (Figure 3) and found that the probability distributions of the values of the common nodes (ecosystem function and plant trait variable nodes)
- differed a little (e.g., in the probability distribution of LAImax, Hc, and Nmass) between the two BNs.
- 205 Compared to BN\_plant\_trait, in BN\_plant\_trait\_climate, the climate variables of sites with missing plant trait
- 206 data forced the changes in the probability distributions of LAImax, Hc, and Nmass. In the EM algorithm, for
- 207 sites with missing plant trait data, existing relationships (obtained from observations from other sites) between
- 208 plant trait variables and climate variables are used in the data interpolation of plant trait variables. In
- 209 BN\_plant\_trait\_climate, the added linkages of climate variables to plant trait variables resulted in higher
- 210 probability values of the low-value status of the plant trait variables.
- 211
- 212 The 10-fold cross-validation of the nodes ETmax, GPPsat, and NEPmax showed relatively high accuracy. The
- 213 classification accuracy (Table S1) of the status of ETmax was 60.9%, the classification accuracy of the status of
- 214 NEPmax was 84.2% and the classification accuracy of the status of GPPsat was 75.2%.
- 215



217 Figure 3. The compiled two BNs ('BN plant trait' and 'BN plant trait climate'). The bars of each node

represent its probability distribution. At the bottom part of each node, the left and right side values of the '±' are the mean and standard deviation of the distribution, respectively.

220

221 We performed sensitivity analyses (Figure 4) on the ecosystem function variables in both BNs to assess their 222 sensitivity to various climate and plant trait variables. We also calculated the difference in sensitivity MI 223 between the two BNs (Figure 4) to compare the change in sensitivity of ecosystem function to each variable 224 after adding further climate variables to the plant trait variables only. The sensitivity of different ecosystem 225 function variables to plant traits and climate variables was highly variable in both BNs. The magnitude of 226 sensitivity of ecosystem function nodes to plant traits and climate variables was related to whether these plant 227 traits and climate variables were set as their parent nodes. In BN plant trait, for the carbon fluxes GPPsat and 228 NEPmax, Nmass, and LAImax had higher sensitivity due to Nmass and LAI being set as their parent nodes. For 229 the water flux ETmax, it does not have high sensitivity to plant trait variables such as LAImax and Hc, although

- these plant trait variables are set as the parent nodes of ETmax. This indicates the difference in the strength of
- the control effects of plant traits on carbon and water fluxes.
- 232
- 233 In the sensitivity analysis of BN\_plant\_trait\_climate, the sensitivity patterns of the ecosystem function variables
- changed as a result of the inclusion of climate variables and the change in causality they introduced. The
- 235 sensitivity of the ecosystem function variables to climate variables was significantly increased (especially for
- Tair, VPD, and CSWI). The control of plant traits on ecosystem function in BN\_plant\_trait is also partially
- transformed into an indirect effect of climate variables by first controlling plant trait variables and then
- 238 controlling ecosystem function. For example, in BN plant trait climate, for GPPsat, a decrease in the
- 239 sensitivity of GPPsat to LAImax and an increase in the sensitivity to Tair was observed after the causal chain of
- 240 Tair influencing Hc, LAImax, and then GPPsat was set. This can be explained by the fact that higher
- temperatures promote vegetation growth and thus may increase LAImax, which then indirectly alters the
- 242 probability distribution of the GPPsat node. In previous studies based on statistical methods that did not consider
- the chain causality, this indirect control on GPPsat from Tair may have been included in the contribution of
- 244 LAImax to GPPsat. Similarly, a chain causality of P by first affecting Nmass and then indirectly GPPsat was
- 245 also found. However, the effect of P by first affecting Hc, LAImax, and then indirectly affecting ETmax and
- GSmax appears to be not large.



- 248 Figure 4. Sensitivity of ecosystem function variables to other variables in different networks based on mutual
- 249 information (MI). The left column is the sensitivity analysis of BN\_plant\_trait, the middle column is the
- 250 sensitivity analysis of BN plant trait climate, and the right column is the difference between the reported
- sensitivity of BN\_plant\_trait\_climate and the sensitivity of BN\_plant\_trait. For BN\_plant\_trait, the MI values of
- climate variables to ecosystem function variables are all 0 because they do not contain climate variables. For
- each ecosystem function in these two BNs, its sensitivity to its child node is not shown (set as 0) because child
- 254 nodes are not considered causal variables and thus are not evaluated in the attribution.

#### 255 3.3 Comparing results from RF-based, BN-based analysis, and correlation analysis

- 256 All three methods show the importance of the plant trait variables in explaining the variation of various
- 257 ecosystem function variables (Figure 5). LAImax was the most important of the three methods in explaining the
- 258 variation of maximum ecosystem productivity properties (corresponding to PC1). In contrast to the results of the
- 259 other two methods, in linear corr, SWin and VPD were the least important, while P was more important.
- 260 Comparing RF\_imp and BN\_sens, the overall pattern of importance is similar, but there are differences. For
- 261 water-use strategies (corresponding to PC2), Hc is ranked first and LAI last in RF\_imp, but in BN\_sens, LAI is
- slightly more important than Hc. In linear\_corr, Hc and LAI are of similar importance. For PC3, VPD ranks first
- and is more important than Tair in RF\_imp. But in BN\_sens, Tair is more important than VPD. Among the three
- 264 moisture-related climate variables (i.e., VPD, P, and CSWI), CSWI appears to be the least important in RF\_imp
- but is comparable to VPD in BN\_sens.
- 266

267 Given the limitations of RF\_imp in responding to the correlated variables (Strobl et al., 2008), the difference

- 268 between the significance of VPD and CSWI reported by RF\_imp may be overestimated. For the ecosystem
- 269 functions related to water-use strategies, the difference between LAImax and Hc reported by BN sens is also
- 270 much smaller than the difference reported by RF imp. It implied that, with the causality relation between
- 271 correlated variables constructed, BN\_sens reduced the uncertainty in quantifying the importance of correlated
- variables.

	Methods	Nmass	LAImax	Hc	SWin	Tair	VPD	Ρ	CSWI
PC1	RF_imp	10.80%	16.60%	14.50%	7.60%	9.10%	11.70%	6.70%	4.00%
PC2	RF_imp	5.10%	4.50%	14.90%	10.70%	11.20%	7. <mark>40%</mark>	9.00%	8.30%
PC3	RF_imp	7.00%	2.80%	5.40%	9.30%	8.00%	15.40%	6.50%	4.90%
GPPsat	BN_sens	0.0635	0.1980	0.0766	0.0299	0.0116	0.0221	0.0232	0.0380
NEPmax	BN_sens	0.0464	0.1482	0.0588	0.0168	0.0064	0.0065	0.0181	0.0142
ETmax	BN_sens	0.0006	0.0424	0.0076	0.0028	0.0063	0.0174	0.0006	0.0122
uWUE	BN_sens	0.0228	0.0321	0.0174	0.0012	0.0023	0.0080	0.0066	0.0072
GSmax	BN_sens	0.0022	0.1464	0.0246	0.0115	0.0239	0.0793	0.0019	0.0429
Rb	BN_sens	0.0880	0.0043	0.0021	0.0106	0.1177	0.0317	0.0053	0.0602
aCUE	BN_sens	0.0049	0.0138	0.0056	0.0033	0.0117	0.0009	0.0004	0.0007
GPPsat	linear_corr		0.67	0.46	0.13		0.20	0.48	
NEPmax	linear_corr		0.63	0.56			0.13	0.48	
ETmax	linear_corr	0.44						0.47	0.30
uWUE	linear_corr		0.45	0.47	0.15				
GSmax	linear_corr						0.28		
Rb	linear_corr		0.57	0.35	0.21		0.33	0.43	
aCUE	linear_corr								

Figure 5. Comparisons of relationships of ecosystem functional variables to plant traits and climate variables in
 different analyses. Method RF\_imp is Random forest variable importance (Migliavacca et al., 2021) (see

276 Methodology section). Method linear\_corr is Linear correlation analysis with the absolute values of Pearson

277 correlation coefficients (see Methodology section). Method BN\_sens is a BN-based sensitivity analysis with

278 sensitivity values MI reported. The values in each method group are in red for high values and in blue for low

values. <u>The color depth is dependent on values and the scale is the same in each row.</u>

## 280 4 Discussions

281 Based on BN, this study investigates the prospect of using causal graphical models to revisit and attribute the 282 control of climate and plant trait variations to ecosystem functions. Because of the inclusion of the constraints 283 provided by expert knowledge (Reichstein et al., 2014) and other perceptions from many previous studies, BN-284 based attribution analysis is relatively reliable and in terms of the represented mechanisms of causal links. It can 285 update our knowledge of the contribution of some teleconnection variables through causal chains. The effective 286 implementation of BN-based causal analysis may depend on the reliability of the causal relationships provided 287 by expert knowledge (directional links between variables). We can establish the connection relationships and 288 network structures between variables from expert knowledge and assign the specific quantification of the

- connection relationships (conditional probability tables) to observations (Shi et al., 2021a)(Shi et al., 2021a). If
- further combined with findings from process-based models, it is promising to significantly improve our
   understanding of the complex 'climate-plant trait-ecosystem function' relationships by comparing detailed
- 292 relationships and structural influences between variables.
- 293

294 BN essentially factorizes factorized the joint probability distribution among databetween various variables into a 295 series of conditional probability distributions (Ramazi et al., 2021), and the reliability of this approach 296 reliesrelied on the setting of causal control relationships among between nodes. Expert knowledge iswas thus 297 critical in the construction of BNs, especially when modeling complex systems. In addition to the causal 298 relationship between nodes, the meaning represented by each node, the data source/ approach, and the spatial 299 and temporal resolution may also have impacts on the results. For example, in this study, for multiple water use 300 efficiency-related variables in the ref. (Migliavacca et al., 2021), we chosed uWUE, and for Rb, we chosed the 301 mean value of Rb. Migliavacca et al., 2021, uWUE was chosen, and for Rb, the mean value of Rb was chosen. 302 The results of BN-based analysis may vary if different representations or meanings of nodes are selected. The 303 way the data of each variable is observed/ produced, the spatial and temporal resolution of the data, etc. can also 304 affect the understanding of the role of these variables in the data-driven BN. Some variables may be very 305 important in the attribution of actual ecosystem function variation, but their importance may be underestimated 306 due to limitations in the inherent observational accuracy of their data, and differences in their spatial and 307 temporal scales from other variables. In addition, some variables such as soil moisture may be difficult to obtain 308 due to the lack of continuous site-scale long-term observations. Using the water balance method to calculate 309 CSWI as a proxy may introduce errors. Since the CSWI calculation method relies on P, etc., the obtained 310 relationship between P, CSWI, and other nodes may have contained empirical components. If the availability of 311 measurements of some nodes is low, modelers should be cautious about the empirical dependencies with other 312 nodes that may be included in the alternative data approaches. Thus, the alternative use of multiple derivatives 313 of a variable and data generated by different methods for the construction of different BNs can help us to 314 recognize how the uncertainty in the nodes and data can influence BN-based attribution findings. Different node 315 discretization schemes may also affect the conditional probability table between nodes as well as the sensitivity 316 (Nojavan A. et al., 2017). Other alternative discretization schemes with the commonly used three levels may 317 also be effective, such as using 'mean-std' (mean minus 1 standard deviation) and 'mean+std' (mean plus 1 318 standard deviation) as discretization thresholds, which will result in a change in the relationship between BN 319 nodes. And further if extreme values such as 5th and 95th pencentile are used in the node value discretization, it 320 may be beneficial on quantifying the causal control of extreme conditions of nodes on other nodes. 321 322 When considering higher-order effects (Bairey et al., 2016), the relationships between plant traits, climate 323 variables, and ecosystem function variables can be very complex. One variable may affect the relationship

- between two other variables rather than directly affecting these two variables (Bairey et al., 2016). BN may have
- 325 limitations in directly analyzing such higher-order effects because BN requires the modeler to explicitly set
- 326 direct causal relationships between nodes. To analyze the higher-order effects, we can add nodes that directly
- 327 represent the relationship between the variables. For example, the correlation coefficient of two variables can be
- 328 used as a node and this node is connected to other nodes in the BN so that the control effect of other nodes on

this correlation coefficient can be explored. Such implements may be useful to deepen the impact of varioushigher order effects.

331

332 Besides, the BN in this study was mainly based on data averaged over multiple years, thus possibly partially 333 underestimating the effect of temporal variations in the relationships between variables. Another limitation of 334 the BN proposed above is that the causal relationships between variables are unidirectional, while it is difficult 335 to represent interactions and feedback between variables (Marcot and Penman, 2019). In future studies, to 336 address these two issues, BN based on temporal dynamics can be promising (Figure 6). By refining the 337 interaction of temporal lags between variables, it is possible to incorporate not only temporal variation but also 338 control factors that attribute interactions and feedback between variables. For example, the interaction and 339 feedback mechanisms of VPD, soil moisture, and ET with lag effects (Figure 6) and their impacts on ecosystems 340 have attracted extensive interest from researchers (Anderegg et al., 2019; Humphrey et al., 2021; Lansu et al., 341 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2019), but conventional statistical methods have been 342 ineffective in analyzing such relationships with both interactive causality and temporal lags. In contrast, the BN 343 proposed here, which incorporates feedback effects and lagged effects that were common in climate-ecosystem 344 relations (Lin et al., 2019), is potentially able to address this issue from a data-driven approach. In the practical 345 modeling, different periods of the same node may still be not independent. Therefore, the split scheme of such 346 periods may be critical. For example, a period between two precipitation events can be treated as one sample, 347 which can enhance independence between periods. Subsequently, a such period can be divided into smaller 348 periods such as t, t-1, t-2, etc. to aggregate the node values to appropriate time scales. Thus one sample can 349 represent the interaction relationship between variables with lags in this period. Finally, we can integrate records 350 of such periods between two precipitation events from sites across different climate zones and biomes to build 351 synthesis models for global analysis of such problems. If further combined with the findings of process based 352 models, our understanding of climate and ecosystem interactions and feedback and their mechanisms in time is 353 hopefully deepened. Such research frameworks in BN-based modeling may be difficult due to high 354 computational costs given the large amount of data. Fortunately, recently proposed new causal models have the 355 potential to address this limitation, such as the introduction of causality into deep learning frameworks (Luo et 356 al., 2020; Cui and Athey, 2022). If further combined with the findings of process-based models, our 357 understanding of climate and ecosystem interactions and feedback and their mechanisms in time is hopefully 358 deepened. 359



360

361 Figure 6. The future BNs with the temporal causality further considered addressing the causality of the

362 interaction between variables. The VPD-CSWI-ET relationship is used here as an example. t, t-1, and t-2 denote

363 the current period, the last period, and the period before the last period, respectively. The network on the left

364	only considers the effect of VPD on CSWI without considering the feedback of CSWI on the VPD. The network
365	on the right characterizes the VPD-CSWI interaction with the feedback from CSWI at period t-1 to VPD at

366 period t.

### 367 5 Conclusion

368 Based on BN, we revisited and attributed the contribution of climate and plant traits to global terrestrial 369 ecosystem function. The major conclusions of this study include: 370 1. BN can be used for the quantification of causal relationships between complex ecosystems in response to 371 climate change and enables the analysis of indirect effects among variables. 372 Compared to BN, the feature importance difference between 'VPD and CSWI' and 'LAImax and Hc' 2. 373 reported by Random forests is higher and can be overestimated. 374 With the causality relation between correlated variables constructed, BN sens can reduce the uncertainty in 3. 375 quantifying the importance of correlated variables. 376 4. The understanding of the mechanism of indirect effects of climate variables on ecosystem function through 377 plant traits can be deepened by the chain casuality quantification in BNs. 378

## 379 Acknowledgements

- 380 We are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers for their thorough and careful review that led to substantial
- 381 improvements in the manuscript. We are also grateful to Dr. Kirsten Thonicke for being the associate editor.

#### 382 Financial support

- 383 This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Tianshan Talent Cultivation
- 384 (Grant No. <u>U18032432022TSYCLJ0001</u>), the Key projects of the Natural Science Foundation of Xinjiang
- Autonomous Region (Grant No. 2022D01D01), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese
- Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA20060302), and High-End Foreign Experts Project.

#### 387 Author Contributions

- 388 HS and GL initiated this research and were responsible for the integrity of the work as a whole. HS performed
- 389 formal analysis and calculations and drafted the manuscript. HS was responsible for the data collection and
- analysis. GL, PDM, TVdV, OH, and AK contributed resources and financial support.

## **391** Competing interests

392 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### 393 Code availability

The codes that were used for all analyses are available from the first author (shihaiyang16@mails.ucas.ac.cn)upon request.

#### **Data availability**

- 397 The data used in this study can be accessed by contacting the first author (shihaiyang16@mails.ucas.ac.cn) upon 398 request.
- 399

## 400 **References**

- 401 Anderegg, W. R., Trugman, A. T., Bowling, D. R., Salvucci, G., and Tuttle, S. E.: Plant functional
- traits and climate influence drought intensification and land–atmosphere feedbacks, Proceedings of
   the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 14071–14076, 2019.
- Bairey, E., Kelsic, E. D., and Kishony, R.: High-order species interactions shape ecosystem diversity,
  Nat Commun, 7, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12285, 2016.
- 406 Baldocchi, D.: Measuring fluxes of trace gases and energy between ecosystems and the atmosphere– 407 the state and future of the eddy covariance method, Global change biology, 20, 3600–3609, 2014.
- 408 Barnes, M. L., Farella, M. M., Scott, R. L., Moore, D. J. P., Ponce-Campos, G. E., Biederman, J. A.,
- 409 MacBean, N., Litvak, M. E., and Breshears, D. D.: Improved dryland carbon flux predictions with
- 410 explicit consideration of water-carbon coupling, Commun Earth Environ, 2, 1–9,
- 411 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00308-2, 2021.
- 412 Borchert, R., Calle, Z., Strahler, A. H., Baertschi, A., Magill, R. E., Broadhead, J. S., Kamau, J.,
- 413 Njoroge, J., and Muthuri, C.: Insolation and photoperiodic control of tree development near the
- 414 equator, New Phytologist, 205, 7–13, 2015.
- Brown, J. H., Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., Savage, V. M., and West, G. B.: Toward a metabolic theory
  of ecology, Ecology, 85, 1771–1789, 2004.
- 417 Chan, T., Ross, H., Hoverman, S., and Powell, B.: Participatory development of a Bayesian network
- 418 model for catchment-based water resource management, Water Resour. Res., 46,
- 419 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008848, 2010.
- 420 Chapin Iii, F. S., Zavaleta, E. S., Eviner, V. T., Naylor, R. L., Vitousek, P. M., Reynolds, H. L.,
- Hooper, D. U., Lavorel, S., Sala, O. E., and Hobbie, S. E.: Consequences of changing biodiversity,
  Nature, 405, 234–242, 2000.
- 423 Cui, P. and Athey, S.: Stable learning establishes some common ground between causal inference and
   424 machine learning, Nat Mach Intell, 4, 110–115, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-022-00445-z, 2022.
- Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and
  feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165–173, 2006.
- Diaz, S. and Cabido, M.: Plant functional types and ecosystem function in relation to global change,
  Journal of Vegetation Science, 8, 463–474, https://doi.org/10.2307/3237198, 1997.
- Enquist, B. J., Economo, E. P., Huxman, T. E., Allen, A. P., Ignace, D. D., and Gillooly, J. F.: Scaling
  metabolism from organisms to ecosystems, Nature, 423, 639–642, 2003.
- 431 Flanagan, L. B. and Johnson, B. G.: Interacting effects of temperature, soil moisture and plant
- 432 biomass production on ecosystem respiration in a northern temperate grassland, Agricultural and
- 433 Forest Meteorology, 130, 237–253, 2005.
- 434 Flechard, C. R., Ibrom, A., Skiba, U. M., de Vries, W., van Oijen, M., Cameron, D. R., Dise, N. B.,
- 435 Korhonen, J. F. J., Buchmann, N., Legout, A., Simpson, D., Sanz, M. J., Aubinet, M., Loustau, D.,
- 436 Montagnani, L., Neirynck, J., Janssens, I. A., Pihlatie, M., Kiese, R., Siemens, J., Francez, A.-J.,
- 437 Augustin, J., Varlagin, A., Olejnik, J., Juszczak, R., Aurela, M., Berveiller, D., Chojnicki, B. H.,
- 438 Dämmgen, U., Delpierre, N., Djuricic, V., Drewer, J., Dufrêne, E., Eugster, W., Fauvel, Y., Fowler,
- 439 D., Frumau, A., Granier, A., Gross, P., Hamon, Y., Helfter, C., Hensen, A., Horváth, L., Kitzler, B.,
- 440 Kruijt, B., Kutsch, W. L., Lobo-do-Vale, R., Lohila, A., Longdoz, B., Marek, M. V., Matteucci, G.,

- 441 Mitosinkova, M., Moreaux, V., Neftel, A., Ourcival, J.-M., Pilegaard, K., Pita, G., Sanz, F.,
- 442 Schjoerring, J. K., Sebastià, M.-T., Tang, Y. S., Uggerud, H., Urbaniak, M., van Dijk, N., Vesala, T.,
- 443 Vidic, S., Vincke, C., Weidinger, T., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Nemitz, E.,
- and Sutton, M. A.: Carbon-nitrogen interactions in European forests and semi-natural vegetation –
- 445 Part 1: Fluxes and budgets of carbon, nitrogen and greenhouse gases from ecosystem monitoring and
- 446 modelling, Biogeosciences, 17, 1583–1620, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-1583-2020, 2020.
- 447 Fleischer, K., Wårlind, D., Van der Molen, M. K., Rebel, K. T., Arneth, A., Erisman, J. W., Wassen,
- 448 M. J., Smith, B., Gough, C. M., and Margolis, H. A.: Low historical nitrogen deposition effect on
- 449 carbon sequestration in the boreal zone, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 120,
- 450 2542–2561, 2015.
- 451 Friedman, N., Geiger, D., and Goldszmidt, M.: Bayesian network classifiers, Machine learning, 29,
  452 131–163, 1997.
- 453 Green, J. K., Seneviratne, S. I., Berg, A. M., Findell, K. L., Hagemann, S., Lawrence, D. M., and
- 454 Gentine, P.: Large influence of soil moisture on long-term terrestrial carbon uptake, Nature, 565, 476– 455 479, 2019.
- 456 Grimm, N. B., Chapin III, F. S., Bierwagen, B., Gonzalez, P., Groffman, P. M., Luo, Y., Melton, F.,
- 457 Nadelhoffer, K., Pairis, A., and Raymond, P. A.: The impacts of climate change on ecosystem
- 458 structure and function, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 11, 474–482, 2013.
- de Groot, R. S., Wilson, M. A., and Boumans, R. M. J.: A typology for the classification, description
- 460 and valuation of ecosystem functions, goods and services, Ecological Economics, 41, 393–408,
- 461 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(02)00089-7, 2002.
- Grossiord, C., Buckley, T. N., Cernusak, L. A., Novick, K. A., Poulter, B., Siegwolf, R. T. W.,
  Sperry, J. S., and McDowell, N. G.: Plant responses to rising vapor pressure deficit, New Phytologist,
- 464 226, 1550–1566, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16485, 2020.
- Guisan, A. and Zimmermann, N. E.: Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology, Ecological
   modelling, 135, 147–186, 2000.
- Günter, S., Stimm, B., Cabrera, M., Diaz, M. L., Lojan, M., Ordonez, E., Richter, M., and Weber, M.:
  Tree phenology in montane forests of southern Ecuador can be explained by precipitation, radiation
  and photoperiodic control, Journal of Tropical Ecology, 24, 247–258, 2008.
- 470 Humphrey, V., Berg, A., Ciais, P., Gentine, P., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Seneviratne, S. I., and
- 471 Frankenberg, C.: Soil moisture–atmosphere feedback dominates land carbon uptake variability.
- 472 Nature, 592, 65–69, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03325-5, 2021.
- 473 Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Seneviratne, S. I., Sheffield, J., Goulden, M. L., Bonan, G.,
- 474 Cescatti, A., Chen, J., de Jeu, R., Dolman, A. J., Eugster, W., Gerten, D., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N.,
- 475 Heinke, J., Kimball, J., Law, B. E., Montagnani, L., Mu, Q., Mueller, B., Oleson, K., Papale, D.,
- 476 Richardson, A. D., Roupsard, O., Running, S., Tomelleri, E., Viovy, N., Weber, U., Williams, C.,
- 477 Wood, E., Zaehle, S., and Zhang, K.: Recent decline in the global land evapotranspiration trend due to
- 478 limited moisture supply, Nature, 467, 951–954, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09396, 2010.
- 479 Jung, M., Schwalm, C., Migliavacca, M., Walther, S., Camps-Valls, G., Koirala, S., Anthoni, P.,
- 480 Besnard, S., Bodesheim, P., Carvalhais, N., Chevallier, F., Gans, F., S Goll, D., Haverd, V., Köhler,
- 481 P., Ichii, K., K Jain, A., Liu, J., Lombardozzi, D., E M S Nabel, J., A Nelson, J., O'Sullivan, M.,
- 482 Pallandt, M., Papale, D., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Rödenbeck, C., Sitch, S., Tramontana, G., Walker,
- 483 A., Weber, U., and Reichstein, M.: Scaling carbon fluxes from eddy covariance sites to globe:

- 484 Synthesis and evaluation of the FLUXCOM approach, Biogeosciences, 17, 1343–1365,
- 485 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-1343-2020, 2020.
- 486 Keshtkar, A. R., Salajegheh, A., Sadoddin, A., and Allan, M. G.: Application of Bayesian networks 487 for sustainability assessment in catchment modeling and management (Case study: The Hablehrood
- 487 for sustainability assessment in catchinent modering and management (
   488 river catchment), Ecological Modelling, 268, 48–54, 2013.
- Koch, G. W., Sillett, S. C., Jennings, G. M., and Davis, S. D.: The limits to tree height, Nature, 428,
  851–854, 2004.
- Konings, A., Williams, A., and Gentine, P.: Sensitivity of grassland productivity to aridity controlled
  by stomatal and xylem regulation, Nature Geoscience, 10, 284–288, 2017.
- Lansu, E. M., van Heerwaarden, C., Stegehuis, A. I., and Teuling, A. J.: Atmospheric aridity and
  apparent soil moisture drought in European forest during heat waves, Geophysical Research Letters,
  47, e2020GL087091, 2020.
- Lin, C., Gentine, P., Frankenberg, C., Zhou, S., Kennedy, D., and Li, X.: Evaluation and mechanism
  exploration of the diurnal hysteresis of ecosystem fluxes, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 278,
  107642, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107642, 2019.
- Liu, L., Gudmundsson, L., Hauser, M., Qin, D., Li, S., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Soil moisture dominates
   dryness stress on ecosystem production globally, Nature communications, 11, 1–9, 2020.
- 501 Liu, Q., Fu, Y. H., Zeng, Z., Huang, M., Li, X., and Piao, S.: Temperature, precipitation, and
- insolation effects on autumn vegetation phenology in temperate China, Global Change Biology, 22,
   644–655, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13081, 2016.
- 504 <u>Luo, Y., Peng, J., and Ma, J.: When causal inference meets deep learning, Nat Mach Intell, 2, 426–</u> 505 <u>427, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-020-0218-x, 2020.</u>
- 506 Madani, N., Kimball, J. S., Ballantyne, A. P., Affleck, D. L. R., van Bodegom, P. M., Reich, P. B.,
- 507 Kattge, J., Sala, A., Nazeri, M., Jones, M. O., Zhao, M., and Running, S. W.: Future global
- 508 productivity will be affected by plant trait response to climate, Sci Rep, 8, 2870,
- 509 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21172-9, 2018.
- 510 Manning, P., Van Der Plas, F., Soliveres, S., Allan, E., Maestre, F. T., Mace, G., Whittingham, M. J.,
- and Fischer, M.: Redefining ecosystem multifunctionality, Nature ecology & evolution, 2, 427–436,
  2018.
- 513 Marcot, B. G.: Metrics for evaluating performance and uncertainty of Bayesian network models, 514 Ecological modelling, 230, 50–62, 2012.
- 515 Marcot, B. G. and Hanea, A. M.: What is an optimal value of k in k-fold cross-validation in discrete
- 516 Bayesian network analysis?, Comput Stat, 36, 2009–2031, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-020-00999-517 9, 2021.
- Marcot, B. G. and Penman, T. D.: Advances in Bayesian network modelling: Integration of modelling
   technologies, Environmental modelling & software, 111, 386–393, 2019.
- 520 Migliavacca, M. and Musavi, T.: Reproducible Workflow: The three major axes of terrestrial 521 ecosystem function, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5153538, 2021.
- 522 Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., Richardson, A. D., Colombo, R., Sutton, M. A., Lasslop, G.,
- 523 Tomelleri, E., Wohlfahrt, G., Carvalhais, N., and Cescatti, A.: Semiempirical modeling of abiotic and

- biotic factors controlling ecosystem respiration across eddy covariance sites, Global Change Biology,
   17, 390–409, 2011.
- 526 Migliavacca, M., Musavi, T., Mahecha, M. D., Nelson, J. A., Knauer, J., Baldocchi, D. D., Perez-
- 527 Priego, O., Christiansen, R., Peters, J., Anderson, K., Bahn, M., Black, T. A., Blanken, P. D., Bonal,
- 528 D., Buchmann, N., Caldararu, S., Carrara, A., Carvalhais, N., Cescatti, A., Chen, J., Cleverly, J.,
- 529 Cremonese, E., Desai, A. R., El-Madany, T. S., Farella, M. M., Fernández-Martínez, M., Filippa, G.,
- 530 Forkel, M., Galvagno, M., Gomarasca, U., Gough, C. M., Göckede, M., Ibrom, A., Ikawa, H.,
- Janssens, I. A., Jung, M., Kattge, J., Keenan, T. F., Knohl, A., Kobayashi, H., Kraemer, G., Law, B.
- 532 E., Liddell, M. J., Ma, X., Mammarella, I., Martini, D., Macfarlane, C., Matteucci, G., Montagnani,
- 533 L., Pabon-Moreno, D. E., Panigada, C., Papale, D., Pendall, E., Penuelas, J., Phillips, R. P., Reich, P.
- B., Rossini, M., Rotenberg, E., Scott, R. L., Stahl, C., Weber, U., Wohlfahrt, G., Wolf, S., Wright, I.
  J., Yakir, D., Zaehle, S., and Reichstein, M.: The three major axes of terrestrial ecosystem function,
- 536 Nature, 598, 468–472, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03939-9, 2021.
- 537 Milns, I., Beale, C. M., and Smith, V. A.: Revealing ecological networks using Bayesian network 538 inference algorithms, Ecology, 91, 1892–1899, https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0731.1, 2010.
- 539 Moles, A. T., Warton, D. I., Warman, L., Swenson, N. G., Laffan, S. W., Zanne, A. E., Pitman, A.,
- 540 Hemmings, F. A., and Leishman, M. R.: Global patterns in plant height, Journal of ecology, 97, 923–
- 541 932, 2009.
- Monteith, J. L.: Solar radiation and productivity in tropical ecosystems, Journal of applied ecology, 9,
  747–766, 1972.
- Moon, T. K.: The expectation-maximization algorithm, IEEE Signal processing magazine, 13, 47–60,
  1996.
- 546 Musavi, T., Mahecha, M. D., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., van de Weg, M. J., van Bodegom, P.
- 547 M., Bahn, M., Wirth, C., Reich, P. B., and Schrodt, F.: The imprint of plants on ecosystem
- 548 functioning: A data-driven approach, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and
- 549 Geoinformation, 43, 119–131, 2015.
- 550 Musavi, T., Migliavacca, M., van de Weg, M. J., Kattge, J., Wohlfahrt, G., van Bodegom, P. M.,
- Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Carrara, A., and Domingues, T. F.: Potential and limitations of inferring
  ecosystem photosynthetic capacity from leaf functional traits, Ecology and evolution, 6, 7352–7366,
  2016.
- Myers-Smith, I. H., Thomas, H. J. D., and Bjorkman, A. D.: Plant traits inform predictions of tundra responses to global change, New Phytologist, 221, 1742–1748, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15592, 2019.
- Nelson, J. A., Carvalhais, N., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., and Jung, M.: Water-stress-induced
  breakdown of carbon-water relations: indicators from diurnal FLUXNET patterns, Biogeosciences,
  15, 2433–2447, 2018.
- 560 Nojavan A., F., Qian, S. S., and Stow, C. A.: Comparative analysis of discretization methods in
- 561 Bayesian networks, Environmental Modelling & Software, 87, 64–71,
- 562 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.10.007, 2017.
- 563 Pastorello, G., Trotta, C., Canfora, E., Chu, H., Christianson, D., Cheah, Y.-W., Poindexter, C., Chen,
- J., Elbashandy, A., Humphrey, M., Isaac, P., Polidori, D., Reichstein, M., Ribeca, A., van Ingen, C.,
- 565 Vuichard, N., Zhang, L., Amiro, B., Ammann, C., Arain, M. A., Ardö, J., Arkebauer, T., Arndt, S. K.,
- 566 Arriga, N., Aubinet, M., Aurela, M., Baldocchi, D., Barr, A., Beamesderfer, E., Marchesini, L. B.,
- 567 Bergeron, O., Beringer, J., Bernhofer, C., Berveiller, D., Billesbach, D., Black, T. A., Blanken, P. D.,

- Bohrer, G., Boike, J., Bolstad, P. V., Bonal, D., Bonnefond, J.-M., Bowling, D. R., Bracho, R., 568
- Brodeur, J., Brümmer, C., Buchmann, N., Burban, B., Burns, S. P., Buysse, P., Cale, P., Cavagna, M., 569
- Cellier, P., Chen, S., Chini, I., Christensen, T. R., Cleverly, J., Collalti, A., Consalvo, C., Cook, B. D., 570
- 571 Cook, D., Coursolle, C., Cremonese, E., Curtis, P. S., D'Andrea, E., da Rocha, H., Dai, X., Davis, K.
- 572 J., Cinti, B. D., Grandcourt, A. de, Ligne, A. D., De Oliveira, R. C., Delpierre, N., Desai, A. R., Di
- 573 Bella, C. M., Tommasi, P. di, Dolman, H., Domingo, F., Dong, G., Dore, S., Duce, P., Dufrêne, E.,
- 574 Dunn, A., Dušek, J., Eamus, D., Eichelmann, U., ElKhidir, H. A. M., Eugster, W., Ewenz, C. M.,
- 575 Ewers, B., Famulari, D., Fares, S., Feigenwinter, I., Feitz, A., Fensholt, R., Filippa, G., Fischer, M.,
- 576 Frank, J., Galvagno, M., et al.: The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux processing pipeline for 577 eddy covariance data, Sci Data, 7, 225, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0534-3, 2020.
- Patanè, C.: Leaf Area Index, Leaf Transpiration and Stomatal Conductance as Affected by Soil Water 578
- 579 Deficit and VPD in Processing Tomato in Semi Arid Mediterranean Climate, Journal of Agronomy
- 580 and Crop Science, 197, 165–176, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2010.00454.x, 2011.
- 581 Pearl, J.: Bayesian networks: A model cf self-activated memory for evidential reasoning, in:
- 582 Proceedings of the 7th Conference of the Cognitive Science Society, University of California, Irvine, 583 CA, USA, 15-17, 1985.
- 584 Peaucelle, M., Bacour, C., Ciais, P., Vuichard, N., Kuppel, S., Peñuelas, J., Belelli Marchesini, L.,
- 585 Blanken, P. D., Buchmann, N., and Chen, J.: Covariations between plant functional traits emerge from
- 586 constraining parameterization of a terrestrial biosphere model, Global ecology and biogeography, 28, 587 1351–1365, 2019.
- 588 Piedallu, C. and Gégout, J.-C.: Multiscale computation of solar radiation for predictive vegetation 589 modelling, Annals of forest science, 64, 899-909, 2007.
- 590 Pollino, C. A., Woodberry, O., Nicholson, A., Korb, K., and Hart, B. T.: Parameterisation and
- 591 evaluation of a Bayesian network for use in an ecological risk assessment, Environmental Modelling 592 & Software, 22, 1140-1152, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2006.03.006, 2007.
- 593 Ramazi, P., Kunegel-Lion, M., Greiner, R., and Lewis, M. A.: Exploiting the full potential of Bayesian networks in predictive ecology, Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 12, 135–149, 594 595 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13509, 2021.
- 596 Reich, P. B. and Oleksyn, J.: Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to temperature and 597 latitude, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101, 11001–11006, 2004.
- 598 Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Mahecha, M. D., Kattge, J., and Baldocchi, D. D.: Linking plant and 599 ecosystem functional biogeography, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 13697– 600 13702, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216065111, 2014.
- 601 Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., Jung, M., Denzler, J., Carvalhais, N., and Prabhat:
- 602 Deep learning and process understanding for data-driven Earth system science, Nature, 566, 195–204, 603 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0912-1, 2019.
- 604 Ryan, M. G. and Yoder, B. J.: Hydraulic limits to tree height and tree growth, Bioscience, 47, 235– 605 242, 1997.
- Sakschewski, B., von Bloh, W., Boit, A., Poorter, L., Peña-Claros, M., Heinke, J., Joshi, J., and 606
- 607 Thonicke, K.: Resilience of Amazon forests emerges from plant trait diversity, Nature Clim Change,
- 608 6, 1032–1036, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3109, 2016.

- 609 Santiago, L. S. and Mulkey, S. S.: Leaf productivity along a precipitation gradient in lowland Panama:
- 610 patterns from leaf to ecosystem, Trees, 19, 349–356, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-004-0389-9,
- 611 2005.
- 612 Shi, H., Luo, G., Zheng, H., Chen, C., Bai, J., Liu, T., Ochege, F. U., and De Maeyer, P.: Coupling the
- 613 water-energy-food-ecology nexus into a Bayesian network for water resources analysis and
- 614 management in the Syr Darya River basin, Journal of Hydrology, 581, 124387,
- 615 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124387, <del>2020a</del>2020.
- 616 Shi, H., Luo, G., Zheng, H., Chen, C., Hellwich, O., Bai, J., Liu, T., Liu, S., Xue, J., Cai, P., He, H.,
- 617 Ochege, F. U., Van de Voorde, T., and de Maeyer, P.: A novel causal structure-based framework for
- 618 comparing a basin-wide water-energy-food-ecology nexus applied to the data-limited Amu Darya
- and Syr Darya river basins, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25, 901–925,
- 620 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-901-2021, 2021a.
- 621 Shi, H., Pan, Q., Luo, G., Hellwich, O., Chen, C., Voorde, T. V. de, Kurban, A., De Maeyer, P., and
- 622 Wu, S.: Analysis of the Impacts of Environmental Factors on Rat Hole Density in the Northern Slope
- of the Tienshan Mountains with Satellite Remote Sensing Data, Remote Sensing, 13, 4709,
   https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13224709, 2021b.
- 625 Shi, H., Luo, G., Hellwich, O., Xie, M., Zhang, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Yuan, X., Ma, X., Zhang,
- W., Kurban, A., De Maeyer, P., and Van de Voorde, T.: Evaluation of water flux predictive models
   developed using eddy-covariance observations and machine learning: a meta-analysis, Hydrology
- and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 1–21, 26, 4603–4618, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-4603 2022–90, 2022a.
- 630 Shi, H., Luo, G., Hellwich, O., Xie, M., Zhang, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Yuan, X., Ma, X., Zhang,
- 631 W., Kurban, A., De Maeyer, P., and Van de Voorde, T.: Variability and uncertainty in flux-site-scale
- 632 net ecosystem exchange simulations based on machine learning and remote sensing: a systematic
- 633 evaluation, Biogeosciences, 19, 3739–3756, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-3739-2022, 2022b.
- 634 Shi, Y., Jin, N., Ma, X., Wu, B., He, Q., Yue, C., and Yu, Q.: Attribution of climate and human
- 635 activities to vegetation change in China using machine learning techniques, Agricultural and Forest
- 636 Meteorology, 294, 108146, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108146, 2020b.
- 637 Strobl, C., Boulesteix, A.-L., Kneib, T., Augustin, T., and Zeileis, A.: Conditional variable importance
  638 for random forests, BMC Bioinformatics, 9, 307, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-307, 2008.
- Toloşi, L. and Lengauer, T.: Classification with correlated features: unreliability of feature ranking
  and solutions, Bioinformatics, 27, 1986–1994, https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr300, 2011.
- Tramontana, G., Jung, M., Schwalm, C. R., Ichii, K., Camps-Valls, G., Ráduly, B., Reichstein, M.,
- Arain, M. A., Cescatti, A., Kiely, G., Merbold, L., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Sickert, S., Wolf, S., and Papale,
- D.: Predicting carbon dioxide and energy fluxes across global FLUXNET sites with regression
- 644 algorithms, Biogeosciences, 13, 4291–4313, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-4291-2016, 2016.
- Trifonova, N., Kenny, A., Maxwell, D., Duplisea, D., Fernandes, J., and Tucker, A.: Spatio-temporal
- 646 Bayesian network models with latent variables for revealing trophic dynamics and functional
- 647 networks in fisheries ecology, Ecological Informatics, 30, 142–158,
- 648 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2015.10.003, 2015.
- 649 Wagner, F. H., Hérault, B., Rossi, V., Hilker, T., Maeda, E. E., Sanchez, A., Lyapustin, A. I., Galvão,
- L. S., Wang, Y., and Aragão, L. E.: Climate drivers of the Amazon forest greening, PLoS One, 12, e0180932, 2017.

- Wang, Z., Zhu, D., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., and Peng, S.: Regressions underestimate the direct effect of
- soil moisture on land carbon sink variability, Global Change Biology,
- 654 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16422, 2022.
- 655 Weih, M. and Karlsson, P. S.: Growth response of Mountain birch to air and soil temperature: is
- 656 increasing leaf-nitrogen content an acclimation to lower air temperature?, New Phytologist, 150, 147–
- 657 155, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2001.00078.x, 2001.
- 658 Wen, X.-F., Yu, G.-R., Sun, X.-M., Li, Q.-K., Liu, Y.-F., Zhang, L.-M., Ren, C.-Y., Fu, Y.-L., and Li,
- 659 Z.-Q.: Soil moisture effect on the temperature dependence of ecosystem respiration in a subtropical
- Pinus plantation of southeastern China, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 137, 166–175,
- 661 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.02.005, 2006.
- 662 Wever, L. A., Flanagan, L. B., and Carlson, P. J.: Seasonal and interannual variation in
- evapotranspiration, energy balance and surface conductance in a northern temperate grassland,
  Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 112, 31–49, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(02)00041-2,
  2002.
- 666 Wright, I. J. and Westoby, M.: Leaves at low versus high rainfall: coordination of structure, lifespan 667 and physiology, New phytologist, 155, 403–416, 2002.
- Ku, L., Baldocchi, D. D., and Tang, J.: How soil moisture, rain pulses, and growth alter the responseof ecosystem respiration to temperature, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 18, 2004.
- 670 Xu, S., McVicar, T. R., Li, L., Yu, Z., Jiang, P., Zhang, Y., Ban, Z., Xing, W., Dong, N., Zhang, H.,
- and Zhang, M.: Globally assessing the hysteresis between sub-diurnal actual evaporation and vapor
- pressure deficit at the ecosystem scale: Patterns and mechanisms, Agricultural and Forest
  Meteorology, 323, 109085, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109085, 2022.
- 4674 Yuan, W., Zheng, Y., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Lombardozzi, D., Wang, Y., Ryu, Y., Chen, G., Dong, W.,
- 675 Hu, Z., Jain, A. K., Jiang, C., Kato, E., Li, S., Lienert, S., Liu, S., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Qin, Z., Quine,
- T., Sitch, S., Smith, W. K., Wang, F., Wu, C., Xiao, Z., and Yang, S.: Increased atmospheric vapor
- 677 pressure deficit reduces global vegetation growth, Science Advances, 5, eaax1396,
- 678 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax1396, 2019.
- 679 Zhou, S., Yu, B., Huang, Y., and Wang, G.: The effect of vapor pressure deficit on water use
- efficiency at the subdaily time scale, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 5005–5013,
- 681 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060741, 2014.
- 683 Seneviratne, S. I., and Gentine, P.: Land–atmosphere feedbacks exacerbate concurrent soil drought
- and atmospheric aridity, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 18848–18853, 2019.